

Pacholak, S., Hochstein, S., Rudert, A. & Brücker, C. (2014). Unsteady flow phenomena in human undulatory swimming: a numerical approach. *Sports Biomechanics*, 13(2), doi: 10.1080/14763141.2014.893609



**CITY UNIVERSITY  
LONDON**

[City Research Online](#)

**Original citation:** Pacholak, S., Hochstein, S., Rudert, A. & Brücker, C. (2014). Unsteady flow phenomena in human undulatory swimming: a numerical approach. *Sports Biomechanics*, 13(2), doi: 10.1080/14763141.2014.893609

**Permanent City Research Online URL:** <http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/16747/>

#### **Copyright & reuse**

City University London has developed City Research Online so that its users may access the research outputs of City University London's staff. Copyright © and Moral Rights for this paper are retained by the individual author(s) and/ or other copyright holders. All material in City Research Online is checked for eligibility for copyright before being made available in the live archive. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to from other web pages.

#### **Versions of research**

The version in City Research Online may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check the Permanent City Research Online URL above for the status of the paper.

#### **Enquiries**

If you have any enquiries about any aspect of City Research Online, or if you wish to make contact with the author(s) of this paper, please email the team at [publications@city.ac.uk](mailto:publications@city.ac.uk).

1 **A numerical study of the unsteady flow phenomena in human swimming**

2 Steffen Pacholak<sup>1</sup>, Stefan Hochstein<sup>2</sup>, Alexander Rudert<sup>1</sup>, Christoph Brücker<sup>1</sup>

3 <sup>1</sup> Institute of Mechanics and Fluid Dynamics, TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Freiberg, Germany

4 <sup>2</sup> Institute of Sport Science, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, Jena, Germany

5 **Keywords:** Human undulatory swimming, Computational fluid dynamics, Dolphin kick,

6 wake vortices

7 Steffen Pacholak, Institute of Mechanics and Fluid Dynamics, TU Bergakademie Freiberg,

8 Lampadiusstraße 4, 09596 Freiberg, Germany, [Steffen.Pacholak@imfd.tu-freiberg.de](mailto:Steffen.Pacholak@imfd.tu-freiberg.de), Tel:

9 +49- 3731 392472

10 **Acknowledgements:** The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the Deutsche

11 Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) as part of Priority Program SPP-1207 “Nature-Inspired Fluid

12 Dynamics” as well as IAT Leipzig for providing their 3D body scanner.

13

14 **Abstract**

15 Vortex dynamics around the body of a female swimmer was investigated for  
16 several successive underwater Dolphin kicks. This is a typical motion sequence  
17 of swimmers after they have pushed off the wall. The method of Computational  
18 Fluid Dynamics (CFD) was used in combination with a digital reproduction of  
19 the kinematics of the body surface to investigate the unsteady flow phenomena  
20 involved therein. The results showed the formation of larger vortices near the  
21 swimmer's body, part of it being used to enhance thrust generation at the legs  
22 (vortex re-capturing). At each downstroke, a vortex ring was shed into the wake  
23 forming a street of vortices behind the swimmer. Further downstream these rings  
24 re-combined into streamwise oriented parallel vortex tubes. Within the motion  
25 cycle a distinct variation of drag and thrust force were observed as a  
26 characteristic footprint of the kinematics. Mean drag force over the complete  
27 cycle was about twelve times higher during dolphin kick when compared to  
28 passive, gliding swimming. Maximum mean thrust was reached in 3 motion  
29 cycles after the swimmer has pushed off the wall and remained constant from  
30 thereon.

31 [199 words]

32

### 33 **Introduction**

34 A more in-depth understanding of the unsteady flow phenomena and vortex dynamics in  
35 human undulatory underwater swimming can bring about innovations in both **sports** and  
36 engineering. A prominent example in swimming is the so-called dolphin kick, an undulatory  
37 movement similar to that of a fish, typically used after a swimmer's start or turn. Therein, a  
38 wave-like body motion is generated, running from the fingertips to the toes. While much  
39 research has been carried out for vortex dynamics and interaction in wave-like undulatory fish  
40 locomotion (e.g. **Hanke, Brücker, & Bleckmann, 2000; Przybilla, Kunze, Rudert, Bleckmann,**  
41 **& Brücker, 2010**), only little is known about its importance to undulatory human swimming.  
42 Earlier studies mainly focussed on kinematics and overall performance. As a result, adopting  
43 a more lateral body position during the dolphin kick led to an increase in stroke amplitude.  
44 This caused higher amount of ankle plantar flexion and higher thrust (Alves, Lopes, Veloso,  
45 and Martins-Silva (2006). Futhermore, humans performed higher kick frequencies than the  
46 cetaceans, resulting in higher Strouhal numbers (von Loebbecks, Mittal, Fish and Mark,  
47 2009a), which indicated that human's Dolphin kick in general is less efficient than undulatory  
48 fish locomotion. This is because drag forces are seemingly excessively **increased for the**  
49 **active propulsive motion (active drag)**. Unfortunately there is no satisfactory experimental  
50 method for a direct measure of the active drag **without altering the swimmer's natural gait**.  
51 Only for front crawl swimming **did** the MAD-system (**Measure Active Drag; Hollander et al.,**  
52 **1986; Toussaint, 2000**) judge **the** forces. However this method cannot be used for the dolphin  
53 kick.

54 Alternatively, computational fluid dynamics (CFD) offers the potential to calculate the  
55 swimmer's forces out of the simulated time-dependent 3D flow field around a swimmer. Such  
56 numerical flow simulations were carried out to quantify the forces on an isolated forearm in  
57 steady underwater motion (Bixler and Riewald 2002). **The** flow behind a rigid swimmer  
58 model in gliding phase was investigated by **Bixler, Pease, and Fairhurst (2007)**. They

59 compared their numerical results with experimental data of a towed body to quantify the  
60 accuracy of their simulated drag forces. Individual states within the dolphin kick cycle were  
61 studied by Lyttle and Keys (2006) in a quasi-steady simulation. They argued that propulsion  
62 is mainly generated by the forces acting on the legs. Later, these results were confirmed by  
63 simulations for the undulatory dolphin kick cycle (von Loebbecke, Mittal, Fish, and Mark  
64 (2009b). Recently, Cohen, Cleary, and Mason (2012) extended the numerical work on the  
65 Dolphin kick using the simulation method called ‘smoothed particle hydrodynamics’. They  
66 claimed the strong need for parametric studies of different kick frequencies and the influence  
67 of hand oscillations on drag forces.

68 The purpose of this study was the detailed understanding of vortex dynamics and the  
69 generated time-traces of forces acting on the swimmer during successive Dolphin kicks after  
70 push-off from the wall. The major interest was the formation and interaction of vortices near  
71 the swimmer’s surface and in the swimmer’s wake. Therefore, a multiple hinged 5-ankle  
72 model was generated, where each segment represents the segmented shape of a digitized  
73 swimmer body (hand, arm, body, upper legs, lower legs and feet). The segments of the model  
74 were moved in a prescribed motion function to replicate the motion cycle of a Dolphin kick.  
75 Numerical simulations of the three-dimensional unsteady flow were carried for the phase of 6  
76 successive Dolphin kick cycles. As a reference, the motion and body shape were taken from  
77 the same swimmer as used in the study by Hochstein and Blickhan (2011). Therefore, it  
78 allowed us to validate our numerical results with their flow visualization studies. Special  
79 focus was laid on possible relevance of any energy-saving mechanisms which might help to  
80 increase efficiency of propulsion such as vortex preformation or vortex re-capturing within  
81 the different motion cycles. The flow phenomena were correlated with the time-traces of the  
82 propulsion, as well as drag and lift forces in comparison to a passive gliding motion cycle.

83

84 **Methods**

85 A female swimmer (personal best 200m butterfly: 2:12.9) **was** the template to generate  
86 the digital swimmer model with a realistic shape. Therefore, her body **was** scanned with a 3D  
87 laser body scanner (VITUS Smart XXL 3D, Human Solutions GmbH, Kaiserslautern,  
88 Germany) and the surface contour data **were** saved into a digital file format. The surface of  
89 the digital body is then subdivided into separate segments, which belong to the regions:  
90 hands, arms, head, body, legs and feet. Those segments are connected at the corresponding  
91 ankles. The undulatory motion function of the swimmer for successive Dolphin kicks after  
92 push-off has been recorded in a test pool earlier (published in Hochstein & Blickhan, 2011).  
93 If no relative angular motion of the segments is applied and the body is in stretched state, this  
94 surface then represents that of the swimmer in gliding phase. This is named in the following  
95 the static swimmer model. Based on this model, the dynamic model was generated by  
96 transferring the recorded undulatory motion function of the swimmer to the individual  
97 segments of the digital swimmer model. After discretising the ambient fluid domain, the  
98 governing equations of fluid dynamics **were solved** in OpenFOAM, and the main forces  
99 influencing the swimmer segments **were determined**. The coordinate system was chosen in a  
100 co-moving reference frame such that the axial position of the swimmer remained constant in  
101 the flow domain.

102

103 The following table provides the necessary data of the swimmer and the parameter of the  
104 motion cycle from which we estimated the characteristic flow parameters in form of the  
105 Reynolds-number and the Strouhal-number.

106

107 (1)

108

109 
$$St = f \cdot a / |\mathbf{v}| \quad (2)$$

110

111           The former represents the ratio of characteristic inertia forces to viscous forces in the  
112 flow and the latter the ratio of time-scales between the undulatory motion and the time a fluid  
113 particles needs to travel the distance equal to the body length of the swimmer. These flow  
114 parameters are useful for comparison of the forces with other body shapes and swimming  
115 (towing) speeds. The highest deflection **was** located at the feet with an amplitude of  $a = 0.53$   
116 m at a steady frequency of  $f = 2.20$  Hz. This **resulted** in  $St \approx 1$  at a velocity of  $|\mathbf{v}| = 1.18$  m/s  
117 corresponding to the swimmer's speed as recorded in the test pool. This is well in the range  
118 documented for human undulatory swimming at  $0.8 \leq St \leq 1$  depending on the style of  
119 swimming and the athlete's physical attributes (e.g. Hochstein & Blickhan, 2011).

120

121

122           Table 1:

### 123 **Static swimmer model**

124           **To remove holes and sharp corners as a consequence of inaccuracies of the scanning**  
125 **process, original surface contour data of the swimmer needed to be processed in a first step**  
126 **with a triangulation and smoothing procedure. Therein, the number of triangles representing**  
127 **the surface was reduced from 200,000 down to 12,000. This allowed a more convenient data**  
128 **transfer and time-efficient grid generation of the fluid domain for dynamic mesh conditions.**  
129 **Non-essential details such as swimsuit and face composition were smoothed during this**  
130 **process, too.** The details of the mathematics of the smoothing procedure are given in  
131 Appendix A.

132

133           INSERT Figure 1 HERE!

134

135 As a result of this procedure, a smooth surface geometry of the female swimmer was  
136 reproduced as a digital surface. This surface represents the geometry of the swimmer in  
137 stretched form during gliding motion. It is used for reference and as a start for the  
138 segmentation process. In a second step, the main pivots (shoulder, hip, knee, and ankle) were  
139 assigned to the swimmer model. All pivots were used also as reference points for  
140 implementation of the swimmer kinematics (Pacholak, Rudert & Brücker, 2011b). Finally,  
141 the data points along the surface of the swimmer next to the pivots were assigned to  
142 individual segments of the body (arms, body, upper and lower legs, feet).

143

#### 144 **Kinematic swimmer model**

145 All parameters of the swimmer's kinematics during the dolphin kick cycle were  
146 previously captured by video analysis in a test pool (Hochstein & Blickhan, 2011). Each  
147 pivot of the female swimmer was marked with a self-luminous marker (Fig. 1a) and tracked  
148 with a video camera (Basler A602fc, Basler AG, Ahrensburg, Germany) at 30 Hz. The marker  
149 positions over time were curve-fitted with MATLAB 2010b (The MathWorks, Natick, MA,  
150 USA) and transferred into kinematic functions for each joint. Undulatory motion was then  
151 considered as a segmented coupled motion where each segment behaves like a rigid body  
152 whose length remains constant and whose time-trace of the angle is known. The origin of the  
153 coordinate system in the co-moving reference frame was chosen as the average vertical  
154 position of the shoulder pivot. The axial position of the shoulder pivot (y-coordinate)  
155 remained therefore fixed at the origin, while the vertical position (z-coordinate) was  
156 oscillating with the amplitude  $A_z$  around the zero-position  $z_0$  in a harmonic manner as follows:

$$157 \quad z = z_0 + A_z \cdot \sin(\omega t + \varphi_z) \quad (4)$$



158 Then, the positions of the other pivots  $\mathbf{P}_t$  could be calculated iteratively from one joint to the  
 159 next joint, starting with the pivot point nearest to the shoulder (hip) and terminating with the  
 160 ankle. The relative angles  $\theta_i$  and absolute pivot angles  $\alpha_i$  around the x-axis were interrelated  
 161 as follows:

$$162 \quad \theta_i = \theta_{0i} + A_1 \cdot \sin(\omega t + \alpha_i), \quad \alpha_i = \sum_{k=2}^i \theta_k \quad (5)$$

163 All absolute angles and the lengths of the segments were known from previous video  
 164 measurements. The new pivot positions  $\mathbf{P}_t$  of pivot points  $\mathbf{P}_0$  (Fig. 2) were then determined  
 165 with the rotation matrix  $\mathbf{R}_x$  (around the x-axis) which contained the relative pivot angles  $\theta$   
 166 from Equation (5).

$$167 \quad \mathbf{P}_t = \mathbf{R}_x \mathbf{P}_0. \quad (6)$$

168

169 INSERT Figure 2 HERE !

170

171 Actually, this rigid transformation rule holds only for the pivot positions and surface patches  
 172 in the middle of the rigid segments. However, at larger angles  $\theta$  the surface points near to the  
 173 joints start to overlap leading to an unnatural deformation of the surface. This problem could  
 174 be overcome by adding an additional translational and rotational shift of the patches relative  
 175 to each other plus a smoothing kernel which scales with the distance  $r_{Patch}$  of the patch to the  
 176 pivot. The surface coordinates were then determined as follows:

$$177 \quad \mathbf{p}_t = \mathbf{R}_x (\mathbf{p}_0 - \mathbf{P}_0) + \mathbf{P}_t + \mathbf{r}_{smooth} + \mathbf{r}_{patch}. \quad (7)$$

178 As a consequence, surface points near to joints were shifted to a larger amount relative to  
 179 their neighbours than points close to the middle of the rigid segments. This finally led to a

180 realistic skin-like surface motion in good qualitative agreement with the video recordings of  
181 the swimmer.

182 . (8)

### 183 **Computational fluid dynamics**

184 As fluid, water was assumed herein to have constant density  $\rho$  and constant viscosity  
185  $\eta$ . The governing equations of mass (9) and momentum conservation (10) for an unsteady  
186 three-dimensional flow (named in fluid mechanics the Navier-Stokes equations) then read  
187 (see e.g., [Schade & Kunz, 1989](#)):

$$188 \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \quad (9)$$

$$189 \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p + \frac{\eta}{\rho} \Delta \mathbf{v} \quad (10)$$

190 with pressure  $p$  and fluid velocity  $\mathbf{v}$ . The open source CFD program OpenFOAM was **used to**  
191 **solve the equations using** the finite volume method with 2<sup>nd</sup> order discretization in space and  
192 implicit discretisation in time (see e.g. Ferziger & Peric 2002; Versteeg & Malalasekera  
193 2007). The solver is able to treat deforming mesh geometries in 2D and 3D and thus is well  
194 suited for the simulations of the unsteady flow around the swimmer model. No turbulence  
195 model was applied.

196

197 INSERT Figure 3 HERE !

198

199 The basis for highly resolved results **was** a combination of an outer block mesh consisting of  
200 hexahedral cells with a finer central structure which was adapted to the shape of the swimmer  
201 model with the OpenFOAM tool SnappyHexMesh. Cells inside the swimmer model **were**  
202 deleted and cells containing a part of the surface structure **were sub-divided into smaller units**

203 until a specified cell size or cell number **was** reached. Additionally, surface layers **were** added  
204 along the contour of the swimmer model to get better wall resolution (Fig. 3). The final mesh  
205 size **was**  $2.5 \times 7 \times 2.5 \text{ m}^3$  (x-y-z) **containing** about one million cells.

206

207       INSERT Figure 4 HERE !

208

209 Figure 4 shows the boundary conditions of the system of Equations (9) and (10). The motion  
210 functions that deform the computational mesh in a prescribed way over time **were**  
211 implemented as moving mesh boundary conditions. Note that the origin of the swimmer's  
212 coordinate system remains at the same position within the grid as if the swimmer is observed  
213 in a co-moving reference frame. Therefore, flow is imposed at the inflow boundary conditions  
214 with a prescribed constant velocity that is equal to the mean swimming velocity of the  
215 swimmer. This situation resembles a swimmer in a counter-flow pool who is forced to keep  
216 his streamwise position constant over time. Each supporting geometry and surface motion  
217 **was** created by applying the boundary condition on the static swimmer model. A phase of  
218 steady gliding was chosen as initial conditions for the equation system. It simulated the  
219 swimmer's push-off from the wall after a short period of gliding to reach the terminal swim  
220 speed of  $|\mathbf{v}|=1.18 \text{ m/s}$  where the cycles of Dolphin kick start. One single kick cycle of period  
221 **T consisted** of more than **4,550 time** steps in the simulation. After every tenth time step the  
222 old mesh was updated with a new deformed one and the data of  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $p$  **were** interpolated  
223 onto the new one using bilinear interpolation. The Courant number  $Co$  defined as

224

$$Co = |\mathbf{v}| dt / dx . \quad (11)$$

225 with **the** minimal cell size  $dx$  and time step  $dt$  was always in the range  $Co < 0.5$  throughout  
226 the simulations.

227

228 **Force calculation**

229 The total force acting on the body of the swimmer is in general the sum of a steady  
230 component  $\mathbf{F}_{\text{Static}}$  which does not change with time and a time-varying component  $\mathbf{F}_{\text{Motion}}$   
231 related to the swimmer's motion cycle:

232 
$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{Result}} = \mathbf{F}_{\text{Static}} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{Motion}} \quad (12)$$

233 The static force **consisted** of the gravitation component  $\mathbf{F}_G = m\mathbf{g}$  and the opposing  
234 Archimedes force  $\mathbf{F}_A = -V\rho_w\mathbf{g}$ , where  $\mathbf{g}$  **was** gravitation,  $m$  and  $V$  **were** the mass and  
235 volume of the swimmer, respectively, and  $\rho_w$  **was** the density of water. Those are not further  
236 considered herein and were left out in the following discussion. In addition, any lateral force  
237  $F_x = F_{\text{Drift}}$  representing a side-drift of the swimmer **was** neglected, too. Finally, the dynamic  
238 force  $\mathbf{F}_{\text{Motion}}$  **varied** during a kick cycle and **was** determined by integration of the pressure  $p$   
239 over **the** swimmer's total surface area  $A_S$ :

240 
$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{Motion}} = \int_{A_S} p\mathbf{n} dA_S \quad (15)$$

241 Note that the contribution of the viscous wall friction **was herein** neglected, since the wake  
242 effect and the unsteady propulsion **dominated** the generated forces. The vertical component of  
243  $\mathbf{F}_{\text{Motion}}$  represented the lift force  $F_z = F_{\text{Lift}}$  which is the hydrodynamic counterpart to  
244 aerodynamic lift generated with an airfoil. In swimming direction ( $y$ -direction), the resulting  
245 force  $F_y = F_{\text{Propulsion}} + F_{\text{Drag}}$  was the sum of thrust and drag. The former was calculated via the  
246 axial momentum added into the wake by the balance across two planes  $A_p$  (Fig. 4) normal to  
247 the swimming direction  $\mathbf{v}$  (**Schade & Kunz, 1989**):

248 
$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{Propulsion}} \cong \int_{A_1}^{A_2} \rho_w \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}) dA_p + \int_{A_1}^{A_2} p\mathbf{n} dA_p \quad (16)$$

249 The first plane was 1.1 m in front of the swimmer and the second plane cut the swimming  
250 domain 0.1 m behind the swimmer. A non-dimensional representation of the drag and lift  
251 forces was given in form of coefficients which relate the forces to the dynamic pressure of the  
252 flow multiplied with the projection area of the swimmer in swimming direction.

253

## 254 **Visualisation of flow structures**

255 Illustrations of the numerical results were given in form of a series of vector field plots  
256 or isosurfaces representing the shape of vortical structures. Reconstruction of vortical  
257 structures out of the flow field is often based on post-processing to obtain either the so called  
258 Q-value or the  $\lambda_2$  value (Hussein XXX). Herein, the Q-value was used for visualization of  
259 the flow structures. However, an isosurface with a single Q-value was not suitable to highlight  
260 both the vortex structures near the swimmer's body as well as the structures in the near- and  
261 far wake. The body vortices would be overshadowed if the isosurface was chosen with a low  
262 Q-value while the wake vortices would disappear if the Q-value was high. This problem was  
263 overcome by normalizing the Q-values by the magnitude of slip-velocity of the vortex relative  
264 to the swimming speed  $|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_\infty|$ :

$$265 \quad Q_{\text{mod}} = \frac{Q}{|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_\infty|}, \quad (18)$$

266 where  $\mathbf{v}$  was the local velocity and  $\mathbf{v}_\infty$  was the swimmer's mean velocity. In the wake, the  
267 vortices decayed slowly but slip velocity decayed, too. Thus, the quotient remained nearly  
268 constant. In comparison, near the body the vortices were strong but slip velocity was high,  
269 too, therefore the quotient did not change. Hence, isosurfaces of constant normalized Q-value  
270 gave good impression of the shape of the vortex structures and their interaction in the wake.

271 Additional colouring of the surfaces with the Q-value in logarithmic scaling resulted in  
272 suitable information about vortex strength (Fig. 6b).

273

274 INSERT Figure 6 HERE !

275 The results of the numerical simulations were qualitatively compared with results from  
276 previous 2D flow-field measurements around the same swimmer in our group (Hochstein,  
277 Pacholak, Brücker and Blickhan 2012). Main focus was laid on comparison of location and  
278 evolution of characteristic flow structures in the saggital plane of the swimmer.

279

## 280 **Results**

### 281 **Forces on the swimmer**

282 The amount of (passive) drag for gliding is  $F_D = 15.9 \text{ N}$  at a velocity of  $|\mathbf{v}| = 1.18 \text{ m/s}$   
283 (Table I). For an active dolphin kick the (active) drag is 200.8 N for period 2 and 216.8 N for  
284 period 6. As a result, the drag force of a moving swimmer is about 12 times higher than for  
285 the swimmer in gliding phase. In addition, the results indicate a slight increase in propulsion  
286 of 8% during the four periods which means that maximum performance is only reached after  
287 a fey kick cycles. Average lift force and average net thrust/drag remain close to zero. Fig. 12  
288 shows the time-varying traces of the propulsion and drag forces.

289

290

291 INSERT Table I HERE !

### 292 **Vortex structures**

293 Various flow structures were identified during the successive dolphin-kick cycles as  
294 illustrated by the isosurfaces in Figs. 7 and 8 which show a comparison of the results for kick

295 cycle #2 and kick cycle #6. At cycle #2 there exist vortex structures (Fig. 7a) in the  
296 swimmer's wake which are the remainder of the transition from the gliding phase (after push  
297 off from the wall) to the first kick cycle. These vortices resemble horse-shoe type vortices. In  
298 addition, one can recognize two ring-type vortices which were generated in the first cycle by  
299 the upstroke (upper ring) and downstroke (lower ring) of the feet (Fig. 7a). Thus each stroke  
300 generates a separate ring-type vortex which is shed into the wake of the swimmer.

301

302       INSERT Figure 7 HERE !

303

304 The dynamics of the vortex formation and shedding process is shown in Fig 8 and fig 9 by  
305 means of a series of flow states in the kick cycle. Vortex structures and their three-  
306 dimensional shape are indicated by isosurfaces of  $Q_{\text{mod}}$  (Fig. 8). Additional information is  
307 given by a cut through the isosurfaces in the sagittal plane of the swimmer shown in Fig. 9  
308 which illustrates the vortex positions along the body more in detail. First we focus on the  
309 vortices generated along the main body. Close to the shoulder, a larger vortex structures is  
310 seen dorsally (A). When the shoulder blades move up while the arms push down (B), this  
311 vortex structure is transported along the upper body surface to the hip (C). **Due to the flexion**  
312 **of the knee joint another vortex structure is generated dorsal of the knee (B).** While the hip  
313 moves up (C-D), both vortex structure merge together and extended into a horse-shoe vortex,  
314 gaining strength through the lowering of the legs (D). On the ventral side, a larger vortex  
315 structure is formed near the shoulder and breast at state B,C. It moves further downstream  
316 (D), grows in size and strength and finally forms a horse-shoe type vortex below the legs (A).  
317 Both body vortices the dorsal and the ventral one interact with the pedal region in such a way,  
318 that they induce a strong fluid motion relative to the feeds at the state where the down- and  
319 upstroke start. At the end of upstroke and start of the downstroke in C, the shear layer is  
320 being shed into the wake and rolls up in form of a vortex ring (start vortex) that is left behind

321 the feed on the upper side. On the other hand, at the end of downstroke and start of upstroke  
322 in A, another vortex ring is shed on the lower side. Due to the induced relative motion by the  
323 presence of the body vortices near the feed the relative velocity between body and fluid is  
324 increased at the up- and downstroke. This generates higher thrust since the generated  
325 momentum depends only on the relative velocity.

326

327

328       INSERT Figure 8 HERE !

329       INSERT Figure 9 HERE !

330

331 In the wake of the swimmer, vortex interaction leads to re-configuration of the flow structure  
332 in form of longitudinal vortex tubes (Fig. 7b). This process is illustrated in more detail in Fig.  
333 10. The successively shed upstroke vortex rings split and form two upper longitudinal vortex  
334 tubes (Fig. 10) while successively shed downstroke vortex rings form two lower longitudinal  
335 vortex tubes. Split-up and merging happens at the region, where the counter-rotating parts of  
336 the two successive vortex rings are next to each other. As a consequence, the vorticity cancels  
337 out to zero, the vortex lines break-up and recombine into column-like vortex tubes (Fig. 10  
338 B2-B3).

339

340

341       INSERT Figure 10 HERE !

342

### 343 **Comparison to experiments**

344       The comparison of the numerical results (Figs. 8, 9 and 10) with the experimental  
345 observations (Fig. 11) for similar time steps shows similar dynamics of vortex generation and



346 vortex transport. The capital letters represent the movement time steps assigned in Figure 5.  
347 Due to the flexion of the knee joint a vortex is generated dorsal of the knee (compare Fig. 11B  
348 and Fig. 8B). After the downstroke (Figs. 9D and 9A vs. Figs. 11A and 11A') both results  
349 show the generation and the pedal transport of a vortex dorsal of the knee (Fig. 9B vs. Figs.  
350 11B and 11D). These vortex structures are comparable in size and location.

351

352 INSERT Figure 11 HERE !

353

## 354 Discussion and implications

355 High level swimmers usually perform about 5–8 periods after the start and turn. In our  
356 simulation the start is a simple push-off that might be a simplification of the actual  
357 competitive swimming start or turn. Thus the following motion cycles have to be examined  
358 separately to investigate the progress of unsteady structures and their constructive or  
359 destructive influence on the flow.

## 360 Forces on the swimmer

361

362 If the fluid velocity and swimmer's silhouette match the used motion function, all  
363 components of the resultant force acting on the swimmer must be balanced. In this study the  
364 resultant force in the swim direction (net thrust/drag;  $F_y$ ) and perpendicular to the swim  
365 direction (lift;  $F_z$ ) differ from zero (Table I). There is about a 3% difference between the drag  
366 and propulsion, which depends on the varying reference amount caused by the time shift and  
367 calculation impreciseness. Both the average lift force and the average net thrust/drag are  
368 (slightly) different from zero, indicating that the motion cycle of the swimmer is not exactly  
369 balanced. Reasons for this difference could be the inaccuracy of the motion function used

370 (sinusoidal fit of swimmer's tracked motion). Furthermore, during undulatory motion there  
371 are intra-cyclic variations in the swimming speed. In contrast, this study used a constant flow  
372 velocity of  $v \approx 1.18$  m/s (average swimming speed). Additionally, the shape of the body  
373 (mainly the legs) varies during the kick cycle (more open or more closed). The frontal area  
374 during the kick cycle (Fig. 12a) is similar to that of the underwater undulatory backstroke  
375 motion of Cohen et al. (2012).

376 The drag and lift forces over a complete period have a similar progression for period 2  
377 and period 6 (Fig. 12b). In detail the dolphin kick in period 6 generates more propulsion in the  
378 first part of the motion cycle ( $0 \leq t \leq 0.4T$ ), between  $0.4T \leq t \leq 0.8T$  the propulsion of period  
379 2 becomes more effective but decreases after this short phase below the level of period 6. The  
380 mean drag and mean propulsion of period 6 is about 8% higher than in period 2 (Table I).  
381 This means that vortex re-capturing is only achieved after several kick cycles. As a  
382 consequence, fine-tuning of push-off velocity, body kinematics and vortex preformation is  
383 necessary to obtain a constructive body-vortex interaction. In contrast a mismatch between  
384 the swimmer's motion and swimming speed may lead to destructive interferences.

385

386 INSERT Figure 12 HERE !

387

### 388 **Vortex structures**

389 Our investigations discussed herein differ from former studies that we have run the  
390 simulations for 6 successive kick cycles with an additional initial gliding phase. Other studies  
391 were focussing only on a single cycle without any starting phase ( Loebbecke et al. 2009b,  
392 Cohen et al. 2012). Therefore, we are able to investigate the transient from the gliding to the  
393 kicking phase and possible cycle-to-cycle variations. As the results have shown there is an  
394 increase of 8% of propulsion when comparing cycle #2 and cycle #6. The reason therefore is

395 assumed to be related to the mechanism of vortex re-capturing as discussed in the following.  
396 The basic idea of vortex re-capturing in locomotion is to use the kinetic energy of **vortices**  
397 **generated** near the body to enhance propulsion (Hochstein & Blickhan, 2011). As documented  
398 herein, vortex re-capturing occurs at the swimming cycle when the body vortex is transported  
399 caudally along the body's surface (circle in Fig. 9) to a position where the legs in the next  
400 kick within the cycle would cross the vortex (B). Due to the presence of this body vortex in  
401 the region near the feet, there is an induced fluid motion which – in case of constructive  
402 interaction - is counter to the stroke motion of the feet. In consequence, there is a higher  
403 relative velocity between feet and fluid during the stroke. This causes an increase of  
404 momentum added to the fluid.

405 It is important to note that the formation of the body vortices needs a certain number  
406 of cycles to be established in full strength. As shown in Fig. xxx. after push-off from the  
407 wall, flow at the cycle #2 is still influenced largely by the presence of vortices generated in  
408 the starting phase and the body vortices are not yet fully developed. Thus there is only limited  
409 use of any vortex-recapturing in the initial phase. In contrast, the illustrated vortex dynamics  
410 in the later cycles clearly revealed the presence of vortex re-capturing. We therefore conclude  
411 that the increase of propulsion about 8% from cycle #2 to cycle #6 is due to vortex re-  
412 capturing in the later kick cycles.

413

414

#### 415 **Comparison to experiments**

416 we validate the results of the numerical simulation of the 3D flow field with the  
417 experimental results of the same swimmer with the same motion (Hochstein & Blickhan,  
418 2011; Hochstein et al., 2012). In fact this comparison is limited by the constraint that the  
419 experimental method of Particle Image Velocimetry only provides a 2D flow field in a single

420 plane, the sagittal midplane. The good qualitative agreement between the results from  
421 experiment and numerical simulations in the sagittal plane supports the herein deduced  
422 discussions about the three-dimensional nature of the vortex structure and the resulting forces.

## 423 **Conclusion**

424 This paper shows a complete approach from the scanning of a real swimmer with a  
425 body scanner and reconstructing the surface data in order to implement the motion functions  
426 and conduct open source CFD simulation with the dynamic model. This offers great  
427 advantages when using steady moving models with implemented motion functions instead of  
428 fitting a model position to some given image frames. Parametric studies are easier to  
429 implement through varying the parameters, such as joint angle, phase or frequency. This  
430 methodology allows greater influence on running calculations and the implementation of new  
431 **numeric solvers**. The discovery of vortex merging in the swimmer's wake visualised by a  
432 modified Q-criterion and the reusing (re-capturing) of vortices shows the **great** potential for  
433 further studies on this topic, which will lead to a better understanding of the entire process of  
434 human undulatory swimming. The study shows that the propulsion through underwater  
435 undulatory swimming increases with a longer diving phase. Another important aspect is the  
436 calculation of **the** active and passive drag. It is well known that the main propulsion is realised  
437 by the legs and feet, but the questions of what percentage of overall forces are generated by  
438 undulatory body motion and what detailed effect results from active drag have still not been  
439 **determined**.

## 440 **References**

441 Alves, F., Lopes, P., Veloso, A., & Martins-Silva, A. (2006). Influence of body position on  
442 dolphin kick kinematics. In [editors here] **Proceedings of the XXIV International**  
443 **Society of Sports Biomechanics Symposium (page number)**. Salzburg, Austria.

444 Bixler, B., & Riewald, S. (2002). Analysis of a swimmer's hand and arm in steady flow  
445 conditions using computational fluid dynamics. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 35(5), 713-  
446 717.

447 Bixler, B., Pease, D., & Fairhurst, F. (2007). The accuracy of computational fluid dynamics  
448 analysis of the passive drag of a male swimmer. *Sports Biomechanics*, 6, 81-98.

449 Cohen, R. C., Cleary, P. W., & Mason, B. R. (2012). Simulations of dolphin kick swimming  
450 using smoothed particle hydrodynamics. *Human Movement Science*, 31, 604-609.

451 Ferziger, J. H., & Peric, M. (2002). *Computational methods for fluid dynamics*. Berlin  
452 Heidelberg / New York: Springer-Verlag.

453 Hanke, W., Brücker, C., & Bleckmann, H. (2000). The ageing of the low frequency water  
454 disturbances caused by swimming goldfish and its possible relevance to prey  
455 detection. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 20, 1193-1200.

456 Hochstein, S., & Blickhan, R. (2011). Vortex re-capturing and kinematics in human  
457 underwater undulatory swimming. *Human Movement Science*, 30(5), 998-1007.

458 Hochstein, S., Pacholak, S., Brücker, C., & Blickhan, R. (2012). Experimental and numerical  
459 investigation of the unsteady flow around a human underwater undulating swimmer.  
460 In C. Tropea & H. Bleckmann (Eds.), *Nature-inspired fluid mechanics* (pp.263-278).  
461 Berlin Heidelberg / New York: Springer-Verlag.

462 Hollander, A.P., de Groot, G, van Ingen Schenau, G.J., Toussaint, H.M., de Best, H., Peeters,  
463 W., Meulemans, A. and Schreurs, A.W. (1986) Measurement of active drag during  
464 crawl arm stroke swimming. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 4(1), 21-30.

465 Loebbecke, von A., Mittal, R., Fish, F., & Mark, R. (2009a). A comparison of the kinematics  
466 of the dolphin kick in humans and cetaceans. *Human Movement Science*, 28, 99-112.

467 Loebbecke, von A., Mittal, R., Fish, F., & Mark, R. (2009b). Propulsive efficiency of the  
468 underwater dolphin kick in humans. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering*, 131(5),  
469 054504-1-054504-3.

- 470 Lyttle, A., & Keys, M. (2006). The application of computational fluid dynamics for technique  
471 prescription in underwater kicking. Portuguese Journal of Sport Sciences, 6, 233-236.
- 472 Pacholak, S., Rudert, A., & Brücker, C. (2011a). Numerical study of human dolphin  
473 swimming. In G. Brenn, G. Holzapfel, M. Schanz & O. Steinbach (Eds.) Proceedings  
474 of the Annual Meeting of the 82nd International Association of Applied Mathematics  
475 and Mechanics, Graz, Austria (pp.105-106).
- 476 Pacholak, S., Rudert, A., & Brücker, C. (2011b). Numerische Untersuchung instationärer  
477 Effekte beim menschlichen Schwimmen [Numerical investigation of unsteady  
478 phenomena in human swimming]. In I. Fichtner (Ed.) IAT Frühjahrschule  
479 Informations- und Kommunikationstechnologien in der angewandten  
480 Trainingswissenschaft (pp.102-111). Leipzig, Germany.
- 481 Przybilla, A., Kunze, S., Rudert, A., Bleckmann, H., & Brücker, C. (2010). Entraining in  
482 trout: A behavioural and hydrodynamic analysis. Journal of Experimental Biology,  
483 213, 2976-2986.
- 484 Schade, H., & Kunz, E. (1989). Strömungslehre [Fluid dynamics]. Berlin / New York: Walter  
485 de Gryter.
- 486 Toussaint, H.M. (2000). An alternative fluid dynamic explanation for propulsion in front  
487 crawl swimming. In R. Sanders and Y. Hong (Eds.) Applied program: Application of  
488 biomechanical study in swimming (pp.96-103). Hong Kong: The Chinese University  
489 of Hong Kong.
- 490 Versteeg, H. K., & Malalasekera, W. (2007). An introduction to computational fluid  
491 dynamics. Pearson Education Limited.
- 492 Wang, J., & Hihara, E. (2004). Human body surface area: A theoretical approach. European  
493 Journal of Applied Physiology, 91(4), 425-428.

494 **List of figures**

495 Fig. 1: Steps in model construction: the human model (a), the entire data scan of the 3D body  
496 scanner (b), and the completed surface model of the human swimmer at three different  
497 instants within the dolphin kick cycle movement steps (c).

498 Fig. 2: Mathematical model of human swimmer at an optional point in time  $t$ , the pivot point  
499  $\mathbf{P}_0$  and the surface data  $\mathbf{p}_0$  in rest position are rotated around the x-axis by angle  $\alpha$   
500 to gain the time-dependent positions of  $\mathbf{P}_t$  and  $\mathbf{p}_t$ .

501 Fig. 3: Slice through calculation mesh with finer core around the swimmer's surface from side  
502 view (a), from the front (b), and an enlarged subsection showing layers near the  
503 surface (c).

504 Fig. 4: Boundary conditions of calculation mesh: fluid intake (inlet), fluid cleanout (outlet)  
505 and remaining boundaries (boundary), and two additional planes  $\mathbf{A}_p$  for propulsion  
506 calculation.

507 Fig. 5: Single motion cycle of human dolphin swimming showing the significant time steps  
508 (A: gliding position at the beginning and the end ( $t=0=T$ ), B: maximum upper  
509 transversal excursion of feet ( $t=0.25T$ ), C: maximum downstroke velocity of feet  
510 ( $t=0.5T$ ), D: maximum lower transversal excursion of feet ( $t=0.75T$ ). In the following  
511 these indicating time steps are symbolised by their capital letter (A-D).

512 Fig. 6: Comparison of standard and modified  $Q$ -Criterion: Vortex evolution and interaction  
513 cannot be visualised with a finite threshold value of iso-surfaces of  $Q$  (a). Modifying  
514  $Q$  with the magnitude of slip-velocity leads to a chronological characteristics  $Q_{\text{mod}}$  of  
515 vortex evolution (b).

516 Fig. 7: Generation of upper and lower ring-vortex structures (visualised by the modified  $Q$ -  
517 Criterion) behind the swimmer (a) at the beginning of period 2 (initial structures after  
518 push off from the wall and one kick cycle) and (b) at the beginning of period 6 (vortex

519 rings merge into four longitudinal vortices). (c) Slices through swimmer's wake at  
520 three different positions. The black arrows stand for the flow velocity.

521 Fig. 8: Comparison of unsteady structures in period 2 (left column) and period 6 (right  
522 column) visualised with modified  $Q$ -Criterion. Main differences in intensity are  
523 located in the region of the hip and in the vortex transport in this area. Capital letters  
524 A-D represent the points in time defined in Figure 5.

525 Fig. 9: Comparison of unsteady structures in period 2 (left column) and period 6 (right  
526 column) visualised with modified  $Q$ -Criterion in sagittal plane at the midline of the  
527 swimmer. Light contours show the extrusion of these vortex structures in the  $x$ -  
528 direction, the circle labels the same unsteady structure and its transport during a  
529 complete period. Capital letters A-D represent the points in time defined in Figure 5.

530 Fig. 10: Top view on the swimmer's wake. Process of ring-vortex merging into two  
531 longitudinal vortices (the legs form an elongated loop vortex) illustrated for the upper  
532 ring vortex release area from  $t=T$  (A1) until  $t=4.25T$  (B3). The same procedure occurs  
533 in the lower ring vortex release area with a phase shift of  $0.5T$ . Capital letters A-D  
534 represent the points in time defined in Figure 5.

535 Fig. 11: Validation of the numerical results with the experimental measured 2D flow field  
536 (black arrows stand for the direction and the magnitude of the flow) and vorticity  
537 (contour) of the swimmer's sagittal plain (adapted from Hochstein et al., 2012) of the  
538 same swimmer and same motion observed in the present study. Each image is a  
539 combination (divided by the black vertical line) of the same phase during the motion  
540 cycle. The right part of the inset is always two motion periods before the left part. The  
541 large blue (clockwise) and red circles (anticlockwise) indicate vortex structures, and  
542 the black 3D structures stand for halved 3D vortex rings.

543 Fig. 12: Time dependency during a dolphin kick cycle of (a) the frontal area including  
544 characteristic time steps (dotted lines and its corresponding front view), and (b) the



545 propulsion and drag force of period 2 and period 6 over a complete motion cycle  
546 showing a vertical flip with a shift of  $0.19T$  in time.

547

548 Appendix A

549

550 The smoothing procedure is described in detail in the following: first, to modify the  
551 surface resolution the scanned data were embedded into a minimum cube. For the three  
552 spatial directions this cube was divided recursively by two into eight sub-cubes. The more  
553 often this division was performed, the higher the final resolution of the model. It was not  
554 possible to gain a higher model resolution than the scan data without destroying surface  
555 closure (holes, bricks). Depending on the geometry of the scanned object, several created sub-  
556 cubes contained data points  $p_i$ . These data  $p_i$  were reduced into one balance point  $c_j$  for each  
557 cube:

558 
$$c_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \quad (1)$$

559 With Equation (1) it was possible to reduce the surface data to  $8^b$  points, where  $b$  was  
560 the number of recursions used for cube division. This amount was just an upper boundary  
561 because not all cubes contained data.

562 The cube with balance point  $c_j$  obtaining the most neighbours of neighbours

563 
$$N_j^2 = \left( \bigcup_{k \in N_j^1} N_k^1 \right) / \{c_j\} \quad (2)$$

564 was the starting point for the initial triangulation. Equation (2) determined a set of cubes, that  
565 were neighbours of at least one neighbour of  $c_j$  but without  $c_j$  itself. Two cubes were

566 neighbours ( $N_j^1$ ) if they **had** one side in common. There **were** at most six neighbours in 3D  
 567 space. The amount  $N_j^2$  **contained** all neighbours of the neighbours of cube  $c_j$  and had 18  
 568 items or less. The balance point of  $c_j$  and its  $N_j^2$  **were** projected onto their regression plane  
 569 and triangulated with the planar Delaunay triangulation algorithm (**Pacholak, Rudert, &**  
 570 **Brücker, 2011a**). This method **created** regular triangles with interior angles close to  $60^\circ$ . After  
 571 re-projecting the planar triangulation onto the original  $c_j$  and its  $N_j^2$ , the main triangulation  
 572 **started** to add the remaining neighbouring balance points, step by step, to the existing  
 573 triangulated surface.

574 
$$c_i \subseteq N_j^2 \cap N_i^2 \tag{3}$$

575 The points  $c_i$  **had** to fulfil the following conditions: (i)  $c_i$  **was** part of the outer border  $e_{ij}$  of  
 576 the triangulation or **was** not already used, (ii)  $c_i$  **was** in the direction  $t$  of triangulation, (iii)  
 577 the angle between the normals of the existing triangle and the triangle created **was** below  
 578  $105^\circ$ , (iv) the distance between  $c_i$  and the outer border  $e_{ij}$  **was** below  $\sqrt{2q}$ , where  $q$  **was** the  
 579 length of a sub-cube, and (v) there **was** no faces crossing between the triangle created and  
 580 already-existing triangles.

581 If more than one point **fulfilled** these conditions, the most regular triangle **was** created  
 582 (the regularity condition **meant** that all interior angles are close to  $60^\circ$ ). The edges of the  
 583 created triangle **were** added to the outer border  $e_{ij}$  of the triangulation or **deleted** if they were  
 584 already part of it. The procedure for adding new neighbouring points **started** again and **lasted**  
 585 as long as any outer edges remained.

586 :