- 1 On the use of Li isotopes as a proxy for water-rock interaction in
- 2 fractured crystalline rocks: a case study from the Gotthard rail
- 3 base tunnel
- 4 Christoph Wanner<sup>1\*</sup>, Kurt Bucher<sup>2</sup>, Philip A. E. Pogge von Strandmann<sup>3</sup>, H. Niklaus
- 5 Waber<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Pettke<sup>1</sup>

6 <sup>1</sup>Rock Water Interaction Group, Institute of Geological Sciences, University of Bern,

- 7 Baltzerstrasse 3, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland.
- 8 <sup>2</sup>Institute of Geosciences, Mineralogy and Geochemistry, University of Freiburg, Germany.
- 9 <sup>3</sup>Institute of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University College London and Birkbeck, University

10 of London, UK.

11 *\*corresponding author (email: wanner@geo.unibe.ch, phone: +41316314023, fax:* 

12 +41316314843)

13

## 14 ABSTRACT

15 We present Li isotope measurements of groundwater samples collected during drilling of 16 the 57 km long Gotthard rail base tunnel in Switzerland, to explore the use of Li isotope 17 measurements for tracking water-rock interactions in fractured crystalline rocks at temperatures 18 of up to 43°C. The 17 groundwater samples originate from water-conducting fractures within 19 two specific crystalline rock units, which are characterized by a similar rock mineralogy, but 20 significantly different fluid composition. In particular, the aqueous Li concentrations observed in 21 samples from the two units vary from 1-4 mg/L to 0.01-0.02 mg/L. Whereas  $\delta^7$ Li values from the unit with high Li concentrations are basically constant ( $\delta^7$ Li=8.5-9.1‰), prominent variations are 22

recorded for the samples from the unit with low Li concentrations ( $\delta^7$ Li=10-41‰). This 23 24 observation demonstrates that Li isotope fractionation can be highly sensitive to aqueous Li concentrations. Moreover,  $\delta^7$ Li values from the unit with low Li concentrations correlate well 25 26 with reaction progress parameters such as pH and [Li]/[Na] ratios, suggesting that  $\delta^7$ Li values are 27 mainly controlled by the residence time of the fracture groundwater. Consequently, 1D reactive 28 transport modeling was performed to simulate mineral reactions and associated Li isotope 29 fractionation along a water-conducting fracture system using the code TOUGHREACT. 30 Modeling results confirm the residence time hypothesis and demonstrate that the absence of  $\delta^7 Li$ 31 variation at high Li concentrations can be well explained by limitation of the amount of Li that is 32 incorporated into secondary minerals. Modeling results also suggest that Li uptake by kaolinite 33 forms the key process to cause Li isotope fractionation in the investigated alkaline system 34 (pH>9), and that under slow flow conditions (<10 m/year) this process is associated with a very 35 large Li isotope fractionation factor ( $\varepsilon \approx -50$  %). Moreover, our simulations demonstrate that for simple and well-defined systems with known residence times and low Li concentrations,  $\delta^7 Li$ 36 37 values may help to quantify mineral reaction rates if more thermodynamic data about the 38 temperature-dependent incorporation of Li in secondary minerals as well as corresponding fractionation factors become available in the future. In conclusion,  $\delta^7 L$  values may be a powerful 39 40 tool to track water-rock interaction in fractured crystalline rocks at temperature higher than those 41 at the Earth's surface, although their use is restricted to low Li concentrations and well defined 42 flow systems.

43

### 44 **1. INTRODUCTION**

45 The intensity of water-rock interaction in fractured crystalline rocks forms a key parameter in various applications within the field of environmental geochemistry. Examples include 46 47 enhanced geothermal systems (EGS) where heat extraction mainly depends on accessible 48 fracture surface areas and where water-rock interaction may cause permeability and porosity to 49 decrease over time (Alt-Epping et al., 2013; Stober and Bucher, 2015), nuclear waste repositories 50 to be constructed in crystalline rock environment (e.g., Nordstrom et al., 1989; Molinero et al., 51 2008; Gimeno et al., 2014) and groundwater contamination affecting fractured crystalline 52 aquifers. The intensity of water-rock interaction in (fractured) crystalline rocks was also 53 proposed to be essential for the global carbon cycle because the interaction of meteoric water 54 with silicate minerals (i.e., chemical weathering) forms an important CO<sub>2</sub> sink (e.g., Berner et al., 55 1983; Gislason et al., 1996; Francois and Godderis, 1998).

56 Lithium is a trace element that is almost exclusively found in silicate minerals, which 57 makes it a useful tracer for tracking the interaction between water and silicate minerals such as 58 during chemical silicate weathering (Kisakürek et al., 2005; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2006; 59 Vigier et al., 2009; Millot et al., 2010b; Liu et al., 2015). In particular, tracking Li isotope fractionation is a powerful tool because the two stable Li isotopes (<sup>6</sup>Li, <sup>7</sup>Li) significantly 60 61 fractionate during transformation of primary silicate minerals into secondary minerals (Zhang et 62 al., 1998; Pistiner and Henderson, 2003; Vigier et al., 2008; Wimpenny et al., 2010). It is 63 generally agreed that Li isotope fractionation is mainly associated with secondary mineral 64 precipitation whereas Li isotopes dissolve stoichiometrically during (primary) silicate mineral 65 dissolution (Pistiner and Henderson, 2003; Huh et al., 2004). Li isotope fractionation is also 66 promising to track water-rock interaction at temperatures higher than those at the Earth's surface. 67 Vigier et al. (2008) experimentally showed that at a temperature of 250°C the Li isotope

68 enrichment factor for structural Li incorporation into smectite is -1.6‰ and thus greater than the 69 measurement uncertainty for Li isotope measurements. Moreover, Marschall et al. (2007) used a 70 temperature dependent enrichment factor based on a compilation of other studies (Chan et al., 71 1993; Wunder et al., 2006) to simulate the fate of Li isotopes in subducting slabs suggesting that 72 the Li isotope enrichment factor at 250°C is on the order of -6‰.

73 The numerous studies focusing on chemical silicate weathering at the Earth's surface 74 demonstrate a large range in  $\delta^7$ Li (2-43‰) of dissolved Li, mostly from river waters (e.g., Huh et 75 al., 1998; Kisakürek et al., 2005; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2006; Millot et al., 2010b; 76 Dellinger et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2015). Whereas the literature agrees that increasing the ratio of 77 Li uptake by secondary minerals to Li release from primary mineral dissolution drives  $\delta^7$ Li to higher values, it is still under debate if and how temporal and spatial  $\delta^7$ Li distributions can be 78 79 used as a proxy for geomorphic and/or climatic variations. In particular, the increasing seawater  $\delta^7$ Li values observed over the last ca. 56 Ma (Misra and Froelich, 2012) have been attributed 80 81 either to increasing tectonic activities (Misra and Froelich, 2012; Li and West, 2014; Wanner et 82 al., 2014) or to a decreasing soil production rate and thus to cooler climatic conditions (Vigier and Goddéris, 2015). In contrast to surface water samples,  $\delta^7 Li$  in water from hydro-geothermal 83 84 sites with temperatures of up to 335°C varies only in a narrow range of 0-11‰ (Chan et al., 85 1993; Chan et al., 1994; Millot and Negrel, 2007; Millot et al., 2010a; Henchiri et al., 2014; 86 Sanjuan et al., 2014; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2016). Despite this relatively narrow range it was proposed that for such systems  $\delta^7 Li$  may operate as a geothermometer to estimate the 87 corresponding reservoir temperature. Also it was proposed to use  $\delta^7$ Li from hydro-geothermal 88 89 sites as proxy for the origin of the hydrothermal fluid, and/or as proxy for the intensity of water-90 rock interaction (Millot and Négrel, 2007; Millot et al., 2010a).

91 In this study, we present Li isotope measurements of groundwater collected during the 92 construction of the 57 km long Gotthard rail base tunnel in Switzerland. Major anion and cation 93 concentrations have been reported by Seelig and Bucher (2010) and Bucher et al. (2012). Our 94 groundwater samples originate from water-conducting fractures within two specific crystalline 95 rock units and show on-site temperatures of up to 43°C. The hydrogeochemical conditions are 96 thus similar to those at future EGS sites although the temperature is significantly lower than the 97 target EGS temperature of 180°C or greater. The main objective was to explore the use of Li 98 isotope measurements to track water-rock interaction in an EGS-like system with temperatures 99 higher than those at the Earth's surface. Furthermore, an essential part of our study was to 100 simulate Li isotope fractionation occurring in a fractured crystalline aquifer using the reactive 101 transport modeling code TOUGHREACT V3 (Xu et al., 2014). In this context, TOUGHREACT 102 was updated to allow defining a maximum amount of Li that can be incorporated into secondary 103 minerals.

104

### 105 2. SITE DESCRIPTION AND SAMPLING

106 The new 57 km long Gotthard rail base tunnel in Switzerland is the longest and deepest 107 tunnel in the world. The tunnel crosses the Alps at a base level of ca. 500 m a.s.l. and its 108 construction was divided into five sections, which were excavated separately by drilling vertical 109 access shafts. Our study relates to the Amsteg section in the northern part of the tunnel (Fig 1). 110 This 11.5 km long section was constructed between 2003 and 2006 using a 400 m long tunnel-111 boring machine (TBM). The section exclusively penetrates crystalline basement rocks of the Aar 112 massif, which is a NE-SW trending complex of Variscan basement overprinted by Alpine 113 metamorphism and deformation (Abrecht, 1994; Schaltegger, 1994; Labhart, 1999). The units

114 intersected along the Amsteg section show a similar mineralogical composition with dominating 115 quartz, albite, K-feldspar and chlorite and minor amounts of biotite and muscovite as well as 116 secondary calcite and accessory pyrite (Bucher et al., 2012). The thickness of the rock column 117 above the tunnel is up to 2200 m (Fig. 1). According to Bucher et al. (2012) the chemical 118 composition of groundwater samples at tunnel level is dominated by the infiltration of meteoric 119 water at the surface and subsequent reaction with the fractured crystalline rocks during transport 120 to the tunnel level. This infiltration model agrees with steeply dipping rock units (Fig. 1) and the presence of a predominant, nearly vertical fracture system. Preliminary  $\delta^2 H$  and  $\delta^{18}O$ 121 122 measurements indicate a dominating meteoric origin of groundwater collected. More information 123 regarding the geology and hydrology of the Amsteg section is provided by Bucher and al. (2012) 124 and references therein.

125 A total of 122 groundwater samples were collected from water conducting fractures. 126 Groundwater samples were collected from natural inflows along fractures after these were cut by 127 the TBM and before they were sealed with concrete. Due to the induced pressure drop the water was flowing from the fractures with discharge rates between  $3x10^{-4}$  L/s and 6 L/s. Based on 128 129 differences in dissolved Li concentrations, 17 groundwater samples from two distinct geological 130 units within the Amsteg section, the Bristner Granite and the migmatitic unit called BuMigIII 131 (Fig. 1) were selected for the analyses of Li and Li isotopes. In addition, Li concentrations were 132 determined on rock samples of the two units that were retrieved from cored test drillings 133 performed in front of the TBM. At similar average water influx into the tunnel (Bucher et al., 134 2012), groundwater draining the Bristner Granite shows Li concentration between 1-4 mg/L and 135 much lower concentrations of 0.01-0.02 mg/L in the BuMigIII.

137 **3. METHODS** 

138

- 139 **3.1. Li concentration measurements**
- 140
- 141 *3.1.1. Solid Li*

Bulk rock Li concentrations were measured by atomic adsorption spectroscopy using a Vario 6 spectrometer from Analytic Jena at the University of Freiburg, Germany. To do so, cores retrieved from test drillings into the Bristner Granite and into BuMigIII were milled to a fine powder. For each sample 0.1 g was digested in 5 mL 65% HNO<sub>3</sub> and 1 mL 33% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. To ensure that the entire sample was digested, samples were exposed to 160°C for 6 minutes and to 215°C for 25 minutes using a MLS microwave. The analytical uncertainty was  $\pm$ 5%.

148 Selected trace element concentrations including Li of individual mineral phases and phase 149 mixtures within the Bristner Granite and BuMigIII were measured by Laser ablation ICP-MS at 150 the University of Bern, Switzerland, on polished thin sections (50 µm). The system at the 151 University of Bern consists of a Geolas Pro 193 nm ArF Excimer laser (Lambda Physik, 152 Germany) coupled with an ELAN DRCe quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS; Perkin Elmer, 153 USA). Details on the setup and optimization strategies are given in Pettke et al. (2012). Daily 154 optimization of the analytical conditions were performed to satisfy a ThO production rate of <0.2 155 % (i.e., Th/ThO intensity ratio < 0.002) and to achieve robust plasma conditions monitored by a 156 Th/U sensitivity ratio of 1 as determined on the SRM610 glass standard. External standardization 157 was performed employing SRM610 from NIST with preferred values reported in Spandler et al. 158 (2011), and bracketing standardization provided a linear drift correction. Internal standardization 159 was done by summing the major element oxides to 100 wt% or 98-97 wt% for biotite and

hydrous mineral mixtures (containing muscovite, biotite, minor chlorite and  $Fe_2O_3$ ). Data were reduced using SILLS (Guillong et al., 2008), with limits of detection calculated for each element in every analysis following the formulation detailed in Pettke et al. (2012).

163

164 *3.1.2. Dissolved Li* 

165 Li concentrations available from the previous study (Bucher et al., 2012) were determined 166 using a DX-120 ion chromatograph (IC) with a detection limit of 0.01 mg/L and an analytical 167 uncertainty of 0.005 mg/L for concentrations below 0.1 mg/L. Because groundwater samples 168 originating from BuMigIII displayed Li concentrations on the order of the detection limit (0.01-169 0.02 mg/L), Li concentration measurements were repeated for these samples using an Analytic 170 Jena ContrAA 700 BU atomic adsorption spectrometer in the graphite furnace mode (GFAAS) at 171 the University of Bern. Standardization was performed using the Merck 4 Certipur standard and 172 tested with the Sigma 6 and Merck 4 Li single element standards. Within the standardization 173 range of 1.25–5  $\mu$ g/L the Li recovery was >90% yielding an analytical uncertainty of  $\pm 10\%$ . 174 Reported Li concentrations are average values of triplicate analyses.

175

### 176 **3.2. Li isotope measurements**

Lithium isotope measurements of groundwater samples were performed as detailed in
Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson (2015) and Pogge von Strandmann et al. (2011). Briefly,
this entailed running approximately 20 ng of Li through a two-step cation exchange column
method, containing AG50W X-12 resin, and using dilute HCl as an eluent.

181 Samples were then analysed on a Nu Instruments HR MC-ICP-MS at Oxford University,
182 by sample-standard bracketing with the standard L-SVEC. Individual analyses consisted of three

183 separate repeats of 10 ratios (10 s integration time per ratio), giving a total integration time of 184 300 s/sample during each analytical session. At an uptake rate of 75 µl/min, the sensitivity for a 185 20 ng/ml solution is ~18 pA of <sup>7</sup>Li using 10<sup>11</sup>  $\Omega$  resistors. Background instrumental Li intensity, 186 typically ~0.01pA, was subtracted from each measurement. Li isotope measurements are 187 reported as <sup>7</sup>Li/<sup>6</sup>Li ratio in terms of the  $\delta$ -notation relative to the Li isotope standard L-SVEC 188 ( $\delta$ <sup>7</sup>Li =0.0‰) and are given in ‰

190 
$$\delta^{7}Li = \left[ \left( \frac{{}^{7}Li}{{}^{6}Li_{sample}}}{{}^{7}Li} - 1 \right] \cdot 1000$$
(1)

191

To assess accuracy and precision, both seawater and the international USGS standard BCR-2 were analysed. Both standards analysed with these samples (seawater:  $\delta^7 \text{Li} = 31.5 \pm 0.4\%$ ; BCR-2: 2.7 ± 0.3‰) agree well with their long-term averages (seawater: 31.2 ± 0.6‰, n=46; BCR-2: 2.6 ± 0.3‰, n=17; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2011, Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson, 2015). The total procedural blank for Li isotopes is effectively undetectable (<0.005 ng Li).

197

## **3.3. Reactive transport modeling**

A series of 1D reactive transport simulations using TOUGHREACT V3 (Xu et al., 2014) was performed to simulate the interaction of infiltrating meteoric water with granitic rock and its specific effects on aqueous  $\delta^7$ Li. TOUGHREACT has been previously applied to evaluate isotopic fractionation coupled to water-rock interaction and hydrological processes in a variety of subsurface environments and laboratory experiments (Sonnenthal et al., 1998; Singleton et al., 2005; Wanner and Sonnenthal, 2013). Specifically, it has been used to simulate Li isotope fractionation in granitic as well as basaltic systems (Wanner et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015). Furthermore, the TOUGHREACT approach for simulating isotopic fractionation coupled to mineral precipitation has been recently benchmarked (Wanner et al., 2015).

208

209 3.3.1. Model formulation

210

#### 211 Mineral dissolution and precipitation

TOUGHREACT V3 (Xu et al., 2014) computes mineral dissolution and precipitation reactions (mol/s/kg<sub>H2O</sub>) as kinetic reactions based on transition state theory (TST) (Lasaga, 1984)

214 
$$r = A_r \cdot k \cdot \left[1 - \left(\frac{Q}{K}\right)^m\right]^n = A_{rfrac} \cdot k \cdot \left[1 - \left(\frac{Q}{K}\right)^m\right]^n$$
(2)

215

where  $A_r$  refers to the mineral reactive surface area (m<sup>2</sup>/kg<sub>H2O</sub>). In case of fracture flow  $A_r$  is assumed to be the same for each mineral (Xu et al., 2014) and corresponds to the reactive surface area of the simulated vertical fracture system  $A_{rfrac}$ . Q and K refer to the ion activity product and equilibrium constant of a mineral dissolution/precipitation reaction, respectively. Exponents mand n are fitting parameters that must be experimentally determined. However, for or this study they were taken to be unity. The temperature and pH dependent rate constant k is formulated as

223 
$$k = k_{25}^{n} \exp\left[\frac{-E_{a}^{n}}{R}\left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{298.15}\right)\right] + k_{25}^{ac} \exp\left[\frac{-E_{a}^{ac}}{R}\left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{298.15}\right)\right] a_{H^{+}}^{m_{ac}} + k_{25}^{ba} \exp\left[\frac{-E_{a}^{ba}}{R}\left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{298.15}\right)\right] a_{H^{+}}^{m_{ba}}$$

224 (3)

where  $k_{25}$  refers to reaction rate constants at 25°C (mol/m<sup>2</sup>/s),  $E_a$  is the activation energy (kJ/mol) and *T* and *R* are the temperature (K) and ideal gas constant, respectively. The superscripts *n*, *ac*  and *ba* denote neutral, acidic and basic conditions, respectively,  $a_{H+}$  refers to the H<sup>+</sup> activity, and  $m_{ac}$  and  $m_{ba}$  refer to the reaction order with respect to H<sup>+</sup> (i.e., pH) at acidic and basic conditions, respectively. In order to calculate effective precipitation and dissolution rates (eqs. 2,3), reaction rate constants were defined according to the compilation of Palandri and Kharaka (2004) whereas equilibrium constants were taken from the Soltherm.H06 database (Reed and Palandri, 2006). Mineral stoichiometries, thermodynamic and kinetic parameters of minerals considered for our simulations are summarized in Table 1.

234

### 235 Simulation of Li isotope fractionation

236 The fate of individual Li isotopes was simulated according to the approach recently presented by Wanner et al. (2014). To do so, <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li were defined as primary aqueous 237 238 species and were incorporated into the mineral stoichiometry of Li-bearing minerals. Similar to 239 the model of Bouchez et al. (2013) our approach does not distinguish between Li exchange-, Li 240 surface complexation or Li incorporation into a crystal. Accordingly, Li isotope fractionation is 241 solely simulated during Li incorporation of dissolved Li into precipitating minerals. Besides the 242 lack of fractionation factors distinguishing between Li incorporation, Li exchange and sorption 243 the low exchange capacity of crystalline rocks (Mazurek et al., 2003) is supportive to such 244 assumption. Incorporation of Li in secondary clay minerals is limited and occurs only at trace 245 concentrations in the ppm range (e.g., Tardy et al., 1972). The concentration differences by 246 orders of magnitudes between major and trace elements in such secondary minerals may pose 247 convergence problems due to the large differences in exponents in the ion-activity product 248 included in the rate law (eq. 2). To circumvent this problem, precipitation of Li-bearing 249 secondary minerals was simulated defining a solid solution with three different endmembers (see

Wanner et al., 2014): (i) a pure, non Li-bearing secondary mineral endmember, (ii) a pure <sup>6</sup>Li endmember and (iii) a pure <sup>7</sup>Li endmember. The pure <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li endmembers are hypothetical, but their specification solves the above mentioned numerical problems, and their log(K) values are obtained by fitting to the observed aqueous Li concentration and the amounts of Li analyzed in secondary minerals. The precipitation rate  $r_{prec}$  of the solid solution of Li-bearing secondary minerals is then calculated as the sum of the individual endmember precipitation rates  $r_{2ndmin}$ (pure secondary mineral),  $r_{6Li}$  and  $r_{7Li}$ :

258 
$$r_{prec} = r_{2nd\min} + r_{6_{Li}} + r_{7_{Li}}$$
 (4)

259

260 The rate of a specific endmember,  $r_{endm}$ , is calculated according to a TST-like expression 261

262 
$$r_{endm} = A \cdot k \cdot \left(1 - \frac{Q_{endm}}{K_{endm}}\right) + k \cdot A \cdot \left(x_{endm} - 1\right)$$
(5)

263

where  $x_{endm}$  refers to the mole fraction of a specific secondary mineral endmember. For the hypothetical, pure <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li endmembers  $x_{6Li}$  and  $x_{7Li}$  are calculated according to:

266

267 
$$x_{{}_{6_{Li}}} = \frac{\left(Q_{{}_{6_{Li}}}/K_{{}_{6_{Li}}}\right)}{\left(Q_{{}_{6_{Li}}}/K_{{}_{6_{Li}}}\right) + \left(Q_{{}_{7_{Li}}}/K_{{}_{7_{Li}}}\right) + \left(Q_{2nd\min}/K_{2nd\min}\right)}$$
(6)

268 
$$x_{\tau_{Li}} = \frac{\left(Q_{\tau_{Li}} / K_{\tau_{Li}}\right)}{\left(Q_{\epsilon_{Li}} / K_{\epsilon_{Li}}\right) + \left(Q_{\tau_{Li}} / K_{\tau_{Li}}\right) + \left(Q_{2nd\min} / K_{2nd\min}\right)}$$
(7)

270 Equations (6) and (7) ensure that the amount of Li that is incorporated into a secondary 271 mineral reflects the Li concentration of the aqueous solution. Accordingly, the amount of Li 272 removed by precipitation increases with increasing aqueous Li concentration. By doing so, our 273 model is in agreement with an experimental study showing that Li concentrations of synthesized 274 smectites are correlated with corresponding aqueous Li concentrations (Decarreau et al., 2012). 275 However, Deccareau et al. (2012) also showed that the total amount of Li that can be 276 incorporated is limited due to structural reasons. We therefore updated TOUGHREACT so that 277 the user now has the option to specify the maximum amount of Li that is allowed to precipitate in a given solid solution. To do so the user needs to define the maximum Li mol fraction  $x_{maxLi}$  = 278  $x_{6Li}+x_{7Li}$  that corresponds to a particular maximum Li content (e.g., in  $\mu g/g$ ) in a specific mineral 279 solid solution phase. For the pure (hypothetical) <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li endmembers the maximum mol 280 281 fraction then become

282

283 
$$x_{{}_{6}_{Li}\_max} = x_{maxLi} \frac{\left(Q_{{}_{6}_{Li}} / K_{{}_{6}_{Li}}\right)}{\left(Q_{{}_{6}_{Li}} / K_{{}_{6}_{Li}}\right) + \left(Q_{{}_{7}_{Li}} / K_{{}_{7}_{Li}}\right)}$$
(8)

284 
$$x_{\gamma_{Li}\max} = x_{\max Li} \frac{\left(Q_{\gamma_{Li}} / K_{\gamma_{Li}}\right)}{\left(Q_{\epsilon_{Li}} / K_{\epsilon_{Li}}\right) + \left(Q_{\gamma_{Li}} / K_{\gamma_{Li}}\right)}$$
(9)

285

Subsequently,  $x_{6Li\_max}$  and  $x_{7Li\_max}$  are compared with  $x_{6Li}$  and  $x_{7Li}$  as calculated by equations (6) or (7). If  $x_{6Li} > x_{6Li\_max}$  and  $x_{7Li} > x_{7Li\_max}$  the precipitation rate of the pure <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li endmembers are no longer calculated according to equation (5) and become

290 
$$r_{6_{Li}} = r_{pure_{2nd}} \cdot x_{6_{Li}} \max$$
 (10)

$$291 r_{\tau_{Li}} = r_{pure2nd} \cdot x_{\tau_{Li}\max} (11)$$

292

Using the solid solution approach described above allows defining an experimentally determined or otherwise inferred isotope fractionation factor  $\alpha$  and corresponding enrichment factor  $\varepsilon$ associated with mineral precipitation for a particular simulation run:

296

$$297 \qquad \alpha = \frac{K_{6_{Li}}}{K_{7_{Li}}} \tag{12}$$

298

299 
$$\varepsilon = (\alpha - 1) \cdot 1000 \approx \Delta^7 Li = \delta^7 Li_{2ndMin} - \delta^7 Li_{solution}$$
(13)

300

It should be noted that our approach for simulating Li isotope fractionation is different from other approaches (Lemarchand et al., 2010; Bouchez et al., 2013; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2014). Instead of exclusively focusing on the Li system, we use a fully-coupled reactive transport modeling code (see Steefel et al., 2015 for a summary of available codes). In doing so, our approach may simulate the chemical evolution of an entire porous media and parameters other than aqueous Li concentrations and  $\delta^7$ Li values can be used to constrain the model as well.

307

#### **308 4. RESULTS**

309

## 310 4.1. Solid [Li] measurements

Li concentration data of primary minerals and mineral mixtures from the Bristner Graniteand the migmatitic unit BuMigIII are summarized in Table 2. The full chemical analysis of each

313 laser ablation ICP-MS measurement is listed in the electronic appendix. Bulk rock Li 314 concentrations average at 6 for the Bristner Granite and 9  $\mu$ g/g for BuMigIII. In both units, 315 elevated average Li concentrations of 463 (Bristner Granite) and 217  $\mu$ g/g (BuMigIII) were 316 observed in sheet silicates such as chloritized biotite and muscovite. Further differences between 317 the two units include higher Li concentrations in K-feldspar (45  $\mu$ g/g) of the BuMigIII compared 318 to those in K-feldspar (2.4  $\mu$ g/g) of the Bristner Granite (Table 2).

319

## 320 **4.2.** Aqueous Li concentrations and $\delta^7$ Li values

Concentrations and  $\delta^7$ Li values of aqueous Li of 17 groundwater samples originating from 321 322 the Bristner Granite and BuMigIII are presented in Table 3 in conjunction with field parameters 323 and major cations and anions concentrations reported in Bucher et al. (2012). Computed 324 saturation indices of selected primary and secondary minerals are listed in Table 4. Groundwater 325 temperature correlates with the thickness of the rock column above the tunnel. In the Bristner 326 Granite groundwater discharges at temperatures from 21.5 to 25.1°C at a corresponding rock 327 overburden of <500 m. In the BuMigIII groundwater temperature is remarkably constant (42.6-328 43.7°C) at a corresponding overburden of ca. 2000 m, except for sample A103 (36.7°C), which 329 also displays differences in the chemical composition (e.g., Ca, Mg, pH). Groundwater sample 330 A126 displays strongly elevated SO<sub>4</sub> and Ca concentrations compared to the majority of 331 BuMigIII groundwater (Table 3). In accordance with the anhydrite saturation index close to zero 332 (Table 4) this was attributed to anhydrite dissolution during flow along anhydrite-bearing 333 fractures (Bucher et al., 2012). Aqueous Li concentrations vary between 1.6 and 3.2 mg/L in 334 groundwater from the Bristner Granite compared to 0.010 and 0.017 mg/L in groundwater from 335 the BuMigIII. The orders of magnitude difference in Li concentration is striking given the 336 similarity of the bulk rock Li concentrations, which differ only by a factor of about 1.5 (Table 2). Similar to aqueous Li concentrations,  $\delta^7$ Li values of groundwater from the two units show 337 338 a distinct behavior. The high-Li groundwater of the Bristner Granite show very little variation in their  $\delta^7$ Li values (8.5-9.1‰). In contrast, the low-Li groundwater from BuMigIII show a very 339 strong variation (10-41%). These latter groundwaters display a positive correlation between  $\delta^7 Li$ 340 values and pH but a negative correlation between  $\delta^7$ Li and aqueous Li concentrations and the 341 342 molar Li/Na ratio, except for the exceptional groundwater samples A103 and A126 as discussed above (Fig. 2a-c). Moreover,  $\delta^7$ Li and Li seem to follow a Rayleigh-type fractionation model 343 with  $\alpha = 0.95$  ( $\varepsilon = -50\%$ ) (Fig. 2d), suggesting a single process being responsible for the 344 observed variation in  $\delta^7$ Li values. 345

346

### 347 **5. DISCUSSION**

348

### 349 **5.1. Source of aqueous Li**

Seelig and Bucher (2010) demonstrated that Cl is a passive tracer in groundwater of the Amsteg section of the Gotthard rail base tunnel and suggested that Cl is derived from porewaters trapped in the matrix of the crystalline rocks. Further, they proposed that the trapped porewater represents the remnants of a hydrothermal fluid that evolved during alpine metamorphism. Interestingly, in the Bristner Granite groundwater Li concentrations correlate well with Cl concentrations ( $r^2$ =0.95, Fig. 3) inferring that Li is likely derived from the same porewater source as Cl. This observation is important because it demonstrates that meteoric water infiltrating into a crystalline basement may pick up Li from sources other than from interaction with rockforming minerals.

359 In contrast to the Bristner Granite groundwater, Cl and Li concentrations in BuMigIII 360 groundwater are orders of magnitude lower and no clear correlation is observed (Table 3). The 361 molar Cl/Li ratio, however, is of the same order of magnitude as recorded for the Bristner 362 Granite water samples (10-30). This observation suggests that a porewater of a similar 363 composition may form a major Li source for BuMigIII groundwater as well. Alternative Li 364 sources are the dissolution of Li-bearing minerals or mixing of the infiltrating meteoric water 365 with an external groundwater (i.e, originating from another lithology) characterized by an 366 elevated Li concentration and a similar Li/Cl ratio as observed in the Bristner Granite 367 groundwater.

368

### 369 5.2. Secondary minerals

370 Lithium uptake by precipitation of Fe- and Al-bearing secondary mineral or adsorption of 371 Li to these minerals have been proposed to form the major process for Li isotope fractionation 372 observed in the shallow subsurface (Zhang et al., 1998; Pistiner and Henderson, 2003; Vigier et 373 al., 2008; Wimpenny et al., 2010). Based on computed saturation indices (Table 4), kaolinite is 374 the only secondary Al-bearing secondary phase that is potentially precipitating from the 375 BuMigIII groundwater under the given condition (SI>0), whereas the Bristner Granite 376 groundwater is supersaturated with respect to gibbsite and illite as well. To assess the 377 precipitation of kaolinite from BuMigIII groundwater it has to be acknowledged that the 378 equilibrium constant of kaolinite is associated with a large uncertainty (Trotignon et al., 1999), 379 which is reflected by its changing saturation state in BuMigIII groundwater when using different 380 thermodynamic databases (Table 4). The range of log(K) values for kaolinite tabulated in 381 different thermodynamic databases is at least partly related to differences in solubility 382 experiments (e.g. acid vs alkaline conditions, temperature), but also to analytical difficulties (e.g. 383 Al and Si colloids). Figure 4 shows the relation of the kaolinite equilibrium constant tabulated in 384 the Soltherm.H06 (Reed and Palandri, 2006) and EQ3/6 database (Wolery, 1992). Temperature 385 dependent log(K) tabulated in the Soltherm.H06 database reproduce well log(K) values derived 386 from kaolinite solubility experiments performed at temperatures of 60, 90 and 110°C and at 387 similar pH values as observed in our system (up to pH 9) (Devidal et al., 1996). In contrast, 388 temperature dependent log(K) values derived from the EQ3/6 database (Wolery, 1992) 389 overestimate the Devidal et al. (1996) values. However, they are within the range of kaolinite 390 solubilities determined for temperatures between 22-25°C (Polzer and Hem, 1965; Kittrick, 391 1966; Reesman and Keller, 1968; May et al., 1986; Yang and Steefel, 2008) and the solubility 392 experiment performed by Nagy et al., (1991) at 80°C and pH 3. Overall, computed saturation 393 indices (Table 4) and thermodynamic considerations (Fig. 4) supports the use of the 394 Soltherm.H06 database and suggests that kaolinite is the dominating secondary Al phase 395 precipitating from the BuMigIII groundwater. The restricted access to water-conducting 396 fractures, however, did not allow confirmation of the presence of kaolinite although it has been 397 observed as low-T alteration product in various crystalline rock environments (e.g., Grimaud et 398 al., 1990; Michard et al., 1996; Gimeno et al., 2014).

399 Our groundwater samples display dissolved oxygen concentrations below detection limit 400 demonstrating that reducing conditions are established. Under reducing conditions the formation 401 of Fe(III)-oxides such as goethite, ferrihydrite, lepidocrocite, and hematite is absent 402 (Schwertmann, 1988). Accordingly, their formation and corresponding sorption reactions are

403 restricted to the domain very close to the surface where the dissolved oxygen concentration in 404 infiltrating meteoric water is in equilibrium with atmospheric oxygen. The limited formation of 405 Fe-bearing secondary minerals infers that Li isotope fractionation is primarily caused by Li 406 uptake by kaolinite (BuMigIII) or other Al-bearing secondary minerals (Bristner Granite).

407

## 408 **5.3. Diffusive Li isotope fractionation and anthropogenic Li contamination**

409 If Li influx into the fracture groundwater were occurring entirely by diffusion from a porewater Li source, diffusive fractionation is a likely process to cause a  $\delta^7$ Li variation in 410 411 addition to Li uptake by secondary minerals (Richter et al., 2006; Bourg and Sposito, 2007). Owing to the difference in mass the diffusion coefficient of <sup>6</sup>Li is larger than that for <sup>7</sup>Li leading 412 to enrichment in <sup>6</sup>Li (low  $\delta^7$ Li) in the groundwater. Accordingly, diffusive Li isotope 413 fractionation would partially mask the  $\delta^7$ Li increase inherited from Li isotope fractionation 414 415 associated with secondary mineral precipitation. Indeed it would yield an even higher intrinsic 416 fractionation factor than the -50% obtained from the Rayleigh-type model (Fig. 2d).

In contrast to diffusive Li isotope fractionation, anthropogenic contamination of Li can be excluded based on the infiltration area in remote high-alpine area and the high hydraulic pressure of about 100 bar at the discharge locations (Masset and Loew, 2013). Also, if any artifacts occurred during sampling major species concentrations were affected as well and their concentrations could not be approximated by solely simulating water-rock interaction between meteoric water and pristine granite such as shown below.

423

# 424 5.4. Hypothesis for observed $\delta^7$ Li variation

The most striking observation from our measurements is that significant  $\delta^7$ Li variation only occurs at low Li concentrations suggesting that the variation is highly sensitive to the aqueous Li concentration. The second key observation is the negative correlation between  $\delta^7$ Li and the Li concentration as well as the positive correlation between  $\delta^7$ Li and pH seen in the BuMigIII groundwater (Fig. 2a,b). Our approach is to first explore the process(es) controlling the Li system in a single hydrogeochemical system such as the BuMigIII groundwater, before comparing the different settings (BuMigIII vs. Bristner Granite).

432 The BuMigIII groundwaters likely have different residence times within the rock column 433 above the tunnel due to a variation in fracture permeability and connectivity. Variable residence 434 times are consistent with the 2 orders of magnitude variation in flow rates (Table 3) and the 435 observed trends in chemical and Li-isotope composition (Fig. 2a,c). In granitic systems, the pH 436 increases with reaction progress (Nordstrom et al., 1989; Grimaud et al., 1990; Bucher et al., 437 2012; Gimeno et al., 2014) and the Li/Na ratio may form an excellent proxy for the degree of 438 water-rock interaction (e.g., residence time, reaction progress) that inversely correlates with  $\delta^7 Li$ 439 (Liu et al., 2015). The Li/Na ratio is indicative because Na concentrations are several orders of 440 magnitude greater than Li concentrations, and thus not as strongly affected by minor uptake by 441 secondary mineral precipitation (e.g., kaolinite). In case of a discrete Li influx such as from an 442 external groundwater source (Fig. 5b) Na release from primary minerals is ongoing, which also 443 yields a decrease of the Li/Na ratio with flow distance and reaction progress.

Higher residence time of groundwater results in increased water-rock interaction and thus increased precipitation of Li-bearing kaolinite, which drives  $\delta^7$ Li to higher values (Wanner et al., 2014). Observing a negative correlation between  $\delta^7$ Li and the Li concentration must thus be inherited form the spatial release rate of the actual Li source along the infiltration path in relation

to the corresponding Li uptake rate by secondary minerals. The amount of data to quantitatively assess the role of the potential Li sources (Li-bearing minerals, porewater, external groundwater), however, is limited. Therefore and in terms of a sensitivity analyses a series of reactive transport model simulations were performed by varying the Li source as well as the parameters controlling the Li system in order to unravel how these parameters affect aqueous  $\delta^7$ Li values and Li concentrations in the BuMigIII groundwater.

454

### 455 6. REACTIVE TRANSPORT MODELING

456

### 457 **6.1. Model setup**

458 Reactive transport model simulations were performed for a simplified vertical, fully-459 saturated 2000 m long 1D flow path consisting of 1000 grid blocks of 2 m length (Fig. 5). A 460 fixed linear temperature gradient of 10 to 43°C was specified from the upstream to the 461 downstream model boundary. With these specifications, the model represents the geometry 462 where the tunnel intersects with BuMigIII. In contrast to other studies (DePaolo, 2006; Waber et 463 al., 2012; Brown et al., 2013), our model does not explicitly consider diffusion between water 464 flowing along fractures and porewater residing in the intact rock matrix. In doing so, we 465 exclusively simulate reactive transport along one particular fracture system. The porosity and 466 permeability relevant for fluid flow in fractured crystalline rocks depends on the fracture spacing 467 and aperture (Caine and Tomusiak, 2003; MacQuarrie and Mayer, 2005; Sonnenthal et al., 468 2005). None of these parameters are explicitly known for the present system so that individual 469 simulations were run at a constant flow rate using a fixed fracture porosity of 1% (Fig. 5). To 470 account for the unknown residence time within the rock column above the tunnel simulations

471 were run for flow velocities ranging from 0.2 to 20 m/year, which approximately reflect the 472 variation of flow rates observed for the BuMigIII samples (0.01-2.5 L/s). The specified velocity 473 range also covers the range in hydraulic conductivity (3-7 x  $10^{-8}$  m/s) reported for fracture zones 474 along the nearby Sedrun section of the tunnel (Masset and Loew, 2013).

475

## 476 **6.2. Initial and boundary conditions**

Water in equilibrium with atmospheric  $CO_2$  and  $O_2$  was specified as initial and upper boundary condition to simulate the infiltration of meteoric water into the BuMigIII rock column above the tunnel (Table 5). The solid part of the model domain is given by the granitic composition of the BuMigIII rock (Table 5). Plagioclase has only a minor anorthite component and pure albite was used in the calculations. In contrast, biotite and chlorite were defined as solid solutions between the corresponding Fe (annite and chamosite) and Mg endmembers (phlogopite and clinochlore) according to the analyzed Mg/Fe ratios (el. appendix).

484

### 485 *6.2.1. Li source and secondary minerals*

486 Li was introduced by defining two different Li sources: (i) Li-bearing biotite with a Li 487 concentration of 217 µg/g as measured in chloritized biotite of the BuMigIII rock (Table 2) and 488 (ii) a hypothetical  $Li_{0.04}Na_{0.96}Cl$  solid phase that acts either as a proxy for matrix porewater or an 489 external, ad-mixed groundwater Li source. The Cl/Li ratio of 25 defined for this hypothetical 490 phase corresponds to the ratio obtained from the linear correlation between Cl and Li observed 491 for Bristner Granite groundwater (Fig. 3), while charge balance was maintained by including Na. 492 An initial  $\delta^7$ Li value of 1.7‰ was assigned to Li-bearing biotite, corresponding to the average 493  $\delta^7$ Li value determined for a large series of different granites (Teng et al., 2009). In contrast, the

494 average Bristner Granite groundwater  $\delta^7$ Li value of 8.7‰ was assigned to the hypothetical 495 Li<sub>0.04</sub>Na<sub>0.96</sub>Cl solid phase (Table 3) based on our concept that Li in the BuMigIII is derived from 496 a similar source as in the Bristner Granite.

497 While all simulations considered the Li-bearing biotite source, two scenarios were run for 498 the Li<sub>0.04</sub>Na<sub>0.96</sub>Cl source. The first scenario considered a constant zero order dissolution rate of  $2x10^{-15}$  mol/kg<sub>H2O</sub>/s occurring along the entire model domain and corresponding to a Li influx 499 500 from a porewater source (continuous Li influx scenario, Fig. 5a). This yields a Li concentration 501 of 0.017 mg/L at the tunnel level what corresponds to the maximum concentration observed in 502 BuMigIII groundwater samples (Fig. 2). The second set considered a single point Li influx after 503 an arbitrary flow distance of 400 m and simulates a situation where Li in the BuMigIII 504 groundwater is ad-mixed by an external fracture groundwater to the infiltrating meteoric water 505 (mixing scenario, Fig. 5b). To do so, the Li concentration of the simulated groundwater mixture 506 was set to 0.017 mg/L at z = -400m.

507 Kaolinite is the only secondary Al-phase precipitating in our model and Li uptake by 508 kaolinite is the only process to cause Li isotope fractionation. Based on the observation that the 509 SiO<sub>2</sub> concentrations of the 122 tunnel waters are solubility-controlled by quartz above a pH of 510 ca. 9 (Bucher et al., 2012), secondary quartz was allowed to precipitate as well. The same applies 511 for goethite.

512

## 513 *6.2.2. Sensitivity analyses*

514 Our approach for simulating Li isotope fractionation allows defining a Li isotope 515 fractionation factor as well as a maximum amount of Li that can be incorporated in secondary 516 minerals. Since both parameters are unknown simulations were run for Li isotope enrichment

factors of -25, -37.5 and -50‰ associated with Li uptake by kaolinite and for maximum Li 517 518 concentrations in kaolinite of 25, 50 and 75  $\mu g/g$  to test their impact on the model results. 519 Whereas these maximum Li concentrations cover the Li concentration range observed in natural 520 kaolinite (Tardy et al., 1972; Vigier and Goddéris, 2015), Li isotope enrichment factors of -37.5 521 and -50% are outside the range inferred so far for secondary mineral precipitation ( $\approx$  -10 to -522 30‰) (Zhang et al., 1998; Huh et al., 2001; Pistiner and Henderson, 2003; Kisakürek et al., 523 2005; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2006; Vigier et al., 2008; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 524 2010). The range in  $\varepsilon$  was expanded to higher values because the Li concentration and isotope 525 data from BuMigIII groundwater revealed an ε-value of -50‰ when applying a Rayleigh-type 526 model (Fig. 2d). A temperature effect on epsilon such as observed for hydro-geothermal system 527 (Marschall et al., 2007; Vigier et al., 2008; Verney-Carron et al., 2015; Pogge von Strandmann et 528 al., 2016) was not considered because within the temperature range of our model (10-43°C) it is 529 likely smaller than the uncertainty of ε-values reported for Li uptake by secondary minerals as 530 well as the *\varepsilon*-range considered in the sensitivity analyses. Additional sensitivity simulations 531 included a reduction of the kaolinite precipitation rate constant by 67% and 33% of the initially chosen value (base case, Table 1), a variation of the  $\delta^7$ Li value specified for the Li<sub>0.04</sub>Na<sub>0.96</sub>Cl 532 phase and a variation of the location of the input of the external groundwater Li source. An 533 534 overview of the simulated parameter combinations is given in Table 6.

- 535
- 536 6.3. Model results and discussion
- 537

538 6.3.1. General system behavior

539 The general water-rock interaction progress along the 2000 m model domain is shown for a 540 general situation where the system is reactive enough to approach chemical equilibrium within 541 the model domain (Fig. 6). The profiles shown in Figure 6 are referred to such a general reaction 542 progress because it depends on the product of two unknown parameters such as reactive fracture 543 surface area  $(A_{rfrac})$  and residence time (eq. (2)). If the system was more or less reactive (e.g., 544 slower flow velocity, higher  $A_{rfrac}$ ) the profiles except the temperature profile would be 545 horizontaly shifted towards lower or greater distances, respectively, whereas the general profile 546 shape would remain similar.

547 The relative change in mineral volume fraction demonstrates (Fig. 6c) that albite 548 dissolution and kaolinite precipitation are driving the general chemical system in addition to 549 quartz and calcite precipitation, as previously described for low-T crystalline groundwater 550 environments (Nordstrom et al., 1989; Grimaud et al., 1990; Trotignon et al., 1999; Gimeno et 551 al., 2014) and as reflected by the calculated mineral states (Table 4). In contrast, other primary 552 minerals (K-feldspar, chlorite, biotite, muscovite) show only minor volume changes whereas 553 goethite formation is restricted to the first grid block where pyrite is oxidized by oxygen 554 dissolved in the infiltrating meteoric water (Fig. 5). A prominent feature typical for the evolution 555 of crystalline groundwaters is the strong increase in pH from about 8.5 to 9.7, which at the 556 considered reaction progress occurs between 1000 to 1500 m along the model domain (Fig. 6a). 557 The increase in pH is correlated to the maximum albite dissolution and kaolinite formation (Fig. 558 6c). Across this interval, coupled albite dissolution and kaolinite precipitation is thus the main 559 reaction governing the pH increase

562

563 The actual driving force for reaction (14) is the supply of protons by the dissolution and 564 dissociation of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>

- 565
- 566

$$CO_{2(g)} + H_2O = H_2CO_3 = H^+ + HCO_3^-$$
 (15)

567

568 Prior to the strong pH increase the system is controlled by calcite, i.e., by the initial 569 dissolution and subsequent precipitation caused by the calcite solubility decrease with increasing temperature  $(Ca^{2+}_{(aq)} + HCO_{3}^{-}_{(aq)} = CaCO_{3(s)} + H^{+})$  (Fig. 6c). As the  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $HCO_{3}^{-}$ 570 571 concentrations decrease due to continuous calcite precipitation along the flow path, calcite 572 precipitation is diminished (Fig. 6b,c) and the pH is no longer controlled by calcite precipitation. 573 At this point coupled albite dissolution and kaolinite precipitation is accelerated by the pH 574 dependence of the corresponding rates (cf. eq (3), Table 1) as well as by the linear temperature 575 increase along the flow path (Fig. 6a). As the system approaches equilibrium with respect to 576 albite (Fig. 6d), further albite dissolution and subsequent kaolinite precipitation is slowed down and the pH becomes controlled by the speciation of dissolved Si ( $H_4SiO_4 = H_3SiO_4 + H^+$ ), which 577 578 becomes important above pH 9-9.5.

The maximum in coupled albite dissolution and kaolinite precipitation is reflected by the Na concentration profile showing a maximum increase along the same interval as the strong pH increase (Fig. 6b). In contrast, dissolved Si is controlled by the solubility of quartz, which strongly increases above pH 9 when  $H_3SiO_4^-$  becomes the dominant Si species. Owing to the linear temperature increase (Fig. 6a) Na<sup>+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup> and Si concentrations are still increasing at the downstream model boundary (Fig. 6b) because the solubility of albite and quartz are increasing with temperature (Table 1). In turn, the pH slightly drops towards the model boundary becauseof the temperature-dependence of the equilibrium pH.

587

588 Behavior of Li

589 The modeled Li concentration of  $\sim 0.6 \, \mu g/L$  inherited from biotite dissolution at the tunnel 590 level is very low (not shown) and demonstrates that only minor amounts of aqueous Li can be 591 derived from interaction between the infiltrating meteoric water and the BuMigIII rocks. Such a 592 minor Li contribution is consistent with a molar Mg/Li ratio in the BuMigIII water samples (<14, 593 Table 3) that is much lower than that in chloritized biotite forming the primary Li host of the 594 BuMigIII rock (ca. 65-85, Table 2). An additional Li input such as from the matrix porewater, an 595 external groundwater or an unknown mineral source is thus required to explain the observed Li 596 concentrations (Table 3).

For both Li influx scenarios modeled with the hypothetical Li<sub>0.04</sub>Na <sub>0.96</sub>Cl phase (Fig. 5), the model yields a strong  $\delta^7$ Li increase between 1000-1500 m (Fig. 7a,b). At the considered reaction progress this interval corresponds to the maximum in kaolinite precipitation (Fig. 6c). This suggests that Li isotope fractionation is coupled to kaolinite precipitation and that  $\delta^7$ Li values are highly sensitive to the amount of kaolinite precipitation. Consequently, when kaolinite precipitation slows down towards the downstream model boundary, the  $\delta^7$ Li increase slows down as well (Fig. 7b) or even starts to decrease (Fig. 7a) depending on the simulated scenario.

For the continuous Li influx scenario (Fig. 5a) the Li concentration increases over most part of the domain because the Li influx outpaces the Li uptake by secondary kaolinite precipitation except for a short interval where kaolinite precipitation is maximal (Fig. 7a). Consequently for this scenario  $\delta^7$ Li is positively correlated to the Li concentration with the exception of the downstream model boundary where  $\delta^7$ Li is decreasing (Fig. 7a,c) because the Li load in the fracture fluid becomes dominated by the ongoing Li influx with a  $\delta^7$ Li of 8.7‰. For the mixing scenario (Fig. 5b) the Li concentration decreases after the single point Li input yielding throughout a negative correlation with  $\delta^7$ Li (Fig. 7b,c).

612

## 613 6.3.2. Application to BuMigIII groundwater

The observation that only the mixing scenario yields a negative correlation between  $\delta^7$ Li and the Li concentration as observed in BuMigIII groundwater (Fig. 2) suggests that Li is inherited from a discrete rather than from a continuous Li influx. Whereas mixing with an external groundwater is a likely candidate for single point Li influx, it is also possible that it occurred from a not yet identified rock type enriched in Li-bearing mineral(s), with a composition that is significantly different from that of the BuMigIII rock exposed at the tunnel level.

621 To further apply the mixing scenario (Fig. 5b) to the BuMigIII system the model was run 622 for a variable reaction progress along the 2000 m long flow path by varying the flow velocity 623 while keeping the reactive fracture surface area constant. Consequently, steady state major 624 species concentrations computed for the tunnel level (z = -2000 m) are plotted against the pH to 625 illustrate their dependence on the overall reaction progress and to compare them with measured 626 BuMigIII groundwater data (Fig. 8). Although the fits are not perfect, the fact that the order of 627 magnitude is reproducible suggests that the model captures the governing mineral reactions. 628 Differences between modeled and observed concentrations are likely inherited from the 629 assumptions of constant flow velocity and homogeneous mineralogy along the flow path.

630

#### 631 Li system

632 The sensitivity of our model parameters on the Li system was tested by comparing 633 measured  $\delta^7$ Li and Li concentrations with computed steady state values obtained at the tunnel 634 level for a variable reaction progress along the flow path and for 7 combinations of Li isotope 635 enrichment factors, maximum Li concentrations in kaolinite, and kaolinite precipitation rate 636 constants. Figure 9 illustrates that all varied parameters have an effect on the Li concentration and/or on  $\delta^7$ Li. Higher amounts of Li incorporation into kaolinite as well as higher amounts of 637 kaolinite precipitation increase the  $\delta^7$ Li value and decrease the Li concentration for a given 638 639 reaction progress (i.e., pH) because both parameters increase the ratio between the Li uptake by 640 kaolinite and the external Li input. Increasing the Li isotope enrichment factor also yields an increase in the  $\delta^7$ Li value for a given reaction progress (i.e., pH), but does obviously not change 641 642 the Li concentration. The sensitivity analyses carried out for the location of the single point Li 643 influx yielded only minor dependence as long as it occurred before the system evolved to the 644 maximum kaolinite formation rate (not shown).

645 In general, simulations performed for the mixing scenario approximate the observed pH dependence of measured  $\delta^7$ Li values (Fig. 9), although in a non-linear manner, and the observed 646 647 Li concentrations can be reproduced. The best match between modeled and measured data is 648 obtained for a Li isotope enrichment factor of -50‰, a maximum Li concentration in kaolinite of 649 75 μg/g and a slightly reduced kaolinite precipitation rate constant (i.e., simulation M7; Fig. 9). A similar fit is obtained, however, when setting the  $\delta^7$ Li value of the single point Li source to 1.7 650 651 % while limiting the amount of Li in kaolinite to 50  $\mu$ g/g (Fig. 10). The observation that 652 different parameter combinations result in similar good fits demonstrates that the system is 653 under-determined and that not all parameters affecting the Li system can be calibrated in a

quantitative way. However, the observed negative correlation between  $\delta^7$ Li and Li concentration 654 655 can only be approximated if the Li isotope enrichment factor is as high as -50% (Fig. 9). An 656 enrichment factor of -50% also corresponds to that obtained from the Rayleigh-type model (Fig. 2d). This is an interesting observation because  $\varepsilon$ =-50‰ is clearly outside the range of Li isotope 657 enrichment factor reported or inferred for secondary mineral precipitation ( $\approx$  -10 to -30‰) 658 659 (Zhang et al., 1998; Huh et al., 2001; Pistiner and Henderson, 2003; Kisakürek et al., 2005; 660 Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2006; Vigier et al., 2008; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2010), 661 although vigorous determination of the temperature-dependence of Li isotope fractionation 662 involving mineral precipitation have so far only been reported for Li incorporation during 663 smectite precipitation (Vigier et al., 2008). Since a contribution from diffusive Li isotope 664 fractionation would yield an even higher intrinsic enrichment factor and an anthropogenic Li contamination is unlikely, the reason for the high apparent Li isotope enrichment factor is 665 666 unclear and further research is required to unravel whether this is related to the slow flow rates 667 and/or alkaline conditions of our system.

Overall, our model results reveal that the large  $\delta^7 Li$  variation observed in BuMigIII 668 669 groundwater samples (10-41‰) are related to their pH range of 9.3 to 9.8. Over this pH window 670 the amount of coupled albite dissolution and kaolinite precipitation is at its maximum (Fig. 6). 671 Consequently, a variation in reaction progress along the infiltration path (e.g., variable residence time) strongly affects the amount of Li-bearing kaolinite precipitation and thus the  $\delta^7$ Li value. 672 673 Simulation results also reveal that the observed Li isotope fractionation is independent of the 674 origin of Li (ad-mixing of external groundwater, in-diffusion from porewater, dissolution of 675 unidentified minerals) as long as this addition occurred before the infiltrating meteoric water 676 evolved to its maximum kaolinite formation. This latter point further argues against a continuous

addition of Li by diffusion from the porewater as there is no reason why such addition shouldstop at a certain point along the flowpath in the same rock unit.

679

### 680 6.3.3. Application to Bristner Granite groundwater

Based on the finding that the mixing scenario (Fig. 5b) can explain the  $\delta^7$ Li variation 681 682 observed in BuMigIII samples (Table 3), the same modeling approach was used to explore the sensitivity of  $\delta^7$ Li on the Li concentration and to particularly test whether the absence of  $\delta^7$ Li 683 684 variation in Bristner Granite groundwater is simply caused by its high Li concentration (Table 3). 685 To do so, the mixing scenario (Fig. 5b) was run by setting the Li concentration of the simulated 686 groundwater mixture to 0.017, 0.08, 0.17, and 1.7 mg/L, whereas the other parameters were kept 687 constant at the values used in simulation M7 (Table 6). As can be seen from Figure 11, the simulated  $\delta^7$ Li values strongly depend on the Li concentration in the groundwater. At a Li 688 689 concentration representing the range of Bristner Granite groundwater (1.7 mg/L), the predicted  $\delta^7$ Li value does not differ from the value specified for the single point Li influx ( $\delta^7$ Li=8.7‰). A 690 change in the  $\delta^7$ Li values is only obtained if the Li concentration in the groundwater is lowered 691 692 by a factor of 10 or more. The reason for these effects lies in the amount of Li that was allowed 693 to be incorporated into precipitating kaolinite (75  $\mu$ g/g). Accordingly, the ratio between Li that is 694 incorporated into kaolinite and Li obtained from the single point influx decreases with increasing Li concentration in such influx. Because this ratio is also reflected in the  $\delta^7$ Li value, the 695 computed  $\delta^7$ Li values become lower as the concentration of Li from the influx increases. The 696 ability of the model to predict the absence of  $\delta^7$ Li at high Li concentration supports the existence 697 698 of a maximum amount of Li that can be structurally incorporated into precipitating kaolinite, 699 which is in agreement with mineralogical and experimental findings (Tardy et al., 1972;

Decarreau et al., 2012; Vigier and Goddéris, 2015). Such limitation further constitutes the likely reason for the low  $\delta^7$ Li values and absence of  $\delta^7$ Li variation observed for the Bristner Granite groundwater where the Li concentration is up to 500 times higher than in BuMigIII groundwater (Table 3).

704

# 705 7. IMPLICATIONS FOR USING $\delta^7$ Li AS A WATER-ROCK INTERACTION PROXY

706 The comparison between analytical and simulation results confirms that for a relatively simple hydrological system aqueous  $\delta^7$ Li is controlled by the cumulative amount of Li-bearing 707 708 secondary mineral formation in relation to the Li release from primary mineral or other Li 709 sources (Wanner et al., 2014; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2016). Because secondary mineral formation is coupled to the dissolution of primary minerals,  $\delta^7 Li$  may be used in conjunction 710 711 with major species concentrations to estimate mineral reaction rates using modeling approaches 712 such as the one presented here. However, even for mono-lithological systems an accurate quantification of these rates based on  $\delta^7$ Li remains challenging. The first issue is that  $\delta^7$ Li values 713 714 are strongly affected by the reactivity of system (Fig. 9), which is controlled by the flow velocity 715 (or the residence time, respectively), and the reactive surface areas. Secondly, dissolution and 716 precipitation rates of silicate minerals are highly pH and temperature dependent (Fig. 6), 717 demonstrating that the spatial temperature and pH distribution must be known to constrain reaction rates for a given flow system. Finally, our sensitivity analyses suggest that aqueous  $\delta^7 Li$ 718 719 values are controlled by the amount of Li that can be taken up by secondary minerals, as well as 720 the corresponding fractionation factor (Fig. 9). None of these parameters are fully characterized 721 for the entire suite of Li bearing secondary minerals. For well-constrained hydrogeological 722 systems, however, the listed challenges are likely resolved in the future once more experimental

723 data will become available. Our model results based on actual data from a crystalline 724 groundwater environment at elevated temperatures and including kaolinite precipitation indicate 725 that  $\delta^7$ Li values might be especially useful to better constrain the formation rate of secondary 726 minerals for which kinetic data is still scarce (Yang and Steefel, 2008 and references therein). 727 Moreover, the strong  $\delta^7$ Li variation observed at temperatures above those at the Earth's surface (Table 3) demonstrates the potential for using  $\delta^7 Li$  as water-rock interaction proxy at elevated 728 729 temperatures. If the sensitivity of  $\delta^7 Li$  on the Li concentration, however, is as high as inferred from Figure 11 the use of  $\delta^7$ Li to constrain mineral reaction rates is restricted to systems with 730 731 low Li concentrations, which does usually not apply for hydro-geothermal systems (Chan et al., 732 1994; Millot and Négrel, 2007; Millot et al., 2010a; Sanjuan et al., 2014; Sanjuan et al., 2016).

733 In contrast to well-defined systems, we suspect that quantifying continental silicate 734 weathering rates through time based on sedimentary archives such as the inferred Cenozoic 735 seawater  $\delta^7$ Li record (Misra and Froelich, 2012) will remain challenging. Due to the strong sensitivity of  $\delta^7$ Li on the subsurface residence time an accurate quantification requires the 736 737 quantification of changes in the average subsurface residence time through time (e.g., discharge 738 variations) under a changing climate and at an increasing tectonic activity (Misra and Froelich, 2012; Vigier and Goddéris, 2015). Another major challenge is that  $\delta^7$ Li variations are likely 739 740 controlled by the corresponding Li concentration such as inferred by our simulation results (Figs. 11) and likely manifested by the absence of  $\delta^7 Li$  value observed in the Bristner Granite 741 742 groundwater. If the sensitivity of  $\delta^7$ Li on the Li concentration was as high as inferred from our 743 study, the continental Li flux through time needs to be quantified accurately in addition to 744 corresponding discharge variations. First Cenozoic Li flux reconstructions have been already 745 provided by Vigier and Goddéris (2015) and Li and West (2014).

746

747

## **8. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

748 The use of Li isotope measurements for tracking water-rock interaction in fractured 749 crystalline aquifers at temperatures of up to 43°C was assessed by performing Li isotope 750 measurements on 17 groundwater samples collected during drilling of the new Gotthard rail base 751 tunnel in Switzerland. A particular effort was made to match  $\delta^7$ Li values as well as major species 752 concentrations by reactive transport model simulations using the code TOUGHREACT V3. In 753 doing so, the possibility of defining a maximum amount of a trace element that is incorporated 754 into the structure of a precipitating mineral was added as a new capability to TOUGHREACT 755 V3. The main conclusions from this study are:

756 1. The alteration of fracture surfaces by a circulating fluid may lead to a strong variation of  $\delta^7$ Li values at temperatures of up to 43°C. A strong  $\delta^7$ Li variation, however, was only 757 758 observed if the Li concentration was low (0.01-0.02 mg/L). For high Li concentrations on 759 the order of 1-4 mg/L no variation was observed suggesting that the amount of Li that can be incorporated into secondary minerals is limited and that the use of  $\delta^7 Li$  values as a 760 761 proxy for water-rock interaction is restricted to low Li concentrations.

762 2. Li uptake by kaolinite precipitation or by the precipitation of other Al-bearing phases 763 forms the key process to cause Li isotope fractionation in fractured crystalline aquifers 764 characterized by a granitic mineralogical composition. Our data suggests that under slow 765 flow conditions (<10 m/year), at temperatures <50 °C, and alkaline conditions (pH>9), this Li uptake is associated with a very large Li isotope fractionation factor ( $\epsilon \approx -50$  %). 766

3. For the samples with low Li concentrations,  $\delta^7 Li$  values are mainly controlled by the 767 768 cumulative amount of kaolinite precipitation occurring along the flow path.

769 Consequently, aqueous  $\delta^7$ Li values are sensitive to fluid residence times, reactive fracture 770 surface areas, and pH values, all controlling overall silicate mineral reaction rates.

4. Incorporating the fate of Li isotopes into fully coupled reactive transport model simulations allows a predictive understanding of measured Li isotope ratios. For simple and well-defined systems with known residence times and low Li concentrations,  $\delta^7$ Li values may help to quantify mineral reaction rates and associated parameters (e.g., reactive surface area). An accurate quantification, however, currently suffers from the lack of thermodynamic data such as the temperature dependent amount of Li that can be incorporated into secondary minerals as well as corresponding fractionation factors.

5. In crystalline aquifers with high Li concentrations such as in the Bristner Granite
groundwater Li is likely inherited from an ancient hydrothermal fluid still residing in the
pore space of the intact rock matrix.

781

## 782 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is dedicated to H.-J. (Jöggu) Ziegler, head geologist of the Gotthard rail base tunnel who brought CW first into contact with the intriguing water samples from the Gotthard rail base tunnel and who passed away on the same day CW started to look for available samples. CW was supported by the Swiss Competence Center for Energy Research-Supply of Electricity (SCCER-SOE). PPvS and Li isotope analyses were funded by NERC Advanced Research Fellowship NE/I020571/2.

789

790 **REFERENCES** 

- Abrecht J. (1994) Geologic units of the Aarmassif and their pre-Alpine rock associations: a
   critical review. Schweizerische Mineralogische und Petrographische Mitteilungen 74, 5-
- 793 27.
- Alt-Epping P., Diamond L. W., Häring M. O., Ladner F. and Meier D. B. (2013) Prediction of
   water-rock interaction and porosity evolution in a granitoid-hosted enhanced geothermal
   system, using constraints from the 5 km Basel-1 well. *Appl. Geochem.* 38, 121-133.
- Berner R. A., Lasaga A. C. and Garrels R. M. (1983) The carbonate-silicate geochemical cycle
  and its effect on atmospheric carbon-dioxide over the past 100 million years. *Am. J. Sci.*283, 641-683.
- Bouchez J., Von Blanckenburg F. and Schuessler J. A. (2013) Modeling novel stable isotope
  ratios in the weathering zone. *Am. J. Sci.* 313, 267-308.
- Bourg I. C. and Sposito G. (2007) Molecular dynamics simulations of kinetic isotope
   fractionation during the diffusion of ionic species in liquid water. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 71, 5583-5589.
- Brown S. T., Kennedy B. M., DePaolo D. J., Hurwitz S. and Evans W. C. (2013) Ca, Sr, O and D
  isotope approach to defining the chemical evolution of hydrothermal fluids: Example from
  Long Valley, CA, USA. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 122, 209-225.
- Bucher K., Stober I. and Seelig U. (2012) Water deep inside the mountains: Unique water
   samples from the Gotthard rail base tunnel, Switzerland. *Chem. Geol.* 334, 240-253.
- Caine J. S. and Tomusiak S. R. A. (2003) Brittle structures and their role in controlling porosity
   and permeability in a complex Precambrian crystalline-rock aquifer system in the Colorado
   Rocky Mountain front range. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 115, 1410-1424.
- Chan L.-H., Edmond J. M. and Thompson G. (1993) A lithium isotope study of hot springs and
  metabasalts from Mid-Ocean Ridge Hydrothermal Systems. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 98, 9653-9659.
- 816 Chan L.-H., Gieskes J. M., Chen-Feng Y. and Edmond J. M. (1994) Lithium isotope
  817 geochemistry of sediments and hydrothermal fluids of the Guaymas Basin, Gulf of
  818 California. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 58, 4443-4454.
- B19 Decarreau A., Vigier N., Pálková H., Petit S., Vieillard P. and Fontaine C. (2012) Partitioning of
   B20 lithium between smectite and solution: An experimental approach. *Geochim. Cosmochim.* B21 Acta 85, 314-325.
- Bellinger M., Gaillardet J., Bouchez J., Calmels D., Louvat P., Dosseto A., Gorge C., Alanoca L.
  and Maurice L. (2015) Riverine Li isotope fractionation in the Amazon River basin
  controlled by the weathering regimes. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 164, 71-93.
- BePaolo D. J. (2006) Isotopic effects in fracture-dominated reactive fluid–rock systems.
   *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 70, 1077-1096.
- Bevidal J.-L., Dandurand J.-L. and Gout R. (1996) Gibbs free energy of formation of kaolinite
   from solubility measurement in basic solution between 60 and 170 °C. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 60, 553-564.
- Francois L. M. and Godderis Y. (1998) Isotopic constraints on the Cenozoic evolution of the
  carbon cycle. *Chem. Geol.* 145, 177-212.
- 632 Gimeno M. J., Auqué L. F., Acero P. and Gómez J. B. (2014) Hydrogeochemical
- 833 characterisation and modelling of groundwaters in a potential geological repository for
- spent nuclear fuel in crystalline rocks (Laxemar, Sweden). *Appl. Geochem.* **45**, 50-71.

- Gislason S. R., Arnorsson S. and Armannsson H. (1996) Chemical weathering of basalt in
  Southwest Iceland; effects of runoff, age of rocks and vegetative/glacial cover. *Am. J. Sci.*296, 837-907.
- Grimaud D., Beaucaire C. and Michard G. (1990) Modelling of the evolution of ground waters in
  a granite system at low temperature: the Stripa ground waters, Sweden. *Appl. Geochem.* 5,
  515-525.
- Guillong M., Meier D. L., Allan M. M., Heinrich C. A. and Yardley B. W. D. (2008) SILLS: A
  MATLAB-based program for the reduction of laser ablation ICP-MS data of homogeneous
  materials and inclusions, in: Sylvester, P. (Ed.), Laser ablation ICP-MS in the Earth
  Sciences: current practices and outstanding issues, pp. 328-333.
- Henchiri S., Clergue C., Dellinger M., Gaillardet J., Louvat P. and Bouchez J. (2014) The
  Influence of Hydrothermal Activity on the Li Isotopic Signature of Rivers Draining
  Volcanic Areas. *Proc. Earth Planet. Sci.* 10, 223-230.
- Huh Y., Chan L. H., Zhang L. and Edmond J. M. (1998) Lithium and its isotopes in major world
  rivers: Implications for weathering and the oceanic budget. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 62, 2039-2051.
- Huh Y., Chan L. H. and Edmond J. M. (2001) Lithium isotopes as a probe of weathering
  processes: Orinoco River. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **194**, 189-199.
- Huh Y., Chan L. H. and Chadwick O. A. (2004) Behavior of lithium and its isotopes during
  weathering of Hawaiian basalt. *Geochem. Geophy. Geosy.* 5.
- Kisakürek B., James R. H. and Harris N. B. W. (2005) Li and δ7Li in Himalayan rivers: Proxies
  for silicate weathering? *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 237, 387-401.
- Kittrick J. A. (1966) Free energy of formation of kaolinite from solubility measurements.
   *American Mineralogist* 51, 1457-&.
- Labhart T. P. (1999) Aarmassiv, Gotthardmassiv und Tavetscher Zwischenmassiv: Aufbau und
  Entstehungsgeschichte, in: Loew, S., Wyss, R. (Eds.), Symposium Geologie Alptransit,
  Zürich. Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 31-43.
- Lasaga A. C. (1984) Chemical kinetics of water-rock interactions. J. Geoph. Res. 89, 4009-4025.
- Lemarchand E., Chabaux F., Vigier N., Millot R. and Pierret M.-C. (2010) Lithium isotope
   systematics in a forested granitic catchment (Strengbach, Vosges Mountains, France).
   *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 74, 4612-4628.
- Li G. and West A. J. (2014) Evolution of Cenozoic seawater lithium isotopes: Coupling of global
   denudation regime and shifting seawater sinks. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 401, 284-293.
- Liu X.-M., Wanner C., Rudnick R. L. and McDonough W. F. (2015) Processes controlling δ7Li
   in rivers illuminated by study of streams and groundwaters draining basalts. *Earth Planet*.
   *Sci. Lett.* 409, 212-224.
- MacQuarrie K. T. B. and Mayer K. U. (2005) Reactive transport modeling in fractured rock: A
  state-of-the-science review. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* 72, 189-227.
- Marschall H. R., Pogge von Strandmann P. A. E., Seitz H.-M., Elliott T. and Niu Y. (2007) The
  lithium isotopic composition of orogenic eclogites and deep subducted slabs. *Earth Planet*. *Sci. Lett.* 262, 563-580.
- Masset O. and Loew S. (2013) Quantitative hydraulic analysis of pre-drillings and inflows to the
   Gotthard Base Tunnel (Sedrun Lot, Switzerland). *Eng. Geol.* 164, 50-66.
- 878 May H. M., Klnniburgh D. G., Helmke P. A. and Jackson M. L. (1986) Aqueous dissolution,
- 879 solubilities and thermodynamic stabilities of common aluminosilicate clay minerals:
- Kaolinite and smectites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **50**, 1667-1677.

- Mazurek M., Jakob A. and Bossart P. (2003) Solute transport in crystalline rocks at Äspö I:
  Geological basis and model calibration. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* 61, 157-174.
- Michard G., Pearson Jr F. J. and Gautschi A. (1996) Chemical evolution of waters during long
   term interaction with granitic rocks in northern Switzerland. *Appl. Geochem.* 11, 757-774.
- Millot R. and Negrel P. (2007) Multi-isotopic tracing (delta(7)Li, delta(11)B, (87)Sr/(86)Sr) and
   chemical geothermometry: evidence from hydro-geothermal systems in France. *Chem. Geol.* 244, 664-678.
- Millot R. and Négrel P. (2007) Multi-isotopic tracing (δ7Li, δ11B, 87Sr/86Sr) and chemical
   geothermometry: evidence from hydro-geothermal systems in France. *Chem. Geol.* 244,
   664-678.
- Millot R., Scaillet B. and Sanjuan B. (2010a) Lithium isotopes in island arc geothermal systems:
   Guadeloupe, Martinique (French West Indies) and experimental approach. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 74, 1852-1871.
- Millot R., Vigier N. and Gaillardet J. (2010b) Behaviour of lithium and its isotopes during
   weathering in the Mackenzie Basin, Canada. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 74, 3897-3912.
- Misra S. and Froelich P. N. (2012) Lithium isotope history of Cenozoic seawater: changes in
   silicate weathering and reverse weathering. *Science* 335, 818-823.
- Molinero J., Raposo J. R., Galíndez J. M., Arcos D. and Guimerá J. (2008) Coupled
   hydrogeological and reactive transport modelling of the Simpevarp area (Sweden). *Appl. Geochem.* 23, 1957-1981.
- Nagy K. L., Blum A. E. and Lasaga A. C. (1991) Dissolution and precipitation kinetics of
  kaolinite at 80 degrees C and pH 3; the dependence on solution saturation state. *Am. J. Sci.*291, 649-686.
- Nordstrom D. K., Ball J. W., Donahoe R. J. and Whittemore D. (1989) Groundwater chemistry
   and water-rock interactions at Stripa. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 53, 1727-1740.
- Palandri J. L. and Kharaka Y. K. (2004) A compilation of rate parameters of water-mineral
   interaction kinetics for application to geochemical modeling. US Geological Survey.
- Pettke T., Oberli F., Audétat A., Guillong M., Simon A. C., Hanley J. J. and Klemm L. M.
  (2012) Recent developments in element concentration and isotope ratio analysis of
  individual fluid inclusions by laser ablation single and multiple collector ICP-MS. *Ore Geology Reviews* 44, 10-38.
- Pistiner J. S. and Henderson G. M. (2003) Lithium-isotope fractionation during continental
  weathering processes. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 214, 327-339.
- Pogge von Strandmann P. A. E., Burton K. W., James R. H., van Calsteren P., Gíslason S. R. and
  Mokadem F. (2006) Riverine behaviour of uranium and lithium isotopes in an actively
  glaciated basaltic terrain. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 251, 134-147.
- Pogge von Strandmann P. A. E., Burton K. W., James R. H., van Calsteren P. and Gislason S. R.
  (2010) Assessing the role of climate on uranium and lithium isotope behaviour in rivers
- draining a basaltic terrain. *Chem. Geol.* **270**, 227-239.
- Pogge von Strandmann P. A. E., Elliott T., Marschall H. R., Coath C., Lai Y.-J., Jeffcoate A. B.
  and Ionov D. A. (2011) Variations of Li and Mg isotope ratios in bulk chondrites and
  mantle xenoliths. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 75, 5247-5268.
- Pogge von Strandmann P. A. E., Porcelli D., James R. H., van Calsteren P., Schaefer B.,
  Cartwright I., Reynolds B. C. and Burton K. W. (2014) Chemical weathering processes in
- the Great Artesian Basin: Evidence from lithium and silicon isotopes. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 406, 24-36.

- Pogge von Strandmann P. A. E. and Henderson G. M. (2015) The Li isotope response to
  mountain uplift. *Geology* 43, 67-70.
- Pogge von Strandmann P. A. E., Burton K. W., Opfergelt S., Eiríksdóttir E. S., Murphy M. J.,
  Einarsson A. and Gislason S. R. (2016) The effect of hydrothermal spring weathering
  processes and primary productivity on lithium isotopes: Lake Myvatn, Iceland. *Chem. Geol.* in press, DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2016.02.026.
- Polzer W. L. and Hem J. D. (1965) The dissolution of kaolinite. J. Geoph. Res. 70, 6233-6240.
- Reed M. and Palandri J. L. (2006) SOLTHERM.H06, a database of equilibrium constants for
   minerals and aqueous species. Available from the authors, University of Oregon, Eugene,
   USA.
- Reesman A. L. and Keller W. D. (1968) Aqueous solubility studies of high-alumina and clay
   minerals. *American Mineralogist* 53, 929-&.
- Richter F. M., Mendybaev R. A., Christensen J. N., Hutcheon I. D., Williams R. W., Sturchio N.
  C. and Beloso Jr A. D. (2006) Kinetic isotopic fractionation during diffusion of ionic
  species in water. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 70, 277-289.
- Sanjuan B., Millot R., Ásmundsson R., Brach M. and Giroud N. (2014) Use of two new Na/Li
  geothermometric relationships for geothermal fluids in volcanic environments. *Chem. Geol.* 389, 60-81.
- Sanjuan B., Millot R., Innocent C., Dezayes C., Scheiber J. and Brach M. (2016) Major
  geochemical characteristics of geothermal brines from the Upper Rhine Graben granitic
  basement with constraints on temperature and circulation. *Chem. Geol.* 428, 27-47.
- Schaltegger U. (1994) Unravelling the pre-Mesozoic history of the Aar and Gotthard massifs
   (Central Alps) by isotopic dating a review. Schweizerische Mineralogische und
   Petrographische Mitteilungen 74, 41-51.
- 951 Schwertmann U. (1988) Occurrence and Formation of Iron Oxides in Various
- Pedoenvironments, in: Stucki, J.W., Goodman, B.A., Schwertmann, U. (Eds.), Iron in Soils
  and Clay Minerals. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 267-308.
- Seelig U. and Bucher K. (2010) Halogens in water from the crystalline basement of the Gotthard
   rail base tunnel (central Alps). *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 74, 2581-2595.
- Singleton M. J., Sonnenthal E. L., Conrad M. E., DePaolo D. J. and Gee G. W. (2005)
  Multiphase reactive transport modeling of seasonal infiltration events and stable isotope
  fractionation in unsaturated zone pore water and vapor at the Hanford site. *Vadose Zone J.*3, 775-785.
- Sonnenthal E. L., Spycher N., Apps J. A. and Simmons A. (1998) Thermo-hydro-chemical
   predictive analysis for the drift-scale heater test. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
   report SPY289M4.
- Sonnenthal E. L., Ito A., Spycher N., Yui M., Apps J. A., Sugita Y., Conrad M. E. and
  Kawakami S. (2005) Approaches to modeling coupled thermal, hydrological and chemical
  processes in the Drift Scale Heater Test at Yucca Mountain. *Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci.*42, 698-719.
- 967 Spandler C., Pettke T. and Rubatto D. (2011) Internal and External Fluid Sources for Eclogite 968 facies Veins in the Monviso Meta-ophiolite, Western Alps: Implications for Fluid Flow in
   969 Subduction Zones. J. Petrol. 52, 1207-1236
- 970 Steefel C. I., Appelo C. A. J., Arora B., Jacques D., Kalbacher T., Kolditz O., Lagneau V.,
- 971 Lichtner P. C., Mayer K. U., Meussen H., Molins S., Moulton D., Parkhurst D. L., Shao H.,

972 Simunek J., Spycher N., Yabusaki S. and Yeh G. T. (2015) Reactive transport codes for 973 subsurface environmental simulation. Comput. Geosci. 19, 445-478. 974 Stober I. and Bucher K. (2015) Hydraulic conductivity of fractured upper crust: insights from 975 hydraulic tests in boreholes and fluid-rock interaction in crystalline basement rocks. 976 *Geofluids* **15**, 161-178. 977 Tardy Y., Trauth N. and Krempp G. (1972) Lithium in clay-minerals of sediments and soils. 978 Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 36, 397-&. 979 Teng F.-Z., Rudnick R. L., McDonough W. F. and Wu F.-Y. (2009) Lithium isotopic systematics 980 of A-type granites and their mafic enclaves: Further constraints on the Li isotopic 981 composition of the continental crust. Chem. Geol. 262, 370-379. 982 Trotignon L., Beaucaire C., Louvat D. and Jean-François A. (1999) Equilibrium geochemical 983 modelling of Äspö groundwaters: a sensitivity study of thermodynamic equilibrium 984 constants. Appl. Geochem. 14, 907-916. 985 Verney-Carron A., Vigier N., Millot R. and Hardarson B. S. (2015) Lithium isotopes in 986 hydrothermally altered basalts from Hengill (SW Iceland). Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 411, 62-987 71. 988 Vigier N., Decarreau A., Millot R., Carignan J., Petit S. and France-Lanord C. (2008) 989 Quantifying Li isotope fractionation during smectite formation and implications for the Li 990 cycle. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 72, 780-792. 991 Vigier N., Gislason S. R., Burton K. W., Millot R. and Mokadem F. (2009) The relationship 992 between riverine lithium isotope composition and silicate weathering rates in Iceland. 993 Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 287, 434-441. 994 Vigier N. and Goddéris Y. (2015) A new approach for modeling Cenozoic oceanic lithium 995 isotope paleo-variations: the key role of climate. Clim. Past 11, 635-645. 996 Waber H. N., Gimmi T. and Smellie J. A. T. (2012) Reconstruction of palaeoinfiltration during 997 the Holocene using porewater data (Laxemar, Sweden). Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 94, 998 109-127. 999 Wanner C. and Sonnenthal E. L. (2013) Assessing the control on the effective kinetic Cr isotope fractionation factor: A reactive transport modeling approach. Chem. Geol. 337, 88-98. 1000 1001 Wanner C., Sonnenthal E. L. and Liu X.-M. (2014) Seawater d7Li a direct proxy for global CO2 1002 consumption by continental silicate weathering? Chem. Geol. 381, 154-167. 1003 Wanner C., Druhan J., Amos R., Alt-Epping P. and Steefel C. (2015) Benchmarking the 1004 simulation of Cr isotope fractionation. Comput Geosci 19, 497-521. 1005 Wimpenny J., Gislason S. R., James R. H., Gannoun A., Pogge Von Strandmann P. A. E. and 1006 Burton K. W. (2010) The behaviour of Li and Mg isotopes during primary phase 1007 dissolution and secondary mineral formation in basalt. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 74, 1008 5259-5279. 1009 Wolery T. J. (1992) *EQ3/6*: Software package for geochemical modeling of aqueous systems: 1010 Package overview and installation guide (version 7.0), Livermore, California. 1011 Wunder B., Meixner A., Romer R. and Heinrich W. (2006) Temperature-dependent isotopic 1012 fractionation of lithium between clinopyroxene and high-pressure hydrous fluids. Contrib. 1013 Mineral. Petr. 151, 112-120. 1014 Xu T., Sonnenthal E. L., Spycher N. and Zheng L. (2014) TOUGHREACT V3.0-OMP 1015 Reference Manual: A Parallel Simulation Program for Non-Isothermal Multiphase 1016 Geochemical Reactive Transport. LBNL Manual

- 1017 <u>http://esd.lbl.gov/FILES/research/projects/tough/documentation/TOUGHREACT\_V3-</u>
- 1018 <u>OMP\_RefManual.pdf</u>.
- Yang L. and Steefel C. I. (2008) Kaolinite dissolution and precipitation kinetics at 22°C and pH
  4. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 72, 99-116.
- 1021 Zhang L., Chan L.-H. and Gieskes J. M. (1998) Lithium isotope geochemistry of pore waters
   1022 from ocean drilling program Sites 918 and 919, Irminger Basin. *Geochim. Cosmochim.* 1023 Acta 62, 2437-2450.
- 1024
- 1025

Mineral phase	<sup>a</sup> log(K) T=25°C	<sup>a</sup> log(K) T=60°C	<sup>b</sup> k <sub>25</sub> <sup>n</sup> (mol/m <sup>2</sup> /s)	<sup>b</sup> Ea <sup>n</sup> (kJ/mol)	<sup>b</sup> k <sub>25</sub> <sup>ac</sup> (mol/m <sup>2</sup> /s)	<sup>b</sup> Ea <sup>ac</sup> (kJ/mol)	<sup>b</sup> m <sub>ac</sub>	<sup>b</sup> k <sub>25</sub> <sup>ba</sup> (mol/m²/s)	<sup>b</sup> Ea <sup>ba</sup> (kJ/mol)	<sup>b</sup> m <sub>ba</sub>	Hydrolysis reaction (written with primary species)
Albite	1.4	0.3	2.75e-13	69.8	6.92e-11	65.0	0.457	2.51e-16	71.0	-0.57	$NaAISi_3O_8 + 4H^+ = Na^+ + 3SiO_{2(aq)} + AI^{3+} + 2H_2O$
Orthoclase	-0.5	-1.3	3.89e-13	38.0	8.71e-11	51.7	0.50	6.31e-22	94.1	-0.82	$KAISi_{3}O_{8} + 4H^{+} = K^{+} + 3SiO_{2(aq)} + AI^{3+} + 2H_{2}O$
Quartz	-4.0	-3.5	4.57e-14	90.1	6.92e-30	65.0	0.457	<sup>d</sup> 1.00-14	<sup>d</sup> 0.0	-0.5	$SiO_{2(s)} = SiO_{2(aq)}$
Annite <sup>c1</sup>	29.4	24.2	2.82e-13	22.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	$KFe_{3}AISi_{3}O_{10}(OH)_{2} + 10H^{+} = AI^{3+} + K^{+} + 3Fe^{2+} + 6H_{2}O + 3SiO_{2}$
Phlogopite <sup>c1,e</sup>	37.4	31.5	2.82e-13	22.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	$\begin{array}{l} K_{1.026} \ Mg_{2.974} Li_{0.026} AISi_{3} O_{10} (OH)_{2} + 10 H^{+} = AI^{3+} + K^{+} + 3 Mg^{2+} + \\ 6H_{2} O + 3SiO_{2} + 0.00199 \ ^{6}Li^{+} + 0.02401 \ ^{7}Li^{+} \end{array}$
Muscovite	8.7	4.62	1.0e-13	22.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	$KAI_2AISi_3O_{10}(OH)_2 + 10H^+ = 3AI^{3+} + K^+ + 6H_2O + 3SiO_2$
Chamosite <sup>c2</sup>	41.1	32.9	3.02e-13	88.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	$(Fe)_5AI(AISi_3O_{10})(OH)_8+16H^+ = 5Fe^{2+} + 2AI^{3+} + 3SiO_2 + 12H_2O$
Clinochlore <sup>c2</sup>	55.9	46.0	3.02e-13	88.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	$(Mg)_{5}AI(AISi_{3}O_{10})(OH)_{8}+16H^{+} = 5Mg^{2+} + 2AI^{3+} + 3SiO_{2} + 12H_{2}O$
Kaolinite <sup>c3</sup>	3.2	0.7	1.98e-13	22.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	$AI_2Si_2O_5(OH)_4 + 6H^+ = 2AI^{3+} + 2SiO_{2(aq)} + 5H_2O$
<sup>6</sup> Li <sub>kaolinite</sub> c3	-4.9409764	-4.9409764	1.98e-13	22.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	${}^{6}\text{Li} = {}^{6}\text{Li}^{+}$
<sup>7</sup> Li <sub>kaolinite</sub> c3	-4.9187	-4.9187	1.98e-13	22.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	$^{7}Li = ^{7}Li^{+}$
Pyrite	217.4	191.1	2.80e-5	56.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	$FeS_2 + H_2O + 3.5 O_{2(aq)} = Fe^{2+} + 2SO_4^{2-} + 2H^+$
Calcite	1.8	1.3	1.55e-6	23.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	$CaCO_3 + H^+ = HCO_3^- + Ca^{2+}$
Li-source <sup>f</sup>	f	f	f	f	-	-	-	-	-	-	$Li_{0.04}Na_{0.96}CI = 0.00305 \ {}^{6}Li^{+} + 0.03695 \ {}^{7}Li^{+} + 0.96Na^{+} + CI^{-}$

Table 1: Simulated mineral dissolution and precipitation reactions

Secondary AIOH<sup>2+</sup>, AI(OH)<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, HAIO<sub>2</sub>, AIO<sub>2</sub>, KAIO<sub>2</sub>, NAAIO<sub>2</sub>, AISO<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, AI(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2(aq)</sub>, CO<sub>3</sub><sup>-2</sup>, CaCO<sub>3(aq)</sub>, CaHCO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, CaCl<sup>+</sup>, CaSO<sub>4</sub>, HCI, FeCl<sup>+</sup>, FeC<sub>12</sub>, FeO, FeOH<sup>+</sup>, HFeO<sub>2</sub>, FeSO<sub>4</sub>, KCI, KHSO<sub>4</sub>, KOH, species KSO<sub>4</sub>, MgCO<sub>3</sub>, MgHCO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, MgOH<sup>+</sup>, MgOO<sub>4</sub>, NaCI, NaOH, NaCO<sub>3</sub>, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, Nd, NaCI<sup>+</sup>, HSO<sub>4</sub>, AIC, NaOH, NaCO<sub>3</sub>, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, NaHCO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, AI(SO<sub>4</sub>), HS, S<sup>2</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>S<sub>(aq)</sub>, HSiO<sub>5</sub><sup>+</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub><sup>-2</sup>, CaHSiO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, MgHSiO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, NaHSiO<sub>3</sub>

<sup>a</sup> equilibrium constants log(*K*) were defined according to the Soltherm.H06 database (Reed and Palandri, 2006). The temperature dependence is calculated according to log(*K*)<sub>T[K]</sub>=a\*In(T<sub>K</sub>) + b + c\*T<sub>K</sub>\* d/T<sub>K</sub> + e/T<sub>K</sub><sup>2</sup>. a,b,c,d and e are constants defined in the database. For the listed mineral phases, however, the interpolation between 25 and 60°C is almost linear.

<sup>b</sup> Reaction rate constants k, activation energies E<sub>a</sub> and rate dependence on ph (m<sub>ac</sub> and m<sub>ba</sub>) were defined according to Palandri & Kharaka (2006)

<sup>cx</sup> Endmbember of solid solution x.

<sup>d</sup> A constant value for k<sub>25</sub><sup>ba</sup> of 1e-14 refers to a temperature of ca. 65°C according to equation 3 and taking into account an activation energy of 108366 (Palandri & Kharaka, 2006). A rate constant slightly higher than proposed in the Palandri & Kharaka (2006) compilation was required to get quartz solubility controlled SiO<sub>2</sub> concentrations such as observed for our water samples (Bucher et al., 2012).

<sup>©</sup>Corresponds to a phlogopite Li concentration of 217 ppm to get an initial bulk rock Li conc. of 8.8 μg/g (Table 2) (at a phlogopite vol. fraction of 0.02), and a δ<sup>7</sup>Li value of 1.7%.

<sup>1</sup>Hypothetical solid phase defined to simulated a porewater or unknown mineral Li source with a δ<sup>7</sup>Li value of 8.7%. Corresponding parameters are given in the text for each scenario.

- No pH dependence of the rate constant considered at acidic and/or basic conditions

Table 2:

Solid Li concentration measurements performed using AAS for bulk rock concentrations and laser ablation ICP-MS for individual mineral phases

		Mineral stoichiometry <sup>a</sup>	Li (µg/g) <sup>b</sup>	Stdv. (1ε)	Nc	Mg/Li
	Plagioclase	NaAlSi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	2.1	±1.1	7	1-3
د م	K-Feldspar	KAISi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	2.4	±1.6	2	<1
nit	Quartz	SiO <sub>2</sub>	4.4	±0.9	3	<1
ris	chloritized biotite	K <sub>0.5</sub> Fe <sub>3-3.5</sub> Al <sub>&lt;1</sub> (AlSi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>10</sub> (OH) <sub>5-8</sub>	464	±90	2	4
<u>ш</u> О	<sup>e</sup> Muscovite	KAl <sub>2</sub> (AISi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>10</sub> )(OH) <sub>8</sub>	462	±53	4	2
	bulk rock		6.1	±0.2	2	3
	<sup>d</sup> Plagioclase	NaAlSi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	7.7	±5.1	10	25-35
≡	K-Feldspar	K <sub>0.6</sub> Na <sub>0.4</sub> AlSi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	45	-	1	35
ligl	Quartz	SiO <sub>2</sub>	<0.1	-	3	-
P	chloritized biotite	K <sub>0.03-0.3</sub> (Mg,Fe) <sub>2-3</sub> Al <sub>&lt;1</sub> (AlSi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>10</sub> (OH) <sub>2-8</sub>	217	±32	5	65-85
Ш	<sup>d</sup> Muscovite			-	10	-
	bulk rock		8.8	-	1	138

<sup>a</sup>Inferred stoichiometry based on the full chemical composition of the specific mineral phases lis isted in the electronic appendix

<sup>b</sup>Concentrations refer to average concentrations obtained from N measurements and are derived from <sup>7</sup>Li

measurements (see electronic appendix) taking into account the bulk earth Li isotope abundance. <sup>6</sup>Number of measurements performed on specific mineral phases

<sup>d</sup>In case of BuMigIII, plagioclase is heavily altered to sericitic muscovite. Also, muscovite is too small to perform individual measurements. Accordingly, plagioclase measurements including the reported Mg/Li value also contain a muscovite component.

<sup>e</sup>Muscovite measurements for the Bristner Granite refer to a mixture between chloritized biotite and muscovite

	Sample	Tunnel meter	Over- burden (m.a.t)	Temp (°C)	рН	Flow rate (L/s)	Li⁺ (mg/L)	δ <sup>7</sup> Li <b>(</b> ‰)	Ca <sup>2+</sup> (mg/L)	Mg <sup>2+</sup> (mg/L)	Na⁺ (mg/L)	K⁺ (mg/L)	Fe (mg/L)	AI (mg/L)	<sup>a</sup> C(4) as HCO <sub>3</sub> - (mg/L)	SO₄ <sup>2-</sup> (mg/L)	CI <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	F <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	SiO₂ (mg/L)	Mg/Li (mol ratio)	CI/Li (mol ratio)
	A005	8509	320	22.0	9.11	0.10	1.62	8.6±0.2 <sup>b</sup>	1.8	0.1	251	10.0	0.27	0.747	264	93	155	19.0	18.9	0.01	18.6
	A038	8566	315	24.2	9.03	0.002	1.60	8.5±0.0	5.2	0.1	266	11.6	<0.02	0.101	318	82	149	23.8	24.2	0.01	18.1
e	A042	8903	350	25.1	8.67	0.04	3.09	9.0±0.2	3.7	0.2	511	17.2	<0.02	0.016	395	216	386	27.2	24.0	0.02	24.3
anit	A035	8381	345	21.5	8.74	0.00	2.60	8.6±0.2	3.0	0.2	405	9.9	0.35	<0.01	371	157	297	27.6	19.1	0.03	22.3
er G	A039	8575	305	21.4	8.78	0.15	2.86	9.0±0.5	3.0	0.1	461	13.9	<0.02	0.024	314	187	350	26.8	17.8	0.01	23.8
Bristne	A043	8920	350	24.1	8.70	0.03	3.23	9.1±0.2	3.0	0.2	499	17.3	0.07	0.012	365	214	387	26.6	20.1	0.02	23.3
	A037	8432	325	21.5	8.91	0.01	2.16	8.5±0.4	1.9	0.1	348	12.5	<0.02	0.008	310	129	242	26.9	22.8	0.01	21.8
	A007	8611	325	21.9	8.89	0.01	2.10	8.5±0.7	1.6	0.1	311	10.3	0.18	0.392	316	116	213	24.4	18.9	0.01	19.7
	A041	8737	330	22.1	8.73	0.10	3.06	9.0±0.2	3.3	0.2	512	15.6	<0.02	0.054	354	213	383	27.1	22.9	0.02	24.4
	A099	14850	1975	42.6	9.40	0.02	0.013	14.8±0.3	5.6	<0.1	26.1	0.9	<0.02	0.093	11.0	40	1.2	1.6	28.2	<2.1	17.4
	A100	14951	2050	43.7	9.31	0.07	0.014	10.7±0.5	5.2	<0.1	26.6	0.7	<0.02	0.135	13.9	40	0.8	1.6	28.2	<2.0	10.5
	A102	14986	2090	43.4	9.47	0.20	0.013	19.7±0.1	5.5	<0.1	25.0	0.5	<0.02	0.098	13.5	36	0.7	1.7	23.3	<2.2	11.1
igIII	A029	15095	2100	42.8	9.80	2.50	0.010	41.1±0.6	9.0	0.5	30.2	1.2	1.03	0.033	13.9	38	1.2	3.1	30.7	13.7	24.3
BuM	A103	15106	2095	36.7	8.29	0.01	0.016	22.3±0.1	13.5	0.2	26.8	1.5	0.29	0.641	68.0	31	2.6	1.8	30.2	4.0	32.5
ш	A104	15136	2090	43.4	9.36	0.15	0.017	17.4±0.3	11.0	<0.1	27.5	0.8	<0.02	0.077	13.1	54	1.2	3.9	28.3	<1.7	13.9
	A027	14794	1920	43.0	9.44	0.02	0.012	27.2±0.4	5.0	<0.1	26.6	0.9	<0.02	0.094	9.1	39	0.8	1.6	32.2	<2.4	13.1
	A126	15334	2035	43.2	9.27	0.02	0.054	17.9±0.1	412.0	<0.1	86.1	1.4	0.31	0.042	17.7	1189	7.8	2.6	26.6	<0.5	25.2

Table 3: Aqueous  $\delta^7$ Li and Li concentration measurements (this study) and major species concentrations reported by Bucher et al. (2012)

 $^a$ In case of BuMigIII HCO3^ concentrations were calculated to maintain charge balance  $^b2\sigma$  uncertainty

<u> </u>	Sample	рН	Quartz	Chalce- dony	Orthoclase	Albite	Calcite	Anhydrite	Kaolinite (Soltherm.H06) <sup>a</sup>	Kaolinite (EQ3/6) <sup>a</sup>	Gibbsite	AI(OH) <sub>3 (am)</sub>	Illite	log f <sub>CO2</sub>
	A005	9.11	0.41	0.09	3.64	3.35	0.15	-3.59	6.83	4.13	1.69	-1.96	4.33	-3.74
e	A038	9.03	0.54	0.22	3.22	2.89	0.61	-3.19	5.51	2.80	0.90	-2.75	2.90	-3.57
	A042	8.67	0.60	0.28	2.73	2.51	0.16	-3.02	4.71	2.00	0.45	-3.21	1.81	-3.12
rani	A035	8.74	0.50	0.17	0.42	0.34	0.14	-3.19	0.83	-1.87	-1.39	-5.05	0.81	-3.21
erG	A039	8.78	0.45	0.13	2.37	2.20	0.09	-3.15	4.55	1.85	0.52	-3.14	1.49	-3.33
Bristn	A043	8.70	0.52	0.20	2.36	2.13	0.07	-3.11	4.24	1.53	0.29	-3.36	1.21	-3.18
	A037	8.91	0.54	0.22	2.13	1.88	0.04	-3.45	3.53	0.82	-0.08	-3.74	0.50	-3.46
	A007	8.89	0.47	0.15	3.53	3.32	-0.05	-3.57	6.81	4.11	1.63	-2.03	4.14	-3.43
	A041	8.73	0.56	0.24	3.12	2.94	0.13	-3.07	5.58	2.87	0.91	-2.74	2.81	-3.23
	A099	9.40	0.08	-0.22	0.25	0.11	-0.01	-2.97	1.95	-0.55	-0.46	-3.83	-1.77	-5.34
	A100	9.31	0.13	-0.17	0.40	0.42	-0.02	-3.00	2.54	0.05	-0.21	-3.58	-1.22	-5.14
	A102	9.47	-0.04	-0.34	-0.41	-0.25	0.12	-3.03	1.61	-0.89	-0.51	-3.88	-2.42	-5.34
igIII	A029	9.80	-0.15	-0.45	-0.78	-0.98	0.54	-2.83	-0.22	-2.71	-1.31	-4.69	-3.86	-5.77
BuM	A103	8.29	0.51	0.20	2.72	2.35	0.04	-2.78	7.21	4.65	1.75	-1.70	3.66	-3.41
ш	A104	9.36	0.10	-0.20	0.13	0.11	0.30	-2.58	1.90	-0.60	-0.51	-3.88	-1.91	-5.23
	A027	9.44	0.12	-0.19	0.33	0.23	-0.10	-3.02	1.95	-0.55	-0.50	-3.87	-1.70	-5.47
	A126	9.27	0.09	-0.22	-0.03	-0.33	1.29	-0.26	1.42	-1.08	-0.73	-4.10	-2.56	-5.34

Table 4: Saturation indices of selected primary and secondary minerals calculated using TOUGHREACT in conjunction with the Soltherm. H06 database (Reed and Palandri, 2006)

<sup>a</sup> To discuss the possible precipitation of kaolinite, the saturation index was calculated using log(K) values tabulated in the EQ3/6 database (Wolery et al., 1992) in addition to the ones tabulated in the Soltherm database (Table 1, Reed and Palandri, 2006).

		Initial condition	Boundary condition (infiltrating fluid)
Temperature	°C	variable	10
рН	-	5.67	5.67
C(4) as HCO <sub>3</sub>	mol/kgH <sub>2</sub> O	1.5e-5	1.5e-5
Na⁺	mol/kgH <sub>2</sub> O	1.0e-10	1.0e-10
K <sup>+</sup>	mol/kgH <sub>2</sub> O	1.0e-10	1.0e-10
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	mol/kgH <sub>2</sub> O	1.0e-10	1.0e-10
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	mol/kgH <sub>2</sub> O	1.0e-10	1.0e-10
Al <sup>3+</sup>	mol/kgH <sub>2</sub> O	1.0e-10	1.0e-10
Li <sup>+</sup>	mol/kgH₂O	1.0e-10	1.0e-10
SiO <sub>2(aq)</sub>	mol/kgH <sub>2</sub> O	1.0e-10	1.0e-10
O <sub>2(aq)</sub>	mol/kgH <sub>2</sub> O	3.86e-4	3.86e-4
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	mol/kgH₂O	1.0e-10	1.0e-10
<sup>b</sup> Albite	vol frac (of solids)	0.31	0.0
Orthoclase	vol frac (of solids)	0.03	0.0
Quartz	vol frac (of solids)	0.48	0.0
<sup>a1</sup> Annite	vol frac (of solids)	0.02	0.0
<sup>a1</sup> Phlogopite	vol frac (of solids)	0.02	0.0
Muscovite	vol frac (of solids)	0.1	0.0
<sup>a2</sup> Chamosite	vol frac (of solids)	0.0075	0.0
<sup>a2</sup> Clinochlore	vol frac (of solids)	0.0075	0.0
Pyrite	vol frac (of solids)	0.01	0.0
Calcite	vol frac (of solids)	0.01	0.0
Kaolinite <sup>a3</sup>	vol frac (of solids)	0.0	0.0
<sup>6</sup> Li <sub>kaolinite</sub> <sup>a3</sup>	vol frac (of solids)	0.0	0.0
<sup>7</sup> Li <sub>kaolinite</sub> <sup>a3</sup>	vol frac (of solids)	0.0	0.0
Porosity	-	0.01	1.0

Table 5: Initial and boundary conditions defined for performing reactive transport model simulations.

<sup>ax</sup>end-member of solid solution x <sup>a</sup>Specified nineralogical composition is based on XRF analyses performed on BuMigIII (Seelig and Bucher, 2010) as well as on laser ablation ICP-MS measurements (electronic appendix).

Scenario	Scenario #	Max [Li] <sub>kaolinite</sub> (ppm)	* <b>k</b> <sub>kaolinite</sub>	Ekaolinite	δ <sup>7</sup> Li <sub>Li0.04Na0.96Cl</sub> (‰)	Li influx location (m)
Continuous Li influx	C1	75	base case	-50	8.7	-
	M1	25	base case	-50	8.7	-400
	M2	50	base case	-50	8.7	-400
	M3	75	base case	-50	8.7	-400
	M4	75	base case	-37.5	8.7	-400
Mixing scenario	M5	75	base case	-25	8.7	-400
(single point	M6	75	base case x 2/3	-50	8.7	-400
Li iliitaxj	M7	75	base case x 1/3	-50	8.7	-400
	M8	75	base case	-50	8.7	-200
	M9	75	base case	-50	8.7	-1200
	M10	50	base case	-50	1.7	-400

#### Table 6: Parameters chosen for the various model runs

\*base case: k<sub>25</sub>=1.98e-13 (Table 1)

Figure 1: Geological cross section through the Amsteg section of the Gotthard rail base tunnel. The two sections from which water and rock samples were taken for Li concentration and Li isotope measurements are highlighted (Bristner Granite and BuMigIII) (modified from Bucher et al., 2012).



Figure 2:  $\delta^7 \text{Li}$  values of BuMigIII water samples plotted against the pH (**a**), the [Li] (**b**), and the molar Li/Na ratio (**c**). The shown correlations were obtained by not considering the two samples with special features (e.g., anhydrite dissolution and low temperature). **d** Rayleigh model. The Rayleigh model shown in (**d**) ( $\delta^7 \text{Li} = \delta^7 \text{Li}_{\text{lni}} + 1000$ )f<sup> $\alpha$ -1</sup>)-1000) was obtained using an enrichment factor  $\epsilon$  of -50‰ ( $\epsilon$ =( $\alpha$ -1)\*1000), an initial  $\delta^7 \text{Li}$  of 7‰ and a [Li] of 17 µg/L at f=1.





Figure 3: Excellent linear correlation between Li<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> observed for groundwater samples collected from the Bristner Granite.

Figure 4: Comparison between experimentally determined and tabulated log(K) values for the following kaolinite hydrolysis reaction:  $Al_2Si_2O_5(OH)_4 + 3H_2O = 2AI(OH)_4^- + 2SiO_2 + 2H^+$ .





Figure 5: Li influx scenarios and corresponding model setups for simulating the infiltration of



Figure 6: General behaviour of the simulated interaction between infiltrating meteoric water and the granitic BuMigIII. Steady state profiles along the model are shown for temperature and pH (**a**), total major species concentrations (**b**), changes in mineral volume fractions relative to t=0 after a simulated time of 10'000 years (**c**), and saturation indices of selected mineral phases (**d**) and refer to a general situation where chemical equilibrium is approached along the flow path (e.g., v=1 m/year and  $A_{rtrac}$ =0.01 m<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>3</sup><sub>fractured\_medium</sub>)

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 7: General behavior of Li in the two Li influx scenarios (Fig. 5) at the same reaction progress as shown in Fig. 6. (a) continuous Li influx sceneario. (b) mixing scenario. (c) correlation between  $\delta^7 L$  and Li. Results correspond to an Li isotope enrichment and maximum amount of Li in kaolinite of -50‰ and 25 µg/g, respectively (simulations C1 and M3, Table 6).

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 8: Major species concentrations computed for the tunnel level and plotted against the pH to illustrate their behavior with respect to a variable reaction progress along the model domain (e.g., variable residence time). Also shown are corresponding measurements of BuMigIII groundwater samples.

Figure 9: Sensitivity analyses performed for the mixing scenario (Fig. 5b). (a) compares measured  $\delta^7$ Li and [Li] with values computed as a function of the reaction progress along the model domain (i.e., as a function of pH/residence time) and for a variable maximum Li concentration in kaolinite (simulations M1-M3, Table 6). (b) shows the same parameters as in **a**, but for a varying Li isotope enrichment factor (simulations M3-M5). In (c) the comparison between model and observations is shown for a varying kaolinite precipitation rate (simulations M3,M6,M7).

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 10. Two parameter combinations (simulation M7 and M10, Table 6) that can well approximate the correlations between  $\delta^7$ Li, Li and pH observed in the BuMigIII groundwater when running the model for a variable reaction progress (e.g., by varying the residence time).

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 11: Sensitivity of  $\delta^7$ Li values on the aqueous Li concentration. Computed Li concentration (a) and  $\delta^7$ Li (b) at the tunnel level are shown for a varying Li concentration of the simulated groundwater mixture (Fig. 5b) as a function of the reaction progress (i.e., pH).

![](_page_55_Figure_3.jpeg)