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#### Abstract

The prediction of the crushing strength of sands is still an unresolved problem in soil mechanics. For natural sand grains with a regular geometry, Weibull theory has long been adopted to explain the decay of a nominal crushing strength with increasing particle size. The Weibull parameters for a given soil relate strength to size, and, although useful, this is an empirical framework that does not consider the mechanics of crushing. This study aims to provide a more fundamental assessment of the relationship between size, roundness, the stresses induced within the particles, and their crushing strength. A new grain-scale failure criterion is proposed, based on physical experiments on artificial and natural particles, Hertzian analysis and numerical simulations. It considers the physical properties of the particles, including the shape of the grain, as described by the roundness, and both its elastic and plastic features in terms of Young's modulus, Poisson ratio and hardness. The new criterion is suitable for implementation in particle-scale crushing simulations of granular masses, using, for example, the discrete-element method, as well as in improved probabilistic frameworks.
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## INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to contribute to the understanding of grain-scale inelasticity of sand and to concentrate on the breakage process of individual natural irregular particles when axially compressed. The work is based on laboratory experiments on both artificial and natural particles, the outcomes of which have been processed using classical contact analysis. A numerical model has also been used to justify and better understand the assumptions made in the analysis presented.

Using a combination of physical experiments, as well as analytical and numerical simulations, to determine the stress state at a contact point, this contribution develops a new breakage criterion for granular materials made of irregular particles. Within the range of particle types considered, which includes glass beads, fine gravel and coarse sand, this criterion has been proved to be size independent, and enables a practical deterministic approach, based on real grain-scale properties, including Young's modulus, hardness and roundness.

## BACKGROUND

Experimental research on particle damage can be considered at two scales, hereafter referred to as the macro and particle scales. At the macro scale, changes in the characteristics of the particles are usually related to breakage, which can be defined and quantified according to established experimental methods (e.g. Hardin, 1985). At the particle scale the prediction of the resistance of individual particles to the loads transmitted through their contacts is a fundamental problem, but no failure criterion has been defined and widely accepted so far.
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## Macro scale

At the macro scale both Marsal (1967) and Hardin (1985) considered the evolution of the particle size distribution under compression. Performing large-scale triaxial testing on rockfill specimens for the construction of El Infernillo Dam, Marsal (1967) showed that the peak shear strength of these coarse materials in terms of ratio $\sigma_{1} / \sigma_{3}$ decreased as particle breakage increased. This observation was not captured in subsequent studies at lower stress levels. In fact they showed that for sand the shear strength as represented by $M=q / p^{\prime}$ is quite insensitive to breakage caused either in shear to large strains (Coop et al., 2004) or compression to high stresses (Coop \& Lee, 1993). Considering that the contribution of fines to breakage was practically negligible, Hardin (1985) focused on soil particles larger than 0.074 mm and proposed a relative breakage index, $B_{\mathrm{r}}$, based upon the change in shape of the particle size distribution curve. Working with rock fragments produced by weathering, Turcotte (1986) found that the number of particles $(N)$ of a given size is related to the particle size by the relationship $N \approx d^{-D}$, where $D$ is a fractal dimension.

In recent decades further studies have recognised that sand cannot be treated as an assembly of rigid unbreakable particles, and a number of works have considered sand particle crushing from a geotechnical perspective (McDowell et al., 1996; McDowell \& Bolton, 1998; Nakata et al., 1999; Robertson, 2000; McDowell, 2001). At the continuum level, the relevance of crushing to granular plasticity has also been investigated and assessed through experimental studies on coarse sand. For isotropic compression and shearing to critical states, Coop \& Lee (1993) showed there is a relationship between $B_{\mathrm{r}}$ and the mean effective stress $p^{\prime}$. Muir Wood (2006) proposed a grading state index, $I_{\mathrm{G}}$, which is defined as the ratio between the area under the particle size distribution curve for the current grading and the area under the curve given by a limiting fractal grading.

These contributions have shown that particle breakage is largely responsible for significant plastic bulk volume changes under different loading conditions that may be applied during element testing.

## Particle scale

There is a lack of consensus as to what is meant by strength at the particle scale. Some authors, following Fairhurst
(1964), Kendall (1978) and Lange (1973) have given detailed consideration to fracture mechanics as applied to particle strength (Kim \& Lade, 1984; Morel et al., 1992) and adopted the Brazilian breakage formula as the main reference. In this formula the tensile stress $\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}$ of a disc-sample having diameter $d$ and thickness $t$ and failing under a diametrically applied load $N_{\mathrm{f}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{2 N_{\mathrm{f}}}{\pi d t} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well established (Fairhurst, 1964) that equation (1) is adequate for predicting the crushing strength of spheres or rods. However, in the general case, irregular geometries and particular properties of the grain, such as hardness, bulk elasticity and fracture energy, must play a role in the ability of a particle to support a concentrated load for a given distribution of contacts.

In geomechanics particle crushing studies, inspired by equation (1), the simplified and practical criterion adopted by Lee (1992) is still largely used. It relies on the following definition of a nominal strength $\sigma_{\mathrm{f}}$ of a natural particle having average size $\bar{d}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{f}}=\frac{N_{\mathrm{f}}}{\bar{d}^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

When equation (2) is applied to a cylindrical rock specimen of size $\bar{d}=d=t$ it overestimates the maximum tensile stress by about $60 \%$ (i.e. by a factor $\pi / 2$ ) when compared to equation (1). It is therefore more appropriate to consider $\sigma_{\mathrm{f}}$ in equation (2) as a nominal strength, the value of which may differ significantly from the maximum real tensile stress at failure.

Both Jaeger (1967) and Hiramatsu \& Oka (1966) determined and proved by experiments an expression for the maximum tensile stress $\sigma_{\mathrm{f}}$ within an irregular particle compressed between two hard platens, and stated that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{f}}=0.225 N_{\mathrm{f}} /\left(d^{2} / 4\right)=0.9 N_{\mathrm{f}} / d^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the load at failure and $d$ is the distance between the two points of contact between the particle and the platen, which they suggested as being the smallest dimension of the particle. This expression actually provides a value of maximum tensile stress and therefore a nominal strength which is intermediate between the Brazilian strength and Lee's expression.

Laboratory experiments have revealed that the nominal strength is size dependent, decreasing with increasing size, and this is explained hypothetically as being caused by the increasing probability of larger flaws being present as the size of the particle increases. Lee (1992) considered a number of different sands and observed a linear relationship between $\log \left(\sigma_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ and $\log (\bar{d})$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(\sigma_{\mathrm{f}}\right)=a+b \log (\bar{d}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are the coefficients of the straight line in a $\log -\log$ space; $a$ is the $\log _{10}$ of the strength of the particle extrapolated for the unit value of $\bar{d}$ and $b$ is a coefficient $<1$ quantifying the severity of the size effect on the strength. He also showed that the smaller the mean size of the different types of particles considered, the further the behaviour is from that of the ideal fractal material. This confirmed the existence of a comminution limit, which had been introduced by Kendall (1969).

On the other hand, an upper limit was later defined by Scavia (1996). Working with sandstone and marble specimens, he defined a fracture energy, $\gamma$, as the ratio between the work to open a fracture and the area of the opened fracture. He found that $\gamma$ obeyed a fractal law revealing increasing
disorder within a critical size of the specimen. Beyond this size the variation of $\gamma$ with a further increase in size was not significant.

McDowell \& Bolton (1998) re-analysed Lee's data, and using the theory proposed by Weibull (1951) developed the following expression for the survival probability $P_{\mathrm{s}}(V)$ of a given particle volume, $V$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{s}}(V)=\exp \left[-\frac{V}{V_{0}}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{0}}\right)^{m}\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{0}$ and $\sigma_{0}$ are a reference volume and characteristic stress, respectively, and the exponent $m$ gives an indication of the uniformity of the nominal strength within the population of grains considered. Equations (4) and (5) are somewhat linked as $b=-m / 3$ (McDowell et al., 1996). McDowell \& Bolton (1998) proposed that $\sigma_{0}$ is the yield stress in normal compression. Nakata et al. (1999) also carried out a series of particle compression tests; they used a nominal strength as in equation (2) where $d$ equals the initial distance between the two platens of the crushing apparatus. However, McDowell (2001) argued that application of Weibull statistics to Nakata's data was not always appropriate, as it included particles that failed not only in a bulk tensile failure (as assumed in the Weibull formulation), but also due to asperity breakage. Note that the Weibull parameters for a given material are only applicable within the limits of the size range of particles tested.

Using the discrete-element method (DEM), and bonding spheres together to create agglomerates in a manner originally proposed by Robertson (2000), Cheng et al. (2003) simulated particle compression tests and, using 20 such simulations, a Weibull distribution of the nominal strength was deduced with a value $m=3$; that is, $b=-1$, the same order of magnitude as the values found by Lee (1992), who had determined values of $b$ within the range of $(-0.42)$ to $(-0.34)$ after working with quartz and limestone particles.

In recent decades several researchers (e.g. Bazant \& Le, 2013) have recognised that the Weibull statistical method is not entirely adequate for predicting the development of fractures within quasi-brittle materials under compression. Sand and glass are typical quasi-brittle materials as they can show limited post-yielding hardening under compression. The limitations of the Weibull model have also been recognised for tensile filament strength (Van der Zwaag, 1989).

Interestingly, using the failure criterion proposed by Christensen (2000), Russell et al. (2009) demonstrated that the crushing of brittle and faultless individual particles is governed by a critical stress and is attained where the ratio of shear to normal stress invariants $-J_{2} / J_{1}$ is a maximum, not where the tensile stress is a maximum. Discussions on experimental crushing and its implications on numerical simulations are still ongoing, and recently Hanley et al. (2015) showed that the real inhomogeneous stress distribution, rather than the mean particle stress, must be used with this failure criterion, while pointing out that this may have a prohibitive computational cost.

## PRE-FAILURE RESPONSE

Although Hertzian theory assumes a linear elastic material, the mechanical response according to the same theory is not linear due to the non-conformity of the two surfaces in contact and the equation $N=$ constant $\times \delta^{3 / 2}$ applies; $N$ is the contact normal force and $\delta$ is the deformation. It is also well established that if two elastic particles are compressed against each other, their response is 'Hertzian' only within a certain interval. Referring to Fig. 1, working with artificial grains Antonyuk et al. (2005) presented four stages of inter-platen


Fig. 1. Stages of inter-platen compression of an artificial grain, redrawn after Antonyuk et al. (2005)
compression with an initial stage I affected by deformation of micro-asperities. Cavarretta et al. (2010) also observed an initial ductile response in this stage. Furthermore, the Antonyuk et al. (2005) threshold force between stages II and III (Fig. 1) is consistent with the work of Greenwood \& Tripp (1967). They in fact claimed that the load-deformation relationship at a contact follows Hertz theory only if $N$ exceeds $N_{\mathrm{GT}}$, where $N_{\mathrm{GT}}$ is a threshold load, which depends on the roughness of the surfaces, the curvatures of the surfaces at the point of contact, and the Young's modulus of the material. Point F in Fig. 1 represents a yielding point as transition between stage II and stage III, where the behaviour again diverges from the Hertzian theory. Similar patterns have been found by Cavarretta et al. (2012), who showed how the Hertzian fit can be adopted for values of $N$ within the range: $N_{\mathrm{GT}}<N<n N_{\mathrm{GT}}$ where $n$ is an experimental factor that quantifies the amplitude of the interval within which the Hertzian response applies.

For $N>n N_{\mathrm{GT}}$ the response diverges from the Hertzian pattern and further permanent deformations occur as in stage III in Fig. 1. This is generally a softening stage, in which the load increases less than expected according to the Hertzian theory and reaches a maximum value $N_{\mathrm{c}}$ when abrupt fragmentation failures starts (point B in Fig. 1). There is eventually a stage IV when fragmentation develops with a non-monotonoic discontinuous function in the loaddeformation relationship. At this stage several peaks $N_{\text {fi }}$ can be reached, but, generally, hard materials such as quartz or feldspar show $N_{\mathrm{f} i}<N_{\mathrm{c}}$, similarly to the pattern in Fig. 1.

## FAILURE CRITERION

Seeking simplicity, a model is first considered here in which the extent of stage III in Fig. 1 is minimal, this is the case of fragile failure of hard natural particles, such as sand and other natural aggregates. It can therefore be assumed in the first place that points B and F in Fig. 1 tend to merge into one point, which would represent the end of the Hertzian compression. During Hertzian compression (stage II in Fig. 1), the area of contact increases with the average pressure $p_{m}$ at contact, which is a function of the normal force $N$ expressed by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{m}=\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{6 N E^{* 2}}{\pi^{3} R^{* 2}}\right)^{1 / 3}=\text { constant } \times N^{1 / 3} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant is a dimensional factor accounting for $1 / R^{*}$ and $E^{*} .1 / R^{*}$ is the relative curvature of the contact
$\left(1 / R^{*}=1 / R_{1}+1 / R_{2}\right)$ with $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ being the two averaged radii of curvature of the surfaces of the particles at the point of contact, and $E^{*}$ is the equivalent Young's modulus $\left(1 / E^{*}=\left(1-v_{1}^{2}\right) / E_{1}+\left(1-v_{2}^{2}\right) / E_{2}\right)$, where $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ and $\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$, respectively, are the values of Poisson ratio and Young's modulus of the two particles.

The failure criterion proposed here simply assumes that, for a given material, a unique value of the maximum mean contact pressure exists

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{m \max }=H \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is the hardness of the bulk. The hardness parameter, $H$, quantifies the resistance to deformation and can be measured using an indentation test and expressed with the general formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{N}{\alpha_{0} a^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the load, $a$ is a characteristic dimension of the impression made by the indenter, which is proportional to the size of the area of contact, and $\alpha_{0}$ is an indentation constant. In perfectly plastic materials $H$ relates to the yield stress. The advantage of this method (equations (6) and (7)) over the traditional approach (equation (2)) is that it can be numerically and experimentally validated and calibrated, and eventually enables quantitative predictions of inter-granular crushing force $N$ as function of the properties of the particles in contact; both geometrical properties $\left(R_{1}\right.$ and $\left.R_{2}\right)$ and mechanical properties ( $\sigma_{\max }, E_{1}, E_{2}, v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ ) are considered. This means, for example, that, unlike the Brazilian approach, the criterion proposed here can explain why the resistance of a cubical particle squeezed between two flat platens is higher than that of a sphere of the same size made of the same material.

As discussed above, the hypothesis of fragile fracture implies points B and F in Fig. 1 tend to merge. It is, however, convenient to express this hypothesis using the following relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\mathrm{p}} \approx d_{\mathrm{H}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which assumes that the diameter $d_{\mathrm{H}}$ of the Hertzian area of contact at the end of the elastic stage (stage II, at point F) roughly equals the size of the plastic contact $d_{\mathrm{p}}$ at failure (at point B). Substituting the expression for $d_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $d_{\mathrm{H}}$ into equation (9), the following can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{4 N / \pi H} \approx 2\left(3 N_{\mathrm{c}} R^{*} / 4 E^{*}\right)^{1 / 3} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the more general case, when the failure is not purely fragile, and a stage III exists, the following equation can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\mathrm{p}}=p_{\mathrm{f}} d_{\mathrm{H}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficient of proportionality $p_{\mathrm{f}}$ is defined as the plastic ratio and is claimed here to be an intrinsic property of a homogeneous set of particles. From equations (10) and (11) it can be expressed as a function of the crushing load ( $N_{\mathrm{c}}$ ), the hardness of the bulk $(H)$, the contact geometry $\left(R^{*}\right)$ and the elastic stiffness $\left(E^{*}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\mathrm{f}}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{p}}}{d_{\mathrm{H}}}=\frac{\sqrt{4 N_{\mathrm{c}} / \pi H}}{2\left(3 N_{\mathrm{c}} R^{*} / 4 E^{*}\right)^{1 / 3}}=\frac{N_{\mathrm{c}}^{1 / 6}}{\sqrt{\pi H}}\left(\frac{4 E^{*}}{3 R^{*}}\right)^{1 / 3} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## PHYSICAL EXPERIMENTS

Compression of both artificial and natural particles allowed further understanding of inter-particle contacts
and validation of the hypotheses outlined above. The experimental data considered include measurements documented by Cavarretta (2009) and additional data specifically generated for the current study.

## Artificial particles

Alkaline glass beads of $2.4-2.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ size were subjected to single particle compression tests using the apparatus illustrated in Fig. 2(a). For each bead four particle diameters $d_{i}(i=1-4)$ with $d_{i}>d_{i+1}(i=1-3)$ were measured at random orientations using a digital vernier caliper, and this allowed consistent shape evaluation of its ellipsoidal geometry. From each set of the four readings, the most probable intermediate diameter, either $d_{2}$ or $d_{3}$, was selected and this was done by discarding, between $d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$, the value $d_{j(j=2-3)}$ which minimised the expression $d_{j}-\left(d_{1}+d_{4}\right) / 2$. For example a set of measurements of $1 \cdot 6,1 \cdot 5,1 \cdot 2$ and $0.8(\mathrm{~mm})$ gives the values of the three principal diameters $d_{i(i=1-3)}$ respectively equal to $1 \cdot 6,1 \cdot 2$ and $0 \cdot 8(\mathrm{~mm})$.
The three principal diameters values ( $d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ ) of each particle tested in the device informed the evaluation of its shape descriptors, including the degree of sphericity, aspect ratio and roundness, each of which exceeded $0 \cdot 90$, and enabled the evaluation of the most appropriate diameter $d$ to


Fig. 2. (a) Crushing apparatus with three free armature linear variable differential transducers (LVDTs) - set-up for single-particle testing of a glass bead. (b) Set-up for inter-particle compression with two large glass beads mounted on their holders ready to be tested after adjusting the gap ' $g$ ' (image (a)) to a size larger than the confining cell ' $c$ '. (c) Vertical cross-section of the set-up using the confining cell ' $c$ '
use when estimating the nominal tensile strength $\sigma_{\mathrm{f}}$, as given by equation (3).

The roundness $R_{\mathrm{KS}}$ is the average radius of curvature of surface features relative to the radius of the maximum sphere that can be inscribed in the particle. Sphericity $S_{\mathrm{KS}}$, is quantified as the diameter of the largest inscribed sphere relative to the diameter of the smallest circumscribed sphere (Cho et al., 2006).

To evaluate $d$ it was considered that crushing failure must occur in the plane experiencing the maximum tensile stress, which is expected to include both $d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$, this assumption being justified by the following considerations.
(a) Once placed on the lower platen, the particle rests in its position of largest stability and therefore the smallest diameter $\left(d_{3}\right)$ is vertical and aligned with the compressive force transmitted by the two platens of the apparatus.
(b) The maximum tensile stress must act on the smallest vertical cross-section of the particle, the horizontal diameter of which is in fact $d_{2}$.

The size used to quantify the tensile strength of the particle was therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\left(d_{2} d_{3}\right)^{0.5} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

A typical load-displacement curve from these experiments is given here in Fig. 3; the failure was always brittle with explosive failure of the bulk after monotonic loading. Therefore stage IV was consistently missing in all these tests on glass beads.

The black circles in Fig. 4 represent the values $\left(d, \sigma_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ of six monotonic compression tests on single alkaline glass beads having size $d$ within the range $2 \cdot 4-2.9 \mathrm{~mm}$. A typical decay of strength with increasing size would seem to apply, despite the artificial and homogeneous nature of the particles tested.

In order to check the validity of the criterion introduced above (equation (12)), a complementary series of seven particle-particle compression tests were undertaken. A confining cell was custom-manufactured for this purpose. It comprises a brass, hollow cylinder, shown in Fig. 2(b), which is able to keep the particles aligned when they are clamped at the tips of two cylindrical mounts; one of these could slide effortlessly inside the confining cell (Fig. 2(b)). The vertical cross-section of this set-up is presented in Fig. 2(c).


Fig. 3. Typical load-displacement curve for glass beads, $\boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{= \mathbf { 2 } \cdot \mathbf { 5 } \mathrm { mm }}$


Fig. 4. Results of 13 crushing tests on glass beads (solid black symbols, single particle tests; open symbols, inter-particle tests; circles, tensile stress values; triangles, plastic flow ratio values)

The data from this second series of inter-particle tests are illustrated as open circles in Fig. 4. The value of $d$ of these points is given by the arithmetic average of the two $d$ values for the two beads tested. Despite the more favourable boundary condition constituted by the supporting edge of the hole, which tends to increase the compressive strength because of the greater confinement (Jaeger, 1967), the interparticle tests gave lower $\sigma_{\mathrm{f}}$ values than the single-particle tests. This suggested that the strength must depend upon the relative curvature at the contact. The relative radius $R^{*}$ at the contact of inter-particle tests is about $50 \%$ of the corresponding value for the single-particle test, when the spherical particle is in contact with a flat surface. For this data set an apparent decay of strength with increasing size was observed, but this decay can be clearly explained in terms of curvature rather than size, as larger particles have larger relative radii and the curvature at contact equals the inverse of the radius at contact, which for spherical beads coincides everywhere with the radius of the beads.

Equation (12) was applied to the outputs of the two series of tests. The values of $E^{*}$ used to calculate $p_{\mathrm{f}}$ were determined by assuming the Young's moduli of the steel platen and the glass ballotini to be 200 GPa and 70 GPa , respectively, and a hardness value of 1.5 GPa was assumed for the glass (Kendall, 1969; Grabco et al., 2002). The values of $p_{\mathrm{f}}$ are presented in Fig. 4 in terms of black and empty triangles, respectively, for single and inter-particle tests. A reasonably constant value of $p_{\mathrm{f}}$ was found against large variations of the corresponding nominal strength.

The main conclusions from Fig. 4 are as follows.
(a) The nominal tensile stress at failure is sensitive to the relative curvature of the contact.
(b) For a given geometric average diameter, each inter-particle compression test showed a nominal tensile stress lower than the nominal tensile stress measured for the single particle having the same nominal size.
(c) As the curvature at the points of contact increases, and the contacts become less conforming, the nominal tensile stress reduces.
(d) The plastic flow ratio, $p_{\mathrm{f}}$, appears to be invariant with particle diameter.

The observations above suggest that it would be appropriate to adopt the plastic flow ratio as a failure criterion in particlebased models that consider particle failure. Because of the
hypotheses on which this method relies it seemed, however, relevant to find some experimental evidence of the influence of the contact geometry on the failure process and prove that it originates in the zone of contact, and not from the core of the particles, as generally assumed in the Brazilian inspired criteria of particle crushing.

A repeated loading test including five loading-unloading stages of compression plus the final failure was carried out, using a pair of beads having a size of 2.0 mm . The original motivation for this cyclic test was to use an optical interferometer to assess whether the compressive load caused any modification to the contact topology. Referring to the results that are presented in Fig. 5, and in accordance with Antonyuk et al. (2005), stage I occurred with a soft initial displacement, which in this case was exaggerated by the compliance of the glue used to attach the particles to the mount. These outputs (Fig. 5(a)) showed, however, a plastic flow gradually developing within the bulk near the contact of the particle increasing the load, and the yielding between stages II and III appeared evident (Fig. 5(a)). The plastic flow was accompanied by a hardening process as the yielding stress increased with the number of cycles. A fatigue effect was also observed as the final brittle failure occurred under a load which was less than that achieved in the penultimate loading (Fig. 5(a)). Interferometer images (Figs 5(b)-5(f)) over a field of view of $100 \times 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, taken after managing to centre the point of inter-particle contact with a confidence of $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, show the damage of the bulk started near the contact under a load between 11 N and 122 N , with a first crack which was quite visible at 122 N (Fig. 5(d)), well below the largest load reached during the experiment and quite close to the first observed yielding point, at about 140 N (Fig. 5(a)). This suggested that crushing was the final stage of a plastic process, with a first splitting or even shearing discontinuity initiated very close to the point of inter-particle contact (Figs 5(d)-5(f)).

## Natural particles

The applicability of the failure criterion proposed above has been checked after considering the results of a series of crushing tests on 39 grains of quartz sand and listed in Table 1. These tests involved five types of natural materials. Four of them included Leighton Buzzard Sand (LBS), respectively consisting of the commercial types delivered to Surrey University by David Ball, UK (LBSA/DB) and 16/30, which was available at the Imperial College Laboratory (LBS16/30), plus fractions A and B, that were provided by the University of Cambridge where they had been originally characterised and tested by Lee (1992). The fifth type was from a sample of fine Hime Gravel (HG) obtained at Imperial College, and is a soil which has been well characterised in the literature (Tatsuoka et al., 1997). The inclusion of HG within the experimental programme extended the exploration across a broader assortment of angularity, with values of roundness ranging between 0.15 and 0.90 against the respective interval for LBS, which did not exceed $0 \cdot 16-0 \cdot 74$ (Table 1).

The three principal diameters and the nominal size $d$ of these grains were determined with the criterion mentioned in the previous section entitled 'Artificial particles'. The values of $d$ fall in the range between 0.73 mm and 2.29 mm (Table 1(a)). An optical microscopy image of a grain of LBSA is shown in Fig. 6.

In agreement with Cavarretta et al., 2010, the mean radius $R$ of the corner of the grain appeared the most convenient approximate choice to define the relative radius $R^{*}$ of the compressed contact for the tested particles and therefore, from the definition of roundness $R_{\mathrm{KS}}$


Fig. 5. Plastic bulk flow initiation near the contact in a repeated inter-particle compression test on large glass beads. (a) Load deformation response for loading sequence considered. Contour plots of surface elevation values and cross-section profiles after application of the the following loads: (b) before loading, (c) 11 N , (d) 122 N , (e) 241 N and (f) 481 N
(Cho et al., 2006), is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=R^{*}=R_{\mathrm{KS}} d_{\max } / 2 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{\text {max }}$, the diameter of the largest inscribed circle within the particle outline, was simply equalled to $d_{3}$.

In the present study the initial roundness $R_{\mathrm{KS}}$ of the crushed grains was measured following the method (known

Table 1. Size and roundness of the $\mathbf{3 6}$ natural particles considered in the study (the three discarded tests out of the 39 acknowledged in Table 2 have not been considered here)

| Particles <br> type | Number <br> of tests | $D: \mathrm{mm}$ |  | Roundness |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Min. | Max. | Min. | Max. |
| LBS16/30 | 4 | 0.73 | 1.03 | 0.40 | 0.62 |
| LBSB | 5 | 0.79 | 1.05 | 0.16 | 0.56 |
| LBSA | 11 | 1.23 | 2.16 | 0.23 | 0.74 |
| LBSA/DB | 8 | 1.43 | 2.03 | 0.27 | 0.72 |
| HG | 8 | 1.50 | 2.29 | 0.15 | 0.90 |

as 'IMR') introduced by Cavarretta (2009), which is based on two numerical correlations that were defined after an extensive shape study, when the reference silhouettes in the chart proposed by Krumbein and Sloss (1963) were accurately measured and checked using both microscope image analysis and a MatLab code (Cavarretta, 2009; Cavarretta et al., 2010). The first correlation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=0.52 \rho+0.501 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=4 \pi A / P^{2}$ is the circularity of a silhouette of surface area $A$ and perimeter $P$ as determined by the QicPic, a laser scanner shape analyser (Sympatec, 2008), and $\rho$ the regularity as defined by Cho et al. (2006)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=0.5\left(S_{\mathrm{KS}}+R_{\mathrm{KS}}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second correlation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{KS}}=(\mathrm{AR}-0.196) / 0.709 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the aspect ratio AR was determined as equal to the ratio $d_{3} / d_{1}$.

Table 2. Data for 39 crushing tests on single grains of Leighton Buzzard (LBS): (a) as presented in previous research (Cavarretta, 2009), and (b) as extended and processed in the current study

| (a) |  |  |  |  |  | (b) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test |  | Type of sand | $d: \mathrm{mm}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Roundness, } \\ R_{\mathrm{KS}} \end{gathered}$ | Strength: <br> MPa | Max. <br> force, $N_{\mathrm{c}}: \mathrm{N}$ | Radius of curvature, $R$ : mm | Mean pressure of contact at failure: MPa | Plastic flow ratio, $p_{\text {f }}$ |
| No. | Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 29066S7 | LBS16/30 | 0.73 | $0 \cdot 53$ | 45.65 | 27 | $0 \cdot 17$ | 5293 | $1 \cdot 03$ |
| 2 | 14056S5 | LBSB | $0 \cdot 79$ | $0 \cdot 36$ | $39 \cdot 02$ | 27 | $0 \cdot 14$ | 6294 | $1 \cdot 12$ |
| 3 | 61010S2 | LBSB | $0 \cdot 81$ | (0.03) | 43.92 | 32 | $0 \cdot 01$ | 33373 | (2.58) |
| 4 | 29066S6 | LBS16/30 | $0 \cdot 83$ | $0 \cdot 50$ | $47 \cdot 10$ | 36 | $0 \cdot 20$ | 5287 | $1 \cdot 03$ |
| 5 | 14056S9 | LBSB | $0 \cdot 86$ | $0 \cdot 51$ | 46.96 | 39 | $0 \cdot 22$ | 5196 | $1 \cdot 02$ |
| 6 | 29066S1 | LBS16/30 | 0.92 | $0 \cdot 62$ | $25 \cdot 47$ | 24 | $0 \cdot 24$ | 4146 | $0 \cdot 91$ |
| 7 | 14056S8 | LBSB | 0.93 | (0.03) | $50 \cdot 15$ | 48 | $0 \cdot 01$ | 36369 | (2.70) |
| 8 | 14056S6 | LBSB | $1 \cdot 00$ | $0 \cdot 16$ | $34 \cdot 91$ | 39 | $0 \cdot 08$ | 9974 | 1.41 |
| 9 | 61010S1 | LBSB | 1.01 | 0.56 | $20 \cdot 20$ | 23 | $0 \cdot 26$ | 3830 | $0 \cdot 88$ |
| 10 | 29066S5 | LBS16/30 | 1.03 | $0 \cdot 40$ | $20 \cdot 47$ | 24 | $0 \cdot 20$ | 4623 | $0 \cdot 96$ |
| 11 | 14056S7 | LBSB | $1 \cdot 05$ | $0 \cdot 35$ | 25.85 | 31 | $0 \cdot 17$ | 5765 | $1 \cdot 07$ |
| 12 | 14056S4 | LBSA | $1 \cdot 23$ | $0 \cdot 35$ | 8.92 | 15 | $0 \cdot 18$ | 4283 | 0.93 |
| 13 | 13056S2 | LBSA | $1 \cdot 31$ | $0 \cdot 74$ | 47.91 | 92 | $0 \cdot 45$ | 4224 | 0.92 |
| 14 | 14076S4 | LBSA | $1 \cdot 53$ | $0 \cdot 74$ | 26.45 | 69 | $0 \cdot 53$ | 3429 | $0 \cdot 83$ |
| 15 | 14076S2 | LBSA | $1 \cdot 58$ | $0 \cdot 47$ | $44 \cdot 80$ | 124 | $0 \cdot 37$ | 5321 | 1.03 |
| 16 | 14076S9 | LBSA | $1 \cdot 65$ | $0 \cdot 54$ | 14.28 | 43 | $0 \cdot 40$ | 3584 | $0 \cdot 85$ |
| 17 | 14076S6 | LBSA | 1.67 | 0.73 | 16.99 | 53 | $0 \cdot 48$ | 3355 | $0 \cdot 82$ |
| 18 | 14076S5 | LBSA | 1.70 | $0 \cdot 55$ | 24.09 | 77 | $0 \cdot 46$ | 3931 | $0 \cdot 89$ |
| 19 | 14076S8 | LBSA | 1.92 | (0.01) | 18.97 | 78 | $0 \cdot 01$ | 53599 | (3.27) |
| 20 | 14076S0 | LBSA | 1.97 | $0 \cdot 39$ | $30 \cdot 29$ | 131 | $0 \cdot 35$ | 5675 | 1.07 |
| 21 | 14076S7 | LBSA | 1.97 | $0 \cdot 33$ | $15 \cdot 40$ | 67 | $0 \cdot 32$ | 4742 | 0.97 |
| 22 | 14076S3 | LBSA | $2 \cdot 14$ | $0 \cdot 35$ | 23.84 | 121 | $0 \cdot 36$ | 5432 | $1 \cdot 04$ |
| 23 | 14076S 1 | LBSA | $2 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 23$ | $23 \cdot 26$ | 121 | $0 \cdot 23$ | 7175 | $1 \cdot 20$ |
| 24 | 1612251 | LBSA/DB | $1 \cdot 86$ | $0 \cdot 55$ | 23.84 | 74 | $0 \cdot 42$ | 4103 | 0.91 |
| 25 | 1612252 | LBSA/DB | $1 \cdot 43$ | $0 \cdot 45$ | $27 \cdot 80$ | 51 | $0 \cdot 23$ | 5419 | $1 \cdot 04$ |
| 26 | 1612253 | LBSA/DB | $2 \cdot 00$ | $0 \cdot 72$ | $34 \cdot 84$ | 126 | $0 \cdot 63$ | 3744 | $0 \cdot 87$ |
| 27 | 1612254 | LBSA/DB | 2.03 | $0 \cdot 56$ | 14.08 | 52 | $0 \cdot 40$ | 3795 | $0 \cdot 87$ |
| 28 | 1612255 | LBSA/DB | 1.52 | $0 \cdot 70$ | 38.28 | 80 | $0 \cdot 45$ | 4018 | $0 \cdot 90$ |
| 29 | 1612256 | LBSA/DB | 1.75 | $0 \cdot 27$ | $10 \cdot 56$ | 29 | $0 \cdot 22$ | 4634 | $0 \cdot 96$ |
| 30 | 1612257 | LBSA/DB | 1.73 | $0 \cdot 67$ | $44 \cdot 44$ | 120 | $0 \cdot 50$ | 4319 | 0.93 |
| 31 | 1612258 | LBSA/DB | $1 \cdot 74$ | $0 \cdot 42$ | 13.54 | 37 | $0 \cdot 34$ | 3744 | $0 \cdot 87$ |
| 32 | 1603011 | HG | 1.99 | $0 \cdot 57$ | 35.64 | 127 | $0 \cdot 56$ | 4057 | 0.90 |
| 33 | 1603012 | HG | 1.92 | $0 \cdot 90$ | 41.74 | 138 | $0 \cdot 70$ | 3628 | $0 \cdot 85$ |
| 34 | 1603013 | HG | $1 \cdot 84$ | $0 \cdot 55$ | 23.40 | 71 | $0 \cdot 44$ | 3952 | $0 \cdot 89$ |
| 35 | 1603014 | HG | $2 \cdot 20$ | $0 \cdot 15$ | 43.93 | 191 | $0 \cdot 15$ | 11447 | $1 \cdot 51$ |
| 36 | 1603015 | HG | $2 \cdot 28$ | $0 \cdot 38$ | $49 \cdot 40$ | 232 | $0 \cdot 43$ | 5956 | $1 \cdot 09$ |
| 37 | 1603016 | HG | $2 \cdot 29$ | $0 \cdot 36$ | 14.21 | 67 | $0 \cdot 40$ | 4153 | 0.91 |
| 38 | 1603017 | HG | $1 \cdot 50$ | $0 \cdot 49$ | $40 \cdot 75$ | 83 | $0 \cdot 34$ | 4956 | $1 \cdot 00$ |
| 39 | 1603018 | HG | 1.91 | $0 \cdot 63$ | $40 \cdot 06$ | 132 | $0 \cdot 55$ | 4156 | 0.91 |

[^1]It is worth noting here that, because of its regular and consistent shape with varying size, LBS has been widely investigated in many experimental studies on sand crushing conducted over the last decades. A geometrical self-similarity in fact holds between all the five fractions of LBS (A to E) generally used by researchers, the average sizes of the coarsest (LBSA) and finest (LBSE) fractions being, respectively, around 2.2 mm and 0.3 mm .

Figure 7 shows some results of a combined preliminary shape analysis on batches from fractions LBSA and LBSE. The open points in Figs 7(b) and 7(d) represent the average values of $S_{\mathrm{KS}}$ and $R_{\mathrm{KS}}$ determined, while the standard deviation of these descriptors corresponds to the distances between the open point and the dashed lines. The similarity of form between the particles of the two fractions is reflected by the limited translation of the dashed quadrangle along a line of roughly equal sphericity in the reference chart.

The HG particles are quite variable in colour and shape. Mainly made of quartz, they have been used as an alternative natural material to strengthen the conclusions of the experimental study.


Fig. 6. Light microscopy image of grain of LBSA


The roundness value $R_{\mathrm{KS}}$ of the 39 tested particles were determined by rearranging equation (16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathrm{KS}}=2 \rho-S_{\mathrm{KS}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain an accurate evaluation of $\rho$, the initial values $\rho_{\text {visual }}$, visually estimated in accordance with the method outlined in Cho et al. (2006), were corrected using equation (15), through calibration of $C_{\text {visual }}=0 \cdot 52 \rho_{\text {visual }}+0.501$ against the range of values of $C$ obtained by the QicPic, which led to the relationships $\rho=0.63 \rho_{\text {visual }}+0 \cdot 15$ for the set LBSA-LBSB, $\rho=0 \cdot 50 \rho_{\text {visual }}+0 \cdot 30$ for the set LBS16/30, $\rho=0.70 \rho_{\text {visual }}+0.17$ for the set LBS/DB and $\rho=0.76 \rho_{\text {visual }}+$ 0.20 for the set HG.

The determined values of $R_{\mathrm{KS}}$ are listed in Table 2 and ranged between $0 \cdot 15$ and $0 \cdot 90$, with the exception of three determinations out of 39 , when the resulting values of $R_{\mathrm{KS}}$ were lower than $0 \cdot 03$. These three values $(7 \cdot 7 \%$ of the total number of determinations) have to be discarded as arguably affected by either errors or limitations of the IMR. The values of $R$ obtained by equation (18) (Table 1(b)) were substituted into equation (12) where $E=54000 \mathrm{MPa}$ and $H=5000 \mathrm{MPa}$ have been, respectively, the values of the Young's modulus and hardness consistently given to all the sand grains tested. The resultant plastic flow ratio data are given in Table 2 and plotted in Fig. 8, along with the nominal strength values.

The main conclusions from Fig. 8 are as follows.
(a) In agreement with previous research mentioned above, the nominal strength values of the particles tested appear quite dispersed and a general Weibullian decay of these values with increasing particle diameter is evident.
(b) The plastic flow ratio, $p_{\mathrm{f}}$, is invariant with particle diameter as the coefficient of variation (COV) of the nominal strength was 0.415 against a corresponding value of $0 \cdot 15$ for the $p_{\mathrm{f}}$ of the unbiased class of 36 out 39 natural particles.

Fig. 7. Illustration of self-similarity between the coarsest (LBSA) and finest (LBSE) fractions of LBS, with representation of the respective QicPic silhouettes (a) and (c), and visual estimation of the correspondent sphericity and roundness (b) and (d) using the reference chart from Krumbein and Sloss (1963)


Fig. 8. Results of crushing tests on single grains of LBS (A, B, 16/30, DB) and Hime Gravel (HG) (the three grey filled circles represent discarded plastic flow ratio values)


Fig. 9. Weibull distribution of the nominal strength after 39 crushing tests on single grains of LBS (A, B, 16/30, DB) and Hime Gravel (HG). The most dispersed point below the regression line is the output of test no. 10 on LBS16/30

To compare the effectiveness of the proposed failure criterion against the Weibull approach, the nominal strength values have also been plotted against the $\log _{10}$ values of size $d$ as in Fig. 9. The slope of the regression line of these values is $b=-0 \cdot 398$. The Weibull modulus obtained was therefore $m=-3 / b=7 \cdot 54$, within the range $7 \cdot 1-8 \cdot 4$ determined by Lee (1992) working with limestone and quartz coarse sand and fine gravel. The ratios between the nominal strength and the best predictable strength for each size $d$, as determinable from the regression line in Fig. 9, have been evaluated and the correspondent COV equalled $0 \cdot 409$, which is only a small improvement over the raw COV value 0.415 mentioned above for the nominal strength for the class considered. This exercise showed that the plastic flow ratio criterion gives an almost three-fold $(0 \cdot 41 / 0 \cdot 15=2 \cdot 7)$ improvement in the level of confidence in predicting the crushing strength of individual particles when compared to the traditional method based on the Weibull approach. In addition it must be noticed that,


Fig. 10. Principal stresses $\sigma_{z}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{r}}$ and associated maximum tangential stress $\tau_{1}$ along the compression axis in the region of contact. Stresses and depth $z$ below the contact have respectively been normalised against the average contact pressure $p_{m}$ and the radius of contact $a$ (grey lines: $v=0$; black lines: $v=0.5$ )
even if the three most dispersed values in the double log plot in Fig. 9 were to be discarded, the ratio between the two COVs would only decrease from 2.7 to 2.5 .

The above observations suggest that the plastic flow ratio criterion can be used to predict failure of natural grains and confirm that the crushing strength of homogeneous particles is roundness dependent rather than size dependent. The size must be in fact an indirect effect, as contact between larger particles of the same type implies larger conformity at the point where the compressive force is applied.

## ANALYTICAL AND NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

A theoretical investigation has been carried out to check whether classical failure criteria for continuum mechanics are compatible with the experimental evidence presented above. The simple case of contact between a pair of equal spherical particles withstanding a mutual compressive force $F$ has been considered.


Fig. 11. Comparison between (a) numerical and (b) analytical solution for the deviator stress occurring in two elastic spheres in contact and compressed by a normal force $F ; \boldsymbol{d}=\mathbf{2 . 6 0} \mathbf{~ m m}$, approaching distance 0.021 mm , Young's modulus $E=73 \mathrm{GPa}$, Poisson ratio $v=0 \cdot 17$, density $\rho=2200 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{\mathbf{3}}$. The deviation is $\mathbf{2 \%}$

Figure 10 shows the normalised results of Matlab calculations carried out on the basis of the equations presented in Johnson (1985), as developed from Hertz's theory considering contact between two equal spheres for Poisson ratio values of 0.0 and 0.5 . This figure shows that, irrespective of the diameter, the variation of the principal stresses and the associated value of the maximum shear stress along a straight line connecting the centre of the particle and the centre of the sphere to a depth equal to the radius of contact. This exercise shows first that the principal stresses $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{z}$ and $\sigma_{2}=\sigma_{3}=\sigma_{\mathrm{r}}$ never exceed $1.5 p_{m}$, with $p_{m}$ being the average contact pressure. Second, the maximum shear stress occurs just below the point of contact at a normalised depth of $z / a=0 \cdot 4$, whatever the elastic property of the bulk, with $a$ the radius of contact and $z$ the depth beneath the contact plane. The amount of this maximum shear stress is, however, monotonically Poisson ratio dependent, with the lowest value for those materials that exhibit zero volumetric compressibility $(v=0 \cdot 5)$ and the highest value reached when the axial compression does not produce any lateral expansion ( $v=0 \cdot 0$ ). A Tresca criterion therefore applies, as results of the test shown in Fig. 5 confirm that a quasi-ductile failure initiates near the point of contact where the shear stress is maximum. This outcome agrees with previous findings by Russell et al. (2009).

In Fig. 11 the outputs of a numerical simulation of the test shown in Fig. 5 are presented. This analysis was carried out implementing the finite-element method using Comsol $4 \cdot 3 \mathrm{~b}$ (Comsol, 2013) and assuming the particle bulk to be homogeneous and linearly elastic. The properties of the particles have been assumed as follows: density, $\rho=2200 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, Young's modulus, $E=73 \mathrm{GPa}$, Poisson ratio, $v=0 \cdot 17$ (Horn \& Deere, 1962). The default augmented Lagrangian method available in Comsol (2013) was used. It ensures no penetration between the contacting bodies will occur. A contact pair was created with both bodies in contact. Uniaxial symmetry applies and no friction is mobilised as no shear occurs at the point of symmetry. To enable convergence and accuracy, the size of the mesh in the sphere near the contact was less than half the size of the mesh used in the plate near the contact. This optimal mesh was found through sensitivity analysis, repeating the study multiple times while
increasing the mesh refinement level each time. The process was completed and convergence was judged to occur when results including maximum stress and strain did not vary significantly with further refinement of the mesh. To limit the computational cost and accounting for the axial symmetry of the system, only a $\pi / 4$ sector of the model has been considered (Fig. 11(a)) and the contact force applied was therefore one-eighth of the compressive force acting on the contact.

The main numerical results of the simulations are shown in Fig. 11(a). Critical stresses occur along the axes of symmetry where the von Mises octahedral shear stress coincides with the deviator stress $\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}$ and reaches the largest value, $\sigma_{\mathrm{vm}-\max }=2695 \mathrm{MPa}$, and corresponds to a critical shear stress, $\tau_{1}=1348 \mathrm{MPa}$. Figure 10(a) shows that the failure conditions must first be reached near the point of contact and there is no evidence of any critical tensile stress near the core of the particles, where instead the deviator stress drops dramatically. The outputs of the Hertzian analytical validation of the finite-element model are summarised in Fig. 11(b). The agreement between the two calculations is strong, with a deviation of the largest deviator stress with $2 \%$ only. Furthermore, the simulation matches closely the experiment in Fig. 5 with a predicted yielding force of 120 N against a corresponding measured force of 122 N and a diametric approaching displacement of $21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, as expected around half the measured inter-platen approaching displacement, which was $0 \cdot 5(150-100)=25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## CONCLUSIONS

As noted by earlier authors, the mechanics of particle behaviour in seemingly simple particle compression tests is relatively complex. Even if the materials are linearly elastic, geometrical considerations mean that the load-deformation response is non-linear, as recognised by Hertz. Earlier geomechanics studies that have considered particle crushing or breakage have noted a size-dependency in the response (larger particles fail at a smaller stress level) and this has been attributed to the higher probability of larger material flaws existing within larger particles.

Here the relationship between particle size, roundness, stress induced within the particles and crushing strength has been discussed, using real experiments on artificial and natural particles and Hertzian contact mechanics assisted by numerical simulations. The main findings are listed below.
(a) Crushing failure is sensitive to the relative curvature of the contacts and size dependency is not necessarily due to the occurrence of material flaws, but holds anyway because for particles with the same Young's modulus and hardness the crushing force is proportional to the square of the relative radius of contact $R^{*}$. Although $R^{*}$ is not necessarily proportional to the size, smaller particles will tend to have lower $R^{*}$ values.
(b) For a given geometry of particle contacts, crushing failure is governed by the maximum shear stress the bulk can withstand and happens as a fracture process that initiates near the point of contact where this critical shear stress is first reached, while as expected the Poisson ratio is not influential if the deformation under load is unconfined.
(c) For a given set of particles, a plastic flow ratio $p_{\mathrm{f}}$ can be defined as a constant monomial quantity, which is not size dependent but incorporates only the value of the crushing force and the main mechanical and shape properties of the contact.
(d) Roundness $R_{\mathrm{KS}}$ is a key shape descriptor of the particle, affecting the relative radius of curvature at contact $R^{*}$ and consequently $p_{\mathrm{f}}$, in opposition with the minimum principal diameter $d_{3}$. It has been proved in fact that equation (14) holds and includes $R_{\mathrm{KS}}$ and $d_{3}$ as two inversely proportional quantities. In a population of grains having the same diameter $d_{3}$, more rounded grains have larger $R^{*}$ and can withstand larger loads, and in a population of grains having the same roundness, larger grains can withstand larger load as they have larger $R^{*}$.

The new parameter $p_{\mathrm{f}}$ could therefore be used as a failure criterion in particle-based models of granular material response, including the DEM. It could also be used in new probabilistic frameworks based on shape, rather than size.
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## NOTATION

$A$ surface area
$a$ characteristic dimension of indenter
$a, b$ coefficients defined in equation (4)
$B_{\mathrm{r}}$ breakage index
$C$ circularity
$D$ fractal dimension
d particle size
$\bar{d}$ average particle size
$d_{\mathrm{H}}$ diameter of contact at end of Hertzian regime
$d_{\mathrm{p}} \quad$ size of plastic contact at failure
E Young's modulus
$E^{*}$ equivalent Young's modulus
$F$ normal force
$H$ hardness
$I_{\mathrm{G}}$ grading state index
$M$ gradient of critical state line onto $q-p^{\prime}$ plane
$m$ parameter of Weibull distribution
$N$ contact normal force
$N_{\text {c }} \quad$ crushing load
$N_{\mathrm{f}}$ load at failure for a generic particle
$N_{\text {fi }} \quad$ peaks values of $N$ after initial fragmentation
$N_{\mathrm{GT}}$ threshold load beyond which the Hertzian response applies ratio between largest load and $v_{\mathrm{GT}}$ within the Hertzian response
$P$ perimeter
$P_{\mathrm{s}}(V)$ probability function
mean effective stress
$d_{\mathrm{p}} / d_{H}$
average pressure at contact
deviator stress
radius of curvature at contact
relative radius of curvature at contact
$R_{\mathrm{KS}}$ roundness
$S_{\mathrm{KS}}$ sphericity
thickness
volume
indentation constant
deformation
Poisson ratio
regularity
nominal strength
$\sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \quad$ tensile stress
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