## Immunohistochemistry (IHC) Evaluation of a Novel 4-Protein Prognostic and Predictive Biomarker Panel in Endometrial Cancer (EC)

Bihani Kularatne, Rupali Arora, Gabrielle Elshstein, Naomi Guppy, Amy A Kirkwood, Tim Meyer, Rebecca Kristeleit

**Background:** EC is common and incidence has increased by 65% in 40 years. There are no validated biomarkers or approved targeted therapies in clinical use. This study evaluates a novel biomarker panel in EC by correlating clinico-pathological features and tumour tissue expression levels of p53, PTEN, phospho-P70S6K (pS6), phospho-Stathmin (pSTMN). pS6 and pSTMN activity is influenced by PI3K/Akt pathway activation which frequently occurs in EC.

**Methods:** The 144 EC patients who had primary surgery from January 2006 to December 2010 at University College London Hospital were retrospectively identified and included in this analysis. Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. Antibodies for p53, PTEN, pS6 and pSTMN were optimised for use in this study. IHC was performed on surgical resection specimens. Standard scoring methods incorporating percentage of cells stained and staining intensity were applied.

**Results:** Univariate analysis for disease specific survival (DSS) showed, as expected, nonendometrioid histology, grade (G)3 tumour, presence of lymphovascular invasion or myometrial invasion (MI) and advanced FIGO stage conferred poor DSS. The overexpression of p53 and pSTMN was also associated with poor DSS. In multivariate analysis G3 histology, MI, p53 and pSTMN overexpression were the only factors that remained significantly associated with poor DSS.

**Conclusions:** We demonstrate for the first time that p53 and pSTMN overexpression are independent predictors of DSS in EC and may be useful prognostic biomarkers. Overexpression of pSTMN may predict sensitivity to PI3K pathway inhibitors in EC. Prospective evaluation is warranted in clinical studies.

Variables	Categories	Univariable Analysis				Multivariable Analysis	
		n	Deaths	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	p value	Hazard Ratio (95% Cl)	p value
Age	<65	59	7	1.91 (0.79-4.62)	0.15	3.43 (0.33-35.14)	0.3
	≥65	85	17				
Histological	Endometrioid	128	16				
sub-type	Non-		_	4.90 (2.09-11.48)	<0.001	0.18 (0.008-3.99)	0.28
	endometrioid	16	8				
Grade	1 and 2	94	5	9.02 (3.36-24.19)	<0.001	47.14 (2.02-	0.02
	3	50	19			1097.86)	0.02
Size	<3 cm	55	8	1.18 (0.51-2.77)	0.69	NA	NA
	≥3 cm	88	16				
Lymphovascular	Absent	98	10	4.16 (1.83-9.42)	0.001	2.51 (0.44-14.31)	0.3
Invasion	Present	46	14				
Myometrial	<50%	74	8	2.85 (1.21-6.71)	0.02	129.09 (4.99-	
Invasion	≥50%	67	16			3341.45)	0.003
FIGO	1 and 2	118	12	5.73 (2.41-13.65)	<0.001	1.03 (0.09-11.57)	1.00
	3 and 4	22	9				
Adjuvant	Didn't receive	82	8	2.23 (0.92-5.38)	0.07	0.31 (0.03-2.9)	0.3
Radiotherapy	Received	57	13				
Adjuvant	Didn't receive	112	13	2.79 (1.19-6.53)	0.02	0.33 (0.03-3.61)	0.36
- Chemotherapy	Received	28	9				
p53	Negative	74	7	2.9 (1.18-7.11)	0.02	64.68 (1.59- 2637.75)	0.03
Expression	Positive	55	15				
PTEN	Negative	99	13	2.22 (0.92-5.37)	0.08	4.44 (0.85-23.12)	0.07
Expression	Positive	28	8				
phospho- P70S6K	Negative	32	5	1.27 (0.47-3.41)	0.64	NA	NA
Expression	Positive	96	18				
phospho- stathmin	Negative	98	5	12.34 (4.48-	<0.001	17.23 (1.03-286.91)	0.047
Expression	Positive	32	15	34.00)		、	

Table-1. Cox proportional hazard regression model comparing clinico-pathological features and biomarker expression with DSS. NA – not applicable. HR-hazard ratio.