Supplementary Material Table S1: CHEERS Checklist

Item	Recommendation	Page
		number
Title	Identify the study as an economic evaluation or use more	1
	specific terms such as "cost-effectiveness analysis", and	
	describe the interventions compared.	
Abstract	Provide a structured summary of objectives, perspective,	2
	setting, methods (including study design and inputs), results	
	(including base case and uncertainty analyses), and conclusions	
Introduction		
Background and	Provide an explicit statement of the broader context for the	4
objectives	study.	
	Present the study question and its relevance for health policy or	
	practice decisions.	
Methods		
Target population	Describe characteristics of the base case population and	5
	subgroups analysed, including why they were chosen	
Setting and	State relevant aspects of the system(s) in which the decision(s)	6
location	need(s) to be made.	
Study perspective	Describe the perspective of the study and relate this to the	5,6
	costs being evaluated.	
Comparators	Describe the interventions or strategies being compared and	6
	state why they were chosen.	
Time horizon	State the time horizon(s) over which costs and consequences	5
	are being evaluated and say why appropriate	
Discount rate	Report the choice of discount rate(s) used for costs and	9,10
	outcomes and say why appropriate	
Choice of health	Describe what outcomes were used as the measure(s) of benefit in	7,8
outcomes	the evaluation and their relevance for the type of analysis	
	performed	
Measurement of	Describe fully the design features of the single effectiveness study	8-11
effectiveness	and why the single study was a sufficient source of clinical	
	effectiveness data	
Measurement and	If applicable, describe the population and methods used to elicit	n/a

valuation of	preferences for outcomes.	
preference based		
outcomes		
Estimating	Describe approaches used to estimate resource use associated	10
resources and costs	with the alternative interventions. Describe primary or secondary	
	research methods for valuing each resource item in terms of its	
	unit cost. Describe any adjustments made to approximate to	
	opportunity	
Currency, price,	Report the dates of the estimated resource quantities and unit	10
date and	costs. Describe methods for adjusting estimated unit costs to the	
conversion	year of reported costs if necessary. Describe methods for	
	converting costs into a common currency base and the exchange	
	rate	
Choice of model	Describe and give reasons for the specific type of decision-	9,10
	analytical model used. Providing a figure to show model structure	
	is strongly recommended	
Assumptions	Describe all structural or other assumptions underpinning the	8,9
	decision-analytical model	
Analytical methods	Describe all analytical methods supporting the evaluation. This	8,9
	could include methods for dealing with skewed, missing, or	
	censored data; extrapolation methods; methods for pooling data;	
	approaches to validate or make adjustments (such as half cycle	
	corrections) to a model; and methods for handling population	
	heterogeneity and uncertainty.	
Results		
Study parameters	Report the values, ranges, references, and, if used, probability	9-11
	distributions for all parameters. Report reasons or sources for	
	distributions used to represent uncertainty where appropriate.	
	Providing a table to show the input values is strongly	
	recommended	
Incremental costs	For each intervention, report mean values for the main categories	12-13
and outcomes	of estimated costs and outcomes of interest, as well as mean	
	differences between the comparator groups. If applicable, report	
	incremental cost-effectiveness ratios.	

Characterising	Describe the effects of sampling uncertainty for the estimated	Tables
uncertainty	incremental cost and incremental effectiveness parameters,	4,5
	together with the impact of methodological assumptions (such as	
	discount rate, study perspective).	
Characterising	If applicable, report differences in costs, outcomes, or cost-	Tables
heterogeneity	effectiveness that can be explained by variations between	4,5
	subgroups of patients with different baseline characteristics or	
	other observed variability in effects that are not reducible by more	
	information	
Discussion		
Study findings,	Summarise key study findings and describe how they support the	14
limitations,	conclusions reached. Discuss limitations and the generalisability of	
generalisability,	the findings and how the findings fit with current knowledge	
and current		
knowledge		
Source of funding	Describe how the study was funded and the role of the funder in	16
	the identification, design, conduct, and reporting of the analysis.	
	Describe other non-monetary sources of support	
Conflicts of interest	Describe any potential for conflict of interest of study contributors	17
	in accordance with journal policy. In the absence of a journal	
	policy, we recommend authors comply with International	
	Committee of Medical Journal Editors recommendations.	