

Draft Manuscript for Review

Effect of water activity on reaction kinetics and intergranular transport: Insights from the Ca(OH)2 + MgCO3 \rightarrow CaCO3 + Mg(OH)2 reaction at 1.8 GPa

Journal:	Journal of Petrology
Manuscript ID	JPET-Jan-15-0005.R3
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Date Submitted by the Author:	29-Jun-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Gasc, Julien; Ecole Normale Supérieure, Laboratoire de Geologie; CNRS, Geosciences Montpellier Brunet, Fabrice; Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, ISTERRE Brantut, Nicolas; University College London, Rock and Ice Physics Laboratory, Department of Earth Sciences Corvisier, Jérôme; Mines ParisTech, Centre de Géosciences Findling, Nathaniel; Université Grenoble Alpes, ISTERRE Verlaguet, Anne; Université Pierre et Marie Curie, ISTEP Lathe, Christian; GeoForschungZentrum, Hasylab - Desy
Keyword:	water, experimental petrology, metamorphic petrology, carbonate

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

1		
2 3		
4 5	1	Effect of water activity on reaction kinetics and intergranular transport:
6 7	2	Insights from the Ca(OH) ₂ + MgCO ₃ \rightarrow CaCO ₃ + Mg(OH) ₂ reaction at 1.8 GPa
8 9 10	3	
11 12 13	4	
14 15	5	Authors
16 17 18	6	Julien GASC ¹
19 20 21	7	Laboratoire de Géologie, École normale supérieure, CNRS-UMR8538, Paris, France
22 23	8	
24 25 26	9	Fabrice Brunet [⊠]
27 28	10	Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, ISTERRE, Grenoble, France
29 30 31	11	
32 33	12	Nicolas Brantut
34 35 36	13	Earth Sciences Department, University College London, UK
37 38 20	14	
39 40 41	15	Jerome Corvisier
42 43 44	10	Centre de Geosciences, Mines Paris rech, Fontainebleau, France
45 46	18	
47 48 49	19	Université Grenoble Alpes, ISTERRE, Grenoble, France
52 53	20	
54 55	21	
50 57 58 59 60	22	ISTEP, – Universite Pierre et Marie Curie, 4 place Jussieu, Paris, France

1		
2		
3	23	
5		
6	24	Christian LATHE
/ 8	25	
9	25	GFZ, Telegraphenberg, D-14473 Potsdam, Germany
10	26	
11	26	
12		
13	27	Current Address: Geosciences Montpellier, Université de Montpellier-CNRS, Montpellier, France
15		
16	28	\sim IEL : +33 4/6 514 106, Fax: +33 4/6 635 252 e-mail : <u>tabrice.brunet@univ-grenoble-alpes.tr</u>
17		
18	29	
19		
20		
21		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
20 29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41 42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
4/		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53 54		
54 55		
56		
57		
58		
59		
60		

30 ABSTRACT

The kinetics of the irreversible reaction $Ca(OH)_2 + MgCO_3 \rightarrow CaCO_3 + Mg(OH)_2$ were investigated at high pressures and temperatures relevant to metamorphic petrology, using both in-situ synchrotron X-ray diffraction and post-mortem analysis of reaction rims growth on recovered samples. Reaction kinetics are found to strongly depend on water content; comparable bulk-reaction kinetics are obtained under water saturated (excess water, ca. 10 wt.%) and under intermediate (0.1-1 wt.% water) conditions when temperature is increased by ca. 300 K. Whereas, similar reaction kinetics were observed at ~673 K and 823 K between intermediate and dry experiments, respectively, where dry refers to a set of experiments with water activity below one (no free water), as buffered by the CaO-Ca(OH)₂ assemblage. Given the activation energies at play, this gap –corresponding to the loss of no more than 1 wt.% of water by the assemblage-leads to a difference of several orders of magnitude in reaction kinetics at a given temperature.

Further analysis, at the microscopic scale, of the *intermediate* and *dry* conditions samples, shows that intergranular transport of calcium controls the reaction progress. Grain boundary diffusivities could be retrieved from the classic treatment of reaction rim growth rate. In turn, once modeled, this rate was used to fit the bulk kinetic data derived from XRPD, offering an alternative mean to derive calcium diffusivity data. Based on a comparison with effective grain boundary data for Ca and Mg from the literature, it is inferred that both dry and intermediate datasets are consistent with a water saturated intergranular medium with different levels of connectivity. The very high diffusivity of Ca in the CaCO₃ + Mg(OH)₂ rims, in comparison that of Mg in enstatite rims [Gardés et al., Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 164; 2012], emphasizes the prominent role of the interactions between diffusing species and mineral surfaces on diffusion kinetics. Furthermore, we show that the addition of water is likely to change the relative diffusivity of Mg and Ca in carbonate aggregates. On a qualitative point of view, we confirm, in a carbonate-bearing system, that small water content variations within the 0 - 1 wt. % range, have tremendous effects on both intergranular transport mechanisms and kinetics. We also propose that the water-content dependent diffusivity of major species (Mg, Ca) in low-porosity metamorphic rocks is strongly dependent of the interaction between diffusing species and mineral surfaces. This parameter which will vary from one rock-type to the other, needs also to considered when extrapolating (P,T,t, xH₂O) laboratory diffusion data to metamorphic processes.

Keywords: metamorphic reaction, kinetics, intergranular transport, water, calcium diffusion

60 INTRODUCTION

The kinetics of metamorphic reactions is strongly dependent on temperature, grain size and water availability. The latter parameter is probably the most difficult to quantify, especially over the course of a whole metamorphic cycle. The ubiquity of fluid during metamorphism has long been a subject of controversy (Rubie, 1986, Thompson, 1983) and it is widely accepted now that variations of water availability and content, in metamorphic rocks, is likely to account for the discrepancy between natural reaction kinetics and those extrapolated from experimental data (Baxter, 2003). On the field, the prominent role of water availability is supported by occurrences of partially eclogitized high-grade rocks in which eclogitization took place in shear zones, where fluid infiltrated (Austrheim, 1987, John & Schenk, 2003, Molina et al., 2002). At a larger scale, by combining petrological and geophysical constraints, Hetenyi et al. (2007) have suggested that the eclogitization of the Indian lower crust beneath Tibet is delayed due to equilibrium overstepping until it is catalyzed by the release of water from hydrous minerals.

Beyond the notion of water availability, which describes the intermittent presence of water in the course of the metamorphic reaction process, the question of the effect of water content on the reaction kinetics also appears to be relevant. In fact, most of the dataset of mineral reaction kinetics available in the literature (phase relationship, dissolution, etc.) is based on experiments performed under hydrothermal conditions with large water/rock ratios. Consequently, when extrapolated to nature, assuming permanent water availability, this type of experimental data predicts that metamorphic reaction rates are fast and that the preservation of metastable mineral assemblages (reaction equilibrium overstep) is short with respect to metamorphic timescales (Wood & Walther, 1983). Although possibly valid for metapelites transforming along their prograde path, this conclusion does not necessarily hold for high-grade rocks (e.g., upper amphibolite or granulite facies rocks) reacting back with water. Under these latter circumstances, Yardley and Valley (1997) showed, on thermochemical grounds, that excess water conditions (i.e.; water saturation) are not met and that water activity must be below unity (taking as reference state pure water at T and P). In parallel, it has been shown recently that even minute amounts of water can have a drastic effect on the kinetics of mineral reactions through the enhancement of mass transport (Milke et al., 2013; Gardés et al., 2012, Joachim et al., 2012, Carlson, 2010, Milke et al., 2009). In fact, a full range of intergranular diffusion regimes has been identified as a function of water content (Farver & Yund, 1995, Rubie, 1986) between the "dry" and the "water saturated" end member cases. These regimes are characterized by a range of

grain boundary diffusivities that can span over seven orders of magnitude in the case of aluminum (Carlson, 2010). Even though Gardés et al. (2012) proposed a microscopic description for each of these intergranular diffusion regimes, the physical state of water below the 1 wt.% level remains largely unknown under metamorphic pressures and temperatures. Furthermore, studies addressing the effect of low water contents on intergranular transport and its implications for mineral reaction rates are scarce although of high geological relevance. The in-situ characterization at high pressure and temperature (PT) of the physico-chemical properties of intergranular water present in very low concentrations is obviously a difficult task. Gasc et al. (2011), for example, used in-situ impedance spectroscopy at 2 GPa to characterize the electrical properties (diffusivity of electrical charge carriers) of the intergranular region of a brucite polycrystal as a function of temperature for various water contents. Using the CaO – Ca(OH)₂ and MgO – Mg(OH)₂ equilibria to buffer the water activity in the samples, the authors were able to show that grain-boundary conductivity can vary by seven orders of magnitude upon water content. In the present study, we propose to use a similar water buffering strategy to address the effect of low water content variation on intergranular transport in the course the Ca(OH)₂+ $MgCO_3 \rightarrow CaCO_3 + Mg(OH)_2$ reaction at 1.8 GPa. A special attention will be paid to bridge bulk and microscopic approaches so that reaction kinetics derived from time-resolved x-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) will be compared to growth rate of $CaCO_3 + Mg(OH)_2$ rims around coarse magnetite (MgCO₃) grains dispersed in a fine portlandite, Ca(OH)₂, matrix. All terms and symbols used throughout the text are defined in Table 1.

EXPERIMENTAL

113 Experimental strategy

 Cubic multi-anvil (DIA) experiments were performed at 1.8 GPa in a range of temperatures (393 – 873 K), run durations and water contents on the non-reversible exchange reaction:

$$Ca(OH)_2 + MgCO_3 \rightarrow Mg(OH)_2 + CaCO_3$$
(1)

This reaction was chosen for its relatively fast kinetics, even under severely dry conditions, in order to allow investigation of its kinetics *in situ* from time-resolved synchrotron diffraction. Additional experiments were also performed in a piston-cylinder (PC) apparatus to investigate the kinetics of reaction (1) under water-saturated conditions at the same pressure, in sealed gold containers.

The recovered MA samples (i.e., dry and intermediate) were characterized using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) with a Zeiss Field-effect SEM (FE-SEM), which showed the presence of reaction rims around coarse residual magnesite grains. The kinetics of chemical transport across the rim-forming polycrystalline material, composed of brucite, Mg(OH)₂, and aragonite, CaCO₃, was characterized from the determination of rim growth rates as well as from one-dimension diffusion experiments performed with the same starting material in the PC apparatus. Grain boundary diffusion coefficients, $\overline{D}^{GB}\delta$, were retrieved from both datasets using a segregation factor set to one, since major element diffusion is involved.

Finally, the two approaches (i.e., bulk reaction kinetics and chemical transport at the reaction rim scale) were bridged. Grain boundary diffusion coefficients were refined to fit the time-resolved synchrotron diffraction data; the diffusion coefficients obtained in this fashion were then compared to those retrieved from the growth rate of reaction rims and reaction fronts (FE-SEM data), in order to test the consistency between microscopic and bulk datasets.

49 136

137 Starting materials

The starting material was a mixture of natural magnesite, MgCO₃, and synthetic portlandite,
 Ca(OH)₂. Portlandite was synthesized hydrothermally (623 K, 150 MPa, 45 h) in a cold-seal vessel from
 CaO, which was obtained by decarbonation of reagent grade calcite (Merck 2066 with <1% impurities) at

Page 7 of 50

Manuscript submitted to Journal of Petrology

1273 K. Magnesite was extracted from a natural single crystal containing less than 1 wt.% CaO and FeO; the absence of mineral impurity was checked by XRPD. Portlandite and magnesite were grinded together in an agate mortar in equimolar proportions. SEM showed that the starting material was composed of a fine-grained matrix (grain diameter less than $1 \mu m$) with, sporadically, coarser magnesite grains (~10 µm). These larger grains are less reactive but, as described hereafter, are suitable for the measurement of reaction rim growth rates.

Reaction kinetics from in-situ synchrotron x-ray diffraction

High PT experiments with in-situ energy dispersive XRPD were carried out using the MAX80 DIA press (6 anvils cubic type multi-anvil) installed on beamline F2.1 at Hasylab. The starting powder was pressed to a pellet (2 mm in diameter) and fitted into a boron nitride (BN) sleeve, which purpose was to insulate chemically the sample from the graphite furnace but was not designed to retain water. The BN sleeve was then fitted into a cylindrical graphite furnace and sandwiched between two dried NaCl pellets used as pressure marker (Decker, 1971). Temperature was measured with a Type-N thermocouple, the junction of which was located at a NaCl / sample interface. Boron-epoxy cubes with 8 mm edges were used as pressure medium in conjunction with 6 mm truncation WC anvils. Details of the cell assembly and the MAX80 press can be found in Mueller (2003). Note that in order to minimize xray absorption no sealed noble-metal container was used. Therefore, as described hereafter, water saturated experiments were achieved separately in the PC using sealed gold container.

Two types of hydration levels were tested in the DIA experiments. The starting material was either equilibrated with the air moisture before being loaded into the BN sleeve, or was dried at 383 K and mixed together with about 5 wt.% CaO, a hygroscopic compound. In the former case, the amount of adsorbed water onto the starting material can be estimated by weight loss through heating at 383 K and was found to reach ca. 1 wt.%. These hydration conditions are referred to as intermediate hereafter. Gasc et al. (2011) showed, using in-situ impedance spectroscopy on an Mg(OH)₂ aggregate at 2 GPa, that in a similar set-up where the sample is only partly sealed, such absorbed water mostly remains in the sample for several hours at temperature below ca. 980 K. In the case where CaO (lime) was added to the oven-dried sample powder, residual free-water from the sample and its close environment was removed below the set-point temperature by chemical drying following the reaction:



 This method has been successfully used in Gasc et al. (2011), who showed progressive sample drying, through CaO hydroxylation, as evidenced by a drop of the sample bulk electrical conductivity upon heating at temperatures as low 673 K. Lime hydroxylation was similarly evidenced here by the pronounced decrease of the CaO reflections intensity upon heating at T > 500 K, i.e., while the reaction of interest (1) had not yet started (Figure 1). As long as CaO and Ca(OH)₂ are present in the sample, the starting water activity is buffered to a value that depends on the free enthalpy of Reaction (2) and therefore on temperature. The water activity was calculated using Wintwg 2.34 (Berman & Aranovich, 1996) in the 823–873 K temperature range corresponding to our experiments and was found to vary from 0.1 to 0.15. These experiments, which involve chemical drying, will be called dry in the following.

27184A white x-ray beam provided by the DORIS III storage ring was used to collect energy dispersive28185XRPD data. The spectra were collected continuously with acquisition times of 45 or 60 seconds (Figures301861 and 2) with a 2048 channels solid-state Ge detector. The Bragg reflection of highest intensity typically32187varied from 2 × 10³ to 5 × 10³ detector counts.

Reaction progress, $\xi(t)$, was calculated for each spectrum collected at a time, t, from the intensity, I(t) of selected diffraction peaks, assuming that $\xi(t) = I(t)/I_{max}$ for products and that $\xi(t) = 1 - I(t)/I_{max}$ $(I(t)/I_{max})$ for reactants, where I_{max} is the maximum intensity of the considered reflection (Lathe *et al.*, 2005, Zinn et al., 1995). Therefore, for reactants, at t = 0, $\xi(t) = 0$ and $I(0) = I_{max}$. We calculated reaction progress either from the intensity of the (104) reflection of $MgCO_3$ or the (011) reflection of $Ca(OH)_2$ (Figure 2). Because of its larger grain size distribution, magnesite is less suitable for quantification purposes using X-ray powder diffraction than portlandite, which was thus preferentially used to calculate $\xi(t)$. Note that, with the use of white synchrotron light, the beam is collimated and the volume sampled is relatively small, which, in some cases, may translate as an insufficient number of grains sampled for proper quantitative analysis. This can cause the relative intensities of the diffraction peaks to fluctuate and not represent the actual phase proportions. Therefore, whenever possible, reaction progress was additionally retrieved from the (111) and (011) reflection intensities of CaCO₃ and Mg(OH)₂, respectively. In all cases, intensities, *I*, were also subtracted a background that was estimated for each t. The ratio between maximum peak intensity and background level was typically ~30. In some

Page 9 of 50

1

Manuscript submitted to Journal of Petrology

2	
~	
3	
Ξ.	
4	
_	
5	
c	
o	
7	
1	
Q	
o	
g	
0	
1	0
÷	
1	1
	~
1	2
4	2
I.	S
1	Λ
1	4
1	5
1	0
1	6
÷	-
1	1
	0
1	Q
4	0
1	Э
ი	Δ
2	U
2	1
~	
2	2
-	~
2	3
_	
2	4
~	~
Ζ	5
\sim	C
2	0
2	7
~	1
2	8
~	0
	^
2	g
2	9
2 3	9 0
23	9
2 3 3	9 0 1
2 3 3	9 0 1
2 3 3 3	9 0 1 2
2 3 3 3 2	9 0 1 2
2 3 3 3 3	9 0 1 2 3
2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	9 0 1 2 3
2 3 3 3 3 3 3	9 0 1 2 3 4
23333333	9 0 1 2 3 4 5
2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	9 0 1 2 3 4 5
2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6
2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6
23333333333	9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
2333333333	901234567°
233333333333	9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
23333333333333	90123456780
23333333333333	9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2333333333333⊿	901234567890
23333333333334	901234567890
23333333333344	9012345678901
23333333333344	9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
233333333333444	9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2
233333333334444	901234567890122
233333333334444	9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3
2333333333344444	9012345678901234
2333333333344444	9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4
23333333334444444	90123456789012345
233333333334444444	90123456789012345
233333333344444444	901234567890123456
233333333334444444	901234567890123456
23333333333444444444	9012345678901234567
2333333333444444444	9012345678901234567
233333333334444444444	90123456789012345678
233333333344444444444444444444444444444	901234567890123456789
233333333344444444444444444444444444444	901234567890123456789
233333333334444444444444444444444444444	9012345678901234567890
233333333334444444444444444444444444444	9012345678901234567890
2333333333344444444444455	90123456789012345678901
233333333334444444444455	90123456789012345678901
23333333333444444444444555	901234567890123456789012
233333333334444444444445555	901234567890123456789012
2333333333344444444444455555	9012345678901234567890123
23333333333444444444444555555	9012345678901234567890123

210

223

224

225

55

56

instances, after collection of kinetic data at a certain constant temperature, the experiment was terminated by increasing further the temperature until $\xi(t) = 1$ was achieved, which allows retrieving I_{max} values for the reaction products and enables their use for calculating $\xi(t)$. In addition, the incident beam intensity decayed with time due to the decrease of the storage ring current. In order to account for this effect on peak intensities, and since the irradiated sample zone relative to the x-ray detector remains unchanged during an experiment, the peak intensities were normalized to the BN (100) reflection (Figure 2).

209 Reaction kinetics with excess water

The kinetics of the reaction was also investigated at lower temperatures by performing off-beam PC experiments, for which both longer run durations and excess water (i.e., water saturated) conditions could be more easily achieved. For these experiments, the solid starting material was loaded together with 10 wt.% deionized water in a gold capsule welded shut. This type of hydration conditions will be referred to as *saturated* hereafter. Low-friction NaCl-based pressure cells (1/2 inch) were used. More details on the pressure assembly can be found in Brunet et al. (2003). The temperature was measured with an S-type thermocouple and regulated within 1 K with a Eurotherm[™] controller.

218 Angle dispersive XRPD patterns were collected on quenched products with a Rigaku 219 diffractometer (Ultrax18hf-RINT2500) equipped with a Cu rotating anode (300 mA, 40 kV). XRPD data 220 were collected using 0.02° steps at a speed of 2°/min. In this case, the reaction extent, $\xi(t)$, could be 221 determined from reactant/product molar proportions in the quenched samples as follows. First, phase 222 proportions were calculated using the following relation:

 $\frac{\chi_P}{\chi_B} = \varphi \frac{I_P}{I_B}$ (3),

where φ is a constant, χ is the weight fraction of a given phase in the sample, *I* is the height (i.e., counts) of a selected diffraction peak, and the subscripts *P* and *B* stand for portlandite and brucite, respectively. The value of φ was calibrated from mixtures of known portlandite to brucite weight proportions using the height of the (001) reflection of each phase. The reaction progress, $\xi(t)$, corresponding to the molar fraction of the forming phase, brucite, was then estimated from the relation:

$$\frac{\xi}{1-\xi} = \frac{\chi_B M_B}{\chi_P M_P}$$
(4),
where *M_v* and *M_v* are the molar masses of portlandite and bruche, respectively.
One-dimension diffusion experiments under intermediate hydration level
The kinetics of Reaction (1) was further investigated in a one-dimension set-up using the piston-
cylinder apparatus (1D-PC experiments) by measuring the width of the reaction zone, which developed
at the interface between magnesite and portlandite polycrystals. This set-up aimed at characterizing
grain-boundary transport with the simplest geometry. Contrary to the kinetic experiments described in
the previous section, individual magnesite and portlandite powders (same material as for DIA and PC
experiments but unmixed) were loaded one after the other in a gold capsule. As much as possible, the
contact between these two materials was made planar (Figure 5a). No water was added to the capsule
nor was the sample dried beforehand. The hydration level can therefore be considered here as
intermediote. As for multi-anvil experiments, the run products of 1D-PC experiments were embedded in
epoxy, cut and hand polished with diamond paste. After carbon coating, these samples were also
characterized using the FE-SEM.
In order to investigate the temperature dependency of the chemical transport kinetics, these
experiments were performed in the 773-873.8 temperature range. Typical reaction front widths of
~ 1 mm were obtained for durations of a several days.
EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS
In total, 16 experiments have been successfully performed on Reaction (1) with time-resolved
in-situ XRPD at 1.8 GPa and for temperatures ranging from 573 to 873.8 (Table-2). It must be noted that
each dataset is composed of tems to hundreds of diffraction patterns, each represented by a data point
in left and middle panels of Figure 3. Water saturated conditions were investigated at two single

Manuscript submitted to Journal of Petrology

 temperatures (393 and 423 K) through four and five PC experiments (Figure 3), respectively, which therefore correspond to two kinetic data points for *saturated* conditions in Figure 4. Hundreds of PC experiments would have been required to achieve a time resolution on the reaction progress similar to that of the synchrotron-based experiments, which clearly emphasizes the advantage of using an in-situ technique for kinetic purposes.

The scattering observed in the kinetic data obtained from synchrotron XRPD indicates a precision of +/- 10% on the reaction progress (Figure 3). However, the precision on the reaction kinetics is better owing to the averaging of the large number of data at each PT conditions. For PC experiments, the precision on the determination of the reaction progress is expected to be better than 5 %; in particular, sample heterogeneity is averaged out by x-ray diffraction pattern collected on a larger sample volume

As one can observe from the shape of the reaction curves, the reaction rate is the fastest when the reaction starts and slows down as the reaction proceeds. Heterogeneous reactions, such as the one studied here, do not generally comply with simple kinetic laws such as zero- or first-order. Furthermore, the presence of a grain size distribution instead of single grain size in the starting material will influence the shape of the kinetic curve if the reaction process is dependent on the reactive-surface area of the reactants. Finally, change of hydration conditions from one set of experiments to another may lead to a change in the reaction process (e.g., dissolution – crystallization in the water saturated case). The overall shape of the kinetic curves can be successfully fitted to an empirical Avrami law (Avrami, 1939) with an exponent value of 0.4, which, according to Christian (1975), would reflect a diffusion limited reaction process. However, due to the truly empirical character of these fits, following (Brown et al., 1962), we decided to merely compare half-reaction times (i.e., the time needed to reach $\xi = 0.5$) between all datasets in order to grasp the effect of water as a whole on the reaction kinetics (Table 2, Figure 4). Note that for the particular case of the PC experiments performed with 10 wt.% water (water saturated conditions), dissolution-precipitation process is expected to occur. However, aqueous species transport rate – rather than dissolution rate – may well be the rate limiting process. Diffusivity of aqueous species in bulk water is not within the scope of the present study. These "saturated" experiments should be seen as representative of the water-excess experiments usually carried out in experimental petrology.

289 Both series of experiments performed under *dry* and *intermediate* conditions seem to display an 290 Arrhenius-type behavior (i.e., the logarithm of half reaction times have a linear dependency to reciprocal 291 temperature) although, comparatively, experimental data obtained for an *intermediate* hydration level are much more scattered (Figure 4). The dependency of the reaction kinetic with water content is likely causing the important scattering observed in the *intermediate* dataset (see Discussion section). The present results illustrate a drastic effect of water content on the kinetics. We note that similar half reaction times (ca. 1 hour) were obtained at ~823, 648 and 423 K, for *dry*, *intermediate* and *saturated* conditions, respectively, although the gap between *intermediate* and *dry* conditions seems to shrink towards higher temperatures due to an apparently larger activation energy of the kinetic under *dry* conditions.

299 Chemical transport through the CaCO₃ + Mg(OH)₂ reaction rims

FE-SEM images of the recovered 1D-PC samples show mineral intergrowth in reaction zones composed of $Mg(OH)_2$ and $CaCO_3$ (Figure 5a). Energy dispersive spectrometry data collected with the FE-SEM indicated that the Ca/Ca+Mg molar ratio remains constant and equal to 0.5 across the reaction zones. In all of the DIA samples, partly reacted magnesite grains are surrounded by reaction rims (Figure 5b) within which the grain size of the reaction products is found to gradually increase from the Ara+Bru Mag to the Port Ara+Bru interfaces. These reaction rims are composed of equimolar Mg(OH)₂ (brucite) + $CaCO_3$ (aragonite) and show the same textural characteristics (grain size distribution, symplectite intergrowth) as the reaction fronts in 1D-PC experiments. For 1D-PC and DIA experiments, the grain size of the reaction products varies between 30 nm and a few μ m (Figure 5d and 5e), depending on temperature, water content and run duration. The constant stoichiometry $(CaO/H_2O = MgO/CO_2 = 1)$ throughout the reaction zones in both 1-D experiments and DIA samples, implies the equality of Ca (CaO) and H (H₂O) fluxes through the Port Ara+Bru interface. Similarly, the Mg (MgO) and C (CO₂) fluxes must be identical through the Ara+Bru Mag interface. In experiment PC_180, a Pt chip was positioned at the interface between portlandite and magnesite. The Pt chip (Figure 5a) remained at the Port Ara+Bru interface in the course of the diffusion process. Its presence at the interface appears to have hindered the transport of species from the Port Ara+Bru interface to the Ara+Bru Mag interface, as indicated by the depression of the reaction front opposite to the Pt chip. Therefore, CaO and H₂O are the mobile species in the system, whereas MgO and CO₂ remained comparatively immobile. This conclusion is in line with the grain size gradient observed across the reaction zones, where grains situated next to the Port Ara+Bru interface formed first and are therefore larger.

- 58 322

3 4	323	\overline{D}_{CaO} retrieval from 1D experiments
5	324	
6		
7 8	325	We showed in the previous section that the reaction zone growth in 1D-PC and DIA samples is
9 10	326	controlled (i.e., limited) by the diffusion of CaO, assuming that H_2O diffusion is not limiting. The growth
11	327	rate of the reaction zone (front or rim) depends on the molar flux of the limiting diffusing species, i.e.,
12 13	328	$J_{{\it CaO}}$ in the present case, perpendicular to the reaction zone. Let us consider the equimolar mix of
14 15	329	brucite and aragonite as a single phase having an effective molar volume corresponding to V_r (Figure
16 17	330	6a). In the planar geometry of our 1D-PC experiments, since each mole of CaO diffusing through the
18	331	front results in the creation of one mole of said hypothetical phase, the growth rate of the reaction front
20	332	thickness, ΔX , can be written:
21		
22 23	333	
24		dax
25	334	$\frac{d\Delta x}{dt} = J_{\rm Ca0} V_r \tag{5},$
26 27	335	
28		
29	336	
30 21		
32	337	According to the formalism developed by Fisler and Mackwell (1994), the first Fick's law for
33 34	338	diffusion can be used to write the CaO flux across the reaction zone, J_{CaO} , as a function of the CaO
35	339	chemical potential gradient, d μ_{cao} /dx, as follows:
36 37	240	
38	340	$I = D_{\text{CaO}}C_{\text{CaO}} d\mu_{\text{CaO}}$
39	341	$J_{\rm CaO} = -\frac{RT}{RT} \frac{dx}{dx}$ (6)
40 41	342	
42		
43 44	343	Fisler and Mackwel (1994) also showed that this latter expression can be integrated using the mean-
45	344	value theorem, introducing the mean diffusion coefficient, $ar{D}_{ ext{CaO}}$, which represents the mean value for
46 47	345	the diffusion across the reaction front or rim. The flux can then be written:
48		
49 50	346	
51		
52	347	$J_{CaO} = -\frac{\bar{D}_{CaO}C_{CaO}}{2\pi}\frac{\Delta\mu_{CaO}}{2\pi} $ (7),
53		Δx Δx Δx
54 55	348	
56	210	
57		
58 50		
59 60		

where
$$\Delta \mu_{CaO} = \mu_{CaO}^{c} - \mu_{CaO}^{c}$$
 and therefore represents the chemical potential difference across the
reaction zone.
Let us now consider the Ara+Bru | Mag interface, where, by definition, $x = \Delta x$ (Figure 6a). If we
consider the equilibrium between MgCO₂, the reaction products and the diffusing components, CaO and
H₂O, we can write that:
 $\mu_{MgCO_3} + \mu_{CaO}' + \mu_{H_3O}' = \mu_{Mg(OH)_2} + \mu_{CaCO_3}$ (8).
In addition, at $x = 0$, $\mu_{CaO}^{0} = \mu_{Ca(OH)_2} - \mu_{H_3O}^{0}$. Assuming that water activity is constant across the whole
sample (due to supposedly faster diffusion kinetics), then $\mu_{H_2O}^{0} = \mu_{H_2O}'$. We can therefore write:
Finally, combining equations (8) and (9) gives:
 $\mu_{H_2O} = \mu_{Ca(OH)_2} - \mu_{CaO}^{0} - \mu_{Ca(OH)_2} - \mu_{CaO}^{0}$ (9).
Finally, combining equations (8) and (9) gives:
 $\mu_{H_2O} = \mu_{Mg(OH)_2} + \mu_{CaCO_3} - \mu_{MgCO_3} - \mu_{Ca(OH)_2}$ (10),
from which we find that $\Delta \mu_{CaO} = \Delta_{R}G$. In addition, it can be noticed that, in the present case C_{CaO} . $V_r = 1$.
The combination of (5) and (7) then yields:
 $\frac{d\Delta x}{dt} = -\frac{\overline{D}_{CaO}}{RT} \frac{\Delta_R G}{\Delta x}$ (11).
We note here that the mean diffusion coefficient, \overline{D}_{CaO} , is an effective (i.e., apparent) diffusion
coefficient, considering the brucite + aragonite aggregate in the reaction zone as a homogeneous
diffusing medium. Effective and grain boundary diffusion coefficients are related to one another by a

Manuscript submitted to Journal of Petrology

1 2											
3 4	373	ratio that depicts the shape and the volume fraction of grain boundaries available for intergranular									
5	374	diffusion. For example, in the ideal case where grain boundaries are planar (i.e., devoid of tortuosity)									
6 7	375	and parallel to the diffusion flux, the following relation applies (Brady, 1983):									
8 9 10	376										
11 12 13	377	$\overline{D}^{GB} = \overline{D} \frac{2l}{\pi \delta} $ (12),									
14 15	378										
16 17	379	where / is the distance separating the diffusion planes, i.e., the grain size (Figure 6a), and δ is the grain									
18 19	380	boundary width. Since this latter parameter is virtually impossible to determine, most authors choose to									
20 21	381	report the product of the grain boundary diffusivity \overline{D}^{GB} and the grain boundary width, δ . However,									
22	382	during the diffusion process and the growth of reaction rims or fronts, I is not constant but obeys an									
23 24 25	383	exponential grain growth law (Joesten, 1991):									
26 27	384										
28 29 30	385	$l = (\rho t)^{1/n}$ (13),									
31 32	386										
33 34	387	where ρ and n are the grain coarsening rate constant and exponent, respectively. Combining relations									
35 36	388	(12) and (13) yields the expression:									
37 38 39	389										
40 41	390	$\overline{D}^{GB} = \overline{D} \frac{2(\rho t)^{1/n}}{\pi \delta} $ (14).									
42 43 44	391										
45 46	392	It has been shown indeed that the growth of reaction fronts limited by grain boundary diffusion is no									
47	393	more proportional to the square root of time, but that it slows down further with time due to grain									
48 49	394	coarsening, and therefore decreasing availability of grain boundaries (Gardes & Heinrich, 2011). In that									
50 51	395	case, Δx is proportional to $(t^{1-1/n})^{1/2}$, where <i>n</i> is the grain coarsening exponent. Here, the combination of									
52 53	396	Equations (11) and (14) gives the relation between rim thickness and time as a function of the grain									
54 55	397	boundary diffusion coefficient:									
55 56 57 58	398										

(15).

$$\frac{d\Delta x}{dt}\Delta x = -\overline{D}^{GB}\delta \frac{\Delta_R G}{RT} \frac{\pi}{2\rho^{\frac{1}{n}}} t^{-(\frac{1}{n})}$$

Integrating the above equation, noting that for t = 0 then $\Delta x = 0$, we obtain the relation:

 $\Delta x = \sqrt{\left(-\overline{D}^{GB}\delta\frac{\Delta_R G}{RT}\frac{\pi}{\rho^{\frac{1}{n}}}(\frac{n}{n-1})\right)}t^{\frac{n-1}{2n}}$ (16).

405 In the present case (see Appendix 1), the grain size of the reaction products was analyzed using SEM 406 imaging to constrain the grain coarsening parameters, ρ and n. Relation (16) was then used to derive 407 $\overline{D}^{GB}\delta$ from 1D-PC experiments (Table 2), where a planar geometry applies (Figure 6a).

Generally speaking, the presence of water may impact $\bar{D}_{
m CaO}$ values by affecting any of the other parameters included in Equation (14), namely CaO mobility in the intergranular medium (i.e., \overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB}), grain boundary width and grain growth. This holds for experimentally grown coronae and for natural metamorphic reactions as well and since these latter parameters are difficult to estimate, one might also want to compare effective diffusivity values, $\overline{D}_{
m CaO}$. This approach was chosen by Carlson (2010), where AI effective diffusion coefficients were retrieved in the case of natural metamorphic coronae; it allows evaluating the overall effects of water content variations on the growth of reaction zones and visualizing how they affect metamorphic reaction kinetics in general.

\overline{D}_{CaO} retrieval from reaction coronae (spherical setting)

Similar to our 1D-PC experiments, SEM examination of the DIA samples evidenced that the reaction proceeds via the development of reaction rims around magnesite grains. This is nicely illustrated by the coronae observed around coarser residual magnesite grains in some samples (Figure 5b). The dimensions of these reaction rims can be used to calculate effective diffusion coefficients according to the model shown in Figure 6b. We note however that, in the initial setting (at t = 0), magnesite grains are not surrounded strictly by portlandite only, but rather by a mix of finer magnesite grains and portlandite. This may result in low Ca availability for diffusion and growth of the reaction

rims, which, in turn, implies that the diffusion values retrieved may be underestimated. Unfortunately, it
is impossible to measure the impact of this bias on the values retrieved.

The spherical setting implies that Equations (5) through (7) defined above in a planar setting no longer apply. We used the analytical solution given for a spherical geometry in Abart et al. (2009) that relates the rim dimensions and the bulk diffusion coefficient. This relation was modified in order to take grain coarsening for *intermediate* conditions into account; the *dry* case was treated assuming constant grain size in the rim (see next section and Appendix 1 for details).

It should be noted that rim widths are determined from SEM images of sample sections which do not necessarily pass through the center of the imaged magnesite grains. This can introduce a measurement bias with apparently larger r_A/r_B ratios. Therefore, as much as possible, only rims with the lowest r_A/r_B ratio were used for the present determination (i.e., largest residual grains were preferentially picked). Additional errors may arise from the estimation of the inner and outer rim dimensions, r_B and r_A respectively (see Table 2). Errors on those measurements are of 0.1-0.3 μ m (Table 2). According to Equation (A5), $\overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB}\delta$ is proportional to the square of r_B/r_{O} , which is accounted for by the error bars in the Arrhenius plot (Figure 7a).

Diffusivity values obtained for both 1D-PC and DIA (3D) experiments are listed in Table 2 and are reported in Figure 7a (empty symbols). We note that the *intermediate* data, retrieved from 1D-PC, and DIA experiments, although conducted over very different time scales, (i.e., a few hours and several days respectively) show a good consistency. Despite the uncertainties discussed above, the results clearly show a gap between *dry* and *intermediate* conditions, where comparable diffusivities are found at 823 and 673 K, respectively.

448 Numerical extraction of diffusivity values from bulk reaction kinetics

The bulk reaction progress, $\xi(t)$, represents the molar fraction of magnesite (or portlandite) having reacted after a given run duration. At the scale of a single magnesite grain surrounded by portlandite, the reaction progress, X(t), is related to the inner rim radius, r_{b} , as follows:

$$X(t) = 1 - \frac{r_B^3}{r_0^3} = 1 - y^3$$
⁽¹⁷⁾

 If reaction rim growth is the limiting factor to the bulk reaction progress, then reaction kinetics derived from in-situ diffraction should correspond to the magnesite rim growth kinetics (i.e., X(t) = $\xi(t)$). However, the relation between $\xi(t)$ and X(t) depends on the magnesite grain size distribution. SEM images show that this distribution consists of a fine-grained fraction (<1 μ m) along with coarser grains, which size can be as large as several tens of microns. We assumed a log-normal grain size distribution, typical of ground powders (Astrom, 2006, German, 2009, Sanchidrian et al., 2012), characterized by γ_0 and σ , which represent respectively the mean and the standard deviation of the grain size natural logarithm. The grain size distribution was binned into 25 grain sizes with corresponding proportions (p_i) . Using, again, the analytical solution derived from Abart et al. (2009) in Equation (A5), we could simulate reaction progress data, $X_i(t)$, for each grain size. Then kinetic curves (i.e., $\xi(t)$), were obtained by calculating the weighted sum: $\sum_{i=1}^{25} p_i X_i(t)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{25} p_i = 1$. For each experimental data set, this approach was used to simulate kinetic data with varying values of $\overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB}\delta$. The best fit to the experimental data was then obtained using a standard grid search algorithm and by minimizing the absolute value of the difference between modeled and observed kinetic curves; this method is also known as Least Absolute Deviations (LAD) method. The uncertainty on $\overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB}\delta$ introduced by possible error on the initial grain size distribution is addressed in Appendix 2. It should be noted here, that, as mentioned in the experimental section of the present study, the diffraction peak intensities used to calculate reaction progress may not reflect the actual proportion of a phase in the sample at a given time but may differ significantly. Based on observations of the standard deviation in our DIA datasets, we inferred that this bias could result in errors of +/- 0.05 on $\xi(t)$, which impacts the values of $\overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB}\delta$ retrieved by a factor of 3 at most.

All 10 DIA experiments under intermediate conditions were used to estimate the mean grain boundary diffusivity, $\overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB}\delta$, in this fashion. However, for some experiments performed under dry conditions, the model failed to fit the experimental data satisfactorily. This can be explained by the minor amount of grain growth involved in dry conditions (maximum grain sizes in the reaction rims are typically no larger than tens of nanometers), which is therefore difficult to characterize (see appendix 1). Alternatively, we chose to fit the dry datasets without integrated grain growth, i.e., by using the analytical solution given in Abart et al. (2009), which allowed us to retrieve mean bulk diffusivity values, \overline{D}_{CaO} . Values of grain boundary diffusion, $\overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB}\delta$, were then calculated using equation (12) and an estimated grain size of /= 120 nm, based on measurements made on two recovered samples (see

Appendix 1). The results are listed in Table 2 and, as a test of consistency between the bulk and the microscopic datasets, both are reported on an Arrhenius plot in Figure 7a, along with $\overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB}\delta$ values from the literature (Figure 7b). Test analysis of the retrieved diffusion values with varying grain growth parameters, n and ρ , showed that the errors introduced by the estimation of these parameters are negligible compared to other sources of errors (namely the initial grain size distribution and the experimentally determined reaction progress).

For four experiments performed under intermediate conditions and two experiments performed under dry conditions, diffusivities could be retrieved from both the microscopic approach and by simulating kinetic curves (bulk approach). The largest difference observed between the two approaches is of 1.33 log units. Although these differences seem significant at first sight, they are within the uncertainties discussed above. In fact, the two datasets evidence a good consistency between the microscopic (reaction rim and 1D diffusion fronts) and the macroscopic approaches, as highlighted by the Arrhenius plot on Figure 7a.

A drastic difference is observed between dry and intermediate datasets, where similar values of $\overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB}\delta$ are found at ~823 and 673 K, respectively, similar to the temperature gap observed when comparing bulk reaction kinetics, i.e., half-reaction times (Figure 4). As for half-reaction times, the diffusivity gap between dry and intermediate seems to shrink towards higher temperatures. The activation energy returned by the Arrhenius fit in the dry case is $573 \pm 208 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$, which is indeed considerably larger than for the *intermediate* case $(291 \pm 29 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1})$. But, in the former case, due to the narrower temperature range investigated, the uncertainty returned is too large to allow any assertion regarding its absolute value. Conclusively, although our results strongly suggest a larger activation energy in the dry case, they do not entirely exclude the possibility that the activation energy be the same in both cases (i.e., around 300 kJ.mol⁻¹).

DISCUSSION

Consistency between bulk and microscopic approaches

In order to investigate the effect of water on the MgCO₃ + Ca(OH)₂ \rightarrow Mg(OH)₂ + CaCO₃ reaction at 1.8 GPa, we designed experiments where the starting material is composed of a grain size distribution of the first reactant (i.e., magnesite with a log-normal distribution which includes grains > 10 μ m)

reacting with a fine and homogeneous matrix of the second reactant (portlandite $\leq 1 \, \mu$ m). We show here that this starting material is suitable for the estimation of mean diffusion coefficients from time-resolved in-situ XRPD provided (1) that significant reaction extents be achieved with sufficient XRD sensitivity, (2) that the grain-size distribution of the reactants can be approximated and (3) that the texture of the reacted samples can be characterized post-mortem. Obviously, the conventional approach, which consists in measuring the growth rate of reaction rims remains more accurate for the determination of diffusion coefficients. However, the in-situ approach can be appealing in some instances since it allows fast collection of large datasets as required when investigating a range of hydration levels (or a range of pressures) at various temperatures. One obvious limitation of our in-situ approach with respect to the effect of hydration on reaction kinetics is the absence of a sealed container in order to ensure constant water concentration over the whole run duration. For example, in the case of the present brucite-bearing system at 1.8 GPa, this limitation implies that the reaction kinetics of samples containing a few thousands of ppm water cannot be reasonably investigated at temperature above ca. 950 K at the hour timescale (Gasc et al., 2011). The noble metal often used as container material strongly absorbs the x-ray radiation and therefore alters the XRD signal intensity. The use of titanium as capsule material (Chollet et al., 2009) for synchrotron diffraction experiments dealing with water-bearing systems clearly opens the way to the collection of in-situ XRD data under controlled and constant water content.

Role of the geometry of the intergranular medium

It is important to note that, in the present diffusion dataset, the effect of water content is potentially twofold. Water content will modify the chemistry of the intergranular medium and impact the effective intergranular diffusivity (here \overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB}). The presence of water can also modify the geometry of the diffusion paths through grain growth or by controlling the grain boundary width. The impact of grain coarsening on kinetics via the reduction of the intergranular medium available for diffusion can be of several orders of magnitude (Carlson & Gordon, 2004). Therefore, the effect of grain growth has been taken into account by introducing a grain-growth rate law (Appendix 1). However, it can be argued that the diffusivity gap between dry and intermediate conditions results from variations of the effective grain boundary width, δ . According to Relation (14), identical Ca diffusivity would imply that δ is at least 2 orders of magnitude greater in intermediate than in dry conditions at 823 K. This seems huge in comparison to what we know about grain boundary width at ambient pressure. Although the effective

grain boundary width may be larger than the structural grain boundary width, even in the absence of fluids (Marquardt et al., 2011), in our dry conditions, the effective boundary width – which is the one of anhydrous aggregates- should not exceed significantly the structural boundary width, generally described as being equal to a few nanometers (Bons et al., 1990, Farver et al., 1994, Hiraga et al., 1999, Ricoult & Kohlstedt, 1983, (Marquardt et al., 2011). On the other hand, studies of water-mineral interface structures show that no more than two monolayers of water are adsorbed at the surface of calcite grains with water being structured over a distance of approximately 15 Å (Cooke et al., 2010, Fenter & Sturchio, 2004). This implies that δ cannot vary by more than one order magnitude between dry and intermediate conditions. Conclusively, even if intergranular diffusion may be enhanced by small amounts of water through grain boundary widening, this effect alone cannot be responsible for the tremendous differences observed between dry and intermediate cases, which are greater than two orders of magnitude at the lowest temperatures of the present study (Figure 7a). As suggested by the change in activation energy from intermediate to dry condition, we are likely documenting here water-content dependent diffusion mechanisms, where cation mobility is enhanced by the presence of absorbed water at the grain surfaces (i.e., in the grain boundaries). However, it is possible that even minor amounts of water, such as it is the case here, enhance diffusivity not by widening grain boundaries – therefore increasing the structural grain boundary width – but by creating locally connected porosity (most likely in the form of tubules at triple junctions). This would result in an increased effective grain boundary width, which no longer relates to the actual structural grain boundary width; and the term intergranular diffusion should be preferred over grain boundary diffusion.

566 We note, however, that so far, there has been no systematic measurement of the grain 567 boundary width or structure evolution with increasing pressure. There is, therefore, a clear need for in-568 situ experiments which investigate, for instance, how confining pressure affects transport properties.

570 Water content and intergranular Ca-diffusion regimes

571 The effect of water content on calcium transport (reaction rim growth) derived here is very 572 consistent, at least from a qualitative point of view, with recent work on Mg and Al intergranular 573 transport (Milke et al., 2013; Gardés *et al.*, 2012; Carlson, 2010). In these studies, as in the present one, 574 the addition of small amounts of water, ≤ 1 wt.%, was reported to enhance intergranular diffusion by 575 several orders of magnitude, resulting in equal transport properties at temperatures lower by hundreds 576 of degrees. We note that in all cases the activation energies are reported to decrease significantly with increasing water content. The present results are also in good agreement with the results of Gasc et al. (2011), where, using a PC set-up that was open with respect to water, a drastic reduction of the electrical conductivity of polycrystalline brucite was observed between samples dried in an oven at 393 K – possibly containing adsorbed water – and samples chemically dried by the addition of CaO. This was also accompanied by an increase of the activation energy of the electrical conductivity from 84 to 106 kJ mol⁻¹. Similarly, the kinetics of the Ca(OH)₂ + MgCO₃ \rightarrow CaCO₃ + Mg(OH)₂ exchange reaction, which is also controlled by intergranular transport under the investigated PT conditions, showed a strong positive dependency with water content from *dry* to *saturated* conditions (Figure 4).

In the present study, dry conditions were achieved using chemical drying, i.e., by maintaining low water fugacity in the sample with a CaO/Ca(OH)₂ solid buffer. The notion of dry conditions may appear somehow contradictory since the dry sample contains hydroxide phases. It should rather be understood in the frame of diffusivity regimes (Farver & Yund, 1995), which were recently redefined by Gardés et al. (2012) on enstatite and enstatite + forsterite reaction rims. These authors suggested that four different regimes can be distinguished as a function of water content; the first regime corresponding to conditions where grain boundaries are essentially anhydrous. The exchange of water between brucite inner grains and grain boundaries observed by Gasc et al. (2011) precludes the occurrence of anhydrous grain boundaries in the presence of brucite, as in the present study. Indeed, Milke et al. (2013) showed that water content at the 10th of ppm level is sufficient to switch from anhydrous to hydrous-like reaction textures in OPX reaction rims at 850-900°C. Hence, the dry conditions obtained here with the addition of CaO cannot correspond to Regime 1 defined by Gardés et al. (2012). In addition, the fact that our diffusion data show the least scattering in the dry case (Figures 4 and 7a) suggests that they are relevant to Regime 3, corresponding to hydrous saturated grain boundary, rather than Regime 2 where diffusivity is expected to be strongly dependent of water content. Regarding our intermediate conditions, although our approach suffers from undetermined water content, the absorbed water on grain surfaces is estimated to represent 1 wt.% of the starting material at most, a value that is close to the 0.5 wt.% threshold proposed by Gardés et al. (2012) for the transition between Regimes 3 and 4 for anhydrous magnesium silicates. Besides, according to Gardés et al. (2012), diffusivity variations with water content are also expected to be encountered in Regime 4, where interconnected fluid channels start occurring. This is consistent with the scattering of our diffusivity data in intermediate conditions, since the water content in the sample likely varied from one experiment to the other due to variable hygrometric conditions and to partial water draining during the experiment. In fact, it might not be fortuitous that the lowest temperature datapoint at 573 K

Manuscript submitted to Journal of Petrology

performed under *intermediate* conditions led to a significantly higher diffusivity value than its
counterparts. For this reason, and despite the low uncertainty returned, the (apparent) activation
energy for the *intermediate* conditions should be considered with caution.

Helpa et al. (2014) studied experimentally Mg and Ca diffusion in dolomite reaction rims but could not distinguish whether GB or volume diffusion was the dominant process. Both studies were performed in anhydrous conditions and their results are compared to ours in Figure 7b. Extrapolation of the Ca diffusion coefficients obtained in dry conditions to the 750-800°C temperature range indicates that we found higher diffusivity by four and six orders of magnitude than Helpa et al. (2014) and Farver and Jund (1996), respectively. This apparent discrepancy can be accounted for by different water conditions investigated by these authors, which likely corresponded to Regime 1 (anhydrous) whereas we interpreted our dry experiments as reflecting diffusional Regime 3 defined by Gardés et al. (2012). For comparison, we also plotted on Figure 7b, the data on Mg diffusion in enstatite rims by Gardés et al. (2012) and Gardés and Heinrich (2011) who respectively investigated Regime 3 and Regime 1. It can be seen that differences of, at least, 6 orders of magnitude are encountered at 800°C between these two diffusional regimes for $\overline{D}_{Ma0}^{GB}\delta$. This comparison with Mg diffusivity in enstatite rims confirms that our *dry* conditions are consistent with Regime 3.

Interestingly, a major difference between our results and the ones of Helpa et al. (2014) is the unilateral aspect of the diffusion in our case, where Mg immobility is evidenced, whereas both species (Mg and Ca) are found to diffuse at comparable rates in the experiments of Helpa et al (2014). The difference is even more notable when comparing to the Mg-Ca-Si system, where it has been established that Mg is the most mobile specie (Joachim et al., 2011, Joachim et al., 2012). Although these differences are admittedly difficult to interpret in terms of diffusion mechanisms, it may well be related to the fact that, in our particular case, Ca diffuses jointly with H₂O, associated to the breakdown of portlandite upon reaction, which could, in turn, result in faster Ca mobility, relative to Mg.

633 Oxygen diffusivity in grain boundary of hot-pressed calcite aggregates was investigated by 634 Farver and Jund (1998) under hydrous conditions (Regime 3). As shown on Figure 7b, the corresponding 635 $D^{GB}\delta$ values for oxygen under these water conditions lie around our Ca diffusion data under *intermediate* 636 conditions.

637 One of the most striking feature of Figure 7b is the location of the Mg intergranular high-638 temperature diffusivity data (0.1-0.5 and 5 wt.% H_2O , i.e., Regime 3 and 4, respectively) derived by

Gardés et al. (2012). Despite the addition of water, these data lie on the very slow diffusion side of the plot, relatively close to the data for Ca diffusion in anhydrous carbonates (Farver and Yund, 1996; Helpa et al., 2014) and far from hydrous Ca and O diffusion data in carbonates (this study and Farver and Jund, 1996, respectively). We believe that this discrepancy does not rely on the element/oxide that is considered (Mg vs. Ca/O) in these studies but rather on the mineralogical/chemical system that was investigated: silicate on the one side and CaCO₃ (Farver and Yund, 1994, 1996), CaMg(CO₃)₂ (Helpa et al., 2014) or CaCO₃-Mg(OH)₂ (this study) on the other side. In the case of volume diffusion, such differences were already pointed out by Farver (1994), who showed that oxygen self-diffusion in non-silicates such as magnetite, apatite and calcite, is more than two orders of magnitude larger than in silicates with the same total ionic porosity. In the present case, it is very likely that the hydrated brucite surfaces provide a faster diffusion medium than the silicate ones in Gardés et al. (2012), the hydrophobic surface of which likely favors the formation of water pores even at the 10th of ppm water content level (e.g., Milke et al., 2013). However, in this case, one would expect their experiments performed in Regime 4, with saturated hydrous grain boundaries, to yield diffusion coefficients similar or close to ours, which is far from true, as shown by the data point corresponding to 1 wt.% of water from Gardés et al. (2012) in Figure 7b. One major aspect one has to keep in mind when comparing these results is that, unlike for self-diffusion experiments, the diffusion mechanism, here, as well as in Gardés et al. (2012), is driven by a chemical reaction, as shown by the presence of the ΔG term in our Equations (16) and (A5) or in Equations (1), (2) and (3) of Gardés et al. (2012). Now, the GB diffusivity contrast between our experiments and those of Gardés et al. (2012) implies a huge gap between the temperatures required to achieve measurable reaction rims at laboratory timescale (400°C vs. 1000°C, respectively). We believe that this highlights the generally well known difference between reaction kinetics in silicate and carbonate systems, which is often expressed in terms of dissolution rates contrast between rather soluble carbonates and less soluble silicates (Dolejs and Manning (2010) and references therein). In nature, when intergranular fluids are present and transport is no longer limiting, these solubility differences tend to control the kinetics of metamorphic equilibration (Carlson et al., 2015). We show here that the kinetic contrast between the silicate and carbonate systems seems to hold at very low water contents and even under dry conditions. As a consequence, although the drastic effect of low water content (< 1 wt.%) on intergranular diffusion in mineral aggregates can be understood in the frame of water sensitive diffusional regimes (Rubie, 1986; Farver and Jund, 1995; Carlson, 2010, Gardés et al., 2012), substantial intergranular diffusivity variations will depend on the chemical and physical properties of intergranular media, which may drastically differ in laboratory experiments and in Nature.

Implications for metamorphism

The need for short run duration imposed by the synchrotron beam availability led us to focus on a system characterized by relatively high reaction kinetics, even under dry conditions. Consequently, our experiments significantly departed from nature-relevant kinetics, where slow diffusing species such as Al control the reaction rate. Our conclusions on the effect of water content are, however, in line with those from Carlson (2010) who, based on natural metamorphic reaction coronae, showed that large gaps exist between aluminum grain boundary diffusivities under "nearly anhydrous" and "hydrous but fluid-undersaturated" conditions. Carlson (2010) also suggested an increase of the activation energy of the diffusion from fluid-saturated to anhydrous conditions. Furthermore, it seems that another large diffusivity gap exists as the water content increases and the fluid phase becomes interconnected (Brenan, 1993). Considering now the recent study by Gardés et al. (2012), which depicts the same type of picture, it seems that a general scheme arises with a set of diffusivity regimes controlled by water content.

A central question with respect to natural systems is whether water content will affect metamorphic reactions in the temperature range at which they occur. Indeed, the decrease of the activation energy of the diffusion with increasing water content, suggests that reaction kinetics are unaffected by water content above a given temperature. In our case, the temperature corresponding to the intersection between the Arrhenius laws determined under dry and intermediate conditions lies at \simeq 1600 K. For both the data reported by Carlson (2010) and Gardés et al. (2012), this intersection is found at even higher temperature values. It is therefore likely that the strong effect of water activity (even when < 1) on reaction kinetics reported here will be relevant to most metamorphic conditions.

In high-grade lower crustal rocks, water activity is mostly expected to be below unity (e.g., Yardley and Valley, 1997) and, therefore, aside from Regime 4, the various diffusivity regimes re-defined by Gardés et al. (2012) can potentially operate. In dry lower-crustal rocks, when hydrous silicates are still present, for example, water activity is close to 10^{-2} (Yardley and Valley, 1997), this corresponds to what we called dry conditions here and tentatively interpreted as saturated (or partly saturated) grain boundaries, although in the absence of free fluids. Even if our understanding of intergranular transport in water undersaturated rock is still very poor, our experiments show that, in lower crustal rocks, grain boundary diffusion rates are rendered much faster by the simple presence of hydrous phases such as

Manuscript submitted to Journal of Petrology

brucite. Furthermore, since the addition of minor amounts of water are sufficient to allow transition from Regime 3 to 4, mineral reaction rates are prone to vary by several orders of magnitude with t c.
 f that will.
 are not anhydi.
 are not anhydi. relatively small changes in water activity. It can therefore be emphasized that, as much as temperature, water activity is a first order parameter that will control reaction rates among minerals. In water-bearing rocks - meaning here rocks which are not anhydrous - the effect of increasing temperature on grain boundary diffusivity will be enhanced by structural water contained in hydrous minerals being progressively transferred into grain boundaries, e.g., Gasc et al. (2011) for a laboratory case and Hetenyi et al. (2007) for a natural case.

http://www.petrology.oupjournals.org/

APPENDIX 1: Estimation of grain coarsening parameters and implementation in the analytical
 solution of Abart et al. (2009) for a spherical geometry.

Sample PC175 which was obtained under intermediate conditions exhibits the largest reaction
front, which allows the most precise characterization of grain growth among our samples.
Measurements of grain size across the reaction front showed that it is proportional to the distance from
the Ara+Bru | Mag interface, where the products nucleate (Figure A1).

This implies that the grain size of the products is proportional to the reaction front width, i.e. 717 $l \propto \Delta x$. Since PC175 is a 1-D diffusion experiment, we can consider the relation defined by Gardés and 718 Heinrich (2011) where reaction front growth controlled by grain boundary diffusion obeys:

 $\Delta x = A\sqrt{t^{1-1/n}} \tag{A1}$

and where A is a constant, and n is the grain growth exponent. At a given temperature, grain growth is
known to have an exponential dependence with time of the form

 $l = (\rho t)^{1/n} \tag{A2},$

where ρ is the growth rate constant. Proportionality between grain size and Δx whatever t implies that $\frac{1-1/n}{2} = 1/n$, i.e, n = 3. Note that this is the value of n that is used in Gardés and Heinrich (2011). Then, assuming a value of n = 3 for intermediate conditions, ρ could be retrieved for experiments where SEM images allowed measuring the maximum grain size, I, of the products; and using Equation (A2). This was done for six intermediate and two dry conditions experiments, respectively. However, under dry conditions, grain growth is limited, with final grain sizes that never exceed 150 nm. In addition, the nucleus size of the reaction products in the reaction rim is unknown. Grain growth in dry conditions was therefore neglected without inducing significant error on the retrieved diffusion values.

The temperature dependency of ρ is of Arrhenius type:

$$\rho = \rho_0 \times exp\left[-\frac{Q}{RT}\right] \tag{A3},$$

with Q = 274 kJ mol⁻¹ and $r_0 = 6.85 \times 10^{-5}$ m^{1/3} s⁻¹ for the *intermediate* dataset (Fig. A2). Using relation (A3), ρ could be calculated for all DIA experiments run under *intermediate* conditions and further used to calculate the corresponding $\overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB} \delta$ product as described in the Results section.

742 In order to use the grain coarsening model above to retrieve $\overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB}\delta$ in the spherical geometry, 743 differential equation (32) *in* Abart et al. (2009) has been modified to yield the following integral form:

$$\int_{1}^{y} y \left(\frac{y}{(1+u(y^{3}-1))^{1/3}} - 1 \right) dy = -\overline{D}_{\text{CaO}}^{GB} \delta \frac{\Delta_{r} G}{RT} \frac{V_{m}}{V_{r}} \frac{\pi}{2r_{0}^{2}\rho^{1/n}} \int_{0}^{t} t^{-\frac{1}{n}} dt \tag{A4}$$

747Noting that y = 1 for t = 0, we obtain the relation between grain boundary diffusivity, time and rim748dimensions for a spherical geometry:

750
$$\frac{1-y^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2u} \left\{ 1 - \left[1 + u(y^3 - 1) \right]^{2/3} \right\} = -\overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB} \delta \frac{\Delta_r G}{RT} \frac{V_m}{V_r} \frac{\pi}{2r_0^2 \rho^{1/n}} \frac{n}{n-1} t^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$$
(A5)

where $y = \frac{r_B}{r_0}$.

Equation (A5) was used to calculate grain boundary diffusion coefficients based on measurements of r_A and r_B (Figure 6b). However, using this solution implies calculating first the position of the initial interface r_0 . The position of r_0 relative to r_A and r_B can be found assuming that one mole of portlandite (i.e., CaO + H₂O) entering the rim, yields one mole of reaction products, $n_M = n_r$, where, again, the brucite + aragonite assemblage is considered as a single phase with a molar volume V_c that is the sum of brucite and aragonite individual molar volumes. We note that, at any time, the volume of a magnesite grain having reacted corresponds to $n_M V_M = \frac{4}{3}\pi (r_0^3 - r_B^3)$. Similarly, we can write that the volume of the reaction products corresponds to the volume of the rim, $n_p V_r = \frac{4}{3}\pi (r_A^3 - r_B^3)$. As stated above, the equality between the number of moles on each side, leads to the relation:

$$\frac{V_M}{V_r} = \frac{r_0^3 - r_B^3}{r_A^3 - r_B^3}$$
(A6)

The r_o values were therefore calculated using Equation (A6) and then used to retrieve $\overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB}\delta$ values with Equation (A5).

APPENDIX 2: Dependency of diffusivity data on the input grain size distribution

The distribution of the initial magnesite grain size in our model impacts the diffusion coefficient retrieved, simply because finer grains tend to react faster than their coarser counterparts. Although the initial grain size distribution was partly characterized by SEM images, the consistency between the two parameters that control the grain size distribution, γ_0 and σ , and the actual magnesite grains dimensions was tested by a series of simulations. This also allowed us to estimate the errors resulting from approximations made on the aforementioned grain size distribution.

In the log-normal distribution, γ_0 represents the natural logarithm of the median value, which, based on SEM characterization, was set to 2 μ m. The impact of γ_0 on diffusivity was tested for values varying from $\exp(\gamma_0) = 1-5 \,\mu\text{m}$. In the investigated range, the retrieved $\overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB} \delta$ values vary almost linearly with γ_0 (from 1.66 × 10⁻²⁴ to 4.94 × 10⁻²³ m³s⁻¹ for experiment X11, for example). The parameter σ is also critical since it impacts the proportion of large grains present in the sample; it was estimated based on SEM images, which show that large grains, coarser than 20 μm, represent ~30% of the whole magnesite initial volume. This is best modeled with $\sigma = \ln(2.5)$. However, we considered here that the uncertainty resulting from the error on σ corresponds to 2.2 < exp(σ) < 2.9, which translates into a volume percentage of large grains comprised between ~ 10 to 50 %. We remind that this error does not represent an actual variation of grain size distribution in the starting material but rather the amount of large grains sampled by the X-ray beam --therefore contributing to the overall kinetic. This may vary due to poor statistics, i.e. a handful of large grains only could result in an apparently slower kinetic, and, in the contrary, if little to no large grains are present, kinetic will appear faster, as it will be mainly controlled by the reaction of small grains. The results of these tests show that, for experiment X11 for example, when $\exp(\sigma)$ varies from 2.2 to 2.9, the obtained values of $\overline{D}_{Ca0}^{GB}\delta$ vary from 2.80×10^{-24} to 2.25×10^{-23} m³s⁻¹. It should be noted here, that we expect the grain size distribution in the starting material to be similar in all experiments and, therefore, errors discussed above should not affect the variations of $\overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB} \delta$ observed upon water content and temperature.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Univ. Paris-XI funded JG PhD grant. Financial support through PROCOPE (grant 09383RJ), HASYLAB and SYSTER (CNRS-INSU) is acknowledged. We are indebted to E. Gardés, W. Carlson and an anonymous reviewer for very constructive reviews that greatly improved the manuscript. The authors thank G. Hetényi, D. Daval and A. Fernandez-Martinez for valuable discussions.

<text>

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1: XRPD patterns collected on sample Y13 showing the early water activity buffering of the lime (LIM) - portlandite (POR) pair upon heating at 1.8 GPa. MAG, BRU, CAL and ARA stand for magnesite, brucite, calcite and aragonite, respectively. The grey and black patterns correspond to data collected at room temperature and shortly before the target temperature of 833 K was reached, respectively. Note that most peaks are shifted towards lower energies upon heating due to thermal expansion. At ca. 833 K upon heating, small amounts of reaction products, i.e., brucite and aragonite, are present along with minor transient calcite. The persistence of CaO is evidenced by its (200) reflection at 33.85 keV (black pattern), the intensity of which drastically decreased upon heating, as shown by the comparison between the grey and black diffraction patterns, then remained constant during the rest of the experiment. The partial consumption of CaO is interpreted as resulting from the reaction with the sample/assembly water to form Ca(OH)₂. Numbers in parentheses are Miller indices of the diffracting planes.

Figure 2: In-situ XRPD data for Reaction (1) under intermediate conditions at 573 K and 1.8 GPa (run X15). The spectra were collected with a step time of 45 s. The main diffraction peaks are indexed, including reflections used for the calculation of reaction progress and the BN reflection used for intensity normalization. Note that the corresponding diffraction energies differ from Figure 1 due to a different angle of the detector relative to the incident beam.

Figure 3: Bulk kinetic data (reaction progress) and simulated kinetic curves. (a) Dry conditions (DIA); (b) Intermediate conditions (DIA); (c) Saturated conditions (PC). Reaction progress data were obtained from diffraction reflections relative intensities for (a) and (b) (see text for details) and from Equation (4) for (c).

Figure 4: Half-reaction times obtained from all 16 DIA experiments as well as from the two sets of PC experiments, at 393 K and 423 K. Values are plotted on a logarithmic scale vs. reciprocal temperature. Usual time measures are given as a guide to apprehend the tremendous effect of temperature on the kinetics, which range from seconds to weeks within less than a 200-degree span. Triangles, diamonds and circles stand for dry, intermediate and water saturated conditions, respectively.

Figure 5: FE-SEM images in back-scattered electrons (BSE) mode of recovered samples. Abbreviations Por, Mag, Bru and Ara stand for portlandite, magnesite, brucite and aragonite respectively. (a) 1D-PC sample PC180. The reaction front between Portlandite (lower, bright phase) and MgCO₃ (upper dark phase) is visible. The Pt chip (white color) is at the Port Ara+Bru interface. The fracture network formed upon sample decompression and was not present when the sample reacted. (b) and (c) DIA samples Y10 and Y11, respectively. After collection of the kinetic data (shown in Figure 3) the temperature was increased for both samples to ~ 1073 K (see experimental section for details). (b) As a result these samples

Manuscript submitted to Journal of Petrology

show large reaction rims around the coarsest residual magnesite grains. (c) Also thanks to higher temperatures, nicely defined palisade-type rims with brucite and aragonite (darker and brighter phases, respectively) intergrowths are observed. The edge of a residual magnesite grain is visible in the lower left corner. (d) and (e) Detailed views of a reaction rim in samples AA13 and AA10, respectively. The reacting magnesite grain is visible in the bottom lower corner in both cases. Note the finer grain size of the reaction products in (e), due to a lower temperature.

Figure 6: Idealized geometries taken for the calculation of $\overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB}\delta$. (a) Planar geometry used for calculations of diffusion coefficients from reaction front thickness (1D-PC experiments). (b) Spherical geometry used for the calculation of $\overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB}\delta$ from reaction rim dimensions (DIA experiments, microscopic approach) and using the analytical solution derived from Abart et al. (2009) to fit the kinetic curves (DIA experiments, macroscopic approach).

Figure 7: Summary of the $\overline{D}_{Ca0}^{GB}\delta$ values obtained in this study and comparison with literature data. (a) Triangles and squares correspond to *intermediate* and *dry* data, respectively. Empty symbols represent values retrieved from SEM measurements of reaction rim widths for both *intermediate* and dry conditions (microscopic approach) with down-pointing triangles representing the 1D-PC data obtained under intermediate conditions. Diffusion data extracted from reaction progress vs. time curves are reported with filled symbols (bulk approach). The dashed lines represent Arrhenius laws obtained by least square fitting of the *dry* and *intermediate* data; the activation energies and their respective uncertainties obtained are also labelled. (b) The Arrhenius laws corresponding to our data and shown on (a) are also reported here (same dashed lines) along with grain boundary diffusion data from the literature regarding carbonates: Farver and Yund (1996), Ca diffusion in calcite (empty diamonds); Farver and Yund (1998), O diffusion in calcite (filled diamonds); Helpa et al. (2014), Ca diffusion during dolomite rim growth (empty stars). Additional data were added to illustrate previously demonstrated effect of water content: the black and grey curves and the circle represent diffusion regimes 1, 3 and 4 of Mg in enstatite grain boundaries, as defined in Gardés and Heinrich (2011) and Gardés et al. (2012); these correspond to dry conditions and 0.1-0.5 wt.% and 2.1 wt.% water, respectively.

Figure A1: Grain size of the reaction products across the reaction front in sample PC175. Grain sizes
 correspond to the average measurement of the five largest identified grains in each location. An SEM
 backscattered electron image of the reaction front is superimposed to illustrate the grain size gradient.

48 868 Figure A2: Arrhenius plot showing the values of ρ obtained from grain size measurements at various 49 869 temperatures for *intermediate* and *dry* experiments (circles and squares, respectively). The linear 50 870 regression shown by the dashed line returned the values indicated in the adjacent box, according to 52 871 equation (A3), with Q in J mol⁻¹.

- 54 872
- 56 873

- 874 REFERENCES
- Abart, R., Petrishcheva, E., Fischer, F. D. & Svoboda, J. (2009). Thermodynamic model for diffusion controlled reaction rim growth in a binary system: application to the forsterite-enstatite-quartz system. American Journal of Science **309**, 114-131. Astrom, J. A. (2006). Statistical models of brittle fragmentation. Advances in Physics 55, 247-278. Austrheim, H. (1987). Eclogitization of lower crustal granulites by fluid migration through shear zones. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 81, 221-232. Avrami, M. (1939). Kinetics of phase change I - General theory. Journal of Chemical Physics 7, 1103-1112. Baxter, E. F. (2003). Natural constraints on metamorphic reaction rates. In: Vance, D., Muller, W. & Villa, I. M. (eds.) Geochronology: Linking the Isotopic Record with Petrology and Textures. Bath: Geological Soc Publishing House, 183-202. Berman, R. G. & Aranovich, L. Y. (1996). Optimized standard state and solution properties of minerals .1. Model calibration for olivine, orthpyroxene, cordierite, garnet, ilmenite in the system FEO-MGO-CaO-Al2O3-TiO3-SiO2. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 126, 1-24. Bons, A. J., Drury, M. R., Schryvers, D. & Zwart, H. J. (1990). The nature of grain-boundaries in slates -implications for mass-transport processes during low-temperature metamorphism. *Physics and Chemistry* of Minerals 17, 402-408. Brady, J. B. (1983). Intergranular diffusion in metamorphic rocks. American Journal of Science 283, 19. Brenan, J. M. (1993). Diffusion of chlorine in fluid-bearing quartzite - effects of fluid composition and total porosity. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 115, 215-224. Brown, W. H., Fyfe, W. S. & Turner, F. J. (1962). Aragonite in california glaucophane schists, and the kinetics of the aragonite-calcite transformation. Journal of Petrology 3, 566-&. Brunet, F., Bagdassarov, N. & Miletich, R. (2003). Na3Al2(PO4)(3), a fast sodium conductor at high pressure: in-situ impedance spectroscopy characterisation and phase diagram up to 8 GPa. Solid State Ionics 159, 35-47. Carlson, W. D. & Gordon, C. L. (2004). Effects of matrix grain size on the kinetics of intergranular diffusion. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 22, 733-742. Carlson, W. D. (2010). Dependence of reaction kinetics on H2O activity as inferred from rates of intergranular diffusion of aluminium. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 28, 735-752. Carlson, W. D., Hixon, J. D., Garber, J. M. & Bodnar, R. J. (2015). Controls on metamorphic equilibration: the importance of intergranular solubilities mediated by fluid composition. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 33, 123-146. Chollet, M., Daniel, I., Koga, K. T., Petitgirard, S. & Morard, G. (2009). Dehydration kinetics of talc and 10 angstrom phase: Consequences for subduction zone seismicity. Earth and Planetary Science Letters , 57-64. Christian, J. W. (1975). Theory of transformations in metals and alloys. I. Equilibrium and general kinetic theory, 2nd edition. Theory of transformations in metals and alloys. I. Equilibrium and general kinetic theory, 2nd edition, xvi+586 pp-xvi+586 pp.

1		
2		
3 4	913	Cooke, D. J., Gray, R. J., Sand, K. K., Stipp, S. L. S. & Elliott, J. A. (2010). Interaction of Ethanol and
5	914	Water with the {1014} Surface of Calcite. Langmuir 26, 14520-14529.
6	915	Decker, D. L. (1971). High-pressure equation of state for NaCl, KCl, and CsCl. Journal of Applied
7	916	<i>Physics</i> 42 , 3239- & .
8	917	Dolejs, D. & Manning, C. E. (2010). Thermodynamic model for mineral solubility in aqueous fluids:
9 10	918	theory, calibration and application to model fluid-flow systems. Geofluids 10, 20-40.
11	919	Farver, J. R. (1994). OXYGEN SELF-DIFFUSION IN CALCITE - DEPENDENCE ON
12	920	TEMPERATURE AND WATER FUGACITY. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 121, 575-587.
13	921	Farver, J. R. & Yund, R. A. (1995). Grain-boundary diffusion of oxygen, potassium and calcium in
14	922	natural and hot-pressed feldspar aggregates. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 118, 340-355.
15 16	923	Farver, J. R. & Yund, R. A. (1996). Volume and grain boundary diffusion of calcium in natural and hot-
17	924	pressed calcite aggregates. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 123, 77-91.
18	925	Farver, J. R. & Yund, R. A. (1998). Oxygen grain boundary diffusion in natural and hot-pressed calcite
19	926	aggregates. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 161, 189-200.
20	927	Farver, J. R., Yund, R. A. & Rubie, D. C. (1994). Magnesium grain-boundary diffusion in forsterite
21	928	aggregates at 1000-degrees-1300-degrees-C and 0.1-MPa TO 10-GPa. Journal of Geophysical Research-
23	929	Solid Earth 99 , 19809-19819.
24	930	Fenter, P. & Sturchio, N. C. (2004). Mineral-water interfacial structures revealed by synchrotron X-ray
25	931	scattering Progress in Surface Science 77 171-258
26	932	Fisler D F & Mackwell S I (1994) Kinetics of diffusion-controlled growth of favalite <i>Physics and</i>
27 28	932	Chemistry of Minerals 21 156-165
29	031	Gardés E & Heinrich W (2011) Growth of multilayered polycrystalline reaction rims in the MgO-SiO2
30	935	system part II: modelling <i>Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology</i> 162 37-49
31	555	system, part II. moderning. Contributions to wineratogy and Tetrology 102, 37-47.
32	936	Gardés, E., Wunder, B., Marquardt, K. & Heinrich, W. (2012). The effect of water on intergranular mass
33 34	937	transport: new insights from diffusion-controlled reaction rims in the MgO-SiO2 system. Contributions to
35	938	Mineralogy and Petrology 164, 1-16.
36	939	Gasc, J., Brunet, F., Bagdassarov, N. & Morales-Florez, V. (2011). Electrical conductivity of
37	940	polycrystalline Mg(OH)(2) at 2 GPa: effect of grain boundary hydration-dehydration. <i>Physics and</i>
38	941	Chemistry of Minerals 38 , 543-556.
39 40	942	German, R. M. (2009). Fragmentation behaviour in particulate materials processing. <i>Powder Metallurgy</i>
41	943	52 196-204
42	944	Helpa V Rybacki E Abart R Morales L E G Rhede D Jerabek P & Dresen G (2014)
43	945	Reaction kinetics of dolomite rim growth Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 167
44 45	515	reaction killedes of dolonine fill growth. Controlations to inflict dogy and I chology 101.
45 46	946	Hetenyi, G., Cattin, R., Brunet, F., Bollinger, L., Vergne, J., Nabelek, J. & Diament, M. (2007). Density
47	947	distribution of the India plate beneath the Tibetan plateau: Geophysical and petrological constraints on the
48	948	kinetics of lower-crustal eclogitization. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 264, 226-244.
49	949	Hiraga, T., Nagase, T. & Akizuki, M. (1999). The structure of grain boundaries in granite-origin
50 51	950	ultramylonite studied by high-resolution electron microscopy. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals 26,
52	951	617-623.
53	952	Joachim, B., Gardés, E., Abart, R. & Heinrich, W. (2011). Experimental growth of Akermanite reaction
54	953	rims between wollastonite and monticellite: evidence for volume diffusion control. <i>Contributions to</i>
55	954	Mineralogy and Petrology 161, 389-399.
56 57		
58		
59		

1									
2									
4	955	Joachim, B., Gardés, E., Velickov, B., Abart, R. & Heinrich, W. (2012). Experimental growth of diopside							
5	956	plus merwinite reaction rims: The effect of water on microstructure development. American Mineralogist							
6	957	9 7, 220-230.							
/ 8	958	Joesten, R. L. (1991). Kinetics of coarsening and diffusion-controlled mineral growth. <i>Reviews in</i>							
9	959	Mineralogy 26, 507-582.							
10	960	John, T. & Schenk, V. (2003). Partial eclogitisation of gabbroic rocks in a late Precambrian subduction							
11	961	zone (Zambia): prograde metamorphism triggered by fluid infiltration. Contributions to Mineralogy and							
12	962	Petrology 146, 174-191.							
14	963	Lathe, C., Koch-Muller, M., Wirth, R., van Westrenen, W., Mueller, H. J., Schilling, F. & Lauterjung, J.							
15	964	(2005). The influence of OH in coesite on the kinetics of the coesite-quartz phase transition. American							
16 17	965	Mineralogist 90, 36-43.							
18	966	Marquardt, K., Ramasse, Q. M., Kisielowski, C. & Wirth, R. (2011). Diffusion in yttrium aluminium							
19	967	garnet at the nanometer-scale: Insight into the effective grain boundary width. American Mineralogist 96,							
20	968	1521-1529.							
21 22	000	Milles D. Kalzar K. Kash Muller M. & Wunder D. (2000). Orthonomous ring grouth hatusan							
23	969	Minke, R., Kolzer, K., Koch-Muller, M. & Wunder, B. (2009). Orthopyroxene rim growth between							
24	970	onvine and quartz at low temperatures (750-950A degrees C) and low water concentration. <i>Mineralogy</i>							
25	971	ana Petrology 91, 223-232.							
26 27	972	whike, K., Neusser, G., Kolzer, C., Wunder, B. (2013): Very little water is necessary to make a dry s silicate system wet. <i>Geology</i> 41 , 247, 250							
28	973	silicate system wet. <i>Geology</i> 41, 24/-250.							
29	974	Molina, J. F., Austrneim, H., Glodny, J. & Rusin, A. (2002). The eclogites of the Marun-Keu complex,							
30	975	Polar Urais (Russia): fluid control on reaction kinetics and metasomatism during high P metamorphism.							
31	976	Lithos 61 , 55 -78.							
32 33	977	Mueller, H. J., Schilling, F. R., Lauterjung, J. & Lathe, C. (2003). A standard-free pressure calibration							
34	978	using simultaneous XRD and elastic property measurements in a multi-anvil device. European Journal of							
35 36 37	979	Mineralogy 15, 865-873.							
	980	Ricoult, D. L. & Kohlstedt, D. L. (1983). Structural width of low-angle grain-boundaries in olivine.							
38	981	Physics and Chemistry of Minerals 9, 133-138.							
39	982	Ruble, D. C. (1986). The catalysis of mineral reactions by water and restrictions on the presence of							
40	983	aqueous fluid during metamorphism. <i>Mineralogical Magazine</i> 50, 399-415.							
41	984	Sanchidrian, J. A., Ouchterlony, F., Moser, P., Segarra, P. & Lopez, L. M. (2012). Performance of some							
42 43	985	distributions to describe rock fragmentation data. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining							
44	986	Sciences 53 , 18-31.							
45	987	Thompson, A. B. (1983). Fluid-absent metamorphism. <i>Journal of the Geological Society</i> 140, 533-547.							
46	988	Wood, B. J. & Walther, J. V. (1983). Rates of hydrothermal reactions. <i>Science</i> 222, 413-415.							
47 48 49 50	989	Yardley, B. W. D. & Valley, J. W. (1997). The petrologic case for a dry lower crust. <i>Journal of</i>							
	990	Geophysical Research-Solid Earth 102, 12173-12185.							
	991	Zinn, P., Lauterjung, J. & Hinze, E. (1995). Kinetic-studies of the crystallization of coesite using							
51	992	synchrotron-radiation. Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section B-Beam Interaction.							
52 53	993	with Materials and Atoms 97, 89-91.							
53 54									
55									

Table 1: List of symbols used and their significance

Symbol	Significance	Unit		
-	Temperature	к		
2	Pressure	Ра		
:	Time	S		
5(t)	Reaction progress (comprised between 0 and 1)	no unit		
1	XRPD peak intensity. Subscripts P and B refer to portlandite and brucite respectively	Counts		
Ki	Weight proportion of phase i. Subscripts <i>P</i> and <i>B</i> refer to portlandite and brucite respectively	no unit		
\mathcal{D}	Calibration factor which relates phase proportion and selected Bragg's reflection intensity ratio	no unit		
Mi	Molar weight of phase <i>i</i> , where subscripts <i>P</i> and <i>B</i> refer to portlandite and brucite respectively	g mol ⁻¹		
li	Flux of species i	mol $m^{-2} s^{-1}$		
D _{CaO}	CaO diffusion coefficient	$m^2 s^{-1}$		
D _{CaO}	Mean effective CaO diffusion coefficient across the reaction front or rim	$m^2 s^{-1}$		
C _{cao}	Concentration of CaO species	mol m ⁻³		
R	Ideal gas constant	J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹		
μ ⁰ _{CaO}	Chemical potential of CaO at the interface between portlandite and the reaction products (i.e., brucite and aragonite)	J mol ⁻¹		
u' _{cao}	Chemical potential of CaO at the interface between the reaction products (i.e., brucite and aragonite) and magnesite	J mol ⁻¹		
$\Delta \mu_{CaO}$	CaO chemical potential difference across the reaction front or rim	J mol ⁻¹		
4x	Reaction front (or rim) thickness	m		
∆ _r G	Free Gibbs energy of the reaction	J mol ⁻¹		
\overline{D}_{CaO}^{GB}	Mean grain boundary diffusion coefficient of CaO across the reaction front or rim	$m^2 s^{-1}$		
	Grain size of the reaction products, brucite and aragonite, in the reaction front or rim	m		
5	Grain boundary width	m		
V_i	Molar volume of phase i with subscripts r, m and p corresponding to the reaction products (i.e., equimolar mix of aragonite and brucite), magnesite and portlandite, respectively	m ³ mol ⁻¹		
7	Radii used in the spherical geometry. Subscripts A and B refer to the outer and inner radii of the reaction rim respectively and 0 corresponds to the initial magnesite grain radius	m		
V,	Volume of the reaction rim. Can be expressed as the difference between the volumes of the spheres having r_A and r_B for radii	m ³		
n _i	Molar amount with subscripts p and m corresponding to portlandite and magnesite, respectively	mol		
v	Ratio between molar volumes of magnesite and portlandite, V_m/V_p	no unit		
K(t)	Reaction progress for a single coarse magnesite grain, comprised between 0 and 1	no unit		
Port Ara+Bru	Reaction interface with portlandite on one side and aragonite + brucite on the other			
n	Grain coarsening time exponent, equals to 3 in our case.	no unit		
0	Grain coarsening constant. Unit depends on n	m ³ s ⁻¹		
<i>'</i> o	Mean of the natural logarithm of the initial magnesite grain size (with radii log-normally distributed)	no unit		
	Observiced deviation of the method localithm of the initial mean saids avair size	no unit		

Table 2: Experimental conditions, bulk kinetics and reaction rim growth data, and corresponding diffusivity parameters.

Experiment type	Hydration level	Dataset ^a	Peaks used (Bulk)	<i>T</i> , K	t, s	<i>t</i> (<i>ξ</i> = 0.5), s	<i>∆_rG</i> , kJ mol ⁻¹	<i>ρ</i> , m ³ s⁻¹	$D^{GB} \times \delta \pm 1\sigma$, m ³ s ⁻¹ (Bulk)	Δx ^b , μm	<i>r</i> _A , μm	<i>r_B</i> , μm	$D^{GB} \times \delta \pm 1\sigma$, m ³ s ⁻¹ (Micro) ^d
		X07	Por Bru	873	1,499	4.50E+01	-41.3	-	2.28E-21 ± 4.3E-20	-	-	-	-
		X08	Mag Bru Ara	843	6,759	1.90E+02	-41.6	-	4.81E-22 ± 9.2E-21	-	-	-	-
		X09	Mag Bru Ara	823	9,911	5.10E+03	-42.0	-	9.77E-24 ± 1.9E-22	-	3.6	1.9 ± 0.1	9.72E-24 ± 1.5E-24
	Dry	Y11	Por Bru	833	10,160	4.20E+02	-41.9	-	2.10E-22 ± 4.0E-21	-	-	-	-
		Y13	Por Bru	833	9,927	1.90E+03	-41.9	-	2.57E-23 ± 4.9E-22	-	-	-	-
		AA12	Mag Bru Ara	853	279	6.00E+00	-41.6	-	9.49E-21 ± 1.8E-19	-	5.1	2.3 ± 0.1	4.44E-22 ± 5.6E-23
		AA13 ^c	-	823	1,064		-42.0	-	-	-	6.8	2.3 ± 0.1	2.50E-22 ± 3.1E-23
		X10	Por Bru	823	816	2.00E+00	-42.0	2.72E-22	1.54E-20 ± 2.2E-19	-	-	-	-
DIA		X11	Por Bru	673	8,739	4.80E+02	-43.2	3.60E-26	7.94E-24 ± 1.1E-22	-	5.0	2.4 ± 0.2	6.76E-25 ± 1.0E-25
		X12	Por Bru	743	408	1.40E+00	-42.8	3.64E-24	2.47E-21 ± 3.5E-20	-	-	-	-
		X15	Por Bru	573	14,866	2.80E+03	-43.6	6.97E-30	3.31E-26 ± 4.7E-25	-	-	-	-
		Y09	Por Bru	623	6,267	1.24E+06	-43.5	7.06E-28	1.17E-26 ± 1.7E-25	-	-	-	-
		Y10	Por Bru	598	8,918	2.16E+06	-43.5	7.72E-29	4.11E-27 ± 5.8E-26	-	-	-	-
	Intermediate	Y14	Por Bru	673	3,131	9.10E+02	-43.2	3.60E-26	1.28E-24 ± 1.8E-23	-	-	-	-
		AA9	Mag Bru Ara	648	5,730	1.60E+05	-43.3	5.44E-27	3.98E-26 ± 5.6E-25	-	10.0	9.0 ± 0.3	7.04E-26 ± 6.7E-27
		AA10	Mag Bru Ara	723	4,184	1.40E+04	-42.9	1.07E-24	1.28E-24 ± 1.8E-23	-	15.0	12.3 ± 0.1	4.07E-24 ± 9.0E-26
		AA11	Mag Bru Ara	648	5,218	9.80E+03	-43.3	5.44E-27	2.51E-25 ± 3.5E-24	-	5.0	4.4 ± 0.1	2.64E-26 ± 1.7E-27
	-	PC182	-	823	234,000	-	-42.0	2.72E-22	-	850 ± 75	-	-	4.26E-19 ± 1.2E-19
1D-PC		PC180	-	773	1,897,200	-	-42.5	2.04E-23	-	280 ± 62	-	-	4.48E-21 ± 3.9E-21
		PC175	-	873	259,200	-	-41.3	2.70E-21	-	1,380 ± 125	-	-	2.43E-18 ± 6.9E-19
PC	Osturatad	PC_120	Por Bru	393	-	2.16E+04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Galuraleu	PC_150	Por Bru	423	-	6.00E+03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

^aEach intermediate and dry conditions DIA dataset corresponds to a single experiment, whereas each of the two PC saturated datasets corresponds to a series of quench experiments. 1D-PC data in intermediate conditions also correspond to single experiments (see experimental section for details).

^b Uncertainties on measurements of reaction front length and rim radii are given as $\pm 1 \sigma$. Error on r_A is the same as for r_B .

^c AA13 was run offline (without synchrotron XRPD) and was therefore not used in the bulk approach. Note that *I* = 120 nm.

^d Most experiments were terminated by increasing the temperature until full reaction completion was observed, which does not allow those samples to be used for the microscopic approach.



Fig. 1 : XRPD patterns collected on sample Y13 showing the early water activity buffering of the lime (LIM) – portlandite (POR) pair upon heating at 1.8 GPa. MAG, BRU, CAL and ARA stand for magnesite, brucite, calcite and aragonite, respectively. The grey and black patterns correspond to data collected at room temperature and shortly before the target temperature of 833 K was reached, respectively. Note that most peaks are shifted towards lower energies upon heating due to thermal expansion. At ca. 833 K upon heating, small amounts of reaction products, i.e., brucite and aragonite, are present along with minor transient calcite. The persistence of CaO is evidenced by its (200) reflection at 33.85 keV (black pattern), the intensity of which drastically decreased upon heating, as shown by the comparison between the grey and black diffraction patterns, then remained constant during the rest of the experiment. The partial consumption of CaO is interpreted as resulting from the reaction with the sample/assembly water to form Ca(OH)2. Numbers in parentheses are Miller indices of the diffracting planes. 175x101mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Fig 2 : In-situ XRPD data for Reaction (1) under intermediate conditions at 573 K and 1.8 GPa (run X15). The spectra were collected with a step time of 45 s. The main diffraction peaks are indexed, including reflections used for the calculation of reaction progress and the BN reflection used for intensity normalization. Note that the corresponding diffraction energies differ from Figure 1 due to a different angle of the detector relative to the incident beam.

198x159mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Fig 3a : Bulk kinetic data (reaction progress) and simulated kinetic curves. (a) Dry conditions (DIA); (b) Intermediate conditions (DIA); (c) Saturated conditions (PC). Reaction progress data were obtained from diffraction reflections relative intensities for (a) and (b) (see text for details) and from Equation (4) for (c).



Fig 3b : Bulk kinetic data (reaction progress) and simulated kinetic curves. (a) Dry conditions (DIA); (b) Intermediate conditions (DIA); (c) Saturated conditions (PC). Reaction progress data were obtained from diffraction reflections relative intensities for (a) and (b) (see text for details) and from Equation (4) for (c).



Fig 3c : Bulk kinetic data (reaction progress) and simulated kinetic curves. (a) Dry conditions (DIA); (b) Intermediate conditions (DIA); (c) Saturated conditions (PC). Reaction progress data were obtained from diffraction reflections relative intensities for (a) and (b) (see text for details) and from Equation (4) for (c).





Fig. 4: Half-reaction times obtained from all 16 DIA experiments as well as from the two sets of PC experiments, at 393 K and 423 K. 153x138mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Fig. 5 : FE-SEM images in back-scattered electrons (BSE) mode of recovered samples. Abbreviations Por, Mag, Bru and Ara stand for portlandite, magnesite, brucite and aragonite respectively. (a) 1D-PC sample PC180. The reaction front between Portlandite (lower, bright phase) and MgCO3 (upper dark phase) is visible. The Pt chip (white color) is at the Port |Ara+Bru interface. The fracture network formed upon sample decompression and was not present when the sample reacted. (b) and (c) DIA samples Y10 and Y11, respectively. After collection of the kinetic data (shown in Figure 3) the temperature was increased for both samples to ~1073 K (see experimental section for details). (b) As a result these samples show large reaction rims around the coarsest residual magnesite grains. (c) Also thanks to higher temperatures, nicely defined palisade-type rims with brucite and aragonite (darker and brighter phases, respectively) intergrowths are observed. The edge of a residual magnesite grain is visible in the lower left corner. (d) and (e) Detailed views of a reaction rim in samples AA13 and AA10, respectively. The reacting magnesite grain is visible in the bottom lower corner in both cases. Note the finer grain size of the reaction products in (e), due to a lower temperature.

192x179mm (300 x 300 DPI)

http://www.petrology.oupjournals.org/





Fig. 6 : Idealized geometries taken for the calculation of . (a) Planar geometry used for calculations of diffusion coefficients from reaction front thickness (1D-PC experiments). (b) Spherical geometry used for the calculation of D_CaO^GB δ from reaction rim dimensions (DIA experiments, microscopic approach) and using the analytical solution derived from Abart et al. (2009) to fit the kinetic curves (DIA experiments, macroscopic approach). 338x190mm (300 x 300 DPI)

http://www.petrology.oupjournals.org/



Fig. 7a : Summary of the D^{_}CaO^GB δ values obtained in this study and comparison with literature data.
 (a) Triangles and squares correspond to intermediate and dry data, respectively. Empty symbols represent values retrieved from SEM measurements of reaction rim widths for both intermediate and dry conditions (microscopic approach) with down-pointing triangles representing the 1D-PC data obtained under

intermediate conditions. Diffusion data extracted from reaction progress vs. time curves are reported with filled symbols (bulk approach). The dashed lines represent Arrhenius laws obtained by least square fitting of the dry and intermediate data; the activation energies and their respective uncertainties obtained are also labelled. (b) The Arrhenius laws corresponding to our data and shown on (a) are also reported here (same dashed lines) along with grain boundary diffusion data from the literature regarding carbonates: Farver and Yund (1996), Ca diffusion in calcite (empty diamonds); Farver and Yund (1998), O diffusion in calcite (filled diamonds); Helpa et al. (2014), Ca diffusion during dolomite rim growth (empty stars). Additional data were added to illustrate previously demonstrated effect of water content: the black and grey curves and the circle represent diffusion regimes 1, 3 and 4 of Mg in enstatite grain boundaries, as defined in Gardés and Heinrich (2011) and Gardés et al. (2012); these correspond to dry conditions and 0.1-0.5 wt.% and 2.1 wt.% water, respectively.





Fig. 7b : Summary of the D^{_}CaO^GB δ values obtained in this study and comparison with literature data.
 (a) Triangles and squares correspond to intermediate and dry data, respectively. Empty symbols represent values retrieved from SEM measurements of reaction rim widths for both intermediate and dry conditions (microscopic approach) with down-pointing triangles representing the 1D-PC data obtained under

intermediate conditions. Diffusion data extracted from reaction progress vs. time curves are reported with filled symbols (bulk approach). The dashed lines represent Arrhenius laws obtained by least square fitting of the dry and intermediate data; the activation energies and their respective uncertainties obtained are also labelled. (b) The Arrhenius laws corresponding to our data and shown on (a) are also reported here (same dashed lines) along with grain boundary diffusion data from the literature regarding carbonates: Farver and Yund (1996), Ca diffusion in calcite (empty diamonds); Farver and Yund (1998), O diffusion in calcite (filled diamonds); Helpa et al. (2014), Ca diffusion during dolomite rim growth (empty stars). Additional data were added to illustrate previously demonstrated effect of water content: the black and grey curves and the circle represent diffusion regimes 1, 3 and 4 of Mg in enstatite grain boundaries, as defined in Gardés and Heinrich (2011) and Gardés et al. (2012); these correspond to dry conditions and 0.1-0.5 wt.% and 2.1 wt.% water, respectively.



Fig A1 : Grain size of the reaction products across the reaction front in sample PC175. Grain sizes correspond to the average measurement of the five largest identified grains in each location. An SEM backscattered electron image of the reaction front is superimposed to illustrate the grain size gradient. 237x155mm (300 x 300 DPI)





Fig A2 : Arrhenius plot showing the values of ρ obtained from grain size measurements at various temperatures for intermediate and dry experiments (circles and squares, respectively). The linear regression shown by the dashed line returned the values indicated in the adjacent box, according to equation (A3), with Q in J mol-1.