

38 1. Introduction

39 The potential of aerial imagery to locate, map and monitor paleontological and
40 paleoanthropological resources has long been recognized (e.g. Remondino et al, 2010; Bates et
41 al, 2008; Asfaw et al, 1990; Njau and Hlusko, 2010), in the wake of wider initiatives applying
42 remote sensing to research in geographically large archaeological areas (Challis and Howard,
43 2006; Leckebusch, 2005; Hanson and Oltean, 2013; Comer and Harrower, 2013). In recent years,
44 the fast-developing technology of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) has become common
45 practice in archaeological research, assisting in the identification of sites and features not easily
46 detected from the ground (Verhoeven, 2009; Casana et al, 2014; Chiabrando et al, 2011;). Aerial
47 Archaeology has traditionally focused on late Prehistory or historical contexts in order to map
48 buildings and structures (Al-kheder et al, 2009; Plets et al, 2012; Chiabrando and Spano, 2009;
49 Hendrickx et al, 2011; Mozas-Calvache et al, 2012), but it can also be applied to Paleolithic
50 research; digital files captured from UAV sensors (cameras and GPS) provide high-resolution still
51 and motion imaging, which are the basis for the production of spatially geo-referenced 3D
52 models, site mapping, analysis of site distribution patterns, determination of stratigraphic and
53 geomorphological contexts, and recognition of sedimentary outcrops.

54 This study contributes to these initiatives by introducing an aerial imagery model for Olduvai
55 Gorge (Tanzania), based on spatial datasets produced by UAVs and processed with
56 photogrammetry techniques. Olduvai Gorge (**Figure 1**), a world renowned archaeological site, is
57 exceptionally important for early human evolutionary research. It has a continuous history of
58 investigation spanning over a century, during which comprehensive research has been
59 undertaken on the paleoanthropology (e.g. L. Leakey et al, 1964; L. Leakey 1951, 1965; M.
60 Leakey, 1971; M. Leakey and Roe, 1994) and geology (Hay, 1976) of the area. Nevertheless,
61 despite continued investigations in the Gorge after the classic research period of the Leakeys
62 and associates, the cartographic basis has remained poorly developed. In addition, Olduvai is
63 not located in a region of the globe where there is extensive and continuous mapping; available
64 topographic maps are outdated, have no openly accessible digital versions, are not particularly
65 accurate, and free-access satellite imagery available for this area is of relatively low resolution.
66 These caveats complicate the elaboration of high-resolution stratigraphic, geomorphological,
67 paleogeographic and archaeological site maps, basic to paleoanthropological research and
68 conservation management of the site which, together with Laetoli and the Ngorongoro
69 Conservation Area, is listed as UNESCO World Heritage Site.

70 To overcome these issues, our aim was to develop a detailed digital cartographic basis for
71 further spatial archaeological and geological investigations in Olduvai Gorge. The rapid
72 development of relatively affordable UAV technologies provided an opportunity to produce
73 accurate spatial datasets via aerial imaging of the current morphology of the Gorge. Given that
74 the aim of the aerial survey was to generate georeferenced tiles of orthophotographs and Digital
75 Terrain Models (DTMs), it also offered opportunities to analyse the landscape with much higher
76 resolution than ever before, enabling a topographic as well as a remote sensing inspection of
77 the terrain. In order to achieve this goal, UAV field surveys were undertaken during four field
78 seasons in 2013, 2014 and 2015, covering an area of 32 km² of the Main and Side Gorges of

79 Olduvai Gorge. This paper aims to introduce the outcomes of our aerial survey, discuss the
80 methodological challenges encountered, and present results and their potential applications for
81 research and conservation in areas with paleoanthropological resources.

82

83 **Insert here Figure 1**

84

85 **2. Materials and Methods**

86 The aerial survey was undertaken with two types of UAV, a Swinglet CAM delta-wing platform
87 and a DJI Phantom 2 Vision+ quadcopter (**Figure 2**). The Swinglet system, manufactured by
88 SenseFly, has a rigid delta-wing (fixed-wing) EPP foam frame with one electric pusher motor, a
89 specifically designed autopilot control unit and integrated camera (adapted version of Canon
90 IXUS 220 HS 12.1 megapixel). It is piloted with a ground control unit (a rugged tablet running on
91 Microsoft Windows), a remote controller and a radio modem for data link between the aerial
92 vehicle and ground control unit. This UAV was used as the primary means of aerial survey
93 imaging, where most flights were carried out in autopilot mode at altitudes around 120 m above
94 ground level, with 4 cm per pixel ground resolution, 70% longitudinal, and 70% - 80% lateral
95 overlap of images. The DJI quadcopter contained a 14-megapixel camera, was controlled with
96 an Android tablet and supported by a GNSS receiver. Flights with the quadcopter were operated
97 at a speed of 4 m per second at low altitudes (from 50 to 80 m above ground level), and produced
98 a smaller coverage than the airplane, but resulted in a system that is more affordable to control
99 and repair. Indeed, the use of UAVs in Olduvai Gorge proved to be logistically very challenging;
100 dust, sand, rocks, wild fauna, and especially very strong winds and gusts, all caused significant
101 difficulties during take-off, flight and landing. Any significant damage to the equipment could
102 cause the season's programme to end, since receiving spare parts or online assistance was not
103 an option due to the remote location of the site.

104 The Swinglet UAV flight patterns were designed with SenseFly's mission planning software.
105 Images were georeferenced by combining them with the flight logs from the control station in
106 Sense Fly's Postflight Suite software. This process allowed a certain level of automation, and
107 georeferencing was relatively straightforward due to seamless integration of an on-board GPS
108 receiver data into the Swinglet planning and control options. Fieldwork routine with the DJI
109 Phantom quadcopter also required improvement in geolocation accuracy and addition of true
110 elevation values, which are not included in geotagged photographs produced by the DJI drone.
111 Thus, each mapping section was georeferenced with three control points; to insure that the
112 method was non-invasive, reflective paper plates marked ground control points recorded with
113 a high-accuracy GNSS receiver, which were then used to process the orthomosaic and surface
114 models. Due to the limited range of the quadcopter, each mapping section required the
115 launching of flights from both the northern and southern scarps, and in some cases, from the
116 bottom of the Gorge too, in order to achieve adequate overlap. Each flight lasted an average of
117 15 minutes and covered a length of ca 300 m of the Gorge.

118

119 **Insert here Figure 2**

120

121 The survey was carried out over four field seasons during 2013, 2014 and 2015 (**Table 1**). It
122 started from the so-called Junction Area, where the Main and Side Gorges meet (see **Figure 1**),
123 and expanded outwards from there. Although it varied depending on topographic and
124 atmospheric conditions, each flight covered on average an area of 120,000 m² with the Swinglet
125 system and 58,000 m² with the quadcopter, at an average altitude respectively of 120 and 65 m
126 above the departure point level. In total, 32,596,076 m² of non-overlapping area within the
127 region were photographed. From this total, 19,143,690 m² were the Gorge itself, constituting
128 73.6% of the entire mapping area. Images were acquired with 4 cm per pixel ground resolution
129 or higher. Due to the complexity of the landscape (with sharp elevation contrasts within small
130 areas), very high overlapping (minimum of 70% longitudinal and 70% lateral) of orthogonal
131 photographs was used for most flights.

132

133 **Insert here Table 1**

134

135 Orthogonal photographs obtained in each flight were processed with photogrammetric
136 software developed by Pix4D. For certain areas where oblique and/ or ground level images were
137 also available, PhotoScan software (Agisoft) was used as well. Resulting orthomosaics range in
138 size from under 500 MB to over 8.5 GB, average at 2.7 GB and have a total size of 81.4 GB. The
139 large size of data files processed was a challenge in itself; it required a dedicated high power
140 workstation, and on average 14 hours of processing time per tile. In order to georeference the
141 photogrammetric models, we used non-invasive ground control points as described above, and
142 also control points recorded from standing structures (e.g. buildings, concrete beacons) present
143 throughout the Gorge. The final model showed errors from ca 0.5 to 1 m in longitude and
144 latitude and up to 1.5 m in altitude, with a mean re-projection error of >0.5 pixel, and was
145 overlapped onto a 60 cm resolution model provided by the DigitalGlobe Foundation.

146 In addition to orthomosaics, structure from motion processing produced point clouds used to
147 calculate Digital Surface Models (DSM). The latter were transformed into Digital Elevation
148 Models (DEM) after denoising vegetation, artificial structures and distortions which occurred
149 during production of the orthomosaic. Denoising proved to be particularly time-consuming,
150 since available algorithms (Sun et al, 2007; Stevenson et al, 2010) were either unsuitable for the
151 size and precision of datasets, or not designed for a highly irregular surface (SAGA GIS DTM
152 filter). Thus, algorithms automatizing the process had to be combined with manual editing of
153 rasters. Due to dense vegetation in some areas of the gorge, the process was multi-stage,
154 requiring removal of spikes in elevation caused by vegetation, cleaning up the bare earth raster
155 and filling the gaps with Multilevel B-Spline Interpolation. Initial filtering employed the SAGA GIS
156 slope based filter, removing cells with sharp slope changes and producing a bare earth raster.
157 However, due to the substantial irregularity of the terrain at Olduvai Gorge, filtering had to be
158 applied cautiously to avoid smoothing of actual topographic features, and therefore a number

159 of vegetation and man-made structure cells were still present after the filter process. The bare
160 earth model was subsequently imported into ArcGIS and a vector stencil created, which was
161 used for clipping the model before importing it back into SAGA GIS for the interpolation stage.

162 **Insert here Table 2**

163

164 Filtering of DEMs from photogrammetric data was a major methodological challenge due to the
165 large extent of the area surveyed, terrain roughness and vegetation density. Despite these
166 issues, a comparison of six samples from varying terrain and vegetation densities (**Figure 3, Table**
167 **2**) shows that the denoising process established here was effective; changes in mean elevation
168 are minimal while remaining higher in dense vegetation areas, which can be attributed to
169 removal of peaks created by vegetation. Effectivity of the denoising method is evident in Sample
170 #1 (where no vegetation is present), as there is no change between the elevation of unfiltered
171 and filtered models. Differences in aspect can also be associated with the removal of elevation
172 spikes and the smoother character of the filtered DEM. In addition, all six samples returned
173 desirable slope values, where the largest differences are expected; the main disparity observed
174 is the low range of slope differences between unfiltered and filtered samples #1, #3 and #5,
175 where little to no vegetation exists. Significantly higher differences between slope values can be
176 seen in samples #2, #4 and #6, where change can be attributed to the removal of dense
177 vegetation.

178 In summary, results of the comparison of the six samples confirm effectiveness of the denoising
179 workflow presented in this paper, which shows a high degree of sensitivity to changes in types
180 of terrain and levels of vegetation; most of the vegetation was filtered out in all cases, while not
181 affecting the variability and underlying character of the terrain.

182

183 **Insert here Figure 3**

184

185 The resulting DEM provided a high-resolution dataset with which to characterize the
186 morphometry of the mapping area. This characterization used standard GIS morphometric
187 variables (elevation, slope, aspect, curvature), roughness calculation through the TRI index (Riley
188 et al., 1999), and other variables such as depth, volume and drainage density. A depth map was
189 created by subtracting the elevation of the Gorge itself from the interpolated flat surface, which
190 simulates the area prior to erosion of the Gorge. The simulated flat surface was created with
191 multilevel B-spline interpolation, focal statistics and low pass filters applied to smooth the
192 surface by removing interpolation noise. The depth map was transformed into a volume map by
193 multiplying depth values by cell area, showing the volume of sediment eroded in each cell.

194 **3. Results**

195 3.1. Orthomosaic

196 Production of a high quality orthomosaic of Olduvai Gorge was a major objective of this study.
197 The resulting model provides a remarkably high-resolution digital aerial photographic
198 repository, in which the orthomosaic cell size varies between 4 and 5.5 cm on the ground,
199 covering over 32 km² (**Figure 4**). As a result, our orthomosaic achieved XY precision of <1 m.

200

201 **Insert here Figure 4**

202

203 Application of the orthomosaic ranges from general cartographic outputs to specific research
204 targets. For example, the UAV orthomosaic forms the foundation for a new outline of the map
205 of Olduvai Gorge (**Figure 5**); bearing in mind that during the last five decades, virtually all
206 publications have reproduced M. Leakey's (1971) and Hay's (1976) original maps, our **Figure 5**
207 provides not only a higher resolution of the outline of the Gorge, but also includes erosional
208 changes in the landscape occurring in recent years. This template is made available in several
209 formats in **SOM 2-4**.

210 With regard to more specific applications, the orthomosaic provides a high-resolution basis for
211 geological mapping of Olduvai Gorge outcrops, both for field survey and remote sensing. As
212 such, remote sensing classification of the RGB spectrum of the orthomosaic can be used to
213 automatize identification of particular strata and lithologies, and shows great potential for large-
214 scale supervised classification of geological mapping at Olduvai.

215

216 **Insert here Figure 5**

217

218

219 3.2. Digital Surface Model and Digital Elevation Model

220 Automatization of the structure from motion workflow resulted in the generation of DSMs with
221 the same error and precision as the orthomosaic described above. DSMs were filtered to obtain
222 DEMs that could be applied to visual inspection and quantitative morphometrics of the Gorge.
223 The process involved use of a highly customized DEM filter (see above), with calculations based
224 on changes in slope (**Figure 6A**). Due to the processing requirements of substantially large
225 datasets (the average size of a single DSM was 1.1 GB), DSMs (**Figure 6B**) were reduced to 20%
226 of their original size. This resulted in a cell size of ca. 17.5 cm on the ground, although the original
227 datasets (based on terrain models of ca. 5 cm cell size) can be used for detailed analysis at any
228 time. The resulting DEM of the entire area photographed is shown in **Figure 6C**.

229

230 **Insert here Figure 6**

231

232 Recent work has highlighted substantial differences in resolution between widely available
233 imagery and that obtained from specific UAV initiatives (Fernández-Lozano and Gutiérrez-
234 Alonso, 2016; Sadr, 2015). Thus, a comparative analysis of our UAV-produced DEM and the pre-
235 existing GDEM global model (with a 30 m resolution) was conducted to show the progress in
236 resolution and accuracy. Results demonstrate the dramatic progress achieved in the mapping of
237 the Gorge, showing an improvement of more than a hundredfold in the visual and quantitative
238 understanding of topographic indicators. Thus, **Table 3** shows that mean values of elevation and
239 width differ considerably between the two models, which bear important consequences for
240 calculations of Olduvai Gorge profiles (**Figure 7**).

241

242 **Insert here Table 3**

243 **Insert here Figure 7**

244

245 Differences are even more significant when erosion is estimated (**Table 4**); total sediment loss
246 for the mapped area was calculated for the GDEM and compared to two Aerial DEM tiles, namely
247 the Junction Area (where the highest density of early Pleistocene human fossils at Olduvai is
248 concentrated), and the First Fault Area (where Olduvai Gorge ends and the Olduvai river
249 discharges into the Olbalbal Depression). While estimates of the eroded area do not vary
250 significantly between GDEM and UAV data (ranging from approx. 5% in the First Fault Area to
251 <1% for the entire mapping area), calculations of the total volume of sediment removed by
252 erosion show substantially different results. Thus, the Aster model estimates erosion of ca. 887
253 million m³ of sediment, but the UAV imagery model reduces it to 586 million m³. The Junction
254 Area and the First Fault Area (see **Figure 8**) show similar differences in ratios between the GDEM
255 and UAV results, with disparities between the two models averaging 34% (**Table 4**), once again
256 highlighting the much higher quality of the new UAV imagery data.

257

258

259 **Insert here Table 4**

260

261 3.3. Morphometric characterization of Olduvai Gorge

262 Standard morphometric variables such as slope, elevation, aspect and curvature, as well as the
263 TRI roughness index, are shown in **Figure 9**, and **Figure 10** shows results of the depth, volume
264 and drainage density models. The drainage network was calculated with flow direction and flow
265 accumulation GIS tools, and used to estimate stream density, applying the line density tool at a
266 search radius of 100 m, and providing the length of lines per square metre of the surface. Stream
267 order was used as a population field, assigning higher weight to streams of a higher order. The
268 width of the Gorge was also calculated by measuring the distance from the northern to southern
269 scarps across the mapping area. Scarp lines were converted into points and the distance from

270 each point of the northern scarp to the nearest point on the southern scarp was calculated. The
271 resulting set of distances gives the width of the Gorge throughout its length from West to East
272 (**Figure 11**).

273

274 **Insert here Figure 9**

275

276 The mean elevation of the mapping area is 1418 m above the sea level (a.s.l.), with a mean slope
277 of 12.8°. In general, the Main and Side Gorges are dominated by south facing hillslopes (171°),
278 having mean and maximum depths of 35.33 m and 88.24 m respectively. These depths indicate
279 mean and maximum volumes of 1.07 m³ and 2.68 m³ per cell respectively.

280

281 **Insert here Figure 10**

282

283 Morphometric variables show clear differences between the Main and the Side Gorges. This
284 difference is associated with the greater incision of the Main Gorge, indicated by lower mean
285 elevation, steeper slopes and deeper valleys (**Table 5**). The Side Gorge also shows mean
286 curvatures closer to flat surfaces (curvature=0). Curvature ranges in the Main Gorge are higher,
287 and connected with the more marked concavities and convexities in the Main Gorge, associated
288 with scarps and the incision of lateral ravines. Overall, these features convey higher mean values
289 of roughness for the Main Gorge. Lateral ravines are also more frequent in the mapping area of
290 the Main Gorge, and indicated by a higher mean drainage density.

291 **Insert here Figure 11**

292

293 **Insert here Table 5**

294

295 The presence of lateral ravines determines variability in the width of the Gorge (**Figure 11**). Apart
296 from this variability, width values in the Main Gorge show a general decreasing trend eastwards
297 (downstream), although different patterns are discerned according to tectonic areas; width
298 values are higher west of the Fifth Fault and between the Fourth and the Third faults, and
299 narrower in the areas between the Fifth and the Fourth faults and between the Third and the
300 First faults. On the other hand, width in the Side Gorge is defined by high values to the west,
301 where there is an inflexion from a NW-SE to an E-W direction, just downstream from the small
302 graben mapped by Hay (1976). To the east of this area, relatively constant low width values are
303 shown as far as the FC- MNK outcrops: here, the highest values for the width of the Gorge are
304 in the area affected by the FLK fault, just before the confluence with the Main Gorge.

305 Morphometric variables also reveal different topographic patterns according to tectonic areas
306 of the Main Gorge, which we defined in **Figure 12** using the main faults described by Hay (1976).
307 There is a logically decreasing trend downstream in mean elevation, but with slight variations.
308 This decrease is more pronounced in areas 3 (located between the Fifth and the Fourth Fault)
309 and 6 (First-Second faults), while in areas 2 (west of the Fifth Fault) and 4-5 (Fourth-Second
310 fault), decrease in elevation is slower. Such variations are also observed in other variables. Mean
311 slope shows the lowest values in area 3 (Fifth-Fourth faults), but increases drastically in area 4
312 (Fourth-Third faults), and reaches its highest values in area 5 (Third-Second faults). The Terrain
313 Ruggedness Index (TRI) shows the same pattern, with lowest mean values in area 3 (Fifth-Fourth
314 Fault) and maximum mean values in area 5 (Third-Second faults). Likewise, the shallowest values
315 for mean depth are in area 3 (Fifth-Fourth Fault) and areas 6-7 (west of the Second Fault), while
316 the deepest mean values are in area 2 (west of the Fifth Fault) and area 5 (Third-Second faults).

317 These variations also condition hillslope aspect. In areas 1, 4 (Fourth-Third faults) and 5 (Third-
318 Second faults), mean vector values are at 180° (southern orientation), while in areas 3 and 6-7,
319 they vary slightly towards more SSE orientations. Mean values for general and plan curvature
320 show a trough in area 6 (Second-First Faults), while the highest mean value for profile curvature
321 is in this area. Mean values for drainage density increase slightly downstream and show little
322 variation except for area 6 (Second-First Faults), where mean values increase notably due to the
323 meandering pattern of the Olduvai Gorge channel in the proximity of the Olbalbal Basin.

324 Although further geomorphometric analysis is required, these topographic patterns may suggest
325 that local differential uplift processes could have been more intense in area 5, and to a lesser
326 degree in areas 4 and 2, causing relief reactivation and intensification of erosional processes.
327 Conversely, areas 3 and 6-7 tend to show characteristics associated with lower reliefs, indicating
328 lower local differential uplift rates.

329

330 **Insert here Figure 12**

331

332 **6. Discussion and conclusions**

333 Our results show that UAV photography can be used to produce affordable high-resolution maps
334 in large geographic areas of archaeological relevance. Data derived from aerial photography
335 show a notable increase in detail when compared to widely accessible satellite imagery (GDEM,
336 30 m resolution), and with the use of a GNSS receiver, the geolocation of the combined DEM is
337 highly accurate (ca. 17.5 cm resolution with <1m precision). This high-resolution imagery and
338 elevation data facilitates production not only of better quality conventional cartographic
339 outputs (e.g. aerial photographs and topographic maps), but also enables detailed quantitative
340 analysis of the Olduvai Gorge landscape.

341 Total station (TST) surveys are still useful for recording the location of archaeological finds in
342 individual trenches and across nearby outcrops at Olduvai (de la Torre et al, 2015), but
343 georeferenced and multicolour (typically RGB) UAV orthomosaics also have a clear advantage
344 over monochrome point clouds recorded with TST or Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS)

345 receivers. Using GNSS ground control points, georeferencing of UAV orthophoto tiles can
346 achieve similar levels of accuracy as TST or GNSS surveys. Furthermore, georeferenced aerial
347 orthomosaics offer a more realistic representation of the areas mapped, and achieve a far larger
348 regional coverage than ground-based methods.

349 While the use of UAVs for photogrammetric reconstructions of archaeological site plans is
350 becoming widespread (e.g. De Reu et al, 2013; de Reu et al, 2014; Pollefeys et al, 2003;
351 Verhoeven et al, 2012; Williams, 2012; Fernandez-Hernandez et al, 2015), the regional (rather
352 than site-specific) digital imagery dataset we have produced for Olduvai Gorge provides a high-
353 resolution cartographic database, which can be used to conduct further archaeological,
354 geological, conservation, and outreach studies in ways as yet unexplored. Thus, aerial
355 photographs, orthophotomaps and DEMs provide basic stereoscopic and quantitative
356 morphometric data that help identify the sequence and extension of landforms involved in the
357 incision of the Gorge, and their relationships with tectonic and/or climatic events. Detailed
358 geological mapping from DEM and imagery can also be used to define the extension, geometry
359 and thickness of sedimentary beds at Olduvai. Due to the centimetric resolution of our imagery
360 and DEM, even small features such as tephra layers are amenable to mapping. These initiatives
361 can be explored with the aid of supervised and unsupervised multiband classifications, but also
362 through classic field mapping techniques. They will help to better understand and quantify
363 paleogeographic settings and the tectonic history of the Olduvai basin, which shaped Early Stone
364 Age hominin landscapes and adaptations.

365 In summary, our workflow for the production of DEMs from large, high-resolution structure from
366 motion surface models, provides a useful framework for application in similarly irregular
367 terrains, where standard denoising algorithms and filters are not sufficient or suitable to achieve
368 an accurate recording of topographic features. Furthermore, our DEM and high-resolution
369 imagery provide a powerful tool to accurately position the location of hominin and
370 archaeological discoveries at Olduvai, and map new paleoanthropological resources, thus
371 enabling a detailed regional spatial analysis of archaeological data. In addition, hydrological and
372 slope models derived from the DEM can assist in calculating soil erosion models (e.g. RUSLE). As
373 one of the most important paleoanthropological sites in the World and home to numerous iconic
374 hominin fossils (Tobias, 1967, 1991), many discovered on the surface of eroded outcrops
375 (Leakey, 1978; Day, 1977), the study of erosional processes at Olduvai Gorge, as shown by the
376 test results discussed in this paper show, is essential in initiating a monitoring conservation
377 programme of the site and may also be used to predict and estimate the loss of fossils to date.

378 In summary, this study (one of the first to apply UAV methods to paleoanthropological localities,
379 and apply such techniques to large geographic areas of archaeological interest), shows the
380 potential of high-resolution aerial photogrammetry for cartographic, geological and spatial
381 analysis surveys in archaeology, and opens new avenues for remote sensing GIS studies in
382 human evolutionary research.

383

384 **Acknowledgements**

385 We are grateful to the Olduvai Geochronology Archaeology Project (OGAP) and the Ancient
386 Merv Project for logistical and research assistance, and to Jonathan Reeves for his contribution
387 during fieldwork. We also thank Pix4D and the DigitalGlobe Foundation for their support.
388 Funding from UCL Qatar and the European Research Council-Starting Grants (ORACEAF project:
389 283366) are gratefully acknowledged. Research at Olduvai Gorge was authorized by COSTECH,
390 the Tanzanian Department of Antiquities, and the Ngorongoro Conservation Area Authority.

391

392 **References**

393

394 Al-kheder, S., Al-shawabkeh, Y., Haala, N. (2009). Developing a documentation system for desert
395 palaces in Jordan using 3D laser scanning and digital photogrammetry. *Journal of Archaeological*
396 *Science* 36 (2), 537-546.

397 Asfaw, B., Ebinger, C., Harding, D., White, T. D. & WoldeGabriel, G. (1990). Space-based Imagery
398 in Paleoanthropological Research: An Ethiopian Example. *National Geographic Society Research*
399 *6*, 418-434.

400 Bates, K.T., Rarity, F., Manning, P.L., Hodgetts, D., Villa, B., Oms, O. & Gawthorpe, R.L. (2008).
401 High-resolution LiDAR and photogrammetric survey of the Fumanya dinosaur tracksites
402 (Catalonia): implications for the conservation and interpretation of geological heritage sites.
403 *Journal of the Geological Society, London* 165, 115-127.

404 Casana, J., Kantner, J., Wiewel, A. & Cothren, J. (2014). Archaeological aerial thermography: a
405 case study at the Chaco-era Blue J community, New Mexico. *Journal of Archaeological Science*
406 *45*, 207-219.

407 Challis, K. & Howard, A. J. (2006). A review of trends within archaeological remote sensing in
408 alluvial environments. *Archaeological Prospection* 13, 231-240.

409 Chiabrandò, F., Nex, F., Piatti, D. & Rinaudo, F. (2011). UAV and RPV systems for
410 photogrammetric surveys in archaeological areas: two tests in the Piedmont region (Italy).
411 *Journal of Archaeological Science* 38, 697-710.

412 Chiabrandò, F. & Spanò, A. (2009). Digital wide scale orthoprojections and mapping from low-
413 height aerial images. *Journal of Cultural Heritage* 10, Supplement 1, e49-e58.

414 Comer, D.C & Harrower, M.J. (2013). *Mapping Archaeological Landscapes from Space*. New York:
415 Springer.

416 Day, M. H. (1977). *Guide to Fossil Man. A Handbook of Human Palaeontology*. London: Cassell,
417 Third Edition.

418 De Reu J., De Smedt P., Herremans D., Van Meirvenne M., Laloo P., De Clercq W. (2014). On
419 introducing an image-based 3D reconstruction method in archaeological excavation practice.
420 *Journal of Archaeological Science* 41, 251-262.

421

422 De Reu J., Plets G., Verhoeven G., De Smedt P., Bats M., Cherretté B., De Maeyer W., Deconynck
423 J., Herremans D., Laloo P., Van Meirvenne M., De Clercq W. (2013). Towards a three-dimensional
424 cost-effective registration of the archaeological heritage. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 40
425 (2), 1108–1121.

426

427 Fernández-Hernandez, J., González-Aguilera, D., Rodríguez-Gonzálvez, P. & Mancera-Taboada,
428 J. (2015). Image-Based Modelling from Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) Photogrammetry: An
429 Effective, Low-Cost Tool for Archaeological Applications. *Archaeometry* 57, 128-145.

430 Fernández-Lozano, J. & Gutiérrez-Alonso, G. (2016). Improving archaeological prospection using
431 localized UAVs assisted photogrammetry: An example from the Roman Gold District of the Eria
432 River Valley (NW Spain). *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 5, 509-520.

433 Hanson, W. S., Oltean, I. A. & eds. (2013). *Archaeology from Historical Aerial and Satellite*
434 *Archives*. New York: Springer.

435 Hay, R. L. (1976). *Geology of the Olduvai Gorge*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

436 Hendrickx, M., Gheyle, W., Bonne, J., Bourgeois, J., De Wulf, A., Goossens, R. (2011). The use of
437 stereoscopic images taken from a microdrone for the documentation of heritage – An example
438 from the Tuekta burial mounds in the Russian Altay. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 38 (11),
439 2968-2978.

440 Leakey, L. S. B. (1951). *Olduvai Gorge. A Report On The Evolution Of The Hand-Axe Culture In*
441 *Beds I-IV*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

442 Leakey, L. S. B. (1965). *Olduvai Gorge 1951-61. Volume 1. A preliminary report on the geology*
443 *and fauna*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

444 Leakey, L. S. B., Tobias, P. V. & Napier, J. R. (1964). A New Species of the Genus Homo from
445 Olduvai Gorge. *Nature* 202, 5-7.

446 Leakey, M. D. (1971). *Olduvai Gorge. Vol 3. Excavations in Beds I and II, 1960-1963*. Cambridge:
447 Cambridge University Press.

448 Leakey, M. D. (1978). Olduvai fossil hominids: their stratigraphic positions and associations. In
449 (C. Jolly, Ed.) *Early Hominids from Africa*. London: G. Duckworth & Co, 3-16.

450 Leakey, M. D. & Roe, D. A. (1994). *Olduvai Gorge. Volume 5. Excavations in Beds III, IV and the*
451 *Masek Beds, 1968-1971*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

452 Leckebusch, J. (2005). Aerial archaeology: a full digital workflow for aerial photography.
453 *Archaeological Prospection* 12, 235-244.

454 Mozas-Calvache, A. T., Pérez-García, J. L., Cardenal-Escarcena, F. J., Mata-Castro, E. & Delgado-
455 García, J. (2012). Method for photogrammetric surveying of archaeological sites with light aerial
456 platforms. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 39, 521-530.

457 Njau, J. K. & Hlusko, L. J. (2010). Fine-tuning paleoanthropological reconnaissance with high-
458 resolution satellite imagery: the discovery of 28 new sites in Tanzania. *Journal of Human*
459 *Evolution* 59, 680-684.

460 Plets, G., Gheyle, W., Verhoeven, G., De Reu, J., Bourgeois, J., Verhegge, J., Stichelbaut, B. (2012).
461 Towards a three-dimensional registration of the archaeological heritage of the Altai Mountains.
462 *Antiquity* 86 (333), 884- 897.

463 Pollefeys, M., Van Gool, L., Vergauwen, M., Cornelis, K., Verbiest, F., Tops, J. (2003). 3D recording
464 for archaeological fieldwork. *Computer Graphics and Applications, IEEE* 23 (3), 20-27.

465 Remondino, F., Rizzi, A., Girardi, S., Petti, F.M., Avanzini, M., 2010. 3D Ichnology - recovering
466 digital 3D models of dinosaur footprints. *The Photogrammetric Record* 25 (131), 266-282.

467 Riley, S.J., DeGloria, D.S., Elliot, R. (1999). A Terrain Ruggedness Index That Quantifies
468 Topographic Heterogeneity. *Intermountain Journal of Sciences* 5 (1-4), 23-27.

469 Sadr, K. (2015). A Comparison of Accuracy and Precision in Remote Sensing Stone-walled
470 Structures with Google Earth, High Resolution Aerial Photography and LiDAR; a Case Study from
471 the South African Iron Age. *Archaeological Prospection* n/a-n/a.

472 Stevenson, J.A., Sun, X., Mitchell, N.C. (2010). Despeckling SRTM and other topographic data
473 with a denoising algorithm. *Geomorphology* 114, 238-252.

474 Sun, X., Rosin, P.L., Martin, R.R., Langbein, F.C. (2007). Fast and Effective Feature-Preserving
475 Mesh Denoising. *IEEE Transaction on Visualisation and Computer Graphics* 13 (5), 925-938.

476 Tobias, P. V. (1967). Olduvai Gorge. Volume 2. The cranium of Australopithecus (*Zinjanthropus*)
477 *boisei*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

478 Tobias, P. V. (1991). Olduvai Gorge. Volume 4. The skulls endocasts and teeth of *Homo habilis*.
479 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

480 Torre, I. de la, Arroyo, A., Proffitt, T., Martín-Ramos, C. & Theodoropoulou, A. (2015).
481 Archaeological fieldwork techniques in Stone Age sites. Some case studies. In (X. Roda & R. Mora,
482 Ed.) *Métodos y técnicas para la recuperación del registro arqueológico: una mirada desde el*
483 *presente*. Barcelona: Treballs d'Arqueologia, 20, 21-40.

484 Verhoeven, G. J. J. (2009). Providing an archaeological bird's-eye view – an overall picture of
485 ground-based means to execute low-altitude aerial photography (LAAP) in Archaeology.
486 *Archaeological Prospection* 16, 233-249.

487 Verhoeven, G.J.J., Taelman, D., Vermeulen, F. (2012). Computer vision-based orthophoto
488 mapping of complex archaeological sites: The ancient quarry of Pitaranha (Portugal-Spain).
489 *Archaeometry* 54 (6), 1114–1129.

490 Williams, T. (2012). Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Photography: Exploring the Medieval City of Merv,
491 on the Silk Roads of Central Asia. *Archaeology International* 15, 74-88.