The genomic history of Australia

 The human population history of Australia remains contentious, not least because of a lack of large extensive genomic data. We generated high-coverage genomes for 83 geographically diverse Aboriginal Australians (all speakers of Pama-Nyungan languages) and 25 Papuans from the New Guinea Highlands. We find that Papuan and Aboriginal Australian ancestors diversified from each other 25-40 thousand years ago (kya), suggesting early population structure in the ancient continent of Sahul (Australia, New Guinea and Tasmania). However, all contemporary Aboriginal Australian studied descend from a single founding population that differentiated around 10-32 kya. We find evidence for a population expansion in northeast Australia during the Holocene (past c.10 kya) associated with limited gene flow from this region to the rest of Australia. This is broadly consistent with the spread of the Pama-Nyungan languages and cultural changes taking place across the continent in the mid-Holocene. We find evidence for a single out of Africa dispersal for all contemporary humans and estimate that Aboriginal Australians and Papuans shared a common ancestor with other Eurasians 60-100 kya, with subsequent admixture with different archaic populations. Finally, we report evidence of selection in Aboriginal Australians potentially associated with living in the desert.

 During most of the last 100 ky, Australia, Tasmania and New Guinea formed a single continent, Sahul, which was separated from Sunda (the continental landmass including mainland and western island Southeast Asia) by a series of deep oceanic troughs never exposed by changes in sea level (the Wallacean region as defined by biogeographers). Colonisation of Sahul is thought to have required at 21 least 8-10 separate sea crossings between islands¹, potentially constraining the occupation of Australia 22 and New Guinea by earlier hominins². The age of the first occupation of Australia has been disputed. There are several archaeological sites in Australia dating to 40-45 kya (Figure 1), long argued to 24 represent the age of first occupation³ despite a few sites dating to \geq 50 kya. However, recent studies 25 support the earlier dates, suggesting that Sahul was first settled by $47.5-55$ kya⁴⁻⁶. This is consistent 26 with the earliest evidence for modern humans in Sunda at a similar time⁷ (Figure 1). Moreover skeletal remains that share morphological similarities with the ancestors of Aboriginal Australians and Papuans are found in South East Asia up until about 3.5 kya^8 , suggesting that the ancestors of Aboriginal Australians and Papuans extended from Sahul to Sunda.

30 Historically, the morphological diversity among Aboriginal Australians was interpreted by some as 31 indicating multiple ancestral migrations^{9–11}, or descent from Javanese *Homo erectus*, with varying levels 32 of gene flow from contemporaneous populations¹². However, statistical analyses indicate that Australian crania show no evidence of *H. erectus* admixture¹³ 33 . Still, the distinctiveness of the 34 Australian archaeological record has led to the suggestion that the ancestors of Aboriginal Australians 35 and Papuans (hereafter referred to as Australo-Papuans), as well as a small number of other 36 populations, left the African continent earlier than the ancestors of present-day Eurasians¹⁴. Although such multiple dispersals from Africa are supported by some genetic studies^{15,16}, others have found support for only one out of Africa (OoA) event, with one¹⁷ or two¹⁸ independent founding waves into 39 Asia, of which the earlier contributed to Australo-Papuan ancestry^{19,20}. Recent genomic results have 40 also shown that both Aboriginal Australian²⁰ and Papuan²¹ ancestors likely admixed with Neanderthal 41 and Denisovan archaic hominins after leaving Africa.

42 Once in Sahul, contact among groups would have been affected by rising sea-levels that separated the 43 Australian continent from New Guinea and Tasmania 7-14.5 kya through the formation of the Arafura 44 Sea and Bass Strait^{22,23} (Figure 1). These events still appear to be part of the oral tradition of several 45 Aboriginal Australian communities²⁴. Similarly, environmental variation accentuated during the last 46 glacial maximum (LGM) 19-26.5 kya, leading to greater desertification of Australia²⁵ and more 47 challenging temperature gradients, appears to had an impact on the number and density of human 48 . populations^{26,27}. In the same context, morphological and physiological studies find that Aboriginal Australians living in the desert areas today have unique adaptations^{28–30}, such as the absence of the 50 increased metabolic rates observed in Europeans when exposed to the freezing night temperatures 51 common in the desert $31,32$.

52 At the time of European contact, Aboriginal Australians spoke over 250 distinct languages³³, two-thirds 53 of which belong to the Pama-Nyungan family. The place of origin of this language family, which 54 covers 90% of the Australian mainland, has been debated³⁴, as has the effect of its extensive diffusion 55 on its internal phylogenetic structure³³. The pronounced similarity among Pama-Nyungan languages, 56 together with shared socio-cultural patterns, have been interpreted as the result of a recent, mid-57 Holocene, expansion³⁵. Other changes in the mid-late Holocene $(\sim 4 \text{ kya})$ include the efflorescence of 58 backed blades (microliths³⁶) and the introduction of the dingo³⁷. The spatial distribution of microliths

 roughly correlates with the Pama-Nyungan languages. It has even been suggested that Pama-Nyungan 60 languages, dingoes and backed blades all reflect a recent migration into Australia³⁸. Although an 61 external origin for backed blades has been rejected³⁶, dingoes were certainly introduced, most likely via 62 island south-east Asia³⁷. Rock art traditions also suggest contact between Sulawesi (Indonesia) and 63 Australia³⁸. Intriguingly, a recent genetic study found evidence of Indian gene flow into Australia at the 64 approximate time of these Holocene changes³⁹. Finally, substantial contact with Asians and Europeans 65 is well documented in historical times^{$40-43$}, suggesting potentially complex admixture among present-day Aboriginal Australians.

 After a century of research, the origins and evolutionary history of Aboriginal Australians continue to be debated. To date, only three whole genome sequences have been described - one deriving from a 69 historical tuft of hair from Western Desert Australia²⁰ and two others from cell lines with limited 70 provenance information⁴⁴. In this study we report the first extensive investigation of Aboriginal Australian genomic diversity by reporting and analysing the high-coverage genomes of 83 Pama-Nyungan-speaking Aboriginal Australians and 25 Highland Papuans.

Dataset

 We collected saliva samples for DNA sequencing in collaboration with Aboriginal Australian communities and individuals in Australia (S01). We sequenced high-depth genomes (average depth of 60X, range 20X-100X) from 83 Aboriginal Australian individuals representing a wide geographical distribution and a broad range of Pama-Nyungan languages (Figure 1, Extended Data Table 1, S02, S03, S04). Additionally, we sequenced 25 Highland Papuan genomes (38X-53X; S03) from five linguistic groups, and generated genotype data for 45 additional Papuans living or originating in the highlands (Figure 1). These datasets were combined with previously published genomes and SNP-chip genotype data, including Aboriginal Australian data from Arnhem Land and from a human diversity 82 cell line panel from the European Collection of Cell Cultures⁴⁴ (ECCAC, Figure 1, S04).

 We explored the extent of admixture in the Aboriginal Australian autosomal gene pool by estimating 84 ancestry proportions with an approach based on sparse nonnegative matrix factorization (sNMF)⁴⁵. We found that the genomic diversity of Aboriginal Australian populations is best modelled by a mixture of four main different genetic ancestries that can be assigned to four geographic regions based on their

 relative frequencies: Europe, East Asia, New Guinea and Australia (Figure 2, Extended Data Figure 1, S05). The degree of admixture varies among groups (S05) with the Ngaanyatjarra speakers from central Australia (WCD) having a significantly higher "Aboriginal Australian component" (median value = 0.95) in their genomes compared to the median value of other Aboriginal Australian groups 91 (median value $= 0.64$; Mann-Withney rank sum test, one tail p-value $= 3.55e-07$). The "East Asian" and "Papuan" components are mostly present in northeastern Aboriginal Australian populations (Figure 2b, Extended Data Figure 1, S05), while the "European component" is widely distributed across groups. In most of the subsequent analyses, we either selected specific samples or groups according to their level of Aboriginal Australian ancestry, or masked the data for the non-Aboriginal Australian ancestry genomic component (S06).

Colonisation of Sahul and diversification of Australians and Papuans

 The origins of Aboriginal Australians is a source of much debate, as are the nature of the relationships among Aboriginal Australians and between Aboriginal Australians and Papuans. Using *f3* statistics, estimates of genomic ancestry proportions and classical multi-dimensional scaling (MDS) analyses, we find that Aboriginal Australians and Papuans are closer to each other than to any other present-day worldwide population included in our study (Figure 2a, Figure 3a, S05). This is consistent with Aboriginal Australians and Papuans being derived from a common ancestral population, which initially colonised Sahul. Moreover, comparing outgroup *f³* statistics we do not find significant differences between Papuan populations (highland Papuan groups and HGDP-Papuans) in their genetic affinities to Aboriginal Australians (Figure 3b), suggesting that the Papuan groups share a common ancestor after or at the same time as the divergence between Aboriginal Australians and Papuans.

 To investigate the number of founding waves into Australia, we contrasted alternative models of settlement history through a composite likelihood method that compares the observed joint Site

110 Frequency Spectrum (SFS) to that predicted under specific demographic models^{46,47} (Figure 4a, S07).

We compared the HGDP-Papuans to four Aboriginal Australian populations with low levels of

European admixture (Extended Data Figure 1) from both northeastern (CAI and WPA) and

southwestern (WON and WCD) Australia. We compared one and two-wave models where each

- Australian region was either colonized independently, or by descendants of a single Australian
- founding population after its divergence from Papuans. The one-wave model resulted in a better fit to

 the observed SFS, suggesting that the ancestors of the sampled Aboriginal Australians diverged from a single ancestral population. This scenario is also supported by MDS analyses, even when masking Eurasian tracts, as well as by estimation of ancestry proportion analyses where all Aboriginal Australians form a cluster distinct from the Papuan populations (Figure 2, S05). Additionally, it is supported by *f³* analyses where all Aboriginal Australians are largely equidistant from Papuans when adjusting for recent admixture (Figure 3c). Thus, our results based on 83 Pama-Nyungan speakers, do not support earlier claims of multiple ancestral migrations into Australia giving rise to contemporary 123 Aboriginal Australian diversity^{9–11}.

124 The SFS analysis suggests that there was a bottleneck in the ancestral Australo-Papuan population ~50 kya (95% CI 35-54 kya, S07), which overlaps with archaeological evidence for the earliest occupation 126 of both Sunda and Sahul, between 47.5-55 kya^{4,5,48}. We further infer that the ancestors of Pama-127 Nyungan speakers and Highland Papuans diverged ~37 kya (95% CI 25-40 kya, Figure 4a, S07), which is in close agreement with results of an MSMC analysis (Figure 4b, S08), a method estimating cross 129 coalescence rates between pairs of populations based on individuals' haplotypes⁴⁹. It is also in agreement with previous estimates based on SNP array data³⁹ and the distribution of *Helicobacter* 131 *pylori* strains⁵⁰. These results imply that the divergence between sampled Papuans and Aboriginal Australians is older than the disappearance of the land bridge between New Guinea and Australia about 8 kya, and suggest ancient genetic structure in Sahul. Such structure may be related to palaeo- environmental changes leading up to the onset of the LGM. Sedimentary studies show that the vast Lake Carpentaria (500 x 250 km, Figure 1) began to form ~40 kya, when sea-levels fell below the 53m-136 deep Arafura Sill⁵¹. Therefore, although Australia and New Guinea remained connected until the early 137 Holocene, the flooding of the Carpentaria basin and its increasing salinity⁵¹ may have promoted population isolation.

Archaic admixture

We characterised the number, timing and intensity of archaic gene flow events using three

complementary approaches: SFS-based (Figure 4a, Figure 5c, S07), a goodness-of-fit analysis

combining D-statistics (S09), and a method that directly infers putatively derived archaic 'haplotypes'

(S11). Aboriginal Australians and Papuan genomes show an excess of putative Denisovan-derived

 variants (Extended Data Figure 2d, S10), as well as substantially more putative Denisovan-derived haplotypes (PDH) than other non-Africans (Extended Data Figure 3). The number and total length of those putative haplotypes varied considerably across samples. However, the estimated number of PDH 147 correlates almost perfectly ($r^2 = 0.96$) with the estimated proportion of Australo-Papuan ancestry in 148 each individual (Extended Data Figure 3). We also estimated that the values of F_{ST} between autosomal SNPs or PDHs assigned to WCD and Papuans were both around 0.12. Moreover, we found no significant difference in the distribution of the number of PDHs or the average length of PDHs between putatively unadmixed Australians and Papuans (Mann-Whitney U test, p>0.05). Taken together, these observations provide strong evidence for a single Denisovan admixture event that predates the 153 population split between Australians and Papuans (see also⁵²) and widespread recent Eurasian admixture in Aboriginal Australians (Figure 2, S05). Furthermore, using the SFS-based approach and constraining Denisovan admixture to have occurred before the Aboriginal Australian-Papuan divergence results in an admixture estimate of ~4% (95% CI 3-5%, Figure 5c, S07), similar to the estimates using D-statistics (~5%, S09). The SFS analyses further suggest that Denisovan/Australo- Papuan admixture took place ~44 kya (95% CI 31-50 kya, S07). We note that the point estimate for the age of the bottleneck overlaps with the confidence interval for the age of admixture, and that a bottleneck could have occurred anywhere along the dispersal route of Australo-Papuan populations from the ancestral source.

 The SFS analysis also provides evidence for a primary Neanderthal admixture event (~2%, 95% CI 1- 3%, Figure 5c, S07) taking place in the ancestral population of all non-Africans ~60 kya (95% CI 55- 84 kya, Figure 5c, S07). Note that, although we cannot estimate absolute dates of archaic admixture from the lengths of PDHs and putative Neanderthal-derived haplotypes (PNHs), we can obtain a relative date. We found that for 20 putatively unadmixed Australians and 12 putatively unadmixed HGDP-Papuans, the average PNH length is 33.8 Kb and the average PDH length is 37.4 Kb. These are 168 significantly different from each other ($p = 9.65 * 10^{-6}$ using a conservative sign test), and suggest that 169 the time since Neanderthal admixture was roughly 11% greater than the time since Denisovan admixture roughly in line with our SFS based estimates for Denisovan pulse (31-50 kya) versus the primary pulse of Neanderthal admixture (55-84 kya). The SFS analysis also suggests that the main Neanderthal pulse was followed by a further 1% (95% CI: 0.2-2.7%, Figure 5c, S07) pulse of

 Neanderthal gene flow into the ancestors of Eurasians, and a smaller pulse into the ancestors of Asians (0.2%, 95% CI 0.1-1.0%, Figure 5c, S07), but there is little evidence for Neanderthal introgression 175 private to Australo-Papuans, potentially limited to $\sim 0.2\%$ (95% CI 0.05-1.3%, Figure 5c, S07). In addition, the fact that the number of Neanderthal-specific introgressed sites increases from Europe to Australia (Extended Data Figure 2d, S10), and then decreases in Amerindians is consistent with recurrent Neanderthal (or Neanderthal-related archaic) gene flow during the waves of expansion into Eurasia. Our results are thus indicative of several pulses of Neanderthal gene flow into modern 180 humans, as inferred previously^{53–55}. Note however, the apparent high levels in Neanderthal-specific introgressed sites in Australo-Papuans can be explained by the expected number of misclassified Neanderthal introgressed sites resulting from the shared ancestry of these two archaic hominins (S10). Finally, using our SFS and haplotype based approaches, we explored additional models involving complex structure among the archaic populations. We found suggestive evidence that the archaic contribution could be more complex than a model involving discrete Denisovan and Neanderthal 186 admixture pulses^{20,21} (S07, S11), supporting the view that the archaic contribution in Australo-Papuans 187 is likely more complex than was previously assumed $20,21$ (S07).

Out of Africa

 To investigate the relationship of Australo-Papuan ancestors to other world populations, we computed 190 D-statistics^{56,57} of the form ((H1=Aboriginal Australian, H2=Eurasian), H3=African) and ((H1=Aboriginal Australian,H2=Eurasian), H3=Ust'-Ishim). Several of these were significantly 192 positive (S09), suggesting that Africans and Ust'-Ishim – a \sim 45 kya modern human from Asia⁵⁸ - are both closer to Eurasians than to Aboriginal Australians. These findings are in agreement with a model of Eurasians and Australo-Papuan ancestors dispersing from Africa in two independent waves. However, when correcting for a moderate amount of Denisovan admixture, Aboriginal Australians and Eurasians become equally close to Ust'-Ishim, as expected in a single OoA scenario (S09). Similarly, the D-statistics for ((H1=Aboriginal Australian, H2=Eurasian), H3=African) becomes much smaller after correcting for Denisovan admixture. Additionally, a goodness-of-fit approach combining D- statistics across worldwide populations indicates stronger support for two waves OoA, but when taking Denisovan admixture into account, a one-wave scenario fits the observed D-statistics equally well (Figure 5a, S09).

 To further investigate the timing and number of OoA events giving rise to present-day Australo-Papuan and Eurasians (Sardinians and Han Chinese) we used the observed SFS in a model based composite likelihood framework. When considering only modern human genomes, we find evidence for two waves OoA, with a dispersal of Australo-Papuans ~14 ky before Eurasians (S07). However, when explicitly taking into account archaic Neanderthal and Denisovan introgression into modern 207 humans^{44,59}, the SFS analysis supports a single origin for the OoA populations marked by a bottleneck ~72 kya (95% CI 60-104 kya, S07). This scenario is reinforced by the observation that the ancestors of Australo-Papuan and Eurasians share a Neanderthal admixture event (95% CI 1.1-3.5%). Our analyses suggest that this single OoA ancestral population underwent two expansions at approximately the same time: one involving the ancestors of Australo-Papuan (51-72 kya) and the other, possibly slightly more recent, involving the ancestors of Eurasians (48-68 kya) (Figure 5c).Furthermore, modern humans have both an LD decay rate and a number of predicted deleterious homozygous mutations (recessive genetic load) that correlates with distance from Africa (S05, S10, and Extended Data Figure 2 a-c), again consistent with a single African origin. Aboriginal Australians also show levels of recessive load and LD that are intermediate between East Asians and Amerindians as expected if they all derive from the same OoA dispersal event. The model estimated from the SFS analysis also suggests an early divergence of Australo-Papuans 219 from the ancestors of all non-Africans, in agreement with two colonisation waves across Asia $20,21,39$.

 Under our best model, Australo-Papuans began to diverge from Eurasians ~58 kya (95% CI 51-72 kya, Figure5c, S07), whereas Europeans and East Asians diverged from each other ~42 kya (95% CI 29-55 222 kya, Figure5c, S07) in agreement with previous estimates^{19,39,60,61}. We find evidence for high levels of gene flow between the ancestors of Eurasians and Australo-Papuans, suggesting that, after the fragmentation of the OoA population ("Ghost" in Figure 5c) 57-58 kya, the groups remained in close geographical proximity for some time before Australo-Papuan ancestors dispersed eastwards. Furthermore, our results show multiple gene flow events between sub-Saharan Africans and Western Eurasians after ~42 kya. This supports previous findings of extensive contact between African and non-228 African populations^{60–62}.

 Our MSMC analyses suggest that the Yoruba/Australo-Papuans and the Yoruba/Eurasians cross-coalescence rates are distinct, implying that the Yoruba and Eurasian gene trees across the genome

 have on average a more recent common ancestor (Figure 5b, S08). We show through simulations that these differences cannot be explained by archaic admixture. Moreover, the expected difference in phasing quality is not sufficient to fully explain this pattern either (see S08). While a similar separation in cross coalescence rate curves is obtained when comparing Eurasians or Australo-Papuans with Dinka, we find that, when comparing the Australo-Papuans or the Eurasians with the San, the cross coalescence curves are overlapping (S08). We also find that the change in effective population size through time of Aboriginal Australians, Papuans, and East Asians is very similar until around 50 kya, including a deep bottleneck around 60 kya (Extended Data Figure 7). Taken together, these MSMC results suggest complex population structure in Africa preceding a split of a single non-African ancestral population, combined with gene flow between the ancestors of Yoruba or Dinka (but not San) and the ancestors of Eurasians, which is not shared with Australo-Papuans. These results are qualitatively in line with the SFS-based analyses (see e.g., Figure 5b).

Genetic structure of Aboriginal Australians

 Uniparental haplogroup diversity in this dataset (Extended Data Table 1, S12) is consistent with previous studies of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) and Y chromosome variation in Australia and 247 Oceania, including the presence of typically European, Southeast and East Asian lineages^{63–68}. The combined results provide important insights into the social structure of Aboriginal Australian societies. Aboriginal Australian groups exhibit greater between-group variation for mtDNA (16.8%) than for the 250 Y chromosome (11.3%), in contrast to the pattern for most human populations^{69,70}. This result suggests higher levels of male than female migration between Aboriginal Australian groups and may reflect the 252 complex marriage and post-marital residence patterns among Pama-Nyungan Australian groups⁷¹. Moreover, the inferred European ancestry for the Y chromosome is much greater than that for mtDNA (31.8% vs. 2.4%), reflecting male-biased European gene flow into Aboriginal Australian groups during the colonial era.

Based on the genome sequences, we find genetic relationships within Australia that mirror geography,

257 with a significant correlation ($r_{GEN, GEO} = 0.59$, p-value < 0.0005) when comparing the first two

dimensions in an MDS analysis (S14**)**. This correlation is higher when genomic regions of putative

259 recent European and East Asian (i.e., Han Chinese) origin are "masked" ($r_{GEN, GEO} = 0.77$, p-value \lt

0.0005, Extended Data Figure 5). The main axis of genetic differentiation in the masked Aboriginal

Australian genomes was determined using the Bearing correlogram approach. We found that an axis of

262 angle = 65° compared to the equator (i.e., in the southwest to northeast direction) explains most of the

genetic differentiation (S14).

Populations from the centre of the continent occupy positions genetically intermediate to this axis

265 (Extended Data Figure 5). A similar result is observed with an F_{ST} -based tree for the masked data

(Figure 6a**,** S05) as well as in analyses of genetic affinity based on the *f³* statistic (Figure 3b),

suggesting a population division between northeastern and southwestern groups. Such structure is

 further supported by the SFS analyses showing that populations from southwestern desert and northeastern regions diverged as early as ~31 kya (95% CI 10-32 kya), followed by limited gene flow 270 (estimated 2*Nm*<0.01, 95% CI 2<*Nm*<11.25). The analysis of the major routes of gene flow within the continent supports the idea that the Australian interior has acted as a barrier to gene flow. Indeed, using a model inspired by principles of electrical engineering where gene flow is represented as a current flowing through the Australian continent and observed *F*ST values are a measure of connectivity, we find that gene flow occurred preferentially along the coasts of Australia (Extended Data Figure 6**,** S14). These findings are consistent with a model of expansion followed by population fragmentation when 276 and the extreme aridity in the interior of Australia²⁵ formed barriers to population movements during 277 the LGM^{22} .

278 We used MSMC based on autosomal data and mtDNA Bayesian Skyline Plots⁷²(BSP) to estimate

changes in effective population sizes within Australia. The MSMC analyses show evidence of a

280 population expansion starting \sim 10 kya in the northeast, while both MSMC and BSP suggest a

281 bottleneck in the southwestern desert populations taking place during the past ~10 kya (Extended Data

Figure 7 , S08, S12). This is consistent with archaeological evidence for a population expansion

associated with significant changes in socio-economic and subsistence strategies in the Holocene^{73,74}.

284 European admixture almost certainly had not occurred before the late 18th century, but earlier East

 Asian and/or Papuan gene flow into Australia could have taken place. We characterized the mode and tempo of gene flow into Aboriginal Australians using three different approaches (S06, S07, S13). We

used approximate Bayesian computation (ABC) to compare the observed mean and variance among

 Aboriginal Australian individuals in the proportion of European, East Asian and Papuan admixture, to that computed from simulated datasets under various models of gene flow. We estimated the European and East Asian admixture to have occurred on the order of ten generations ago (S13), consistent with historical and ethnographic records. Consistent with this, the local ancestry approach based on RFMix suggests that the European and East Asian admixture is more recent than the Papuan admixture (Extended Data Figure 4a). In addition, both the ABC and SFS analyses suggest that the best fitting model for the Aboriginal Australian-Papuan data is one of continuous but modest gene flow, mostly unidirectional from Papuans to Aboriginal Australians, and geographically restricted to northeast Aboriginal Australians (2*Nm*=0.4, 95% CI 0.0-20.4, Figure 4a, S07).

 To further investigate Papuan gene flow, we conducted follow-up analyses on the Papuan ancestry tracts obtained from the local ancestry analysis. We inferred local ancestry as the result of admixture between four components: European, East Asian, Papuans and Aboriginal Australian (S06). We chose WCD as the representative of Aboriginal Australian ancestry, because it is the least admixed population among our Australian samples (Figure 2, S05). Papuan tract length distribution show a clear geographic pattern, with "younger tracts" (higher median length and variance) in individuals closer to New Guinea and "older" (lower median length and variance) in individuals closer to WCD (Extended Data Figure 4b); there is a strong correlation of Papuan tract length variance with distance from WCD to other Aboriginal Australian groups (r=0.64, p-value<0.0001). The prevalence of short ancestry tracts of Papuan origin, compared to longer tracts of East Asian and European origin, suggests that a large fraction of the Papuan gene flow is much older than that from Europe and Asia, which is consistent with the ABC analysis (S13).We also investigated possible South Asian (Indian related) gene flow into 309 Aboriginal Australian, as reported by a recent study³⁹. However, we found no evidence of a component that can be uniquely assigned to Indian populations in the Aboriginal Australian gene pool using either admixture analyses or *f³* and D-statistics (S05), even when including the original Aboriginal Australian genotype data from Arnhem Land. The different nature and size of the comparative datasets may account for the discrepancy in the results.

Pama-Nyungan languages and genetic structure

 To investigate if linguistic relationships reflect genetic relationships among Aboriginal Australian populations, we built a Bayesian phylogenetic tree for the 28 different Pama-Nyungan languages

317 represented in this sample⁷⁵ (Figure 6b, S15). The linguistic and *F*_{ST}-based genetic trees obtained (Figure 6**)** share several well-supported partitions. For example, both trees indicate that the northeastern (CAI and WPA), and southwestern groups (ENY, NGA, WCD and WON) each form a cluster, while PIL, BDV and RIV are found between them. A distance matrix between pairs of languages, computed 321 from the language-based tree, is significantly correlated with geographic distances ($r_{\text{GEOLAN}} = 0.83$, 322 Mantel test two-tail p-value on 9,999 permutations $= 0.0001$). This suggests that differentiation among Pama-Nyungan languages in Australia follows geographic patterns, as observed in other language 324 families elsewhere in the world^{15,76}. Furthermore, we find a correlation between linguistics and genetics (rGEN,LAN= 0.43, Mantel test p-value < 0.0005) that remains significant when controlling for geography (rGEN,LAN.GEO= 0.26, Mantel test p-value < 0.0005). This is consistent with language differentiation after 327 populations lose (genetic) contact with one another⁷⁷. The correlation between the linguistic and genetic trees is all the more striking given the difference in time scales: the Pama-Nyungan family is generally accepted to have diversified within the last 6 ky^{78} , while the genetic estimates are two to five times that age. The linguistic tree thus cannot simply reflect initial population dispersals, but rather reflects a genetic structure that has a complex history, with initial differentiation 10-32 kya, localised population expansions (northeast) and bottlenecks (southwest) ~10 kya, and subsequent limited gene flow from the northeast to the southwest. The latter may be the genetic signature that tracks the divergence of the Pama-Nyungan language family.

Selection in Aboriginal Australians

 To identify any selection specific to Aboriginal Australians, we used two different methods based on the identification of SNPs with high allele frequency differences between Aboriginal Australians and 338 other groups, similar to the often used Population-Branch Statistics⁷⁹ (PBS, S16). First, we scanned the Aboriginal Australian genomes for loci with an unusually large change in allele frequencies since the divergence from Papuans, taking recent admixture with Europeans and Asians into account. Among the top ranked genomic regions (Extended Data Table 2), we identified candidate loci that might be related to cold tolerance and dehydration resistance. One peak of high differentiation (the $7th$ highest peak) is located near the *NETO1* gene, which harbours alleles that have previously been shown to be associated with thyroid hormone levels. Interestingly, it has been suggested that thyroid hormone levels are associated with Aboriginal Australian specific adaptations to desert cold⁸⁰. We investigated this

 potential thermoregulatory adaptation further by identifying genomic regions showing high differentiation associated with different ecological regions in Australia (S16). The top candidate gene in this scan is *KCNJ2,* encoding a potassium channel protein harbouring alleles associated with thyrotoxic periodic paralysis 81 . This disease results from complications related to hyperthyroidism, providing additional support for the thyroid hormone system as a target of desert-related natural selection in Aboriginal Australians⁸⁰.

Another locus of interest close to the 8th highest peak of differentiation, *SLC2A12*, is associated with serum urate levels 82 . The pathophysiology of dehydration includes elevated serum urate levels. Therefore, these results are suggestive of a locus that may be involved in tolerance to dehydration in Aboriginal Australians. Although further studies are needed to associate putative selected genetic variants in Aboriginal Australians with specific phenotypic effects, the current selection scan provides candidate genes for such future efforts.

Discussion

 Our findings shed light, but also raise new questions, concerning on the population history of Aboriginal Australians. They suggest an early population structure in Sahul likely dating back ~37 kya (25-40 kya), when the ancestors of Highland Papuans and Pama-Nyungan Aboriginal Australians diversified. Intriguingly, despite this, our results also indicate that the population that diverged from Papuans was the ancestor of all the Aboriginal Australian groups sampled in this study; yet, archaeological evidence shows that by 40-45 kya, humans were widespread within Australia (Figure 1). Three non-exclusive demographic scenarios can account for this observation: 1) the Aboriginal Australian ancestral population prior to the divergence from Papuans was widespread, maintaining gene flow across the continent; 2) it was deeply structured, and only one group among the early settlers survived to give rise to Aboriginal Australians; and 3) other groups survived, but the descendants are not represented in our sample. Additional modern genomes, especially from Tasmania and the Non- Pama-Nyungan regions of the Northern Territory and Kimberley (both regions highly distinct linguistically 83 and not represented in our sample), as well as ancient genomes pre-dating European 372 contact in Australia and other expansions across South East Asia³⁸, should help resolve these questions in the future.

 To add to this already complex picture, our estimates of ~44 kya (31-50 kya) for the time of admixture between the Australo-Papuan ancestors and an archaic hominin distantly related to Denisovans are very young. In the absence of paleontological evidence that archaic hominins crossed the Wallace Line, combined with evidence of much lower levels of Denisovan ancestry across East Asia and the 378 Americas^{52,86}, it is likely that the admixture occurred in Southeast Asia or even further to the west, constraining the age when the ancestors of living Australo-Papuan colonised Sahul and/or the actual timing of Denisovan admixture. In this context, it is noteworthy that our SFS based time estimates 381 relies on the use of recently suggested molecular clock (1.25×10^{-8}) , see⁸⁴) and generation time for humans (29 years⁸⁵). Should any of these parameters change, our genetic-based time estimates will need revisions too.

 Interestingly, our results also show that southwestern and northeastern Pama-Nyungan populations diverged 10-32kya. Together with the evidence for selection in genes that may have provided an advantage in extreme desert environments, such as those experienced in Western Desert populations during the LGM, these results point to a long-standing genetic structure among Pama-Nyungan Aboriginal Australians that survived post-glacial demographic changes. In other parts of the world, including South East Asia, Pleistocene demographic patterns were overlaid by post-glacial and Holocene expansions that left both genetic and linguistic regional signatures⁸⁷. In Australia, the 391 archaeological record also shows post-glacial expansions^{73,74}, while the spread of Pama-Nyungan 392 languages across the continent is generally accepted to be mid-to-late Holocene³⁵. Our genetic findings indicate an early Holocene demographic expansion localized to northeast Aboriginal Australians, as well as gene flow spreading from the northeast across the continent. These observations are consistent with a possible origin and spread of the Pama-Nyungan languages from the northeast of Australia to the rest of the continent. Thus, evidence from genetics may add to the linguistic and cultural evidence - such as the spread of large ceremonial gatherings, trade and exchange intensification, broad alliance networks, cross-group male ritual induction, new plant foods, among several others³⁵ – that the dispersal of Pama-Nyungan languages has been driven by both cultural diffusion and demic expansion.

Data access

 The whole genome sequence data and SNP array data generated in this study are available upon request 402 from E.W [\(ewillerslev@snm.ku.dk\)](mailto:ewillerslev@snm.ku.dk) and D.M.L. [\(d.lambert@griffith.edu.au\)](mailto:d.lambert@griffith.edu.au). The Papuan whole

- genome sequence data generated in this study are also available under managed access through the
- EGA database [\(https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ega\)](https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ega) under study accession number EGAS00001001247.

References for the main text

- 1. Birdsell, J. B. The recalibration of a paradigm for the first peopling of greater Australia. *Sunda Sahul Prehist.*
- *Stud. Southeast Asia Melanes. Aust.* 113–167 (1977).
- 2. Davidson, I. The colonization of Australia and its adjacent islands and the evolution of modern cognition. *Curr. Anthropol.* **51,** S177–S189 (2010).
- 3. O'Connell, J. F. & Allen, J. Dating the colonization of Sahul (Pleistocene Australia–New Guinea): a review of
- recent research. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* **31,** 835–853 (2004).
- 4. Summerhayes, G. R. *et al.* Human Adaptation and Plant Use in Highland New Guinea 49,000 to 44,000
- Years Ago. *Science* **330,** 78–81 (2010).
- 5. Clarkson, C. *et al.* The archaeology, chronology and stratigraphy of Madjedbebe (Malakunanja II): A site in
- northern Australia with early occupation. *J. Hum. Evol.* **83,** 46–64 (2015).
- 6. O'Connell, J. F. & Allen, J. The process, biotic impact, and global implications of the human colonization of
- Sahul about 47,000 years ago. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* **56,** 73–84 (2015).
- 7. Barker, G. *et al.* The 'human revolution'in tropical Southeast Asia: the antiquity of anatomically modern
- humans, and of behavioural modernity, at Niah Cave (Sarawak, Borneo). *J. Hum. Evol.* **52,** 243–261 (2007).
- 8. Matsumura, H. & Oxenham, M. F. Demographic transitions and migration in prehistoric East/Southeast
- Asia through the lens of nonmetric dental traits. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **155,** 45–65 (2014).
- 9. Topinard, P. *Etude sur les Tasmaniens*. (1869).
- 10. Birdsell, J. B. Preliminary data on the trihybrid origin of the Australian Aborigines. *Archaeol. Phys.*
- *Anthropol. Ocean.* 100–155 (1967).

11. Tbome, A. Morphological contrasts in Pleistocene Australians. *RL Kirk AG Tborne Eds Orig. Aust.* 95–1

(1976).

- 12. Thorne, A. G. & Wolpoff, M. H. Regional continuity in Australasian Pleistocene hominid evolution. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **55,** 337–349 (1981).
- 13. Westaway, M. C. & Groves, C. P. The mark of Ancient Java is on none of them. *Archaeol. Ocean.* **44,** 84–95 (2009).
- 14. Lahr, M. M. & Foley, R. Multiple dispersals and modern human origins. *Evol. Anthropol. Issues News Rev.* **3,** 48–60 (1994).
- 15. Cavalli-Sforza, L. L., Menozzi, P. & Piazza, A. *The History and Geography of Human Genes:* (Princeton University Press, 1996).
- 16. Reyes-Centeno, H. *et al.* Genomic and cranial phenotype data support multiple modern human dispersals from Africa and a southern route into Asia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **111,** 7248–7253 (2014).
- 17. Consortium, T. H. P.-A. S. Mapping Human Genetic Diversity in Asia. *Science* **326,** 1541–1545 (2009).
- 18. Liu, H., Prugnolle, F., Manica, A. & Balloux, F. A Geographically Explicit Genetic Model of Worldwide Human-Settlement History. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **79,** 230–237 (2006).
- 19. Wollstein, A. *et al.* Demographic History of Oceania Inferred from Genome-wide Data. *Curr. Biol.* **20,** 1983– 1992 (2010).
- 20. Rasmussen, M. *et al.* An Aboriginal Australian Genome Reveals Separate Human Dispersals into Asia. *Science* **334,** 94–98 (2011).
- 21. Reich, D. *et al.* Denisova Admixture and the First Modern Human Dispersals into Southeast Asia and
- Oceania. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **89,** 516–528 (2011).
- 22. Clark, P. U. *et al.* The last glacial maximum. *science* **325,** 710–714 (2009).
- 23. Lewis, S. E., Sloss, C. R., Murray-Wallace, C. V., Woodroffe, C. D. & Smithers, S. G. Post-glacial sea-level changes around the Australian margin: a review. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **74,** 115–138 (2013).
- 24. Nunn, P. D. & Reid, N. J. Aboriginal Memories of Inundation of the Australian Coast Dating from More than 7000 Years Ago. *Aust. Geogr.* 1–37 (2015).
- 25. Reeves, J. M. *et al.* Climate variability over the last 35,000 years recorded in marine and terrestrial archives in the Australian region: an OZ-INTIMATE compilation. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **74,** 21–34 (2013).
- 26. Veth, P. Islands in the Interior: A Model for the Colonization of Australia's Arid Zone. *Archaeol. Ocean.* **24,** 81 (1989).
- 27. Hiscock, P. & Wallis, L. A. in *Desert Peoples* (eds. Veth, P., Smith, M. & Hiscock, P.) 34–57 (Blackwell
- Publishing Ltd, 2005). at <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/9780470774632.ch3/summary>
- 28. Abbie, A. A. & Australian Institute of Aboriginal Studies. *Studies in physical anthropology: volume II*.
- (Australian Institute of Aboriginal Studies, 1975). at <http://catalog.hathitrust.org/Record/005995683>
- 29. Kirk, R. L. *Aboriginal Man Adapting: The Human Biology of Australian Aborigines*. (Clarendon Press, 1981).
- 30. Birdsell, J. B. *Microevolutionary Patterns in Aboriginal Australia: A Gradient Analysis of Clines*. (Oxford
- University Press, 1993).
- 31. Scholander, P. F., Hammel, H. T., Hart, J. S., LeMessurier, D. H. & Steen, J. Cold Adaptation in Australian Aborigines. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **13,** 211–218 (1958).
- 32. Hammel, H. T., Elsner, R. W., Messurier, D. H. L., Andersen, H. T. & Milan, F. A. Thermal and metabolic responses of the Australian aborigine exposed to moderate cold in summer. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **14,** 605–615 (1959).
- 33. Dixon, R. M. W. *Australian Languages: Their Nature and Development*. (Cambridge University Press, 2002).
- 34. Williams, A. N. *et al.* A continental narrative: Human settlement patterns and Australian climate change
- over the last 35,000 years. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **123,** 91–112 (2015).
- 35. Evans, N. & McConvell, P. The enigma of Pama-Nyungan expansion in Australia. *Archaeol. Lang. II* 174–191 (1997).
- 36. Hiscock, P. Review. *Archaeol. Ocean.* **43,** 44–47 (2008).
- 37. Savolainen, P., Leitner, T., Wilton, A. N., Matisoo-Smith, E. & Lundeberg, J. A detailed picture of the origin
- of the Australian dingo, obtained from the study of mitochondrial DNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **101,** 12387–12390 (2004).
- 38. Bellwood, P. *First Migrants: Ancient Migration in Global Perspective*. (Wiley-Blackwell, 2013).
- 39. Pugach, I., Delfin, F., Gunnarsdóttir, E., Kayser, M. & Stoneking, M. Genome-wide data substantiate
- Holocene gene flow from India to Australia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **110,** 1803–1808 (2013).
- 40. Haddon, A. C. (Alfred C. *et al. Reports of the Cambridge Anthropological Expedition to Torres Straits ..*
- (Cambridge [Eng.] : The University Press, 1901). at <http://archive.org/details/reportsofcambrid02hadd>
- 41. Macknight, C. C. Macassans and Aborigines. *Oceania* **42,** 283–321 (1972).
- 42. Chase, A. 'All Kind of Nation': Aborigines and Asians in Cape York Peninsula. *Aborig. Hist.* 7–19 (1981).
- 43. Macknight, C. C. Macassans and the Aboriginal Past. *Archaeol. Ocean.* **21,** 69–75 (1986).
- 44. Prüfer, K. *et al.* The complete genome sequence of a Neanderthal from the Altai Mountains. *Nature* **505,**
- 43–49 (2014).
- 45. Frichot, E., Mathieu, F., Trouillon, T., Bouchard, G. & François, O. Fast and Efficient Estimation of Individual
- Ancestry Coefficients. *Genetics* **196,** 973–983 (2014).
- 46. Nielsen, R. Estimation of Population Parameters and Recombination Rates From Single Nucleotide
- Polymorphisms. *Genetics* **154,** 931–942 (2000).
- 47. Excoffier, L., Dupanloup, I., Huerta-Sanchez, E., Sousa, V. C. & Foll, M. Robust Demographic Inference from Genomic and SNP Data. *PLoS Genet* **9,** e1003905 (2013).
- 48. Allen, J. & O'Connell, J. F. Both half right: Updating the evidence for dating first human arrivals in Sahul. *Aust. Archaeol.* 86 (2014).
- 49. Schiffels, S. & Durbin, R. Inferring human population size and separation history from multiple genome sequences. *Nat. Genet.* **46,** 919–925 (2014).
- 50. Moodley, Y. *et al.* The Peopling of the Pacific from a Bacterial Perspective. *Science* **323,** 527–530 (2009).
- 51. Holt, S. Palaeoenvironments of the Gulf of Carpentaria from the last glacial maximum to the present, as
- determined by foraminiferal assemblages. (2005).
- 52. Qin, P. & Stoneking, M. Denisovan Ancestry in East Eurasian and Native American Populations. *Mol. Biol.*
- *Evol.* msv141 (2015). doi:10.1093/molbev/msv141
- 53. Wall, J. D. *et al.* Higher Levels of Neanderthal Ancestry in East Asians than in Europeans. *Genetics* **194,** 199– 209 (2013).
- 54. Vernot, B. & Akey, J. M. Resurrecting Surviving Neandertal Lineages from Modern Human Genomes.
- *Science* **343,** 1017–1021 (2014).
- 55. Fu, Q. *et al.* An early modern human from Romania with a recent Neanderthal ancestor. *Nature* **advance**
- **online publication,** (2015).
- 56. Durand, E. Y., Patterson, N., Reich, D. & Slatkin, M. Testing for Ancient Admixture between Closely Related Populations. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **28,** 2239–2252 (2011).
- 57. Patterson, N. J. *et al.* Ancient Admixture in Human History. *Genetics* genetics.112.145037 (2012).
- doi:10.1534/genetics.112.145037
- 58. Fu, Q. *et al.* Genome sequence of a 45,000-year-old modern human from western Siberia. *Nature* **514,**
- 445–449 (2014).
- 59. Meyer, M. *et al.* A High-Coverage Genome Sequence from an Archaic Denisovan Individual. *Science* **338,**
- 222–226 (2012).

- 61. Lukić, S. & Hey, J. Demographic Inference Using Spectral Methods on SNP Data, with an Analysis of the Human Out-of-Africa Expansion. *Genetics* **192,** 619–639 (2012).
- 62. Pickrell, J. K. *et al.* Ancient west Eurasian ancestry in southern and eastern Africa. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **111,** 2632–2637 (2014).
- 63. gounder Palanichamy, M. *et al.* Phylogeny of Mitochondrial {DNA} Macrohaplogroup N in India, Based on
- Complete Sequencing: Implications for the Peopling of South Asia. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **75,** 966–978 (2004).
- 64. Kivisild, T. *et al.* The Role of Selection in the Evolution of Human Mitochondrial Genomes. *Genetics* **172,** 373–387 (2006).
- 65. Hudjashov, G. *et al.* Revealing the prehistoric settlement of Australia by Y chromosome and mtDNA
- analysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **104,** 8726–8730 (2007).
- 66. van Holst Pellekaan, S. M., Ingman, M., Roberts-Thomson, J. & Harding, R. M. Mitochondrial genomics
- identifies major haplogroups in Aboriginal Australians. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **131,** 282–294 (2006).
- 67. Ingman, M. & Gyllensten, U. Mitochondrial genome variation and evolutionary history of Australian and
- New Guinean aborigines. *Genome Res.* **13,** 1600–1606 (2003).
- 68. Friedlaender, J. *et al.* Expanding Southwest Pacific Mitochondrial Haplogroups P and Q. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **22,** 1506–1517 (2005).
- 69. Seielstad, M. T., Minch, E. & Cavalli-Sforza, L. L. Genetic evidence for a higher female migration rate in humans. *Nat. Genet.* **20,** 278–280 (1998).
- 70. Lippold, S. *et al.* Human paternal and maternal demographic histories: insights from high-resolution Y
- chromosome and mtDNA sequences. *Investig. Genet.* **5,** 13 (2014).
- 71. Radcliffe-Brown, A. R. The Social Organization of Australian Tribes. *Oceania* **1,** 34–63 (1930).
- 72. Drummond, A. J., Rambaut, A., Shapiro, B. & Pybus, O. G. Bayesian Coalescent Inference of Past Population
- Dynamics from Molecular Sequences. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **22,** 1185–1192 (2005).
- 73. Haberle, S. G. & David, B. Climates of change: human dimensions of Holocene environmental change in low
- latitudes of the PEPII transect. *Quat. Int.* **118-119,** 165–179 (2004).
- 74. Lourandos, H & David, B. in *in Bridging Wallace's Line: the Environmental and Cultural History and*
- *Dynamics of the SE Asian-Australasian Region* (ed. A.P. Kershaw, B. David, N. Tapper, D. Penny & J. Brown.) (97-118).
- 75. Bowern, C. & Atkinson, Q. Computational phylogenetics and the internal structure of Pama-Nyungan.
- *Language* **88,** 817–845 (2012).
- 76. Excoffier, L., Harding, R. M., Sokal, R. R., Pellegrini, B. & Sanchez-Mazas, A. Spatial differentiation of RH and
- GM haplotype frequencies in Sub-Saharan Africa and its relation to linguistic affinities. *Hum. Biol.* **63,** 273– 307 (1991).
- 77. Bowern, C. & Evans, B. *The Routledge Handbook of Historical Linguistics*. (Routledge, 2015).
- 78. Evans, N. & Jones, R. in *Archaeology and linguistics: aboriginal Australia in global perspective* (Oxford University Press Australia, 1997).
- 79. Yi, X. *et al.* Sequencing of 50 Human Exomes Reveals Adaptation to High Altitude. *Science* **329,** 75–78 (2010).
- 80. Qi, X., Chan, W. L., Read, R. J., Zhou, A. & Carrell, R. W. Temperature-responsive release of thyroxine and
- its environmental adaptation in Australians. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **281,** 20132747 (2014).
- 81. Cheung, C.-L. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies a susceptibility locus for thyrotoxic periodic
- paralysis at 17q24.3. *Nat. Genet.* **44,** 1026–1029 (2012).
- 82. Tin, A. *et al.* Genome-wide association study for serum urate concentrations and gout among African
- Americans identifies genomic risk loci and a novel URAT1 loss-of-function allele. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **20,** 4056–4068 (2011).
- 83. Evans, N. *The Non-Pama-Nyungan Languages of Northern Australia: Comparative Studies of the*
- *Continent's Most Linguistically Complex Region*. (Pacific Linguistics, Research School of Pacific and Asian
- Studies, Australian National University, 2003).
- 84. Scally, A. & Durbin, R. Revising the human mutation rate: implications for understanding human evolution.
- *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **13,** 745–753 (2012).
- 85. Fenner, J. N. Cross-cultural estimation of the human generation interval for use in genetics-based
- population divergence studies. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **128,** 415–423 (2005).
- 86. Skoglund, P. & Jakobsson, M. Archaic human ancestry in East Asia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **108,** 18301–18306 (2011).
- 87. Bellwood, P. Early Agriculturalist Population Diasporas? Farming, Languages, and Genes. *Annu. Rev.*
- *Anthropol.* **30,** 181–207 (2001).
- Supplementary Information (see annex)
- S01 Ethical approvals in relation to sampling in Australia
- S02 Ethnography and linguistics for the Aboriginal Australian individuals
- S03 Sample collection, DNA extraction, array genotyping, whole-genome sequencing and processing
- S04 Reference panels, relatedness and runs of homozygosity
- S05 Linkage disequilibrium (LD) and population structure within Australia
- S06 Local ancestry
- S07 Demographic inferences
- S08 MSMC analysis
- S09 D-statistic based tests using sampled reads from sequencing data
- S10 Mutation load analysis
- S11 Archaic gene flow
- S12 Uniparental markers
- S13 ABC analysis to characterize recent European, East Asian and Papuan gene flow
- S14 Spatial analyses
- S15 Computational phylogenetics: Pama-Nyungan languages
- S16 Scan for positive selection