Predicting bacteraemia or rapid identification of the causative pathogen in community acquired pneumonia - where should the priority lie?

Ricardo J. José¹ and Jeremy S. Brown¹

¹ Centre for Inflammation and Tissue Repair, UCL Respiratory, University College London

Corresponding author:

Dr Ricardo J. José, MBChB DA MRCP PhD Centre for Inflammation and Tissue Repair UCL Respiratory University College London 5 University street London WC136JF Email: <u>r.jose@ucl.ac.uk</u>

Word count: 1730 References: 29

Conflicts of interest: none

Community acquired pneumonia (CAP) remains amongst the most common causes of infectious disease related death world-wide. However, despite its clinical importance the existing routine microbiology tests for CAP pathogens have significant limitations, lacking sensitivity for identifying the causative pathogen and only altering management in a minority of patients. For example, blood cultures identify a pathogen in 10% or less of CAP cases [1,2] and results are usually only available after 24 hours. Clinicians are therefore still required to prescribe broadspectrum antibiotics for the first 24 - 72 hours, which is the period of highest risk for clinical deterioration and death [3,4]. Even when bacteria are cultured this only occasionally leads to a change in treatment and may miss co-infection [2,5]. These limitations have led to suggestions that patients admitted with CAP do not require routine microbiological testing with management decisions based solely on clinical factors. However, not identifying the causative pathogens in CAP has important implications. From a public health perspective, not performing microbiological testing could result in failure to identify important changes in microbial aetiology or changes in anti-microbial resistance patterns. In the absence of microbiological testing all patients would be treated with prolonged broad-spectrum antibiotics that may not be required if, for example, Streptococcus pneumoniae was the causative pathogen, thereby unnecessarily increasing drug cost and potentially promoting development of antimicrobial resistance or anti-microbial related complications such as Clostridium difficile diarrhoea [6]. Finally, the relatively rare CAP patient infected with a drugresistant pathogen such as community acquired methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus* aureus or Pseudomonas aeruginosa may not be identified, increasing the chance of a poor outcome.

In this issue of the *European Respiratory Journal*, Amaro *et al.*[7] report prospective data from a well characterised cohort of 917 immunocompetent patients on the characteristics of blood culture positive versus negative in CAP patients infected with *S. pneumoniae*, the most frequently isolated pathogen in this disease and which is frequently associated with septicaemia [8]. Indeed, Amaro *et al.*[7] found that 362 (39%) of patients with pneumococcal CAP had positive blood cultures, a higher rate of positive culture than reported in other studies [8]. If bacteraemia is associated with increased risk of septic shock and mortality in hospitalised cases of *S. pneumoniae* CAP, then early detection by blood cultures would be clinically important. Furthermore prediction of patients at risk of bacteraemic disease before culture results are available would also be clinically useful, potentially leading to increased monitoring (e.g. high dependency care) and use of adjuvant therapy [9,10]. This

study by Amaro *et al.*[7] is one of the largest sets of prospectively collected data available on the clinical characteristics associated with blood culture positive pneumococcal CAP, and provides new data on the clinical value of routine blood cultures for patients admitted to hospital with CAP.

Amaro et al.[7] make some interesting observations. Negative blood cultures were more likely in patients over 65 years of age, those suffering from chronic respiratory disease, the use of inhaled corticosteroids and in nursing home residents. Older age and chronic lung disease are both associated with a substantially increased risk of S. pneumoniae CAP, so the negative correlation with positive blood cultures for these groups is a slightly unanticipated. There are several potential explanations for this observation; a higher proportion of these individuals could be treated with antibiotics before admission; due to vaccine recommendations these patients may be more likely to have received the pneumococcal vaccine that protects against septicaemia (but not pneumonia) caused by vaccine-serotypes [11]; and furthermore, due to their underlying lower physical reserve against illness these patients may be more likely to be admitted due to a milder episode of CAP than younger patients without comorbidities. Another interesting observation is that direct comparison of the clinical features of the pneumococcal CAP patients with positive blood cultures to those with negative blood cultures reveals relatively small differences. Although patients with positive blood cultures were more ill, with higher respiratory and heart rates, lower oxygen saturations, and higher C-reactive protein (CRP) and creatinine levels the absolute differences to blood culture negative patients were small and not likely to have major biological significance. As pneumonia complicated by septicaemia is generally considered a more serious infectious disease than pneumonia alone these data are surprising, and perhaps indicate that for S. pneumoniae the additional negative effects of septicaemia over pneumonia is more limited than previously thought.

Following multivariate regression analysis the presence of pleural effusion, multilobar involvement on chest radiograph and a CRP > 20 mg/dl were independently associated with a positive blood culture and were incorporated into a predictive model. When all three parameters were present, the model had an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve of 0.69 (95% CI 0.63-0.75) to predict a positive blood culture for patients with pneumococcal CAP, suggesting sufficient but not good discriminatory ability of the model. Of the included variables, CRP>20 mg/dl had the highest sensitivity, whilst the presence of a pleural effusion and multi-lobar infiltrates had increased specificity. Hence the presence of a high CRP, pleural effusion and multi-lobe disease is a reasonable marker for S. pneumoniae septicaemia in patients with known pneumococcal CAP. However this will have little clinical utility unless these parameters also differentiated pneumococcal septicaemia from all patients with CAP (not just pneumococcal CAP), or a point of care test for S. pneumoniae such as urinary antigen detection was used routinely. Previous studies are consistent with the present study, with pleural effusions and multi-lobar infiltrates associated with an increased proportion of positive blood cultures, and increased age and the presence of COPD associated with negative blood cultures [12–14]. These studies also identified additional factors associated with positive blood cultures including male gender, congestive heart failure, alcohol and drug abuse, hypoalbuminaemia, hyponatraemia, tachycardia, and hypotension [13,14] not corroborated by Amaro et al. [7]. Overall, these data do provide a strong degree of confidence that effusions and multi-lobe disease are positively and chronic lung disease and older age negatively associated with blood culture positive S. pneumoniae CAP. However, these clinical associations probably lack adequate sensitivity or specificity to be a particularly useful clinical tool for guiding different approaches to therapy for patients with CAP.

One curious observation by Amaro et al.[7] is that a positive blood culture was not associated with poorer outcomes; although 30-day mortality was slightly higher in the positive blood culture group this was not statistically significant. This result is congruent with the limited differences in severity markers between blood culture positive and negative patients. However, previous studies found that positive blood cultures in pneumococcal pneumonia were associated with an increased risk of septic shock and mortality [12,15]. Furthermore, a secondary analysis of the Community Acquired Pneumonia Organization (CAPO) database demonstrated an increased mortality amongst blood culture positive pneumococcal pneumonia patients [14]. Technical differences may explain the discrepancy in the significance of a positive blood culture for S. pneumoniae on mortality. For example, in the Amaro et al.[7] study the overall mortality was relatively low, reducing the power of the study to identify differences in mortality between blood culture positive and negative patients. Furthermore, the older age and increased incidence of chronic lung disease may have offset any increased mortality associated with more severe disease in blood culture positive patients. False negative blood culture results due to pre-admission antibiotics or insensitive culture techniques could also potentially explain the lack of association of positive blood cultures with poor outcome. Conversely, the CAPO

database study may have been confounded by HIV since blood culture positive patients without HIV did not have significant differences in the risk of mortality [14], and in the Capelastegui *et a*l.[12] study a higher proportion of blood culture negative patients received dual antibiotic therapy including a macrolide which is associated with improved outcomes [16,17]. At present the clinical relevance of a positive blood culture for pneumococcal CAP remains unclear, but may not be as important as previously thought.

The data from the studies of pneumococcal CAP clearly highlight that improved diagnostic techniques are required for pathogen detection including the presence of bacteraemia. Rapid immunochromatographic tests (ICT) such as the Binax NOW® antigen assay and novel novel multiplex urinary antigen tests increase identification of S. pneumoniae in patients with CAP [18-20]. Although not licensed for blood testing, Binax NOW® can also detect bacteraemia with high sensitivity and is useful for the assessment of negative conventional subcultures [21]. Molecular diagnostics such a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) do not depend on growth of the bacteria and therefore result in more positive results than culture and are not affected by prior antibiotic use [22,23]. Several genes have been targeted for the detection of S. pneumoniae by real-time (RT)-PCR and a test combining highly conserved genes (e.g. *lytA*, *ply*, *psaA*, *cpsA*, *wgz*) is likely to be highly specific [24,25]. When combined with other molecular techniques such as *rnpB* sequencing or conventional culture the diagnostic accuracy increases [26]. RT-PCR for S. pneumoniae also has prognostic implications since >10³ S. pneumoniae DNA copies/ml was associated with increased risk of septic shock, need for mechanical ventilation and increased mortality [27]. Another molecular diagnostic test that has shown promise for the detection of S. pneumoniae is recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) that does not require thermocycling so could be used as a point of care test [28]. As CAP may be caused by several other pathogens other than S. pneumoniae multiplex PCR assays that can simultaneous identify several bacterial and viral pathogens will be advantageous, and will help identify co-infections [22,29].

In summary, the study by Amaro *et al.*[7] on the clinical significance of a positive blood culture in patients presenting with pneumococcal CAP perhaps provides support for those who believe that it is not necessary to do microbiology tests in CAP. However, apart from the broader importance of microbiological testing in patients with CAP discussed in the opening paragraph, it is probably still premature to state that *S. pneumoniae* bacteraemia has little clinical relevance. Larger studies

preferably using improved diagnostic techniques will be necessary to fully clarify the clinical implications of bacteraemia in patients presenting with *S. pneumoniae* CAP. Better microbiological tests are needed for CAP that can rapidly identify the causative pathogen(s), preferably combined with prognostic information, quantification of pathogen load, and detection of mutations associated with antibiotic resistance. Until these tests are routinely available and implemented in clinical practice, conventional cultures will remain an important diagnostic microbiological tool for patients admitted with CAP.

References:

- Corbo J, Friedman B, Bijur P, *et al.* Limited usefulness of initial blood cultures in community acquired pneumonia. *Emerg Med J* 2004;**21**:446–8.http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid =1726373&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract (accessed 16 Apr2016).
- 2 Torres A, Cillóniz C, Ferrer M, et al. Bacteraemia and antibiotic-resistant pathogens in community acquired pneumonia: risk and prognosis. Eur Respir J 2015;45:1353–63. doi:10.1183/09031936.00152514
- Burgos J, Luján M, Larrosa MN, et al. The problem of early mortality in pneumococcal pneumonia: a study of risk factors. Eur Respir J 2015;46:561–4. doi:10.1183/09031936.00034415
- Kolditz M, Bauer TT, König T, et al. 3-day mortality in hospitalised community-acquired pneumonia: frequency and risk factors. Eur Respir J 2016;:13993003.00113–2016 . doi:10.1183/13993003.00113-2016
- Riou B, Richard C, Rimailho A, et al. Co-infection or early superinfection of pneumococcal pneumonia. *Intensive Care Med* 1987;13:352–4.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3655101 (accessed 9 Apr2016).
- 6 Chalmers JD, Akram AR, Singanayagam A, *et al.* Risk factors for Clostridium difficile infection in hospitalized patients with

community-acquired pneumonia. *J Infect* Published Online First: 19 April 2016. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2016.04.008

- 7 Amaro R, Torres A. Predictive and Prognostic Factors in patients with Blood Culture Positive Community-Acquired Pneumococcal Pneumonia. *ERJ* 2016.
- Salo P, Ortqvist A, Leinonen M. Diagnosis of bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia by amplification of pneumolysin gene fragment in serum. *J Infect Dis* 1995;**171**:479– 82.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7844395 (accessed 7 Apr2016).
- 9 Siemieniuk RAC, Meade MO, Alonso-Coello P, et al. Corticosteroid Therapy for Patients Hospitalized With Community-Acquired Pneumonia. Ann Intern Med 2015;163:519–28. doi:10.7326/M15-0715
- Jose RJ, Williams AE, Mercer PF, et al. Regulation of Neutrophilic Inflammation by Proteinase-Activated Receptor 1 during Bacterial Pulmonary Infection. J Immunol 2015;14:333– 7. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1500124
- 11 Moberley S, Holden J, Tatham DP, et al. Vaccines for preventing pneumococcal infection in adults. Cochrane database Syst Rev 2013;1:CD000422. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD000422.pub3
- 12 Capelastegui A, Zalacain R, Bilbao A, *et al.* Pneumococcal pneumonia: differences according to blood culture results. *BMC Pulm Med* 2014;**14**:128. doi:10.1186/1471-2466-14-128

- Musher DM, Alexandraki I, Graviss EA, et al. Bacteremic and nonbacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia. A prospective study. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2000;**79**:210–21.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10941350 (accessed 9 Apr2016).
- 14 Bordon JM, Fernandez-Botran R, Wiemken TL, et al. Bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia: clinical outcomes and preliminary results of inflammatory response. *Infection* 2015;**43**:729–38. doi:10.1007/s15010-015-0837-z
- 15 Song J-H, Jung S-I, Ki HK, et al. Clinical outcomes of pneumococcal pneumonia caused by antibiotic-resistant strains in asian countries: a study by the Asian Network for Surveillance of Resistant Pathogens. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004;**38**:1570–8. doi:10.1086/420821
- 16 Rodrigo C, Mckeever TM, Woodhead M, et al. Single versus combination antibiotic therapy in adults hospitalised with community acquired pneumonia. *Thorax* 2013;**68**:493–5. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2012-202296
- 17 José RJ, Periselneris JN, Brown JS. Community-acquired pneumonia. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 2015;**21**:212–8. doi:10.1097/MCP.000000000000150
- 18 Said MA, Johnson HL, Nonyane BAS, et al. Estimating the burden of pneumococcal pneumonia among adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic techniques. PLoS One 2013;8:e60273. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060273

- Huijts SM, Pride MW, Vos JMI, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of a serotype-specific antigen test in community-acquired pneumonia. Eur Respir J 2013;42:1283–90. doi:10.1183/09031936.00137412
- 20 Sheppard CL, Harrison TG, Smith MD, et al. Development of a sensitive, multiplexed immunoassay using xMAP beads for detection of serotype-specific streptococcus pneumoniae antigen in urine samples. J Med Microbiol 2011;60:49–55. doi:10.1099/jmm.0.023150-0
- 21 Petti CA, Woods CW, Reller LB. Streptococcus pneumoniae antigen test using positive blood culture bottles as an alternative method to diagnose pneumococcal bacteremia. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:2510–2. doi:10.1128/JCM.43.5.2510-2512.2005
- 22 Gadsby NJ, Russell CD, McHugh MP, *et al.* Comprehensive Molecular Testing for Respiratory Pathogens in Community-Acquired Pneumonia. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016;**62**:817–23. doi:10.1093/cid/civ1214
- 23 Michelow IC, Lozano J, Olsen K, et al. Diagnosis of Streptococcus pneumoniae lower respiratory infection in hospitalized children by culture, polymerase chain reaction, serological testing, and urinary antigen detection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2002;**34**:E1–11. doi:10.1086/324358
- 24 Tarragó D, Fenoll A, Sánchez-Tatay D, *et al.* Identification of pneumococcal serotypes from culture-negative clinical

specimens by novel real-time PCR. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2008;**14**:828–34. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2008.02028.x

- 25 Carvalho M da GS, Tondella ML, McCaustland K, et al. Evaluation and improvement of real-time PCR assays targeting lytA, ply, and psaA genes for detection of pneumococcal DNA. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007;**45**:2460–6. doi:10.1128/JCM.02498-06
- 26 Abdeldaim GMK, Strålin K, Olcén P, et al. Toward a quantitative DNA-based definition of pneumococcal pneumonia: a comparison of Streptococcus pneumoniae target genes, with special reference to the Spn9802 fragment. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2008;**60**:143–50. doi:10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2007.08.010
- 27 Rello J, Lisboa T, Lujan M, et al. Severity of pneumococcal pneumonia associated with genomic bacterial load. Chest 2009;**136**:832–40. doi:10.1378/chest.09-0258
- 28 Clancy E, Higgins O, Forrest MS, et al. Development of a rapid recombinase polymerase amplification assay for the detection of Streptococcus pneumoniae in whole blood. BMC Infect Dis 2015;15:481. doi:10.1186/s12879-015-1212-5
- 29 Vincent J-L, Brealey D, Libert N, et al. Rapid Diagnosis of Infection in the Critically III, a Multicenter Study of Molecular Detection in Bloodstream Infections, Pneumonia, and Sterile Site Infections. Crit Care Med 2015;43:2283–91. doi:10.1097/CCM.00000000001249