

# Genetic and environmental effects on body mass index from infancy to the onset of adulthood: an individual-based pooled analysis of 45 twin cohorts participating in the COllaborative project of Development of Anthropometrical measures in Twins (CODATwins) study<sup>1-3</sup>

Karri Silventoinen, 4.6 \* Aline Jelenkovic, 4.7 Reijo Sund, 4 Yoon-Mi Hur, 8 Yoshie Yokoyama, 9 Chika Honda, 6
Jacob vB Hjelmborg, 10 Sören Möller, 10 Syuichi Ooki, 12 Sari Aaltonen, 4.5 Fuling Ji, 13 Feng Ning, 13 Zengchang Pang, 13
Esther Rebato, 7 Andreas Busjahn, 14 Christian Kandler, 15 Kimberly J Saudino, 16 Kerry L Jang, 17 Wendy Cozen, 18.20
Amie E Hwang, 18 Thomas M Mack, 18.20 Wenjing Gao, 21 Canqing Yu, 21 Liming Li, 21 Robin P Corley, 22 Brooke M Huibregtse, 22
Kaare Christensen, 10.23 Axel Skytthe, 10 Kirsten O Kyvik, 11.24 Catherine A Derom, 27.28 Robert F Vlietinck, 27 Ruth JF Loos, 29
Kauko Heikkilä, 5 Jane Wardle, 30.79 Clare H Llewellyn, 30 Abigail Fisher, 30 Tom A McAdams, 30.31 Thalia C Eley, 31
Alice M Gregory, 33 Mingguang He, 34.35 Xiaohu Ding, 34 Morten Bjerregaard-Andersen, 25.36.37 Henning Beck-Nielsen, 25
Morten Sodemann, 25.26 Adam D Tarnoki, 38.39 David L Tarnoki, 38.39 Maria A Stazi, 40 Corrado Fagnani, 40 Cristina D'Ippolito, 40
Ariel Knafo-Noam, 41 David Mankuta, 42 Lior Abramson, 41 S Alexandra Burt, 43 Kelly L Klump, 43 Judy L Silberg, 44
Lindon J Eaves, 44 Hermine H Maes, 44.46 Robert F Krueger, 47 Matt McGue, 47 Shandell Pahlen, 47 Margaret Gatz, 19.48
David A Butler, 50 Meike Bartels, 51 Toos CEM van Beijsterveldt, 51 Jeffrey M Craig, 52.53 Richard Saffery, 52.53
Duarte L Freitas, 54 José Antonio Maia, 55 Lise Dubois, 56 Michel Boivin, 57.58 Mara Brendgen, 59 Ginette Dionne, 57
Frank Vitaro, 60 Nicholas G Martin, 61 Sarah E Medland, 61 Grant W Montgomery, 62 Youngsook Chong, 63 Gary E Swan, 64
Ruth Krasnow, 65 Patrik KE Magnusson, 48 Nancy L Pedersen, 48 Per Tynelius, 49 Paul Lichtenstein, 48 Claire MA Haworth, 60
Robert Plomin, 31 Gombojav Bayasgalan, 67 Danshiitsoodol Narandalai, 67.68 K Paige Harden, 69 Elliot M Tucker-Drob, 69
Sevgi Y Öncel, 70 Fazil Aliev, 44.45 Timothy Spector, 32 Massimo Mangino, 32 Genevieve Lachance, 32 Laura A Baker, 19
Catherine Tuvblad, 19.71 Glen E Duncan, 72 Dedra Buchwald, 73 Gonneke Willemsen, 51 Finn Rasmussen, 49
Jack H Goldberg, 74 Thorkil

Departments of <sup>4</sup>Social Research and <sup>5</sup>Public Health, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland; <sup>6</sup>Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan; <sup>7</sup>Department of Genetics, Physical Anthropology and Animal Physiology, University of the Basque Country, Leioa, Spain; <sup>8</sup>Department of Education, Mokpo National University, Jeonnam, South Korea; <sup>9</sup>Department of Public Health Nursing, Osaka City University, Osaka, Japan; <sup>10</sup>The Danish Twin Registry, Department of Public Health, Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Biodemography, and <sup>11</sup>Department of Clinical Research, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark; 12Department of Health Science, Ishikawa Prefectural Nursing University, Kahoku, Ishikawa, Japan; <sup>13</sup>Department of Noncommunicable Diseases Prevention, Qingdao Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Qingdao, China; <sup>14</sup>HealthTwiSt GmbH, Berlin, Germany; 15 Department of Psychology, Bielefeld University, Bielefeld, Germany; 16 Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Boston University, Boston, MA; <sup>17</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; <sup>18</sup>Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine of USC, and <sup>19</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA; <sup>20</sup>USC Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, CA; <sup>21</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Peking University, Beijing, China; <sup>22</sup>Institute for Behavioral Genetics, Boulder, CO; <sup>23</sup>Departments of Clinical Biochemistry and Pharmacology Clinical Genetics, <sup>24</sup>Odense Patient data Explorative Network, and Departments of <sup>25</sup>Endocrinology and <sup>26</sup>Infectious Diseases, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark; <sup>27</sup>Centre of Human Genetics, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; <sup>28</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Ghent University Hospitals, Ghent, Belgium; <sup>29</sup>The Charles Bronfman Institute for Personalized Medicine, The Mindich Child Health and Development Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY; 30 Health Behaviour Research Centre, Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Institute of Epidemiology and Health Care, University College London, London, United Kingdom; <sup>31</sup>MRC Social, Genetic & Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, and <sup>32</sup>Department of Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology, King's College, London, United Kingdom; 33 Department of Psychology, Goldsmiths, University of London, London, United Kingdom; <sup>34</sup>State Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology, Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China; <sup>35</sup>Centre for Eye Research Australia, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia; <sup>36</sup>Bandim Health Project, INDEPTH Network, Bissau, Guinea-Bissau; <sup>37</sup>Research Center for Vitamins and Vaccines, Statens Serum Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark; 38 Department of Radiology and Oncotherapy, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary; <sup>39</sup>Hungarian Twin Registry, Budapest, Hungary; <sup>40</sup>Istituto Superiore di Sanità - National Center for Epidemiology, Surveillance and Health Promotion, Rome, Italy; <sup>41</sup>The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel; <sup>42</sup>Hadassah Hospital Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Hebrew University Medical School, Jerusalem, Israel; <sup>43</sup>Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI; <sup>44</sup>Department of Human and Molecular Genetics, <sup>45</sup>Departments of Psychiatry and Psychology, <sup>46</sup>Massey Cancer Center, Virginia Institute for Psychiatric and Behavioral Genetics, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA; <sup>47</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN; Departments of <sup>48</sup>Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics and <sup>49</sup>Public Health Sciences, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; <sup>50</sup>Institute of Medicine, National Academy of Sciences Washington, DC; <sup>51</sup>Department of Biological Psychology, VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands; 52Murdoch Childrens Research Institute, Royal Children's Hospital, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; 53Department of Paediatrics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; 54Department of Physical Education and Sport, University of Madeira, Funchal, Portugal; <sup>55</sup> Centre of Research, Education, Innovation and Intervention in Sport, Faculty of Sport, Porto, University of Porto, Portugal; <sup>56</sup>School of Epidemiology, Public Health and Preventive Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; <sup>57</sup>École de psychologie, Université Laval, Québec, Canada; <sup>58</sup>Institute of Genetic, Neurobiological, and Social Foundations of Child Development, Tomsk State University, Russian Federation; <sup>59</sup>Département de psychologie, Université du Québec à Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada; <sup>60</sup>École de psychoéducation, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada; <sup>61</sup>Genetic Epidemiology Department and <sup>62</sup>Molecular Epidemiology Department, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia; <sup>63</sup>Department of Psychology, Pusan National University, Busan, South Korea; <sup>64</sup>Stanford Prevention Research Center, Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA; <sup>65</sup>Center for Health Sciences, SRI International, Menlo Park, CA; <sup>66</sup>MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom; <sup>67</sup>Healthy Twin Association of Mongolia, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia; <sup>68</sup>Graduate School of Biomedical and Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan; <sup>69</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX; <sup>70</sup>Department of Statistics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Kırıkkale University, Kırıkkale, Turkey; <sup>71</sup>Örebro University, School of Law, Psychology and Social Work, Örebro, Sweden; <sup>72</sup>College of Medicine, Washington State University - Health Sciences Spokane, Spokane, WA; <sup>73</sup>Washington State Twin Registry, Washington State University, Seattle, WA; <sup>75</sup>Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research (Section on Metabolic Genetics) and Department of Public Health, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Denmark; <sup>76</sup>Institute of Preventive Medicine, Bispebjerg and Frederiksberg Hospitals, Copenhagen, The Capital Region, Den

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Both genetic and environmental factors are known to affect body mass index (BMI), but detailed understanding of how their effects differ during childhood and adolescence is lacking.

**Objectives:** We analyzed the genetic and environmental contributions to BMI variation from infancy to early adulthood and the ways they differ by sex and geographic regions representing high (North America and Australia), moderate (Europe), and low levels (East Asia) of obesogenic environments.

**Design:** Data were available for 87,782 complete twin pairs from 0.5 to 19.5 y of age from 45 cohorts. Analyses were based on 383,092 BMI measurements. Variation in BMI was decomposed into genetic and environmental components through genetic structural equation modeling. **Results:** The variance of BMI increased from 5 y of age along with increasing mean BMI. The proportion of BMI variation explained by additive genetic factors was lowest at 4 y of age in boys ( $a^2$  = 0.42) and girls ( $a^2 = 0.41$ ) and then generally increased to 0.75 in both sexes at 19 y of age. This was because of a stronger influence of environmental factors shared by co-twins in midchildhood. After 15 y of age, the effect of shared environment was not observed. The sex-specific expression of genetic factors was seen in infancy, but was most prominent at 13 y of age and older. The variance of BMI was highest in North America and Australia and lowest in East Asia, but the relative proportion of genetic variation to total variation remained roughly similar across different regions.

**Conclusions:** Environmental factors shared by co-twins affect BMI in childhood, but little evidence for their contribution was found in late adolescence. Our results suggest that genetic factors play a major role in the variation of BMI in adolescence among populations of different ethnicities exposed to different environmental factors related to obesity.

Am J Clin Nutr doi: 10.3945/ajcn.116.130252.

**Keywords:** BMI, children, genetics, international comparisons, twins

## INTRODUCTION

Childhood obesity is a major public health problem throughout the world. In the United States, >30% of children and adolescents were classified as overweight or obese in 2011–2012 (1), and childhood obesity is also a growing problem in many developing countries (2). Previous twin and family studies have shown that both genetic and environmental factors contribute to obesity. As early as 1923, the tendency toward obesity was found to vary between families, suggesting a role of genetic factors (3), and a meta-analysis of 31 twin studies showed that

for adults, the heritability estimates of BMI (in kg/m²), i.e., total BMI variation explained by genetic variation, ranged from 47% to 80% (4). However, much less is known about the variation of the genetic architecture of BMI during childhood and adolescence. A meta-analysis of 9 twin studies found that the environmental factors shared by co-twins contributed to BMI in infancy and early childhood but were not evident after midchildhood, when genetic factors become more important (5). An individual-based analysis of 4 twin cohorts found shared environmental contributions to BMI from 3 to 8 y of age, which disappeared at 9–19 y of age (6). Somewhat different results were found in a Finnish longitudinal study, which showed that shared environment affected BMI at 11–12 and 14 y of age but was no longer evident at 17 y of age (7). Thus, previous twin studies suggest that the effect of shared environmental factors

<sup>1</sup>This study was conducted within the CODATwins project (Academy of Finland #266592). Support for participating twin projects: The Boston University Twin Project is funded by grants R01 HD068435 and R01 MH062375 from the NIH to KS. California Twin Program was supported by The California Tobacco-Related Disease Research Program grants7RT-0134H, 8RT-0107H, and 6RT-0354H and by NIH grant 1R01ESO15150-01. Chinese National Twin Registry is funded by Special Fund for Health Scientific Research in the Public Welfare (Project No: 201502006), China. Colorado Twin Registry is funded by NIDA funded center grant DA011015, and Longitudinal Twin Study HD10333; BMH is supported by to 5T32DA017637-11. Danish Twin Registry is supported by the National Program for Research Infrastructure 2007 from the Danish Agency for Science, Technology and Innovation, The Research Council for Health and Disease, the Velux Foundation and the US NIH grant P01 AG08761. Since its origin the East Flanders Prospective Survey has been partly supported by grants from the Fund of Scientific Research, Flanders and Twins, a nonprofit Association for Scientific Research in Multiple Births (Belgium). Data collection and analyses in Finnish twin cohorts have been supported by ENGAGE-European Network for Genetic and Genomic Epidemiology, FP7-HEALTH-F4-2007, grant agreement 201413; National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism grants AA-12502, AA-00145, and AA-09203 to RJR; the Academy of Finland Center of Excellence in Complex Disease Genetics grants 213506 and 129680; and the Academy of Finland grants 100499, 205585, 118555, 141054, 265240, 263278, and 264146 to JK. KS is supported by Osaka University's International Joint Research Promotion Program. Gemini was supported by a grant from Cancer Research UK (C1418/A7974). Waves 1-3 of Genesis 12-19 were funded by the W T Grant Foundation, the University of London Central Research fund and Medical Research Council Training Fellowship grant G81/343 and Career Development Award G120/635 to TCE. Wave 4 was supported by the Economic and Social Research Council grant RES-000-22-2206 and the Institute of Social Psychiatry (06/07–11) to AMG, who was also supported at that time by a Leverhulme Research Fellowship grant RF/2/RFG/2008/0145. Wave 5 was supported by funding to AMG from Goldsmiths, University of London, United Kingdom. Guangzhou Twin Eye Study is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China grant 81125007. Anthropometric influencing BMI disappears in late adolescence when genetic factors explain  $\sim 80\%$  of the variation of BMI.

However, little is known about the universality of these results considering that the 2 previous multinational analyses were primarily based on Western populations, with the exception of one Korean twin cohort. A multinational study pooling 8 cohorts of adolescent twins found that the heritability estimates of BMI were approximately similar in Western and East Asian

measurements of the Hungarian twins were supported by Medexpert Ltd., Budapest, Hungary. Longitudinal Israeli Study of Twins was funded by the Starting Grant 240994 from the European Research Council to AK. The Michigan State University Twin Registry has been supported by Michigan State University, as well as grants R01-MH081813, R01-MH0820-54, R01-MH092377-02, R21-MH070542-01, and R03-MH63851-01 from the National Institute of Mental Health, R01-HD066040 from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute for Child Health and Human Development, and 11-SPG-2518 from the MSU Foundation. The NAS-NRC Twin Registry acknowledges financial support from NIH grant R21 AG039572. Netherlands Twin Register acknowledges the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research and MagW/ZonMW grants 904-61-090, 985-10-002, 912-10-020, 904-61-193,480-04-004, 463-06-001, 451-04-034, and 400-05-717, Addiction-31160008, Middelgroot-911-09-032, Spinozapremie 56-464-14192; VU University's Institute for Health and Care Research; the European Research Council grant 230374; and the Avera Institute, Sioux Falls, SD. PETS was supported by the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council grant 437015 and 607358 to JC and RS, the Bonnie Babes Foundation grant BBF20704 to JMC, the Financial Markets Foundation for Children grant 032-2007 to JMC, and by the Victorian Government's Operational Infrastructure Support Program. Madeira data comes from the following project: Genetic and environmental influences on physical activity, fitness and health: the Madeira family study Project reference: POCI/DES/ 56834/2004 Founded by the Portuguese agency for research (The Foundation for Science and Technology). The Quebec Newborn Twin Study acknowledges financial support from the Fonds Québécois de la Recherche sur la Société et la Culture, the Fonds de la Recherche en Santé du Québec, the Social Science and Humanities Research Council of Canada, the National Health Research Development Program, the Canadian Institutes for Health Research, Sainte-Justine Hospital's Research Center, and the Canada Research Chair Program (MB). South Korea Twin Registry is supported by National Research Foundation of Korea grant NRF-371-2011-1 B00047. The Texas Twin Project is currently funded by grants AA023322 and HD081437 from the NIH. The Twins Early Development Study is supported by program grant G0901245 from the UK Medical Research Council and the work on obesity in the Twins Early Development Study is supported in part by the UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council grant31/D19086. SYÖ and FA are supported by Kırıkkale University Research grant KKU 2009/43 and TUBITAK grant 114C117. TwinsUK was funded by European Community's Seventh Framework Programme grant FP7/2007-2013. The study also receives support from the National Institute for Health Research BioResource Clinical Research Facility and Biomedical Research Centre based at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London, United Kingdom. The University of Southern California Twin Study is funded by the National Institute of Mental Health grant R01 MH58354. University of Washington Twin Registry was supported in part by grant NIH RC2 HL103416 (D and PI). The West Japan Twins and Higher Order Multiple Births Registry was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) grant 15H05105 from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

<sup>2</sup>The content of this article is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute of Mental Health, the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute for Child Health and Human Development, or the NIH.

<sup>3</sup>Supplemental Figure 1 and Supplemental Tables 1–5 are available from the "Online Supporting Material" link in the online posting of the article and from the same link in the online table of contents at http://ajcn.nutrition.org. <sup>79</sup>JW is deceased.

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: karri.silventoinen@ helsinki.fi.

Received January 19, 2016. Accepted for publication May 20, 2016. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.116.130252

populations even when the mean BMI and total variation of BMI were higher in Western populations (8). However, it is still unknown whether the genetic architecture is similar at earlier ages. Furthermore, because of a lack of data in the previous multinational analyses (5, 6), it is still unclear how genetic influences on BMI differ between boys and girls in infancy and childhood.

To answer these questions on differences in the genetic architecture of BMI during childhood and adolescence, we conducted an individual-based analysis pooling twin cohorts from different countries. Our very large sample size allowed us to estimate the proportions of BMI variation explained by genetic and environmental factors by using 1-y age groups in boys and girls separately. We aimed 1) to estimate how the genetic architecture of BMI changes from infancy to the onset of adulthood, 2) to study age- and sex-differences in the contributions of genetic and environmental factors, and 3) to analyze whether these estimates are similar in different geographic—cultural regions representing different levels of obesogenic environment.

## **METHODS**

The data were derived from the CODATwins (COllaborative project of Development of Anthropometrical measures in Twins) database described elsewhere (9). Briefly, the CODATwins project was intended to collect height and weight measurements from all twin cohorts in the world having information both on monozygotic and dizygotic twins. For the present analysis, we selected 45 twin cohorts from 20 countries with height and weight measurements available from 0.5 to 19.5 y of age for ≥50 twin individuals. We divided these cohorts into 3 geographic-cultural regions: Europe, North America and Australia, and East Asia. The prevalence of obesity and overweight is lowest in East Asia, thus representing a lesser obesogenic environment, and highest in North America and Australia, thus representing a more obesogenic environment (10). We had 20 cohorts from Europe, 15 cohorts from North America and Australia, and 8 cohorts from East Asia. Furthermore, we had 1 cohort from Africa and 2 from the Middle East. However, during the course of the study, we found that in a large Chinese National Twin Cohort Study, the heritability estimates of BMI were substantially lower than in other East Asian cohorts as reported previously (11). Given this heterogeneity, we presented the East Asian results both without (main results) and with (supplemental results) this cohort.

The names of the cohorts included in the main analyses are given in the footnotes of Supplemental Table 1, and more information on these cohorts is available elsewhere (9). The construction of the study cohort is presented as a flow diagram (Supplemental Figure 1). We eliminated impossible values and outliers in each age and sex group based on visual inspection allowing the BMI distribution to be positively skewed. We removed 1151 measurements as outliers representing 0.3% of the measurements. Further, we selected only one observation per twin individual for each 1-y age group. For 87,782 twin pairs, we had information for both co-twins (36% monozygotic twins, 37% same-sex dizygotic, and 27% opposite-sex dizygotic twins), and for 4826 twin pairs, information for only 1 twin. These incomplete twin pairs were removed from all genetic analyses. In the final analyses, we had 383,092 BMI measurements for 175,564 twin individuals (46% female). Thus, on average, we had 2 BMI measurements/individual, but the

number of longitudinal measures varied between the cohorts as described elsewhere (9). To test the effect of having multiple measurements for the same individual, we also repeated the genetic analyses after randomly selecting only one observation for each twin pair.

The number of complete twin pairs by age, zygosity, and region is presented in Supplemental Table 1. The number of BMI measurements varied from 6174 at 6 y of age to 31,708 at 1 y of age. The largest number of measurements was available from Europe (n = 278,479), followed by North America and Australia (n = 66,204), and finally East Asia (n = 36,528). In the additional analyses including the Chinese National Twin Cohort Study, the number of BMI measurements in East Asia was 55,756. From all BMI measurements, 57% were done in the year 2000 or later and 88% in the year 1990 or later. The majority of the BMI measurements were based on self report (66%) or parental report (20%), and only a minority were clinically measured (14%). Because the collaborators were asked to send height and weight measures, no missing cases existed for BMI.

The data were analyzed by using classic genetic twin modeling based on linear structural equations (12). Genetic twin modeling is based on the fact that monozygotic twins share virtually the same DNA sequence, whereas dizygotic twins share, on average, 50% of their genes identical-by-descent. Dizygotic within-pair correlations of BMI were more than half of the monozygotic correlations, suggesting the presence of environmental effects shared by co-twins (Supplemental Table 1). Thus, we decomposed the trait variation into I) an additive genetic component (A), which is the sum of the effects of all alleles affecting the trait, 2) a shared environmental component (C), including all environmental factors shared by co-twins, and 3) a unique environmental component (E), reflecting the effects of all environmental factors that make co-twins dissimilar including measurement error. The additive genetic correlation is 1 between monozygotic co-twins and 0.5 between dizygotic co-twins, whereas the correlation between the shared environmental factors is 1, and that between unique environmental factors is 0 both in monozygotic and dizygotic co-twins. All genetic models were fitted with the OpenMx package, version 2.0.1, which is part of the R statistical platform (13). All parameter estimates and corresponding 95% CIs were estimated by rawdata maximum likelihood method allowing nonsymmetric 95% CIs. Heritability is defined as the proportion of total variation accounted for by additive genetic variation.

BMI showed an increasing skew to the right from 1 to 18 y of age, and thus we used a log-transformation to normalize the BMI distribution at all ages when calculating the relative proportions of genetic and environmental variation. Further, we adjusted BMI for age and study cohort differences within each 1-y age and sex group by calculating regression residuals. Cohort differences, i.e., differences in mean BMI between cohorts, were adjusted for by including a group of dummy variables in the regression models. We tested the technical assumptions of twin modeling by comparing the ACE model to the saturated model, which specifies an unconstrained model for trait means, variances, and covariances between co-twins. The fit of nested models was compared by calculating differences in -2 log-likelihood values, which follows the chi-square distribution with a difference in df that corresponds to the difference in the number of free parameters estimated. As reported previously, dizygotic twins had

slightly higher mean BMIs and higher SDs than monozygotic twins at some ages over childhood and adolescence (14). We therefore allowed different means for monozygotic and dizygotic twins, but in the genetic models we allowed constrained variance components to be the same in all zygosity groups within sex.

The model fit results are presented in **Supplemental Table 2**. At most ages, the fit of the full ACE model was significantly poorer than the fit of the saturated model because of the higher SDs of BMIs in dizygotic twins. Even when the differences were small, they were statistically significant because of our very large sample size. Moreover, we tested possible sex differences by constraining the A, C, and E parameter estimates to be equal in boys and girls. We found that at most ages, the fit of this model was poor, suggesting that these variance components differed between sexes. We also tested whether this difference was because of different variances of the natural logarithm of BMI (logBMI) in boys and girls by fitting a scaled model allowing different sizes of variance components but fixing the relative size of these components to be equal. This model also showed significant differences compared with the full ACE model. Accordingly, we presented results separately for boys and girls. Finally, we tested whether a partly different set of genes affects BMI in boys and girls by fitting a sex-limitation model. This model tests whether the genetic correlation of opposite-sex dizygotic twins is <0.5. We found evidence of a sexspecific genetic effect at some ages seen also as lower opposite-sex dizygotic correlations (Supplemental Tables 1 and 2). Therefore, sex-specific genetic effects were allowed at all ages.

The pooled analysis was approved by the ethical board of the Department of Public Health, University of Helsinki. The data collection procedures of participating twin cohorts were approved by local ethical boards following the regulations in each country. Only anonymized data were delivered to the data management center at University of Helsinki (9).

#### RESULTS

Mean BMI decreased from infancy, reaching a nadir at 5 y of age in boys and girls before increasing until 19 y of age in the pooled data (Table 1). Along with the increasing mean BMI, the variance of BMI also started to increase after 5 y of age. The increase in mean BMI started in Europe after 5 y of age but slightly later in East Asia (6 y) and in North America and Australia (7 y). Boys had higher BMI than girls from 1 to 4 y of age and again from 17 to 19 y of age, but at other ages sex differences were small. In Europe and North America and Australia, BMI variances were higher in girls than in boys, especially in adolescence and early adulthood. North American and Australian boys and girls had the highest mean BMI at all ages, and this difference increased after 7 y of age. European boys and girls had also slightly higher BMI than East Asian boys and girls at most ages. Similar differences were also seen in the BMI variation, and at all ages variances were highest in North America and Australia.

**Figure 1** presents the relative proportions of logBMI variation explained by additive genetic, shared environmental, and unique environmental factors in the pooled data (the estimates with 95% CIs are presented in **Supplemental Table 3**). The heritability estimate of logBMI was lowest at 4 y of age in boys (a<sup>2</sup>: 0.42; 95% CI: 0.37, 0.47) and girls (a<sup>2</sup>: 0.41; 95% CI: 0.35, 0.46). They started to increase after 8 y of age, and at 19 y of age

**TABLE 1**Number of twin individuals and BMI by age and region<sup>1</sup>

Age, y	Total		Europe		North America and Australia		East Asia	
	N	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	N	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	N	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	N	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>
Male								
1	15,919	$17.1 \pm 1.38$	13,029	$17.1 \pm 1.36$	559	$17.6 \pm 1.74$	2238	$17.1 \pm 1.40$
2	13,032	$16.5 \pm 1.39$	10,677	$16.5 \pm 1.37$	617	$17.4 \pm 1.54$	1653	$16.2 \pm 1.26$
3	17,334	$15.9 \pm 1.46$	14,198	$15.9 \pm 1.47$	1063	$16.4 \pm 1.79$	2020	$15.7 \pm 1.12$
4	10,118	$15.9 \pm 1.82$	7593	$15.9 \pm 1.79$	1512	$16.1 \pm 2.17$	1004	$15.5 \pm 1.28$
5	8255	$15.3 \pm 1.57$	6256	$15.2 \pm 1.46$	1096	$16.0 \pm 2.09$	880	$15.2 \pm 1.20$
6	3322	$15.5 \pm 1.87$	1450	$15.5 \pm 1.59$	811	$16.0 \pm 2.60$	1004	$15.1 \pm 1.36$
7	13,767	$15.4 \pm 1.82$	11,467	$15.4 \pm 1.78$	716	$16.0 \pm 2.71$	1269	$15.3 \pm 1.51$
8	6502	$15.8 \pm 1.96$	4491	$15.7 \pm 1.84$	695	$16.4 \pm 2.84$	1273	$15.6 \pm 1.69$
9	7066	$16.5 \pm 2.43$	4339	$16.4 \pm 2.22$	1430	$17.3 \pm 3.15$	1263	$16.0 \pm 1.94$
10	11,531	$16.7 \pm 2.38$	9151	$16.6 \pm 2.27$	863	$17.9 \pm 3.32$	1388	$16.6 \pm 2.19$
11	9149	$17.4 \pm 2.70$	6739	$17.3 \pm 2.57$	963	$18.6 \pm 3.58$	1444	$17.2 \pm 2.36$
12	12,140	$18.0 \pm 2.90$	8673	$17.6 \pm 2.66$	2396	$19.4 \pm 3.54$	1071	$17.8 \pm 2.55$
13	5108	$18.7 \pm 3.06$	3629	$18.3 \pm 2.63$	1175	$19.9 \pm 3.91$	304	$18.5 \pm 2.78$
14	9687	$19.5 \pm 3.07$	6994	$19.1 \pm 2.68$	2525	$20.6 \pm 3.76$	168	$18.9 \pm 2.73$
15	5904	$20.0 \pm 3.18$	4341	$19.5 \pm 2.62$	1411	$21.5 \pm 4.15$	140	$19.0 \pm 3.05$
16	8745	$20.8 \pm 3.02$	6387	$20.4 \pm 2.54$	2213	$21.9 \pm 3.86$	128	$19.9 \pm 3.33$
17	11,646	$21.2 \pm 2.78$	7681	$21.0 \pm 2.61$	3843	$21.8 \pm 3.02$	106	$20.3 \pm 2.61$
18	17,407	$21.7 \pm 2.66$	7332	$21.4 \pm 2.57$	9925	$21.9 \pm 2.71$	123	$20.3 \pm 2.34$
19	11,216	$22.0 \pm 2.72$	5605	$21.7 \pm 2.47$	5478	$22.3 \pm 2.92$	122	$20.8 \pm 2.77$
Female	,							
1	15,789	$16.7 \pm 1.37$	12,709	$16.7 \pm 1.35$	591	$16.9 \pm 1.53$	2381	$16.7 \pm 1.39$
2	12,499	$16.1 \pm 1.37$	10,081	$16.1 \pm 1.35$	590	$16.8 \pm 1.49$	1731	$15.9 \pm 1.33$
3	17,602	$15.6 \pm 1.51$	14,257	$15.7 \pm 1.52$	1107	$16.0 \pm 1.89$	2179	$15.4 \pm 1.18$
4	9842	$15.7 \pm 1.90$	7360	$15.7 \pm 1.99$	1442	$15.8 \pm 2.21$	1022	$15.3 \pm 1.28$
5	7984	$15.1 \pm 1.65$	6019	$15.0 \pm 1.53$	1026	$15.8 \pm 2.33$	918	$15.1 \pm 1.30$
6	2852	$15.4 \pm 1.91$	900	$15.5 \pm 1.70$	794	$15.8 \pm 2.49$	1092	$15.0 \pm 1.38$
7	13,942	$15.5 \pm 2.01$	11,528	$15.5 \pm 2.03$	735	$15.6 \pm 2.61$	1384	$15.0 \pm 1.30$ $15.2 \pm 1.46$
8	6160	$15.8 \pm 2.15$	4014	$15.9 \pm 2.13$	706	$16.5 \pm 2.94$	1417	$15.4 \pm 1.60$
9	6746	$16.6 \pm 2.62$	3903	$16.6 \pm 2.49$	1402	$17.3 \pm 3.35$	1404	$15.4 \pm 1.82$ $15.8 \pm 1.82$
10	11,445	$16.8 \pm 2.58$	8975	$16.8 \pm 2.52$	840	$18.0 \pm 3.50$	1494	$16.3 \pm 2.07$
11	8962	$17.6 \pm 2.95$	6460	$17.6 \pm 2.85$	972	$19.0 \pm 3.95$	1527	$16.8 \pm 2.07$ $16.8 \pm 2.17$
12	12,282	$17.0 \pm 2.93$ $18.1 \pm 2.98$	8572	$17.8 \pm 2.74$	2527	$19.6 \pm 3.53$ $19.6 \pm 3.51$	1183	$17.5 \pm 2.32$
13	4861	18.9 ± 3.16	3299	$17.8 \pm 2.74$ $18.7 \pm 2.87$	1255	19.0 ± 3.31 19.9 ± 3.77	307	$17.3 \pm 2.52$ $18.1 \pm 2.51$
13	10,221	$19.9 \pm 3.16$ $19.8 \pm 3.16$	7376	$19.4 \pm 2.73$	2677	$19.9 \pm 3.77$ $21.0 \pm 3.87$	168	$18.1 \pm 2.31$ $18.5 \pm 2.43$
15	5820	$19.8 \pm 3.10$ $20.3 \pm 3.29$	4217	$19.4 \pm 2.73$ $19.8 \pm 2.78$	1414		178	$18.3 \pm 2.43$ $19.4 \pm 2.43$
15 16	9611	$20.3 \pm 3.29$ $20.7 \pm 3.07$	7269	$19.8 \pm 2.78$ $20.4 \pm 2.78$	2180	$21.9 \pm 4.12$ $21.8 \pm 3.73$	178	$19.4 \pm 2.43$ $19.9 \pm 2.25$
17	10,381	$20.8 \pm 2.94$	8632	$20.6 \pm 2.68$	1599	$22.0 \pm 3.87$	126	$20.7 \pm 2.64$
18	8775	$21.2 \pm 3.16$	6318	$20.8 \pm 2.66$	2291	$22.4 \pm 4.03$	133	$19.9 \pm 2.35$
19	9469	$21.4 \pm 3.22$	6558	$21.0 \pm 2.75$	2765	$22.5 \pm 3.96$	131	$20.2 \pm 2.20$

<sup>1</sup>BMI values are means ± SDs.

they were 0.75 in both boys (95% CI: 0.67, 0.80) and girls (95% CI: 0.67, 0.82). The heritability was highest in boys at 10 y of age (a²: 0.85; 95% CI: 0.78, 0.88) and in girls at 16 y of age (a²: 0.84; 95% CI: 0.78, 0.85), but these estimates did not differ statistically significantly from the heritability at 19 y of age. The differences in the heritability estimates were explained by changes in the relative proportions of shared environmental variation (Figure 1C, D). The age pattern was generally similar in boys and girls despite the significant sex differences in the relative variance components at most ages (Supplemental Table 2). The proportion of logBMI variation accounted for unique environmental factors varied between 0.10 and 0.21. Some of these differences were statistically significant, but unique environmental variation did not show any clear age pattern. In the sensitivity analyses, when we randomly selected only one observation per individual, the variation

in the heritability estimates between ages somewhat increased. However, the heritability estimates in these analyses were statistically significantly lower in midchildhood than in late adolescence and onset of adulthood (Supplemental Table 4).

Genetic correlations within opposite-sex dizygotic pairs were generally <0.5, suggesting sex-specific genetic effects, especially in adolescence (**Figure 2**). Wide upper 95% CIs were seen at ages 10 y, 12 y, and 14 y. This was because of a difference in shared environmental variation between boys and girls at these ages; if this difference increases, it can compensate for the effect of increasing additive genetic correlation for opposite-sex pairs in the statistical model. However, higher additive genetic correlation in opposite-sex than in same-sex dizygotic pairs is not biologically plausible.

We then fitted similar models for logBMI by region. Only the estimates of additive genetic factors are presented in **Figure 3**,




FIGURE 1 Proportions of natural logarithm of BMI variation with 95% CIs based on maximum likelihood estimation explained by additive genetic, shared environmental, and unique environmental factors by age and sex. The number of twin pairs varied from 2987 at 6 y of age to 17,028 at 3 y of age. Additive genetic factors in boys (A); additive genetic factors in girls (B); shared environmental factors in boys (C); shared environmental factors in girls (D); specific environmental factors in girls (F).

but all estimates with 95% CIs are available in Supplemental Table 3. In Europe and in North America and Australia, the agerelated differences in the heritability estimates were largely similar to those in the pooled data. At 4 y of age, the heritability estimates in Europe were 0.41 (95% CI: 0.35, 0.47) in boys and 0.42 (95% CI: 0.35, 0.49) in girls, whereas in North America and Australia they were 0.38 (95% CI: 0.30, 0.47) and 0.27 (95% CI: 0.18, 0.37), respectively. After childhood, the heritability estimates generally increased and at 19 y of age were 0.78 (95% CI: 0.73, 0.80) in males and 0.75 (95% CI: 0.66, 0.82) in females in Europe and 0.65 (95% CI: 0.55, 0.77) and 0.82 (95% CI: 0.67, 0.85), respectively, in North America and Australia. The heritability estimates were even higher at some other ages in adolescence, but they did not differ statistically significantly from the estimates at 19 y of age. In East Asia, the pattern was not as clear because of the smaller sample size, but the heritability estimates showed some increase. However, especially after 12 y of age, the number of twin pairs was small in this region, leading to wide 95% CIs, and the results were not generally statistically significant. Despite the roughly similar age patterns, the proportions of logBMI variation explained by genetic and environmental factors were significantly different between the regions at all ages (Supplemental Table 2). When the Chinese National Twin Cohort Study was included in the East-Asia region, the proportion of genetic factors decreased and shared environmental factors increased; the change was from 0.1 to 0.4 units depending on the age group (**Supplemental Table 5**).

## DISCUSSION

In this very large study of nearly 400,000 BMI measurements in nearly 88,000 complete twin pairs from 20 countries, we demonstrated that heritability of BMI was lower in midchildhood than in late adolescence and onset of adulthood, which has been suggested previously also by 2 international studies (5, 6). The increasing role of genetic factors is consistent with previous molecular genetic studies that have found that the variants of the FTO gene, which account for the largest fraction of variance in BMI among the known candidate genes for BMI (15), and other obesity-related candidate genes have increasing effects on BMI after 6 y of age (16–19). Evidence of increasing heritability of BMI from 4 to 10 y of age has also been reported in genome-wide complex trait analysis (20).

However, this increasing role of genetic factors in BMI with age does not negate the importance of health behavior associated with childhood obesity, because genetic factors can affect BMI by modifying food intake and other behavioral




FIGURE 2 Additive genetic correlations with 95% CIs based on maximum likelihood estimation within opposite-sex dizygotic pairs by age. The number of opposite-sex pairs varied from 753 at 6 y of age to 5272 at 3 y of age.

factors. For example, the variants of the FTO gene, which act on the actual functional gene IRX3 (21), were found to be associated with food-intake self-regulation and eating styles in childhood, which are further associated with weight gain (22). Although not yet conclusive, there is evidence that common genetic risk variants of BMI are active in the hypothalamus, pituitary gland, hippocampus, and limbic system, i.e., areas of the brain that have an important role in appetite regulation, learning, cognition, emotion, and memory (23). It has also been found that shared environmental factors have effects on nutritional intake in childhood (24), but they disappear in adulthood when genetic factors become more important (25, 26). The increasing proportion of genetic variation of BMI may thus reflect the increasing independence of children from their parents in eating and other behavioral factors associated with the variation of BMI. However, the associations between energy intake and obesity are complex and still an object of debate (27). Differences in DNA methylation have also been found between lean and obese co-twins (28), and epigenetic processes by themselves are in part genetically regulated (29). Therefore, it is possible that part of the genetic variation may be mediated by epigenetic effects.

We found some evidence for sex-specific genetic contributions to BMI. The lowest genetic correlation within opposite-sex dizygotic pairs was found at 13 y of age, probably coinciding with the onset of puberty. However, a sex-specific genetic contribution was also clear after puberty, which probably reflects the increasing differences in body composition between boys and girls with age (30). This is consistent with the sex-specific genetic contribution in adult BMI found in a study of twin cohorts with opposite-sex twins from 7 countries (31). However, it is noteworthy that lower genetic correlations for opposite-sex pairs were found even in infancy, indicating that a partly different set of genes regulates BMI before the major hormonal changes that occur during puberty. This suggests some caution when interpreting results from genetic studies that have relied on BMI pooling of boys and girls, even while focusing on prepubertal children. Otherwise, there were relatively minor differences in the genetic architecture of BMI between boys and girls, and the general age patterns were largely similar.

When comparing regions, North American and Australian children and adolescents presented greater means and larger total variation of BMI than their European and East Asian peers. The relative proportions of genetic and environmental sources of variations were, however, roughly similar in these 3 regions. These results are consistent with those of a previous international twin study showing larger mean and variance of BMI yet similar heritability estimates in Caucasian and in East Asian populations in adolescence (8). Thus, higher mean BMI was associated with greater variation in BMI, whereas the proportions of genetic variations were still largely similar. This suggests that genetic factors have an important role in individual differences in BMI in various populations differing in ethnicity and environmental exposures, as well as in their possible interactions. These results are consistent with studies in Denmark (32) and Sweden (33), suggesting that both total and genetic variation of BMI increased during the obesity epidemic. It is, however, noteworthy that we limited our East Asian cohorts to affluent populations, including the affluent Shandong and Guangdong provinces but excluding poorer areas of China. As reported previously, the heritability estimates of BMI were much lower and common environmental estimates higher in other areas of China (11), which may indicate larger differences between families in nutritional status. This emphasizes the importance of collecting data on twins living under different environmental exposures. Our study cannot reveal whether genetic or environmental factors are behind the differences in mean BMI between the regions. However, a recent study found that genetic factors explained a part of differences in mean BMI between European populations (34), and thus genetic factors may contribute to BMI differences also in our study cohorts in addition to environmental factors.

The data used in this study have both strengths and weaknesses. The main strength is the very large sample size, allowing an investigation of the change of the genetic and environmental contributions to individual differences in BMI in much more detail than in previous studies. We also have twin participants from different countries, thereby making it possible to stratify the analyses by regions of various ethnicities and obesogenic environments. Individual-based data also have many advantages over literature-based meta-analyses, such as better opportunities for




FIGURE 3 Proportions of natural logarithm of BMI variation with 95% CIs based on maximum likelihood estimation explained by additive genetic factors by age, sex, and region for European boys (A), European girls (B), North American and Australian boys (C), North American and Australian girls (D), East Asian boys (E), and East Asian girls (F). The number of twin pairs varied from 1107 at 6 y of age to 13,855 at 3 y of age in Europe, from 565 at 1 y of age to 5064 at 18 y of age in North America and Australia, and from 111 at 17 y of age to 2284 at 1 y of age in East Asia.

statistical modeling and lack of publication bias. However, even when the large majority of the twin cohorts in the world participated in this project, our data still had only limited power for East Asia, especially in adolescence. Another important limitation is that there were only few data sets available from the Middle East and Africa and a lack of data from South America. This underlines the need for new data collection in these geographic regions. There were some violations of the assumptions of twin modeling because of the larger variation in dizygotic twins than in monozygotic twins at some ages (14). The differences in the variation are, however, small and become statistically significant because of the very large sample size of our data. Finally, we did not have any area-level indicators and classified the cultural-geographic areas as less or more obesogenic based on the prevalence of adult obesity (10). Conceptualizing obesogenic environments is difficult, but it has been suggested that both micro- and macro-level environmental factors affect both food intake and physical exercise (35). More detailed measurements of the physical environment are thus needed to analyze the factors in the environment that potentially modify genetic influences on the development of obesity. Because several cohorts were available from one country, it is possible that one individual may have participated in more than one twin cohort. It is also a clear limitation that only a fraction of BMI values was based on clinical measures, and for most of them we needed to rely on parental or self-reported values.

In conclusion, we found evidence that environmental factors shared by co-twins contribute to BMI variation in early childhood and during puberty, but their role disappeared before the onset of adulthood. Heritability increased from midchildhood to the onset of adulthood, which may indicate gene—environment correlation processes, whereby an increasing independence of children from their parents led them to express their behaviors according to their genetic background. Genes affecting BMI were partly sex specific, even in infancy, with their contribution becoming more prominent during and after puberty. Obesogenic environment is associated with greater variation of BMI in North America and Australia than in East Asia, but the relative proportions of genetic and environmental variations were roughly similar. Our results suggest that, despite different ethnicities and environmental exposures, genetic factors play a major role in the variation of BMI in adolescence in affluent societies.

The authors' responsibilities were as follows—KS, Y-MH, YY, KOK, FR, TIAS, DIB, and JK: planned the study design of the CODATwins project; R Sund, Y-MH, YY, CH, JvBH, SM, SO, SA, FJ, FN, ZP, AB, CK, KJS, KLJ, WC, AEH, TMM, WG, CY, LL, RPC, BMH, KC, AS, KOK, CAD, RFV, RJFL, KH, JW, CHL, AF, TAM, TCE, AMG, MH, XD, MB-A, HB-N, MS, ADT, DLT, MAS, CF, CD, AK-N, DM, LA, SAB, KLK, JLS, LJE, HHM, RFK, M McGue, SP, MG, DAB, M Bartels, TCEMvB, JMC, R Saffery, DLF,

JAM, LD, M Boivin, M Brendgen, GD, FV, NGM, SEM, GWM, YC, GES, RK, PKEM, NLP, PT, PL, CMAH, RP, GB, DN, KPH, EMT-D, SYO, FA, TS, M Mangino, GL, LAB, CT, GED, DB, GW, FR, JHG, TIAS, DIB, and JK: collected the data used in this study; KS and AJ: were in charge of data management; KS: conducted the analyses, wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and had primary responsibility of for the final content; and all authors: commented on the manuscript and read and approved the final version of the manuscript. None of the authors reported a conflict of interest related to the study.

## REFERENCES

- Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of childhood and adult obesity in the United States, 2011-2012. JAMA 2014;311:806–14.
- Gupta N, Goel K, Shah P, Misra A. Childhood obesity in developing countries: epidemiology, determinants, and prevention. Endocr Rev 2012;33:48–70.
- Davenport CB. Body-build and its inheritance. Washington (DC): Carnegie Institution of Washington; 1923.
- Elks CE, den Hoed M, Zhao JH, Sharp SJ, Wareham NJ, Loos RJ, Ong KK. Variability in the heritability of body mass index: a systematic review and meta-regression. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2012;3.
- Silventoinen K, Rokholm B, Kaprio J, Sørensen TIA. The genetic and environmental influences on childhood obesity: a systematic review of twin and adoption studies. Int J Obes (Lond) 2010;34:29–40.
- Dubois L, Ohm Kyvik K, Girard M, Tatone-Tokuda F, Perusse D, Hjelmborg J, Skytthe A, Rasmussen F, Wright MJ, Lichtenstein P, et al. Genetic and environmental contributions to weight, height, and BMI from birth to 19 years of age: an international study of over 12,000 twin pairs. PLoS One 2012;7:e30153.
- Lajunen HR, Kaprio J, Keski-Rahkonen A, Rose RJ, Pulkkinen L, Rissanen A, Silventoinen K. Genetic and environmental effects on body mass index during adolescence: a prospective study among Finnish twins. Int J Obes (Lond) 2009;33:559–67.
- Hur YM, Kaprio J, Iacono WG, Boomsma DI, McGue M, Silventoinen K, Martin NG, Luciano M, Visscher PM, Rose RJ, et al. Genetic influences on the difference in variability of height, weight and body mass index between Caucasian and East Asian adolescent twins. Int J Obes (Lond) 2008;32:1455–67.
- Silventoinen K, Jelenkovic A, Sund R, Honda C, Aaltonen S, Yokoyama Y, Tarnoki AD, Tarnoki DL, Ning F, Ji F, et al. The CODATwins Project: The cohort description of collaborative project of development of anthropometrical measures in twins to study macro-environmental variation in genetic and environmental effects on anthropometric traits. Twin Res Hum Genet 2015;18:348–60.
- Ng M, Fleming T, Robinson M, Thomson B, Graetz N, Margono C, Mullany EC, Biryukov S, Abbafati C, Abera SF, et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet 2014;384:766–81.
- Liu Q, Yu C, Gao W, Cao W, Lyu J, Wang S, Pang Z, Cong L, Dong Z, Wu F, et al. Genetic and environmental effects on weight, height, and bmi under 18 years in a Chinese population-based twin sample. Twin Res Hum Genet 2015;18:571–80.
- Posthuma D, Beem AL, de Geus EJ, van Baal GC, von Hjelmborg JB, Iachine I, Boomsma DI. Theory and practice in quantitative genetics. Twin Res 2003;6:361–76.
- Boker S, Neale M, Maes H, Wilde M, Spiegel M, Brick T, Spies J, Estabrook R, Kenny S, Bates T, et al. OpenMx: an open source extended structural equation modeling framework. Psychometrika 2011;76:306–17.
- 14. Jelenkovic A, Yokoyama Y, Sund R, Honda C, Bogl LH, Aaltonen S, Ji F, Ning F, Pang Z, Ordoñana JR, et al. Zygosity differences in height and body mass index of twins from infancy to old age: a study of the CODATwins project. Twin Res Hum Genet 2015;18:557–70.
- 15. Loos RJ, Yeo GS. The bigger picture of FTO: the first GWAS-identified obesity gene. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2014;10:51–61.
- 16. Rzehak P, Scherag A, Grallert H, Sausenthaler S, Koletzko S, Bauer CP, Schaaf B, von Berg A, Berdel D, Borte M, et al. Associations between BMI and the FTO gene are age dependent: results from the GINI and LISA birth cohort studies up to age 6 years. Obes Facts 2010;3:173–80.

- 17. Elks CE, Loos RJ, Sharp SJ, Langenberg C, Ring SM, Timpson NJ, Ness AR, Davey Smith G, Dunger DB, Wareham NJ, et al. Genetic markers of adult obesity risk are associated with greater early infancy weight gain and growth. PLoS Med 2010;7:e1000284.
- 18. Sovio U, Mook-Kanamori DO, Warrington NM, Lawrence R, Briollais L, Palmer CN, Cecil J, Sandling JK, Syvänen AC, Kaakinen M, et al. Association between common variation at the FTO locus and changes in body mass index from infancy to late childhood: the complex nature of genetic association through growth and development. PLoS Genet 2011;7:e1001307.
- Warrington NM, Howe LD, Paternoster L, Kaakinen M, Herrala S, Huikari V, Wu YY, Kemp JP, Timpson NJ, St Pourcain B, et al. A genome-wide association study of body mass index across early life and childhood. Int J Epidemiol 2015;44:700–12.
- Llewellyn CH, Trzaskowski M, Plomin R, Wardle J. From modeling to measurement: developmental trends in genetic influence on adiposity in childhood. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2014;22:1756–61.
- Smemo S, Tena JJ, Kim KH, Gamazon ER, Sakabe NJ, Gómez-Marín C, Aneas I, Credidio FL, Sobreira DR, Wasserman NF, et al. Obesityassociated variants within FTO form long-range functional connections with IRX3. Nature 2014;507:371–5.
- Faith MS, Carnell S, Kral TV. Genetics of food intake self-regulation in childhood: literature review and research opportunities. Hum Hered 2013-4:75:80-9.
- Locke AE, Kahali B, Berndt SI, Justice AE, Pers TH, Day FR, Powell C, Vedantam S, Buchkovich ML, Yang J, et al. Genetic studies of body mass index yield new insights for obesity biology. Nature 2015;518: 197–206.
- 24. Breen FM, Plomin R, Wardle J. Heritability of food preferences in young children. Physiol Behav 2006;88:443–7.
- Hasselbalch AL, Heitmann BL, Kyvik KO, Sørensen TIA. Studies of twins indicate that genetics influence dietary intake. J Nutr 2008;138: 2406–12.
- Keskitalo K, Silventoinen K, Tuorila H, Perola M, Pietiläinen KH, Rissanen A, Kaprio J. Genetic and environmental contributions to food use patterns of young adult twins. Physiol Behav 2008;93:235– 42.
- Sørensen TIA. Challenges in understanding development of obesity. In: Nobrega R, Redriguez-Lopez R, editors. Molecular mechanisms underpinning the development of obesity. New York: Springer; 2014. p. 1–7.
- 28. Pietiläinen KH, Ismail K, Järvinen E, Heinonen S, Tummers M, Bollepalli S, Lyle R, Muniandy M, Moilanen E, Hakkarainen A, Lundbom J, Lundbom N, Rissanen A, Kaprio J, Ollikainen M. DNA methylation and gene expression patterns in adipose tissue differ significantly within young adult monozygotic BMI-discordant twin pairs. Int J Obes 2016;40:654–61.
- van Dongen J, Ehli EA, Slieker RC, Bartels M, Weber ZM, Davies GE, Slagboom PE, Heijmans BT, Boomsma DI. Epigenetic variation in monozygotic twins: a genome-wide analysis of DNA methylation in buccal cells. Genes (Basel) 2014;5:347–65.
- Malina RM, Bouchard C, Bar-Or O. Growth, maturation, and physical activity. 2nd ed. Champaign (IL): Human Kinetics; 2004.
- Schousboe K, Willemsen G, Kyvik KO, Mortensen J, Boomsma DI, Cornes BK, Davis CJ, Fagnani C, Hjelmborg J, Kaprio J, et al. Sex differences in heritability of BMI: a comparative study of results from twin studies in eight countries. Twin Res 2003;6:409–21.
- Rokholm B, Silventoinen K, Angquist L, Skytthe A, Kyvik KO, Sørensen TIA. Increased genetic variance of BMI with a higher prevalence of obesity. PLoS One 2011;6:e20816.
- Rokholm B, Silventoinen K, Tynelius P, Gamborg M, Sørensen TIA, Rasmussen F. Increasing genetic variance of body mass index during the Swedish obesity epidemic. PLoS One 2011;6:e27135.
- 34. Robinson MR, Hemani G, Medina-Gomez C, Mezzavilla M, Esko T, Shakhbazov K, Powell JE, Vinkhuyzen A, Berndt SI, Gustafsson S, et al. Population genetic differentiation of height and body mass index across Europe. Nat Genet 2015;47:1357–62.
- 35. Kirk SF, Penney TL, McHugh TL. Characterizing the obesogenic environment: the state of the evidence with directions for future research. Obes Rev 2010;11:109–17.