1	The significance of micro- and macrovascular biomarkers on cardiovascular outcome in
2	chronic kidney disease – A prospective cohort study
3	
4	Short title: Vascular biomarkers in CKD
5	
6	Orsolya Cseprekál* ^a , József Egresits* ^{a,b} , Ádám Tabák ^a , János Nemcsik ^{c, d} , Zoltán Járai ^e ,
7	Levente Babos ^e , Erzsébet Fodor ^f , Katalin Farkas ^g , Gabriella Godina ^e , Keve I. Kárpáthi ^h ,
8	Lóránd Kerkovits ^f , Adrienn Marton ⁱ , Zsófia Nemcsik-Bencze ^{a,j} , Zsófia Németh ⁱ , László
9	Sallai ^e , István Kiss ^f , András Tislér ^a
10	
11	^a Ist. Department of Internal Medicine, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary
12	^b Department of Internal Medizine II, University Hospital Regensburg, Germany
13	^c Department of Family Medicine, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary
14	^d Department of Emergency Medicine, Uzsoki Hospital, Budapest, Hungary
15	^e Department of Cardiology, St Imre University Teaching Hospital, Budapest, Hungary
16	^f Department of Nephrology and Hypertension, St Imre University Teaching Hospital,
17	Budapest, Hungary
18	^g Angiology Division, St Imre University Teaching Hospital, Budapest, Hungary
19	^h IInd. Department of Internal Medicine, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary
20	ⁱ Nephrology Division, Uzsoki Teaching Hospital, Budapest, Hungary
21	^j Department of Radiology and Oncology, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary
22	
23	*Authors contributed equally to this work
24	
25	Corresponding author:
26	Orsolya Cseprekál MD PhD
27	Ist Department of Internal Medicine, Semmelweis University
28	2/a. Korányi Sándor Str.
29	H-1083 Budapest, Hungary
30	E-mail: cseprekal.orsolya@med.semmelweis-univ.hu
31	Phone/Fax: +36 210 0278 - 51526 / +3613130250
32	Cell: +36 20 663 2174
33	
34	The authors declare no conflict of interest.
35	

1	Word count: 3990
2	Number of tables: 3
3	Number of figures: 0
4	Number of supplementary digital content files: 2
5	References: 35
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	

1 Abstract

Measures of small and large artery dysfunction have not been investigated in a single cohort for the prediction of cardiovascular (CV) events in patients with non-dialysed (ND) chronic kidney disease (CKD). This prospective cohort study aimed to determine whether central pulse wave velocity (cPWV), central pulse pressure (CPP) or microvascular post-occlusive reactive hyperaemia area (PORH_{HA}) independently predict CV events and mortality in CKD-ND.

8 Ninety-four stage 1-5 CKD-ND (65.3±13.1 year; eGFR 35.3(22.8-49.4) ml/min/1.73m²) 9 patients were followed-up for a median of 52(36-65) months and had baseline cPWV, CPP 10 measured by applanation tonometry, and PORH_{HA} by Laser Doppler Flowmetry. Multiple 11 failure time Cox-regression models were used to determine the predictive role of vascular 12 parameters on CV mortality and events.

13 Based on multiple linear regressions baseline age, diabetes, CV disease, and systolic blood pressure (SBP) were independently related to cPWV ($R^2=0.3$), SBP and PORH_{HA} to CPP 14 $(R^2=0.45)$, while CPP was the only parameter independently related to PORH_{HA} ($R^2=0.16$, all 15 p<0.05). During follow up 41 CV events occurred (14 CV deaths). In univariate analyses 16 cPWV (1.07 (1.02-1.13) per m/s), CPP (1.04 (1.01-1.07) per mmHg), and lnPORH_{HA} (0.70 17 (0.58-0.85) per ln(mU*sec)) were all related to the outcome. Baseline diabetes (HR 3.07 18 19 (1.65-5.68)), lnFGF23 (fibroblast growth factor 23; 1.86 (1.13-3.06) per RU/mL) and CPP 20 (1.04 (1.01-1.07) per mmHg) were independent predictors of CV events.

The impaired pulsatile component of large arteries (CPP) independently of other vascular
 markers (cPWV, PORH_{HA}) predicted CV outcomes in CKD-ND. CPP may integrate the
 information provided by cPWV and PORH_{HA}.

- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29

30

31

- 33
- 34
- -
- 35

2 Summary Table

The	significance of micro- and macrovascular biomarkers on cardiovascular
outc	ome in chronic kidney disease –A prospective cohort study
Wha	t is known about topic
_	Non-dialysed chronic kidney disease (CKD-ND) is characterised by large and
	small vessel dysfunction, as assessed by central pulse wave velocity (cPWV),
	central pulse pressure, and post-occlusive reactive hyperaemia (PORH _{HA})
_	The role of these micro-and macrovascular markers in the prediction of
	cardiovascular (CV) outcome has not been previously evaluated in a single
	cohort of CKD-ND.
Wha	t this study adds
_	Among cPWV, CPP and PORH _{HA} , the impaired pulsatile component of central
	arteries (CPP) was the primary predictor of CV outcome.
_	CPP seems to integrate the information provided by cPWV and PORH _{HA} .

1	
2	Keywords:
3	Cardiovascular mortality
4	Central pulse pressure
5	Chronic kidney disease
6	FGF23
7	Post-occlusive reactive hyperaemia
8	Pulse wave velocity
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	

Introduction

1

The risk of cardiovascular (CV) events and all-cause mortality increases with worsening kidney function and it approaches a hundred-fold in end-stage renal disease (ESRD) compared to the general population [1]. Patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) are more likely to die of CV disease before they get to ESRD that cannot be fully explained by decreased glomerular filtration (eGFR) and traditional risk factors. Thus CKD related vascular and metabolic alterations are suspected to explain the additional risk in this population.

9 Renal anaemia, disturbances in mineral metabolism and systemic inflammation are 10 known to be potential non-traditional CV risk factors [2,3,4] and serve as part of the 11 pathophysiological background of accelerated athero- and arteriosclerosis of the large and 12 small arteries in ESRD. Increased central pulse wave velocity (cPWV) and central pulse 13 pressure (CPP) independently predict target organ damage, such as coronary artery disease (CAD), acute coronary syndrome (ACS), cerebrovascular diseases (stroke or transient 14 ischemic attack - TIA), peripheral artery disease (PAD) at a subclinical stage in ESRD [5,6]. 15 16 However, the role of these vascular biomarkers of subclinical organ damage and renal comorbid conditions as risk factors of CV events and death at earlier stages of CKD is 17 uncertain [7]. In fact, the predictive values of increased CPP and cPWV in non-dialysed (ND) 18 19 CKD have been less studied [8,9,10,11,12]. Furthermore, while there is plenty of evidence 20 that detectable microvascular injury - as the other end of the spectrum of vascular dysfunction - begins at an early stage of CKD, it is not known whether this would also be predictive for 21 CV events in CKD. Indeed, only one follow-up study [13] is available in which post-22 occlusive reactive hyperaemia (PORH) measured by Laser Doppler Flowmetry (LDF) was 23 24 associated with the development of CV diseases independent of Framingham and Cardiorisk 25 cardiovascular risk scores. Furthermore, no previous study in CKD-ND considered the 26 predictive roles of cPWV, CPP and PORH in a single cohort.

The aim of our cohort study was therefore to assess the association of micro- and macrovascular biomarkers (cPWV, CPP, PORH_{HA}) with traditional and non-traditional CV risk factors, and to evaluate their independent predictive value for CV events and mortality in "mild-to-severe" CKD-ND cases.

- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35

2

Methods

This was a prospective cohort study of stage 1-5 CKD-ND patients with baseline clinical, biochemical, micro- and macrovascular measurements, and with CV events and mortality as outcome during follow-up.

6 7

Patients

Initially 108 hypertensive CKD-ND stage 1-5 individuals were enrolled with baseline 8 9 clinical biochemical and vascular measurements, however only 103 agreed to participate. Convenience sampling was used with consecutive inclusion of CKD patients presenting at the 10 11 two tertiary care outpatient clinics that were included to our study (Semmelweis University 12 Ist. Department of Internal Medicine Semmelweis University and St Imre University 13 Teaching Hospital, Budapest, Hungary). They were then followed for a median of 52 (36-65) 14 months from 2007 to 2013. Further 9 people were excluded due to missing baseline or follow-15 up data. Finally 94 patients were involved and followed-up. We compared baseline 16 characteristics of participants and non-participants and found no major differences between 17 these groups.

None of the patients were hospitalised or had atrial fibrillation at the time of baseline
 investigations. No other specific inclusion or exclusion criteria were applied.
 Antihypertensive treatment was tailored according to the latest recommendations of the
 European Society of Hypertension for reaching target values [14].

Follow-up data were collected between April and July 2013 by telephone interviews with the patients, their general practitioners or treating physicians and the information gathered were in all cases verified by original chart review. Follow up was censored at the last occurrence of a documented CV event (ACS, heart failure requiring hospitalisation, stroke or TIA, PAD verified by angiography or need for an intervention) or death due to the above CV causes. Laboratory data and vascular biomarkers were not collected during follow-up.

28

29 Power analysis

Based on the observed difference of 7 mmHg central pulse pressure in the Strong Heart Study [15] between participants with and without incident cardiovascular events we expected a difference with similar magnitude in our population. After the enrolment of the first 25 participants, we calculated the standard deviation of central pulse pressure on enrolled population (SD 12 mmHg). Furthermore, based on literature data from similar populations

1 with a median eGFR of 30-44 ml/min/ $1.73m^2$ we expected 11 cardiovascular events per 100 2 person years of follow-up that translates to 44 events during the planned 4-year study. Taken 3 together all these information we needed to enrol 93 participants to have an 80% power to 4 detect a 7 mmHg difference in central pulse pressure between cases and non-cases with an 5 alpha of 0.05. Our observation of a difference of 8 mmHg (47.1 ± 11.7 vs. 55.2± 13.8 mmHg, 6 p=0.004) well corresponds to the power calculations.

7 8

Macrovascular injury and blood pressure measurements

9 Measurements of vascular markers for a given patient (cPWV and CPP or PORH_{HA}) 10 were performed in a random order after one another on the same morning at baseline. 11 Subjects were asked to refrain from smoking on the day of the study and not to consume 12 caffeine-containing drinks at least 4 hours before the start of the measurements, but to take 13 their regular morning medication. Tests were carried out in a temperature-controlled room 14 $(24\pm1^{\circ}C)$ with the subjects in supine position, after a 20 minute rest period [16].

15 cPWV was measured by applanation tonometry (PulsePen device; DiaTecne s.r.l. 16 Milan, Italy) [17] in accordance with the recommendations at that time [16] by capturing 17 sequential recordings of the arterial pressure wave at the carotid and femoral arteries, and by 18 measurement of the distance between the carotid and the femoral sampling sites. Since 19 arguments for the use of 80% of the direct carotid-femoral distance as the most accurate 20 measurement were provided in the latest expert consensus document, our data were calculated 21 accordingly [18]. cPWV was calculated by the PulsePen software as the ratio of the distance 22 and the transit time of the pulse pressure wave along the aorta. Pulse wave amplitude was 23 calibrated to brachial mean and diastolic pressures, measured immediately prior to each 24 sequence of pulse wave capture at the two sites. Mean arterial pressure was calculated by the 25 PulsePen software as diastolic pressure + 0.4 pulse pressure. Recordings with a systolic or 26 diastolic variability of consecutive waveforms above 10% or with the amplitude of the pulse 27 wave signal being less than 80 mV were discarded. All measurements were done in duplicates 28 by J.N, J. E, and Zs.N. and their averages were used in the calculations.

29 30

CPP was measured by the same device and calculated as the difference of the central systolic and diastolic blood pressure values recorded at the carotid sampling site.

All brachial blood pressure measurements throughout the study were performed by the
 validated oscillometric BpTRU device (VSM Meditech, Vancouver, Canada) with four
 sequential measurements manually averaged.

- 34
- 35

2 For Laser Doppler Flowmetry (LDF) measurements, blood pressure and heart rate of the 3 subjects were determined as above. Laser Doppler instrument (Periflux 5001, Stockholm, Sweden, wavelength 780 mm) was used during the study as described previously [19]. In 4 short, during the PORH_{HA} test after the registration of the baseline flow for 60 seconds on the 5 6 volar surface of the left forearm 10 cm below the elbow with a standard LDF probe, brachial 7 arterial occlusion was applied with 40 mmHg suprasystolic pressure by a pneumatic cuff of a sphygmomanometer for 3 minutes to reach the biological zero. After the release of the 8 9 pressure, perfusion (measured as perfusion unit (PU)) rise high and rapidly above the preischemic PU values. The software analysed the data automatically and calculated several 10 11 indices such as the initial baseline value, slope value, peak flow, percent change in perfusion 12 from baseline to maximum values, time to reach the maximum hyperaemia, time to reach the 13 half value after the maximum hyperaemia, and the area of hyperaemia. This latter 14 measurement (abbreviated as: PORH_{HA}, unit: PU*sec – perfusion unit * second) seems to be 15 the most accurate parameter to assess the hyperaemic response, as it includes three variables 16 (speed, intensity, and duration) and this was used in the analyses as representative of the microvascular function [20, 21]. In their study, Stiefel P et al found a "cut-off" PORH_{HA} of 17 865 PU*sec to have an 82% sensitivity and a 97% specificity to distinguish microvascular 18 19 dysfunction of coronary artery disease patients from healthy controls [20].

20

According to previous measurements in our laboratory, the day to day variability of this system was 16-21%, which is comparable to other studies [21]. All PORH_{HA} measurements 21 were performed by J.E. and analysed by J.N. 22

- 23
- 24 Epidemiologic and Laboratory data

25 Baseline data on smoking habits (current), diabetes (DM, any type), hypertension, 26 coronary artery disease (previous acute myocardial infarction or coronary intervention), 27 chronic heart failure (previous diagnosis), Peripheral Artery Disease (PAD; documented by 28 angiography or intervention) and cerebrovascular disease (previous stroke or TIA) were 29 collected by health record review. The Charlson Comorbidity Index was used for overall 30 characterization of co-morbidity of the studied population [22,23].

31 Data on haemoglobin (Hgb), serum calcium (Ca), phosphate (iP), albumin (Alb), 32 parathormon (iPTH), creatinine, C-reactive protein (CRP), serum cholesterol (Chol), 33 triglyceride (Tg), and LDL-cholesterol were evaluated at baseline. Routine blood chemistry 34 measurements were performed by a Hitachi auto analyser. Intact parathyroid hormone 1-84

(iPTH) was determined by immune-chemiluminomtric two-site assay (CIBA-CORNING,
 Frenwald, Germany). Baseline eGFR was calculated using the four-variable Chronic Kidney
 Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation [5]. Circulating concentration of
 fibroblast growth factor 23 (FGF23) was measured using a second-generation C-terminal
 ELISA (Immutopics, San Clemente, CA). Albuminuria was characterised by albumin creatinine ratio (ACR) measured from first morning spot urine according to KDIGO
 recommendations [5].

8 9

Statistical analysis

All data analysis was performed by STATA (StataCorp Lp. Texas USA) and Statistica version 11.0 (StatSoft Inc. Tulsa USA). Data are given as mean and standard deviation, unless indicated otherwise. Values are presented as median and interquartile range when data did not display a normal distribution and they were transformed logarithmically for further analyses. In the group analysis of anthropometric and clinical parameters, Student's t-test for independent samples or Mann Whitney U test was used as appropriate.

16 108 people were invited to participate; however only 103 agreed it and a further 9 17 people were excluded due to missing baseline or follow-up data. We compared baseline 18 characteristics of participants and non-participants and found no major differences between 19 these groups. As the number of participants with missing data was relatively low, we used 20 complete-case analysis. No multiple imputations were performed due to the limited sample 21 size.

In the baseline cross sectional analyses univariate and multivariate (stepwise, ridge) linear regressions were performed to determine the main associations of the macro- and microvascular parameters (cPWV, CPP, PORH_{HA}). The predictor variables considered were the ones listed in table 1. The variables that showed a significant association with the given dependent variable in univariate models were considered in the final multivariate model. (Table 2).

To assess the predictive values of cPWV, CPP and PORH_{HA} for CV events and CV mortality, multiple failure times Cox proportional hazard regression analyses were used with conditional risk set modelling. This method accommodates for the fact that one patient may have more than one outcome event. We first performed univariate analyses considering variables listed in table 1. Confounding was addressed in multiple linear and multivariate Cox-regression models with adjustment for potential clinical predictors. Final models were

- 34
- 35

3

selected using backward elimination to reach the most parsimonious models. (Table 3 and Online Data Supplement Table 1.)

Finally, to determine the sensitivity of our data we repeated the analyses with the more usual method of censoring patients at the first occurrence of a CV event (a total of 31 events). 4 Our main finding, i.e. CPP is the only vascular marker that significantly and independently 5 predicts outcome has not been altered by this analysis. (Online Data Supplement Table 2.) 6

A "p" value with a two-sided alpha of 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Hazard ratios are presented with their corresponding 95% confidence interval.

9

7

8

Ethics 10

11 CKD-ND patients in stages 1-5, who gave written, informed consent for participation, 12 were included. The study protocol was approved by the Local Ethical Committee of the two 13 investigation sites and it was in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

- 14
- 15

Results 16

17

Descriptive statistical analysis at baseline

Table 1 displays baseline characteristics, concomitant diseases, traditional and non-18 19 traditional risk factors, metabolic and vascular parameters of our patients and divided into two subgroups by eGFR less than, or equal to and higher than 30 ml/min/1.73 m². 20

The causes of kidney disease were heterogeneous (number of cases in parentheses): 21 glomerulonephritis (14), diabetic nephropathy (27), hypertensive nephrosclerosis (14), 22 chronic tubulointerstitial nephritis (17), vascular cause (4), polycystic kidney disease (7), 23 24 tumor (1) and unknown (10). There were two normotensive subjects with CKD with 25 polycystic kidney disease and glomerulonephritis.

26 All but one patient received antihypertensive medication: (case numbers in parentheses) 27 ACE inhibitors (84), calcium channel blockers (48), diuretics (68), β -receptor blockers (52), 28 α -receptor blockers (15), long-acting nitrate (14) and centrally-acting antihypertensive drugs 29 (13), either alone or in combination. Acetylsalicylate platelet aggregation inhibitor was taken 30 by 36 patients, while 15 individuals took clopidogrel. Thirty five patients required erythropoietin, 34 received active vitamin-D, and 8 needed calcium carbonate phosphate 31 32 binder therapy.

- 33
- 34
- 35

As expected, the group with an eGFR below 30 ml/min/1.73 m² had a worse metabolic status as indicated by their elevated iP, iPTH, FGF23, CRP, ACR and lower haemoglobin values.

Baseline cPWV, CPP, and PORH_{HA} values were 12.5±4.5m/s, 52±13mmHg, 593
PU*sec (280-1046), respectively, with no significant differences between the less and more
advanced CKD groups.

At baseline there were no differences between the parameters of micro- and macrovascular damage according to the use of any antihypertensive, platelet aggregation inhibitor, erythropoietin or active vitamin-D therapy. Nearly half of our population had DM at baseline. The diabetic group had significantly higher cPWV (14.2 ± 4.4 vs. 11.3 ± 4.2 p=0.002), lower PORH_{HA} (421 (158-999) vs. 696 (386-1139) p=0.03), but CPP was not significantly different between the groups (54 ± 13 vs. 50 ± 12 p=0.08).

13

14 Cross sectional analysis at baseline

Baseline associations of the vascular biomarkers (i.e. cPWV, CPP, lnPORH_{HA}) with other baseline clinical and biochemical parameters, as assessed by uni- and multivariate linear regressions are displayed in table 2. Age, diabetes, previous CV disease, and systolic blood pressure (SBP) were related to cPWV ($R^2=0.3$). CPP was associated with SBP and PORH_{HA} ($R^2=0.45$). CPP was the only parameter significantly related to PORH_{HA} ($R^2=0.16$) in the multivariate model.

21

22 Prospective data analysis

During a median of 52 (36-65) months of observation time no patients were lost to 23 24 follow-up. In 31 participants, 41 CV events were recorded and used in the analyses. It 25 represents an incidence rate of 9.8 events per 100 patient years. The distribution of the 26 primary events: Fourteen patients died of CV causes (acute coronary syndrome 4, stroke 2, heart failure 7, and peripheral artery disease 1), and there were 27 additional CV events (acute 27 28 coronary syndrome 4, stroke 6, heart failure 11, peripheral artery disease 6). 10 patients had a 29 second CV event, including 7 CV deaths. All 41 primary and secondary events were used as 30 hard end points in the multiple failure time Cox regression analysis.

In univariate Cox regression analyses all three studied vascular parameters were significantly associated with the outcome, hazard ratios for cPWV were 1.07 (1.02-1.13), for CPP 1.04 (1.01-1.07), and for PORH_{HA} 0.70 (0.58-0.85), respectively.

34

As a result of the multivariate backward Cox regression model building, that included the other significant univariate predictors besides the three studied vascular parameters, only the presence of DM (3.06 (1.65-5.67)), lnFGF23 (1.86 (1.13-3.06)) and CPP (1.04 (1.01-1.07)) remained independent predictors of CV mortality and events (Table 3 and online data supplement Table 1) while cPWV and lnPORH_{HA} have lost their significant predictive value for CV events.

To determine the sensitivity of our data we repeated all these analyses with censoring patients at the first occurrence of a CV event (a total of 31 events). In this analysis again CPP remained the only significant vascular predictor of the outcome while cPWV as well as lnPORH_{HA} lost their initial univariate significance in predicting CV outcome. (Online data supplement Table 2.)

12

13 Discussion

14

The predictive role of macro- and microvascular biomarkers (cPWV, CPP, PORH_{HA}) on 15 CV outcome in CKD-ND was investigated in our prospective cohort study, an analysis that 16 has never been previously performed in a single cohort. Our main findings demonstrate that 17 while there is an association between the markers of micro- and macrovascular injury and CV 18 19 outcome, CPP seems to be the one that may best determine CV morbidity and mortality. Additionally, the presence of diabetes and higher FGF23, an early marker of disturbed 20 mineral metabolism and vascular calcification were also found to be independent predictors of 21 CV outcome in CKD-ND. 22

Our population can be classified as high-risk for CV diseases, as they had an event rate 23 24 of 9.8 per 100 patient years during follow up. This cannot be explained solely by the presence 25 of Framingham risk factors [24]. Indeed, the measured cPWV (12.5±4.5m/s) and CPP (52±13mmHg) values of our patients exceeded the "cut-off" values (PWV >10 m/sec and 26 27 CPP >50 mmHg, respectively) recommended by the ESH guideline and the Strong Heart 28 Study [17,25] may in part explain this higher event rate. Our patients with an eGFR of less than 30 ml/min/1.73 m² tended to have more baseline CV diseases, such as coronary, 29 30 cerebrovascular and peripheral artery disease, which likely further increased their risk of future CV events. Thus, among our patients with worsening kidney function, an increased 31 32 number of comorbidities could explain the rate of events that exceeds the 3 events per 100 33 patient year reported by Baumann et al. [11] and the 5.13 events per 100 patient year in Hoorn 34 study [26]. While in the last decade cPWV has been proven to be an independent risk factor

1 of CV risk in the general and ESRD population [2,3,27] only a limited number of studies had 2 been performed with this vascular parameter in CKD-ND. In fact, only the above two 3 prospective cohort studies investigated cPWV in a CKD-ND population. In the first study cPWV was found to predict all-cause mortality, while in the latter study cPWV was related to 4 cardiovascular events after 7.6 years of follow-up [11,26]. Other recent studies demonstrated 5 that decreased arterial elasticity is related to CKD progression; long-term CV outcomes have 6 7 not been reported. [28,29] There is one additional prospective cohort study by Quiroga et al [12], who found male gender, diabetes, kidney disease progression and baseline CV disease to 8 9 be predictors of all-cause mortality in their Spanish cohort. It is important to note, however, that central arterial elasticity parameters, such as CPP were not examined in their study. As 10 11 for the role of CPP in CKD-ND, only the cross sectional CRIC study examined and found 12 CPP as being superior over peripheral pulse pressure to quantify the risk of CV disease and 13 eGFR impairment [9].

Microvascular injury measured by LDF has not been extensively studied prospectively; Rossi et al. [30] in their cross sectional analysis found PORH_{HA} values being an incremental determinant of atherosclerosis besides brachial ankle index in type 1 diabetes. The only prospective study performed among ESRD patients concluded that PORH_{HA} was a determinant of coronary artery disease and all-cause mortality [13].

Our work is unique in that it is the first prospective study to investigate the combined effects of micro- and macrovascular markers, their relation to each other, and their independent effects on hard CV end points in a single cohort of CKD-ND patients, never previously performed in this population.

Our cross sectional analysis suggests that CPP might represent an early functional sign 23 24 of vascular injury, to which none of the metabolic markers (e.g. eGFR, anaemia, disturbed 25 mineral metabolism) of CKD were related. We hypothesize that early stages of athero- and 26 arteriosclerosis could lead to microstructural deterioration of central and peripheral arteries 27 that lead to early hemodynamic dysfunction in the CKD environment. Early dysfunction of 28 large conduit arteries (characterized by increased cPWV) may have a backward effect leading 29 to left ventricular hypertrophy and also increase CPP. At the same time early small vessel 30 dysfunction (characterised by decreased PORH) may increase wave reflections, contributing 31 to the high pulsatile component of the aortic pressure (CPP) that directly damages target 32 organs such as the heart, brain and kidneys [31]. Given the above physiological evidence, it 33 seems reasonable to hypothesize that increased CPP could be an integrative marker of 34 preclinical early target organ damage indicating both micro- and macrovascular injury [32].

1 Beyond CKD, traditional risk factors, such as diabetes also lead to a significantly worse 2 metabolic state, hence accelerated athero- and arteriosclerosis. Thus small and large arterial 3 injuries due to these risk factors might develop simultaneously which can be extensively described by CPP as an integrative marker of CV outcome in CKD-ND. While the presence 4 of diabetes was expected to determine the outcome [33], in our study, it was also 5 independently associated with CPP, rather than cPWV suggesting that CPP better described 6 7 the clinically relevant vascular changes that occur in CKD. An explanation as for why PORH_{HA} was not an independent predictor of outcome could be that the target organ damage 8 9 characterized by increased CPP can be considered a more robust factor than a sole 10 microvascular injury or structural central arterial stiffness marker itself. In summary, our 11 prospective data seem to support the notion that increased CPP is indeed an early integrative 12 marker of large and small vessel injury, with clinically relevant consequences in CKD-ND.

The plasma level of the new early biomarker of deranged mineral metabolism and vascular calcification, FGF23, rises already at initial stages of CKD and it has been shown to relate to several target organ damages leading to cardiovascular death i.e. arterial stiffness or endothelial dysfunction. Our study confirms the role of FGF23 as an independent predictor of CV death and events as summarised recently by Xiao Y. et al. in their meta-analysis [34].

18 In conclusion, the impaired pulsatile component of the central arteries characterized by 19 increased CPP, as an integrative marker of micro- and macrovascular dysfunction proved to be the sole, independent and robust vascular predictor of CV outcome in our CKD-ND 20 21 population. CPP seems to integrate the information provided by cPWV and PORH_{HA} Additionally, the presence of diabetes deserves special attention considering its continued 22 predictive role for higher rate of CV events. FGF23 may also indicate increased CV risk and 23 24 offer a potential future screening tool in risk stratification methods. Whether CPP can 25 specifically be influenced by targeted vascular or metabolic therapy to alter small and large 26 vascular function and whether all of that would have an impact on long-term CV outcome are 27 to be seen in future diagnostic and therapeutic trials.

- 28
- 29

30 Limitations

31

There are several limitations of our study to be acknowledged. While unique in its objectives, our study evaluated only a relatively small number of cases, that makes it difficult to homogenise the cohort, smooth the variation of group composition in each CKD stages,

1	and generalize our conclusions. Furthermore, as we had small patient numbers our confidence
2	intervals are rather wide, and we hope that other ongoing cohort studies will support our
3	findings on the fundamental role of CPP as an integrative marker of CV risk in this
4	hypertensive CKD ND population. It is important to note that beside diabetes and FGF23,
5	only CPP was predictive for the outcome, suggesting its robustness despite the small patient
6	numbers. Nonetheless, further studies are needed to confirm the reliability of CPP as a clinical
7	marker of CV risk stratification. We acknowledge that this was a sample of patients of two
8	tertiary care nephrology clinics, and therefore selection bias that may limit generalizability
9	(i.e. high baseline CV disease risk burden of our patients) cannot be ruled out. We realize that
10	the method we used to assess microvascular reactivity with LDF and $PORH_{HA}$ is not entirely
11	established. We, therefore, are awaiting the results of further studies with this method [35].
12	
13	
14	
15	Acknowledgements
16	This study was supported by research grants from the Hungarian Kidney Foundation,
17	Hungarian Society of Hypertension, and Hungarian Society of Nephrology
18	
19	There is no conflict of interest to declare
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	

2 **References**

3 1 Schriffin EL, Lipman ML, Mann JF. Chronic kidney disease: effects on the cardiovascular 4 system. Circulation 2007;116:85-97 5 2 Foley RN, Parfrey PS, Sarnak MJ. Clinical epidemiology of cardiovascular disease in 6 chronic renal failure. Am J Kidney Dis 1998;32:S112-S119 7 3 Eknoyan G, Lameire N, Eckardt KU, Kasiske BL, Wheeler DC, Abboud OI et al. Kidney 8 Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD Work Group. KDIGO 2012 clinical 9 practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease. *Kidney* 10 Int 2013 (suppl 3): S1–S150 11 4 de Jager DJ, Vervloet MG, Dekker FW. Noncardiovascular mortality in CKD: an 12 epidemiological perspective. Nature Reviews Nephrology 2014;10(4):208-214 13 5 Safar ME, Blacher J, Pannier B, Guerin AP, Marchais SJ, Guyonvarc'h PM et al. 14 Central pulse pressure and mortality in end-stage renal disease. Hypertension. 15 2002;39(3):735-738 16 6 Blacher J, Guerin AP, Pannier B, Marchais SJ, Safar ME, London GM. Impact of aortic 17 stiffness on survival in end-stage renal disease. Circulation 1999;99(18):2434-2439 18 7 Sakuragi S, Abhayaratna WP. Arterial stiffness: methods of measurement, physiologic 19 determinants and prediction of cardiovascular outcomes. Int J Cardiol 2010;21;138(2):112-20 128 21 8 Sengstock D, Sands RL, Gillespie BW, Zhang X, Kiser M, Eisele G. Dominance of 22 traditional cardiovascular risk factors over renal function in predicting arterial stiffness in 23 subjects with chronic kidney disease. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2010;25(3):853-861 24 9 Townsend RR, Chirinos JA, Parsa A, Weir MA, Sozio SM, Lash JP et al. Chronic Renal 25 Insufficiency Cohort Investigators. Central pulse pressure in chronic kidney disease: a 26 chronic renal insufficiency cohort ancillary study. Hypertension 2010;56(3):518-524 27 10 Ben-Shlomo Y, Spears M, Boustred C, May M, Anderson SG, Benjamin EJ et al. Aortic 28 pulse wave velocity improves cardiovascular event prediction: an individual participant 29 meta-analysis of prospective observational data from 17,635 subjects. J Am Coll Cardiol 30 2014; 63(7):636-646 31 11 Baumann M, Wassertheurer S, Suttmann Y, Burkhardt K, Heemann U. Aortic Pulse wave 32 velocity predicts mortality in chronic kidney disease stages 2-4. J of Hypertens

35

33

34

2014;32:899-903

12	Quiroga B, Verdalles Ú, Reque J, García de Vinuesa S, Goicoechea M, Luño J
	Cardiovascular events and mortality in chronic kidney disease (stages I-IV). Nefrologia
	2013;33(4):539-545
13	Kruger A, Stewart J, Sahityani R, O'Riordan E, Thompson C, Adler S et al. Laser Dopple
	flowmetry detection of endothelial dysfunction in endstage renal disease patients
	correlation with cardiovascular risk. Kidney Int 2006;70:157-164
14	Mancia G, De Backer G, Dominiczak A, Cifkova R, Fagard R, Germano G et al. The task
	force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of
	Hypertension, The task force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European
	Society of Cardiology. 2007 Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: The
	Task Force for the Management of Arterial Hypertension of the European Society of
	Hypertension (ESH) and of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J
1.7	2007(12):1462-1536.
15	Roman MJ, Devereux RB, Kizer JR, Lee ET, Galloway JM, Ali T et al. Central Pressur
	More Strongly Relates to Vascular Disease and Outcome Than Does Brachial Pressure Th
16	Strong Heart Study. Hypertension 2007;50:197-205
10	A bridged version of the expert concensus document on arterial stiffness. Artery Be
	2007.1.2 12
17	Salvi P. Lio G. Labat C. Ricci F. Pannier B. Benetos A. Validation of a new non-invasiv
1/	portable tonometer for determining arterial pressure wave and pulse wave velocity: the
	PulsePen device I Hypertens 2004: 22(12):2285–2293
18	Van Bortel I.M. Laurent S. Boutouvrie P. Chowienczyk P. Cruickshank IK. De Backer T.
10	et al European Society of Hypertension Working Group on Vascular Structure and
	Function: European Network for Noninvasive Investigation of Large Arteries. Expert
	consensus document on the measurement of aortic stiffness in daily practice using carotid
	femoral pulse wave velocity. J Hypertens 2012:30(3):445-448
19	Farkas K, Nemcsik J, Kolossváry E, Járai Z, Nádory E, Farsang C et al. Impairment
	skin microvascular reactivity in hypertension and uraemia Nephrol Dial Transpla
	2005;20(9):1821-1827
20	Stiefel P, Moreno-Luna R, Vallejo-Vaz AJ, Beltrán LM, Costa A, Gómez L et al. Whic
	parameter is better to define endothelial dysfunction in a test of postocclusive hyperem

21	Kubli S, Waeber B, Dalle-Ave A, Feihl F. Reproducibility of laser Doppler imaging of
	skin blood flow as a tool to assess endothelial function. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol
	2000;36(5):640-648
22 I	Prasad N, Sinha A. Clinical Queries: Malnutrition and co-morbidity in diabetic kidney
Ċ	lisease patients. Nephrology 2012;1: 138–143
23 H	Huang Y, Gou R, Diao Y, Yin Q, Fan W, Liang Y et al. Charlson comorbidity index helps
p	predict the risk of mortality for patients with type 2 diabetic nephropathy. J Zhejiang Univ-
S	Sci B (Biomed & Biotechnol) 2014; 15(1):58-66
4	Lieb W, Larson MG, Benjamin EJ, Yin X, Tofler GH, Selhub J et al. Multimarker
а	approach to evaluate correlates of vascular stiffness: the Framingham Heart Study.
(Circulation 2009;119(1):37-43
25]	Roman MJ, Devereux RB, Krzer JR, Okin PM, Lee ET, Wang WN et al. High central
ŗ	pulse pressure is independently associated with adverse cardiovascular outcome: the strong
h	eart study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;54:1730-1734
6	van Sloten TT, Schram MT, van den Hurk K, Dekker JM, Nijpels G, Henry RM et al.
Ι	Local stiffness of the carotid and femoral artery is associated with incident cardiovascular
e	events and all-cause mortality: the Hoorn study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;63(17):1739-47
27 1	Vlachopoulos C, Aznaouridis K, Stefanidis C. Prediction of cardiovascular events and all-
С	cause mortality with arterial stiffness A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Coll
(Cardiol 2010; 30;55(13):1318-1327
28 7	Taal MW, Sigrist MK, Fakis A, Fluck RJ, McIntyre CW. Markers of arterial stiffness are
r	isk factors for progression to end stage renal disease among patinets with chronic kidney
Ċ	lisease stage 4 and 5. Nephron Clin Prac 2007;107:c177-c181
29 I	Briet M, Collin C, Karras A, Laurent S, Bozec E, Jacquot C et al. Nephrotest Study Group
A	Arterial remodeling associates with CKD progression. J Am Soc Nephrol 2011;22(5):967-
9	074
30	Rossi M, Matteucci E, Pesce M, Consani C, Franzoni F, Santoro Get al. Peripheral
r	nicrovascular dysfunction as an independent predictor of atherosclerotic damage in type 1
Ċ	liabetes patients: a preliminary study. Clin Hemorheol Microcirc 2013;54(4):381-391
31 I	Feihl F. Liaudet L, Waeber B. The macrocirculation and microcirculation of hypertension.
	Curr Hypertens Rep 2009;11(3):182-189
32 \$	Safar ME, Jankowski P. Central blood pressure and hypertension: role in cardiovascular
r	isk assessment. Clinical Science 2009;116:273-282.

3	3 Cruickshank K, Riste L, Anderson SG, Wright JS, Dunn G, Gosling RG. Aortic pulse-
	wave velocity and its relationship to mortality in diabetes and glucose intolerance: an
	integrated index of vascular function? Circulation 2002;106(16):2085-2090
3	4 Xiao Y, Luo X, Huang W, Zhang J, Peng C. FGF 23 and risk of all-cause mortality and
	cardiovascular events: A meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Int J Cardiol 2014; 174(3):824-828
3	5 Turner J Belch IJF Khan F Current concepts in assessment of microvascular endothelial
5	function using laser doppler imaging and iontophoresis Trends Cardiovasc Med
	2008-18-109-116
	2000,10,109 110.

	СКІ	All) patients	eGfr ≥ 30ml/min/1.73 m ²		eGfr < 30ml/min/1.73 m ²	
n (%)		94		56		38
Males (%)	4	5 (48)	3	2 (57)	1	3 (34)
Smoke (current) (%)		9 (10)		4 (7)	:	5 (13)
Diabetes mellitus (%)	4	1 (44)	2	3 (41)	1	8 (47)
Cardiovascular disease (%)	6	61 (65)	3	3 (59)	28 (74)	
Coronary artery disease (%)	1	3 (14)		5 (9)	8	8 (21)
Chronic heart failure (%)	1	8 (19)	8	8 (14)	1	0 (26)
Cerebrovascular disease (%)	2	3 (24)	9	9 (16)	1	4 (37)
Peripheral artery disease (%)	5	0 (53)	2	8 (50)	2	2 (58)
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Age (years)	65.3	13.1	64.1	14.6	67.1	10.6
BMI (kg/m ²)	28.16	4.83	28	4.29	28.39	5.58
CCI* #	4	(1-6)	3	(1-5)	5	(2-7)
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²) * #	35.3	(22.8-49.4)	44.9	(37.7-55.3)	21.9	(15.5-26.3)
Hgb (g/L) #	126	14	130	14	122	12
Chol (mmol/L)	4.89	1.1	4.88	1.03	4.91	1.21
Tg (mmol/L)	2.15	1.3	2.19	1.44	2.09	1.07
LDL (mmol/L)	2.55	0.82	2.57	0.85	2.51	0.8
Ca (mmol/L)	2.36	0.12	2.37	0.1	2.35	0.15
iP (mmol/L) #	1.22	0.24	1.15	0.2	1.33	0.25
FGF23 (RU/mL) * #	29.2	(20.9-55.3)	23.63	(19.3-34.8)	47.5	(28.3-80.5)
iPTH (pg/mL) * #	56	(38-104)	48	(28-65)	100	(50-180)
Alb (g/L)	45.3	4.2	45.4	4.4	45.1	4.0
CRP (mg/L) * #	2.2	(0.9-4)	1.6	(0.6-3.1)	3.1	(1.1-6)
ACR (mg/mmol) * #	8.43	(1.84-58.71)	5.02	(0.98-31.29)	20.49	(4.89-97.71)
SBP (mmHg)	135	16	135	15	134	17
DBP (mmHg)	73 10		74	9	72	11
HR (1/min)	67	12	65	10	69	14
cPWV (m/s)	12.52	4.47	12.58	4.69	12.43	4.22
CPP (mmHg)	52	13	52	13	52	12
PORH _{HA} (PU*sec)*	593	(280-1046)	523	(248-1007)	846	(370-1116)

Table 1. Baseline data of the participants

* Data with non-normal distribution are given as median and interquartile range.

p< 0.05 difference between groups of eGFR \leq and \geq 30 ml/min/1.73 m2

No missing data.

Abbreviations: n -case number, SD - standard deviation, CCI - Charlson Comorbidity Index,

BMI - body mass index, eGFR - estimated glomerular filtration rate (EPI),

Hgb - hemoglobin, Chol-cholesterol, Tg - triglyreride, LDL - low density lipoprotein,

Ca - serum calcium, iP - serum inorganic phosphate,

ACR - albumin creatinin ratio, SBP - peripheral systolic

blood pressure, DBP - peripheral diastolic blood pressure, HR - heart rate,

cPWV - central pulse wave velocity, CPP - central pulse pressure,

PORHHA - post occlusive reactive hyperaemia area, PU-perfusion unit

Cardiovascular disease is defined as a documented baseline history of coronary artery disease, chronic heart failure, cerebrovascular or peripheral vascular disease.

Table 2. Baseline associations of the vascular biomarkers

- Uni- and multivariate linear regression models

Univariate models

	c PWV (m/s)			CPP (mmHg)			InPORH _{HA}		
	ß	r^2	р	ß	r^2	р	ß	r^2	р
Age (years)	0.38	0.15	<0.001	0.11	0.01	0.31	0.16	0.03	0.12
CVD	0.45	0.21	<0.001	0.18	0.03	0.09	-0.04	0.00	0.68
DM	0.32	0.10	0.002	0.18	0.03	0.08	-0.25	0.06	0.02
BMI (kg/m ²)	-0.11	0.01	0.3	0.14	0.02	0.18	-0.08	0.01	0.47
ln eGFR	-0.18	0.01	0.3	-0.12	0.01	0.38	0.03	0.00	0.88
Hgb (g/L)	-0.14	0.02	0.19	-0.1	0.01	0.33	0.08	0.01	0.45
Chol (mmol/L)	-0.01	0.00	0.89	0.1	0.01	0.36	-0.07	0.00	0.52
Tg (mmol/L)	-0.15	0.02	0.15	0.02	0.00	0.84	-0.003	0.00	0.97
LDL (mmol/L)	0.11	0.01	0.32	0.14	0.02	0.19	-0.04	0.00	0.73
Ca (mmol/L)	-0.14	0.02	0.19	-0.01	0.00	0.96	-0.17	0.03	0.11
iP (mmol/L)	-0.12	0.02	0.24	0.07	0.00	0.52	-0.08	0.01	0.46
ln FGF23	-0.001	0.00	0.99	0.03	0.00	0.78	0.006	0.00	0.95
ln iPTH	0.14	0.02	0.19	0.04	0.00	0.69	0.15	0.02	0.16
Alb (g/L)	-0.09	0.01	0.39	0.02	0.00	0.86	-0.06	0.00	0.6
ln CRP	-0.03	0.00	0.59	-0.16	0.01	0.39	0.08	0.01	0.3
ln ACR	0.02	0.00	0.83	0.07	0.00	0.51	-0.15	0.02	0.15
SBP (mmHg)	0.32	0.10	0.002	0.65	0.42	<0.001	-0.21	0.05	0.04
DBP (mmHg)	0.01	0.00	0.93	0.19	0.04	0.07	0.14	0.02	0.19
HR (1/min)	0.07	0.00	0.52	-0.13	0.02	0.24	-0.09	0.01	0.42
cPWV (m/s)	1			0.29	0.09	0.005	-0.15	0.02	0.15
CPP (mmHg)	0.29	0.09	0.005	1			-0.41	0.17	<0.001
In PORH _{HA}	-0.15	0.02	0.15	-0.41	0.17	<0.001	1		

Multivariate models

	cPWV (m/s)			CPP (mmHg)			ln PORH _{HA}		
	ß	SE	р	ß	SE	р	ß	SE	р
Age (years)	0.25	0.096	0.01		NA			NA	
CVD	0.22	0.099	0.03		NA			NA	
DM	0.19	0.089	0.04		NA		-0.17	0.093	0.07
CPP (mmHg)	0.03	0.106	0.77		NA		-0.37	0.115	0.002
SBP (mmHg)	0.25	0.105	0.02	0.51	0.079	<0.001	0.04	0.114	0.76
cPWV (m/s)		NA		0.08	0.079	0.29		NA	
In PORH _{HA}		NA		-0.27	0.077	0.001		NA	
	Adj.R ²	SEE	р	Adj.R ²	SEE	р	Adj.R ²	SEE	р
	0.30	3.739	<0.001	0.44	9.416	<0.001	0.16	1.048	<0.001

Abbreviations: NA-not analysed, ß –regression coefficient, p-level of significance, SE - standard error of ß, SEE - standard error of estimate, BMI - body mass index, In - natural based logarithm, DM - diabetes mellitus, CVD - cardiovascular disease (coronary artery disease+chronic heart failure+peripheral artery disease+cerebrovascular disease), eGFR - estimated glomerular filtration rate, Hgb - hemoglobin, Chol-cholesterol, Tg - triglyreride, LDL – low density lipoprotein, Ca - serum calcium, iP – serum inorganic phosphate, ACR - albumin creatinin ratio, SBP - peripheral systolic blood pressure, DBP - peripheral diastolic blood pressure, HR - heart rate, cPWV - central pulse wave velocity, CPP - central pulse pressure, PORHHA - post occlusive reactive hyperaemia area

Table 3. Uni- and multivariate multiple failure timeCox-proportional hazards regression analysis of cardiovascularmorbidity and mortality risk predictors

	Hazard Ratio	95% confid	Р	
Age (years)	1.01	0.99	1.04	0.2
Gender (male)	0.88	0.48	1.63	0.69
Smoking	0.84	0.31	2.31	0.74
DM	3.24	1.7	6.1	0.0001
CVD	2.65	1.11	6.34	0.03
BMI (kg/m2)	1.06	0.99	1.12	0.06
CCI	1.26	1.11	1.44	0.0001
ln eGFR	0.41	0.23	0.73	0.003
Hgb (g/L)	0.98	0.97	1.01	0.19
Chol (mmol/L)	0.88	0.72	1.08	0.23
Tg (mmol/L)	0.98	0.81	1.18	0.87
LDL (mmol/L)	0.94	0.69	1.27	0.69
Ca (mmol/L)	1.91	0.1	35.21	0.66
iP (mmol/L)	1.37	0.42	4.42	0.6
Alb (g/L)	1.03	0.94 1.11		0.5
ln CRP	1.31	0.80 2.16		0.28
ln FGF23	1.75	1.1	2.77	0.02
ln iPTH	1.31	0.90	1.89	0.16
ln ACR	1.04	0.89	1.21	0.64
SBP (mmHg)	1.02	1	1.04	0.12
DBP (mmHg)	0.99	0.96	1.03	0.97
HR (1/min)	1.02	1	1.04	0.13
cPWV(m/s)	1.07	1.02	1.13	0.004
CPP (mmHg)	1.04	1.01	1.07	0.005
In PORH _{HA}	0.7	0.58	0.85	0.0001

Univariate model

Final multivariate model

	Hazard Ratio	CI 95%		P=
DM	3.06	1.65	5.67	0.0001
In FGF23	1.86	1.13	3.06	0.01
CPP (mmHg)	1.04	1.01	1.07	0.005

Abbreviations: CI - confidence interval, BMI - body mass index, ln - natural based logarithm, CCI -Charlson comorbidity index, DM - diabetes mellitus, CVD - cardiovascular disease (coronary artery disease+chronic heart failure+peripheral artery disease+cerebrovascular disease), eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate (EPI), Hgb - hemoglobin, Chol-cholesterol, Tg - triglyreride, LDL – low density lipoprotein, Ca - serum calcium, iP - serum inorganic phosphate, ACR albumin creatinin ratio, SBP - peripheral systolic blood pressure, DBP - peripheral diastolic blood pressure, HR - heart rate, cPWV - central pulse wave velocity, CPP - central pulse pressure, PORHHA - post occlusive reactive hyperaemia area