

W. B. HENRY

Notes on Jannes and Jambres (P. Chester Beatty XVI)

aus: Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik 198 (2016) 59-67

© Dr. Rudolf Habelt GmbH, Bonn

NOTES ON JANNES AND JAMBRES (P. CHESTER BEATTY XVI)¹

The *editio princeps* of P. Chester Beatty XVI, our main source for the book, is due to A. Pietersma (*Apocryphon*). Further fragments of the text have been published by G. Schmelz in *Pap. Congr. XXII* (2001) 1199–1212 (P. Mich. inv. 4925 and P. Heid. inv. G. 1016), and by Pietersma himself in Fragments (P. Vindob. inv. G 180 v. and 28249 v.).² Hirschberger gives in an appendix (229–65) an edition and translation including all the known text except the fragments published by Pietersma in the same year, with some worthwhile new supplements;³ and a complete translation into German is included in Pietersma's *Jannes und Jambres* (JSHRZ NF II.4; 2013). The publication of the fragments of an Ethiopic translation recently identified by T. Erho is eagerly awaited.⁴ In the meantime, I attempt in the notes that follow to contribute to the establishment of the text of P. Chester Beatty XVI. The plates in the *editio princeps* include a complete reproduction of the papyrus; the photographs published on the website of the Center for the Study of New Testament Manuscripts (http://csntm.org/Manuscript/View/BP_XVI) have also been helpful. My lemmata are taken from the *editio princeps*, and I have assumed that readers will have a copy of this to refer to.

 $1ab \to 4 (p. 97)$

.....] ῷν ευνγράφος τοῦ βαει[λ]έως Φα[ραώ

In place of $cvv\gamma\rho\alpha\phi oc$, I read $c\dot{v}v\tau\rho\phi\phi oc$, 'intimate friend'. A trace of the crossbar of τ is visible to the left of the upright; following ρ , σ is closed at the top, with no connection to ϕ . Cf. BDAG s.v. for parallels and references to secondary literature.

1c+↓15–19 (p. 113)

15 παρή]γγιλεν δὲ ὁ Ἰάννης πᾶςιν τ[οῖς τεχ]νίτες οἰκοδόμοις καὶ ἀρχ[ι]τέκτοcιν π]εριτιχῖν τὸν παράδιςον καὶ [c]κοặîν αὐτ]ὸν ἄφηκεν αὐ[τοὺς _____] ____]ατηρ αὐτῶν [

At the end of line 17 and the beginning of line 18, I read and supply $[oi]\kappa o\delta ol[\mu\eta\tau] \delta v \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \kappa \epsilon v \alpha \dot{v}[\tau \delta v, \cdot and when it had been built he gave it up (to ...)'. The sequence <math>\kappa o\delta o$ is written as in line 16. The new reading

¹ I am grateful to Albert Pietersma for his comments, and to Cornelia Römer for editorial suggestions. The following abbreviations may be noted:

Hirschberger	M. Hirschberger, Die Magier des Pharao – Das Buch der Worte von Jannes und Jambres in seinem Kontext, in ead. (ed.), Jüdisch-hellenistische Literatur in ihrem interkulturellen Kontext (2012)
	213–65.
Maraval	P. Maraval, Fragments grecs du Livre de Jannès et Jambré (Pap. Vindob. 29456 et 29828 Verso), ZPE 25 (1977) 199–207.
Oellacher	H. Oellacher, Papyrus- und Pergamentfragmente aus Wiener und Münchner Beständen, in <i>Miscellanea Giovanni Galbiati</i> II (Fontes Ambrosiani 26; 1951) 179–88.
Pietersma, Apocryphon	A. Pietersma, The Apocryphon of Jannes and Jambres the Magicians: P. Chester Beatty XVI (with New Editions of Papyrus Vindobonensis Greek inv. 29456 + 29828 verso and British Library Cotton Tiberius B. v f. 87) (RGRW 119; 1994).
Pietersma, Fragments	A. Pietersma, Two More Fragments of the Vienna Jannes and Jambres, BASP 49 (2012) 21-9.

 2 P. Vindob. inv. G 180 v. (Pietersma, Fragments 23–4) joins fr. A, giving the first six lines of the column; what used to be line 1 is now line 7. I use the new numbering throughout.

³ The text appears to be based largely on the printed editions rather than on a fresh inspection of the papyri. Thus at 5f \rightarrow 11, the diplomatic transcript in the ed. pr. (p. 212) correctly gives ιαμβρη, but a misprint on the facing page at 5abcfp \rightarrow 23 has produced Ίάμβρφ, and Hirschberger 248 prints this with the note 'leg. Ἰάμβρη' (n. 228). Similarly at 7i \rightarrow 2, the ed. pr. has the correct εcθητιξ in the diplomatic transcript (p. 254) but on the right-hand page (7abcefij \rightarrow 15)]εcτητιξ[, which is taken over by Hirschberger 256 in the form]εcτη τιξ[.

⁴ Erho was kind enough to show me the current state of his edition after I had completed my penultimate draft. Some significant advances will be possible in the parts of the text represented in the translation when his work appears.

usefully removes from the text an infringement of the standard rules of word-division, which the scribe should now be assumed to have observed throughout.⁵ ἀφῆκεν αὐ[τόν must be followed by a reference to Jambres in the dative if αὐτῶν in 19]ατηρ αὐτῶν [is to refer to the two brothers, as it surely does: π]ατήρ, suggested in the ed. pr. (122), is a likely supplement. Perhaps Jannes hands over primary responsibility for the παράδειcoc to his brother either temporarily or on a permanent basis.

 $1ef \to 4 (p. 125)$

] ἕρκι πονηρῷ [

'Wicked enclosure' is a surprising expression. I should prefer to restore πονηρ $\hat{\omega}$ [v. Then one could have e.g. πονηρ $\hat{\omega}$ [v] | [δε νόcων (cf. LXX Deut. 28:59 νόcους πονηράς), perhaps with Hirschberger's οὐχ εὑρί]ςκω εἴαςιν in line 5 (235 n. 77): 'I do not find healing for serious illnesses.'

1ef ↓ 5 (p. 129)

λίπεται τῆς γυ[ναικὸς αὐτοῦ

At the end, I read not $\gamma \nu$ [but $\eta \mu$ [. Both uprights of η are preserved, extending above the crossbar, and the final trace, the lower right-hand arc of a circle, would suit the first stroke of one form of μ ; cf. e.g. $\eta \mu$ in line 3. We may supply e.g. $\dot{\eta}\mu$ [$\alpha\rho\tau\eta\kappa\nu$ i αc , 'the woman (?) who has sinned': cf. 2 $\tau \omega \nu \alpha \mu \alpha$ [($\tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha}\mu\alpha\rho$ [$\tau \omega\lambda\omega\nu$ Hirschberger 235 n. 83), 7–9.

 $2a \rightarrow 5-8 (p. 137)$

] καὶ εἶδων κ[αὶ αὐτοὶ τ]ὴν φυτίαν θάλλ[ο]υcαν τοὺς π[ολλοὺς κλά]δους ἤδη ςκιάζοντας εἴλαρος [χάριν:]ας δὲ γενόμεν[ο]ς κτλ.

εἴλαροc in line 7 is interpreted as a genitive singular formed from the Homeric word εἶλαρ,⁶ but this curiosity seems unwelcome here.⁷ The form is better taken as an itacistic spelling of iλαρόc. With this recognized and a few other changes, the following version of these lines may be considered:

>] καὶ εἰδὼν [τ]ὴν φυτίαν θάλλ[ο]υcαν τους [κιc]ҫοὺϲ ἤδη ϲκιάζοντας, εἰλαρὸς [ἦν· ἑςπέρ]ας δὲ γενομέν[η]ς κτλ.

'... and seeing ... the planting flourishing (and) the ... ivies already providing shade, he (sc. Jannes) was glad; and when evening came', etc.

A few letters are lost at the ends of lines 5 and 6: e.g. $\pi[\hat{\alpha}c\alpha\nu$ (too short?) and $\tau \circ \dot{\nu}_c \tau[\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}\kappa\varepsilon \hat{\iota} may be suitable (giving 'all the planting' and 'and the ivies there'). <math>\tau \circ \dot{\nu}_c \pi[\circ\lambda \wedge \dot{\nu}_c \mid \kappa \wedge \dot{\alpha}]\delta \circ \nu_c$, printed in the ed. pr., would be in asyndeton, and the supplement at the start of line 7 looks too long for the space. $\kappa\iota_c]co\dot{\nu}c$ will fit, and]c is at least as likely a reading as] δ . $\dot{\varepsilon}c\pi\dot{\varepsilon}\rho\alpha c$ ($\delta\dot{\varepsilon}$) $\gamma\varepsilon\nu\circ\mu\dot{\varepsilon}\nu\eta c$ is a familiar expression: cf. e.g. Ach. Tat. 3.16.1, 5.7.1, 5.14.1, 8.7.2.8

⁵ On these, see in general R. Janko (ed.), *Philodemus*, On Poems *Book 1* (2000) 75–6; also E. G. Turner, *Greek Manuscripts of the Ancient World (BICS* Suppl. 46; ²1987) 17 with n. 96 (where for '270' read '220'). There are many more such breaches in the supplements printed in the ed. pr.: cf. e.g. below on $5a+ \rightarrow 19-20$.

⁶ Cf. now the *Diccionario Griego-Español* s.v.

⁷ The ed. pr. (141) writes that 'the reading is assured, since no amount of phonetic juggling yields any acceptable sense and the word appears to be repeated on line 13', but see below for the reading in that place.

⁸ Hirschberger 233 n. 56 supplies μ i]αc (sic) δὲ γενομέν[η]c, but her translation (233), 'Als der erste Wochentag kam', appears to assume πρώτηc.

12 - 14

ώςτε ἐκρι[ζ]ωθῆγαι τινὰ[ς κλ]άδους ἀπὸ το[ῦ εἴ]λαρος ἰδὸν ταῦ[τά τε ὁ Ἰάννης ἔδραμεν κτλ.

In line 13, where the ed. pr. has $\tau \rho[\hat{v} \epsilon i] \lambda \alpha \rho oc i \delta \delta v$ (cf. on 5–8 above), I read and supply $\tau \rho[\hat{v}] \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i cov$, and in the preceding part, I believe that what followed $\tau \nu \alpha i [c was \kappa \nu \pi \alpha] [\rho i] c covc$: 'so that some cypresses were uprooted from the garden'.]c is like the second and third sigmas of $c \iota \rho oc$ (10). As for the following letter, δ , as in the ed. pr., does not seem a probable decipherment, since the cap does not project to the left of the upright. In any case, we expect trees, not mere 'branches', to be 'uprooted'. A cypress was of course prominent in the dream (1c+ \rightarrow 10 and 13 (p. 107)). After $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i cov$, e.g. $\tau \delta \tau i [\epsilon \delta \epsilon i ('then') may be consid$ $ered. The final trace is the lower part of a thin upright like that of the first letter of <math>\tau \eta v$ in the line below. v is possible but not suggested: there is no evidence of a second stroke. The preceding trace would suit α , but o is also possible: there is no trace of a tail.

3abce \rightarrow (pp. 150–51) and \downarrow (pp. 166–7)

The positions of two of the smaller fragments in relation to 3ab are fixed by overlaps with P. Vindob. fr. B (edition: Pietersma, *Apocryphon* 269). 3e belongs at the top of the leaf, and 3c in a gap between lines 4 and 5 where the conservator has incorrectly joined two fragments that belong together but at a distance from one another.⁹ To judge by the appearance of the fragments, 3c is to be placed vertically below 3e. The extent of the gap below 3c is unknown for the moment. It is unlikely to be very great.

I begin with the \downarrow side. The ed. pr. gives for $3ab \rightarrow 21 - \downarrow 4$ the following text:

παρ]εκάλε[cεν αὐτὸν καὶ τὴν μητέρα ↓ 1 αὐτοῦ μὴ αὐτὸν λ]ὐπῖν· μν[ή]cητι δὲ ὅτιἐκινδύ]νευcεν ἐν τῷ ηματι]c οὖν περὶ χρήματα] πρὸc ἡμῶν ἑτοίμαcον

The supplements are drawn for the most part from P. Vindob. fr. B. Here is the lower half of the column:¹⁰

] Εἰοάννης τὸν ἀδελ[φὸν
] παρεκάλεςεν αὐτὸν [
	. α]ὐτοῦ μὴ αὐτὸν λυπ[εῖν
15	ό]τι ἐκινδύνευςεν [
]αι ὑπ' αὐτῆς μὴ α[
] χρήματα καὶ ἐπ[
] ἡμῶν ἑτοίμας[ον
	ἤ]δῃ γὰρ τὸ πνεῦμ[α
20	ἀρ]νοῦμαι ὅτι cη[μεῖα
	το]ῦ ἀδελφοῦ αὐτο[ῦ
].κ εἶπεν μ[
	foot

⁹ For other incorrect joins of this kind, cf. the ed. pr., pp. 108 (1d), 208 (5f). Cf. also below on $4a \rightarrow (p. 175)$. With 3c inserted, the divergence between the two papyri discussed on p. 171 of the ed. pr. is eliminated.

¹⁰ For the sake of clarity, I have taken out most of the supplements printed by Pietersma. In 17, Oellacher 186 gives $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ [at the end, and I have followed him, but dotted the π. In 21, \dot{o}] $\dot{\lambda}$ iyov (preceded by καί) is proposed by Hirschberger 239 n. 124; after it, Oellacher's διà πν[εύματοc is one possibility (187), but Hirschberger's διαπν[έων (or another part of the verb) is attractive. In 23, Oellacher 187 gives o]ψκ εἶπεν μ[. The crossbar at the start is rather low for the right-hand side of υ, but ε (Maraval 202) does not seem possible in this context: the other letters all appear certain. If the text is sound, one may think of supplying e.g. o]ψκ εἶπεν μ[αταίωc, 'did not speak in vain'.

Now here is a revised text of P. Chester Beatty $3ab \rightarrow 21 - 4$ incorporating $3c \neq and 3e \neq$. Half-brackets mark the contribution of P. Vindob. fr. B. The level of 3c is not guaranteed: I have assumed that P. Chester Beatty had about the same amount of text as P. Vindob. between the overlapping parts.

abe↓1	^Γ παρ]εκάλε[cεν αὐτὸν¹ τὴν μητέρα α ^Γ ὐτοῦ μ]ὴ αὐ[τὸν λ]υπ¹ῖν· μνή[c]θητι δὲ ὅ ^Γ τι¹
	• • • •
	πρὸς τὸ] ζῆν 「ἐ[κινδύ]νευςεν' ἐν τῷ ἡμᾶς τί-
	κτειν.] ^Γ μὴ ἀ ¹ ϲχολ[ήcῃ]c οὖν περὶ ^Γ χρήματα
4	καὶ ἐ]π¹ιλ[ά]θῃ τ[ῆc μ]ῃτρὸc 「ἡμῶν. ἑτοίμας¹ον
4a].[
c ↓ 1].αικ.[
]ς καὶ ὀΓλί[γον διαπν¹
	το] ^Γ ῦ ἀδελφοῦ [αὐτο ¹ ῦ
]ρας καὶ ε[
5] . ὁ̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣̣

'(Jannes) exhorted him (his brother Jambres) not to pain his mother. "Remember that she risked her life in giving birth to us. Do not then be occupied with money and forget our mother. Get ready ... and getting a little breath (?) ... his brother ... Jannes ...'

First, a few comments on readings.

In line 1, $\mu \gamma \eta[c] \theta \eta \tau \iota$ seems acceptable. Little survives of $\mu \gamma$, but the feet of both uprights of the first η are recognizable on the edge. There follows a gap wide enough for *c*, and then a θ with a narrow oval, rubbed on the right.

In line 2, the ed. pr. gives α for the trace after $\zeta \eta v$, but ε seems at least as likely. At the end, $\mu \alpha c$ is written as in 4a+ \rightarrow 1 (p. 175).

In line 3 of 3e, the initial μ is omitted in the ed. pr., but it is clear in the papyrus. The left-hand side of the letter is lost to surface damage. $\dot{\alpha}[c\chi o\lambda \dot{\eta} c\eta c$ was already supplied by Hirschberger 239 n. 121 in P. Vindob. fr. B 16.

In line 4 of 3e, $]\pi_1$ seems likelier than the o of the ed. pr.: the traces appear to be the end of a crossbar and the top of an upright. Then in 3a, $]\pi$ is not an acceptable reading of the ink before poc: the upright on the left extends above the crossbar.

Line 4a is a single high trace on the edge of the upper fragment, taken as part of line 5 in the ed. pr.

As for the text, the papyri diverge in two places. P. Vindob. 15 omits the phrase $\pi\rho\delta c$ to $\zeta\eta\nu$ given by P. Chester Beatty $3a + \downarrow 2$. Then where P. Chester Beatty $3a + \downarrow 2-3$ has $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \tau \dot{\omega} \dot{\eta}\mu \hat{\alpha}c \tau i [\kappa \tau \epsilon \iota \nu]$, P. Vindob. 15–16 will have had $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \tau \dot{\omega} \dot{\eta}\mu \hat{\alpha}c \tau i \kappa \tau \epsilon c \theta] \dot{\alpha}\iota \dot{\nu}\pi' \dot{\alpha}\upsilon \tau \eta c$. Neither difference is of much significance as far as the sense is concerned. There are no apparent overlaps in P. Chester Beatty $3c \downarrow 1$ or 4–5 but it is not profitable to speculate as to the possible reasons for this.

Jannes' speech to Jambres will have ended at some point before $c \downarrow 3 \tau \sigma^{\dagger} \hat{\rho} \, d\delta \epsilon \lambda \varphi \sigma \hat{\nu} [\alpha \vartheta \tau \sigma^{\dagger} \hat{\nu}; it is not clear whether or not <math>c \downarrow 2$ belongs to it. The statement at $3a + \downarrow 2-3$ that their mother risked her life in giving birth to the brothers suggests that they are twins. It is tempting to suppose that something more than the usual risks associated with childbirth lies behind this claim. Perhaps the particular dangers in question were specified when the birth of the brothers was narrated earlier in the book. It seems probable that the lost portion of the book would have made clear what (if anything) Jambres had done to cause Jannes to speak to him in these terms.

I now turn back to the \rightarrow side. Here is the text of 3ab \rightarrow 1–4 given by the ed. pr.:

With 3c and 3e inserted in the places indicated by the text on the back,¹¹ I tentatively propose the following reconstruction:

πρὸς γάμον καὶ τοὺς .[] ποιῶ ἐ[φ' ἡμέ-	
ρας ἑπτὰ ςυνευφ[ρα] ται ἡμ[ῖν,	
ἄνδρες ἀδελφοί. μετὰ [δὲ τ]ὰς ἡμέρ[ας ()	
4 χωρίζομαι [ά]φ' ὑμῶν [4
$c \rightarrow 1$] $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\tau[\alpha$	$c \rightarrow c$
τῷ] ἀδελφῷ α[ὐτοῦ	
]των τε[
] καὶ μη[
5]γ δὲ αὐτ[5

'to marriage and I make the ... for seven days ... celebrate together with us, dear brothers. After the days, I depart from you ... seven ... his brother ... and ... and ...'

Again, I begin with the readings.

In line 1, [is a high trace on the edge: γ is one of several possibilities. At the end, ε [is no less likely than the *c* of the ed. pr.: the trace is most of the left-hand side of the letter.

In line 2, the ed. pr. gives for fr. e $\eta \tau \alpha \eta \beta$. I have been more cautious at the start. At the end, the traces suit the left-hand side of μ , and I have adopted Hirschberger's $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\nu}$ (237 n. 100).

In line 3, the ed. pr. has in fr. e $\chi\eta \epsilon\rho$. My μ is a trace at letter-top level.] α is small and high, like that of $c \rightarrow 4$; then c is narrow, with some ink lost on the left.

In line 4, φ is the top of a tall upright reaching above the tops of the other letters. Next, ψ is represented by the top of an upright followed by the top of an upward-sloping oblique, a good match for the first ψ of line 2. Then there are two looped tops close together, the first higher than the second, suiting μ . Somewhat to the right of my φ , the lower fragment incorrectly joined here gives a trace of an upright hooked to the right and descending below the line. This belongs to the line before $3ab \rightarrow 5$, which may be called $3ab \rightarrow 4a$.

The text at the top of the column remains puzzling. I have not ventured to suggest a supplement for the gap in the middle of line 1, but there are not many words short enough to fit. Some form of $cvvev\phi\rho\alpha' vo\mu\alpha'$ will have stood in line 2: $cvvev\phi[\rho\alpha']v\eta\tau\alpha'$ seems to suit the traces but is not easy to accommodate in the sentence. As for $3c \rightarrow$, 1] $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\tau[\alpha$ no doubt has the same reference as in $a + \rightarrow 2$. The appearance of $\tau\hat{\omega}$] $\dot{\alpha}\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi\hat{\omega}\alpha[\dot{v}\tau\hat{\omega}$ in line 2 (supplied by Hirschberger 259 n. 359) indicates that the speech has finished.

$4a + \rightarrow (p. 175)$

The fragments joined below line 8 appear to belong further apart. Once again (cf. above on 3abce), it is the Vienna papyrus that supplies the clue, in this case the enlarged fr. A (published by Pietersma, Fragments). The text of P. Chester Beatty $4a_+ \rightarrow 9$ ff. corresponds to lines 6ff. of the Vienna fragment, but text corresponding to P. Chester Beatty $4a_+ \rightarrow 8$, the line immediately above the join, is found in the Vienna fragment several lines further up, at line 3 (] $\alpha\gamma\omega\nu$). As the two papyri have lines of similar length, it seems probable that two lines are missing between P. Chester Beatty $4a_+ \rightarrow 8$ and 9. Here are the texts arranged according to this hypothesis:¹²

¹¹ The level of $3e \rightarrow$ is also fixed by the upper margin recognizable above line 1 on this side, but its horizontal position is given only by the text on the other side.

¹² My text is close to those of the ed. pr. (for P. Chester Beatty) and Pietersma, Fragments (for P. Vind.), but I have left out most of the supplements. In P. Vind. fr. A 3 (and P. Chester Beatty 4a+ \rightarrow 8 if correctly matched), αγων followed by a length of time seems likely to be the present participle active of ἄγω, 'spend', rather than the substantive ἀγών, 'contest'.

P. Vindob. fr. A	P. Chester Beatty 4a+ →		
top	5 [c. 19 letters		
γιγ]νόςκειν μητ[c. 12 letters] εἶπεν [c. 17		
]με μῖναι ἐν τρι[cì c. 11	β]αcιλέα [c. 17		
]λ ἄγων γ ἔτη co[c. 12	8]. ἄγων [c. 17		
]ας καὶ ἐπιςτῆν[αι c. 11	8a		
5] ὑμολογήςας δ[ὲ c. 11	8b		
ο]ὖν cκύλλου μ[c. 12	9 μ]ηκέτ[ι οὖ]ν ςκύλλ[ου c. 13		

It is unclear to what extent P. Vindob. fr. A 1–2 diverged from the text given in P. Chester Beatty: both papyri are very fragmentary in the relevant lines. Still, there is no longer any reason to suppose that the divergent part stretched over more than two lines. If the proposed arrangement is correct, there will also be two lines missing between P. Chester Beatty $4a + \sqrt{8}$ and 9 (p. 185).

2 καὶ μαδηcθìc τὸ c[ῶμα

μαδηcθίc is associated with μαδάω in the ed. pr. (177–8), but it is easier to take it as the aorist participle passive of μαδίζω, with η for ι as commonly (Gignac, *Grammar* i 235–9).¹³

10 α] \dot{v} της¹⁴ μ[ήπ] οτε πικ[ρανθη̂c

The first trace suggests the right-hand side of η . We appear then to have here the vocative μ] $\eta \tau \eta \rho$ that Pietersma (Fragments 24) supplies in the preceding line. μ [at the end of fr. A 6 of the Vienna papyrus (Pietersma ibid.) may represent instead e.g. μ [$\eta\delta\epsilon$.

13]επλάγην δὲ καὶ Ἰ[άμ]βρ[ῃ τῷ ἀδελφῷ μου

At the start, I read and supply $\dot{\epsilon}v$] $\epsilon\tau\iota\lambda\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta\nu$ (or $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$] $\epsilon\tau\iota\lambda\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta\nu$), with $-\tau\iota\lambda$ - for $-\tau\epsilon\iota\lambda$ -, 'I gave orders'. Cf. LSJ s.vv. $\dot{\epsilon}v\tau\epsilon\lambda\lambda\omega$ I, $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\tau\epsilon\lambda\lambda\omega$ (A).

The new reading may shed some light on the preceding sentence. Jannes instructed his brother too to care for their mother faithfully $(14 \pi \rho \sigma) c \epsilon \chi v c \sigma \pi \iota c \tau \omega c$.¹⁵ We should then expect Jannes to have indicated in what precedes that he will care for their mother. Here is the text of $4a + \rightarrow 11-12$ as printed in the ed. pr.:

]c καθ' ἡμέραν δὲ [ἀποςτελῶ] ἀνθρ(ωπ)ο[ν cὲ το]ῦ γιγνώςκιν τὰ κα[τεγκλή]ματά μοι

The text on the right is given by fragment i, which the ed. pr. 'placed with some hesitation' (177). I should prefer to take it out. There is no evidence of fibre continuity, and the Greek is problematic:¹⁶ cé as subject of the articular infinitive should not precede the article, and the dative μoi with the substantive $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\kappa \alpha [\tau \epsilon \gamma \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta}] \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ seems hard to parallel. LSJ records $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ only from Eustathius (*II*. p. 922.46).¹⁷

With 4i removed, I suggest the following reconstruction, in which I have placed the parts given by the Vienna fragment (8–9) between half-brackets:

]c καθ' ἡμέραν 'δὲ [ἀποcτελῶ πρό'c ce το]ῦ γιγνώςκιν 'τὰ κα[τεπ'είγοντα πάντα:

¹³ Hirschberger 240 has $\mu\alpha\delta\iota$ c θ íc in the text but comments 'leg. $\mu\alpha\delta\eta c\theta\epsilon$ ic' (n. 240).

¹⁴ Pietersma gives the opening of the line as $]_{\tau\eta}$ in Fragments (24).

¹⁵ Cf. BDAG s.v. προcέχω 1. The ed. pr. (176) takes the verb in the sense 'heed', but this seems less suitable in the context as now understood. P. Vind. fr. A 10–11 had a longer text, perhaps πρ[ονοlεîcθαί coi, προcέχειν coi πιcτôc, as suggested by C. Römer in an unpublished paper: we would expect the genitive with προνοεîcθαi, but coi may be due to the influence of προcέχειν coi. Maraval's πρ[οκlεîcθαi (201) is wrongly divided: cf. above on 1c+ \downarrow 15–19 (p. 113).

¹⁶ Cf. P. W. van der Horst, JSJ 25 (1994) 330.

¹⁷ The Lexikon zur byzantinischen Gräzität adds a fourth-century example, Sopat. Rh. VIII 229.17 Walz, but C there has ἀντέγκλημα: cf. D. Innes and M. Winterbottom, Sopatros the Rhetor: Studies in the Text of the Διαίρεως Ζητημάτων (BICS Suppl. 48; 1988) 175.

'I shall send to you daily to find out all your pressing needs.' This seems better suited to the context: Jannes will attend to his mother's needs while he is away, and he has ordered Jambres too to look after her. $\pi\rho\delta[c$ ce, supplied by Pietersma in the Vienna fragment, can now be accommodated in the Beatty text.

In the corresponding part of the Vienna fragment (7–9), Pietersma (Fragments) gives the following:

καθ ημεραν] δε αποςτελο προ[ς ςε ανθρωπον ως τε εξετ]αςε και ςε τα κατεγ[κληματα μοι

If the above suggestions are accepted, we may substitute e.g.

καθ' ή-

μέραν] δὲ ἀποςτελô πρό[ς cε τοῦ παραςκευ]άςε καὶ cὲ τὰ κατεπ[είγοντα

'I shall send to you daily so that you too can provide your pressing needs.'

The reference to $\kappa\alpha\tau\epsilon\gamma\kappa\lambda\eta\mu\alpha\tau\alpha$ here was the only direct textual evidence for a trial.¹⁸ If I am right to substitute $\kappa\alpha\tau\epsilon\pi\epsilon\eta\gamma\sigma\tau\alpha$, it is no longer necessary to suppose that such a trial formed part of the narrative.

15–16

cυνέ[χω]ν τὰ [δάκρυα· ἐξελθούcηc δὲ cχ]ηδὸν ἄφ[ηκεν τὰ] δρα[κρυα

The beginning of the word ending]ηδον in line 16 is preserved only in the Vienna papyrus, fr. A 13 (Pietersma, Fragments 24), where, following ἐξελθούcηc δὲ αὐτῆc, we read π δ [.¹⁹ A suitable adverb is πιδακηδόν, 'like a spring'; the Vienna papyrus will have spelt it itacistically, πε[ι]δα[κηΙδόν. Cf. the familiar use of κρουνηδόν in connection with tears, e.g. Thessal. *De virtutibus herbarum*²⁰ 1 procem. 19 (51.16 Friedrich) κρουνηδόν μοι τῶν δακρύων φερομένων. The word is new but regularly formed: cf. e.g. ἑλικηδόν, κλιμακηδόν, πινακηδόν, cχιδακηδόν. The Beatty papyrus will then have divided after ἐξελθούcηc, with δὲ πιδακ]ηδόν at the start of line 16.²¹

16–18

καὶ περιέλαβεν τ]οὺς φ[ίλους] ἑαυτοῦ, π[άντας παρακαλέςας]

The supplements are largely taken from P. Vindob. fr. A 14–15. Pietersma (Fragments 24) prints the following in the relevant part:²²

και περ[ι]ελα[βεν

φιλους αυτου{ς}, παντας παρακαλες[ας

¹⁸ Cf. n. 12 above on P. Vind. fr. A 3 αγων.

¹⁹ So rightly Maraval 201; Oellacher 186 had read $\pi_{...}\delta[$, while Pietersma, *Apocryphon* 273, gives $\pi_{..}\delta[$. See Pietersma's photographs (*Apocryphon* 300; Fragments 29), or the digital images available on the website of the papyrus collection of the Österreichische Nationalbibliothek, http://data.onb.ac.at/rec/RZ00004001. Pietersma prints $\pi\alpha[\rho]\alpha[c\chi\epsilon|\delta ov at 13-14$ in Fragments (deemed 'not impossible, but uncertain at best' in *Apocryphon* 180 (15–16 n.)), but the photographs confirm Maraval's reading.

²⁰ On the date of this text, see most recently I. S. Moyer, A Revised Astronomical Dating of Thessalus' *De virtutibus herbarum*, in B. Holmes and K.-D. Fischer (edd.), *The Frontiers of Ancient Science: Essays in Honor of Heinrich von Staden* (Beiträge zur Altertumskunde 338; 2015) 437–49, who argues that it 'was composed between the middle of the first century A. D. and the early third century A. D., with dates in the second century most probable' (437).

^{21]} δρα[κρυα at the end is a curious corruption; cf. perhaps Κρυπρι[[c]] for Κύπρι in the Antinoë Theocritus at 1.101 (A fol. 2 verso; A. S. Hunt and J. Johnson, *Two Theocritus Papyri* (1930) 30).

²² I have restored the sublinear dots and comma from the version in *Apocryphon* 273.

W.B. Henry

In two places, I read the papyrus differently. In line 15, Oellacher 186 rightly transcribes $\varphi(\lambda ov \dot{\epsilon} \alpha v \tau o \dot{v}c)$: the ε is certain. We have then simply -ov -ovc in place of -ovc -ov. As for the main verb, editors have offered various readings at the end of line 14. Oellacher 186 has $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\varepsilon\rho\chi[$, while Maraval 201 more cautiously reads $\pi\varepsilon\rho$. [. Some progress is possible here. The new digital image shows that Oellacher and Maraval were right to detect ink between $\kappa\alpha_1$ and $\pi\varepsilon$. But the letter in question is not ε , but α , formed like that in the preceding $\kappa\alpha_1$: both the lower end of the loop and the oblique tail are clearly recognizable. Then after the clear $\pi\varepsilon$ we have a series of letter-tops: a trace suiting the upper left-hand corner of c; a damaged patch with no ink preserved;²³ the upper part of an upright with a crossbar emerging from its top on the right; touching the right-hand end of the crossbar, the upper part of another upright, with a short blank space to its right; and finally a trace suiting the top of an oblique descending precipitously from left to right. I suggest reading and supplying $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\epsilon}c\tau_1\dot{\lambda}[\varepsilon, \tau o\dot{v}c]$ (for $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\epsilon}c\tau\epsilon_1\lambda\varepsilon$, $\tau o\dot{v}c$) and taking out the comma after $\dot{\epsilon}\alpha v \tau o\hat{v}$: 'he sent out, exhorting all his friends' etc. The Vienna papyrus turns out to have room for the article given in the Chester Beatty papyrus after all. The two papyri are here in full agreement except for a minor confusion in relation to the endings in P. Vindob. fr. A 15.

18-19

] $\pi \rho ov[o \epsilon \hat{i} c \theta] \alpha i \alpha i c \theta$ $\tau \hat{\eta}[c \mu \eta$ -

τρός αὐτοῦ·]

In 18, I read and supply] πρόν[οιαν] ποιεῖcθαι τῆ[c,²⁴ 'to show care for (his mother)'. Cf. for this idiom LSJ s.v. πρόνοια II.1, BDAG s.v. πρόνοια B. Similarly in the Vienna papyrus, we may now supply at fr. A 15–16 παρακαλές[ας πρόνοιαν ποι]Ιῖcθαι.

20-21

λαβώ[ν τὴν βί-

βλον εἶπ]εν δ[η τῷ Ἰάμβ]ρη.

P. Vindob. fr. A is reported as having at the start of line 18 $\beta_{i}\beta_{\lambda}$ either δ_{η} : only Pietersma, *Apocryphon* 273 dots the η . But the new image shows clearly not δ_{η} but $\delta_{\alpha i}$ (for δ_{ϵ}). So $\delta_{i}[\epsilon$ is to be supplied in P. Chester Beatty; the sentence boundary falls before $\epsilon_{i}\pi_{j}\epsilon_{\nu}$, not before $\lambda\alpha\beta\phi_{i}[\nu$.

 $5a \rightarrow 1-2$ (p. 213)

άλλου]ς νεκροὺς εἶδον καὶ οὐδὶς ἦν παραπλήςιος] coí, τέκνον, ἐνταῦ[θ]α ἦco

ηco at the end of line 2 will represent η col[φ, e.g. η col[φία η κάλλει, (e.g. there is no one similar) 'to you here, child, in either wisdom (or beauty)'. Jannes was of course famous for his wisdom: cf. e.g. lines 6–7 of the Latin text in London, BL, Cotton Tiberius B V, part I, f. 87r (Pietersma, *Apocryphon* 280), 'sapientior | eram omniu(m) sapientium magorum'. The poetic form η̂co would not be expected to appear in a text of this kind: cf. above on $2a \rightarrow 7$, 13.

19–20

ἀν]οἰξας τὰ β[ιβλία ὑπὸ] τῆς μηλάες ἐποίη[ςε]ν νεκρυομαντ[είαν]

I read and supply

ἀ]νοίξας τὰς [βίβλους] τῆς μαγίας ἐποίη[ςεν νεκρυομαντ[ίαν]

²³ There is no reason to suppose that this area was originally blank. Note the damage hereabouts in the preceding line.

 $^{^{24}}$ etc θ was already read by Pietersma in Fragments (25), where he prints {etc θ } in place of his earlier reading $\alpha_{1c}\theta_{1c}$.

μαγιας in line 19 is clear. The ed. pr. considered τὰς [βίβλους as an alternative to τὰ β[ιβλία, judging it 'not impossible but rather long' (219), but with ὑπὸ] removed from the text, the lacuna is of the right length to accommodate it; in any case, β[does not seem an acceptable reading. In ἐποίη[cɛv, ε is added above the line to replace a spoilt ε written on the line, and ι is a supralinear insertion. There is room for the remainder of the verb in the gap at the end; ἐποίη[clɛ]ν would be incorrectly divided.²⁵ At the start of line 20, a perpendicular left-hand margin is produced by taking the first letter-trace on the line (an upright) to represent the ν at the start of the line. The complete ν above and to the right of it belongs rather to the first word of line 19. As for the termination, [ιαν] seems a better fit than [ειαν].

The new reading in line 19 is of some interest. With 'under the apple-tree' gone and the books 'of magic' in its place, the Greek now corresponds closely to the first two lines of the Latin (Pietersma, *Apocryphon* 280), 'Ap(er)uit Mambres libros magicos fratris sui | Iamnis (et) fecit necromantiam'.

6a+ ↓ 24 (p. 233)

I suggest e.g. ἀποθα]νῖν δὲ οὐκ ἀφίεται ἡμῖν | [ἀπόνως, ἀλλὰ κτλ. ('it is not conceded to us to die painlessly, but ...'). The infinitive is likelier than vîv for vῦν (so the ed. pr.): a contrast of this kind (with some earlier time?) seems out of place.

26 ἀπ]οθανούμεθα

In the middle, voµ is clear (as in 23). The initial trace is compatible with v (the second upright, joined from the left at the foot). We may supply e.g. $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\lambda\alpha]v\theta\alpha\nu\dot{\mu}\epsilon\theta\alpha$, 'we forget' (of the dead).

7a+ ↓ 1–4 (p. 247)

τη[....] οἱ προςκυν[ούμενοι καὶ οἱ προςκυνής[α]ντες τοῖς εἰδῷ[λοις καὶ χωνευτοῖς καὶ γλυπτ[οῖ]ς {ε}ὡς γενομέ[νοις θεοῖς ἀπώλιαν ςὺν τοῖς εἰδώλοις α[ὐτῶν

At the start of line 3, I read and supply $c \upsilon \mu \pi \tau [\omega] c \varepsilon \omega c \gamma \varepsilon v \upsilon \mu \varepsilon [v \eta c$, 'when collapse occurs'. The idols collapse and their worshippers are ruined along with them (3–4). Cf. LSJ and the Revised Supplement s.v. $c \dot{\upsilon} \mu \pi \tau \omega c \iota c$ I. The end of line 2 is now better left unsupplemented.

22-3

....]χωροῦνται ἀπὸ το[...]λαιν cù δὲ ὅcov δι .[

At the start of 22, $\tau_1 |\mu \omega \rho_0 \hat{\upsilon} \tau \alpha_1$, 'are punished', of the sinners, is likely both as a reading and as sense. Then at the start of the next line we have not $|\lambda \alpha_1 \hat{\upsilon} \psi|$ but $|\lambda \alpha \beta_1 \hat{\upsilon}\rangle$, 'take'. For the form of the cursive β , cf. e.g. 25. Its upright extends down from the tail of α , as at $6a + \downarrow 23$ (p. 233); its right-hand side, with the distinctive leftward curve at the top, has ι growing out of it. At the end of the line, [(an ascending oblique) is close to the upright and will belong to the same letter; we may restore the familiar phrase ocov $\delta \hat{\upsilon}[\nu \alpha c \alpha_1$, 'so far as you are able'.

8b ↓ 3 (p. 259)

I read not γλογωνζωη (so the ed. pr.) but]αλογωνζωω[, i.e.] ἀλόγων ζώω[ν, 'irrational animals'. Perhaps sinners (or certain sinners) were compared to irrational beasts.

W. B. Henry, Department of Greek and Latin, University College London w_b_henry@yahoo.co.uk

²⁵ Cf. on 1c+ \downarrow 15–19 above.