Table 1 Hogan et al classification for grading anterior chamber cells

| Grade | Number of cells per field (wide beam, narrow slit) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | No cells |
| $1+$ | $5-10$ |
| $2+$ | $10-20$ |
| $3+$ | $2-50$ |
| $4+$ | $>50$ |

Table 2 Hogan et al classification for grading anterior chamber flare

| Grade | Flare |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | Complete absence |
| $1+$ | Faint flare (barely detectable) |
| $2+$ | Moderate flare (iris and lens details clear) |
| $3+$ | Marked flare (iris and lens details hazy) |

Table 3 SUN classification for grading anterior chamber cells

| Grade | Number of cells per field (1 x 1-mm slit beam) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | No cells |
| $0.5+$ | $1-5$ |
| $1+$ | $6-15$ |
| $2+$ | $16-25$ |
| $3+$ | $26-50$ |
| $4+$ | $>50$ |

Table 4 SUN classification for grading anterior chamber flare

| Grade | Flare |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | None |
| $1+$ | Faint |
| $2+$ | Moderate flare (iris and lens details clear) |
| $3+$ | Marked flare (iris and lens details hazy) |
| $4+$ | Intense flare (fibrin or plastic aqueous) |

Table 5 Responses $(\mathrm{n}=65)$ based on geographical location

| Questions | Asia ( $\mathrm{n}=40$ ) | UK/ Europe ( $\mathrm{n}=$ 15) | USA ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slit beam size |  |  |  | 0.129 |
| $1 \times 1-\mathrm{mm}$ | 26 (65.0\%) | 13 (86.7\%) | 6 (60.0\%) |  |
| $2 \times 1-\mathrm{mm}$ | 3 (7.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 2 (20.0\%) |  |
| $3 \times 1-\mathrm{mm}$ | 11 (27.5\%) | 1 (6.7\%) | 2 (20.0\%) |  |
| No slit lamp for flare | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (6.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |  |
| Counting the number of cells on slit lamp |  |  |  | $<0.001$ |
| Always | 7 (17.5\%) | 10 (66.7\%) | 8 (80.0\%) |  |
| Sometimes | 20 (50.0\%) | 1 (6.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |  |
| Rarely | 10 (25.0\%) | 4 (26.7\%) | 2 (20.0\%) |  |
| Never | 3 (7.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |  |
| Using laser flare photometry in practice |  |  |  | 0.004 |
| Yes | 2 (5.0\%) | 6 (40.0\%) | 2 (20.0\%) |  |
| No | 38 (95.0\%) | 9 (60.0\%) | 8 (80.0\%) |  |
| Value of flare assessment in management of uveitis |  |  |  | 0.001 |
| Very significant | 12 (30.0\%) | 12 (80.0\%) | 8 (80.0\%) |  |
| Marginally significant | 27 (67.5\%) | 3 (20.0\%) | 2 (20.0\%) |  |
| Not significant | 1 (2.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |  |
| Flare as a useful marker of disease activity |  |  |  | 0.670 |
| Yes | 27 (67.5\%) | 12 (80.0\%) | 8 (80.0\%) |  |
| No | 13 (32.5\%) | 3 (20.0\%) | 2 (20.0\%) |  |
| Would addition of laser flare photometry alter practice management? |  |  |  | 0.096 |
| Yes | 10 (25.0\%) | 8 (53.3\%) | 6 (60.0\%) |  |
| No | 8 (20.0\%) | 1 (6.7\%) | 2 (20.0\%) |  |
| Uncertain | 22 (55.0\%) | 6 (40.0\%) | 2 (20.0\%) |  |

