

# Syzygies and diagonal resolutions for dihedral groups

F.E.A. Johnson

## Abstract

Let  $G$  be a finite group with integral group ring  $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}[G]$ . The syzygies  $\Omega_r(\mathbf{Z})$  are the stable classes of the intermediate modules in a free  $\Lambda$ -resolution of the trivial module. They are of significance in the cohomology theory of  $G$  via the ‘co-representation theorem’  $H^r(G, N) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}\text{er}}(\Omega_r(\mathbf{Z}), N)$ . We describe the  $\Omega_r(\mathbf{Z})$  explicitly for the dihedral groups  $D_{4n+2}$ , so allowing the construction of free resolutions whose differentials are diagonal matrices over  $\Lambda$ .

*Keywords:* Syzygy; free resolution; diagonal resolution; dihedral group.

*Mathematics Subject Classification (AMS 2010):*

*Primary 16E05; 20C10; Secondary 18E30*

Let  $\Lambda$  denote the integral group ring  $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}[G]$  of a finite group  $G$ . We say that  $\Lambda$ -modules  $M, M'$  are *stably equivalent* (written  $M \sim M'$ ) when  $M \oplus \Lambda^a \cong M' \oplus \Lambda^b$  for some integers  $a, b \geq 0$ . Let

$$(\mathcal{F}) \quad \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+2}} F_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} F_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

be a resolution over  $\Lambda$  of the trivial module  $\mathbf{Z}$  in which each  $F_r$  is a finitely generated free module. The *syzygy modules*  $(J_r)_{1 \leq r}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  are the intermediate modules

$$J_r = \text{Im}(\partial_r) = \text{Ker}(\partial_{r-1}).$$

The stable syzygy  $\Omega_r(\mathbf{Z})$  is then defined to be the stable class  $[J_r]$  of any such  $J_r$ . It is a standard consequence of Schanuel’s Lemma (cf [6] pp. 121-122) that  $\Omega_r(\mathbf{Z})$  is independent of the particular choice of  $(\mathcal{F})$ . These stable modules are of significant interest in the cohomology theory of finite groups  $G$ ; for example, they ‘co-represent’ cohomology in the sense that

$$H^r(G, N) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}\text{er}}(\Omega_r(\mathbf{Z}), N)$$

where  $\mathcal{D}\text{er}$  denotes the derived module category of  $\Lambda$  (cf [5] Chap 4).

In this paper we give an explicit description of the stable syzygies  $\Omega_r(\mathbf{Z})$  for the dihedral groups

$$D_{4n+2} = \langle x, y \mid x^{2n+1} = 1, y^2 = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{2n} \rangle.$$

Taking  $\Sigma_x = \sum_{r=0}^{2n} x^s$ , we shall show:

$$(A) \quad \Omega_r(\mathbf{Z}) \sim \begin{cases} [\Sigma_x, y-1] \oplus [y+1] & r \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ [(x-1)(y-1)] \oplus [y-1] & r \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ [\Sigma_x, y+1] \oplus [y+1] & r \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ [(x-1)(y+1)] \oplus [y-1] & r \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

where for  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \Lambda$ ,  $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m]$  denotes the stable class of the right ideal

$$[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^m \alpha_i \lambda_i \mid \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \Lambda \right\}.$$

Repetition with period four is to be expected for, as is well known ([5], [9]), the dihedral groups  $D_{4n+2}$  have cohomological period four. By contrast, periodicity is not shared by the dihedral groups of order  $4n$  and for these groups (cf [7]) the task of describing the syzygies is far more difficult and increases steadily with  $r$

Taken in conjunction with periodicity the above description allows for the construction of free resolutions of an especially simple type. Thus we shall show that  $D_{4n+2}$  admits a ‘diagonalised’ free resolution of period four;

$$(B) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\epsilon^*} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \partial_3^+ \\ y-1 \end{pmatrix}} \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \partial_2^+ & 0 \\ 0 & y+1 \end{pmatrix}} \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \xrightarrow{(\partial_1^+, y-1)} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

We may contrast this with the rather more complicated resolutions considered in [4]. The possibility of constructing diagonal resolutions for more general groups than cyclic groups was first raised in the thesis of Strouthos [8] who gave a diagonal resolution for the smallest non-abelian group, namely the dihedral group of order six.

### §1 : Basis calculations :

In what follows  $\Lambda$  will denote the integral group ring  $\mathbf{Z}[D_{4n+2}]$ , and  $\mathcal{I}$  the two-sided ideal  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(D_{4n+2}) = \text{Ker}(\epsilon)$  where  $\epsilon : \mathbf{Z}[D_{4n+2}] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  is the augmentation homomorphism  $\epsilon(g) = 1$  for  $g \in D_{4n+2}$ . Throughout we work only with *right modules* which are also *lattices* over  $\Lambda$ ; that is,  $\Lambda$ -modules whose underlying additive group is free abelian of finite rank. Such a right  $\Lambda$ -lattice  $M$  determines a representation  $\rho_M : G \rightarrow GL_{\mathbf{Z}}(M)$  by  $\rho_M(g)(m) = m \cdot g^{-1}$ .

For any finite group  $G$  the operation of taking inverses induces a canonical involution on  $\mathbf{Z}[G]$

$$\bar{\phantom{x}} : \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[G] \quad ; \quad \overline{\sum a_g g} = \sum a_g g^{-1}.$$

We note that  $\Lambda$  contains the group ring  $\mathbf{Z}[C_{2n+1}]$  where  $C_{2n+1}$  is the cyclic group of order  $2n+1$  having generator  $x$ . This subring contains some distinguished elements which play a special role in our calculations. On defining

$$\Sigma_x = \sum_{r=0}^{2n} x^r \quad ; \quad \theta = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} x^r$$

we note that

$$(1.1) \quad \bar{\theta} = \theta x^{n+2};$$

$$(1.2) \quad \Sigma_x \text{ is central in } \Lambda;$$

Given  $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$  we denote by  $[\alpha], [\alpha, \beta]$  the right ideals

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha] &= \{ \alpha \lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda \} \\ [\alpha, \beta] &= \{ \alpha \lambda + \beta \mu \mid \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda \}. \end{aligned}$$

We stress that any ideal in  $\Lambda$  is a  $\Lambda$ -lattice. In what follows we shall frequently use:

**Proposition 1.3 :** Let  $\{E_\psi\}_{\psi \in \Psi}$  be a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis for the free abelian group  $A$  and let  $B \subset A$  be an additive subgroup such that  $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}(B) \leq m$ . Suppose also that there exists a subset  $\Phi \subset \Psi$  such that  $|\Phi| = m$  and  $E_\phi \in B$  for each  $\phi \in \Phi$ ; then

- i)  $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}(B) = m$ ;
- ii)  $\{E_\phi\}_{\phi \in \Phi}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis for  $B$ ;
- iii)  $A/B$  is torsion free.

We define elements  $E_r \in \Lambda$  by

$$\begin{cases} E_r &= x^r - 1 & (1 \leq r \leq 2n) & E_{2n+r} &= (y-1)(x^r - 1) & (1 \leq r \leq 2n) \\ E_{4n+1} &= y - 1 & & E_{4n+2} &= 1 & \end{cases}$$

$\Lambda$  has the canonical  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis  $\{y^a x^b \mid 0 \leq a \leq 1, 0 \leq b \leq 2n\}$ , starting from which we proceed by elementary basis transformations to the following conclusions:

$$(1.4) \quad \{E_r\}_{1 \leq r \leq 4n+2} \text{ is a } \mathbf{Z}\text{-basis for } \Lambda.$$

$$(1.5) \quad \{E_r\}_{1 \leq r \leq 4n+1} \text{ is a } \mathbf{Z}\text{-basis for } \mathcal{I}.$$

**Proposition 1.6 :**  $\{E_r\}_{1 \leq r \leq 4n}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis for  $[x-1]$ .

**Proof :** We may regard  $[x-1]$  as the induced module  $[x-1] = \mathcal{I}(C_{2n+1}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[C_{2n+1}]} \Lambda$ . As  $\Lambda$  is a free module of rank 2 over  $\mathbf{Z}[C_{2n+1}]$  we see that

$$(1.7) \quad \text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}([x-1]) = 2\text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathcal{I}(C_{2n+1})) = 4n.$$

Clearly  $E_r \in [x-1]$  for  $1 \leq r \leq 2n$  whilst

$$E_{2n+r} = (x^{2n+1-r} - 1)y - E_r = (x-1) \left( \sum_{s=0}^{2n-r} x^s \right) y - E_r.$$

Either way,  $E_r \in [x-1]$  for  $1 \leq r \leq 4n$  so the result follows from (1.7) and (1.1).  $\square$

Taking  $C_2 = \langle y \mid y^2 = 1 \rangle$  then a similar argument to the above using the fact that  $[y - 1] \cong \mathcal{I}(C_2) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[C_2]} \Lambda$  shows that:

(1.8)  $\{E_{2n+r}\}_{1 \leq r \leq 2n+1}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis for  $[y - 1]$ .

From the identities  $x^r - 1 = (x - 1) \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} x^s$ ;  $yx^r - 1 = (x^r - 1) + (y - 1)x^r$ ; we observe that

(1.9)  $\mathcal{I} = [x - 1] + [y - 1]$ .

As we shall see, the sum in (1.9) is far from being direct.

**Proposition 1.10**  $\{E_{2n+r}\}_{1 \leq r \leq 2n}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis for  $[x - 1] \cap [y - 1]$ .

**Proof:** From (1.9) we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow [x - 1] \cap [y - 1] \rightarrow [x - 1] \oplus [y - 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow 0$$

from which, using (1.5), (1.6) and (1.8) we calculate that  $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}([x - 1] \cap [y - 1]) = 2n$ . However, from (1.6) and (1.8) we see that  $E_{2n+r} \in [x - 1] \cap [y - 1]$  for  $1 \leq r \leq 2n$ . The result now follows from (1.3).  $\square$

## §2 : Decomposing the augmentation ideal :

We define elements  $\pi, \rho, \tilde{\rho} \in \Lambda$  as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \pi = & (x^n - 1)(y - 1) \\ \rho = & (y - 1)(x^{n+1} - x^n) = (x^n - x^{n+1})(y + 1) \\ \tilde{\rho} = & (y - 1)(x - 1) \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $\tilde{\rho} = \rho \cdot x^{n+1}$  and  $\rho = \tilde{\rho} \cdot x^n$  so that  $[\rho] = [\tilde{\rho}]$ . We define

$$P = [\pi] \quad ; \quad R = [\rho] = [\tilde{\rho}].$$

Evidently  $\pi = (x - 1)\{\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} x^s\}(y - 1) \in [x - 1]$  so that:

(2.2)  $P \subset [x - 1]$ .

**Proposition 2.3:**  $R = [x - 1] \cap [y - 1]$ .

**Proof :** Clearly  $\tilde{\rho} \in [y - 1]$  so that  $R \subset [y - 1]$ . However,  $\rho = (x - 1)\{-x^n(y + 1)\}$  so that  $R \subset [x - 1]$ . Hence  $R \subset [x - 1] \cap [y - 1]$ . To show the opposite inclusion note that  $E_{2n+1} = \tilde{\rho} \in R$  and  $E_{2n+r+1} = E_{2n+1} \cdot \{1 + x + \dots + x^r\}$  so that  $E_{2n+r} \in R$  for  $1 \leq r \leq 2n$ . Hence  $[x - 1] \cap [y - 1] \subset R$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4 :** The ideal  $[x - 1]$  decomposes as a direct sum

$$[x - 1) = P \dot{+} R.$$

**Proof :** Put  $Q = [x - 1)/R$  and consider the canonical exact sequence

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow R \hookrightarrow [x - 1) \xrightarrow{\natural} Q \rightarrow 0.$$

It suffices to show that  $(*)$  splits over  $\Lambda$ ; in turn, it suffices then to show that

(\*\*) the natural map  $\natural$  restricts to an isomorphism  $\natural : P \xrightarrow{\cong} Q$ .

As  $R$  has the  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis  $\{E_{2n+r}\}_{1 \leq r \leq 2n}$  which extends to a basis for  $\Lambda$  then  $Q$  is torsion free. Furthermore, it follows from (1.6), (2.8) that:

(\*\*\*)  $\{\natural(E_r)\}_{1 \leq r \leq 2n}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis for  $Q$ .

Recall that  $\pi = (x^n - 1)(y - 1)$ . Define  $\tilde{\pi} = \pi x^{n+1}$  so that  $\pi = \tilde{\pi} x^n$  and  $[\tilde{\pi}] = [\pi] = P$ . A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\tilde{\pi} = (x - 1) + (y - 1)(x - 1) - (y - 1)(x^{n+1} - 1).$$

Hence  $\natural(\tilde{\pi}) = \natural(E_1)$  and hence  $\natural(\tilde{\pi} \cdot x^r) = \natural(E_1 \cdot x^r)$ . However

$$E_r = E_1 \cdot \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} x^s \right\}$$

so that

$$\natural(E_r) = \natural(\tilde{\pi} \cdot \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} x^s \right\}).$$

Thus  $\natural : P \rightarrow Q$  is surjective and  $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}(P) \geq 2n$ . However  $\pi \cdot y = -\pi$  so that  $P = \text{span}_{\mathbf{Z}}\{\pi \cdot x^r \mid 0 \leq r \leq 2n\}$ . Moreover  $\pi \cdot \Sigma_x = 0$  so that

$$P = \text{span}_{\mathbf{Z}}\{\pi \cdot x^r \mid 1 \leq r \leq 2n\}$$

and so  $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}(P) \leq 2n$ . Thus  $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}(P) = 2n = \text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}(Q)$ . As  $\natural : P \rightarrow Q$  is surjective then  $\natural : P \rightarrow Q$  is an isomorphism as required.  $\square$

We note that in the course of the above proof we established:

(2.5)  $\{\pi \cdot x^r\}_{1 \leq r \leq 2n}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis for  $P$ .

As a consequence of (2.5) we have:

$$(2.6) \quad P \cap R = \{0\}.$$

**Corollary 2.7 :** The augmentation ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  decomposes as an internal direct sum

$$\mathcal{I} = [\pi] \dot{+} [y - 1).$$

**Proof :** By (1.9) we have  $\mathcal{I} = [x - 1) + [y - 1)$  so that, by (2.4),

$$\mathcal{I} = P + R + [y - 1).$$

However  $R = [x - 1] \cap [y - 1] \subset [y - 1]$  so that

$$\mathcal{I} = P + [y - 1].$$

Now  $P \subset [x - 1]$  so that  $P \cap [y - 1] \subset P \cap [x - 1] \cap [y - 1] \subset P \cap R$ . As  $P \cap R = \{0\}$  then  $P \cap [y - 1] = \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = [\pi] + [y - 1]$ .  $\square$

It follows from (2.7) that  $\mathcal{I}/[\pi]$  is torsion free. As  $\Lambda/\mathcal{I} \cong \mathbf{Z}$  then :

(2.8)  $\Lambda/[\pi]$  is torsion free.

### §3 : Characterising the modules $P$ and $R$ .

We have defined the module  $P$  using a quite specific description, namely :

$$P = [(x^n - 1)(y - 1)].$$

In practice, it is useful to be able to recognise when a given  $\Lambda$ -module is isomorphic to  $P$  without being identical to the above model. Thus consider the following properties of a  $\Lambda$ -lattice  $M$ :

$\mathcal{M}(-)$  : there exists  $\widehat{\varphi}_- \in M$  such that  $\{\varphi_- \cdot x^r \mid 1 \leq r \leq 2n\}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis for  $M$  and for which  $\widehat{\varphi}_- \cdot y = -\widehat{\varphi}_-$  ;

$\mathcal{M}(\Sigma)$  : the identity  $m \cdot \Sigma_x = 0$  holds for each  $m \in M$ ;

We recall that  $P = [\pi]$  where  $\pi = (x^n - 1)(y - 1)$ . As  $\Sigma_x$  is central and  $(x^n - 1)\Sigma_x = 0$  then  $P$  satisfies  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma)$ . Furthermore  $\pi = (x^n - 1)(y - 1)$  satisfies  $\pi \cdot y = -\pi$  and, by (2.5),  $\{\pi \cdot x^r\}_{1 \leq r \leq 2n}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis for  $P$ . Thus  $P$  also satisfies  $\mathcal{M}(-)$ . These two properties characterize  $P$  up to  $\Lambda$ -isomorphism, as if  $M$  satisfies  $\mathcal{M}(-)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma)$  then  $\{\widehat{\varphi}_- \cdot x^r \mid 1 \leq r \leq 2n\}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis for  $M$  and the correspondence

$$\widehat{\varphi}_- \mapsto \pi \quad ; \quad \sum_{r=1}^{2n} \widehat{\varphi}_+ \cdot x^r \mapsto \sum_{r=1}^{2n} \pi \cdot x^r$$

gives an isomorphism of  $\Lambda$ -modules  $M \xrightarrow{\sim} P$ ; that is:

(3.1)  $M \cong P$  if and only if  $M$  satisfies  $\mathcal{M}(-)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma)$ .

There is a corresponding characterisation of  $R$  in terms of the following property:

$\mathcal{M}(+)$  : there exists  $\widehat{\varphi}_+ \in M$  such that  $\{\varphi_+ \cdot x^r \mid 1 \leq r \leq 2n\}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis for  $M$  and for which  $\widehat{\varphi}_+ \cdot y = \widehat{\varphi}_+$ .

We recall that  $R = [\rho]$  where  $\rho = (x^n - x^{n+1})(y + 1)$ . The module  $R$  evidently satisfies  $\mathcal{M}(+)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma)$ . Moreover,  $\rho \in R$  satisfies  $\rho \cdot y = \rho$  in consequence of which  $R = \text{span}_{\mathbf{Z}}\{\rho \cdot x^r : 0 \leq r \leq 2n - 1\}$ . A similar argument to the above shows:

**(3.2)**  $M \cong R$  if and only if  $M$  satisfies  $\mathcal{M}(+)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma)$ .

These criteria enable us to recognise non-obvious isomorphs of  $P, R$ ; for example:

**Proposition 3.3 :** Let  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$  be such that  $a - b$  is coprime to  $2n + 1$ ; then  $[(x^a - x^b)(y - 1)] \cong P$ .

**Proof :** If  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$  put  $\pi(k) = (x^k - 1)(y - 1)$ , so that  $P = [\pi(n)]$ . Consider the  $\Lambda$ -module automorphism  $\lambda : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  given by

$$\Lambda(z) = x^b \cdot z.$$

Then  $\lambda : [\pi(a - b)] \xrightarrow{\cong} [(x^a - x^b)(y - 1)]$  is a  $\Lambda$ -isomorphism. As  $[\pi(k)]$  clearly satisfies  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma)$  it suffices to show that  $\pi(k)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{M}(-)$  when  $k$  is coprime to  $2n + 1$ .

Thus suppose that  $k$  is coprime to  $2n + 1$  so that, in particular,  $x^k$  generates  $C_{2n+1}$ . As  $n$  is also coprime to  $2n + 1$  then  $x^n$  also generates  $C_{2n+1}$ . Hence there is an automorphism  $\alpha : D_{4n+2} \rightarrow D_{4n+2}$  with the properties that  $\alpha(x^n) = x^k$  and  $\alpha(y) = y$ . Let  $\alpha_* : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  be the ring automorphism induced by  $\alpha$ . Then  $\alpha_*(\pi) = \pi(k)$  so that  $\alpha_*(P) = [\pi(k)]$ . Hence  $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}([\pi(k)]) = 2n$ . However as

$$\pi(k) \cdot y = -\pi(k)$$

then  $[\pi(k)] = \text{span}_{\mathbf{Z}}\{\pi(k) \cdot x^s \mid 0 \leq s \leq 2n\}$ . However  $\pi(k) \cdot \Sigma_x = 0$  so that, as  $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}([\pi(k)]) = 2n$  then  $\{\pi(k) \cdot x^s \mid 1 \leq s \leq 2n\}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis for  $[\pi(k)]$ . Thus  $[\pi(k)]$  satisfies  $\mathcal{M}(-)$  as required.  $\square$

A similar argument yields the corresponding statement for  $R$ :

**Proposition 3.4:** Let  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$  be such that  $a - b$  is coprime to  $2n + 1$ ; then  $[(x^a - x^b)(y + 1)] \cong R$ .

#### §4: The modules $K, L$ :

We define  $K = [\Sigma_x, y - 1]$  and  $L = [\Sigma_x, y + 1]$ ; we claim

**Proposition 4.1 :**  $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}(K) = 2n + 2$  and  $\Lambda/K$  is torsion free.

**Proof :** Put  $K_0 = \{(1 - y)a(x) \mid a(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[C_{2n+1}]\} \subset K$ . We note that

$$(y - 1)x^s \cdot y = -(y - 1)x^{2n+1-s}$$

from which it follows that  $K_0$  is a  $\Lambda$ -submodule of  $K$ . Moreover, as

$$\Sigma_x y = \sum_{s=0}^{2n} (y - 1)x^s + \Sigma_x$$

it follows that  $K$  is spanned over  $\mathbf{Z}$  by  $\{(y - 1)x^s \mid 0 \leq s \leq 2n\} \cup \{\Sigma_x\}$ . However, starting from the canonical basis for  $\Lambda$  and proceeding by elementary basis transformations, it is easy to see that  $\{(y - 1)x^r \mid 0 \leq r \leq 2n\} \cup \{\Sigma_x\} \cup \{x^s \mid 1 \leq s \leq 2n\}$

is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis for  $\Lambda$ . It follows from (1.3) that  $\{(y-1)x^s \mid 0 \leq s \leq 2n\} \cup \{\Sigma_x\}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis for  $K$  and  $\Lambda/K$  is torsion free  $\square$

For future reference we note that we have also shown:

(4.2)  $\{(y-1)x^s \mid 0 \leq s \leq 2n\} \cup \{\Sigma_x\}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis for  $K$ .

**Proposition 4.3**  $K$  is monogenic, generated by  $(1-y)\theta + \Sigma_x y$ ;

**Proof :** It is clear that  $(1-y)\theta + \Sigma_x y \in [\Sigma_x, y-1]$ . However, the identity

$$\{(1-y)\theta + \Sigma_x y\} \cdot x^{n+1}(1-y) = (y-1)$$

shows that  $(y-1) \in [(1-y)\theta + \Sigma_x y]$ . Thus  $(y-1)\theta \in [(1-y)\theta + \Sigma_x y]$ . Hence  $\Sigma_x y \in [(1-y)\theta + \Sigma_x y]$  and so  $\Sigma_x = \{\Sigma_x y\} \cdot y \in [(1-y)\theta + \Sigma_x y]$ .  $\square$

Now put  $L_0 = \{(y+1)a(x) \mid a(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[C_{2n+1}]\} \subset L$ ; similarly to (4.1) we have:

**Proposition 4.4 :**  $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}(L) = 2n+2$  and  $\Lambda/L$  is torsion free.

Furthermore:

(4.5)  $\{(y+1)x^s \mid 0 \leq s \leq 2n\} \cup \{\Sigma_x\}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis for  $L$ .

Noting that  $\{(1+y)\theta - \Sigma_x y\} \cdot x^{n+1}(y+1) = -(y+1)$  an analogous argument to (4.3) then shows that:

**Proposition 4.6**  $L$  is monogenic, generated by  $(1+y)\theta - \Sigma_x y$ .

### §5 : Two diagonal resolutions :

Define elements in  $\Lambda$  as follows

$$\partial_0^+ = (1-y)\theta + \Sigma_x \cdot y ;$$

$$\partial_1^+ = (x^{n+1} - x)(y-1) ;$$

$$\partial_2^+ = (1+y)\theta - \Sigma_x \cdot y ;$$

$$\partial_3^+ = (x^{n+1} - x)(y+1)$$

and put  $\partial_4^+ = \partial_0^+$ ; we have a sequence repeating with period four infinitely in both directions:

$$(\mathcal{S}^+) \quad \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_1^+} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\partial_0^+} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\partial_3^+} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\partial_2^+} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\partial_1^+} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\partial_0^+} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\partial_3^+} \dots$$

We shall show that  $(\mathcal{S}^+)$  is exact. To do this first observe that:



**Proposition 5.1 :**  $\partial_0^+ \partial_1^+ = 0$ .

**Proof :** From the above definitions we see that  $\partial_0^+ \partial_1^+ = A + B$  where

$$A = (1 - y)\theta(x^{n+1} - x)(y - 1) \quad ; \quad B = \Sigma_x y(x^{n+1} - x)(y - 1).$$

As  $\Sigma_x$  is central in  $\Lambda$  and  $\Sigma_x(x^{n+1} - x) = 0$  it follows that  $B = 0$ .

To show that  $A = 0$  we first note that

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (1 - y)y\{\overline{\theta x^{n+1}} - \overline{\theta x}\} + (y - 1)\{\theta x^{n+1} - \theta x\} \\ &= (y - 1)\{(\overline{\theta x^{n+1}} - \theta x) + (\theta x^{n+1} - \overline{\theta x})\}. \end{aligned}$$

However, by (1.1),  $\overline{\theta} = \theta x^{n+2}$  so that  $\overline{\theta x^{n+1}} = \theta x^{n+2} x^n = \theta x$  and likewise  $\theta x^{n+1} = \overline{\theta x}$ . As required we have  $A = 0$ .  $\square$

A similar proof shows that

$$(5.2) \quad \partial_2^+ \partial_3^+ = 0.$$

From the fact that  $y^2 = 1$  and that  $\Sigma_x$  is central in  $\Lambda$  it follows that:

$$(5.3) \quad \partial_1^+ \partial_2^+ = 0;$$

$$(5.4) \quad \partial_3^+ \partial_0^+ = 0.$$

**Proposition 5.5:**  $\Lambda/[\partial_r^+]$  is torsion free for each  $r$ .

**Proof :** Let  $\tau : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  be the  $\Lambda$ -isomorphism  $\tau(\lambda) = x\lambda$ . Then  $\tau$  induces an isomorphism  $\Lambda/[\pi] \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda/[\tau(\pi)]$ . However  $\tau(\pi) = \partial_1^+$  and  $\Lambda/[\pi]$  is torsion free, by (2.8). Thus  $\Lambda/[\partial_1^+]$  is torsion free.

To show that  $\Lambda/[\partial_3^+]$  is torsion free, put  $v = (x - 1)(y + 1)$ . Then  $\tau^n(v) = -\rho$  so that  $\Lambda/[v]$  is torsion free by (1.3), (1.10) and (2.3). Observing that  $n$  is coprime to  $2n + 1$ , let  $\alpha : D_{4n+2} \rightarrow D_{4n+2}$  be the automorphism  $\alpha(x) = x^n$ ;  $\alpha(y) = y$  and let  $\alpha_* : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  be the ring automorphism induced from  $\alpha$ . Then  $\tau \circ \alpha_*(v) = \partial_3^+$  so that  $\Lambda/[\partial_3^+]$  is torsion free.

For the remaining two cases, observe that  $[\partial_0^+] = K$  and  $[\partial_2^+] = L$ . However,  $\Lambda/K$  is torsion free by (4.1) and  $\Lambda/L$  is torsion free by (4.4).  $\square$

**Proposition 5.6 :**  $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\text{Ker}(\partial_r^+)] = \text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\text{Im}(\partial_{r+1}^+)]$  for  $0 \leq r \leq 3$ .

**Proof :** Observe that

$$(*) \quad \text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\text{Im}(\partial_r^+)] = \begin{cases} 2n + 2 & r \text{ even} \\ 2n & r \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

However  $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\text{Ker}(\partial_r^+)) = 4n + 2 - \text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\text{Im}(\partial_r^+))$ ; on applying this to (\*) we see that

$$(**) \quad \text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\text{Ker}(\partial_r^+)] = \begin{cases} 2n & r \text{ even} \\ 2n + 2 & r \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

On re-expressing (\*) in the following form

$$(***) \quad \text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}[\text{Im}(\partial_{r+1}^+)] = \begin{cases} 2n & r \text{ even} \\ 2n + 2 & r \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

the result follows immediately.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.7:** The sequence  $(\mathcal{S}^+)$  is exact.

**Proof :** From (5.1) - (5.4) we see that  $\text{Im}(\partial_{r+1}^+) \subset \text{Ker}(\partial_r^+)$  for  $0 \leq r \leq 4$ . From (5.6) it follows that each  $\text{Ker}(\partial_r^+)/\text{Im}(\partial_{r+1}^+)$  is finite. However, by (5.1) each  $\text{Ker}(\partial_r^+)/\text{Im}(\partial_{r+1}^+)$  is also torsion free and so  $\text{Ker}(\partial_r^+) = \text{Im}(\partial_{r+1}^+)$   $\square$

In addition to  $(\mathcal{S}^+)$  we have another long exact sequence  $(\mathcal{S}^-)$  of period two;

$$(\mathcal{S}^-) \quad \dots \xrightarrow{y+1} \Lambda \xrightarrow{y-1} \Lambda \xrightarrow{y+1} \Lambda \xrightarrow{y-1} \Lambda \xrightarrow{y+1} \Lambda \xrightarrow{y-1} \Lambda \xrightarrow{y+1} \dots$$

From  $(\mathcal{S}^+)$  and  $(\mathcal{S}^-)$  we may form another exact sequence, again repeating with period four infinitely in both directions:

$$(\mathcal{S})_{\infty} \quad \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} \partial_0^+ & 0 \\ 0 & y+1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} \partial_3^+ & 0 \\ 0 & y-1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2^+ & 0 \\ 0 & y+1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1^+ & 0 \\ 0 & y-1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} \partial_0^+ & 0 \\ 0 & y+1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \Lambda \oplus \Lambda.$$

The sequence  $(\mathcal{S})$  is a complete resolution of  $D_{4n+2}$  in the sense of Tate [1]. We proceed to modify  $(\mathcal{S})$  in a number of ways. Taking  $\epsilon : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  to be the augmentation map and defining

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 : \Lambda \oplus \Lambda &\rightarrow \Lambda & ; & & \partial_1 &= (\partial_1^+, y-1) \\ \partial_2 : \Lambda \oplus \Lambda &\rightarrow \Lambda \oplus \Lambda & ; & & \partial_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2^+ & 0 \\ 0 & y+1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

we have the following sequence

$$(\mathcal{S}) \quad \dots \rightarrow \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1^+ & 0 \\ 0 & y+1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} \partial_0^+ & 0 \\ 0 & y+1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} \partial_3^+ & 0 \\ 0 & y-1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

which continues thereafter infinitely to the left with the same differentials as  $(\mathcal{S})_{\infty}$ . Noting that  $\text{Ker}(\epsilon) = \mathcal{I}$  and that, by (2.7),

$$\mathcal{I} = [\pi] \dot{+} [y-1] = [\partial_1^+] \dot{+} \text{Im}(y-1) = \text{Im}(\partial_1)$$

we see that:

$$(5.8) \quad \text{Ker}(\epsilon) = \text{Im}(\partial_1).$$

To proceed we note the following identity.

$$(5.9) \quad [(1-y)\theta + \Sigma_x y](y-1) = (y-1).$$

**Proposition 5.10 :**  $\text{Ker}(\partial_1) = \text{Im}(\partial_2)$ .

**Proof :** It is straightforward to check that  $\partial_1 \partial_2 = 0$  so it suffices to show that  $\text{Ker}(\partial_1) \subset \text{Im}(\partial_2)$ . Thus suppose that

$$\partial_1 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Then  $\partial_1^+(a) = -(y-1)b$ . However,  $\partial_0^+ \partial_1^+ = 0$  so that, by the identity of (5.9),

$$\partial_0^+(y-1)b = \{(1-y)\theta + \Sigma_x y\}(y-1)b = (y-1)b = 0$$

Thus  $b = (y+1)d$  for some  $d \in \Lambda$  and  $\partial_1^+(a) = -(y-1)(y+1)d = 0$ . Hence  $a = \partial_2^+(c)$  for some  $c \in \Lambda$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2^+ & 0 \\ 0 & y+1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \partial_2 \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix}. \quad \square$$

From the foregoing we see that:

(5.11) The sequence  $(\mathcal{S})$  is exact.

The sequence  $(\mathcal{S})$  is a free diagonal resolution of  $D_{4n+2}$  of period four. There is, however, an even simpler free resolution to be obtained. Thus if we now define

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_3 : \Lambda &\rightarrow \Lambda \oplus \Lambda & ; & & \partial_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} \partial_3^+ \\ y-1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \epsilon^* : \mathbf{Z} &\rightarrow \Lambda & ; & & \epsilon^*(1) &= \Sigma_x(1+y) \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the following finite sequence

$$(\mathcal{D})_{\text{fin}} \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\epsilon^*} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\partial_3} \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

From the definition of  $\partial_3$  and the exactness of  $(\mathcal{S})$  it follows immediately that

$$(5.12) \quad \text{Ker}(\partial_2) = \text{Im}(\partial_3).$$

**Proposition 5.13 :**  $\text{Ker}(\partial_3) = \text{Im}(\epsilon^*)$ .

**Proof :** It is straightforward to see that  $\text{Im}(\epsilon^*) \subset \text{Ker}(\partial_3)$ . To establish the reverse inclusion, suppose  $e \in \Lambda$  satisfies  $\partial_3(e) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ; then

$$(x^{n+1} - x^n)(y+1)e = (y-1)e = 0.$$

In particular,  $e = (y+1)f$  and so  $(x^{n+1} - x^n)(y+1)(y+1)f = 0$ ; that is  $2x^n(x-1)(y+1)f = 0$  or equivalently

$$(x-1)(y+1)f = 0.$$

Write  $f = g(x) + h(x)y$  where  $g(x), h(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[C_{2n+1}]$  so that

$$e = (1+y)f = \alpha(x)(1+y)$$

where  $\alpha(x) = g(x) + \overline{h(x)}$ . As  $(x-1)e = 0$  then  $(x-1)\alpha(x) = 0$  so that  $\alpha(x) = \lambda \Sigma_x$  and so  $e = \lambda \Sigma_x(1+y) = \epsilon^*(\lambda)$ . Thus  $\text{Ker}(\partial_3) \subset \text{Im}(\epsilon^*)$ .  $\square$

In consequence of the foregoing we obtain the following, which is statement **(B)** of the Introduction:

(5.14) The sequence  $(\mathcal{D})_{\text{fin}}$  is exact.

Observing that  $\epsilon \epsilon^* = \Sigma_x(1+y)$  we may repeat  $(\mathcal{D})_{\text{fin}}$  infinitely to the left to obtain another free resolution of  $D_{4n+2}$  with period four thus:

$$(\mathcal{D})_{\infty} \quad \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\Sigma_x(1+y)} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\partial_3} \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \Lambda \oplus \Lambda \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

**§6 : The syzygies  $\Omega_r^{D_{4n+2}}(\mathbf{Z})$ :**

Let  $\Lambda$  denote the integral group ring  $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}[G]$  of a finite group  $G$ . If  $M$  is a  $\Lambda$ -module and

$$(\mathcal{F}) \quad \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+2}} F_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} F_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

is a free resolution of  $M$  of finite type over  $\Lambda$  the *syzygy modules*  $(J_r)_{1 \leq r}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  are the intermediate modules  $J_r = \text{Im}(\partial_r) = \text{Ker}(\partial_{r-1})$ . The stable class  $[\text{Im}(\partial_r)]$  is independent of  $(\mathcal{F})$  and is written

$$\Omega_r^G(M) = [\text{Im}(\partial_r)].$$

From the resolution  $(\mathcal{D})_\infty$  we can read off the syzygies  $\Omega_r(\mathbf{Z}) (= \Omega_r^{D_{4n+2}}(\mathbf{Z}))$  directly:

$$(6.1) \quad \Omega_r(\mathbf{Z}) \sim \begin{cases} [\mathbf{Z}] & r \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ [(x^{n+1} - x)(y - 1)] \oplus [y - 1] & r \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ [(1 + y)\theta - \Sigma_x y] \oplus [y + 1] & r \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ [(x^{n+1} - x)(y + 1)] \oplus [y - 1] & r \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

This description can be simplified; as  $n$  is coprime to  $2n + 1$  then by (3.3) and (3.4)

$$[(x^{n+1} - x)(y - 1)] \cong P \quad ; \quad [(x^{n+1} - x)(y + 1)] \cong R$$

whilst from (4.3) and (4.6) we have  $[(1 + y)\theta - \Sigma_x y] \cong L$ . Thus

$$(6.2) \quad \Omega_r(\mathbf{Z}) \sim \begin{cases} [\mathbf{Z}] & r \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ [P] \oplus [y - 1] & r \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ [L] \oplus [y + 1] & r \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ [R] \oplus [y - 1] & r \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Reading off the syzygies from the resolution  $(\mathcal{S})$  gives a slightly different expression for  $\Omega_4(\mathbf{Z})$ ; recalling from (4.3) that  $[(1 - y)\theta + \Sigma_x y] \cong K$ , then

$$(6.3) \quad \Omega_4(\mathbf{Z}) \sim [K] \oplus [y + 1].$$

Comparing the expressions for  $\Omega_4(\mathbf{Z})$  in (6.1) and (6.3) we find that :

$$(6.4) \quad [\mathbf{Z}] = [K] \oplus [y + 1].$$

Together with (6.4), the isomorphisms  $[(1 - y)\theta + \Sigma_x y] \cong K$ ,  $[(x - 1)(y - 1)] \cong P$ ,  $[(1 + y)\theta - \Sigma_x y] \cong L$ ,  $[(x - 1)(y + 1)] \cong R$  show that (6.2) is equivalent to the statement **(A)** of the Introduction.

The decomposition (6.4) illustrates a somewhat paradoxical aspect of the theory of stable modules, namely that whilst a module (in this case the trivial module  $\mathbf{Z}$ ) may be indecomposable, its stable class may decompose non-trivially. This phenomenon seems first to have been pointed out, though without an explicit example, in the paper of Gruenberg and Roggenkamp ([3] Proposition 1). They attribute the original observation to E.C. Dade ([3] p. 153).

**§7 : Relations between the modules :**

If  $M, N$  are  $\Lambda$ -lattices the tensor product  $M \otimes N$  is defined by imposing the group action  $(m \otimes n) \cdot g = m \cdot g \otimes n \cdot g$  on the abelian group  $M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} N$ . Extending this in an obvious way to stable modules it is well known and straightforward to show that

$$(7.1) \quad \Omega_k(\mathbf{Z}) \otimes \Omega_l(\mathbf{Z}) = \Omega_{k+l}(\mathbf{Z}).$$

This suggests corresponding relations between the modules  $K, P, L, R$ . For example, the relation  $\Omega_1(\mathbf{Z}) \otimes \Omega_1(\mathbf{Z}) = \Omega_2(\mathbf{Z})$  suggests a stable equivalence  $P \otimes P \sim L$ . This is indeed the case. More precisely, the author's student John Evans has shown that (cf [2]), under tensor product, the relations amongst the modules  $K, P, L, R$  are given by the following table.

$\otimes$	$K$	$P$	$L$	$R$
$K$	$K \oplus \Lambda^{n+1}$	$P \oplus \Lambda^n$	$L \oplus \Lambda^{n+1}$	$R \oplus \Lambda^n$
$P$	$P \oplus \Lambda^n$	$L \oplus \Lambda^{n-1}$	$R \oplus \Lambda^n$	$K \oplus \Lambda^{n-1}$
$L$	$L \oplus \Lambda^{n+1}$	$R \oplus \Lambda^n$	$K \oplus \Lambda^{n+1}$	$P \oplus \Lambda^n$
$R$	$R \oplus \Lambda^n$	$K \oplus \Lambda^{n-1}$	$P \oplus \Lambda^n$	$L \oplus \Lambda^{n-1}$

Thus under the operation of tensor product one may view the stable modules  $[K],[P],[L],[R]$  as a cyclic group of order 4 generated either by  $[P]$  or  $[R]$ , with  $[K]$  as identity.

There are corresponding duality statements. Over an arbitrary finite group one has  $\Omega_r(\mathbf{Z})^* = \Omega_{-r}(\mathbf{Z})$ . However in the special case  $G = D_{4n+2}$  the syzygies have period four,  $\Omega_r(\mathbf{Z}) = \Omega_{r+4}(\mathbf{Z})$  so that

$$(7.3) \quad \Omega_r^*(\mathbf{Z}) = \Omega_{4-r}(\mathbf{Z}).$$

In fact the corresponding relations already hold at the level of modules, namely

$$(7.4) \quad K^* \cong K;$$

$$(7.5) \quad L^* \cong L;$$

$$(7.6) \quad P^* \cong R;$$

$$(7.7) \quad R^* \cong P.$$

One should perhaps stress that no two of  $K, P, L, R$  are isomorphic. In fact, given that  $D_{4n+2}$  has cohomological period four, no two of  $K, P, L, R$  are stably isomorphic.

**F.E.A. Johnson**

**Department of Mathematics**

**University College London**

**Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.**

**e-mail address : feaj@math.ucl.ac.uk**

## REFERENCES

- [1]: M.F. Atiyah and C.T.C. Wall; Cohomology of groups;  
in ‘Algebraic Number Theory’ (edited by J.W.S. Cassels  
and A Fröhlich) Academic Press, 1967.
- [2]: J.D.P. Evans; Ph.D Thesis. University College London  
(in preparation).
- [3]: K.W. Gruenberg and K.W. Roggenkamp; Decomposition of the  
augmentation ideal and of the relation modules of a finite group.  
Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 31 (1975) 149-166.
- [4] : F.E.A. Johnson; Explicit homotopy equivalences in dimension two:  
Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 133 (2002) 411-430.
- [5]: F.E.A. Johnson; Stable modules and the D(2)-problem:  
LMS Lecture Notes In Mathematics, vol. 301. CUP 2003.
- [6] : F.E.A. Johnson; Syzygies and homotopy theory:  
Springer-Verlag. 2011.
- [7] : W.H. Mannan and S. O’Shea; Minimal algebraic  $\pi_2$  over  $D_{4n}$ :  
Algebraic Geometry and Topology 13 (2013) 3287-3304.
- [8] : I. Strouthos; Stably free modules over group rings:  
PhD Thesis, University College London, 2010.
- [9] : R.G. Swan; Periodic resolutions for finite groups:  
Ann. of Math. 72 (1960) 267-291.