



Multi-scale approach to explore the relationships between connectivity and function in whole brain simulations

S. Diaz-Pier, C. Nowke, A. Peyser, B. Weyers, B. Hentschel, A. Morrison, T. W. Kuhlen December 5th, 2016



Agenda

- Motivation
- Introduction: structural and functional connectivity of the brain
- Our experimental setup: simulating a whole brain at multiple scales
- Visualizing and creating connectivity with structural plasticity
- Results
- Conclusions



Motivation

We want to better understand the relationship between connectivity and function in the brain at different scales.





Structural and functional connectivity of the brain

- The connectome plays a fundamental role in explaining the high-level activities of the brain.
- Simulations can help unravel correlations among them.
- Current experimental data is incomplete / not detailed enough.

Structural connectivity

Neurons





Among neurons inside regions



Among regions in the whole brain

Functional connectivity



[[]Ling-Li Zeng et al., 2012]



Our approach

- Use a multi-scale simulation which:
 - self generates missing connectivity information using local measurements of electrical activity.
 - efficiently generates a coarse signal comparable to experimental data.
 - provides an interactive visualization tool of the impact of structural changes in activity at different scales.



Our experimental setup

- Our multi-scale simulation consist of a whole brain parcellated into 68 regions as described in Deco et al. 2014 [4].
- Regions are connected between each other using experimental DTI data.
- Each region is modeled as:
 - a dynamic neural mass (DMF).
 - a small point-neuron populations in NEST [6].



Multi-scale simulation



Member of the Helmholtz Association



Our experimental setup

- Structural plasticity [1,2] in NEST is used to calculate the missing inner inhibitory connectivity required to match experimentally observed firing rates.
- We allow the point-neuron network to self-generate the missing connectivity following simple homeostatic rules [3].
- We export the connectivity as input for the DMF simulation. The DMF produces an activity pattern used to generate a blood-oxygen-level dependent (BOLD) signal.
- The comparison between long time simulation output and experimental fMRI data is work in progress.
- Our approach makes use of supercomputers.



Our experimental setup



Visualizing connectivity

• We use an interactive tool designed to visualize and steer the changes in connectivity for this complex multipopulation network.





• Visualize multiple regions and control connectivity growth parameters.





• Detect highly coupled regions which require particular tunning.





• Observe how the activity in each region moves towards the target.





• Save connectivity and export to the DMF simulation.





• Generation of BOLD signal using the DMF simulation.



Time steps

 Comparison between experimental and simulated BOLD signals (work in progress)





- With this approach the fitting and parameter space exploration:
 - is around 10 times faster than brute force fitting algorithms
 - is more robust since it progressively achieves global stability
 - aids a better understanding of the parameter space



Conclusions

- Using this approach it is possible to fill gaps in connectivity data of highly coupled multi-population networks and explore the impact of structure in function at different scales.
- Navigate through the dynamic parameter space of structural plasticity in NEST and reach stable connectivity patterns which can be then used in a larger scale model (DMF).
- At the population scale, computationally efficient simulations, producing an output that can be compared to coarse experimental data.
- At the neuron scale, provide more insight and control over the local connections in the brain.



Conclusions

- Using models at different scales: study and verify the interactions, links and equivalences among them.
- Supercomputer setup allows us to simulate large scale networks and iteratively explore large parameter spaces.



References

- (1) Lamprecht, Raphael, and Joseph LeDoux. "Structural plasticity and memory." Nature Reviews Neuroscience 5.1 (2004): 45-54.
- (2) De Paola, V., Holtmaat, A., Knott, G., Song, S., Wilbrecht, L., Caroni, P., & Svoboda, K. (2006). Cell type-specic structural plasticity of axonal branches and boutons in the adult neocortex. Neuron 49 (6), 861875.
- (3) Butz, M., & van Ooyen, A. (2013). A simple rule for dendritic spine and axonal bouton formation can account for cortical reorganization after focal retinal lesions. PLoS Comput. Biol. 9 (10), e1003259.
- (4) G. Deco, A. Ponce-Alvarez, P. Hagmann, G. L. Romani, D. Mantini, and M. Coretta. How local excitation–inhibition ratio impacts the whole brain dynamics. The Journal of Neuroscience, 34(23):7886–7898, 2014.
- (5) Zeng, Ling-Li, et al. "Identifying major depression using whole-brain functional connectivity: a multivariate pattern analysis." Brain 135.5 (2012): 1498-1507.
- (6) H. Bos, A. Morrison, A. Peyser, J. Hahne, M. Helias, S. Kunkel, T. Ippen, J. M. Eppler, M. Schmidt, A. Seeholzer, M. Djurfeldt, S. Diaz, J. Morén, R. Deepu, T. Stocco, M. Deger, F. Michler, and H. E. Plesser. NEST 2.10.0, Dec. 2015.



Thanks for your attention

Questions?





Use case

- In the configuration used here, only inhibitory connections can be created.
- The desired electrical activity has a frequency of 3 Hz and an inverted Gaussian curve describes the growth rate of connection points for neurons.
- It is important to note that in this work, we are only focusing on the calculation of connectivity for the large scale simulation.
- The comparison of the results obtained by the simulation of the whole brain using the DMFM model and is subject of future work.