

**NIH PUBLIC ACCESS**

Author manuscript

Science. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 March 19.

Published in final edited form as:

Science. 2016 November 11; 354(6313): . doi:10.1126/science.aah5651.**RNA interference is essential for cellular quiescence****B. Roche¹, B. Arcangioli², and R.A. Martienssen^{1,*}**¹Howard Hughes Medical Institute—Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1 Bungtown Road, Cold Spring Harbor NY11724, USA²Institut Pasteur, Dynamic of the Genome Unit, Department of Genomes and Genetics, UMR3525, 25-28 rue du Docteur Roux, Paris 75015, France**Abstract**

Quiescent cells play a predominant role in most organisms. Here, we identify RNA interference (RNAi) as a major requirement for quiescence (G_0) in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. RNAi mutants lose viability at G_0 -entry and are unable to maintain long-term quiescence. We obtained *dcr1* G_0 suppressors, which mapped to genes involved in chromosome segregation, RNA polymerase-associated factors, and heterochromatin formation. We propose a model in which RNAi promotes RNA polymerase release in cycling and quiescent cells: (i) RNA pol II release mediates heterochromatin formation at centromeres allowing proper chromosome segregation during mitotic growth and G_0 -entry, and (ii) RNA pol I release prevents heterochromatin formation at rDNA during quiescence maintenance. Our model may account for the co-dependency of RNAi and H3K9 methylation throughout eukaryotic evolution.

Main Text

In nature, most cells exist in a non-dividing state (the ' G_0 ' phase of the cell cycle). This state is commonly referred to as 'quiescent' when cells are still metabolically active and able to re-enter the cell cycle given the appropriate signal. Examples of quiescent cells include stem cell niches (1), neuronal progenitor cells (2) and memory T cells (3). Yeasts and other microorganisms are also able to enter a reversible quiescent state in response to environmental cues (4), and in several pathogenic species this state allows persistence of infection in the host (5). Despite their tremendous importance, the molecular pathways involved in quiescence entry, maintenance, and exit, are not well understood. Given that long-term stability and reversibility are hallmarks of quiescence, epigenetic mechanisms are likely involved, and we are studying their contribution to quiescence, using the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* as a model.

*Correspondence to: martiens@cshl.edu.

Supplementary Materials

www.sciencemag.org

Materials and Methods

Figs. S1 to S16

Tables S1 to S3

In *S. pombe*, synchronized entry into the reversible quiescent state is induced upon nitrogen starvation in the absence of a mating partner. Under these conditions the rod-shaped cells divide twice without growth and differentiate into quiescent G₀ cells, which retain viability and the ability to re-enter the cell cycle for more than 1 month (4). G₀ cells have a characteristic transcriptome (6, 7), morphology and metabolic response (4, 8, 9). RNAi-guided heterochromatic silencing is a major epigenetic pathway in *S. pombe*, which has one copy of each of the key enzymes involved: Dicer (Dcr1), Argonaute (Ago1) and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (Rdp1). Transcription of pericentromeric repeats on both strands by RNA polymerase II is thought to generate partially double-stranded RNAs, which are cleaved by Dcr1 to small RNAs (21–23nt). Small RNAs bound to Ago1 are targeted to homologous transcripts, and lead to the recruitment of Rdp1 to generate more template dsRNA. Subsequent engagement of the CLRC/Rik1 silencing complex, which includes the histone H3 lysine 9 (H3K9) methyltransferase Clr4, and the H3K4 demethylase Lid2, silences heterochromatic transcripts and reporter genes. This process is tightly regulated during S-phase in order to ensure heterochromatin inheritance (10, 11), and is coupled to the DNA replication fork, which promotes heterochromatin spreading (12). RNAi mutants are viable but lose silencing of the pericentromeric repeats (13) resulting in chromosome segregation defects (14).

We induced prototrophic wild-type, *dcr1*, *ago1* and *rdp1* strains into G₀ by nitrogen starvation, and determined viability at different time-points up to 15 days (15). While the wild-type strain retained 88.5% viability after 15 days of G₀, *dcr1* viability was reduced to 53.3% at 24h and then gradually decreased to 7.9% at 15 days. *rdp1* and *ago1* cells had comparable, though less severe viability defects (Fig. 1A; Fig. S1; Fig. S2). We distinguished two different phases in the loss of viability of RNAi mutant strains: initial loss, which is characterized either by cells failing to enter G₀ or by cells unable to exit G₀, and subsequent gradual loss of viability over time, indicative of a defect in quiescence maintenance. In accordance with a major defect in G₀-entry, there was an increase in the number of cells retaining a ‘rod-like’ shape (Fig. 1BD), resulting in a lower number of cell divisions after nitrogen-starvation (Fig. 1C). Mis-segregated DNA at the first division was readily detected by DAPI staining. Furthermore, while wild-type G₀-exiting cells displayed a ‘schmoo’ morphology at the first S-phase (4), this was only observed for viable RNAi mutant cells (that form colonies when micro-isolated on rich medium) and not for the majority of inviable cells, supporting the idea that initial loss of viability reflects an inability to properly enter the quiescent state. Over time, refraction-negative (dead) and mis-shaped cells constituted an increasing proportion of RNAi defective G₀ cells (Fig. 1B). Interestingly, the dead cells were morphologically heterogeneous, by comparison with, for example, *tdp1* mutants in DNA repair (16), indicating that the loss of viability may be a complex effect.

To assess whether the G₀ phenotype of RNAi mutants was due to the loss of pericentromeric heterochromatin, we assayed *swi6*^{HP1}, *chp2*^{HP1}, and *clr4* for viability in G₀. We observed that *chp2* had a wild-type G₀ phenotype, and that *swi6* and *clr4* strains were affected only during G₀-entry and subsequently viable (Fig. S3ABC). In parallel, we treated the wild-type strain with trichostatin A (TSA) for 24 hours before G₀-induction; this treatment results in loss of heterochromatin, but only results in a minor loss of viability in G₀ (Fig. S3DE). These results indicate that whereas the G₀-entry loss of viability was

common to RNAi and heterochromatin mutants, the loss of viability in quiescence maintenance of RNAi mutants was not due to loss of pericentromeric heterochromatin. The two distinct phases of viability loss therefore rely on two different mechanisms.

To further explore the function of RNAi during quiescence maintenance, we established a suppressor screen based on the sharp decrease in viability of *dcrl* in G_0 , as follows: a population of *dcrl* cells was induced into G_0 by nitrogen-starvation (EMM-N media) for 1–3 days, and was then put back into the cell cycle in rich medium (YES media) for ~4–7 generations. The population was then re-induced into G_0 , and the process repeated, alternating between quiescence and growth for up to 20 cycles (Fig. 2A). Cells carrying a spontaneous suppressor mutation have a fitness advantage at every cycle, and are progressively enriched in the culture. We tested the protocol for proof-of-concept using a known suppressor (15). We subsequently performed the suppressor screen on >50 independent populations, and we identified 13 *dcrl* independent suppressors by whole genome sequencing (17) and validation in backcrosses (15) (Fig. S4; Fig. S5). The suppressors fell into three main classes (Fig. 2B):

- i. Mutants in chromosome segregation and kinetochore assembly: *ndc80-R523L*, *ndc80-P431L*, *ndc80-R137N*, *klp5-del*. The Ndc80 mutants affect each of the functional domains of the protein: R137 is in the globular domain, P431 in a Dis1-interaction site (18), R523 is in the second long tail of unknown function. The *klp5-del* allele consists of a short deletion with a frameshift at codon 772, resulting in a different 772–814 C-terminus.
- ii. Mutants in the CLRC/Rik1 complex and HP1: *rik1-K812**, *raf2-G37V*, *swi6-W293**, *swi6-T278K*, *rik1-T942K*, *clr4-R126**, *clr4-Y451**.
- iii. Mutants in RNA polymerase-associated factors: *tbp1-D156Y*, *med31-ins*. The insertion in *med31-ins* consists of the replacement of Phe23 by the sequence LVRIC, without frameshift. This phenylalanine is highly conserved from budding yeast Soh1p^{MED31} to human MED31p (19).

Class (i) suppressors fell in the essential kinetochore Ndc80 subunit and the kinesin-8 ortholog Klp5, which promotes microtubule catastrophe (20). These mutants might be expected to suppress chromosome mis-segregation defects of RNAi mutants during the two accelerated divisions that *S. pombe* cells undergo at the entry of quiescence (Fig. 1B), as well as in mitosis (14) and in meiosis (21). Consistent with this hypothesis, we found that *ndc80-R523L*, *ndc80-P431L*, *ndc80-R137N* and *klp5-del* are TBZ-resistant, similarly to *klp5* (20), and these strains have less rod-shaped cells after 24h in G_0 in a *dcrl* background than the single-mutant *dcrl* (Fig. S6AB). Consistently, the lower suppression by *ndc80-R137N* may indicate that the Ndc80 loop may play a more important role in the suppression, potentially via Dis1 by stabilizing the spindle-microtubule attachment (18). We were additionally able to phenocopy the mis-segregation phenotype in wild-type cells by treatment with TBZ during G_0 -entry (24h) (Fig. S6E). Chromosome mis-segregation in RNAi mutants is caused by loss of pericentromeric heterochromatin, and we predicted that restoring pericentromeric heterochromatin in RNAi mutants (22–24) should rescue the G_0 -entry phenotype. Mutations in the H3K14-acetyltransferase Mst2 complex reduce pericentromeric transcription, allowing heterochromatin to be maintained in a RNAi-

independent manner (22) and *mst2* indeed strongly rescued the G₀-entry phenotype of RNAi mutants (Fig. S6F).

Class (ii) suppressors suppress the quiescence maintenance defect of *dcr1* (Fig. S5). All suppressors in the CLRC/Rik1 complex lose silencing of the pericentromeric *dg/dh* repeats, and are therefore defective in heterochromatin formation; consistently *raf2*-G37V is mutated in the RFTS (Replication Foci Targeting Sequence) (25) while *swi6*-T278K and *swi6*-W293* are mutated in the chromoshadow domain. We subsequently found that *clr4* and *swi6* suppressed *dcr1*, *ago1* and *rdp1*, as did *rik1* (Fig. S7) indicating that H3K9-methylation may be responsible for the progressive loss of viability during G₀ in the absence of RNAi. To investigate this further, we performed ChIP-seq of H3K9me2 in G₀ wild-type and *dcr1* cells (Fig. 3A; Fig. S8; Fig. S9). H3K9me2 localization in wild-type was prevalent at the centromere and subtelomeres, but not at the recently described transient heterochromatic islands found along chromosome arms (Fig. S9), consistent with the observation that these islands disappear following nitrogen-starvation (26). The *dcr1* strain showed near-complete loss of centromeric H3K9me2 (Fig. S8C), as well as at subtelomeric *tlh* loci (Fig. S8B); however, a striking accumulation of H3K9me2 was observed at the rDNA locus (Fig. 3A; Fig. S8A). Intriguingly, H3K9me2 accumulation matched the transcribed region of rDNA (Fig. 3A). H3K9me2 accumulation at the rDNA was also found in wild-type G₀ cells at lower levels and depends on the H3K9 methyltransferase Clr4 (Fig. 3B). This H3K9me2 accumulation continues at longer G₀ times in both wild-type and *dcr1* cells, further increasing the difference between both genotypes as cells enter deeper into quiescence.

To ascertain that the suppression effect of CLRC/Rik1 mutants is mediated by loss of H3K9me2 and not through a potential new target of the complex, we created a set of prototroph strains to assay histone H3 mutants in G₀ (*hht3 hht1*-K9R *hht2*-K9R), keeping viability for over a week (15) (Fig. S10A). The *dcr1 hht3 hht1*-K9R *hht2*-K9R strains rescued G₀ viability (Fig. S10B); and interestingly, the reduction of H3K9me2 in *dcr1 hht3 hht1*-K9R and in *dcr1 hht3 hht2*-K9R strains alone was also sufficient to recover G₀ viability (Fig. S10BC). These results confirm that H3K9me2 is central to the quiescence maintenance defect of *dcr1* cells. We then attempted to increase the levels of H3K9me at the rDNA to see if the defect would be enhanced. As the recruitment factor for Clr4 at rDNA in G₀ is unknown, we decided instead to increase global Clr4 levels in G₀. We replaced the promoter of *clr4* at its endogenous location by the promoter of *urg1* (Fig. S11A), which is induced not only by uracil but also by nitrogen-starvation (15, 27). The resulting *pur1-clr4* strain entered G₀ with wild-type viability but over-accumulated H3K9me2 at rDNA and quickly lost viability specifically in quiescence maintenance (Fig. S11BC); in the *dcr1 pur1-clr4* background, the H3K9me2 rDNA accumulation was increased even further, resulting in very low viability, almost complete after a week in G₀ (Fig. S11BC). However as the accumulation of H3K9me2 is not specific to the rDNA in these strains, we cannot exclude that other genomic locations participate in the loss of viability in this assay, via silencing of essential genes.

The class (iii) suppressors also suppressed the quiescence maintenance defect (Fig. S5). These suppressor mutations lay in RNA polymerase factors: Tbp1^{TBP} (in RNA pol I, II and

III) (28) and the Mediator complex, a co-regulator of RNA pol II, which may also play a role in transcription by other RNA polymerases (29). These genes act upstream of rDNA heterochromatinization, as H3K9me2 at rDNA is reduced in *dcrl med31-ins* and *dcrl tbp1-D156Y* G₀ cells (Fig. 4H). Interestingly, the strong suppressor *med31-ins* also suppresses G₀-entry and TBZ-sensitivity (Fig. S5), and might therefore additionally act at centromeric heterochromatin, similarly to *mst2* and the Mediator subunit *pmc2* (22). In support of this idea, distinct subunits of Mediator have been shown to play a role in silencing (30) and anti-silencing (22). In dividing cells, Dicer is required for efficient RNA polymerase II termination at various genomic locations including centromeres, tDNAs, and rDNA, and stalled RNA pol II accumulates in *dcrl* at these loci (31) (Fig. S12). We performed ChIP-seq using an antibody directed against RNA pol II (unphosphorylated CTD) in wild-type and *dcrl* G₀ cells, but in contrast to the situation in cycling cells (31), no significant increase was detected at the rDNA in *dcrl* G₀ cells (Fig. S12). ChIP-qPCR using antibodies against the phosphorylated CTD pol II (S2P, S5P) did not show significant differences either. Small RNA sequencing revealed that, in G₀ cells, centromeric small RNAs were strongly reduced at pericentromeric regions (Fig. S14AB), as well as other siRNAs, consistent with their production in the G₁- and S-phases of the cell cycle (32). Furthermore, in contrast to the situation in cycling cells (31), no small RNA antisense to the rRNA were found (Fig. S14C), and we did not detect any new loci of Dicer-dependent small RNA production in G₀ cells (15).

We therefore decided to assay RNA polymerase I, which is responsible for rDNA transcription. RNA pol I occupancy at rDNA, assayed by ChIP-qPCR of tagged Nuc1^{RPA190} (15) (Fig. 4A), was lower than wild type in cycling *dcrl* cells, likely due to the slightly reduced growth rate of *dcrl* due to accumulation of DNA damage (10, 31) (Fig. 4C; Fig. S15B). There was no significant difference in RNA pol I occupancy between wild-type and *dcrl* initially in G₀, but strikingly we saw that RNA pol I occupancy is further reduced as cells enter into deeper quiescence, and that *dcrl* cells do not have this reduction, indicative of a failure to release RNA pol I (Fig. 4DEF). This accumulation is detected at the 5'ETS, 18S, 5.8S, 28S repeats, as well as at the very end of the 3'ETS next to the termination site Ter3. Strikingly, the accumulation of RNA pol I was not reduced in *dcrl clr4*, suggesting that failure to release RNA pol I lies upstream of H3K9me accumulation. In contrast, RNA pol I occupancy was strongly reduced in *dcrl tbp1-D156Y*, and slightly reduced in *dcrl med31-ins*, providing an explanation for their suppression effect, as well as for their reduced accumulation of H3K9me2 (Fig. 4GH).

The failure to release RNA pol I at rDNA in G₀ may result in DNA damage, similar to the failure to release RNA pol II at centromeres and rDNA in the cell cycle (31). As G₀ cells do not rely on HR for DNA repair, *rad22*-YFP foci are not formed in quiescent 1c cells (8), although we observed that they strongly accumulate upon G₀-exit of *dcrl* (Fig. S13BF). We therefore used H2A and H2A-S129phos (equivalent to γ H2AX) antibodies to assay DNA damage directly in G₀ cells by both ChIP-seq and ChIP-qPCR in wt and *dcrl*, and observed an increase in rDNA in *dcrl* cells (Fig. S13AC). The H2A-S129phos/H2A ratio was reduced in suppressors rescuing the RNA pol I defect, *dcrl tbp1-D156Y* and *dcrl med31-ins* (Fig. S13C); the absence of reduction in *dcrl clr4* suggests that DNA

damage accumulation is correlated to stalled RNA pol I at the rDNA, but that the primary cause of death is not DNA damage itself but rather the accumulation of H3K9me2.

We further explored the distinction between RNA pol II release during S-phase and RNA pol I release during G₀ by constructing a *dcrl reb1* double mutant strain: Reb1^{TTFI} is a factor that participates in RNA pol I termination and forces the direction of replication to occur in the same direction as transcription at the rDNA, thus avoiding collisions between DNA and RNA polymerase (33). While *reb1* cells are viable, the accumulation of RNA pol II in *dcrl* may increase the need for Reb1 in order to avoid polymerase collisions and subsequent DNA damage at the rDNA. In accordance with this hypothesis, *dcrl reb1* strains show a strong negative epistatic interaction in dividing cells resulting in extremely poor growth (Fig. S15B); however, their viability is unaffected in G₀, and is similar to the *dcrl* single-mutant (Fig. S15A).

If RNA polymerase I is responsible for the quiescence maintenance defect of *dcrl*, we reasoned that deletion of non-essential subunits of RNA pol I may destabilize it, and therefore, similarly to class (iii) suppressors, would allow RNAi-independent release. We therefore assayed *rpa12* mutants in G₀. Rpa12 is a non-essential subunit of RNA pol I required for polymerase termination (34), and strikingly, we found that *dcrl rpa12* suppressed the quiescence maintenance defect (Fig. S15C), although it does not affect H3K9me2 levels at the rDNA. We were unfortunately unable to assay RNA pol I levels in these cells as *nuc1-FLAG rpa12* double-mutants are inviable, most likely because RNA pol I becomes too unstable in this background. Thus, Rpa12 can be considered a “class iv” suppressor. As the suppressor screen is not saturated yet, we predict that sequencing many more of the >50 suppressors we obtained will yield interesting alleles in RNA polymerase-associated factors in both class iii (general transcription) and iv (RNA pol I). We propose in particular that the specific recruitment factor responsible for rDNA H3K9me2 increase in G₀ could be a subunit of RNA pol I itself, providing a potential explanation as to why it would be difficult to recover such a suppressor.

We have identified RNAi as a novel essential regulator of quiescence in *S. pombe*. RNAi both promotes heterochromatin formation at centromeres allowing proper chromosome segregation during G₀-entry, and prevents heterochromatin formation at the rDNA locus during quiescence maintenance (Fig. S16). At G₀-entry, mis-segregation results in cell death, and can be suppressed by restoring heterochromatin or by strengthening segregation. In dividing cells RNAi is required to release RNA pol II from rDNA and from pericentromeric heterochromatin, and in RNAi mutants pol II must be removed from stalled replication forks by homologous recombination repair (HR) (10), resulting in a severe reduction in rDNA copy number (31). G₀ cells possess a 1c DNA content and therefore use non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ) instead of HR for repair (8). Consistently, rDNA copies are not lost in RNAi mutants during quiescence. During quiescence maintenance, RNAi is required to release RNA pol I from rDNA. The failure to release RNA pol I results in over-recruitment of rDNA silencing factors, possibly by RNA pol I itself; in mammalian cells (35), rRNA interacts with SUV39H1 via NML (36) and RNA pol I interacts with the G9a methyltransferase (37). Stalled RNA pol I results in an over-accumulation of H3K9me2 at rDNA, and DNA damage, resulting in loss of viability of these cells, similarly to when

essential genes are silenced by H3K9me2 (38). These defects can be suppressed by mutants in the silencing CLRC/Rik1 complex, the effector Swi6^{HP1}, and specific mutants in RNA pol I non-essential subunits. Both RNA pol II and RNA pol I defects can be suppressed by specific alleles in the key transcription TATA Binding Protein (TBP) and Mediator (Med) complexes.

Thus each class of suppressors illuminates key roles of RNAi in quiescent cells: in chromosome segregation, in heterochromatin formation and spreading, and in transcription. As spontaneous mutations in essential genes are much more rare, we obtained relatively few class (iii) suppressors, and none from class (iv). We expect that saturation of the G₀ screen may uncover the precise components of RNA polymerase I, or rDNA-associated factors, involved in recruiting the CLRC/Rik1 complex for G₀ rDNA silencing, and more ‘master regulators’ genes which, like Dicer and TBP, regulate transcription by all RNA polymerases.

The rDNA locus plays a central role in ageing (39) and H3K9me is a hallmark of rDNA silencing in plants (40) as well as in mammalian cells (36). The role of RNAi at rDNA may also be evolutionarily conserved; in mammalian cells Dicer physically associates with rDNA (41), while in *Candida albicans* Dicer is involved in pre-rRNA processing by cleaving the 3′ETS (42). In *Neurospora crassa* quelling (RNAi) targets rDNA (43), and qiRNAs are generated at the rDNA locus by Dicer when cells are treated with alkylating agents or with hydroxyurea (44). Furthermore, there is evidence that during evolution RNAi and heterochromatin proteins (H3K9me, HP1) have been lost together, a loss which has happened independently in distinct fungal lineages such as budding yeasts of the subphylum Saccharomycotina (45), *Ustilago maydis* (Basidiomycota, subphylum Ustilagomycotina) (46), and the human pathogen *Pneumocystis jirovecii* (Ascomycota, subphylum Taphrinomycotina) (47). In budding yeasts, the loss of RNAi is correlated with the ability to acquire Killer RNA viruses (48). Our results provide a possible explanation for a strong selective pressure to lose H3K9me-based heterochromatin upon RNAi loss, accounting for their co-dependency in eukaryotic evolution.

Our finding sheds light on the anecdotal observation that some mutants in *S. pombe*, like *dcr1*, do not keep well in long-term storage at low temperatures. We have found that repeated thawing/freezing cycles can select for spontaneous suppressors, which are quite widespread in laboratory strains of RNAi mutants. RNAi mutants also fail to differentiate into dormancy in asexual and sexual spores in *Cryptococcus neoformans*, an important human basidiomycete pathogen (49), and in the zygomycete *Mucor circinelloides* (50). In metazoans, such as *Cenorhabditis elegans* (51) and *Drosophila melanogaster* (52), Dicer mutants typically affect germ cells, which also spend long periods in quiescence. Given the importance of quiescence in the life cycle of unicellular as well as multicellular organisms, it is likely that epigenetic pathways will be found to be essential in neurons, germ cells and cancer stem cells, which can spend many years in a quiescent state. Uncovering the mechanisms underlying the epigenetic regulation of quiescence thus opens a promising new field of study.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by NIH grant R01 GM076396-08, by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Gordon & Betty Moore Foundation Plant Biology Investigator Program [to R.M.], and by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche ANR-13-BSV8-0018 [to B.A.]. The authors acknowledge support from the Chaire Blaise Pascal (Fondation de l'École Normale Supérieure, France). We would like to thank the Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Woodbury Sequencing Facility. We would like to thank everyone at the fission yeast database PomBase, as its resources have been extremely helpful for research in our lab. We would also like to thank the reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions. The raw data of the next-generation sequencing libraries reported in this paper are available at the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database, accession number SRP087488 (BioProject PRJNA341984). The authors report no conflicts of interest.

References and Notes

- Cheung TH, Rando TA. Molecular regulation of stem cell quiescence. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2013; 14:329–340. [PubMed: 23698583]
- Codega P, et al. Prospective identification and purification of quiescent adult neural stem cells from their in vivo niche. *Neuron.* 2014; 82:545–559. [PubMed: 24811379]
- Okhrimenko A, et al. Human memory T cells from the bone marrow are resting and maintain long-lasting systemic memory. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA.* 2014; 111:9229–9234. [PubMed: 24927527]
- Su SS, Tanaka Y, Samejima I, Tanaka K, Yanagida M. A nitrogen starvation-induced dormant G₀ state in fission yeast: the establishment from uncommitted G₁ state and its delay for return to proliferation. *J Cell Sci.* 1996; 109:1347–1357. [PubMed: 8799823]
- Ritterhaus ES, Baek SH, Sasseti CM. The normalcy of dormancy: common themes in microbial quiescence. *Cell Host Microbe.* 2013; 13:643–651. [PubMed: 23768489]
- Shimanuki M, et al. Two-step, extensive alterations in the transcriptome from G₀ arrest to cell division in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Genes Cells.* 2007; 12:677–692. [PubMed: 17535257]
- Marguerat S, et al. Quantitative analysis of fission yeast transcriptomes and proteomes in proliferating and quiescent cells. *Cell.* 2012; 151:671–683. [PubMed: 23101633]
- Mochida S, Yanagida M. Distinct modes of DNA damage response in *S. pombe* G₀ and vegetative cells. *Genes Cells.* 2006; 11:13–27. [PubMed: 16371129]
- Takeda K, et al. Synergistic roles of the proteasome and autophagy for mitochondrial maintenance and chronological lifespan in fission yeast. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA.* 2010; 107:3540–3545. [PubMed: 20133687]
- Zariatgui M, et al. RNAi promotes heterochromatic silencing through replication-coupled release of RNA pol II. *Nature.* 2011; 479:135–138. [PubMed: 22002604]
- Castel ES, Martienssen RA. RNA interference in the nucleus: roles for small RNAs in transcription, epigenetics and beyond. *Nat Rev Genet.* 2013; 14:100–112. [PubMed: 23329111]
- Li F, Martienssen RA, Cande WZ. Coordination of DNA replication and histone modification by the Rik1-Dos2 complex. *Nature.* 2011; 475:244–248. [PubMed: 21725325]
- Volpe TA, et al. Regulation of heterochromatic silencing and histone H3 lysine-9 methylation by RNAi. *Science.* 2002; 297:1833–1837. [PubMed: 12193640]
- Volpe TA, et al. RNA interference is required for normal centromere function in fission yeast. *Chromosome Res.* 2003; 11:137–146. [PubMed: 12733640]
- Materials and methods are available as supplementary materials on *Science* Online.
- Ben Hassine S, Arcangioli B. Tdp1 protects against oxidative DNA damage in non-dividing fission yeast. *EMBO J.* 2009; 28:632–640. [PubMed: 19197239]
- Irvine DV, et al. Mapping epigenetic mutations in fission yeast using whole-genome next-generation sequencing. *Genome Res.* 2009; 19:1077–1083. [PubMed: 19423874]

18. Tsu KS, Toda T. Ndc80 internal loop interacts with Dis1/TOG to ensure proper kinetochore-spindle attachment in fission yeast. *Curr Biol.* 2011; 21:214–220. [PubMed: 21256022]
19. Linder T, Gustafsson CM. The Soh1/MED31 protein is an ancient component of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Mediator. *J Biol Chem.* 2004; 279:49455–49459. [PubMed: 15356001]
20. West RR, Malmstrom T, Troxell CL, McIntosh JR. Two related kinesins, klp5+ and klp6+, foster microtubule disassembly and are required for meiosis in fission yeast. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2001; 12:3919–3932. [PubMed: 11739790]
21. Hall IM, Noma K, Grewal SI. RNA interference machinery regulates chromosome dynamics during mitosis and meiosis in fission yeast. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA.* 2003; 100:193–198. [PubMed: 12509501]
22. Reddy BD, et al. Elimination of a specific histone H3K14 acetyltransferase complex bypasses the RNAi pathway to regulate pericentric heterochromatin functions. *Genes Dev.* 2011; 25:214–219. [PubMed: 21289066]
23. Reyes-Turcu FE, Zhang K, Zofall M, Chen E, Grewal SI. Defects in RNA quality control factors reveal RNAi-independent nucleation of heterochromatin. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2011; 18:1132–1138. [PubMed: 21892171]
24. Tadeo, et al. Elimination of shelterin components bypasses RNAi for pericentric heterochromatin assembly. *Genes Dev.* 2013; 27:2489–2499. [PubMed: 24240238]
25. White SA, et al. The RFTS domain of Raf2 is required for Cul4 interaction and heterochromatin integrity in fission yeast. *PLoS One.* 2014; 9:e104161.doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0104161 [PubMed: 25090107]
26. Zofall M, et al. RNA elimination machinery targeting meiotic mRNAs promotes facultative heterochromatin formation. *Science.* 2012; 335:96–100. [PubMed: 22144463]
27. Watt S, et al. urg1: a uracil-regulatable promoter system for fission yeast with short induction and repression times. *PLoS One.* 2008; 3:e1428.doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0001428 [PubMed: 18197241]
28. Hamada M, Huang Y, Lowe TM, Maraia RJ. Widespread use of TATA elements in the core promoters for RNA polymerases III, II, and I in fission yeast. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2001; 21:6870–6881. [PubMed: 11564871]
29. Carlsten JO, Zhu X, López MD, Samuelsson T, Gustafsson CM. Loss of the Mediator subunit Med20 affects transcription of tRNA and other non-coding RNA genes in fission yeast. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2016; 1859:339–347. [PubMed: 26608234]
30. Thorsen M, Hansen H, Venturi M, Homlberg S, Thon G. Mediator regulates non-coding RNA transcription at fission yeast centromeres. *Epigenetics Chromatin.* 2012; 5:19.doi: 10.1186/1756-8935-5-19 [PubMed: 23171760]
31. Castel SE, et al. Dicer promotes transcription termination at sites of replication stress to maintain genome stability. *Cell.* 2014; 159:572–583. [PubMed: 25417108]
32. Kloc A, Zaratiegui M, Nora E, Martienssen RA. RNA interference guides histone modification during the S phase of chromosomal replication. *Curr Biol.* 2008; 18:490–495. [PubMed: 18394897]
33. Sánchez-Gorostiaga A, López-Estraño C, Krimer DB, Schwartzman JB, Hernández P. Transcription termination factor reb1p causes two replication fork barriers at its cognate sites in fission yeast ribosomal DNA in vivo. *Mol Cell Biol.* 24:398–406.
34. Prescott EM, et al. Transcriptional termination by RNA polymerase I requires the small subunit Rpa12p. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA.* 2004; 101(16):6068–6073. [PubMed: 15073335]
35. Voit, R., Grummt, I. The RNA polymerase I transcription machinery. In: Olson, M., editor. *The Nucleolus* vol 15 of Springer Protein Reviews. 2011.
36. Murayama A, et al. Epigenetic control of rDNA loci in response to intracellular energy status. *Cell.* 2008; 133:627–639. DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2008.03.030 [PubMed: 18485871]
37. Yuan X, Feng W, Imhof A, Grummt I, Zhou Y. Activation of RNA polymerase I transcription by cockayne syndrome group B protein and histone methyltransferase G9a. *Mol Cell.* 2007; 27:585–595. [PubMed: 17707230]

38. Wang J, Reddy BD, Jia S. Rapid epigenetic adaptation to uncontrolled heterochromatin spreading. *Elife*. 2015; 4:doi: 10.7554/eLife.06179
39. Ganley AR, Kobayashi T. Ribosomal DNA and cellular senescence: new evidence supporting the connection between rDNA and aging. *FEMS Yeast Res*. 2014; 14:49–59. DOI: 10.1111/1567-1364.12133 [PubMed: 24373458]
40. Pontvianne F, et al. Histone methyltransferases regulating rRNA gene dose and dosage control in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes Dev*. 2012; 26:945–957. DOI: 10.1101/gad.182865.111 [PubMed: 22549957]
41. Sinkkonen L, Hugenschmidt T, Filipowicz W, Svoboda P. Dicer is associated with ribosomal DNA chromatin in mammalian cells. *PLoS One*. 2010; 5:e12175.doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0012175 [PubMed: 20730047]
42. Bernstein DA, et al. *Candida albicans* Dicer (CaDcr1) is required for efficient ribosomal and spliceosomal RNA maturation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2012; 109:523–528. [PubMed: 22173636]
43. Cecere G, Cogoni C. Quelling targets the rDNA locus and functions in rDNA copy number control. *BMC Microbiol*. 2009; 9:44.doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-9-44 [PubMed: 19243581]
44. Zhang Z, et al. Homologous recombination as a mechanism to recognize repetitive DNA sequences in an RNAi pathway. *Genes Dev*. 2013; 27:145–150. DOI: 10.1101/gad.209494.112 [PubMed: 23322299]
45. Drinnenberg IA, et al. RNAi in budding yeast. *Science*. 2009; 326:544–550. [PubMed: 19745116]
46. Laurie JD, et al. Genome comparison of barley and maize smut fungi reveals targeted loss of RNA silencing components and species-specific presence of transposable elements. *Plant Cell*. 2012; 24:1733–1745. [PubMed: 22623492]
47. Cissé OH, Pagni M, Hauser PM. Comparative genomics suggests that the human pathogenic fungus *Pneumocystis jirovecii* acquired obligate biotrophy through gene loss. *Genome Biol Evol*. 2014; 6:1938–1948. [PubMed: 25062922]
48. Drinnenberg IA, Fink GR, Bartel DP. Compatibility with killer explains the rise of RNAi-deficient fungi. *Science*. 2011; 333:1592.doi: 10.1126/science.1209575 [PubMed: 21921191]
49. Wang X, et al. Sex-induced silencing defends the genome of *Cryptococcus neoformans* via RNAi. *Genes Dev*. 2010; 24:2566–2582. DOI: 10.1101/gad.1970910 [PubMed: 21078820]
50. de Haro JP, et al. A single dicer gene is required for efficient gene silencing associated with two classes of small antisense RNAs in *Mucor circinelloides*. *Eukaryot Cell*. 2009; 8:1486–1497. DOI: 10.1128/EC.00191-09 [PubMed: 19666782]
51. Ketting RF, et al. Dicer functions in RNA interference and in synthesis of small RNA involved in developmental timing in *C. elegans*. *Genes Dev*. 2001; 15:2654–2659. [PubMed: 11641272]
52. Jin Z, Xie T. Dcr-1 maintains *Drosophila* ovarian stem cells. *Curr Biol*. 2007; 17:539–544. [PubMed: 17306537]
53. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods*. 2012; 9:357–359. DOI: 10.1038/nmeth.1923 [PubMed: 22388286]
54. Li H, et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*. 2009; 25:2078–2079. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352 [PubMed: 19505943]
55. Garrison E, Marth G. Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read sequencing. 2012 arXiv: 1207.3907v2.
56. Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics*. 2010; 26:841–842. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033 [PubMed: 20110278]
57. Danecek P, et al. The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics*. 2011; 27:2156–2158. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330 [PubMed: 21653522]
58. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet.journal*. 2011; doi: 10.14806/ej.17.1.200
59. Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol*. 2009; 10




Figure 1. RNAi mutants lose viability in G₀

(A) Loss of viability at both G₀-entry and during quiescence maintenance in prototroph *dcr1*, *rdp1* and *ago1* mutants (n=5 biological replicates for wild-type, n=6 for *dcr1* and *rdp1*, n=7 for *ago1*). ** indicates a statistically significant difference (p<0.01, t-test) between each mutant and wt for all time-points, and between time-points 24h and 15 days (B) Microscopic observation of DAPI-stained RNAi mutants reveals an increased proportion of cells retaining a rod-shape in early G₀ (24h). After 15 days, while wild-type G₀ cells look uniform, RNAi mutants display a variety of morphological defects and many dead refraction-negative and/or DAPI-negative cells. Upon G₀-entry (C) RNAi mutants have a reduction in the increase in cell number found in wild type cells during the initial two divisions (24h G₀; n=2; ** p<0.01), and (D) an increase in the number of cells staying rod-shaped (24h G₀; n=2; * p<0.05, ** p<0.01). All error bars indicate standard deviation; n indicates biological replicates.




Figure 2. Design of a G_0 suppressor screen

(A) Experimental design of the suppressor screen: a *dcr1* population is alternated between the cell cycle (in rich medium, YES) and quiescence (in nitrogen-deprived medium, EMM-N) every 1 to 3 days. Spontaneous suppressors, by their relative fitness advantage compared to the parental strain, get enriched during the alternations. After up to 20 alternations, individual clones are isolated and re-assayed in G_0 to check for suppression. (B) Summary of mutations present in 13 isolated *dcr1* G_0 suppressors showing three classes of mutants, in chromosome segregation, the CLRC/Rik1 complex and Swi6^{HP1}, and RNA polymerase-associated factors. Each mutation in the list arose as an independent suppressor.




Figure 3. Quiescence maintenance of *dcr1* results in rDNA heterochromatinization by H3K9me
 (A) H3K9me2 ChIP-seq enrichment in *dcr1* vs. *wt* cells. In G₀ cells, but not cycling cells, *dcr1* strongly accumulates H3K9me2 at the rDNA locus (n=2 biological replicates, cycling cell data from (31)). (B) Validation by H3K9me2 ChIP-qPCR in wild-type and *dcr1* cycling and G₀ cells shows a stronger rDNA H3K9me2 increase in G₀ cells in *dcr1* as compared to wild-type G₀ cells (n 3 biological replicates; ** p<0.01, t-test).




Figure 4. *dcr1* mutants are defective in RNA polymerase I release in quiescence maintenance (A) The main subunit of RNA polymerase I Nuc1^{RPA190} was tagged with a (Gly)₆ linker and 3xFLAG. (B) Probe location for ChIP-qPCRs: 1: rDNA promoter, 2: 5'ETS, 3: 18S, 4: 3'ETS. (C) RNA polymerase I enrichment at the rDNA was assayed by ChIP-qPCR using anti-FLAG antibody in the *nuc1*-(Gly)₆-FLAG background, showing an higher occupancy in wild-type than *dcr1* mutants in cycling cells, due to the reduced growth rate of *dcr1*, and (D) a similar occupancy in early G₀ cells (n=2 biological replicates). (E, F) RNA polymerase I enrichment at 18S rDNA over time spent in G₀ (2 to 8 days) shows a reduction in wild-type cells, but *dcr1* cells fail to release RNA pol I (n=2 biological replicates). (G) The class (iii) suppressors, *dcr1 tpb1-D156Y* and *dcr1 med31-ins*, but not the class (ii) suppressor *dcr1 clr4*, significantly decrease RNA polymerase I occupancy at rDNA in 8 days G₀ cells. (n=2 biological replicates, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, t-test) (H) The accumulation of H3K9me2 at rDNA repeats, assayed by ChIP-qPCR, is significantly reduced in class (iii) suppressors (n=3 biological replicates, ** p<0.01, t-test).