

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/164841>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2017-12-05 and may be subject to change.

MUMPS IN A COMMUNITY WITH LOW VACCINATION COVERAGE IN THE NETHERLANDS

I Karagiannis (ioannis.karagiannis@rivm.nl)^{1,2}, A van Lier¹, R van Binnendijk¹, HeLma Ruijs¹, H Ruijs³, E Fanoy⁴, M A E Conyn-Van Spaendonck¹, H de Melker¹, S Hahné¹

1. Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid en Milieu (National Institute for Public Health and Environment, RIVM), Bilthoven, the Netherlands
2. European Programme for Intervention Epidemiology Training (EPIET)
3. Gemeentelijke Gezondheidsdienst 'Rivierenland' (Municipal Health Service 'Rivierenland'), Tiel, the Netherlands
4. Gemeentelijke Gezondheidsdienst 'Midden Nederland' (Municipal Health Service 'Midden Nederland'), Zeist, the Netherlands

The incidence of mumps in the Netherlands has increased since August 2007. Until mid May 2008, 89 individuals were found positive for mumps virus infection by laboratory testing at the Centre for Infectious Diseases Control (CIb) of the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), compared to less than 10 cases per year between 2005 and 2007. Mumps is not a notifiable disease in the Netherlands and surveillance is mainly based on monitoring laboratory test requests and their results offered by the CIb and other laboratories. As only a minority of cases is offered laboratory testing, however, the extent of the current mumps outbreak is unknown.

Background

Mumps is an acute infectious disease caused by an enveloped RNA virus that belongs to the genus *Rubulavirus* in the family *Paramyxoviridae* [1]. It is endemic worldwide and can occasionally cause outbreaks. The introduction of mumps vaccination has led to a decrease of mumps cases in the Netherlands since the late 1980s. Some 30% of mumps infections remain asymptomatic. Clinical manifestations include parotitis, often after a short prodromal phase of low-grade fever, malaise, anorexia and headache. The most common complications are meningitis, epididymo-orchitis, orchitis, oophoritis and encephalitis [2].

Mumps vaccination in the Netherlands has since 1987 been available through the national immunisation programme which includes the measles-mumps-rubella vaccine (MMR). This vaccine contains the Jeryl-Lynn mumps JL2 and JL5 vaccine strains, produced by the Netherlands Vaccine Institute (NVI) under licence of MSD. The first vaccination is scheduled at the age of 14 months with a second dose at the age of nine years. Since the introduction of the vaccine, less than 50 cases have been reported and only few patients with mumps have been admitted to a hospital annually [3,4]. The circulation of mumps virus in the Netherlands appears to have been very limited since 1987.

Mumps outbreak in the Netherlands 2007/2008

The median age of the laboratory confirmed mumps cases diagnosed at the CIb between 1 August 2007 and 15 May 2008 (n=89) was 13 years (range 2-56 years). Fifty-five (62%) of the reported cases were males. We do not yet have a clear overview about complications attributable to mumps.

The geographic distribution of the cases is shown in Figure 1. The cases are mostly resident in low vaccination coverage areas in the so-called Bible Belt (see Figure 2); the cluster in the south of the country involved anthroposophists. Another recent outbreak involving anthroposophists (in that case it was an outbreak of measles) has been reported in Austria, Germany and Norway [5].

Of 87 cases whose vaccination status is known, 58 (67%) were unvaccinated and 29 (33%) were vaccinated (13 cases vaccinated once and eight cases twice). Five cases (6%) were too old to have been vaccinated. For 39 unvaccinated cases who would have been eligible for vaccination, the reasons for non-vaccination were clearly stated. For 36 (92%) of them, the main reason for not being vaccinated was religion; all 36 were orthodox Reformed Christians. The estimated proportion of vaccinated cases is thought to be biased because laboratory testing was recommended preferentially for patients with a history of mumps vaccination.

Genotype D was the most frequently isolated genotype among the cases studied so far. Vaccinated cases were predominantly confirmed by PCR, while unvaccinated cases were confirmed both by PCR and by mumps virus specific IgM testing.

Discussion

Mumps outbreaks have been reported during the last years throughout Europe [6-10] and elsewhere [11]. In the Netherlands, a large mumps outbreak occurred in 2004 at an international school, with an attack rate of 12% among students vaccinated according to the Dutch schedule [Brockhoff, unpublished data].

The high rate of vaccine failure in the outbreak described here may have been related to a high force of infection at the school campus. However, it does raise concern about the effectiveness of mumps vaccination in the Netherlands.

The use of any of the 11 known vaccine strains, except Rubini, is supported by the WHO [12]. However, in recent years, evidence has accumulated for relatively frequent mumps vaccine failure, leading to doubts about the long-term effectiveness of mumps vaccines. Two-dose mumps vaccine failure caused a large mumps outbreak in the United States in 2006, with over 6,000 cases, the majority (63%) of whom had been vaccinated twice [10]. Possible explanations for this include waning immunity, possible mismatches

between the vaccine strain and a circulating wild-type virus strains and the absence of boosting due to natural infection.

During a large outbreak in the United Kingdom (UK) in 2004-2005, some evidence of waning immunity was found with the estimated vaccine effectiveness declining from 99% in five- to six-year-olds to 86% in 11- to 12-year-olds [13] (the UK Childhood Vaccination Schedule advises MMR vaccination at the age of 13 months and four years). Recent data from a nationwide mumps outbreak in Moldova in 2007 and 2008 found that a high proportion of cases had been vaccinated with one dose in the past [9].

A number of outbreaks of vaccine-preventable diseases have been described in the Dutch Bible Belt in the past. A poliomyelitis outbreak occurred among unvaccinated individuals in 1978 and between 1992 and 1993 in the area, involving 110 and 71 patients, respectively

[14,15]. In 1999-2000, a widespread measles outbreak occurred in the same area, involving 3,292 reported cases, most of whom were not vaccinated [16]. More recently, in 2004, the same area was home to a large rubella outbreak that led to at least 11 congenitally infections with birth defects, and that outbreak spread to Canada [17, and S. Hahné, personal communication]. As the vaccination coverage continues to be below recommended levels,

further outbreaks of vaccine-preventable diseases are expected in this region [18].


The current situation, with a large outbreak in unvaccinated individuals and some spread to those vaccinated, provides a unique opportunity for further research on the mumps vaccine effectiveness, 20 years after the introduction of mumps vaccination in the Netherlands. However, routinely available data on the mumps outbreak does not allow unbiased estimation of the attack rates among vaccinated and unvaccinated population and the vaccine effectiveness. We are therefore planning an analytical epidemiological study including laboratory investigations.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Henriëtte Giesbers (RIVM-VTV) for providing the maps.

FIGURE 1


Geographical distribution of notified mumps cases in the Netherlands from 1 August 2007 to 15 May 2008 (n=89*)



Source: Centre for Infectious Diseases Control, Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid en Milieu (RIVM), the Netherlands

FIGURE 2

Geographical distribution of measles-mumps-rubella vaccination coverage by municipality at the age of two years in the Netherlands, 2008



Source: Centre for Infectious Diseases Control, Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid en Milieu (RIVM), the Netherlands

References

1. Rima BK. Mumps virus. In: Webster RG, Granoff A, editors. *Encyclopedia of virology*. Volume 2. New York: Academic Press; 1994. p 876–83.
2. Hviid A, Rubin S, Mühlemann K. Mumps. *Lancet*. 2008;371(9616):932–44.
3. Giesbers H, Hahné SJM. Bof 1-8-2007 tot 2-4-2008. In: *Volksgezondheid Toekomst Verkenning, Nationale Atlas Volksgezondheid*. Bilthoven: RIVM, <<http://www.zorgatlas.nl>> Gezondheid en ziekte\ Ziekten en aandoeningen\ Infectieziekten; 24 April 2008. [In Dutch]. Available from: http://www.rivm.nl/vtv/object_map/o2387n21466.html
4. Abbink F, van der Avoort HGAM, Berbers WAM, van Binnendijk RS, Boot HJ, van Duynhoven YTHP, et al, editors. The national immunisation programme in the Netherlands. Developments in 2006. RIVM report 210021006/2007. Available from: <http://www.rivm.nl/bibliotheek/rapporten/210021006.html>
5. Schmid D, Holzmann H, Abele S, Kasper S, König S, Meusburger S, et al. An ongoing multi-state outbreak of measles linked to non-immune anthroposophic communities in Austria, Germany, and Norway, March–April 2008. *Euro Surveill*. 2008;13(16);pii=18838. Available online: <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=18838>[http://](http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=8042)
6. Schmid D, Holzmann H, Alfery C, Wallenko H, Popow-Kraupp TH, Allerberger F. Mumps outbreak in young adults following a festival in Austria, 2006. *Euro Surveill*. 2008;13(7);pii=8042. Available from: <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=8042>
7. Sartorius B, Penttinen P, Nilsson J, Johansen K, Jönsson K, Arneborn M, et al. An outbreak of mumps in Sweden, February–April 2004. *Euro Surveill*. 2005;10(9);pii=559. Available from: <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=559>
8. Kaic B, Gjenero-Margan I, Aleraj B, Ljubin-Sternak S, Vilibic-Cavlek T, et al. Transmission of the L-Zagreb mumps vaccine virus, Croatia, 2005–2008. *Euro Surveill*. 2008;13(16);pii=18843. Available online: <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=18843>
9. Bernard H, Schwarz NG, Melnic A, Bucov V, Caterinciu N, Pebody RG, et al. Mumps outbreak ongoing since October 2007 in the Republic of Moldova. *Euro Surveill*. 2008;13(13);pii=8079. Available from: <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=8079>
10. Boxall N, Kubinyiová M, Příkazský V, Beneš C, Částková J. An increase in the number of mumps cases in the Czech Republic, 2005–2006. *Euro Surveill*. 2008;13(16);pii=18842. Available from: <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=18842>
11. Dayan GH, Quinlisk MP, Parker AA, Barskey AE, Harris ML, Schwartz JM, et al. Recent resurgence of mumps in the United States. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358(15):1580–9.
12. World Health Organization Position paper on mumps vaccines, Feb 2007. Available from: http://www.who.int/immunization/Refs_Mumps_25_Jan_2007.pdf
13. Cohen C, White JM, Savage EJ, Glynn JR, Choi Y, Andrews N, et al. Vaccine effectiveness estimates, 2004–2005 mumps outbreak, England. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2007;13(1):12–7.
14. Bijkerk H, Draaisma FJ, van der Gugten AC, van Os M. The poliomyelitis outbreak in 1978. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk*. 1979;123(39):1700–14. [In Dutch].
15. Oostvogel PM, van der Avoort HGAM, Mulders MN, van Loon AM, Conyn-van Spaendonck MAE, Rümke HC, et al. Poliomyelitis outbreak in an unvaccinated community in the Netherlands. *Lancet*. 1994;344(8923):665–70.
16. van den Hof S, Conyn-van Spaendonck MAE, van Steenberghe JE. Measles epidemic in the Netherlands. *J Infect Dis*. 2002;186(10):1483–6.
17. Hahné S, Ward M, Abbink F, van Binnendijk R, Ruijs H, van Steenberghe J, et al. Large ongoing rubella outbreak in religious community in the Netherlands since September 2004. *Euro Surveill*. 2005;10(9);pii=2654. Available from: <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=2654>
18. van Lier EA, Oomen PJ, Oostenburg MWM, Zwakhals SLN, Drijfhout IH, de Hoogh PAAM, et al. Vaccination status – National Vaccination Programme, the Netherlands. RIVM Report 210021007/2008. [In Dutch]. Available from: <http://www.rivm.nl/bibliotheek/rapporten/210021007.html>

This article was published on 12 June 2008.

Citation style for this article: Karagiannis I, van Lier A, van Binnendijk R, Ruijs H, Ruijs H, Fanoy E, Conyn-Van Spaendonck MA, de Melker H, Hahné S. Mumps in a community with low vaccination coverage in the Netherlands. *Euro Surveill*. 2008;13(24);pii=18901. Available online: <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=18901>