



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH: B
 PHARMA, DRUG DISCOVERY, TOXICOLOGY & MEDICINE
 Volume 16 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2016
 Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
 Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
 Online ISSN: 2249-4618 & Print ISSN: 0975-5888

Trade in Non-Mammalian Wild Animals for Traditional African Medicine in Ogun State, Nigeria

By Durojaye A Soewu, Gabriel A Dedeke, Victor A Ojo & Opeyemi K Soewu
Osun State University, Nigeria

Abstract- A steady rise in the patronage for Traditional African Medicine (TAM) has necessitated a corresponding increase in the demand for the ingredients used in the preparation of the trado-medicines. These ingredients are the various wild animals and plants parts. The attendant rise in this demand for ingredients calls for a need to document the extent of utilisation of these natural resources involved as a measure of the impact of such trade on biodiversity conservation. This paper examined diversity of molluscan, reptilian and avian species traded for use in TAM; the quantity of each species traded for utilisation over a period of time, and seasonal fluctuations in abundance and utilisation of these species as an index of utilisation pressure on populations in the wild. A multi-stage stratified random sampling technique was employed. An open-ended questionnaire was administered on vendors in selected market stalls for six consecutive markets days in each of dry and rainy seasons. The study identified twenty-three species, 8 were listed in CITES and Nigerian Decree 11(1985). A total of 3196 (molluscan), 2527 (reptilian), 2894 (avian) carcasses were traded over an average period of twenty days.

Keywords: *traditional medicine; wildlife utilisation; wildlife trade; ethnozoology.*

GJMR-B Classification : *NLMC Code: WA 360*



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



© 2016. Durojaye A Soewu, Gabriel A Dedeke, Victor A Ojo & Opeyemi K Soewu. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>), permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Trade in Non-Mammalian Wild Animals for Traditional African Medicine in Ogun State, Nigeria

Durojaye A Soewu ^α, Gabriel A Dedeke ^σ, Victor A Ojo ^ρ & Opeyemi K Soewu ^ω

Abstract- A steady rise in the patronage for Traditional African Medicine (TAM) has necessitated a corresponding increase in the demand for the ingredients used in the preparation of the trado-medicines. These ingredients are the various wild animals and plants parts. The attendant rise in this demand for ingredients calls for a need to document the extent of utilisation of these natural resources involved as a measure of the impact of such trade on biodiversity conservation. This paper examined diversity of molluscan, reptilian and avian species traded for use in TAM; the quantity of each species traded for utilisation over a period of time, and seasonal fluctuations in abundance and utilisation of these species as an index of utilisation pressure on populations in the wild. A multi-stage stratified random sampling technique was employed. An open-ended questionnaire was administered on vendors in selected market stalls for six consecutive markets days in each of dry and rainy seasons. The study identified twenty-three species, 8 were listed in CITES and Nigerian Decree 11(1985). A total of 3196 (molluscan), 2527 (reptilian), 2894 (avian) carcasses were traded over an average period of twenty days. The mean number of carcasses traded per dealer per month in the two seasons were: Molluscs (24.0 ± 1.6); Reptiles (19.0 ± 1.9) and Aves (21.7 ± 2.3). Trade in, and utilisation of wild animal species in TAM involved species under various degree of conservation threats. There seems to be no regulation of trade in wild animal species, including those purportedly protected by Decree 11 (1985). A twin approach of increase in yield and decrease in demand is required to stem the negative impact of trade and utilisation on biodiversity. Massive education and enlightenment of the citizenry, capacity building and involvement of indigenous communities in conservation projects are also urgently required.

Keywords: traditional medicine; wildlife utilisation; wildlife trade; ethnozoology.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wildlife is vital to the lives of a high proportion of the world's population, often the poorest. Some rural households depend on local wild animals for their meat protein and on local trees for fuel, and both wild animals and plants provide components

of traditional medicines used by the majority of people in the world, Anon, (2016). Many people in the developing world depend entirely on the continued availability of local wildlife resources, Soewu (2013). Each year, hundreds of millions of plants and animals are caught or harvested from the wild and then sold as food, pets, ornamental plants, leather, tourist curios, and medicine. Though a great deal of this trade is legal and is non-harmful to wild populations, a large proportion is illegal and threatens the survival of many endangered species (Anon 2016). Trade in wildlife is usually for cash, though could sometimes be in exchange for other useful objects - for example, utensils in exchange for wild animal skins. Driving the trade is the end-consumer who has a need or desire for wildlife products, whether for food, construction or clothing.

An enormous number of meat is being taken from some of the most bio-diverse forests in the world and this indicates the scale of seriousness of an ecological problem that will escalate if commercial trade goes unchecked (Bowen-Jones and Pendry, 1999; Caldecott, 1994; Fa *et al* 1995). The number of animals taken by subsistence hunters can be very large. For instance in 1980, the number of mammals killed in the Brazillian Amazon alone (2,847,007 people in an area of 3,581,180km²) resulted in the harvesting of 14,030,050 individuals. If birds and reptiles are added to this figure the number of game killed per year could reach more than 19 million individuals (Redford, 1993). Ott *et al* (2002) reported that several regions in Asia have already experienced massive defaunation as a result of the bush meat crisis. Wilkie *et al* (1998) stated that it is not habitat loss but defaunation that poses the greatest immediate threat to animal conservation in forests of West and Central Africa.

Wildlife trade involves hundreds of millions of wild plants and animals from tens of thousands of species. To provide a glimpse of the scale of wildlife trafficking, there are records of over 100 million tonnes of fish, 1.5 million live birds and 440,000 tonnes of medicinal plants in trade in just one year Anon (2016).

Traditional medicine has over the years provided livelihood for a wide variety of people most of whom, due to their economic and social background, depend mainly on harvesting, processing and trading in wildlife and the products as their only means of making

Author ω α: Department of Fisheries and Wildlife Management, College of Agriculture, Osun State University, P.M.B. 4494, Osogbo, Osun State, Nigeria. e-mail: durosoewu@hotmail.com

Author σ: Department of Pure and Applied Zoology Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria.

Author ρ: Department of Forestry and Wildlife, Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria.

a living (Costa-Neto, 1999; Li and Wang, 1999; Soewu *et al* 2012). It is expected that trade in wildlife as ingredients for traditional medicine will continue to flourish as there will always be human ailments in need of attention (Soewu 2008). The direct consequence of this would be a continued depletion of these resources in the wild as Marshall (1998) had documented that majority of wildlife traded for use in traditional medicinal preparations are collected from the wild. Though most wildlife trade is legal, and as such by no means always a problem, it has the potential to be very damaging as it can cause overexploitation to the point where the survival of a species hangs in the balance. An average of 40% decline in populations of species on earth was recorded between 1970 and 2000. Overexploitation of wildlife primarily for trade has been identified as the second-biggest direct threat to species survival, after habitat destruction (Anon, 2016).

In particular, most problems associated with wildlife trade, stems from a demand for rare, sometimes highly endangered and legally protected species, more likely to have been obtained in an environmentally damaging way and which need to be smuggled or traded under clandestine conditions. There are several reports of open trade in species listed as protected in many countries across the world (Sodeinde and Soewu 1999, Kakati and Duolo, 2002; Soewu *et al* 2012).

Bowen-Jones (1998) recorded that even the animals that could be hunted sustainably are often being exploited at probably unsustainable levels, and that controls need to be introduced in order to make sure that they are not added to the vulnerable category. Chardonnet *et al* (2002) has established that excessive harvest of wildlife depletes the wildlife resource when the level of exploitation overtakes the recruitment rate. However, as long as there is sufficient money to be made from the trade in wild animals, not only will the individual species suffer but also conservation at regional or even world level may be threatened, Simmonds (1998).

II. METHODS

a) Study Area

Ogun State is entirely in the tropics. Located in the Southwest Zone of Nigeria with a total land area of 16,409.26 square kilometres, it is bounded on the West by the Benin Republic, on the South by Lagos State and the Atlantic Ocean, on the East by Ondo State, and on the North by Oyo and Osun States. It is situated between Latitude 6.2°N and 7.8°N and Longitude 3.0°E and 5.0°E. It has an estimated population of 3,486,683 people for the year 2005 (8, 18) (Fig. 1)

b) Preliminary Survey

A preliminary pilot survey of the state was carried out between December 2001 and February 2002 to determine:

- (i) which two markets in each zone are the leading markets for traditional medicinal ingredients;
- (ii) the number of stall/traders in identified markets that stocks and deals primarily in ingredients for traditional medicine.

This provides the basis to determine the number of traders / stalls to be involved in the main survey as a proportion of the whole number for the zone.

Also, during this survey, the questionnaire for the main survey was subjected to trial runs to be able to establish the time needed to interview a respondent and take inventory of the stock in the stall.



Fig. 1 : Map of Ogun State showing all the local governments

c) Data Collection

This main study extended over a period of two years from April 2003 – March 2005. The respondents for the study are the dealers in wildlife for traditional medicinal preparations.

A stratified random sampling technique was employed in the selection of respondents. All the markets surveyed are five-day markets and the visits were made to each stall in the evening period of the market days. The market day was chosen as this is often the period when fresh supplies of animals are delivered to stalls and wares are fully displayed. This makes room for ease of inventory-taking and monitoring the dynamic movement of the stock. Each market and the stalls therein were given two sets of survey, one in each season of the year. In each market, the selection of stalls to be surveyed was done by the use of table of random numbers. The stalls were visited for six consecutive market days for a set of survey.

A total of one hundred dealers were interviewed, and the dynamic stock movement of their stalls taken using open-ended questionnaire to avoid yes / no answers while encouraging maximum discussion i.e. twenty-five dealers in each zone. In each zone the dealers were selected from the two markets chosen for the survey. The number of dealers/stalls surveyed in each market was determined as the proportion contributed by the number of stalls in that market to the total number of stalls in the two markets for the zone.

On each visit to the stalls a detailed inventory of wild animal species found was taken. The stock movement for each species was determined.

d) *Identification of Species*

All species encountered during survey were recorded with their local names in the market. To match the local names with the common English and Scientific names, due consultations and references were made to scientific publication that had previously established the names. Also the VCS i.e. Village Contact Survey method was used to identify some species. This involves showing published identification manuals and encyclopaedia with pictures and distinguishing features of animals to the dealers and some hunters for them to identify the animals with the local names. When the local name is established it is thereafter matched with the common English and the scientific names.

e) *Carcass Quantification*

To determine the number of carcass of each species that passed through the stall for the period, the number of that species sold out between consecutive market days were taken and summed up.

The whole animal seen at each stall on the first visit were counted and recorded separately for each species and this was taken as the initial opening stock. During subsequent visits to the stall, the remnant numbers of each species were counted and recorded. Also the number supplied to the stall after the last count was noted for the species. This allows for observation of the dynamic stock movement and the determination of the actual number sold out during the period.

$$\text{Number sold out} = \text{Opening balance} + \text{Added stock} - \text{Closing balance}$$

For some species occurring in parts, the head count approach was employed to avoid repeated counts. In this method, every head of an animal species encountered are counted as whole animals while other parts are overlooked to avoid repetition.

The main attributes of market dynamics measured during this survey are:

1. Quantity utilised by traditional medical practices as revealed by sales figures i.e. carcass number.

2. Frequency of occurrences and availability of each species
3. The average sales figure per stall / dealers for the species.

f) *Seasonality and Availability*

To examine any seasonality in the availability of animals on the stalls, a Latin square design was employed in deciding randomly the time of visit to each zone. The two major seasons were subdivided for convenience of study into early and late dry, early and late rain periods. The study was also designed such that markets in each zone are surveyed twice, each survey coming up at a season different from the other. The availability of identified species for each zone was compared for the two main seasons.

g) *Conservation Status of Species*

To evaluate the current trade status of the species encountered during the survey, due consultations / references were made to the CITES appendices for the listing on global level. Also the Endangered Species (Control of International Trade and Traffic) Decree No 11 of 1985 was consulted to determine the present conservation status of the species in the Nigerian context.

III. RESULTS

a) *Socio-Economic Characteristics of Respondents*

The female folk dominated the trade in trado-medicinal ingredients, having constituted over 90 percent of the dealers in all the zones of the state. Majority of the dealers (64 percent) were aged between 40 and 60 years as at the time of the survey. While most of the dealers (53 percent) had post primary education, 12 percent of the dealers had no formal education. Concerning to religious affiliation, the study shows that the majority (over 55 percent) of the dealers claimed affiliation to Islamic religion. Also, the study showed that the majority (over 80 percent) of the dealers had no other means of livelihood besides the trade, and were also unaware of legislative provisions protecting wildlife species in Nigeria.

Table 1 : Number of molluscan, reptilian and avian species traded over a period (20 days / season) in Ogun state, Nigeria

	Zone	Ijebu – Ode		Sagamu		Ilaro		Abeokuta		All locations							
		Dry	Rain	Both	Dry	Rain	Both	Dry	Rain	Both	Dry	Rain	Both				
English Name	Scientific Name	Local Name															
Molluscs																	
African giant snail	<i>Archachatina marginata</i>	Igbin	411	479	890	351	352	703	395	424	819	432	352	784	1589	1607	3196
Reptilian species																	
Cobra	<i>Naja spp</i>	Agbagi	39	32	71	27	21	48	29	21	50	24	20	44	119	94	213
Tortoise	<i>Kinixys spp</i>	Ajapa	167	108	275	134	91	225	132	111	243	174	136	310	607	446	1053
Nile monitor	<i>Varanus niloticus</i>	Awonriwon	17	15	32	12	11	23	10	8	18	21	14	35	60	48	108
		Zone	Ijebu – Ode		Sagamu		Ilaro		Abeokuta		All locations						
		Season	Dry	Rain	Both	Dry	Rain	Both	Dry	Rain	Both	Dry	Rain	Both	Dry	Rain	Both

English Name	Scientific Name	Local Name																
African python	<i>Python sebae</i>	Ere	22	13	35	19	12	31	24	17	41	23	17	40	88	59	147	
Senegal chameleon	<i>Chamaeleo senegalensis</i>	Oga	65	51	116	54	47	101	56	44	100	71	60	131	246	202	448	
Nile crocodile	<i>Crocodylus niloticus</i>	Oni	9	10	19	9	8	17	6	7	13	14	13	27	38	38	76	
Gabon viper	<i>Bitis gabonica</i>	Paramole	32	27	59	26	20	46	28	21	49	36	30	66	122	98	220	
Mamba	<i>Dendroaspis spp</i>	Sebe	45	14	59	36	34	70	37	34	71	32	30	62	150	112	262	
			396	270	666	312	44	561	322	263	585	395	320	715	1430	1097	2527	

Avian species

Red eye dove	<i>Streptopelia semitorquata</i>	Adaba	33	21	54	30	28	58	28	25	53	36	30	66	127	104	231
Blue-eared glossy starling	<i>Lamprotornis chalybaeus</i>	Agbe	35	30	65	29	26	55	31	27	58	40	32	72	135	115	250
Pied crow	<i>Corvus albus</i>	Akalamagbo	33	26	59	30	27	57	30	25	55	39	30	69	132	108	240
Little grebe	<i>Tachybaptus ruficollis</i>	Ako	27	19	46	20	16	36	23	18	41	31	26	57	101	79	180
Carmine bee-eater	<i>Merops nubicus</i>	Aluko	32	26	58	30	23	53	30	28	58	41	31	72	133	108	241
Double-spurred francolin	<i>Francolinus bicalcaratus</i>	Aparo	36	27	63	31	27	58	31	27	58	40	32	72	138	113	251
Black kite	<i>Milvus migrans</i>	Asa	28	17	45	26	20	46	22	20	42	30	25	55	106	82	188
Harrier hawk	<i>Polyboroides radiatus</i>	Awodi	24	15	39	19	13	32	20	14	34	29	25	54	92	67	159
African grey parrot	<i>Psittacus erithacus</i>	Ayekooto	30	22	52	25	19	44	24	20	44	34	26	60	113	87	200
Hooded vulture	<i>Necrosyrtes monachus</i>	Igun	41	34	75	40	35	75	37	31	68	53	38	91	171	138	309
Cattle egret	<i>Ardeola ibis</i>	Lekeleke	35	24	59	35	31	66	33	26	59	43	29	72	146	110	256
Indian peafowl	<i>Pavo cristatus</i>	Okin	10	7	17	8	5	13	5	2	7	14	7	21	37	21	58
Barn owl	<i>Tyto alba</i>	Owiwi	21	12	33	19	14	33	18	15	33	29	23	52	87	64	151
Spotted eagle owl	<i>Bubo africanus</i>	Owiwi	27	20	47	22	14	36	24	16	40	32	25	57	105	75	180
			412	300	712	364	296	662	356	294	650	491	379	870	1623	1271	2894

Source: Field Survey, 2005

Table 1 showed the number of carcasses sold across the state in both dry and rainy seasons for all class of animals during the survey period. In all, 3196 molluscs, 2527 reptilian and 2894 avian whole carcasses were sold into traditional African medicinal practices. Table 2 revealed species encountered during survey that were listed in appendices I and II (of CITES) as well as 1 and 2 of Nigerian Decree 11 of 1985. More than 30% of the species encountered during the survey were listed in the appendices. Table 3 gave the mean number of carcasses traded per dealer in a month in both seasons while table 4 showed the mean number of carcasses traded per dealer by zone in a month.

On the number of carcasses traded, *Necrosyrtes monachus* had the highest figure for avian

species (n=309, 10.6%) while *Kinixys spp* recorded the highest for the reptiles (n=1053, 41.7%). The general trend was that more carcasses for all the species were sold during the dry season, with the exception of *Archachatina marginata*, which appeared to be available and utilised more during the rainy season. According to the respondents, this trend was due to greater ease of hunting and higher volume of animals killed per expedition during the dry season. This in turn was attributed to factors including the animals moving farther away from their homes in search of food and water, clearer visibility in less dense vegetation and some other influences like the lunar cycle.

Table 2 : Species listed in appendix I and II of CITES and Decree 11 (1985) of Nigeria encountered during survey

Common name	Scientific name	CITES	Decree 11
Black kite	<i>Milvus migrans</i>	II	1
Vulture	<i>Necrosyrtes monachus</i>	II	2
Parrot	<i>Psittacus erithacus</i>	II	1
Owl	<i>Tyto alba</i>	II	
Chameleon	<i>Chamaeleo senegalensis</i>	II	
Crocodile	<i>Crocodylus niloticus</i>	I / II	1
Python	<i>Python sebae</i>	II	1
Monitor	<i>Varanus niloticus</i>	II	1

Source: Field Survey, 2005



Fig. 2 : (i) Vulture (whole, preserved). (ii) Chameleon (Live) (iii) African giant snails

As per the zones, Abeokuta recorded highest sales figure, followed by Ijebu Ode for all taxa. Ilaro had higher sales figures than Sagamu for molluscs and reptiles whereas Sagamu had a higher figure for aves. Factors responsible for this trend could not be established.

Table 3 : Mean number of carcasses (molluscs, reptiles and aves) traded per dealer per month in dry and rainy seasons

Wildlife species	Mean per dealer per month by season						t-test for equality of means			Comment
	Dry season		Rainy season		Both season		Calculated t	Significant p		
Molluscs										
<i>Archachatina marginata</i>	23.8	± 2.3	24.1	± 2.3	24.0	± 1.6	-0.08	0.93	NS	
Reptilian species										
<i>Naja</i> spp	1.8	± 0.3	1.4	± 0.2	1.6	± 0.2	1.04	0.30	NS	
<i>Kinixys</i> spp	9.1	± 1.1	6.7	± 0.8	7.9	± 0.7	1.75	0.09	S (p<0.10)	
<i>Varanus niloticus</i>	0.9	± 0.2	0.7	± 0.2	0.8	± 0.1	0.78	0.44	NS	
<i>Python sebae</i>	1.3	± 0.2	0.9	± 0.1	1.1	± 0.1	1.75	0.09	S (p<0.10)	
<i>Chamaeleo senegalensis</i>	3.7	± 0.4	3.0	± 0.4	3.4	± 0.3	1.17	0.25	NS	
<i>Crocodylus niloticus</i>	0.6	± 0.1	0.6	± 0.1	0.6	± 0.1	0.00	1.00	NS	
<i>Bitis gabonica</i>	1.8	± 0.2	1.5	± 0.2	1.7	± 0.2	1.14	0.26	NS	
<i>Dendroaspis</i> spp	2.3	± 0.3	1.7	± 0.3	2.0	± 0.2	1.19	0.24	NS	
	21.5	± 2.9	16.5	± 2.4	19.0	± 1.9				
Avian species										
<i>Streptopelia semitorquata</i>	1.9	± 0.2	1.6	± 0.2	1.7	± 0.2	1.06	0.30	NS	
<i>Lamprolaima chalybaeus</i>	2.0	± 0.3	1.7	± 0.3	1.9	± 0.2	0.70	0.49	NS	
<i>Corvus albus</i>	2.0	± 0.3	1.6	± 0.2	1.8	± 0.2	1.14	0.26	NS	
<i>Tachybaptus ruficollis</i>	1.5	± 0.2	1.2	± 0.2	1.4	± 0.1	1.21	0.23	NS	
<i>Merops nubicus</i>	2.0	± 0.3	1.6	± 0.3	1.8	± 0.2	0.94	0.35	NS	
<i>Francoelinus bicalcaratus</i>	2.1	± 0.3	1.7	± 0.2	1.9	± 0.2	1.04	0.30	NS	
<i>Milvus migrans</i>	1.6	± 0.2	1.2	± 0.2	1.4	± 0.1	1.23	0.23	NS	
<i>Polyboroides radiatus</i>	1.4	± 0.2	1.0	± 0.2	1.2	± 0.1	1.54	0.13	NS	
<i>Psittacus erithacus</i>	1.7	± 0.3	1.3	± 0.2	1.5	± 0.2	1.18	0.25	NS	
<i>Necrosyrtes monachus</i>	2.6	± 0.3	2.1	± 0.4	2.3	± 0.2	1.00	0.32	NS	
<i>Ardeola ibis</i>	2.2	± 0.3	1.7	± 0.3	1.9	± 0.2	1.32	0.20	NS	
<i>Pavo cristatus</i>	0.6	± 0.1	0.3	± 0.1	0.4	± 0.1	1.73	0.09	S (p<0.10)	
<i>Tyto alba</i>	1.3	± 0.2	1.0	± 0.2	1.1	± 0.1	1.42	0.16	NS	
<i>Bubo africanus</i>	1.6	± 0.2	1.1	± 0.2	1.4	± 0.1	1.63	0.11	NS	
	24.3	± 3.5	19.1	± 2.9	21.7	± 2.3				

Source: Field Survey, 2005

Table 4 : Mean number of carcasses (Molluscs & Reptiles) traded per dealer by zone

Wildlife species	Mean carcass Number per dealer per month by zone								F-test of difference between means									
	Ijebu - Ode		Sagamu		Ilaro		Abeokuta		All locations		F	Significant p	Comment					
Molluscs																		
<i>Archachatina marginata</i>	26.7	±	3.5	21.1	±	2.7	24.6	±	3.3	23.5	±	3.6	24.0	±	1.6	0.50	0.69	NS
Reptilian species																		
<i>Naja</i> spp	2.1	±	0.5	1.4	±	0.4	1.5	±	0.3	1.3	±	0.3	1.6	±	0.2	1.01	0.40	NS
<i>Kinixys</i> spp	8.3	±	1.7	6.8	±	1.2	7.3	±	1.3	9.3	±	1.5	7.9	±	0.7	0.61	0.61	NS
<i>Varanus niloticus</i>	1.0	±	0.2	0.7	±	0.2	0.5	±	0.2	1.1	±	0.3	0.8	±	0.1	1.07	0.37	NS
<i>Python sebae</i>	1.1	±	0.3	0.9	±	0.2	1.2	±	0.3	1.2	±	0.2	1.1	±	0.1	0.28	0.84	NS
<i>Chamaeleo senegalensis</i>	3.5	±	0.7	3.0	±	0.5	3.0	±	0.6	3.9	±	0.5	3.4	±	0.3	0.58	0.63	NS
<i>Crocodylus niloticus</i>	0.6	±	0.2	0.5	±	0.2	0.4	±	0.2	0.8	±	0.2	0.6	±	0.1	1.01	0.40	NS
<i>Bitis gabonica</i>	1.8	±	0.3	1.4	±	0.3	1.5	±	0.3	2.0	±	0.4	1.7	±	0.2	0.74	0.53	NS
<i>Dendroaspis</i> spp	1.8	±	0.7	2.1	±	0.4	2.1	±	0.4	1.9	±	0.4	2.0	±	0.2	0.13	0.94	NS
	20.0	±	4.6	16.8	±	3.3	17.6	±	3.5	21.5	±	3.8	19.0	±	1.9			
Avian species																		
<i>Streptopelia semitorquata</i>	1.6	±	0.3	1.7	±	0.4	1.6	±	0.3	2.0	±	0.3	1.7	±	0.2	0.28	0.84	NS
<i>Lamprotornis chalybaeus</i>	2.0	±	0.3	1.7	±	0.4	1.7	±	0.4	2.2	±	0.6	1.9	±	0.2	0.27	0.84	NS
<i>Corvus albus</i>	1.8	±	0.2	1.7	±	0.3	1.7	±	0.4	2.1	±	0.4	1.8	±	0.2	0.33	0.81	NS
<i>Tachybaptus ruficollis</i>	1.4	±	0.3	1.1	±	0.1	1.2	±	0.2	1.7	±	0.4	1.4	±	0.1	0.96	0.42	NS
<i>Merops nubicus</i>	1.7	±	0.3	1.6	±	0.3	1.7	±	0.4	2.2	±	0.6	1.8	±	0.2	0.36	0.78	NS
<i>Francolinus bicalcaratus</i>	1.9	±	0.3	1.7	±	0.3	1.7	±	0.4	2.2	±	0.5	1.9	±	0.2	0.29	0.83	NS
<i>Milvus migrans</i>	1.4	±	0.3	1.4	±	0.2	1.3	±	0.3	1.7	±	0.4	1.4	±	0.1	0.31	0.82	NS
<i>Polyboroides radiatus</i>	1.2	±	0.2	1.0	±	0.2	1.0	±	0.2	1.6	±	0.3	1.2	±	0.1	1.50	0.23	NS
<i>Psittacus erithacus</i>	1.6	±	0.3	1.3	±	0.3	1.3	±	0.3	1.8	±	0.4	1.5	±	0.2	0.46	0.71	NS
<i>Necrosyrtes monachus</i>	2.3	±	0.4	2.3	±	0.6	2.0	±	0.5	2.7	±	0.5	2.3	±	0.2	0.33	0.80	NS
<i>Ardeola ibis</i>	1.8	±	0.4	2.0	±	0.4	1.8	±	0.4	2.2	±	0.5	1.9	±	0.2	0.19	0.90	NS
<i>Pavo cristatus</i>	0.5	±	0.2	0.4	±	0.1	0.2	±	0.1	0.6	±	0.2	0.4	±	0.1	1.66	0.19	NS
<i>Tyto alba</i>	1.0	±	0.2	1.0	±	0.2	1.0	±	0.2	1.6	±	0.3	1.1	±	0.1	1.38	0.27	NS
<i>Bubo africanus</i>	1.4	±	0.2	1.1	±	0.3	1.2	±	0.3	1.7	±	0.4	1.4	±	0.1	0.95	0.43	NS
	21.4	±	3.9	19.9	±	4.0	19.5	±	4.4	26.1	±	5.8	21.7	±	2.3			

Source: Field Survey, 2005

Table 5 : Price list of species encountered during survey

Common Name	Season			Unit Price Range*			
	Dry	Rain	Both	Whole	Price (NGN)	Parts	Price (NGN)
Molluscs							
African giant snail	1589	1607	3196	X	150		
Reptilian species							
Cobra	119	94	213	X**	3500	Head, skin	600-1000
Tortoise	607	446	1053	X	1200	Head, carapace	200-400
Nile monitor	60	48	108	X**	3000	Head, skin	900-1300
African python	88	59	147	X**	4000	Head, skin	
Senegal chameleon	246	202	448	X	500		
Nile crocodile	38	38	76	X**	6000	Head, skin	1500-2500
Gabon viper	122	98	220	X	600	Head, skin	200-350
Mamba	150	112	262	X	500	Head, skin	150-200
Avian species							
Red eye dove	127	104	231	X	600	Head, feathers	100-250
Blue-eared glossy starling	135	115	250	X	800	Head, feathers	100-300
Pied crow	132	108	240	X	2500	Head, feathers	200-600
Little grebe	101	79	180	X	1200	Head, feathers	100-400
Carmine bee-eater	133	108	241	X	900	Head, feathers	120-400
Double-spurred francolin	138	113	251	X	400	Head, feathers	100-180
Black kite	106	82	188	X	1200	Head, feathers	150-300
Harrier hawk	92	67	159	X	1000	Head, feathers	120-300
African grey parrot	113	87	200	X	1200	Head, feathers	150-400
Hooded vulture	171	138	309	X	1500	Head, feathers	150-600
Cattle egret	146	110	256	X	400	Head, feathers	100-150
Indian peafowl	37	21	58			Feathers	300-700
Barn owl	87	64	151	X	400	Head, feathers	120-250
Spotted eagle owl	105	75	180	X	450	Head, feathers	120-250

* Carcass sold in fragmented parts

**requires pre-payment for contract hunting

IV. DISCUSSION

Traditional African medicinal practices consume a wide variety and vast quantity of wild mammals as revealed by the sales figure for each of the species encountered in this study. Trade in wild animals for traditional medicine cuts across all the taxa in molluscs, aves and reptiles and also involved all age grades and sexes available in agreement with several previous authors (Ntiamo-Baidu 1987; Kakati and Duolo, 1999; Costa-Neto 1999; Adeola 1992; Marshall 1998; Soewu *et al* 2012). Most of these species are already under pressure from over-exploitation.

However, being a more specialised study excluding the mammals, the number of species encountered during this survey differ from most of the previous researches. This survey recorded 23 species while Taylor and Fox (1992) recorded 55 species in Lome Fetish Market, Togo; Kakati and Doulo (2002) recorded 23 species in a study on zoothrapeutic use by Chakhesang tribe of Nagaland in India; Costa-Neto (1999) encountered 17 species in zoothrapeutic practices in Bahia, Brazil; Sodeinde and Soewu (1999) reported 45 species of wild animals for southwestern

Nigeria while Soewu *et al* (2012) documented 30 species of mammals in Nigeria. For the bush meat markets, Fa *et al* (2000) reported 14 and 21 species respectively in 1991 and 1996 on Bioko Island, Equatorial Guinea while Anadu *et al* (1988) recorded 25 species in southwestern Nigeria. There have been more quantitative studies on the bush meat trade than the trade in wild animals for traditional medicine where there is still a dearth of data on the quantity of individual species traded for utilisation.

Regarding their conservation status, more than 30% of the species encountered during this study were listed in appendices 1 and 11 of CITES and the Decree 11(1985) of Nigeria as against 70% species recorded by Soewu *et al* 2012 and 26% species officially listed as endangered recorded by Kakati and Duolo (2002).

The dealers submitted that they have observed a general decrease in the sizes and volume (in number) of carcasses for virtually all the animals they received from suppliers. It was also established during the study that all species on the stalls visited were cropped from the wild and there were no records of any captive breeding or domestication project supplying the markets. All dealers agreed to having procured from

either larger wholesale markets or directly from hunters, and sometimes from intermediaries.

Trade in wild animals for traditional medicine has been estimated to worth billions of dollars per year globally. It has been estimated that wildlife products worth about 160 US billion dollars were legitimately imported around the globe each year in the early 1990s. This is in addition to a large and profitable illegal wildlife trade which no-one can judge with any accuracy what this may be worth because it is conducted covertly (Anon 2016). The trade volume in selected markets for this study runs into excess of hundreds of thousands of naira within a month (Table 5). The price of species or parts was found to be influenced by the perceived medicinal value vis-à-vis the demand for preparations for that purpose. Animal or its part(s) used in fortune drawers and money rituals would attracted higher prices than those used for some other purposes.

Incidences of panic buying by the traditional medical practitioners as well as hoarding by the dealers were reported, both of which had economic implications for the trade and practices. This stemmed from fluctuations in demand for wild animals and their parts based on the differences in the kind of preparations people will seek during the various period and seasons of the year as well as the prevailing situation in the society. Another factor which was found to influence seasonal changes in demand for animal species is the fear or anticipation of non-availability of such species during the forthcoming season. In situation of political crises, even if only anticipated, the demand for amulets and other preparations for protection against gun shots, cutlass and other such protective preparations weapons will increase. A period of economic crises will lead to a rise in the demand for fortune drawers and good luck charms. National public holidays and religious festive periods like Easter and sallah celebrations were known to have involved mass movement of people from one location to another hence, an increase in the demand for traditional medicinal preparations meant to prevent occurrence of accidents or to save users from sustaining any injury in case there is an accidents. Some ailments which are season-related were also found to cause fluctuations in the demand for species recognised as possessing the medicinal properties to treat such ailments. Malaria fever, common cold/catarrh and the likes which appear to have a high level of incidence during the rainy season are expected to cause a rise in the demand for species involved in the treatment of these conditions.

The observed trend in utilisation of molluscs, reptiles and aves for traditional African medicinal practices has no consideration yet for either the present conservation status of the animals or the sustainability of continued use of these resources. Open trade in species officially listed in the appendices of various protective machineries indicated a very low level of

enforcement of the protection purportedly accorded these species. The conservation status as well as the protection accorded these species need to be adequately publicised to increase the level of awareness on part of the populace concerning these issues. This is an essential pre-requisite before enforcement.

Human-nature interaction must be established within its cultural dimensions for utilisation of animal resource for therapeutic purposes to be sustainable Kakati and Duolo (2002). One of the main threats to wildlife lies in the attitude of some extremist lobbying group that promotes the strict preservation of wildlife, which tends to remove all socio-economic values from wildlife Soewu, *et al* (2012). Chardonnet *et al* (2002), stated that a complimentary approach allows conservation issues to meet with development concerns. The old-fashioned philosophy of conservation of nature and wildlife is a defensive attitude which attempts to protect nature against the consequences of development, while the modern conservation of biodiversity is a voluntary approach which intends to match the needs of people for biological resources while securing the long-term survival of the biological richness of the Earth (Chardonnet *et al*, 2002). Modern conservation approach is obviously more appealing, acceptable, pragmatic and promises better results.

Also, while advocating effective application of punitive measures against violators of laws protecting wild fauna species, it is essential to avoid formulating policies which may be seen as trying to force dealers to abandon their trade.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS

To effectively factor sustainability into the ethno-biological utilisation, and ensure continued availability of renewable natural resources, two basic steps are required: reduction in need/demand for resources in the wild for trado-medicinal practices; and improvement in the yield of these resources both in the wild and under various ex-situ schemes.

VI. REDUCTION IN NEED / DEMAND

It has been documented that notwithstanding the availability of affordable health care delivery, cultural identity and recognition will continue to promote patronage for traditional medicine for peoples across the world (Soewu *et al* 2012, Soewu 2008). A general improvement on the provision of essential amenities and overall quality of life may reduce situations that will drive the people to patronise trado-medical practices which will in turn, necessitate consumptive utilisation of wild animals without any consideration for their conservation status or sustainability of use.

A massive enlightenment campaign should be mounted on the ecological consequences of continued exploitation of these resources beyond their sustainable

level and its attendant implications for the health status of mankind now and in the future. Wildlife conservation education should be integrated into the curriculum for formal education from primary to tertiary level to make conservation an essential component of the life of every citizen

a) Trade Regulation

A comprehensive review of the legal machineries protecting wild animals within the country is urgently needed to strike the required delicate balance between biodiversity conservation interests, socio-cultural demands and political exigencies. The contents of such national law as well as international conventions and treaties regulating trade in these species and, the implications of such legal provisions should be given adequate publicity as the present level of awareness is near zero among the citizenry.

b) Increase in Yield

Production of desired species should be enhanced through in-situ and ex-situ programmes. In-situ conservation facilities should be given adequate attention in ecosystem management practices with regular anti-poaching and surveillance patrols to minimize poaching activities and encourage maximum production. These will ensure optimally harnessing the potentials of these protected areas to conserve populations of wild animals while also serving as a source of re-populating species of interest. Ex-situ method of wildlife conservation constitute an important method of saving species on the verge of extinction. Efforts should be intensified on captive breeding, artificial propagation and ranching of possible species. This will provide animals for other uses such as protein sources thereby reducing pressure on resources in the wild. It will also provide animals for traditional medicinal practices where behavioral traits hinged on wild-based activities of the species are not pre-requisites.

Host communities of the wild fauna resources should be integrated as partners and beneficiaries in the management of conservation areas to make compliance with laws regulating exploitation of animals easy and realistic. Enjoining their voluntary cooperation and compliance may eliminate the need for elaborate monitoring and expensive control. Legitimate trade in non-protected species should be promoted and made more beneficial to the less well-off rural populations as against the intermediaries or the better-off urban dealers. There is a need to further investigate the dynamics of wild animals' utilisation for traditional medicine across the country so as to gain an insight into the pattern and volume of consumptive use at the national level.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

Overexploitation has caused extinctions or severely threatened species and, as human populations

have expanded, demand for wildlife has only increased. Recent overexploitation of wildlife for trade has affected countless species, some of which have been documented. In addition to the impact on human livelihoods caused by the over-harvesting of animals and plants is the harm caused by overexploitation of species to the living planet in a wider way. As human life depends on the existence of a functioning planet Earth, careful and thoughtful use of wildlife species and their habitats is required to avoid not only extinctions, but serious disturbances to the complex web of life.

Prohibiting the utilisation of natural resources, most especially for reasons relating to food, health and cultural beliefs of peoples around the world has been found to be non-appealing and in-effective as the concept of wildlife conservation is often alien to them. If the need for conservation is to be accepted by people who make their livelihoods from wildlife or its use for necessities such as food and medicine, massive enlightenment campaigns and conservation education are urgently required. Care should be also be taken to avoid what may be seen as ideological or culturally imperialistic approaches. Accepting and respecting differing views of the values of wildlife is required for co-operation across all strata of the society while at the same time explaining the provisions of the various conservation laws to the populace to discourage undue violations. Finally, while wildlife trade alone has been identified as a major threat to some species, it is important to remember that its impact is frequently made worse by habitat loss and other pressures. This should be factored adequately into conservation policies and projects to ensure an all-round sustainability of renewable natural resources.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Adeola, M.O. (1992). Importance of wild animals and their parts in the culture, religious festivals and traditional medicine in Nigeria. *Environmental Conservation* 19: 25-134.
2. Anadu P. A., P. O. Elamah and J. F., Oates (1988). The Bushmeat Trade in South Western Nigeria- a Case Study. *Human Ecology* 16: 199-208.
3. Anon (2009). Official website of Ogun State. www.ogun.gov.ng/eGovernment/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=65:history-of-ogun-state&catid=38 :about-ogun &Itemid = 108.
4. Anon (2016). Unsustainable and illegal wildlife trade. http://wwf.panda.org/about_our_earth/species/problems/illegal_trade/
5. Bowen-Jones, Evan (1998). A Review of the Commercial Bushmeat Trade and the Great Apes. Report for the Ape Alliance.
6. Bowen-Jones, Evan and Stephanie-Pendry (1999). The threat to primates and other mammals from the bushmeat trade in Africa, and how this threat could be diminished. *Oryx* 33 (3) 233-246.

7. Chardonnet Ph, B. des Chers, J. Fischer, R. Gerhold, F. Jori & F. Lamarque., (2002). The Value of Wildlife. *Rev. Sci. Tech. Off Int. Epiz* 21 (1). 15 – 51.
8. Caldecott, J. O. *et al* (1994) Priorities for conserving global species richness and endemism. WCMC. World Conservation Press.
9. Costa-Neto, Eraldo Medeiros (1999): Traditional use and sale of animals as medicines in Feira de Santana city, Baria, Brazil, *Indigenous knowledge and development monitor articles* (7 – 2): 1 – 4.
10. Fa, J. E. (2000) Hunted Animals in Bioko Island, West Africa: Sustainability and Future pages 168-198 (in J. G. Robinson and E. L. Bennet, eds) *Hunting for Sustainability in Tropical Forests* Columbia University Press New York.
11. Fa John E, Juan E, Garcia Yuste and Ramon Castelo (2000): Bushmeat markets on Bioko Island as a Measure of Hunting Pressure. *Conservation Biology* 14 (6): 1602 – 1613.
12. Kakati, L.N and Doulo, V. (2002): Indigenous Knowledge System of Nagal and India. *Journal of Human Ecology* 13 (6) 419-423.
13. Li, W., and Wang H. (1999). Wildlife Trade in Yunnan Province, China at the border with Vietnam. *TRAFFIC Bulletin*. Vol.18.No 1.21-30
14. Marshall, N.T. (1998): Searching for a cure: conservation of medicinal wildlife resources in east and southern Africa. TRAFFIC International
15. Ntiamao-Baidu, Y. (1987). West African Wildlife: A resource in jeopardy. *Unasylya* 39: 27-25.
16. Ott Anna, Diane Pitassy, Peter Uimomen, Amy Villamanga (2002) Sustainable Use of Wildlife. The search for common ground. Paper prepared for the bushmeat crisis task force by the Sustainable Development and Conservation Biology Problem Solving Team, University of Maryland, College Park.
17. Redford K. H. (1993) – Hunting in Neotropical forests: a subsidy for Nature. In tropical forests, people and food: biocultural interactions and applications to development (C. M Hladik, A Hladik; O. F. Linares, H. Pagezy, A Semple & M Hadley eds) Parthenon Publishing Group, Pearl River 227-246.
18. Sodeinde O.A., and Soewu D.A. (1999). Pilot Study of the Traditional Medicine Trade in Nigeria. *TRAFFIC Bulletin* Vol.18.No 1, 35-40
19. Soewu (2008) Wild Animals in ethnozoological practices among the Yorubas of south-western Nigeria and the implications for biodiversity conservation. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* (3) (6): 421-427. www.academicjournals.org/AJAR.
20. Soewu D.A., Bakare, O.K., Ayodele, I. A. 2012. Trade in Wild Mammalian Species for Traditional Medicine in Ogun State Nigeria. *Global Journal of Medical Research* 12 (3)
21. Simmonds, M. (1998). Changing conservation aims: who will represent wildlife? *Oryx* 32 (2) 82-82.
22. Taylor, M. E and Fox J (1992). The Fetish Market, Lome, Togo. *Nigerian Field* 57:119-125
23. Wilkie D. S. Curran, B., Tshombe R. & Morelli, G. A. (1998) Managing bush meat hunting in Okapi Wildlife Reserve, Democratic Republic of Congo. *Oryx* 32 (2). 131-144. Wilkie et al (1998)