Available online at www.scholarsresearchlibrary.com



Scholars Research Library

Archives of Physics Research, 2011, 2 (2):80-86 (http://scholarsresearchlibrary.com/archive.html)



Radioactivity Measurements of the Jos Tin Mine Tailing in Northern Nigeria

Usikalu M.R*, Anoka O.C⁺and Balogun F.A⁺⁺

*Department of Physics, Covenant University, Ota ⁺Department of Physics, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile Ife ⁺⁺National Institute of Radiation Protection and Research, University of Ibadan, Ibadan

ABSTRACT

The activity concentrations of ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th in Jos Tin mine tailings and its environment were measured using Hyper-pure Germanium detector (HPGe). Preset counting time of 30,000s was used for each sample to avoid statistical errors. Results showed the activities varied from one site to another. The activities of ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th are much higher than the recommended average limit given by UNSCEAR in the mining sites and even at 500 m away from the mining site. At 1 Km away from the mining site the activity measured is within the limit for normal background. The ratio of activity of Thorium to Radium is greater by factor of 5 in all the samples in the mining site and 500 m away which should be about unity. The mean absorbed doses (1828.66, 252.08 and 171.07 nGyh⁻¹) for Site1, Site2 and 500m from mining site respectively in air are equally high compared with (51 nGyh⁻¹). Also, the estimated effective dose equivalents are much higher than the recommended limit except for 1 Km from the mining site. There is need to improve waste management practices in this industries in order not to expose those living and working in this environment to health hazards associated with these radionuclides.

Keywords: Radioactivity, Absorbed dose, Effective dose, Tin mine tailings, HPGe

INTRODUCTION

The knowledge of distribution of radionuclides and radioactivity levels in the environment is important for assessing the radiation exposure to the population. There are two main contributors to natural radiation exposures: high-energy cosmic ray particles incident on the earth's atmosphere and radioactive nuclides that originated in the earth's crust and are present everywhere in the environment, including human body [1]. Jos area is located in the Northern part of Nigeria and its lithological formations are basement complex, new basalt and

Usikalu M.R et al

Biotite Granite. Biotite Granite is associated with Tin and Columbine and the primary purpose of this industry is to mine Tin, mill and by-product. Tin is one of the mineral resources that Nigeria is endowed with. It has contributed immensely to the national wealth and provides Nigeria with some socio-economic benefits. However, extensive mining operations can degrade the environment affecting the human population in the surrounding vicinity. Also, the large scale mining activities have the potential to enhance the background radiation levels prevailing in the region [2]. Different types of environmental damage and hazards inevitably accompany the harnessing of this important mineral [1; 3]. Most specially the Tin tailings that is, the by-product from the mining and processing of Tin such as monazite, thorite, zircon etc., which are dumped in the mining site due to lack of a market. The tailings contain some heavy metals that are highly radioactive. These tailings may result in radiation exposure of the population living in the neighbourhood through (i) leached activity which may be directly ingested through drinking water or may indirectly enter the food chain by uptake through vegetation, fish, milk and meat, (ii) an enhanced external radiation background in the area, and (iii) higher radon levels due to ground emanation [4]. The low level doses of ionizing radiation emanating from the tailings may pose health hazard to the immediate human population. The most common radiation induced health effects are incidence of cancers and genetic effects. Lung cancer induction is the most common effect in inhalation radiation exposure. Exposure to these radionuclides for a period of time may results in life shortening [5; 6]. The aim of this present work is to determine the activity concentrations of 226 Ra and 232 Th in the tailing sites and mining neighbourhood. Activities of 226 Ra and 232 Th were considered because both head series of radionuclides that produce significant human exposure [1]. The data obtained were used to assess the potential radiological hazards associated with these soils by estimating the absorbed dose rates in air (D_R) and the annual outdoor effective dose equivalent rates (H_R).

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Sample Collection

Five samples from five positions from the two tailings sites were cored out using digger and spade. Also five samples from five positions were taken at 500 m and 1 Km away from the mining site. One kilogram samples were taken from each site and stored in a polyethylene bag. The samples were processed following the standard procedures [7]. These samples were oven dried at 60°C to attain a constant weight. The samples were grounded and sieved with a fine mesh, packed in 0.02kg mass and properly sealed up in a marinelli beaker. These were left for 30days before measurement so that the ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th would attain secular equilibrium with their respective daughter and grand-daughters. Proper sealing was ensured by providing double seal to the lid of the container to avoid ²²²Rn escaping.

Activity Determination

The activity concentration of ²³²Th and ²²⁶Ra were determined by hyper-pure germanium (HPGe) detector (Canberra co-axial type), with a relative efficiency of 50% and an energy resolution of 2.4 keV(FWHM) at 1.33 MeV of ⁶⁰Co. The detector was properly shielded to suppress external background radiation coming from building materials. The electronics of the system was set up to cover a photon energy range of about 2.0 MeV with 4K channels. The detector was calibrated using the IAEA certified soil reference standard materials, RG U-

238, RG Th-232, with densities similar to the pulverized samples to be measured. The ²²⁶Ra activity concentration was calculated using the 609.3 keV peak from the ²¹⁴Bi decay and the 351.9 keV peak from the ²¹⁴Pb peak. In the case of ²³²Th was measured using the peaks at 911.2 keV from the ²²⁸Ac and 583.2 keV from ²⁰⁸Tl. The counting time was 30000s; the time is large so as to minimize statistical errors. The activity of each radionuclide in the samples was determined using the relation given in equation 1 [8].

 $A_{s} = \frac{C_{net}}{\varepsilon_{\gamma} Y_{\gamma} m_{s}} \tag{1}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \epsilon_{\mathbb{B}} \text{ is the detector efficiency at \mathbb{D}-energy of interest$}\\ C_{net} \text{ is the count per second of the sample$}\\ m_s \text{ is the mass of the sample$}\\ Y_{\mathbb{B}} \text{ is the intensity of gamma ray at the particular energy being counted} \end{array}$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the radioactivity measured from the tailing sites are displayed in Table 1 with their counting errors. The activity of ²²⁶Ra measured range from 231.98 ± 5.7 Bqkg⁻¹ to 784.250 ± 3.9 Bqkg⁻¹ with mean value of 512.24 Bqkg⁻¹, while that of ²³²Th range from 1737.38 ± 20.81 to 3616.0 ± 38.08 Bqkg⁻¹ with mean value of 2635.78 Bqkg⁻¹ in the tailing Site1. In the tailing Site2 activity of ²²⁶Ra range from 43.11 ± 2.74 to 63.48 ± 3.77 Bqkg⁻¹ with mean value of 51.36 Bqkg⁻¹, while that of ²³²Th is between 298.68 ± 3.09 and 415.71 ± 4.07 Bqkg⁻¹ with mean value of 378.08 Bqkg⁻¹. The mean activity of the two sites was not calculated together because of large variation in the measured concentrations from the two sites. It was observed from the measurements that the activity of Site1 is 10 and 7 times greater than that of Site2 for ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th respectively. The variation in the activity measured from the two sites is probably due to difference in the radioactive separation disposal point, for instance, Site1 is disposal point for the monazite separation tailings. [9; 10; 11] have reported high concentration of radionuclides associated with the presence of radioactive minerals most especially monazite in the rocks. These values are far above the world average for soil, 33 and 45 $Bqkg^{-1}$ for ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th respectively [1]. The activity of ²²⁶Ra measured at 500 m away from the mining site range from 31.83 ± 5.35 to 42.65 ± 3.10 Bqkg⁻¹ with a mean value of 38.30 Bqkg⁻¹, while for ²³²Th varied between 194.41 \pm 5.40 and 312.43 \pm 6.31 Bqkg⁻¹ with a mean value of 261.32 Bqkg⁻¹. At 1 Km away from the mining site the measured activity of 226 Ra range from 2.03 \pm 0.18 to 7.68 \pm 0.45 Bqkg⁻¹ with a mean value of 5.04 Bqkg⁻¹, while that of ²³²Th range from 2.08 \pm 0.19 to 29.09 \pm 1.44 Bqkg⁻¹ with a mean value of 16.28 Bqkg⁻¹. The activity measured at 500 m away was higher compared to 1 Km away from the site, this may be due to the closeness to the mining site and through leached activity via the water course. Although, the activity measured at 500 m away from the site was much lower than that obtained in the mining site, the activity measured still exceed the recommended limit for normal background [1]. It was observed from the measurements that the activity measured at 1 Km away from the site was within the recommended average limit for normal background [1]. It is obvious from the measurements that the activity decreases with distance from the mining

site. These results show that the high background radiation level at the mining site is mainly due to the mining activities and poor waste management. Figure 1 shows the pictorial presentation of the concentrations at various positions with Site1 values scaled by 5 for clear comparison, from the Figure,²³²Th exhibited higher activity concentrations in the entire samples at various positions. This can be attributed to the biotite granitic origin of Jos area geology and that biotite granites may contain high concentration of thorium than radium. A comparison of the mean activity concentrations values obtained in this work with values from other regions of the world are displayed in Table 3. The mean activity of both radionuclides for Site1 is greater than the values obtained other countries. In Site2 the activity of ²²⁶Ra obtained in this study are higher than those obtained in other countries except for Turkey and China, while the activity obtained for ²³²Th in other countries are lower than the value obtained in this site. At 500 m away from the mining site the activity of ²³²Th is greater than the value obtained in this site the activity of both radionuclides obtained in other countries except for India and Pakistan, while that of ²³²Th is greater than the value obtained in the value obtained in this study are less than the values obtained in the other countries.

Tailings Radiation Hazard Assessment

In order to assess the radiation hazard of the measured natural ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th activity concentrations, absorbed dose rate D_R (nGyh⁻¹) in air and annual effective dose equivalent H_R (mSv year⁻¹) were calculated. According to the results of ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th activities in the sample (Table 1) the gamma dose rates in air were estimated using the dose coefficients factor 0.462, 0.604 (nGyh⁻¹) given by [1] for ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th respectively. The absorbed dose is estimated by:

$$D = [(0.462 \times A_{P_a}) + (0.604 \times A_{T_b})] n Gy h^{-1}$$
⁽²⁾

where, A_{Ra} and A_{Th} are the concentrations of ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th respectively, in Bq kg⁻¹. The calculated absorbed dose values for Site1 and 2 are displayed in Columns 5–7 of Table 1. The total absorbed dose delivered by these radionuclides for Site1 ranged between 1302.88-2546.38 nGyh⁻¹ with a mean value of 1828.66 nGyh⁻¹ which is about 36 times higher than the world average value of 51 nGyh⁻¹. For Site2 the total absorbed dose range 200.32-275.66 nGyh⁻¹ with a mean value of 252.08 nGyh⁻¹ this is still higher than the world average value [1]. The calculated absorbed dose values for 500m and 1 Km away from mining site are displayed in Columns 5–7 of Table 2. The mean total absorbed dose obtained at 500 m away (171.07 nGyh⁻¹) from the site is also greater than the average world value but at 1 Km away the mean total absorbed dose obtained (12.16 nGyh⁻¹) is within the recommended limit for normal background. The annual effective dose equivalent to the population due to the radioactivity was estimated using the dose coefficient (0.7 SvGy⁻¹) and occupancy factor (0.2) for outdoors

[1]. This translates the absorbed dose rate $(nGy h^{-1})$ in air to effective dose $(mSvyr^{-1})$ which can be determined as:

$$H_R = D_R N_h \times 0.7 S v G y^{-1} \times 0.2 \tag{3}$$

where D_R is the absorbed dose rates in air $(nGyh^{-1})$ and N_h (8,760 h) is the number of hours in one year.

Scholars Research Library

83

Usikalu M.R et al

	Sample Name	Activity (Bqkg ⁻¹)		Absorbed		Total	Effective Dose
				Doses		Absorbed	(mSvyr ⁻¹)
				(nGyh ⁻¹)		Doses	
						$(nGyh^{-1})$	
		²²⁶ Ra	²³² Th	²²⁶ Ra	²³² Th		
Site 1	APT1_Dru 1	784.25 ± 3.9	$3616{\pm}38.08$	362.32	2184.06	2546.38	3.18
	APT1_Dru 2	645.15 ± 4.3	2718.93 ± 7.11	298.06	1642.23	1940.29	2.42
	APT1_Dru 3	351.09 ± 3.1	2431.41 ± 23.52	162.20	1468.57	1630.77	2.03
	APT1_Dru 4	231.98 ± 5.7	2675.19 ± 31.48	107.17	1615.81	1722.98	2.15
	APT1_Dru 5	548.71 ± 6.8	1737.38 ± 20.81	253.50	1049.38	1302.88	1.63
	Range	231.98 - 784.25	1737.38 - 3616	107.17 -	1049.38 -	1302.88 -	1.63 - 3.18
				362.32	2184.06	2546.38	
	Mean	512.24	2635.78	236.65	1592.01	1828.66	2.28
Site 2	THS 1	46.28 ± 1.69	400.23 ± 5.00	21.38	241.74	263.12	0.33
	THS 2	63.48 ± 3.77	397.44 ± 8.05	29.33	240.05	269.38	0.34
	THS 3	53.18 ± 2.09	415.71 ± 4.07	24.57	251.09	275.66	0.34
	THS 4	50.73 ± 5.13	378.25 ± 3.21	23.44	228.46	251.90	0.31
	THS 5	43.11 ± 2.74	298.68 ± 3.09	19.92	180.40	200.32	0.25
	Range	43.11 - 63.48	298.68 - 415.71	19.92 -	180.40 -	200.32 -	0.25 - 0.34
	č			29.33	251.09	275.66	
	Mean	51.36	378.02	23.73	228.35	252.08	0.31

Table 1: Radioactivity of ²³⁸U and ²³²Th in Tailing Samples

 \pm Value indicates the error associated with the measurement.

Table 2: Radioactivity Measured from the Mining Neighbourhood

Distance	Sample Name	Activity (Bqkg ⁻¹)		Absorbed Doses (nGyh ¹)		Total Absorbed	Effective Dose $(mSuur^{-1})$
		²²⁶ Ra	²³² Th	²²⁶ Ra	²³² Th	$(nGyh^{-1})$	(IIISVyl)
	APT1_A1	40.13 ± 0.39	312.43 ± 6.31	18.54	166.48	185.02	0.23
	APT1_A2	39.19 ± 1.82	298.88 ± 8.97	18.11	180.52	198.63	0.25
	APT1_A3	37.68 ± 1.17	225.26 ± 5.73	17.41	136.05	153.46	0.19
500 m	APT1_A4	42.65 ± 3.10	275.63 ± 5.03	19.70	166.48	186.10	0.23
Away	APT1_A5	31.83 ± 5.35	194.41 ± 5.40	14.71	117.42	132.13	0.17
	Range	31.83 - 42.65	194.41 - 312.43	14.71 –	117.42 -	132.13 -	0.17 - 0.25
				19.70	180.52	198.63	
	Mean	38.30	261.32	17.69	153.39	171.07	0.21
	APMC_1	5.95 ± 0.36	29.09 ± 1.44	2.75	17.57	20.32	0.03
	APMC_2	7.68 ± 0.45	24.50 ± 1.92	3.55	14.80	18.33	0.02
	APMC_3	5.80 ± 0.45	16.83 ± 0.56	2.68	10.17	12.85	0.016
1 Km	APMC_4	3.75 ± 0.36	8.89 ± 0.92	1.73	5.37	7.10	0.009
Away	APMC_5	2.03 ± 0.18	2.08 ± 0.19	0.94	1.26	2.20	0.003
	Range	2.03 - 7.68	2.08 - 29.09	0.94 - 3.55	1.26 –	2.20 -	0.003 -
	-				17.57	20.32	0.03
	Mean	5.04	16.28	2.33	9.83	12.16	0.016

 \pm Value indicates the error associated with the measurement.

The effective doses calculated for Site1 and 2 are presented in Column 8 of Table 1. This ranged between 1.63–3.18 mSv with a mean value of 2.28 mSv for Site1 while the mean for Site2 is 0.31 mSv. The mean value of effective doses obtained for these sites are much higher than

the recommended limit (0.07 mSv) for the normal background. The effective doses calculated for 500 m and 1 Km away from the site are presented in Column 8 of Table 2. It was found that the mean effective doses obtained at 500m away (0.21 mSv) from the site is higher than the recommended limit, but at 1 Km away (0.016 mSv) from the site the mean effective doses is much lower than the recommended limit for normal background [1].

Table 3:	Comparison of	f the mean	concentration of	of ²²⁶ Ra an	d ²³² Th in	present	study with	other	countries

Country	²²⁶ Ra	²³² Th	Reference
India	31	63	[12]
Ireland	60	26	[13]
Turkey	115	192	[14]
China	112	71.5	[15]
Spain	46	49	[16]
Pakistan	32.9	53.6	[4; 17]
Site 1	512.24	2635.78	
Site 2	51.36	378.02	
500 m Away	38.30	261.32	Present Study
1 Km Away	5.04	16.28	



Fig. 1: Radioactivity Concentrations of ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th in the samples

CONCLUSION

Hyper pure Germanium detector has been used to determine the activity of ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th in the Tin tailing sites and its surroundings. The activity concentrations were much higher than the world average value for soil in the mining site and at 500 m away, but at 1 Km away the activity

is within the recommended limit. This may suggest that the dumping of tailings around the site has increased the level of background radiation on the surroundings and the mining area. Potential radiological effects on miners and those living less than 1 Km to the site due to external irradiation are significant. The high concentrations of natural radionuclides can act as a source for extended radon exhalation. Dwellings in the vicinity less than 1 Km from mining site are candidates for higher radon burden. There is need to improve waste management practices in this industries in order not to expose those living and working in this environment to health hazards associated with these radionuclides.

REFERENCES

[1] United Nations Scientific Committee on Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR,) Report to the general assembly, vol I. Sources and effects of ionizing radiation. United Nations, New York, **2000**.

[2] Adekoya, J.A. Journal Physics Science Kenya, 2003, 625-640.

[3] Ajakaiye, D.E. Inter-Disciplinary Journal Enugu-Nigeria, **1985**, 3(4), 05-210.

[4] Sam, A.K. and Awad Al-Geed, A.M. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2000, 88, 335–40.

[5] Anoka, O.C. Radioactivity Due to Low Energy Gamma Ray in Jos Tin Mine Tailings" B.Sc. Project Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile Ife, **1995**.

[6] Aigbedion, I. and Iyayi, S.E. International Journal of Physical Sciences, 2007, 2(2), 038-048.

[7] EML Procedure Manual, Volchok, Herbert, L., de Planque, Gail (Eds.), twentysixth ed. New York, US Department of Energy, Environmental Measurement Laboratory **1983**.

[8] Eyebiokin, M.R. Pilot Study of Natural Radioactivity in Vegetables in Selected Locations in Ondo State Nigeria, M.Sc. Dissertation, Federal University of Technology, Akure, **2005**.

[9] Rahaman, M.A. Review of basement geology of southwestern Nigeria. In: Kogbe CA (ed) Geology of Nigeria. Elizabethan Publication, Lagos, **1976**, 47–58.

[10] Rahaman, M.A. Recent advances in the study of the basement complex of Nigeria. In: Proceedings of first symposium on the precambrian geology of Nigeria, **1988**, 11–43.

[11] Oshin, I.O. and Rahaman, M.A. Journal of African Earth Science, 1985, 5(1), 167–175.

[12] Kamath, R.R., Menon, M.R., Shulka, V.K., Sadasivan, S. and Nambi, K.S.V. Natural and fallout radioactivity measurement of Indian soils by gamma spectrometric technique. In: Proceedings of the fifth national symposium on environment. *Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Calcutta*, **1996**, 56–60.

[13] McAulay, I.R. and Moran, D. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 1988, 24,47–49.

[14] Merdanoglu, B. and Altinsoy, N. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2006, 121,399–405.

[15] Yang, Y., Wu, X., Jiang, Z., Wang, W., Lu, J., Lin, J., Wang, L.M. and Hsia, Y. Applied Radiation Isotopes, 2005 63,255–259.

[16] Baeza, A., Del-Rio, M., Miro, C. and Paniagua, *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*, **1992**, 45(1), 261–263.

[17] Matiullah, A.A., Shakeel ur Rehman, Shafi ur Rehman and Faheem, M. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*, **2004**, 112, 443–447.