ACCEPTED VERSION

Laura I. Rudaks, Idin Ahangar, Elizabeth R. Dodd, Austin G. Milton, Monica A. Hamilton-Bruce, Jim Jannes, Simon A. Koblar

Endovascular treatment for acute ischaemic stroke: experience in South Australia International Journal of Stroke, 2015; 10(6):E64-E65

© 2015 World Stroke Organization. Reprinted by permission of SAGE Publications.

Originally Published at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ijs.12580

PERMISSIONS

https://au.sagepub.com/en-gb/oce/journal-author-archiving-policies-and-re-use

Green Open Access: SAGE's archiving policy

Most SAGE journals are published under SAGE's Green Open Access policy, which allows you, as author, to re-use your Contribution as indicated below. For a list of titles that are exceptions to this policy, please scroll down to the bottom of the page.

Green Open Access policy:

You may share the version of the Contribution you submitted to the journal (version 1) anywhere at any time.

Once the Contribution has been accepted for publication, you may post the accepted version (version 2) of the Contribution on your own personal website, your department's website or the repository of your institution without any restrictions.

You may not post the accepted version (version 2) of the Contribution in any repository other than those listed above (i.e. you may not deposit in the repository of another institution or a subject repository) until 12 months after first publication of the Contribution in the journal.

You may use the published Contribution (version 3) for your own teaching needs or to supply on an individual basis to research colleagues, provided that such supply is not for commercial purposes.

You may use the published Contribution (version 3) in a book authored or edited by you at any time after publication in the journal. This does not apply to books where you are contributing a chapter to a book authored or edited by someone else.

You may not post the published Contribution (version 3) on a website or in a repository without permission from SAGE.

When posting or reusing your Contribution under this policy, appropriate credit must be given to the SAGE journal where the Contribution has been published, as the original source of the content, as follows: Author(s), Article Title, Journal Title (Journal Volume Number and Issue Number) pp. xx-xx. Copyright © [year] (Copyright Holder). Reprinted by permission of SAGE Publications. Additionally, please provide a link to the appropriate DOI for the published version of the Contribution on the SAGE Journals website (http://journals.sagepub.com).

17 March 2017

Letter to the Editor:

Endovascular Treatment for Acute Ischaemic Stroke: Experience in South Australia

Dear Editor,

Recent evidence from the MR CLEAN, EXTEND-IA and ESCAPE trials showed intraarterial treatment in acute ischaemic stroke caused by proximal intracranial arterial occlusion resulted in better functional outcomes at 90 days when compared with standard treatment. This contests prior evidence from randomised controlled trials indicating non-superiority of endovascular therapy. 4-6

We conducted a retrospective analysis of 31 consecutive patients treated with endovascular therapy for acute ischaemic stroke between November 2008 and December 2012 at The Queen Elizabeth Hospital, a tertiary referral centre at a time of change in South Australia. Baseline characteristics and type of endovascular method are outlined in Table 1. Outcomes were assessed using Arterial Occlusive Lesion (AOL) recanalisation scores and 90-day Modified Rankin Scores (mRS) (Table 2).

Successful recanalisation, defined by AOL 2-3, was achieved in 77.4% of our patients. This was lower than MR CLEAN¹ and EXTEND-IA,² comparable to ESCAPE³ and IMS III⁶ but higher than MR RESCUE.⁴ Our patient group had higher rates of death, intracranial haemorrhage (ICH) and worse functional outcomes than reported in EXTEND-IA, MR CLEAN, IMS III and SYNTHESIS.^{1,2,5,6} However our study showed better functional outcomes and ICH was less common than in MR RESCUE.⁴

We used multiple endovascular modalities, which limits comparison of our results; latergeneration mechanical devices may contribute to improved rates of recanalisation seen in the more recent trials. ^{2,8} Furthermore our study included patients with posterior territory stroke, which were not included in MR CLEAN, EXTEND-IA, ESCAPE or MR RESCUE, although they were included in IMS III and SYNTHESIS. ¹⁻⁶ Stratification of our results showed these patients had poorer outcomes compared with anterior circulation vessel occlusion (Table 3). A number of factors may contribute to differences in outcomes including variability of interventional radiologist experience and time from stroke onset to treatment initiation. In our patient group the mean time from stroke onset to arrival at hospital was 59 minutes. This was better than the mean of 78 minutes in EXTEND-IA. ² Our mean time to initiation of intravenous thrombolysis was 123 minutes, which compares with 127 in EXTEND-IA, 122.4 in IMS III, 110 in ESCAPE and 85 in MR CLEAN. ^{1-3,6} Mean time to the commencement of endovascular therapy was 235 minutes in our study, 210 minutes in EXTEND-IA and 260 minutes in MR CLEAN. ¹⁻³

Given the recently published evidence supporting endovascular therapy,⁸ we suggest it is important to report outcomes from past "real world experience" which in comparison to current evidence demonstrates why the initial studies were negative. Translation of the current evidence to our stroke services is now the critical step for best clinical outcomes.

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics* (n=31)

Demographic Data				
Age, years – mean (range)	66 (28-86)			
Male gender – n (%)	15 (48.4)			
Stroke				
Territory – n (%)				
Anterior	26 (83.9)			
Posterior	5 (16.1)			
Cause – n (%)				
Cardiogenic embolism	14 (45.2)			
Large-artery atherosclerosis	5 (16.1)			
Dissection	2 (6.5)			
Unknown	10 (32.3)			
NIHSS score, mean (range)	17 (7-28)			
Time from stroke onset – minutes, mean (range)				
To hospital (n=27)†	58.9 (20-194)			
To intravenous thrombolysis (n=14)‡	123 (73-267)			
To endovascular therapy (n=31)	235 (45-405)			
Endovascular procedure				
Mechanical device or stent – n (%)	25 (80.6)			
Penumbra	20/25 (80)			
Solitaire	8/25 (32)			
Trevo	3/25 (12)			
Merci	1/25 (4)			

Permanent stent	6/25 (24)
Intra-arterial rtPA – n (%)	10 (32.3)

^{*}Data was recorded at time of presentation to hospital or at time of stroke if already an inpatient.

†4 patients in hospital at the time of stroke were not included; 4 other patients were transferred from another hospital (time to arrival at initial hospital was used in these cases). ‡16 patients underwent intravenous therapy prior to endovascular therapy; time parameters were recorded in 14.

Table 2. Complications and Outcomes (n=31)

Complications – n (%)			
ICH	18 (58.1)		
Symptomatic	6 (19.4)		
Asymptomatic	12 (38.7)		
Symptomatic cerebral oedema	3 (9.7)		
Further stroke	2 (6.5)		
Bleeding at other sites	7 (22.6)		
Sepsis	9 (29)		
Death	9 (29)		
Outcome measures – n (%)			
AOL post-procedure			
0	5 (16.1)		
1	2 (6.5)		
2	9 (29)		
3	15 (48.4)		
mRS at 90 days*			
0-1	3 (10)		
0-2	8 (26.7)		
90-day mortality	14 (46.7)		

^{*}Recorded in 30 patients.

Table 3. Outcomes Stratified by Vessel Occlusion Location (n=31)

	Successful	90-day mRS	90-day
Vessel Occluded	recanalisation	0-2*	mortality*
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Anterior	19/26 (73)	8/25 (32)	10/25 (40)
Internal carotid artery	9/12 (75)	2/11(18)	5/11 (45)
Middle cerebral artery, M1	7/10 (70)	4/10 (40)	4/10 (40)
M2 – single occlusion	2/3 (66.7)	1/3 (33.3)	1/3 (33)
M2 – multiple occlusions	1/1 (100)	1/1 (100)	0/1 (0)
Posterior	5/5 (100)	0/5 (0)	4/5 (80)

^{*} Not recorded in 1 patient.

References

- 1. Berkhemer OA, Fransen PS, Beumer D *et al*. A randomized trial of intraarterial treatment for acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med* 2015; **372:**11-20.
- 2. Campbell BC, Mitchell PJ, Kleinig TJ *et al*. Endovascular Therapy for Ischemic Stroke with Perfusion-Imaging Selection. *N Engl J Med* 2015; **372:**1009-18.
- 3. Goyal M, Demchuk AM, Menon BK *et al.* Randomized Assessment of Rapid Endovascular Treatment of Ischemic Stroke. *N Engl J Med* 2015; **372:**1019-30.
- 4. Kidwell CS, Jahan R, Gornbein J *et al*. A trial of imaging selection and endovascular treatment for ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **368:**914-23.
- 5. Ciccone A, Valvassori L, Nichelatti M *et al*. Endovascular treatment for acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **368:**904-13.
- 6. Broderick JP, Palesch YY, Demchuk AM *et al.* Endovascular therapy after intravenous t-PA versus t-PA alone for stroke. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **368:**893-903.
- Moey AWO, Hamilton-Bruce MA, Howell S et al. Significant increase in thrombolysis therapy rates for stroke in South Australia. Int J Stroke 2015; Article No: IJS12498 (accepted for publication March 2015).
- 8. Hill MD, Goyal M, Demchuk AM. Endovascular stroke therapy a new era. *Int J Stroke* 2015; **10:**278-9.