

**Patterns and processes in zooplankton and water quality
across the Chowilla Floodplain during a large flood.**

by

Deborah Jane Furst

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences
The University of Adelaide



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

DECLARATION

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text . In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint award of the degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968. The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web via the University's digital research repository, the Libray Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

.....

Deborah Jane Furst

24th December 2013

CONTENTS

DECLARATION.....	i
CONTENTS.....	ii
LIST OF TABLES.....	vi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	vii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	xv
SUMMARY.....	xvi
FOREWARD.....	xix
Chapter 1. General Introduction.....	1
1.1. Riverine ecosystem concepts.....	1
1.2. Dryland river systems.....	1
1.2.1. Towards a general model for dryland systems.....	2
1.2.2. Dryland river floodplains and their role in the broader landscape	3
1.3. The Murray Darling Basin.....	8
1.3.1. From drought to flood.....	11
1.4. Study Site.....	12
1.4.1. The Chowilla Floodplain.....	12
1.4.2. Floodplain sites.....	16
1.5. The project.....	22
Chapter 2. The role of hydrology and habitat on floodplain physico-chemical heterogeneity.....	25
2.1. Introduction.....	25
2.2. Sampling sites and procedures.....	27
2.2.1. Study site.....	27
2.2.2. Definition of hydrological phases.....	29
2.2.3. Water quality: measurement, collection and processing.....	31
2.2.4. Statistical analysis.....	32
2.3. Results.....	33
2.4. Discussion.....	40
2.4.1. Landscape variability in physico-chemical response to hydrological phases.....	40
2.4.2. Salinity.....	40
2.4.3. Dissolved oxygen.....	41
2.4.4. Turbidity.....	41
2.4.5. Regional influences.....	42

2.4.6.	Conclusions	43
Chapter 3.	Hydrological connectivity and landscape heterogeneity structure zooplankton communities in a river-floodplain system	44
3.1.	Introduction	44
3.2.	Sampling sites and procedures	46
3.2.1.	Study site	46
3.2.2.	Sampling periods.....	48
3.2.3.	Definition of hydrological phases	48
3.2.4.	Collection and processing of zooplankton	49
3.2.5.	Water quality: measurement, collection and processing.....	50
3.2.6.	Statistical analysis	51
3.3.	Results	52
3.4.	Discussion	61
3.4.1.	The role of river-floodplain connectivity in early community structure	61
3.4.2.	Temporal and spatial variations in abundance during floodplain connection	62
3.4.3.	The importance of flooding frequency.....	63
3.4.4.	The role of connectivity in maintaining biodiversity.....	63
3.4.5.	Water residence time as a driving force of zooplankton structure 64	
3.4.6.	Drives of community divergence following floodplain disconnection	65
Chapter 4.	River-floodplain exchange and transformation of organic matter and nutrients in a dryland river system	67
4.1.	Introduction	67
4.2.	Sampling sites and procedures	69
4.2.1.	Study site	69
4.2.2.	Sampling periods.....	70
4.2.3.	Collection and processing of zooplankton	72
4.2.4.	Temporal and spatial changes in the concentration of dissolved and particulate resources.....	73
4.2.5.	Calculation of nutrient loads	74
4.2.6.	Partitioning of nutrients between resources	75
4.2.7.	Statistical analysis	75
4.3.	Results	77
4.3.1.	Temporal trends across the landscape.....	77
4.3.2.	Floodplain transformations	87

4.3.3.	The floodplain as a sink or source of resources during the receding limb of the hydrograph	88
4.4.	Discussion	89
4.4.1.	General findings	89
4.4.2.	The floodplain as a nutrient sink during floodplain contraction	89
4.4.3.	The floodplain as a transformer of nutrients during floodplain contraction	90
4.4.4.	Factors affecting the function of the floodplain as a sink or source	91
4.4.5.	Conclusions	92
Chapter 5.	Floodplain connectivity facilitates significant export of zooplankton to the main River Murray channel during a flood event.....	94
5.1.	Introduction	94
5.2.	Sampling sites and procedures	96
5.2.1.	Study site	96
5.2.2.	Collection and processing of zooplankton	98
5.2.3.	Flow rate calculations.....	100
5.2.4.	Area inundated estimates.....	101
5.2.5.	Calculation of expected downstream zooplankton abundances	101
5.2.6.	Zooplankton biomass calculations	101
5.2.7.	Statistical analysis	102
5.3.	Results	103
5.3.1.	Lake contributions: anabranch-tributary confluence.....	103
5.3.2.	Floodplain contributions: river-anabranch confluence.....	108
5.4.	Discussion	112
5.4.1.	Floodplain zooplankton communities	112
5.4.2.	Floodplain lakes as an important source of zooplankton	113
5.4.3.	Patterns in species richness	113
5.4.4.	Riverine zooplankton communities.....	114
5.4.5.	Floodplain zooplankton contributions to main river channel habitats	115
5.4.6.	Conclusions	115
Chapter 6.	The effect of imported and emergent zooplankton communities within a dryland river floodplain on egg-bank diversity	117
6.1.	Introduction	117
6.2.	Sampling sites and procedures	118
6.2.1.	Study site	118

6.2.2.	Collection and processing of the active egg-bank.....	122
6.2.3.	Collection and processing of the active zooplankton community	122
6.2.4.	Statistical analysis	123
6.3.	Results	124
6.4.	Discussion	135
6.4.1.	Pre-flood egg-bank.....	135
6.4.2.	The active community.....	136
6.4.3.	Relationship between the pre-flood egg bank and the active community	136
6.4.4.	The role of floods in maintaining floodplain egg-banks.....	137
6.4.5.	Conclusions	137
Chapter 7.	General conclusion	139
7.1.	Conclusions	139
7.2.	Management implications	141
	REFERENCES	143

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1: Summary of lake features. The features include the nominal water capacity (ML); the area inundated (km²); the approximate maximum depth (m); the calculated flows into South Australia (ML day⁻¹) required to begin filling the lake (Flow to fill); the approximate salinity range (μS cm⁻¹); the previous dates that watering events took place (Watering Events). km² = squared kilometres and the approximate length of time between initial watering to complete evaporation and desiccation (months).
.....21

Table 6-1: Summary of lake features. The features include the nominal water capacity (ML); the area inundated (km²); the approximate maximum depth (m); the calculated flows into South Australia (ML day⁻¹) required to begin filling the lake (Flow to fill); the approximate salinity range (μS cm⁻¹); the previous dates that watering events took place (Watering Events). km² = squared kilometres and the approximate length of time between initial watering to complete evaporation and desiccation (months).
.....121

Table 6-2: Sampling dates of the active zooplankton community at each site. RM = River Murray. Dashes indicate that the site was sampled on that date. Where H = not sampled due to high water levels and D = did not sampled due to desiccation.
.....123

Table 6-3: The presence or absence of species within the active community at all sites and species that emerged from the pre-flood and post-flood egg-bank samples collected from each lake (excluding Coppermine Waterhole post-flood egg-bank). Imported = species imported to the floodplain via the floodwaters, Active = the active community, Pre-flood EB = pre-flood egg-bank, Post-flood EB = post-flood egg-bank and * = species present.125

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: The Murray-Darling Basin. Blue lines represent tributaries where the thicker lines indicate the larger tributaries (modified from Ben Spraggon at ABC 2013).	9
Figure 1-2: The calculated flows at the South Australian border based on the modelled flow regime that would have occurred if there was no river regulation and extractions (Natural) and the actual flow regime with regulation and extractions (Current) (ML day ⁻¹). Note that the vertical axis maximum is 150000 ML day ⁻¹ and at times flows go beyond this.	10
Figure 1-3: Hydrograph of flows to South Australia (data sourced from DEWNR 2012). Connection and disconnection dates of Lake Littra, Lake Limbra, Coppermine Waterhole and the remainder of temporary floodplain habitats (Floodplain) are indicated with broken arrows. Sampling dates are indicated as ●. Broken lines identify the five phases of hydrological connectivity as defined in the text.....	13
Figure 1-4: The Chowilla Floodplain and adjacent River Murray which flows from the east to the west (MDBA 2013).	14
Figure 1-5: Punkah Creek in the Chowilla Floodplain where salinity has been brought to the surface by the water table, severely stressing and killing river red gums and black box trees (photo courtesy of Arthur Mostead).	15
Figure 1-6: Flooding extent, frequency, and duration under natural and current conditions at Chowilla (table from DEWNR 2006). Column one shows actual flows where floodplain inundation was measured, except those marked with asterisk, where inundation data are interpolated (Sharley and Huggan 1995).	16
Figure 1-7: Hancock Creek, the inlet and outlet to Lake Limbra from Chowilla Creek. 17	
Figure 1-8: Chowilla Creek, the main anabranch of the Chowilla Floodplain.	17
Figure 1-9: Lake Littra (photo courtesy of Dr. Todd Wallace).	18
Figure 1-10: Coppermine Waterhole (MDBA 2010).	19
Figure 1-11: Lake Limbra.	20
Figure 2-1: The Chowilla Floodplain with study sites (modified from MDBA 2012a). Where Hancock Creek = grey star, lake = open star, permanent creek/river = closed star, Lock 6 = red dot, CC = Chowilla Creek and RM = River Murray. Inset: the Murray Darling Basin (modified from http://tinyurl.com/9cj3jxr).	29

- Figure 2-2: Hydrograph of flows to South Australia (data sourced from DEWNR 2012). Connection and disconnection dates of Lake Littra, Lake Limbra, Coppermine Waterhole and the remainder of temporary floodplain habitats (Floodplain) are indicated with broken arrows. Sampling dates are indicated as ●. Broken lines identify the five phases of hydrological connectivity as defined in the text.....30
- Figure 2-3: Sampling dates for each site. Where HC = Hancock Creek, CC = Chowilla Creek, RM = River Murray, - = sampling occurred, H = sampling not possible due to high water levels and D = sampling not possible due to desiccation.32
- Figure 2-4: Temporal coefficient of variation between sites of Chl-a, volatile solids (VS), conductivity, dissolved oxygen concentration and turbidity. Temporal standard deviation between sites of temperature and pH (ln transformed). Where light grey = small variation, medium grey = moderate variation and dark grey = large variation between sites.....35
- Figure 2-5: Average conductivity ($\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$), temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), dissolved oxygen concentration (mg/L), turbidity (NTU) and pH at Coppermine Waterhole (Copper), Lake Littra (Littra), Lake Limbra (Limbra), the River Murray (RM), Chowilla Creek (CC) and Hancock Creek (HC) over time. Hydrological stages are indicated with broken vertical lines and corresponding number. Error bars represent 1 standard deviation.36
- Figure 2-6: RM-ANOVA testing for the effects of site and time on pH, turbidity, temperature, volatile solids (VS), chlorophyll-a (Chl-a), dissolved oxygen (DO) and conductivity (EC) on Lake Littra, Lake Limbra, Chowilla Creek (CC) and the River Murray (RM) from January until May 2011 Greenhouse-Geisser adjusted probabilities were used for the F statistics.....37
- Figure 2-7: A Nonmetric Multidimensional Scaling (NMS) ordination of all water quality parameters across all sites and dates. Various symbols and colours represent different sites. Lines link consecutive samples. Numbers represent hydrological phases where 1 = overbank flows, 2 = expansion, 3 = contraction, 4 = disconnection and 5 = evaporation Final Stress for a 2-dimensional solution = 8.44.39
- Figure 3-1: The Chowilla Floodplain with study sites Floodplain (modified from Gell, Bulpin *et al.* 2005). Hancock Creek = grey star, lake = white star, permanent creek/river = closed star, Lock 6 = red dot, CC = Chowilla Creek and RM = River

Murray. Inset: the Murray Darling Basin (modified from http://tinyurl.com/9cj3jxr) black arrow indicates the location of Chowilla.	47
Figure 3-2: Hydrograph of flows to South Australia. Disconnection dates of the floodplain, Lake Littra, Lake Limbra and Coppermine Waterhole are indicated with broken arrows. Sampling dates are indicated as •. Broken lines identify the five (corresponding numbers indicated) phases of hydrological connectivity.	49
Figure 3-3: A Nonmetric Multidimensional Scaling (NMS) ordination of all zooplankton species across all sites and dates. Numbers 1 – 5 represent the five phases of hydrology. Where Conductivity = electrical conductivity, Darling Flows = Darling River flows, RM Flows = River Murray flows, Water Temp = water temperature, RM = River Murray, CC = Chowilla Creek, HC = Hancock Creek, Cop = Coppermine Waterhole, Lim = Lake Limbra and Lit = Lake Littra.	53
Figure 3-4: Temporal changes in abundance (ind. L ⁻¹) for all sites (Chowilla Creek sites combined). Vertical bars represent ± 1 SD.	54
Figure 3-5: The average species richness (R), evenness (E) and Shannon’s diversity index (H) at each site for each hydrological phase. Where RM = River Murray, CC = Chowilla Creek and HC = Hancock Creek.	55
Figure 3-6: Range of average temperature (°C), pH, turbidity (NTU), chlorophyll-a (µg l ⁻¹), electrical conductance (µg cm ⁻¹) and dissolved oxygen at each site throughout the five hydrological phases.	56
Figure 3-7: RM-ANOVA testing for the effects of site and time on abundance on all sites excluding Coppermine Waterhole (from January until May 2011). Greenhouse- Geisser adjusted probabilities were used for the F statistics.	59
Figure 3-8: Species variation between habitats (β diversity) and landscape diversity (γ diversity) during each hydrological phase where Overb = Overbank flows, Exp = Expansion, Cont = Contraction and Evap = Evaporation phase. Where β diversity is the number of species unique to each (site) when compared to each other site independently (comparison site).	59
Figure 3-9: Percentage of the total abundance of the three major zooplankton groups, rotifers, cladocerans and copepods during the hydrological phases at each site (Chowilla Creek sites combined).	60

Figure 4-1: The Chowilla Floodplain with study sites Floodplain (modified from Gell, Bulpin *et al.* 2005). Hancock Creek = grey star, lake = open star, permanent creek/river = closed star, Lock 6 = red dot, CC = Chowilla Creek and RM = River Murray. Inset: the Murray Darling Basin (modified from <http://tinyurl.com/9cj3jxr>) white square indicates the location of Chowilla. 71

Figure 4-2: Hydrograph of flows to South Australia. Disconnection dates of the floodplain, Lake Littra, Lake Limbra and Coppermine Waterhole are indicated with broken arrows. Sampling dates are indicated as (●). 72

Figure 4-3: The total flow volume (megalitres: ML) for each period and the date in which the representative sample was taken. Where RM = River Murray (at RM-2 & RM-3) and CC = Chowilla Creek (at CC-3). 74

Figure 4-4: Summary of methods used to estimate the mass of carbon (C), nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) within each resource including phytoplankton (phyto), zooplankton (zoo) and O-POM. Where TM = total mass, C = carbon, N = nitrogen and P = phosphorus. 76

Figure 4-5: Likelihood ratio tests comparing models. Polynomial (Poly), linear (Linear) and no trends (None) were tested. The best fit model, degrees of freedom (df), F-statistic (F) and p-values (P) reported. Where fitted models are significantly different, *** = $P < 0.001$, ** = $P < 0.01$, * = $P < 0.05$ 77

Figure 4-6: The percentage of variation from the fixed trend due to the effects of site and time. 79

Figure 4-7: Conditional partial deviations from the conditional mean (across all sites and time) for TC, TN and TP (mg litre^{-1}) where the conditional mean has been set to zero and is indicated with the broken blue line. Graphs on the top row are the means (across sites) for each sampling date and the bottom row are the means (across dates) for each site. Date 1 = 11 February 2011, 2 = 24 February 2011, 3 = 9 March 2011, 4 = 26 March 2011, 5 = 9 April 2011, 6 = 22 April 2011 and 7 = 5 May 2011. Site Lim = Lake Limbra and Lit = Lake Littra. 80

Figure 4-8: Temporal changes in the concentration of nitrogen estimated within other organic material (O-POM), phytoplankton, zooplankton, NH_4 and NO_x at the sites CC-1, CC-2, CC-3, Littra, Limbra and Coppermine Waterhole. 81

- Figure 4-9: Partial deviations from the conditional mean (across all sites and time) of Other-N and Other-P ($\mu\text{g litre}^{-1}$) where the conditional mean has been set to zero and is indicated with the broken blue line. Graphs on the top row are the means (across sites) for each sampling date and the bottom row are the means (across dates) for each site. Date 1 = 11 February 2011, 2 = 24 February 2011, 3 = 9 March 2011, 4 = 26 March 2011, 5 = 9 April 2011, 6 = 22 April 2011 and 7 = 5 May 2011. Site Lim = Lake Limbra and Lit = Lake Littra..... 82
- Figure 4-10: Partial deviations from the conditional mean (across all sites and time) for NO_x, NH₄, FRP and DOC ($\mu\text{g litre}^{-1}$) where the conditional mean has been set to zero and is indicated with the broken blue line. Graphs on the top row are the means (across sites) for each sampling date and the bottom row are the means (across dates) for each site. Date 1 = 11 February 2011, 2 = 24 February 2011, 3 = 9 March 2011, 4 = 26 March 2011, 5 = 9 April 2011, 6 = 22 April 2011 and 7 = 5 May 2011. Site Lim = Lake Limbra and Lit = Lake Littra. 83
- Figure 4-11: Temporal changes in the concentration of phosphorus estimated within t-POM, phytoplankton, zooplankton and PO₄³⁺ at the sites CC-1, CC-2, CC-3, Littra, Limbra and Coppermine Waterhole. 84
- Figure 4-12: Partial deviations from the conditional mean (across all sites and time) for O-POM, phytoplankton and zooplankton ($\mu\text{g litre}^{-1}$) where the conditional mean has been set to zero and is indicated with the broken blue line. Graphs on the top row are the means (across sites) for each sampling date and the bottom row are the means (across dates) for each site. Date 1 = 11 February 2011, 2 = 24 February 2011, 3 = 9 March 2011, 4 = 26 March 2011, 5 = 9 April 2011, 6 = 22 April 2011 and 7 = 5 May 2011. Site Lim = Lake Limbra and Lit = Lake Littra..... 85
- Figure 4-13: Temporal changes in the concentration of carbon estimated within phytoplankton, zooplankton, O-POM and DOC at the sites CC-1, CC-2, CC-3, Littra, Limbra and Coppermine Waterhole. 86
- Figure 4-14: Loads of particulate and dissolved material from Chowilla Floodplain to the River Murray Channel, 27th of January to 12th of May 2012. Loads are shown for O-POM, phytoplankton, zooplankton, DOC, NH₄, NO_x and FRP in tonnes (t). Quantities shown are the total differences in load between RM-2 (downstream of the

Chowilla Floodplain) and RM-3 (upstream of the Chowilla Floodplain) for each period (Period) and for the whole sampling study.	88
Figure 5-1: The Chowilla Floodplain (modified from Gell, Bulpin <i>et al.</i> 2005). Hancock Creek = grey star, lake = white star, permanent creek/river = closed star, HC = Hancock Creek, CC = Chowilla Creek and RM = River Murray. Inset: Murray-Darling Basin (modified from Humphries, King <i>et al.</i> 1999).	97
Figure 5-2: Sampling dates for each site. Where HC = Hancock Creek, CC = Chowilla Creek and RM = River Murray.....	98
Figure 5-3: Hydrograph of flows in and adjacent to the Chowilla Floodplain. Flows shown are discharge exiting Chowilla Creek (CC-3), flows to South Australia excluding discharge to the Chowilla Floodplain (RM-1) and discharge of the River Murray downstream of the Chowilla Floodplain (RM-2). Disconnection dates of the floodplain and Hancock Creek/Lake Limbra are indicated with arrows. Sampling dates are indicated as •. Gaps in lines represent missing data.....	99
Figure 5-4: Flow in Hancock Creek (ML day ⁻¹) from 11 March 2011 until 10 May 2011.	103
Figure 5-5: Temporal changes in species richness (species litre ⁻¹) for the anabranh-tributary confluence. Sites shown are CC-1, CC-2 and HC for each sampling date. Vertical bars represent ± 1 SD.....	104
Figure 5-6: RM-ANOVA testing for the effects of site and time on species richness and abundance on the anabranh-tributary confluence sites (CC-1, CC-2 & from December 2010 until May 2011, the Chowilla Creek sites (CC-1, CC-2 & CC-3) from January until May 2011 and the river-anabranh confluence sites (RM-1, RM-2 & CC-3). Greenhouse-Geisser adjusted probabilities were used for the F statistics.	104
Figure 5-7: Temporal changes in abundance (ind litre ⁻¹) for the anabranh-tributary confluence. Sites shown are CC-1, CC-2 and HC for each sampling date. Vertical bars represent ± 1 SD.....	106
Figure 5-8: Post hoc comparisons on zooplankton abundance and species richness using the Tukey HSD test. Sites were compared from January until June 2011.	106

Figure 5-9: Temporal changes in species richness (species litre⁻¹) for the river-anabranh confluence. Sites shown are RM-1, RM-2 and within CC-3 for each sampling date. Vertical bars represent ± 1 SD. 107

Figure 5-10: Summary of the estimated zooplankton biomass being transferred to Chowilla Creek from Lake Limbra via Hancock Creek in kilograms per day (kg d⁻¹) and the area of the lake as a percentage of total area inundated. Estimates based on average daily flow (ML day⁻¹) and zooplankton biomass concentrations in micrograms per litre ($\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$). Where In = entering Lake Limbra, Out = exiting Lake Limbra, Out-In = the difference, Lim = Lake Limbra, FP = floodplain and Lim/FP = Limbra as a proportion of the floodplain. 108

Figure 5-11: Summary of the zooplankton abundances at site six (Average Abundance), the associated standard deviation (SD), the calculated expected (Expected) and whether or not the expected fell within ± 1 SD..... 109

Figure 5-12: Temporal changes in abundance (ind litre⁻¹) for the river-anabranh confluence. Sites shown are CC-3, RM-1 and RM-2 for each sampling date. Vertical bars represent ± 1 SD. 110

Figure 5-13: A Nonmetric Multidimensional Scaling (NMS) 2 dimensional ordination conducted in PC-ORD on species assemblage (R2 = 4). Characteristic species are written in black and blue crosses represent all species. Purple diamonds represent upstream (US), green downstream (DS) and red Chowilla Creek (CC). Community from the same date are circled with the corresponding date adjacent. 111

Figure 5-14: The estimated total zooplankton biomass (kg day⁻¹) coming from the Chowilla Floodplain (grey bars). Secondary x-axis shows the calculated flows into South Australia (black line). Vertical bars represent ± 1 SD..... 112

Figure 6-1: The Chowilla Floodplain with study sites (modified from MDBA 2012a). Lake = open star, the main river channel = closed star, Lock 6 = red dot, RM = River Murray and HC = Hancock Creek. Inset: the Murray Darling Basin (modified from <http://tinyurl.com/9cj3jxr>). 120

Figure 6-2: A Nonmetric Multidimensional Scaling (NMS) ordination of all zooplankton species within the active community across sites and dates and from the pre and post-flood egg-banks. Where RM = River Murray, Lim = Limbra, Lit = Lake Littra, Cop = Coppermine Waterhole, PreEB = pre-flood egg-bank and PosEB = post-flood egg-

bank. The first three letters of the month sampled and the day within that month are next to site label..... 129

Figure 6-3: Temporal changes in the abundance (ind litre⁻¹) of cladocera and copepod species within the active community that were present within the Lake Littra, Lake Limbra or Coppermine Waterhole pre and/or post-flood egg-banks. The egg-bank and lake in which each species was present in indicated below the species name. Sites shown are Lake Littra, Coppermine Waterhole, Lake Limbra and the River Murray for each sampling date. Vertical bars represent ± 1 SD. 131

Figure 6-4: Temporal changes in the abundance (ind litre⁻¹) of *Brachionus* species present within the active community that were also present within the Lake Littra, Lake Limbra or Coppermine Waterhole pre and/or post-flood egg-banks. The egg-bank and lake in which each species was present in indicated below the species name. Sites shown are Lake Littra, Coppermine Waterhole, Lake Limbra and the River Murray for each sampling date. Vertical bars represent ± 1 SD. 132

Figure 6-5: Temporal changes in the abundance (ind litre⁻¹) of rotifer species within the active community that were also present within the Lake Littra, Lake Limbra or Coppermine Waterhole pre and/or post-flood egg-banks. The egg-bank and lake in which each species was present in indicated below the species name. Sites shown are Lake Littra, Coppermine Waterhole, Lake Limbra and the River Murray for each sampling date. Vertical bars represent ± 1 SD. 133

Figure 6-6: Temporal changes in the abundance (ind litre⁻¹) of species present within the active community but absent within the imported community. The egg-bank and lake in which each species was present in indicated below the species name. Sites shown are Lake Littra, Coppermine Waterhole and Lake Limbra for each sampling date. Vertical bars represent ± 1 SD..... 134

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was possible thanks to the financial support of the following institutions: The School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Adelaide, The Goyder Institute and The Nature Foundation. I would like to thank the people I have met at the Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources and Jock Robinson for their help in accessing the Chowilla Floodplain and for showing me the site.

A big thanks to my supervisors: Justin Brookes, Kane Aldridge, George Ganf, Russel Shiel and Scott Mills or as you have been referred to as, my ‘football team’. Justin, your ability to balance guidance and encouragement has helped me to learn, develop and evolve as a scientist and given me the confidence to navigate my own path. Kane, you recognised when I needed support the most, highlighted my strengths rather than weaknesses, and showed me that what appears to be a stumbling block is actually a stepping stone and an opportunity to learn. George, you taught me to question and explore, assisting in discovery rather than explaining facts, the art of teaching. Russell, I feel honoured that you have shared some of the incredible expanse of knowledge in which you contain and your generosity and patience when sharing it is truly admirable. Scott, without your confidence in me, incredible enthusiasm and ability to make any situation humorous there is no doubt I would not be where I am now. I can’t thank you all enough.

Thanks to my office companions, Abby, Chatu, Anna, Adam, Sanjina, Todd, Virginie and Dae Heui and my friends outside of university. You have all been so supportive and made me laugh, not only when I need it, but all the time. I have so many great memories.

Last but not least, thank you to my friends and family. To my partner Shane, who not only donated a huge amount of time in the field, but also helped me to be present when I was so often absent. To my family who have been a constant source of love and support, especially Joseph who I was living with at the time who showed compassion, support, forgiveness and friendship well beyond his years.

SUMMARY

Within riverine ecology a generalised concept such as the Flood Pulse Concept or the River Continuum Concept is yet to be developed that accurately describes patterns and processes within lowland rivers of arid or semi-arid climates (hereafter termed dryland rivers). A lot of the difficulty associated with developing a concept for these systems is in part due to the extreme hydrological variability. Australian dryland systems are rated amongst the most variable systems in the world where the variability in flow plays a crucial role in driving ecological processes.

The objective of this thesis was to investigate how a large dryland river floodplain responds to flood and the quantity of nutrient, phytoplankton and zooplankton resources contributed to the riverine food-web. Five permanent channel and four ephemeral floodplain sites were sampled within the Chowilla Floodplain and adjacent River Murray in South Australia from the 17 December 2010 until 18 November 2011. Five hydrological phases were defined based upon the degree of hydrological connectivity.

During the first hydrological phase water flowed through the anabranch system yet remained confined within the banks of the creeks and lakes. Zooplankton communities were diverse with up to 63 species per site and these communities were dominated by rotifers. Supplemented by the pre-existing egg bank, communities within the floodplain lakes (up to 10,409 individual's litre⁻¹) were considerably more abundant in comparison to the permanent sites (up to 613 individual's litre⁻¹).

During the expansion phase, water began to spill over the banks of creeks, lake and wetland depressions onto the flatter areas of the floodplain. This significantly increased the inundated area, the area of lotic habitat and floodplain discharge. During this phase the dissolved oxygen concentration and turbidity differed between sites but the bulk river flow drove similarities in conductivity, chlorophyll-a and particulate organic material¹ and increased mixing of zooplankton communities across the floodplain. Substantial export of nutrients from the floodplain occurred in dissolved and particulate forms and continued into the contraction phase as river flows decreased causing floodplain drawdown. The recession of water into the main river channel brought with it high concentrations of filterable reactive phosphorus (FRP), phytoplankton, zooplankton and other fine particulate

¹ Particulate organic material = volatile solid concentration which is the concentration of solids in water that are lost on ignition of the dry solids at 550 degrees C.

organic material. The calculated load of each of these resources were approximately 182 tonnes of FRP, 466 tonnes dry weight phytoplankton, 368 tonnes dry weight zooplankton and 7515 tonnes of fine particulate organic material in the two phases from 11 February until 5 May 2011. Both alpha (habitat) and gamma diversity (landscape) were highest during floodplain connectivity (phases 1-3).

The major waterbodies were isolated during the disconnection phase and during the fifth water evaporated and habitats became dry. Isolated habitats became increasingly dominated by copepods and were associated with increasing conductivity. Due to the production of diapause eggs primarily by species that were imported within the floodwaters the egg bank was more diverse after than before flooding.

The findings discussed above enhance our broader and integrative understanding of the natural processes that occur within the system during large scale and magnitude floods within the lowland reaches. This understanding is an essential prerequisite to viable ecosystem management. This study has highlighted a number of key factors that need to be considered when managing regulators. This includes:

- (1) The inundation of a range of habitat types to promote physico-chemical diversity with the aim of satisfying a wider range of organisms and life history stages and therefore promoting high biodiversity.
- (2) The generation of high lateral connectivity between the river and the floodplain promoting the exchange and mixing of resources between habitats fuelling both the riverine and floodplain food-webs.
- (3) The use of regulators and environmental flows in tandem. This could include the use of multiple floodplains using the same environmental water to ensure that resources and propagules exiting upstream floodplains are delivered to downstream floodplains using the main river channel as a conduit. This is especially important following periods such as drought as egg banks degrade during these periods, decreasing the resistance and resilience of these environments.

Flows within these systems vary in scale both spatially and temporally. There are large flood such as that in this study which are low in frequency and cover large areas of floodplain and play an important role in resetting the environment to earlier successional stages (Pettit, Froend *et al.* 2001; Stanley, Fisher *et al.* 1997), stimulating ecological

processes, connecting habitats, transferring resources between floodplain and main channel environments and dispersing microfauna. However smaller and more frequent floods are important for other biological and biogeochemical functions. Other aspects such as the duration, timing and frequency of inundation also play a crucial. The next step in dryland river management and possibly one of the biggest challenges is in understanding how these different flooding characteristics interact and then to use this knowledge to restore the ecological health of what are now and are likely to remain highly regulated systems.

FOREWARD

This thesis has been prepared as a series of chapters in a format that will be suitable for future publication in scientific journals. To maintain sense of individual chapters, this has inevitably led to some repetition between chapters.