18

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

Graphene-Assisted Microfiber for Optical-Power-Based Temperature Sensor

Qizhen Sun, Xiaohui Sun, Weihua Jia, Zhilin Xu, Haipeng Luo, Deming Liu, and Lin Zhang

Abstract-Combined the large evanescent field of microfiber with the high thermal conductivity of graphene, a sensitive 2 all-fiber temperature sensor based on graphene-assisted micro-3 fiber is proposed and experimentally demonstrated. Microfiber can be easily attached with graphene due to the electrostatic 5 force, resulting in an effective interaction between graphene and the evanescent field of microfiber. The change of the 7 ambient temperature has a great influence on the conductivity of graphene, leading to the variation of the effective refractive index 9 of microfiber. Consequently, the optical power transmission will 10 be changed. The temperature sensitivity of 0.1018 dB/°C in the 11 heating process and 0.1052 dB/°C in the cooling process as well 12 as a high resolution of 0.0098 °C is obtained in the experiment. 13 The scheme may have great potential in sensing fields owing to 14 the advantages of high sensitivity, compact size, and low cost. 15

Index Terms—Microfiber, graphene, evanescent field,
 temperature sensor.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE PAST decades have seen increasing applications of 19 microfiber in all-fiber filters, sensors and modulators, 20 etc. due to its simple fabrication technique, compact size, 21 low loss, large evanescent field and easy integration with 22 fiber systems [1]. Featured with the advantages of flexibility, 23 small footprint and immunity to electromagnetic interference, 24 all fiber temperature sensors have been widely used in 25 material processing, food testing, greenhouse monitoring and 26 other fields. Zeng et al. achieved a temperature sensitivity 27 of 0.27nm/°C in heating process and -0.28nm/°C in cooling 28 process by utilizing microfiber knot resonator [2]. Luo et al. 29 demonstrated a temperature sensor with the sensitivity as 30 high as -0.98921nm/°C by immersing highly bi-refringent 31 32 D-shaped microfiber in sucrose solution [3]. However, both

Manuscript received September 19, 2015; revised October 15, 2015; accepted October 21, 2015. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 61275004, in part by the Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Province for Distinguished Young Scholars under Grant 2014CFA036, and in part by the European Commission's Marie Curie International Incoming Fellowship under Grant 328263.

Q. Sun is with the National Engineering Laboratory for Next Generation Internet Access System, School of Optical and Electronic Information, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China, and also with the Aston Institute of Photonic Technologies, Aston University, Birmingham B4 7ET, U.K. (e-mail: qzsun@mail.hust.edu.cn).

X. Sun, W. Jia, Z. Xu, H. Luo, and D. Liu are with the National Engineering Laboratory for Next Generation Internet Access System, School of Optical and Electronic Information, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China (e-mail: hxsun@hust.edu.cn; whjia@hust.edu.cn; zlxu@hust.edu.cn; luohaipeng@163.com; dmliu@hust.edu.cn).

L. Zhang is with the Aston Institute of Photonic Technologies, Aston University, Birmingham B4 7ET, U.K. (e-mail: l.zhang@aston.ac.uk). Color versions of one or more of the figures in this letter are available

online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/LPT.2015.2495107

of them are difficult to fabricate, as well as require expen-33 sive wavelength detecting systems. Considering the limit of 34 resolution of the optical spectrum analyzer, the temperature 35 resolution can just reach to 0.02°C. Zhu et al. proposed a 36 single-mode tapered fiber coated by thermo-sensitive material 37 and the output power monotonically increased 1.2dB with 38 the temperature variation from -20 °C to 80 °C [4]. 39 Harun demonstrated a microfiber-loop-resonator-based tem-40 perature sensor with the sensitivity of 0.043dB/°C by detecting 41 the extinction ratio of the comb spectrum [5]. Nevertheless, the 42 achieved results are relatively nonlinear and the sensitivity is 43 not high enough. 44

On the other hand, graphene has been hailed as a super-thin 45 optical material in electronics and photonics due to its unique 46 valence bands structure and strong inter-band transitions. 47 Based on the mature platform of fiber optics, graphene is 48 especially flexible to be incorporated with fiber as a new 49 composite waveguide, applied as polarization controller [6], 50 wideband saturable absorber [7], and etc. Li et al. reported 51 a graphene-clad microfiber based all-optical modulator 52 at $\sim 1.5 \mu m$ with a response time of $\sim 2.2 ps$, which could 53 achieve a modulation depth of 38% owing to the enhanced 54 light-graphene interaction [8]. Since the optical conductivity of 55 graphene can be easily influenced by the environment due to its 56 two-dimensional structure, it also has great potential in sensing 57 fields. Xiao et al. demonstrated a reduced graphene oxide 58 based side-polished fiber for humidity sensing with sensitivity 59 of 0.31dB/%RH in high relative humidity range (70-95%) [9]. 60 Yavari et al. employed a macro graphene foam-like network 61 for parts-per-million level detection of NH₃ and NO₂ with 62 good sensitivity and durability [10]. Zhang et al. demonstrated 63 a temperature sensor based on a side-polished fiber coated 64 with reduced graphene oxide film, achieving a high sensitivity 65 of 0.134dB/°C [11]. However, there are few reports on 66 microfiber combined with graphene for temperature sensors 67 yet, which is further miniaturized to be suitable for integration 68 and probe. 69

In this letter, by taking advantage of the super-high thermal conductivity of graphene, we propose an all-fiber temperature sensor based on graphene assisted microfiber (GAMF). The refractive index of graphene can be changed along with the surrounding temperature, leading to the variation of transmission power of the GAMF. Theoretically analysis and experimental demonstration of the sensor are carried out and achieve high sensitivity higher than 0.1018dB/°C. Meanwhile, GAMF presents special superiorities including easy fabrication, miniaturization and low cost.

1041-1135 © 2015 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See http://www.ieee.org/publications_standards/publications/rights/index.html for more information.



Fig. 1. (a) Schematic of the GAMF; (b) microscope image of bare microfiber; (c) photograph of the graphene film; (d) Raman spectrum of graphene film, inset: the morphology of graphene film; (e) SEM of the GAMF.

80 II. SYSTEM CONFIGURATION AND WORKING PRINCIPLE

The structure of the GAMF is schematically depicted 81 in Fig. 1(a), which is a micro/nano fiber strongly attached with 82 a small piece of single-layer graphene film. The microfiber is 83 bilaterally stretched from a standard single-mode-fiber (SMF) 84 by using the flame-heating and taper-drawing technology. The 85 flow rate of hydrogen and the tensile speed are optimized 86 to 106ml/min and 0.6mm/s, respectively, and then the waist 87 diameter of the microfiber is decreased to $1.984 \mu m$, as shown 88 in Fig. 1(b) which is obtained from a microscope imaging 89 system. The graphene film is provided by Nanjing JCNANO 90 Technology Co., LTD, which is firstly grown on copper foil 91 through chemical vapor deposition (CVD), and then trans-92 ferred to the 1 cm^2 silica substrate, as illustrated in Fig. 1(c). 93 The single ratio of the graphene film without doping is higher 94 than 90%, which appears as two-dimensional hexagonal lattice 95 with large specific surface area. In the infrared-to-visible 96 spectral range, the constant absorption coefficient and the 97 sheet resistance of the graphene film are 2.3% and 500-800 Ω , 98 respectively. From the Raman spectrum of the graphene film 99 presented in Fig. 1(d), the intensity ratio of 2D peak to 100 G peak is far higher than 2, and most part in the morphology 101 is light-colored, demonstrating that the graphene film is 102 single-layer [12]. 103

In order to decrease the transmission loss of microfiber, the 104 graphene film is transferred from the silica substrate to the 105 MgF₂ substrate with lower refractive index. Hold and stretch 106 the microfiber by two three-dimensional alignment stages, and 107 then adjust the stages until the microfiber tightly attached onto 108 the graphene film, to form the GAMF sensor. The scanning 109 electron microscopy (SEM) image of the GAMF with the 110 focus on the microfiber is shown in Fig. 1(e). The clear 111 images of both microfiber and graphene film indicate that the 112 microfiber is closely attracted onto the graphene film, owing 113 to the high evanescent field and electrostatic force between 114 them. 115

The sensing mechanism is analyzed in theory as follows. When temperature rises, the electron-hole concentration of the graphene will increase due to the thermal excitation, resulting in the rising of the corresponding dynamic conductivity [13]. According to Refs. [14]–[16], the optical conductivity of 120 graphene can be modeled by Kubo formula: 121

$$\sigma_s(\omega, \mu_c, \tau, T)$$

$$ie^2(\omega + i\tau^{-1}) \quad \ell^{+\infty} \qquad |\varepsilon|$$
122

$$= -\frac{ie(\omega + i\tau)}{\pi \hbar^2} \left[\int_{-\infty} \frac{|e|}{(\omega + i\tau^{-1})^2} \right]^{123}$$

$$\frac{\partial f_d(\varepsilon)}{\partial \varepsilon} d\varepsilon - \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\partial f_d(-\varepsilon) - \partial f_d(\varepsilon)}{(\omega + i\tau^{-1})^2 - 4(\varepsilon/\hbar)^2} d\varepsilon] \quad (1) \quad {}_{124}$$

Where \hbar is the reduced Plank constant, μ_c is the chemical 125 potential, ω is the radiation frequency, ε is the permittivity 126 and T is the temperature. $f_d = \{1 + \exp[(\varepsilon - \mu_c)/(K_B T)]\}^{-1}$ 127 is the Fermi-Dirac distribution, where K_B is the Boltzmann 128 constant. $\tau = \mu_c m_u / (e v_F^2)$ is the momentum relaxation 129 time, where e is the electron charge, $v_F \approx 10^8 cm/s$ is the 130 Fermi velocity, $m_{\mu} \approx 10^4 cm^2/V \cdot s$ is the impurity-limited 131 DC mobility. The first and second terms in Eq. (1), correspond-132 ing to the intra-band electron-photon scattering and the direct 133 inter-band electron transition, respectively, can be evaluated as 134

$$\sigma_{intra} = i \frac{e^2 K_B T}{\pi \hbar^2 (\omega + i \tau^{-1})} [\frac{\mu_c}{K_B T} + 2 \ln(\exp(-\frac{\mu_c}{K_B T}) + 1)]$$
¹³⁵
⁽²⁾

138

$$\sigma_{inter} = i \frac{e^2}{4\pi\hbar} \ln[\frac{2|\mu_c| - \hbar(\omega + i\tau^{-1})}{2|\mu_c| + \hbar(\omega + i\tau^{-1})}]$$
(3) 13

Consequently, the complex conductivity of graphene is

$$\sigma_s(\omega, \mu_c, \tau, T) = \sigma_{intra} + \sigma_{inter} = \sigma_r + i\sigma_i$$
 (4) 138

Where σ_r and σ_i are the real part and imaginary part 140 of the conductivity. With the complex effective electrical 141 permittivity $\varepsilon_{eff} = 1 + i\sigma_s/\omega\varepsilon_0 d$ and the refractive index 142 $n = \sqrt{\varepsilon_{eff}}$ [17], [18], the real part of refractive index of 143 graphene can be calculated as 144

$$n_{gr} = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{(\sigma_i - \omega\varepsilon_0 d)^2 + \sigma_r^2} - (\sigma_i - \omega\varepsilon_0 d)}{2\omega\varepsilon_0 d}}$$
(5) 145

Where $\varepsilon_0 = 8.85 \times 10^{-12} F/m$ is the vacuum dielectric 146 constant, and d is the thickness of the graphene. Here, we 147 assume $\omega = 2\pi c/\lambda$, $\lambda = 1550nm$, $c = 3 \times 10^8 m/s$, 148 d = 1nm, $T = 0 \sim 100^{\circ}C$ and then calculate the variations 149 of the conductivity and refractive index of graphene along 150 with the temperature change. From the simulation results 151 in Fig. 2(a) and (b), it is obvious that both the real part and 152 imaginary part of the conductivity are increased with the rise 153 of temperature. Therefore, the real part of the refractive index 154 of graphene is inversely proportional to the temperature with 155 the coefficient of $-7.385 \times 10^{-6} C^{-1}$ and linearity of 96.94% 156 as depicted in Fig. 2(c). 157

When the surrounding temperature changes, both of the MgF₂ substrate and graphene film will affect the effective refractive index of the MNF. However, the thermo-optic coefficient of MgF₂ is around $3.2 \times 10^{-7} \circ C^{-1}$ when the operating wavelength is set from $1.15 \mu \text{m}$ to $3.39 \mu \text{m}$ [19], which is much smaller than that of the graphene. Therefore, the effect of the temperature on MgF₂ can be negligible.

In order to analyze the effect of n_{gr} on the optical field distribution of the GAMF, we use the finite element method— COMSOL to numerically calculate the effective refractive 167



Fig. 2. (a) σ_r and (b) σ_i as a function of temperature, (c) n_{gr} as a function of temperature at $\mu_c = 0.15 eV$.



Fig. 3. (a) The real part of the effective refractive of GAMF varies with the real part of the refractive of graphene; (b) and (c) the electrical field distributions of the GAMF at $\text{Re}(n_{eff}) = 1.354926$ and $\text{Re}(n_{eff}) = 1.35451$, respectively.

index of the GAMF. The microfiber diameter is optimized 168 around 2um both in theoretical analysis and experimental 169 demonstration, based on the overall consideration of sin-170 gle mode operation [20], larger evanescent field and lower 171 transmission loss. Here, we assume the fiber diameter is 172 2um, the thickness of graphene film is 1nm, the refractive 173 indices of the MNF, MgF₂ and air are 1.44, 1.370032 and 174 1.0 at 20°C, respectively. Along with the reduction of n_{gr} , the 175 real part of neff decreases linearly with the linearity of 99.6%, 176 as depicted in Fig. 3(a). In addition, Fig. 3(b) and (c) present 177 the optical field distribution of the GAMF at different neff. 178 It can be seen that the central electric energy of the GAMF are 179 respectively $2.4738 \times 10^8 V/m$ at $\text{Re}(n_{eff}) = 1.354926$ and 180 $2.5587 \times 10^8 V/m$ at Re(n_{eff}) = 1.35451, which means that 181 the transmissivity of the GAMF is linearly enhanced with the 182 increase of the temperature. Based on the above analyses, the 183 surrounding temperature can be simply demodulated from 184 the variation of the transmitted optical power through the 185 GAMF. 186

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULT AND DISCUSSION

187

The system configuration of the GAMF based temperature 188 sensor is illustrated in Fig. 4. The broadband optical source 189 with optical power fluctuation less than 0.01dBm in one hour 190 is employed to launch the light into the GAMF from port A, 191 and the optical power meter with detection precision of 0.2dB 192 is connected with port B of the GAMF. To precisely control the 193 temperature variation, the GAMF sensing head is placed on the 194 Thermoelectric Cooler (TEC). By controlling the direction and 195



Fig. 4. Schematic diagram of the GAMF based temperature sensor system.



Fig. 5. Transmissivity of the fiber changes along with the temperature variation in heating procedure (amaranth) and cooling procedure (green) from 30° C to 80° C. The solid line: GAMF; the dotted line: bare MNF.

value of the operation current, the temperature can be adjusted to rise or fall between 30°C and 80°C with the step of 5°C and the resolution of 0.0625°C accurately. Then the refractive index of graphene will be changed, resulting in the variation of the transmissivity detected by the optical power meter. 200

The experimental results about the sensing performance 201 are illustrated in Fig. 5. The amaranth solid dots and line 202 demonstrate that the transmissivity of the GAMF and the 203 temperature possesses a direct proportional linear correlation 204 with the sensitivity of 0.1018dB/°C. The correlation coefficient 205 of the linear fitting curve is as high as 99.06%. According to 206 the definition of the temperature sensitivity, i.e. $S = \Delta P / \Delta T$, 207 the measurement resolution of temperature can be calculated 208 as $R_T = \frac{R_P}{S}$, where S is the sensitivity of the temperature 209 sensor, ΔP is the relative variation of the optical power, ΔT is 210 the relative variation of the temperature, R_T is the resolution 211 of the temperature sensor and R_P is the resolution of the 212 optical power meter. Since the resolution of the commercial 213 optical power meter can reach to 0.001dB, the corresponding 214 temperature resolution is 0.0098°C. 215

In order to evaluate the reversibility of the sensor, the 216 temperature detection in cooling process is also investigated. 217 As depicted by the green solid dots and line in Fig. 5, 218 the linear fitting of the experimental data gives a sensitivity 219 of 0.1052dB/°C with the linearity of 98.65%. Compared 220 with the heating process, the coincidence between the two 221 222 curves confirms that the GAMF temperature sensor has good reversibility and repeatability. The deviation between the 223 heating and cooling process data may come from the instability 224 of the optical source, the temperature difference between 225 graphene and TEC, and the limited measurement accuracy of 226 the optical power meter. Although the environmental humidity 227 will also affect the performance of graphene, the sensitivity 228 of the GAMF sensor will be less than 0.055dB/% RH [9]. 229 In the experiment, the room humidity keeps around 60% 230 with the fluctuation of only 1%, resulting in the optical 231 power variation less than 0.05dB. Therefore, the temperature 232 measurement error induced by humidity variation is no more 233 than 0.49°C. Furthermore, in practical applications, the sensing 234 head can be carefully packaged to avoid the influence of 235 the humidity, and consequently enhance the reliability and 236

4

253

accuracy of the temperature sensor. 237 Furthermore, to demonstrate the sensitivity enhancement of 238 the GAMF, we also measure the temperature response of the 239 bare MNF in the same way as a comparison. The experimental 240 results are presented by circles and dotted lines in Fig. 5, 241 with very low sensitivity of -0.006285dB/°C for heating 242 process and 0.006254dB/°C for cooling process, respectively. 243 It is clearly that the sensitivity of GAMF is enhanced about 244 16 times than the bare MNF. Therefore, the assistance of 245 graphene greatly improves the sensitivity and stability of the 246 temperature sensor. Moreover, the sensitivity can be further 247 enhanced by fabricating more uniform microfiber with small 248 optical loss, appropriately adjusting the contact length between 249 the graphene and microfiber [21], and employing the directly 250 grown on fiber method to make the graphene film more tightly 25 with the microfiber. 252

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, an all-fiber temperature sensor based on the 254 GAMF is proposed and demonstrated. The change of the 255 surrounding temperature has a strong influence on the refrac-256 tive index of graphene, resulting in the transmission power 257 change in the microfiber. By simply detect the variation of the 258 transmission power, temperature sensitivity of 0.1018dB/°C in 259 heating process and 0.1052dB/°C in cooling process with 260 high resolution of 0.0098°C are achieved in experiment, 261

which is 16 times higher than that of the bare MNF. With the 262 advantages of high sensitivity, compact size and low cost, such 263 GAMF based sensor has great potential in sensing fields. 264

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Tong et al., "Subwavelength-diameter silica wires for low-loss optical 266 ' Nature, vol. 426, no. 6968, pp. 816-819, 2003. wave guiding. 267
- [2] X. Zeng, Y. Wu, C. Hou, J. Bai, and G. Yang, "A temperature sensor based on optical microfiber knot resonator," Opt. Commun, vol. 282, no. 18, pp. 3817-3819, 2009.
- [3] H. Luo, Q. Sun, Z. Xu, W. Jia, and D. Liu, "Highly sensitive temperature sensor based on D-shaped microfiber with high birefringence," Proc. SPIE, vol. 9157, pp. 915787-1-915787-4, Jun. 2014.
- [4] S. Zhu, F. Pang, and T. Wang, "Single-mode tapered optical fiber for temperature sensor based on multimode interference," in Proc. IEEE ACP Asia, Nov. 2011, pp. 1-6.
- [5] S. W. Harun, K. S. Lim, S. S. A. Damanhuri, and H. Ahmad, "Microfiber loop resonator based temperature sensor," J. Eur. Opt. Soc.-Rapid Pub., vol. 6, p. 11026, May 2011.
- Q. Bao et al., "Broadband graphene polarizer," Nature Photon., vol. 5, no. 7, pp. 411-415, 2011.
- Q. Bao et al., "Graphene-polymer nanofiber membrane for ultrafast [7] photonics," Adv. Funct. Mater., vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 782-791, 2010.
- [8] W. Li et al., "Ultrafast all-optical graphene modulator," Nano Lett., vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 955-959, 2014.
- [9] Y. Xiao et al., "Reduced graphene oxide for fiber-optic humidity sensing," Opt. Exp., vol. 22, no. 25, pp. 31555-31567, 2014.
- Yavari, Z. Chen, A. V. Thomas, W. Ren, H.-M. Cheng, and [10] E. N. Koratkar, "High sensitivity gas detection using a macroscopic threedimensional graphene foam network," Sci. Rep., vol. 1, no. 1, p. 166, 2011.
- [11] J. Zhang et al., "All-fiber-optic temperature sensor based on reduced graphene oxide," *Laser Phys. Lett.*, vol. 11, no. 3, p. 035901, 2014. [12] S. Tan, H. Zhou, Y. Liu, and D. Wang, "The Characteristics and
- recent application progress of graphene," World Plastics, vol. 31, no. 7, 2013
- [13] G. W. Hanson, "Dyadic Green's functions and guided surface waves for a surface conductivity model of graphene," J. Appl. Phys., vol. 103, no. 6, p. 064302, 2008.
- [14] P.-Y. Chen and A. Alù, "Atomically thin surface cloak using graphene monolayers," ACS Nano, vol. 5, no. 7, pp. 5855-5863, 2011.
- [15] R. Yu, R. Alaee, F. Lederer, and C. Rockstuhl, "Manipulating the interaction between localized and delocalized surface plasmon-polaritons in graphene," Phys. Rev. B, vol. 90, no. 8, p. 085409, Aug. 2014.
- [16] K. F. Mak, M. Y. Sfeir, Y. Wu, C. H. Lui, J. A. Misewich, and T. F. Heinz, "Measurement of the optical conductivity of graphene," Phys. Rev. Lett., vol. 101, no. 19, p. 196405, 2008.
- [17] E. Simsek, "A closed-form approximate expression for the optical conductivity of graphene," Opt. Lett., vol. 38, no. 9, pp. 1437-1439, 2013
- [18] Y. Wu et al., "Graphene-coated microfiber Bragg grating for highsensitivity gas sensing," Opt. Lett., vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 1235-1237, 2014.
- [19] A. Feldman, D. Horowitz, R. M. Waxler, and M. J. Dodge, "Optical 313 materials characterization," Nat. Bureau Standards Pub., Tech. Rep. 993, 1978
- [20] A. J. Fielding, K. Edinger, and C. C. Davis, "Experimental observation 316 of mode evolution in single-mode tapered optical fibers," J. Lightw. 317 Technol., vol. 17, no. 9, pp. 1649-1656, Sep. 1999.
- B. Yao et al., "All-optical Mach-Zehnder interferometric NH3 gas sensor [21] 319 based on graphene/microfiber hybrid waveguide," Sens. Actuators B, 320 Chem., vol. 194, pp. 142-148, Apr. 2014.

295 296 AQ:2

297 298

265

268

269

270

271

272

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

273 AO:1

299 300

- 301
- 302 303
- 304 305
- 306
- 307
- 308 309
- 310 311
- 312
- 314

315 AQ:3

- 318
- 321