

Dietary analysis and nutritional behaviour in people with and without age-related macular disease

Abstract

Background and Aims: Consumption of antioxidant nutrients can reduce the risk of progression of age-related macular degeneration (AMD) - the leading cause of visual impairment in adults over the age of 50 years in the UK. Lutein and zeaxanthin (L&Z) are of particular interest because they are selectively absorbed by the central retina. The objectives of this study were to analyse the dietary intake of a group of AMD patients, assess their ability to prepare and cook healthy food, and to make comparisons with people not affected by AMD.

Methods: 158 participants with AMD were recruited via the UK charity The Macular Society, and fifty participants without AMD were recruited from optometric practice. A telephone interview was conducted by trained workers where participants completed a 24 hour food diary, and answered questions about cooking and shopping capabilities.

Results: In the AMD group, the average L&Z intake was low in for both males and females. Those able to cook a hot meal consumed significantly more L&Z than those who were not able. Most participants were not consuming the recommended dietary allowance of fibre, calcium, vitamin D and E, and calorific intake was also lower than recommendations for their age-group. The non-AMD group consumed more kilocalories and more nutrients than the AMD group, but the L&Z intake was similar to those with AMD. The main factor that influenced participant's food choices was personal preference.

Conclusion: For an 'informed' population, many AMD participants were under-consuming nutrients considered to be useful for their condition. Participants without AMD were more likely to reach recommended daily allowance values for energy and a range of nutrients. It is therefore essential to design more effective dietary education and dissemination methods for people with, and at risk of, AMD.

31

32

33

34 **Introduction**

35 Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) can result in loss of central vision, and is the leading
36 cause of visual impairment in adults (> 50 years) in the UK ⁽¹⁾. In 2012, the prevalence of AMD was
37 predicted to increase significantly by 2020 due to aging of the population ^(2, 3).

38 The Age-Related Eye Disease Study (AREDS) (4) reported that taking a supplement containing
39 vitamins E and C, beta-carotene and zinc reduced risk of progression of the disease by 25%. Since
40 then, the carotenoids lutein (L), zeaxanthin (Z) have been identified as nutrients that can provide a
41 protective role in the progression of AMD due to their antioxidant and photo protective properties
42 ⁽⁵⁾. Collectively, L and Z form the macular pigment which interacts with free radicals and reactive
43 oxygen species, prevent lipid peroxidation and filter out high energy blue light ⁽⁶⁾. Carotenoids are
44 not produced by the body and must be obtained via the diet. Recently, the AREDS II (7) found that
45 people who took a supplement containing L and Z instead of beta-carotene had their risk of
46 progression reduced by a further 18% compared with the original AREDS formulation ⁽⁷⁾.

47 Despite results from AREDS studies, there remains confusion among patients and practitioners in
48 what supplements to take, and what foods should be consumed in order to maximise absorption of
49 useful nutrients ⁽⁸⁾. Many patients turn to other organisations for clarity of information such as the
50 Macular Society – the UK charity that is devoted to helping those with diseases of the macula.
51 Following the results of AREDS II, the Macular Society have advocated the use of the AREDS II
52 formulation, where appropriate, and eating vegetables that are L&Z rich. The highest mole
53 percentage of L&Z has been found to be in egg yolk, maize (corn), spinach, collard greens and kale
54 ⁽⁹⁾.

55 Patients who have sought the help of the Macular Society could be considered an ‘informed’
56 population as they have information available to them in the form of monthly magazines, written
57 material, a helpline and the Society’s website. However, in a recent study, not all were not taking a
58 nutritional supplement and many of those that did take a supplement were not taking a clinically
59 proven formulation or dosage ⁽¹⁰⁾. We therefore sought to investigate this population’s dietary intake
60 of L and Z, and compare it to a cohort of age matched patients without the condition. As dietary
61 patterns are multi-factorial, any other contributing factors will be investigated.

62 The objectives of this study were to analyse the nutrient intake of a group of AMD patients and a
63 group of non-AMD patients, and to determine their ability to prepare and cook healthy food.

64

65 **Materials and Methods**

66 Using data from a previous study from Bartlett et al, it was calculated that for an average effect size
67 (Cohen's d) of 0.4, a minimum sample size of between 15 and 94 would be appropriate for each
68 cohort.⁽¹¹⁾

69 *AMD Participants*

70 A total of 158 participants with AMD were recruited between January 2012 and March 2012.
71 Recruitment was via the Macular Society helpline. Individuals who contacted the Macular Society
72 helpline between January 2012 and March 2012 were asked if they would like to take part in a
73 telephone survey. Inclusion criteria for potential participants were that they should be aged over 55
74 years and have been diagnosed with any form of AMD.

75 *Non-AMD Participants*

76 A group of 50 participants without AMD were recruited between August 2013 and December 2013.
77 Recruitment was via seven optometric practices around the UK and Aston University patient
78 clinics. The study was advertised on posters, and individuals who took part volunteered of their own
79 accord and provided contact details and a convenient time to be telephoned. The only inclusion
80 criterion was that they should be aged over 55 years – individuals with co-morbidities and other
81 visual problems were not excluded.

82 *Survey Design*

83 A 36 question cross-sectional survey was designed to explore nutritional habits, supplement usage,
84 physical abilities in food preparation and cooking, and sources of knowledge in order to ascertain
85 the beliefs participants have, and compare their beliefs with their behaviours. The initial questions
86 covered demographic topics, occupations and participants' perceptions of the link between nutrition
87 and AMD. The terms 'wet' and 'dry' were employed to coincide with many patient's understanding
88 of AMD classifications. After a section on nutritional supplement use, the questions subsequently
89 focused on perceived state of vision and health, and ability to perform preparation and cooking of
90 food. Participants also provided a 24 hour food recall. This was done as part of the telephone survey
91 so the patient had little time to prepare and would be more likely to report honestly. Participants
92 were asked to quantify the amounts of food eaten by using the Zimbabwe Hand method ⁽¹²⁾ –

93 participants used their palms or fingers to estimate the portion size of various foods. The survey was
94 then piloted, refined and administered to the cohort. Full details of the piloting process and survey
95 design and are reported elsewhere ⁽¹⁰⁾. The focus of this report is on the dietary aspects of this
96 survey and cooking abilities, hence, not all the results of the 36 questions are covered here ⁽¹⁰⁾. The
97 participants' occupations were divided into 10 major groups using the International Standard
98 Classification of Occupations (ISCO) version 08.

99 The 24 hour food diary data was analysed using nutritional software A La Calc (Red Hot Rails LLP,
100 Doncaster, UK.), where each participant's daily food was analysed for numerous nutrients, calorie
101 values and other constituents using the USDA (United States Department of Agriculture) SR25
102 food database (<http://ndb.nal.usda.gov/>).

103 *Procedure*

104 If an AMD patient decided to participate, oral informed consent was obtained over the telephone
105 and they were advised that they could withdraw at any time. An appointment was scheduled for a
106 future telephone interview or the interview began immediately if the AMD patient agreed. Non-
107 AMD patients who provided their contact details were telephoned at a time that they specified was
108 convenient, and the interview usually began immediately. The survey typically lasted 25 minutes
109 and was administered either by one of four Macular Society employees who were trained by RS or
110 by RS. All responses were recorded using Bristol Online Survey software (University of Bristol,
111 Bristol, UK) ⁽¹⁰⁾.

112

113 *Data and Statistical Analysis*

114 Descriptive analyses were performed using the software Microsoft Excel. Data was then analysed in
115 statistical software IBM SPSS version 20 (IBM UK Ltd, Portsmouth, Hampshire) to draw
116 comparisons between results using parametric and non-parametric tests as not all the data was
117 normally distributed.

118

119 *Ethics*

120 This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid in the Declaration of Helsinki and all
121 procedures involving human subjects were approved by the Aston University Ethics Committee.
122 Verbal informed consent was obtained from all subjects and formally recorded.

123

124 **Results**

125 *Sample Characteristics: AMD Participants*

126 Table 1 shows some of the demographic characteristics of the sample; AMD participants were aged
127 56-95 (mean $79 \pm \text{sd } 7.8$ years). Of the AMD cohort, 61% were female, with both sexes showing
128 similar age distributions. The prevalence of ‘wet’ and ‘dry’ types of AMD was almost equal. The
129 mean duration of the disease was 6.08 ± 4.7 years (median 5 years, range 1 to 25 years). The
130 majority of AMD participants (63%) were not registered sight impaired (partially sighted) or
131 severely sight impaired (blind). There was a trend for participants who were on a visual impairment
132 register to have had AMD for a longer time period (Mann-Whitney $U = 977.5$, $p = 0.07$). No AMD
133 participant felt that their vision was “*extremely good*” on the day of the interview - 57% of
134 participants felt their vision was “*poor*” or “*extremely poor*” and only 7% felt their vision was
135 “*good*”. These results contrast with perceptions of general health in that only 21% of AMD
136 participants felt their general health was poor, and 41% felt their health was good. For these
137 reasons, the sample was considered to be a healthy population whose only health issue was their
138 visual status.

139 *Sample Characteristics: Non-AMD Participants*

140 Non-AMD participants were aged 55-89 (mean 67 ± 8.0 years). Of the non-AMD group, 70% were
141 female, with both sexes also showing similar age distributions. On the day of the interview, the
142 majority of the non-AMD participants felt their vision was either “*extremely good*” (14%), or
143 “*good*” (46%). No participant felt their vision was “*extremely poor*”. 70% of the non-AMD
144 participants reported they felt their general health was either “*good*” or “*extremely good*”. This
145 cohort can also be considered a healthy population

146

147 The cohorts have some similarities in the reported characteristics. A high percentage of participants
148 in both cohorts lived in their own home, and only a few lived in sheltered accommodation.
149 However, half of the AMD participants lived with their partner, with just under half living alone,
150 but in comparison, fewer of the participants without AMD lived alone and more lived with their
151 partner. Also, more participants in the AMD cohort answered that they felt their general health was
152 ‘poor’ compared to the participants without AMD. Unsurprisingly, the largest difference between
153 the cohorts was the self-reported visual ability, with many of the AMD cohort reporting ‘poor’
154 vision.

155 Because the non-AMD participants were generally younger than the AMD group (mean 67 years,
156 versus 79 years), a sub-group of age-matched AMD participants (49 participants in total) were used
157 to compare data with the non-AMD cohort.

158

159 **Table 1 inserted about here.**

160 *Dietary Analysis*

161 Table 2 displays the results of the 24 hour food diary data for the AMD and non-AMD participants.
162 The mean amounts of certain nutrients or energy consumed for females and males in the cohort are
163 displayed, together with the recommended dietary allowances (RDA) for each constituent, as
164 recommended mainly by the UK FSA (Food Standards Agency –
165 <http://multimedia.food.gov.uk/multimedia/pdfs/nutguideuk.pdf>) for those aged over 50 years. Table
166 2 shows that in the AMD cohort, both men and women fail to meet the daily RDA for many
167 nutrients such as fibre, calcium, vitamin E, folic acid and carbohydrates. Of particular interest is the
168 finding that in the AMD cohort, both genders are failing to reach the 10mg amount of daily L&Z
169 recommended by the Macular Society. Although not statistically significant, there was a trend that
170 those who were on a sight impairment register consumed more L&Z than those AMD participants
171 who were not on any type of register (Kruskal Wallis $H = 4.951$, $p=0.08$), and females appeared to
172 consume more L&Z than males in the AMD group. One male participant diet data was excluded as
173 he had unusually consumed a large amount of kale, and the results were slightly skewed (the
174 average with this participant included is listed in the table in parenthesis). L&Z consumption was
175 compared with the type of AMD the participants had (dry vs. wet), occupation, and the number of
176 years they had the condition, but no trends were apparent. The amount of L&Z was also low in both
177 genders of the non-AMD group, but a little more equally.

178

179 The largest difference between the two cohorts was the number of calories consumed. The number
180 of calories consumed by the AMD cohort was significantly lower than the daily RDA for each
181 gender in this age group. In contrast, females in the non-AMD cohort surpassed the calorific RDA,
182 and males in the non-AMD cohort also consumed nearer to the RDA. This difference in calorie
183 intake between the AMD and non-AMD cohorts is statistically significant (independent t-test $F=$
184 19.2 , $p = 0.00$). Other nutrient differences between the cohorts include carbohydrates, protein, fat,
185 fibre and calcium - participants with AMD consumed less compared to participants without AMD.

186 There were no significant gender differences found with respect to the amount of L&Z consumed,
187 the number of calories consumed, the ability to cook a hot meal or supplement use in either cohort

188 (Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U non-parametric tests). Calorie intake was also compared
189 with the age of participants and living arrangements in each cohort, but no significant results were
190 found. The characteristics between the two groups were also analysed, but the differences between
191 them were not found to be statistically significant (Independent t-test).

192

193 **Table 2 inserted about here.**

194 Many participants in both cohorts ate only a small variety of vegetables: 140 participants listed
195 carrots, 116 listed peas, 115 listed broccoli. Other popular choices were cabbage (90 participants)
196 and spinach (49 participants). Interestingly only 25 AMD participants ate kale which is considered
197 to be one of the most lutein rich vegetables. No participant in the non-AMD cohort ate kale at all.
198 Interestingly, 85% of AMD participants ate mostly raw vegetables on the day of the interview, but
199 62% of non-AMD participants ate cooked vegetables on the day of the interview. 10% of the AMD
200 participants and 8% of the non-AMD participants did not eat any vegetables at all on the interview
201 day.

202

203 *Food preparation and cooking*

204 The majority of participants reported they could prepare (65% AMD, 74% non-AMD) and cook
205 (68% AMD, 72% non-AMD) their own food, with participants citing that their partner prepared
206 (24% in both cohorts)/ cooked (26% in both cohorts) food for them. When asked if participants
207 were able to cook a hot meal by themselves if they had to, 81% of AMD and 96% of non-AMD
208 participants reported that they could. AMD participants who were not able to cook a hot meal
209 (n=29) consumed significantly less L&Z (0.85 ± 0.72 mg) than those who were able to cook a hot
210 meal (1.68 ± 2.35 mg) (Mann-Whitney U = 1240.5, p = 0.007). Figure 1 shows that many AMD
211 participants feel that they are able to cook and prepare food and that there are no barriers to
212 changing their diets other than that they do not wish to.

213

214 **Figure 1 inserted about here.**

215 Fifty six percent of AMD and 86% non-AMD participants are self-sufficient in carrying out their
216 own food shopping; whilst for 28% of AMD respondents and 14% of non-AMD respondents, a
217 family member did this task for them. Less AMD participants are therefore self-sufficient than non-
218 AMD participants, and this will impact on food choices and food consumption. The remainder of
219 the respondents utilised other methods to obtain their food needs such as Meals-On-Wheels (Social

220 Services) or friends. Participants were asked to identify all of the sources from which they acquire
221 food. Supermarkets were reported by 135 participants, and 36 also reported they purchased food
222 from a local shop. Some 12 participants harvest their own food. Only four AMD participants relied
223 upon delivered ready meals such as Meals-On-Wheels. The main reason for selecting the type of
224 food appears to be preference (44% AMD, 46% non-AMD), secondary to the effect on health (34%
225 both cohorts). Only 4% of the AMD group, and 8% of the non-AMD group listed 'habit' as an
226 influencing factor.

227 *Age-matched comparisons between AMD and non-AMD participants*

228 Because the AMD participants were older than the non-AMD participants, it was appropriate to
229 compare the non-AMD group with an age-matched sub-group of AMD participants (n=49). The
230 mean age of the sub-group was 68.8 years, and this did not differ significantly from the AMD group
231 (Spearman 0.063, p=0.662). Eighty-six percent of the group were female. There were a higher
232 percentage of participants in the sub-group that felt that their health was 'extremely good' (16%)
233 and this is close to the percentage of non-AMD participants (22%).

234 **Table 3** shows the mean diet results of the aged-matched sub-group of AMD participants, with the
235 overall mean diet results from the non-AMD cohort alongside. In this comparison, the difference
236 between the AMD participants and the non-AMD participants is still substantial; the non-AMD
237 group consumed more of each nutrient analysed than the AMD age-matched sub-group. The
238 average energy intake for AMD participants was 1502 kilocalories compared to 2198 kilocalories
239 consumed by non-AMD participants (paired t-test p<0.001). However, the exception to this rule
240 was the L&Z intake, where the average consumption was not significantly different (1.8 mg in the
241 AMD group versus 1.5 mg in the non-AMD group).

242 **Table 3 inserted about here.**

243

244 **Discussion**

245 This sample of people with AMD consumed an average of 1.4 mg of L&Z. This is below the 10mg
246 daily amount considered to be required for augmentation of macular pigment⁽¹³⁾. In addition, they
247 were not attaining the RDA of other nutrients such as vitamin E and calcium. Those that were not
248 able to cook a hot meal by themselves consumed significantly less L&Z than those that were able to
249 cook a hot meal. Participants were not consuming enough calories for their age group, and were
250 adhering to a diet with little variety. Many participants were not consuming the L&Z-rich

251 vegetables, such as spinach and kale. A sample of non-AMD patients consumed calories and other
252 nutrients much nearer to the RDA, and consumed an average of 1.5 mg of L&Z.

253 In terms of differences and similarities between the AMD participants and the non-AMD
254 participants, the demographic characteristics were similar. Both groups ate a limited variety of
255 foods, and consumed similar amounts of L&Z, indicating that AMD participants were not
256 consuming much more than those without the condition. However, the amount of nutrients and
257 energy consumed was quite different between the groups, as the non-AMD cohort consumed much
258 more than the AMD group and in some cases even more than the RDA.

259 The majority of AMD participants felt their vision was poor on the day of the interview. However,
260 this visual impairment did not impact on their kitchen abilities as most participants felt able to cook
261 a hot meal, go shopping and obtain food from a supermarket themselves. Many felt that their vision
262 or physical capability was not preventing from changing their diet should they wish to; many did
263 not want to change their diet. The main factor that influenced both cohort participants' food choices
264 was preference, indicating that participants would only eat food if they liked it.

265 Since the AMD cohort all had a form of AMD, and were motivated to contact the Macular Society
266 for assistance, it may be presumed that they were interested in preventing the disease from
267 progressing. All will have received diet advice from the Macular Society, if not from their
268 ophthalmologist. Overall, however, participants were not consuming enough of the majority of the
269 nutrients recommended, particularly L&Z. The reasons behind this are likely to be multi-factorial –
270 dislike for the nutrient-rich foods, lack of knowledge of how to cook or prepare them, misjudging
271 the amount required per day, or lack of control (family or caregivers cook food). We propose that
272 the most likely reason is because dietary habits are difficult to change - especially with increased
273 age⁽¹⁴⁾. L&Z intake was associated with those who were able to cook a hot meal, indicating that
274 those who were more proficient in the kitchen were able to consume these foods more easily.

275 There does not seem to be evidence that the information that participants had received from the
276 Macular Society or other sources modified their behaviour. Ley's model on effective
277 communication in medical practice⁽¹⁵⁾ stresses the importance of memory next to factors such as
278 the understanding of information and satisfaction with the treatment. Indeed, 40–80% of medical
279 information provided by healthcare practitioners is forgotten immediately⁽¹⁶⁾. The greater the
280 amount of information presented, the lower the proportion correctly recalled⁽¹⁷⁾ furthermore, almost
281 half of the information that is remembered is incorrect. Studies have proposed three explanations
282 for memory loss—first, practitioner factors, such as use of medical jargon; second, the type of

283 information (e.g. verbal, written); and, third, patient factors, such as low education or specific
284 expectations ⁽¹⁶⁾.

285

286 With respect to medical information, an inverse relationship has been reported between age and
287 amount of information correctly recalled ⁽¹⁶⁾. However, although older adults have difficulty
288 spontaneously recalling medical information, they are able to take advantage of cues to access
289 verbally learned information ⁽¹⁸⁾. Studies into patient compliance have shown that patients rarely
290 adhere fully to practitioner advice ⁽¹⁹⁾, and AMD patients are reported to have not complied with
291 recommended dietary supplement advice ^(10, 20).

292 Many patients report not receiving any advice from their ophthalmologist or optometrist regarding
293 nutrition ⁽¹⁰⁾. This may be partly due to the profession's differing opinions on nutrition research, but
294 a patient's recall difficulties when given medical advice may also play a role.

295 *Other nutrients and energy*

296 With the exception of iron and protein, AMD participants did not meet the RDA of the nutrients
297 analysed. AMD participants' energy intake was lower than recommended for their age-group and
298 gender. This lowering of energy intake with increasing age has been found in other studies and
299 some suggest that this is a physiological response that older adults exhibit in reaction to a decline in
300 physical activity ⁽²¹⁾. Some studies ^(22, 23), suggest that those living alone might consume fewer
301 calories than those living with others, although we found no such relationship. A study into eating
302 habits of older adults found that they eat more when they are presented with variety, and this variety
303 is the key to increasing calorific consumption ⁽²⁴⁾. However, the non-AMD cohort managed to reach
304 the RDA of many of the nutrients analysed, including calories. It may be argued that this was
305 because there were fewer participants in the sample, and the average age of the sample was
306 younger. However, when an age-matched cohort was analysed, the same differences in dietary
307 intake was found. The non-AMD cohort did not eat a more varied diet either; they appeared to just
308 eat more food than the AMD group. The cause of this is likely to also be multi-factoral; it has been
309 shown in studies that poorer vision impacts on an individual's appetite ^(25, 26). More AMD
310 participants were reliant on other people to shop and prepare and cook food, and this also may limit
311 the amount they eat.

312 The Hertfordshire cohort study ⁽²⁷⁾ found that two patterns of diet are prevalent in the older adult
313 group – a 'prudent' pattern that is characterised by fish, fruit, vegetables and wholemeal cereals,
314 and a 'traditional' pattern characterised by vegetables, processed meat and puddings. The
315 comparison between occupation and nutrients did not show any statistical trends in our results, but

316 it was noted that 62% of AMD participants and 76% of non-AMD participants adhered to a
317 'traditional' pattern of eating with limited choices of vegetables and fruits, and traditional British
318 recipes such as pies, stews and roast meats. This conservative variety of vegetables saw only a
319 minority of AMD participants consuming the lutein-rich kale on a weekly basis, although more
320 participants did eat spinach.

321

322 *Strengths and weaknesses*

323 This sample may not represent all AMD patients seeking services from organisations like the
324 Macular Society. It is also important to find out the opinions of those with AMD who have not
325 sought support from non-professional organisations. The sample sizes are different, and have been
326 discussed. A more detailed food recall might have enhanced the study, especially to view eating
327 patterns. This could be performed over a number of days rather than 24 hours. It would have been
328 beneficial to gather other data such as participant's BMI and activity levels to compare to calorific
329 intake. It is conceivable that a difference in BMI between the groups might have accounted for
330 some of the dietary differences reported, but as this data was not collected, it is impossible to
331 determine this. We feel that it is unlikely that differences between the groups are due to differences
332 in BMI alone, as a previous study reported lower calorie intake in visually impaired participants⁽¹¹⁾
333 and other studies show that food intake and dietary patterns do not appear to differ with various
334 BMIs.⁽²⁸⁻³⁰⁾

335 Results show that participants were not in poor health generally, suggesting that results relate to
336 issues with visual impairment only. Practitioners need to be consistent and unified in the advice that
337 is given to patients, if confusion is to be avoided. The results also show that, in spite of advice being
338 given to patients, they primarily eat food they enjoy and are used to. Changing eating habits
339 therefore, requires novel intervention methods. It is essential to design effective measures for
340 imparting and disseminating appropriate dietary and supplementation advice for patients with, or at
341 risk of, AMD.

342 **Acknowledgments**

343 This study was conducted with support from the Macular Society, but not funded by the Macular
344 Society.

345 **Statement of Authorship**

346 All three authors collaborated for the conception and design of the study. Rebekah Stevens analysed
347 and interpreted much of the data, and drafted the article. Hannah Bartlett and Richard Cooke
348 revised and approved the final version of the article to be published.

349 **Conflict of Interest and Funding Sources**

350 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit
351 sectors. We declare no conflicts of interest.

352 **References**

- 353
354
- 355 1. RNIB. Age Related Macular Degeneration: RNIB and RCOphth; 2010. Available from:
356 <http://www.rnib.org.uk/eyehealth/eyeconditions/conditionsac/pages/amd.aspx>.
 - 357 2. Owen CG, Jarrar Z, Wormald R, Cook DG, Fletcher AE, Rudnicka AR. The estimated prevalence and
358 incidence of late stage age related macular degeneration in the UK. BRITISH JOURNAL OF
359 OPHTHALMOLOGY. 2012;96(5):752-6.
 - 360 3. Shaw C. 2004-based national population projections for the UK and constituent countries.
361 Population trends. 2006(123):9-20.
 - 362 4. Kassoff A, Kassoff J, Buehler J, Eglow M, Kaufman F, Mehu M, et al. A randomized, placebo-
363 controlled, clinical trial of high-dose supplementation with vitamins C and E, beta carotene, and zinc for
364 age-related macular degeneration and vision loss: AREDS report no. 8. Archives of Ophthalmology.
365 2001;119(10):1417-36.
 - 366 5. Beatty S, Nolan J, Kavanagh H, O'Donovan O. Macular pigment optical density and its relationship
367 with serum and dietary levels of lutein and zeaxanthin. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics.430:70-6.
 - 368 6. Junghans A, Sies H, Stahl W. Macular pigments lutein and zeaxanthin as blue light filters studied in
369 liposomes. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics. 2001;391(2):160-4.
 - 370 7. Chew EY, Clemons TE, SanGiovanni JP, Danis R, Ferris FL, Elman M, et al. Lutein plus Zeaxanthin and
371 Omega-3 Fatty Acids for Age-Related Macular Degeneration The Age-Related Eye Disease Study 2 (AREDS2)
372 Randomized Clinical Trial. Jama-Journal Of The American Medical Association. 2013;309(19):2005-15.
 - 373 8. Kent C. AMD and Nutrition: The Missing Message. Review of Ophthalmology. 2007;14(8):31-7.
 - 374 9. Perry A, Rasmussen H, Johnson EJ. Xanthophyll (lutein, zeaxanthin) content of fruits, vegetables
375 and corn and egg products. J Food Com & Anal. 2009; 22:9-15 .
 - 376 10. Stevens R, Bartlett HE, Walsh R, Cooke R. Age-related macular degeneration patients' awareness of
377 nutritional factors. British Journal of Visual Impairment. 2014;32 (2):77-92.
 - 378 11. Bartlett H, Eperjesi F. Dietary analysis and patterns of nutritional supplement use in normal and
379 age-related macular disease affected subjects: A prospective cross-sectional study. Nutrition Journal.
380 2004;3.
 - 381 12. Kinshuck D. Diabetic Retinopathy: The Zimbabwe Hand Jive. 2012 [12/01/2014]. Available from:
382 <http://medweb.bham.ac.uk/easdec/prevention/portionsize.htm>.
 - 383 13. Bartlett H, Howells O, Eperjesi F. The Role of Macular Pigment Assessment in Clinical Practice: A
384 Review Clinical and Experimental Optometry. 2010;93(5):300-8.
 - 385 14. Kaipainen K, Payne CR, Wansink B. Mindless Eating Challenge: Retention, Weight Outcomes, and
386 Barriers for Changes in a Public Web-Based Healthy Eating and Weight Loss Program. JOURNAL OF
387 MEDICAL INTERNET RESEARCH. 2012;14(6):100-13.
 - 388 15. Ley P. Communicating with patients: Improving communication, satisfaction and compliance. New
389 York: Croom Helm; 1988. 210 p.
 - 390 16. Kessels RPC. Patients' memory for medical information. Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine.
391 2003;96(5):219.

- 392 17. McGuire LC. Remembering what the doctor said: Organization and adults' memory for medical
393 information. *Experimental Aging Research*. 1996;22(4):403-28.
- 394 18. Bankoff SM, Sandberg EH. Older Adults' Memory for Verbally Presented Medical Information.
395 *Educational Gerontology*. 2012;38(8):539-51.
- 396 19. Sandman L, Granger BB, Ekman I, Munthe C. Adherence, shared decision-making and patient
397 autonomy. *Medicine Health Care And Philosophy*. 2012;15(2):115-27.
- 398 20. Ng WT, Goggin M. Awareness of and compliance with recommended dietary supplement among
399 age-related macular degeneration patients. *Clinical & Experimental Ophthalmology*. 2006;34(1):9-14.
- 400 21. Sarti S, Ruggiero E, Coin A, Toffanello ED, Perissinotto E, Miotto F, et al. Dietary intake and physical
401 performance in healthy elderly women: A 3-year follow-up. *Experimental Gerontology*. 2013;48(2):250-4.
- 402 22. Gariballa SE, Forster SJ. Dietary intake of older patients in hospital and at home: the validity of
403 patient kept food diaries. *The Journal Of Nutrition, Health & Aging*. 2008;12(2):102-6.
- 404 23. Wright L, Hickson M, Frost G. Eating together is important: using a dining room in an acute elderly
405 medical ward increases energy intake. *Journal of Human Nutrition & Dietetics*. 2006;19(1):23-6.
- 406 24. Hollis JH, Henry CJK. Dietary variety and its effect on food intake of elderly adults. *Journal of Human
407 Nutrition & Dietetics*. 2007;20(4):345-51.
- 408 25. Lee JS, Kritchevsky SB, Tylavsky F, Harris TB, Ayonayon HN, Newman AB. Factors associated with
409 impaired appetite in well-functioning community-dwelling older adults. *Journal of Nutrition for the Elderly*.
410 2007;26(1-2):27-43
- 411 26. Brennan M, Horowitz A, Su YP. Dual sensory loss and its impact on everyday competence.
412 *Gerontologist*. 2005;45(3):337-46.
- 413 27. Robinson S, Syddall H, Jameson K, Batelaan S, Martin H, Dennison EM, et al. Current patterns of
414 diet in community-dwelling older men and women: results from the Hertfordshire Cohort Study. *Age And
415 Ageing*. 2009;38(5):594-9.
- 416 28. Kimokoti RW, Judd SE, Shikany JM, Newby PK. Food Intake Does Not Differ between Obese Women
417 Who Are Metabolically Healthy or Abnormal. *Journal of Nutrition*. 2014;144(12):2018.
- 418 29. Murakami K, Sasaki S, Okubo H, Takahashi Y. Neighborhood socioeconomic status in relation to
419 dietary intake and body mass index in female Japanese dietetic students. *Nutrition*. 2009;25(7/8):745-52.
- 420 30. Togo P, Osler M, Sørensen TIA, Heitmann BL. Food intake patterns and body mass index in
421 observational studies. *International Journal of Obesity & Related Metabolic Disorders*. 2001;25(12):1741.
- 422

423

424




Figure 1. AMD participants perceived barriers to cooking food, preparing food and changing diet.

Characteristic	Characteristic	Percentage of AMD Participants	Percentage of Non-AMD Participants
<i>Living Arrangements</i>	Own home	88%	96%
	With family/friends	3%	0
	Sheltered accommodation	7%	4%
	Other	2%	0
<i>With</i>	Partner	50%	66%
	Alone	46%	30%
	Other family members	4%	4%
<i>Registration</i>	Blind	16%	Not Applicable
	Partially sighted	21%	Not Applicable
	None	63%	Not Applicable
<i>General Health</i>	Extremely good	7%	22%
	Good	41%	48%
	Satisfactory	19%	20%
	Poor	21%	8%
	Extremely poor	3%	2%
<i>Vision</i>	Extremely good	1%	14%
	Good	7%	46%
	Satisfactory	35%	32%
	Poor	42%	8%

	Extremely poor	15%	0
--	----------------	-----	---

2

3 **Table 1.** Selected demographic characteristics of both AMD and non-AMD participants.

4

		AMD Female (Mean) (n=96)	AMD Male (Mean) (n=62)	Non- AMD Female (Mean) (n=35)	Non- AMD Male (Mean) (n=15)	Females RDA >50 yrs	Males RDA >50 yrs
Energy	Kcal	1524	1507	2251	2074	1800	2200
Energy	kJ	6375	6076	9417	8674	7200	8700
Lutein and Zeaxanthin	Mg	1.7	1.2	1.6	1.3	10	10
Protein	G	61.8	64.1	94.5	82.0	45	55
Fat	G	65.4	60.5	86.8	82.3	70	95
of which saturates	G	27.7	25.4	30.8	30.6	20	30
Carbohydrates	G	177.2	169.0	278.6	257.5	230	300
of which sugars	G	50.5	44.7	90.9	61.8	90	120
Fibre	G	16.7	16.1	24.6	22.4	24	24
Cholesterol	Mg	252.9	300.8	354.6	408.0	300	300
Calcium	Mg	682.9	644.0	948.0	980.5	800	800
Iron	Mg	14.5	16.1	22.4	20.4	10	10
Retinol	mg	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.6		
Carotene	mg	0.4	0.7	0	0		
Alpha Carotene	mg	1.1	1.4	1.1	1.3		
Beta Carotene	mg	3.5	4.1	3.8	4.0		
Vitamin D	µg	2.6	13.5	2.4	3.5	5	5
Vitamin E	Mg	5.0	5.1	7.6	6.0	10	10
Vitamin C	Mg	53.1	62.1	85.6	82.3	40	40
Folic Acid	µg	167.8	252.2	214.4	185.7	400	400

5

6

7 **Table 2.** Mean consumption of various nutrients for males and females in both the AMD cohort and
 8 the non-AMD cohort, with the corresponding RDA for people aged over 50 years. Please note: Kcal
 9 refers to Kilocalories, kJ Refers to Kilojoules, Mg refers to miligrams, µg refers to micrograms and
 10 G refers to grams. Spaces indicate there are no RDAs for that nutrient

11

12

13

	AMD Sub-Group Mean (n=49)	Non-AMD Mean (n=50)
Age (years)	68.8	67.5
Energy (Kcal)	1503	2198
L&Z (mg)	1.8	1.5
Protein (G)	60.9	90.8
Fat (G)	61.9	85.5
Carbohydrates (G)	166.9	272.3
Fibre (G)	15.6	24
Vitamin E (mg)	5.3	7.1
Beta Carotene (µg)	3.2	3.9
Vitamin C (mg)	56.9	84.6
Folic Acid (mg)	0.2	0.21
Calcium (mg)	596.1	887.8

14

15 **Table 3.** Mean age and energy/ nutrient consumption for the selected AMD subgroup and the non-
 16 AMD group. Please note: Kcal refers to Kilocalories, kJ Refers to Kilojoules, µg refers to
 17 micrograms, Mg refers to miligrams, and G refers to grams.

18