# **1** Impacts of Elevated Dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> on a Shallow Groundwater System:

### 2 **Reactive Transport Modeling of a Controlled-Release Field Test**

Liange Zheng<sup>a</sup>, Nicolas Spycher<sup>a</sup>, Marco Bianchi<sup>b</sup>, John D. Pugh<sup>c</sup>, Charuleka Varadharajan<sup>a</sup>, Ruth M. Tinnacher<sup>a</sup>, Jens T. Birkholzer<sup>a</sup>, Peter Nico<sup>a</sup> and Robert C. Trautz<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, Califonia 94720, USA <sup>b</sup>British Geological Survey, Kingsley Dunham Centre, Keyworth, Nottingham, NG12 5GG, UK <sup>c</sup> Southern Company Services, 600 N. 18th St., Birmingham, Alabama 35291, USA <sup>d</sup>Electric Power Research Institute, 3420 Hillview Avenue, Palo Alto, California 94304, USA

13

3

4

5 6

#### 14 Abstract

15 One of the risks that  $CO_2$  geological sequestration imposes on the environment is the impact of potential CO<sub>2</sub>/brine leakage on shallow groundwater. The reliability of reactive 16 17 transport models predicting the response of groundwater to CO<sub>2</sub> leakage depends on a 18 thorough understanding of the relevant chemical processes and key parameters affecting 19 dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> transport and reaction. Such understanding can be provided by targeted 20 field tests integrated with reactive transport modeling. A controlled-release field 21 experiment was conducted in Mississippi to study the CO<sub>2</sub>-induced geochemical changes 22 in a shallow sandy aquifer at about 50m depth. The field test involved a dipole system in 23 which the groundwater was pumped from one well, saturated with CO<sub>2</sub> at the pressure 24 corresponding to the hydraulic pressure of the aquifer, and then re-injected into the same 25 aquifer using a second well. Groundwater samples were collected for chemical analyses 26 from four monitoring wells before, during and after the dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> was injected. In 27 this paper, we present reactive transport models used to interpret the observed changes in 28 metal concentrations in these groundwater samples. A reasonable agreement between 29 simulated and measured concentrations indicates that the chemical response in the aquifer 30 can be interpreted using a conceptual model that encompasses two main features: (a) a 31 fast-reacting but limited pool of reactive minerals that responds quickly to changes in pH 32 and causes a pulse-like concentration change, and (b) a slow-reacting but essentially 33 unlimited mineral pool that yields rising metal concentrations upon decreased 34 groundwater velocities after pumping and injection stopped. During the injection, calcite 35 dissolution and Ca-driven cation exchange reactions contribute to a sharp pulse in 36 concentrations of Ca, Ba, Mg, Mn, K, Li, Na and Sr, whereas desorption reactions control 37 a similar increase in Fe concentrations. After the injection and pumping stops and the 38 groundwater flow rate decreases, the dissolution of relatively slow reacting minerals such 39 as plagioclase drives the rising concentrations of alkali and alkaline earth metals observed 40 at later stages of the test, whereas the dissolution of amorphous iron sulfide causes slowly 41 increasing Fe concentrations.

42

# 43 **1. Introduction**

44

Ever since the concept of  $CO_2$  geologic storage was proposed about two decades ago, many studies have been undertaken to assess hydrological, geochemical and mechanical processes affecting deep injection and containment of  $CO_2$  in storage reservoirs. Meanwhile, as part of environmental risk assessments for  $CO_2$  storage sites, studies have also been undertaken to assess the impacts of potential  $CO_2$  leaks from deep storage 50 reservoirs, on the quality of overlying fresh water aquifers (see review papers by 51 Lemieux, 2011 and Harvey et al., 2012, and references therein). Numerical modeling has 52 been an important tool to address this issue.

53 Reactive transport models were first used to evaluate the potential impacts of CO<sub>2</sub> 54 leakage on the water quality of shallow, overlying aquifers (Wang and Jaffe, 2004; 55 Carroll et al., 2009; Zheng et al., 2009; Apps et al., 2010; Wilkin and Digiulio, 2010), and 56 to identify potential issues such as the leaching out of organics such as BETX, PAH, 57 from source rocks (Zheng et al., 2013; Zhong et al., 2014). Later on, they were used to 58 interpret data from laboratory experiments (e.g. Viswanathan et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 59 2016) and field tests (e.g. Zheng et al., 2012; Trautz et al., 2013 and Zheng et al., 2015) 60 in order to understand key physical and chemical processes that control the response of 61 aquifers to CO<sub>2</sub> leakage. Most recently, reactive transport models have been used to 62 predict potential long-term change in aquifer in response to CO<sub>2</sub>/brine leakage (Bacon et 63 al., 2016), to conduct uncertainty quantifications (Hou et al., 2014) to lay the foundation 64 for risk assessment studies, and to provide guidance for risk management and mitigation.

65 Laboratory experiments provide useful information on the type and quantity of trace elements that may be mobilized in response to CO<sub>2</sub> intrusion into potable 66 67 groundwater, forming the basis for further modeling analyses. Such experiments (Smyth 68 et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2010; Little and Jackson, 2010, Wei et al., 2011; Viswanathan et al., 69 2012; Humez et al., 2013; Varadharajana et al., 2013; Wunsch et al., 2014; Kirsch et al., 70 2014; Lawter et al., 2016) were typically conducted in batch or column mode, where CO<sub>2</sub> 71 was released into a pre-equilibrated water-rock environment and the geochemical 72 changes in the aqueous phases were monitored subsequently. Modest to strong increases

73 in concentrations of major and trace elements have typically been reported in these 74 laboratory experiments, although in terms of the changes of one particular element, 75 different experiments have led to different results. For example, increases in Fe 76 concentration has been reported in Smyth et al. (2009) and Lu et al. (2010), whereas 77 Humez et al. (2013) observed declining Fe concentrations after initial CO<sub>2</sub> influx. The 78 increase or decrease in metal concentrations also varies significantly from one 79 experiment to another, likely due to differences in experimental conditions, types of 80 sediments, mineralogical compositions, etc. However, despite these differences, one 81 common observation is a concentration increase for alkali and alkaline earth metals and Si. 82

83 Laboratory experiments have some inherent limitations such as (1) failing to 84 preserve the *in situ* water-rock environment as a result of pre-equilibration of sediments 85 with a synthetic solution (e.g., Smyth et al., 2009) or DI water (Lu et al., 2010), (2) 86 unwanted oxidation of sediments samples during the experiment (e.g., Little and Jackson, 87 2010), or (3) the failure to include transport of groundwater and  $CO_2$ . Several field tests 88 have been conducted to further enhance our understanding of potential impacts of CO<sub>2</sub> 89 leakage on shallow groundwater. The ZERT (Zero Emissions Research and Technology) 90 field test in Montana, USA (Kharaka et al., 2010; Spangler et al., 2010) was probably the 91 first controlled-release experiment in this regard, with food-grade CO<sub>2</sub> injected over a 30 92 day period into a horizontal perforated pipe a few feet below the water table of a shallow 93 aquifer. Cahill and Jakobsen (2013) and Cahill et al. (2014) reported a field scale pilot 94 test in which CO<sub>2</sub> gas was injected at 5-10 m depth into an unconfined aquifer in 95 Denmark for two days, and water geochemistry changes were monitored for more than

96 100 days. As reported in Peter et al. (2012), CO<sub>2</sub> was injected through 3 wells for a 97 period of 10 days into an aquifer at 18 m depth in Northeast Germany. All these tests 98 involved an injection of CO<sub>2</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub>-bearing water into the aquifer, and the monitoring of 99 changes in water composition via monitoring wells downstream of the injection point. In 100 general, observations made in field tests are largely consistent with those from laboratory 101 tests in terms of concentration increases for major and trace elements, but there are two 102 noticeable differences: first, the level of concentration changes observed in the field is typically much lower than in the laboratory. For example, an increase in major and trace 103 104 element concentrations of 1 to 3 orders of magnitude has been observed in the laboratory 105 compared to field tests, which never show an increase greater than one order of magnitude (i.e., 20% to 700%). Secondly, concentration increases in trace elements, 106 107 especially for elements of environmental concern such as As, Pb, Ba, Cd, are more 108 frequently observed in laboratory than in field tests.

109 A thorough understanding of key physical and chemical processes and related parameters 110 is critical for building a reliable model to predict long term changes in aquifer response to 111 CO<sub>2</sub>/brine leakage. Researchers have postulated based on laboratory-scale experimental results (e.g. Lu et al., 2010), the simulation of laboratory-scale data (e.g. Humez et al., 112 113 2011; Viswanathan et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2015b) or field tests (e.g. Zheng et al., 114 2012; Trautz et al., 2013) that a number of chemical processes are potentially responsible 115 for the mobilization of trace elements. These include the dissolution of carbonates (e.g., 116 Kharakha et al., 2006; McGrath et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2010), sulfides (e.g., Wang and 117 Jaffe, 2004; Zheng et al., 2009; Apps et al., 2010) and iron oxyhydroxide minerals (e.g., 118 Kharaka et al., 2006, 2009), as well as surface reactions such as adsorption/desorption (Viswanathan et al., 2012) and ion exchange (Kharaka et al., 2006, 2009; Zheng et al., 2009; Apps et al., 2010; Zheng et al., 2012; Cahill et al., 2014). The degree to which these reactions contribute to water quality impacts depends on the specific aqueous chemistry and aquifer mineralogy for a given system. Field testing integrated with reactive transport modeling provides an effective and reliable way to identify reactions and parameters that are needed to build reliable simulation tools for risk assessments of CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration.

126 A comprehensive longer-term field study involving the controlled release of 127 groundwater containing dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> was initiated in 2011 to investigate potential 128 groundwater impacts in Mississippi, USA (Trautz et al., 2013). Injection of dissolved 129  $CO_2$  lasted approximately 5 months followed by an extended phase of post-injection 130 groundwater monitoring. The experiment involved extensive laboratory and field 131 characterization of groundwater and sediments, an innovative fluid-delivery system, 132 hydrologic monitoring, and geophysical monitoring for remote detection of dissolved 133  $CO_2$ . Trautz et al. (2013) presented the data from this test at one of the monitoring wells, 134 including preliminary results of reactive transport simulations, and Varadharajan et al. 135 (2013) reported laboratory test results for aquifer sediments collected while drilling wells 136 for this test. In this paper, we present reactive transport simulations conducted to 137 interpret groundwater monitoring data at the site over a significantly longer time frame 138 than initially reported by Trauntz et al. (2013), with the goal to elucidate key chemical 139 processes and parameters that could affect observed changes in long-term dissolved metal 140 concentrations in groundwater at this test site.

### 142 **2. Field test**

#### 143 **2.1. Test description**

144 The study site is located in Jackson County Mississippi and lies in the Pascagoula River 145 Drainage Basin in the Gulf Coastal Plain physiographic province, which is 146 topographically gently rolling to flat with local salt marshes. The stratigraphic interval 147 into which carbonated water was injected is composed of fine silty sand with minor clay 148 interbedding at depths between 46.9 and 54.6 m (Figure 1, right). An innovative closed 149 loop groundwater delivery system was used to pump groundwater from the confined 150 shallow aquifer through a carbonation unit to infuse it with CO<sub>2</sub> before injecting the 151 carbonated groundwater back into the same shallow aquifer. The test configuration is 152 shown in Figure 1: groundwater is injected through well IW-1 and pumped out from well 153 PW-1. Groundwater samples were collected from the five monitoring wells over three 154 test periods (Table 1): (1) for 13 months prior to pumping and injection (background), (2) 155 for five months during pumping and injection, and (3) for 10 months after pumping and 156 injection ended. Groundwater samples were analyzed in the laboratory to evaluate trends 157 in major and minor cations, anions, trace elements, organic carbon, and dissolved gases. 158 In addition, geophysical monitoring using complex electrical tomography allowed 159 changes in electrical resistivity of the groundwater to be observed, and the position of the 160 dissolved  $CO_2$  plume as it migrated between wells to be tracked (Dafflon et al., 2013).

161

Table 1. Test period durations

Test Period	Start Date	End Date	Approx.	Wells
Test Fellou	Start Date	End Date	Duration	Sampled

			(months)	
Pre-CO <sub>2</sub> injection baseline	2-Sep-2010	18-Oct-2011	13	All wells
Pre-pumping (static baseline)	2-Sep-2010	12-Aug-2011	11	All wells
Pumping (dynamic baseline)	12-Aug-2011	18-Oct-2011	2	MW, BG wells
CO <sub>2</sub> injection (pumping continues)	18-Oct-2011	23-Mar-2012	5	MW, BG wells
Post-CO <sub>2</sub> injection	23-Mar-2012	15-Jan-2013	10	MW, BG wells

163 A decrease in groundwater pH by 1.5-3 units was observed at nearby monitoring wells as the dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> plume migrated through the sandy aquifer. In general, four 164 165 groups of metals exhibiting different trends in metal/solute concentration changes (with 166 limited exceptions) were observed during the test: (1)solutes below detection/background concentration levels (Type I: Al, Sb, As, Be, Cd, Cu, Pb, Hg, Ag, 167 Tl, Zn, P, Se, Br<sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO3-, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, HS<sup>-</sup>), (2) metals potentially leaching out of 168 169 geophysical probes employed in the field experiment (Type II: Cr, Co Ni), (3) metals 170 displaying an apparent concentration increase upon injection of CO<sub>2</sub> (Type III: Ba, Ca, Fe, 171 Li, Mg, Mn, K, Si, Na, and Sr) and (4) those showing a concentration decrease (Type IV: Mo and F) after exposure to dissolved  $CO_2$ . It should be noted that none of the metal 172 173 concentrations measured during the controlled release experiment exceeded primary 174 drinking water standards (i.e., maximum contaminant levels) established by the U.S. 175 Environmental Protection Agency under the Clean Water Act.

#### 177 **2.2.** Trend analyses of observed metal concentrations

178 In previous work, we reported on the early-time groundwater composition trends 179 observed during the first few months after injection of carbonated water started (Trautz et 180 al., 2013). For several metals (Ba, Ca, Fe, Li, Mg, Mn, K, Si, Na, Sr), the concentration 181 data exhibited a clear "pulse"-like response (see Figure 2 for Sr as example) upon arrival 182 of carbonated groundwater at the monitoring well closest to the injection point (MW-3). 183 This response was attributed to Ca-driven exchange reactions triggered by the dissolution 184 of a very small, finite amount of calcite in the sediments. As groundwater quality data 185 continued to be collected over a longer time frame, it became evident that the 186 concentration of some metals (e.g., Ca, Ba, Fe) started to slowly increase once pumping 187 and injection ended and the groundwater velocities returned to ambient conditions 188 (Zheng et al., 2015). This later increase (superposed on an initial fast, exchange-driven 189 pulse) was attributed to slow mineral dissolution, noticeable only under conditions of 190 increased groundwater residence time once the pump was turned off (Zheng et al., 2015).

191 Here, we further evaluate the groundwater quality response to carbonation using 192 the full set of analytical data collected before (13 months), during (5 months), and after 193 the release of  $CO_2$  (10 months after turning off the injection pump). Accordingly, the 194 monitoring data were classified into three groups: pre-, during, and post-injection, with 195 the 'pre-injection' data defined as analyses before the arrival of the carbonated plume at 196 specific wells, measured as the start of the pH decrease at these wells. Thus, these data 197 may include samples that were technically sampled during the injection period but prior 198 to plume arrival.

199 Using these three sets of data together, elemental correlation plots (Figure 3) and 200 Principal Component Analyses (PCA; Figure 4; Numerical Dynamics, 2014), including 201 multivariate regression were performed to further distinguish trends and possible 202 differences in responses to carbonation. Metal concentration data collected prior to 203 injection ('pre' in Figure 3) show a narrow variability with regards to a correlation to Ca 204 concentrations. During CO<sub>2</sub> injection, the strongest correlation between Ca and other 205 released metals is observed for Ba, Mg, Sr, and Mn (Figure 3). These metals were shown 206 to have significantly higher concentrations in pH-5 sequential leachates of sediments 207 collected from the field site (Varadharajan et al., 2013). Post-pumping data for these 208 elements exhibit more scattered and possibly different correlation trends (Figure 3), 209 which would support the hypothesis of the two distinct release mechanisms (during and 210 post-pumping, respectively) postulated by Zheng et al. (2015). Similar analyses also 211 show some correlation of Ca with Fe, Na, and alkalinity, as well as a good correlation between Fe and Mn. A weaker correlation of Si with Ca (Figure 3) (and also Na, not 212 213 shown) although quite more scattered, also lends support to the hypothesis of Zheng et al. 214 (2015) suggesting that the slow dissolution of plagioclase may contribute to the long-term 215 concentration trends of these elements.

Plots of PCA loadings allow for an evaluation of the similarity or dissimilarity of measured dissolved metal concentrations. Points located in close proximity have a common denominator, thus in our case, possibly a similar metal source and/or release mechanism. The PCA loadings for individual elements (Figure 4) show three groups of metal correlations. First, elements falling in the upper left quadrant of Figure 4 (Ba, Ca, Co, Li, Mg, Mn, Na, Si, and Sr) include seven of the top ten loadings contributors; these 222 correspond to metals that were shown to yield high concentrations in acid leachates of 223 site sediments (Varadharajan et al., 2013). The consistent grouping of these elements in 224 both the field and laboratory studies confirms that these elements form a distinct group of 225 released metals. Second, Fe, Cr, and Ni appear to form their own group (lower left 226 quadrant in Figure 4), suggesting another source and/or release mechanism for these 227 elements in the field study. No release was observed for these metals in leaching 228 experiments (Varadharajan et al., 2013). One possible explanation is a contamination of 229 groundwater samples with corrosion products from stainless steel geophysical electrodes 230 that were deployed in the field but not present in lab-scale experiments. Third, alkalinity, 231 chloride, and dissolved organic matter also fall within the top 10 contributors, but in this 232 case because of their individual variability, or lack thereof.

233

#### 2.3. Postulated metal release mechanisms

234 The release of trace elements from sediments due to reaction with dissolved  $CO_2$ 235 has been explained by various mechanisms including: (1) the dissolution of calcite with 236 trace amounts of impurities of other elements (Lu et al., 2010), (2) metal desorption from 237 mineral surfaces (Viswanathan et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2012), (3) the dissolution of 238 silicate minerals (Yang et al., 2013), and/or (4) cation exchange reactions, which are triggered by an increase in Ca<sup>+2</sup> concentrations after calcite dissolution (Zheng et al., 239 240 2012). To date, metal concentration trends observed in most tests reported in the 241 literature have been monotonic increases, mainly because laboratory tests were typically 242 performed in batch experiments-without any transport component-and most field tests 243 were conducted over fairly short time periods (Kharaka et al., 2010). One exception is a 244 field test in which  $CO_2$  gas was injected into a shallow aquifer at 5-10 m depth for 72 days, followed by post-injection monitoring of the groundwater composition (Cahill et al., 2014). During this test, concentrations of major and trace elements increased first upon arrival of the carbonated groundwater, but then decreased during the remaining  $CO_2$ injection period, and continued to decrease over the post injection time-period. In contrast, during the field test conducted for the present study, a rapid pulse-like release of dissolved cations upon the arrival of carbonated groundwater was observed, followed by slowly-rising cation concentrations almost immediately after the injection was stopped.

252 This latter behavior can be explained by a conceptual model that includes two 253 contaminant release source terms (Zheng et al., 2015): (a) a fast-reacting but limited pool 254 of reactive minerals that responds quickly to changes in pH, and (b) a slow-reacting but 255 essentially unlimited mineral pool that yields slowly rising concentrations upon decreased 256 groundwater velocities (increased residence time) after pumping and injection stopped. 257 The fast-reacting and slow-reacting pools, and the associated release processes, are 258 believed to differ for different elements, as summarized in Table 2 and discussed in 259 further detail below. The geochemical models developed in this study were set up to 260 simulate the minerals (pools) and processes postulated in this table.

- 261
- 262

263 t

Table 2. Fast-reacting, limited and slow-reacting, unlimited pools proposed for the release of Type III metals.

Element	Fast-reacting limited pool	Slow-reacting unlimited pool
Са	Calcite dissolution	Plagioclase (Ab80An20) dissolution

Ba, Mg, Mn, K, Li, Na, Sr	Cation exchange with Ca	No specific minerals. However, Ca from the slow-reacting Ca pool (plagioclase) triggers further cation exchange with these metals.
Fe	Desorption	Iron sulfide (FeS_m) dissolution
Si	Desorption	Plagioclase (Ab80An20) dissolution

265

### 266 **3.** Groundwater Flow and Geochemical Model Development

267 The reactive transport models in this paper focus on the Type (III) metals/metalloids 268 discussed earlier, because the increasing dissolved concentration of these metals upon 269 exposure to CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated water is obviously of more potential concern than the 270 decreasing or un-detectable concentrations of the other metals. The simulations were 271 conducted with TOUGHREACT V2 (Xu et al., 2011), a numerical model that was 272 developed by introducing reactive chemistry into the existing framework of a non-273 isothermal multi-phase multi-component fluid and heat flow simulator, TOUGH (Pruess 274 et al., 1999).

275

276 **3.1. Model domain and discretization** 

Because the aquifer was found to be fairly homogeneous in the vertical direction, a 2-D planar (X-Y) model was employed. The spatial domain of the groundwater flow covers an area of about 500 m  $\times$  500 m. An area of 40 m  $\times$  100 m was finely discretized with a 1-m grid size. Areas of 20 m $\times$  40 m surrounding the injection well and monitoring wells have even finer gridding with a 0.5-m grid size (Figure 5). A honeycomb mesh structure was used to minimize numerical errors resulting from the radial groundwater 13 flow pattern around injection and monitoring wells, and other cases of flow vector orientations deviating significantly from a direction perpendicular to interfaces between model grid blocks.

286

# **3.2.** Hydrological parameters

287 Hydrodynamic parameters used in the model are listed in Table 3. Two pumping 288 tests were conducted to measure the hydraulic conductivity. Drawdown data from a 39-289 hour pumping test were used to calculate an average hydraulic conductivity of 41 ft/day (12.5 m/day or 1.45×10<sup>-4</sup> m/s) and a storativity of 0.00017 for the transmissive 290 291 stratigraphic interval in which the test was conducted. Data from another 18-hour pumping test yielded close agreement with a hydraulic conductivity of 47 ft/day (14.3 292 m/day or  $1.65 \times 10^{-4}$  m/s) and a storativity of 0.00029. The hydraulic conductivity used in 293 294 the model is the average of values from these two pumping tests (13.4 m/day), corresponding to a permeability of  $1.55 \times 10^{-11}$  m<sup>2</sup>. The storativity used in the model was 295 taken as 0.00023, which was converted to a pore compressibility of  $3.8 \times 10^{-9}$  Pa<sup>-1</sup> 296 297 assuming zero water expansivity.

In TOUGHREACT, hydrodynamic dispersion is not computed. The effect of dispersion is approximated by numerical dispersion, which is roughly equal to half the spacing of grid blocks and in the present case corresponds to dispersivity values between 0.25 m close to the injection well and 0.5 m further away.

302

303

Table 3. Hydrodynamic parameters used in the model

Parameter	Aquifer
Porosity <sup><i>\phi</i></sup>	0.3

Permeability [m <sup>2</sup> ]	1.55×10-11
Hydraulic conductivity	13.4
(m/day)	
Pore compressibility (Pa <sup>-1</sup> )	3.8×10-9
Average molecular	1×10-9
diffusion coefficient (m <sup>2</sup> /s)	
for all aqueous species	
Dispersivity	0.25 m (numerical)
Tortuosity	0.67*

304 \* Based on the Millington and Quirk (1961) equation

#### 306 **3.3. Geochemical parameters**

307 Table 4 lists the chemical composition of initial (ambient) pore water and injected 308 water in the model. The initial composition of the modeled water was based on average 309 concentrations measured over a 20-month pre-injection baseline period. Detection limits 310 were used for the concentration of species for which concentrations were below the 311 detection limit. The pH and carbonate composition of the injected water were computed by assuming equilibration of the initial water with a partial  $CO_2$  pressure ( $P_{CO2}$ ) of 3.8 bar, 312 313 corresponding to full saturation of the water with CO<sub>2</sub> gas at the prevailing local 314 hydrostatic pressure. The pH value obtained in this manner (4.97) is consistent with 315 measured pH values in the field (~5) after injection started. The injected water has 316 essentially the same composition as the initial water except for its lower pH and higher 317 total dissolved carbonate concentration (0.133 moles/kg). The initial water is slightly 15

318 under-saturated with respect to calcite, with a saturation index of -0.5; in contrast, the 319 calcite saturation index in the injected water is much lower (-3.3) due to the lower pH 320 induced by carbonation.

321

322 Table 4. Composition of initial water used in the model. The unit of concentration of

Species	Concentration	Species	Concentration	Species	concentration
pН	7.91	K	7.10×10 <sup>-5</sup>	Zn	2.14×10 <sup>-7</sup>
Al	4.45×10 <sup>-6</sup>	Li	6.97×10 <sup>-6</sup>	S(-2)	3.70×10 <sup>-5</sup>
Ва	4.07×10 <sup>-7</sup>	Mg	5.35×10 <sup>-5</sup>	Cr	4.81×10 <sup>-8</sup>
Br	8.27×10 <sup>-7</sup>	Mn	1.16×10 <sup>-6</sup>	Se	7.00×10 <sup>-9</sup>
Ca	7.34×10 <sup>-5</sup>	Мо	4.41×10 <sup>-8</sup>	As	9.22×10 <sup>-9</sup>
Cd	8.45×10 <sup>-10</sup>	Na	6.70×10 <sup>-3</sup>	N(+5)	1.67×10 <sup>-4</sup>
Cl	7.31×10 <sup>-4</sup>	Ni	3.41×10 <sup>-8</sup>	Acetic acid (aq)	5.31×10 <sup>-5</sup>
Co	9.67×10 <sup>-9</sup>	Pb	9.65×10 <sup>-10</sup>	Methane(aq)	9.87×10 <sup>-6</sup>
Cu	1.73×10 <sup>-8</sup>	S(+6)	1.02×10 <sup>-5</sup>	Ethane(aq)	2.51×10 <sup>-5</sup>
F	2.40×10 <sup>-5</sup>	Sb	1.33×10 <sup>-8</sup>	Hg	3.49×10 <sup>-10</sup>
Fe(II)	4.00×10 <sup>-6</sup>	Si	1.75×10 <sup>-4</sup>	Fe(III)	3.46×10 <sup>-6</sup>
C(+4)	6.22×10 <sup>-3</sup>	Sr	1.13×10 <sup>-6</sup>	$O_2(aq)^{\#}$	1.08×10 <sup>-74</sup>
Р	3.18×10 <sup>-6</sup>	Na	6.70×10 <sup>-3</sup>		

323 chemical species is molality (moles per kg water)

324

<sup>#</sup>Computed from redox couple  $HS^{-}/SO_{4}^{-2}$ 

325 Chemical reactions considered in the model are aqueous complexation, surface complexation (using a double diffuse layer model), cation exchange (using the Gaines-326 327 Thomas convention) and mineral precipitation/dissolution under kinetic constraints 328 (using published rate laws). Aqueous complexes considered in the model are listed in 329 Table A1 in the Appendix. The cation exchange and surface complexation reactions are 330 listed in Table A2 and A3 in the Appendix, respectively. In the current geochemical 331 model, it is assumed that ferrihydrite (as  $Fe(OH)_3(s)$ ) is the adsorbent. The reaction constants for surface complexation of H+ and chromium are taken from Dzombak and 332

Morel (1990), for surface complexation reactions of iron and carbonate from Appelo et al.
(2002), and for surface complexation on silicate from Jordan et al. (2007).

335 Based on the mineralogical characterization of the sediment, the model 336 considered illite, smectite,  $Fe(OH)_3(s)$  and amorphous iron sulfide (mackinawite, FeS m), 337 in addition to major aquifer minerals such as quartz, K-feldspar and plagioclase (Table 4). 338 The amount of iron sulfide (FeS m) was estimated from selective extractions ( $\sim 0.02$ 339 vol%), and the amount of Fe(OH)<sub>3</sub>(s) from calibration of sediment acid titration 340 simulations (~0.135 vol%) as discussed later. Carbonates were not detected using x-ray 341 diffraction (XRD) and solid total inorganic carbon-total organic carbon (TIC-TOC) 342 analysis. However, calcite was found by micro-X ray spectroscopy (Varadharajan et al., 343 2013). Therefore, trace amounts of calcite were included in the simulations, with an 344 amount calibrated to yield best agreement between simulated and observed metal 345 concentration trends. The amounts of illite, smectite, quartz, and K-feldspar were roughly 346 estimated based on XRD characterization of sediment cores and thin sections (Table 5). 347 Equilibrium constants for these minerals and other secondary phases allowed to form are 348 given in Table 5. These data, as well as dissociation constants for all considered aqueous species (Table A1) were taken from the Data0.dat.YMPv4.0 EQ3/6 thermodynamic 349 database (Wolery, 2007; SNL 2007). Details on the implemented rate laws and kinetic 350 351 data for mineral dissolution are given in Appendix A.

352

353Table 5. Equilibrium constants (log(K)) and initial volume fraction of minerals354in the sediment (on a dry basis). Log(K) values are for dissolution reactions that355are written with the primary species listed in the first column of Table A1.

Primary Mineral	Volume fraction (%)	logK(25 °C)	Potential Secondary Minerals allowed	logK(25°C, 1 bar)
Ouartz	94.4	-3.75	Dolomite	2.52
Calcite	0.0086	1.85	Siderite	-0.25
FeS m	0.01	-3.5	Witherite	1.77
K-feldspar	2	-22.39	Rhodochrosite	0.252
Smectite-Na	0.5	-38.32	Strontianite	-0.31
Illite	1	-42.69	Dawsonite	-17.9
Fe(OH) <sub>3</sub> (s)	0.135	-5.66		
Ab80An20	2	-14.8		

### 357 3.4. pH Buffering Capacity

358 Sediment titrations were conducted to evaluate the pH buffering capacity of the aquifer 359 (Varadharajan et al., 2013). A mixture of 1 g sediment and 5 ml deionized water was 360 titrated with a 0.01M HCl solution. Simulations of these sediment acid titrations were 361 used to constrain pH buffering reactions implemented in the reactive transport model. 362 These reactions were assumed to consist primarily of surface protonation/deprotonation 363 reactions, as well as the dissolution of carbonate minerals (calcite). However, the 364 simulations showed that the calcite amount in the sediments (Table 5) was too small to 365 significantly buffer pH upon acid titration. This implies that, for these sediments, the pH buffering behavior was dominated by H<sup>+</sup> adsorption. To model such adsorption, 366 367 protonation/deprotonation reaction equilibrium constants and sorption site densities were 368 taken from Dzombak and Morel (1990), assuming that H<sup>+</sup> adsorption occurs dominantly 369 onto ferric iron (oxy)hydroxides (here modeled as ferrihydrite in the form of Fe(OH)<sub>3</sub>(s)). 370 Using these data, the volume fraction of  $Fe(OH)_3(s)$  in the sediment was then calibrated (0.135%) to best reproduce the titration curve obtained for sample PW-1-160 that is 371

372 representative of the aquifer sandy sediment (Figure A1 in electronic supplementary373 information (ESI)).

374

# 375 4. Modeling results

376 Results of various simulations are presented below and organized as follows. First, results 377 of a "base case" model are presented. This model implements the metal release reactions 378 postulated in Table 2, with focus on simulated trends of pH, alkalinity, and 379 concentrations of alkali and alkaline earth metals, Ba, Fe, Si and Cr. In a second part, we 380 then evaluate the sensitivity of modeling results to a variety of key parameters and 381 processes such as surface protonation, calcite dissolution, and cation exchange capacity 382 (CEC). Finally, we also explore conceptual model variations to help explain some of the 383 discrepancies between observed metal concentration trends and the base-case model 384 results.

385

### 386 4.1. Base-Case Model

#### 387 *pH and Alkalinity*

Groundwater pumped out from PW-1 is saturated with CO<sub>2</sub> at the surface and then injected through IW-1. The dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> dissociates into bicarbonate and protons (CO<sub>2</sub>  $+ H_2O \Rightarrow HCO_3^- + H^+$ ), which increases the total dissolved inorganic carbon content (DIC) and decreases pH in the impacted groundwater. This carbonated water displaces the groundwater in the aquifer, spreads out from IW-1 towards PW-1, and forms a plume of elliptic shape that is high in DIC and low in pH, as illustrated by the simulated spatial distribution of pH at several time points (Figure 6a and b). The center of the plume has a 19 pH of around 5; the edge of the plume a pH between 5 and 8 caused by dispersion and buffering of the acidic plume by chemical reactions. Low-pH groundwater arrives first at MW-3, then at MW-2 and MW-1. At the end of the injection/pumping period (i.e., 156 days after the injection and pumping started), low-pH groundwater arrives at MW-4 (Figure 6c). Once injection/pumping ends, because of the stagnant regional groundwater flow, the plume remains at the same location, however, the pH value at the center of the plume increases gradually.

402 Modeling results for groundwater pH match measured data reasonably well, but 403 some discrepancies are observed (Figure 6c). For example, the pH at MW-4 started to 404 drop earlier in the model compared to what was observed in the field. Furthermore, at 405 other well locations the modeled pH does not rebound fast enough to match the recovery exhibited in the field. A change in dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is just one of many 406 407 processes that can affect groundwater pH. Other processes include the dissolution of 408 calcite and plagioclase, and surface complexation. Sensitivity analyses reported later in 409 this paper illustrate how these processes affect the simulated spatial and temporal 410 evolution of pH.

Figure 7a and b show the modeled spatial distribution of alkalinity at two time-points. Given the high-DIC concentrations during injection and the simulated pH conditions of the plume, bicarbonate ( $HCO_3^{-1}$ ) is the dominant component of total alkalinity, with much lower concentrations of carbonate ( $CO_3^{-2}$ ). Hence, the simulated alkalinity values are taken as the sum of the predicted concentrations of  $HCO_3^{-2}$  and  $CO_3^{-2}$ . Unlike the plume of DIC and pH, the plume of bicarbonate is shaped like a donut—higher concentrations at 417 the moving edge of the plume but very low concentration in the center, which is caused 418 by the dominance of carbonic acid ( $H_2CO_3^0$ ) once the pH drops below about 6.

419 The modeled alkalinity values are compared with the measured total alkalinity trends in 420 Figure 7c. As the plume passes the monitoring wells, the temporal evolution of alkalinity 421 shows a pulse-like shape initially, followed by a slow recovery after CO<sub>2</sub> injection and 422 pumping stopped. This trend is clearly observed at MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3, but less 423 pronounced at MW-4. Computed and measured breakthroughs of alkalinity are similar at 424 MW-3, but only show qualitative and not quantitative agreements at other wells. The 425 major discrepancy between model results and field data is that the computed peak heights 426 of alkalinity breakthrough curves *increase* for wells further away from IW-1, while the 427 observed peak heights decrease with longer distances away from IW-1. This type of 428 discrepancy persists for breakthrough curves of most dissolved species, as discussed later.

# 429 <u>Alkali and alkaline earth metals</u>

430 The increase in carbonate content and the drop of pH trigger the dissolution of 431 two calcium-bearing minerals: calcite and Ab80An20 (a plagioclase with 80% albite and 432 20% anorthite). The former dissolves much faster than the latter. The current model 433 calibration indicates that the amount of calcite is fairly small and would be depleted 434 shortly after the arrival of acidified water. The dissolution of a limited amount of calcite 435 creates a donut-shape plume of Ca as shown in Figure 8a and b. Regarding the 436 breakthrough curves of Ca at the four monitoring wells (Figure 8c), two concentration 437 trends can be observed: (1) a pulse-like temporal change characterized by a rise in Ca concentrations upon the arrival of acidified water followed by a decrease in 438

439 concentrations until the end of CO<sub>2</sub> injection ("pulse period"), and (2) a bounce-back of
440 Ca concentration levels during the post-injection period ( "recovery period").

441 This evolution of Ca at MW-3 was interpreted by Zheng et al. (2015) with a model 442 concept that encompasses (a) a fast-reacting but limited pool of reactive minerals that 443 respond quickly to changes in pH and can explain the pulse period, and (b) a slow-444 reacting but essentially unlimited mineral pool to yield rising concentrations upon 445 decreased groundwater velocities after pumping and injection stopped in the recovery 446 period. This conceptualization combines the initial fast pulsing behavior with transport-447 limited kinetic dissolution trends (e.g., Johnson et al., 1998) that are strongly dependent 448 on groundwater residence times. Under these conditions, rising metal concentrations from 449 the dissolution of minerals are only noticeable when the groundwater velocity is slow 450 (relative to the reaction rate) or inversely when reaction rates are fast (relative to the 451 groundwater velocity). For Ca, the fast-reacting but limited pool is the dissolution of a 452 limited amount of calcite (~0.009% in volume fraction), and the slow-reacting but 453 essentially unlimited pool is the dissolution of plagioclase. The close match between the 454 computed and measured breakthrough curves of Ca at MW-3 support this concept. Model 455 results at other wells, however, fail to quantitatively reproduce the measured data, 456 although qualitatively they exhibit similar trends. Similarly to alkalinity, the most 457 noticeable discrepancy between modeled and measured data is that the computed 458 breakthroughs at the four monitoring well show increasingly higher peaks with distance 459 from the injection well (IW-1), during the "pulse period", whereas measured 460 breakthroughs at these four monitoring wells show increasingly lower peaks as the plume 461 moves further away from IW-1.

462 The release of Ca into solution triggers a series of cation exchange reactions that lead to 463 the increase in concentrations of major elements such as Na, K, Mg, Mn, and trace 464 elements such Ba, Sr, and Li. This explains why the concentrations of these elements 465 exhibit trends parallel to Ca (e.g. see Figure 9a for barium as example). Because cation 466 exchange reactions are fast (relative to mineral dissolution), the temporal evolution of 467 concentrations for these elements (Sr. Li, Na, K, Mg and Mn; Figures A2 to A7 in ESI) is 468 quite similar to that of Ca. The best fits of measured data with model results are achieved 469 for Ba, Sr, Li, and Mg, and the matches between measured and computed values are not 470 as good for Na, K and Mn. The deviations between measured and computed 471 concentrations of Ca at wells other than MW-3 are similar to deviations observed for Ba, 472 Sr, Li, Na, K, Mg and Mn.

473 <u>Iron</u>

474 Measured total Fe concentrations (essentially all Fe(II) within the observed pH range) 475 exhibit similar spatial and temporal distributions as Ca. However, for Fe, the fast-reacting 476 (limited) pool is modeled as the desorption of Fe(II) from the surface of Fe(OH)<sub>3</sub>(s), and 477 the slow-reacting (unlimited) pool as the dissolution of iron sulfide. This concept leads to 478 a fair fit between measured and computed data at MW-3 (Figure 9b). However, the initial 479 modeled pulse is much narrower than observed, and with a higher peak than the 480 measured data. This discrepancy may be the result of assuming equilibrium surface 481 complexation reactions. Surface complexation reactions are typically quite fast, ranging 482 from days to weeks, such that these reactions can often be treated as an equilibrium 483 process for the simulation of subsurface systems over the long term. However, in the 484 present case, this assumption may yield a Fe pulse at MW-3 that is too short in time 485 (lasting only a few weeks). As soon as the injection/pumping stops, the simulated 486 concentration of Fe increases, which is modeled here with reasonable results (Figure 9b) 487 by the dissolution of iron sulfide. The pH decrease resulting from the introduction of 488 CO<sub>2</sub> in the subsurface could also induce a greater rate of microbial Fe(III) reduction (Kirk, 489 2011; Kirk et al., 2013). This could not be ruled out as another mechanism leading to 490 increased Fe(II) concentrations in groundwater, also it would not be expected to be the 491 cause of the initial short-lived Fe(II) pulse observed in the field. It should also be noted 492 that the modeled and measured Fe breakthrough curves at MW-1, MW-2 and MW-4 only 493 match qualitatively but not quantitatively.

### 494 <u>Silicon</u>

495 The spatial and temporal evolution of Si (Figure 9c) is similar to that of Ca and Fe. 496 Therefore, in the base case model, release mechanisms similar to those proposed for Ca 497 and Fe are used to explain the behavior of Si: the fast-reacting (limited) pool for Si is 498 driven by Si desorption from Fe(OH)<sub>3</sub>(s) surfaces, and the slow-reacting (unlimited) pool 499 is represented by the dissolution of plagioclase (Ab80An20). This concept explains qualitatively the first "pulse period" and the following "recovery period" exhibited in the 500 501 breakthrough curves of Si at MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3, but results in similar departures 502 as for other species- moving further away from IW-1, the peaks of the computed 503 breakthrough curves at the four monitoring wells keep increasing, in contrast to the 504 measured breakthrough peaks, which continue decreasing.

#### 505 <u>Chromium</u>

506 Cr appears to behave slightly differently from other metals. The breakthrough of Cr at 507 MW-3 is similar to that of other elements, i.e. an initial "pulse period" is followed by a <sup>508</sup> "recovery period" after injection stopped (Figure 9d). But such a trend is not observed for <sup>509</sup> the Cr breakthrough curves at other wells. Cr breakthrough curves at MW-1 and MW-2 <sup>510</sup> only show an initial rising and falling, but no further concentration increase during the <sup>511</sup> recovery period. Cr concentrations at MW-4 are below the detection limit. The model <sup>512</sup> that considers desorption of Cr matches somewhat the measured Cr at MW-3 but not the <sup>513</sup> observed behavior at other wells.

514 **4.2.** Sensitivity of model results to input parameters and modeled processes

515 In this section, we explore the sensitivity of model results to key parameters and reactive 516 processes, trying to shed light on how these processes affect the modeled concentration 517 trends of dissolved major and trace elements as a result of the injection of CO<sub>2</sub>-bearing 518 water. These sensitivity analyses are by no means exhaustive and are only intended to 519 show the effect of presumed key input parameters (or particular types of reactions) on 520 model results. To do so, for each sensitivity case, only the model inputs being tested are 521 varied, while the rest of the parameters and reactions remain the same as in the base-case 522 model.

523

### 524 **4.2.1.** The impact of surface complexation on modeled pH

Many reactions can affect groundwater pH, including the pH buffering by the dissolution of calcite (or other carbonate minerals) (e.g. Carroll et al., 2009) and surface protonation reactions (e.g. Zheng et al., 2009; Zheng et al., 2012; Table A3). Another pH-buffering surface reaction that was not considered in these earlier studies is the surface adsorption/desorption of bicarbonate (Appelo et al., 2002). The dominant of these surface complexation reactions can be written as (Table A3):

 $\operatorname{HFO_wOH_2^+} = \operatorname{HFO_wOH} + \operatorname{H^+}$  (1)

532 
$$\text{HFO}_{\text{wCO}_{2}\text{H}} + \text{H}_{2}\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{HFO}_{\text{wOH}} + \text{HCO}_{3}^{-} + \text{H}^{+}$$
 (2)

534 In the base-case model, the volume fraction of calcite was found to be too small for 535 calcite to buffer pH significantly. Hence, reactions (1) and (2) are the main reactions that 536 buffer pH. We conducted two sensitivity analyses to illustrate how these two reactions 537 affect the temporal changes of pH: Model "A" does not consider surface complexation of 538 bicarbonate and Model "B" considers neither surface protonation nor surface 539 complexation of bicarbonate. In comparison with the base-case model, Model A leads to an earlier breakthrough of pH, lower pH values, and slightly higher total dissolved 540 541 carbonate concentrations, with increasingly noticeable differences away from MW-1 542 (Figure 10a and b). Similar but more pronounced differences from the base-case model 543 are predicted if neither surface protonation nor surface complexation of carbonate is 544 considered (Model B) (Figure 10c and d). The earlier breakthrough of pH with models A 545 and B leads to an earlier rise of Ca and trace metals concentrations, which does not fit the 546 measured data as well as the base-case model. It is however noteworthy to mention that 547 these observations are based on specific surface complexation reactions and equilibrium 548 sorption constants (Dzombak and Morel, 1990; Appelo et al., 2002), together with the 549 assumption that Fe(OH)<sub>3</sub>(s) is the dominant adsorbent with an amount calibrated based 550 on sediment titration data (Section 3.4)— Changing any of these model conditions might 551 change the model results described above.

#### 552

# 4.2.2. Sensitivity to calcite volume fraction and dissolution rate

553 In the geochemical model presented here, the calcite dissolution rate and the abundance 554 of calcite play the key role in determining the responses of most major and trace elements, 555 especially during the initial "pulse period" of the breakthrough curve. This is because 556 alkali and alkaline earth metal are released via cation exchange, which is directly driven 27

557 by the amount of Ca released by calcite dissolution (in other words, increasing the 558 amount of calcite in the model enhances the release of Ca and other metals). In this 559 sensitivity analysis, the calcite effective dissolution rate (r in Equation A1) was 560 increased by raising the specific surface area of calcite by two orders of magnitude. 561 When doing so, the higher calcite dissolution rate has an insignificant impact on pH 562 (Figure A9 in ESI), but leads to a higher peak value and narrower span of the initial Ca 563 concentration pulse (Figure 11a and b). The higher dissolution rate also leads to a faster 564 depletion of calcite. The resulting changes in trace metal (Ba, Mg, Mn, K, Li, Na and Sr) 565 concentrations (see Figure 11b using Ba as an example) follow the Ca trend, because the 566 change in Ca concentration is the driving force for the concentration changes in other 567 trace metals.

568 The current model relies on the dissolution and the subsequent depletion of a limited 569 amount of calcite to explain the pulse-like behavior in the breakthrough of Ca and some 570 major and trace elements. In the base case, the volume fraction of calcite was calibrated vielding a guite a small amount  $(8.6 \times 10^{-5})$ , dimensionless units), which is well below 571 XRD detection limits. Figure 11c shows model results for a sensitivity analysis with a 572 573 calcite volume fraction that is 10 times higher. The higher initial volume fraction of 574 calcite results in a higher Ca concentration peak, a wider span of the pulse, and also in 575 higher Ca concentrations during the recovery period. The concentration profiles of alkali 576 and alkaline earth metals are affected in a similar manner by the increased amount of 577 calcite because their profiles follow that of Ca (see Figure 11d for Ba as an example). 578 The higher initial volume fraction of calcite also leads to a much delayed breakthrough of 579 pH (see Figure A10 in ESI).

#### 580 **4.2.3.** Sensitivity to cation exchange capacity (CEC)

581 The base-case model relies on cation exchange reactions to explain the changes in 582 concentration of Ba, Mg, Mn, K, Li, Na and Sr. Here we vary the CEC value input in the 583 model to examine the effect of CEC on the concentration of relevant species. Figure 12a 584 shows the breakthrough curve of Ca at MW-1 and MW-3 calculated using CEC values 585 that are either twice as large, or half of the value used in the base-case model. Larger 586 CEC values result in more Ca residing in exchangeable sites. . Therefore, the 587 concentration of Ca in the aqueous phase is lower at larger CEC values (Figure 12a). 588 Conversely, it is higher at lower CEC values as less Ca is partitioned on exchangeable 589 sites (Figure 12a). Larger CEC values also mean that exchange sites would retain more 590 trace metals in the solid phase and therefore lead to lower concentration of trace metals in 591 aqueous phase, as exemplified with Ba (Figure 12b).

#### 592

#### 4.2.4. The Effect of Cation Exchange on Iron Concentrations

In the base case, the desorption of Fe from Fe(OH)<sub>3</sub>(s) surfaces is used to interpret 593 594 the initial pulse shown in the breakthrough curve of Fe. One question, however, is 595 whether Fe could be rather present in exchangeable surface sites, thus whether cation 596 exchange is rather the process that leads to the increase in Fe concentrations. In order to 597 test this hypothesis, we conducted a simulation (Model C in Figure 13a) in which Fe(II) 598 was included as an exchangeable cation and excluded from sorption sites. With this 599 conceptual model, the release of Fe via cation exchange with Ca is responsible for the 600 initial pulse of Fe. Figure 13a shows the model results of this simulation, using exchange equilibrium constants for Fe(II) from Appelo and Postma (1994). In this case, the 601

602 computed Fe concentrations are much lower than measured concentrations, therefore603 suggesting that exchangeable Fe alone does not provide a high enough Fe source.

604

# 605 **4.3. Enhancing Metal Release Near the Injection Wells**

606 The base-case model and the sensitivity simulations described above assume that 607 the source of trace elements resides in the aguifer, with Ca release by calcite dissolution 608 being the driving force on a series of cation exchange reactions. However, one concept 609 that cannot be completely ruled out is that the top and bottom clay layers bounding the 610 injection interval could be the source of released trace elements. Because the injection 611 well is screened beyond the interval of the sandy aquifer, the injected carbonated water 612 could infiltrate the top and bottom clay layers near the injection well and sweep off some 613 trace elements therein and carry them into the aquifer. Another possibility is simply that 614 the clay content of the aquifer near the injection well could be higher than at other 615 locations due to local heterogeneity. Without resorting to a 3D model, these cases can be 616 tested by either increasing the cation exchange capacity near the injection well, or by 617 increasing the calcite volume fraction in this area, as long as the calcite amount remains 618 low enough to drive more cation exchange (by Ca dissolution) without significantly affecting pH. For simplicity we chose the latter (Model D in Figure 13b), and increased 619 the volume fraction of calcite to  $3.5 \times 10^{-4}$  (dimensionless units) within a 4-meter radius 620 621 area around the injection well. Note that the total amount of calcite relative the affected 622 area in the aquifer is still too small to have a noticeable effect on the magnitude of pH 623 drop. Because the amount of metals loaded onto exchangeable sites is large enough to 624 account for the observed released concentrations, the amount of exchangeable Ca 625 produced by calcite dissolution is the main factor limiting the release of these metals. 626 Therefore, increasing the volume fraction of calcite near the injection well is equivalent 627 to increasing the source of metals at this location. Figure 13b shows the model results for 628 Ba when applying this concept (similar results for Ca are shown in Figure A11 in ESI). 629 In comparison to the base-case model, this case leads to overall better matches of the 630 measured data at MW-1, MW-2 and MW-4, but to a somewhat worse fit of the data at 631 MW-3. Although this concept leads to slightly worse fit of pH breakthrough (Figure A12 632 in ESI), the overall better match between measured and simulated data for this case 633 suggests that the top and bottom clay formations near the injection well, or a generally 634 increased amount of exchangeable metals at this location (from heterogeneous 635 distribution of clay minerals), could explain the observed decreasing pulse intensity of 636 dissolved metals concentrations away from the injections well.

#### 637

# 5. Summary and Conclusions

638 A controlled release field test was conducted with an extensive water quality 639 monitoring program during and after the injection of carbonated water, to mimic the effect of a potential leak of CO<sub>2</sub> from a deep storage site to a shallow aquifer. This field 640 641 test provided a great opportunity to evaluate and model potential reactive mechanisms 642 responsible for the release of metals in groundwater and strengthen our understanding of 643 the hydrogeological and chemical processes relevant to potential impacts on groundwater 644 quality at CO<sub>2</sub> geological sequestration sites. Reactive transport models have been 645 developed to interpret the concentration changes observed at four monitoring wells 646 during the field test. The breakthrough curves of major and trace elements at these monitoring wells show a pulse-like change during the carbonated water injection period, 647 31

followed by slowly increasing concentration levels during the post-injection period. A reasonable match between model results and field data indicate that this trend can be interpreted with a conceptual model that considers (a) a fast-reacting but limited pool of reactive minerals that respond quickly to changes in pH, to explain the pulse-like changes in metal concentrations, and (b) a slow-reacting but essentially unlimited mineral pool that yields rising concentrations upon decreased groundwater velocities after pumping and injection stopped.

655 For Ca, Ba, Mg, Mn, K, Li, Na and Sr, a reasonable agreement of model results with 656 observed data was obtained when the fast-reacting but limited pool was modeled as 657 calcite dissolution and Ca-driven cations exchange reactions, and the slow-reacting but 658 unlimited pool was modeled as the dissolution of plagioclase and longer-term Ca-driven 659 cation exchange. For Fe, best results were obtained when modeling fast desorption from 660 iron hydroxides ( $Fe(OH)_3(s)$ ) together with slow dissolution of amorphous iron sulfide; 661 similarly, good results for Si were obtained by considering fast desorption of Si from iron 662 hydroxides concomitant with slow dissolution of plagioclase. In our modeling study, 663 small finite amounts of fast-dissolving calcite were assumed to be the source of the initial 664 Ca pulse, although it should be noted that finite amounts of Ca and/or Mg desorbing from 665 organics or hydroxides would be expected to yield a similar pulse behavior.

A series of sensitivity analyses demonstrated that the initial calcite volume fraction, calcite dissolution rate and CEC value of the sediments are critical parameters to model the temporal changes in concentrations of Ca, Ba, Mg, Mn, K, Li, Na and Sr. The regional groundwater flow affects not only the time of breakthrough but also the concentration levels during the post-injection period. This is because the groundwater

residence time, which is inversely proportional to flow rate, has a direct effect on extent
of reaction, thus slow mineral dissolution becomes noticeable only under slow flow rates
(large residence times).

674 The most noticeable discrepancy between modeled and observed breakthrough 675 curves is that computed breakthroughs at the four monitoring wells show increasing pulse 676 peak concentrations at wells further away from the injection well (IW-1). In contrast, the 677 observed breakthrough at four monitoring wells show the reverse behavior, with 678 decreasing peak heights of breakthrough curves at larger distances away from IW-1. This 679 discrepancy is reduced when chemical spatial heterogeneity is considered in the model. 680 Essentially, the observed decreasing pulse peaks away from the injection well can be 681 reproduced by modeling a larger initial source of Ca and/or trace elements near the 682 injection well than farther away from it. This source term could result ofcarbonated water 683 contacting clays (such as the top and bottom aguitards near the injection well), or simply 684 a more abundant fast-release source of Ca (calcite, or possibly Ca-adsorbing organic 685 matter and/or hydroxides) near the injection well. Overall, this study further demonstrates 686 the importance of thorough field geochemical and hydrological characterization for 687 environmental risk assessments, covering both the CO<sub>2</sub> injection and post-injection timeperiods at CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration sites, and considering the important effect of groundwater 688 689 flow rate (residence time) on the magnitude of released metal concentrations.

### 690 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

691 This work was supported by the Electric Power Research Institute; the EPA, Office of692 Water, under an Interagency Agreement with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) at

LBNL, under contract number DE-AC02-05CH11231; and the Assistant Secretary for
Fossil Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL), National Risk
Assessment Program (NRAP), of the US Department of Energy under Contract No.
DEAC02-05CH11231.

- 697
- 698 **References**
- Appelo, C. J. A. and D. Postma 1994. Geochemistry, groundwater and pollution.
  Rotterdam, Netherlands, A.A.Balkema.
- Appelo CAJ, Van Der Weiden MJJ, Tournassat C, Charlet L. 2002. Surface
   complexation of ferrous iron and carbonate on ferrihydrite and the mobilization of
   arsenic. Environ Sci Technol. 36(14):3096-103.
- Apps JA, Zheng L, Zhang Y, Xu T, Birkholzer JT. 2010. Evaluation of groundwater
  quality changes in response to CO2 leakage from deep geological storage.
  Transport in Porous Media. 82(1):215-46.
- Bacon, D. H., N. P. Qafoku, Z. Dai, E. H. Keating and C. F. Brown 2016. Modeling the
  impact of carbon dioxide leakage into an unconfined, oxidizing carbonate aquifer.
  International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 44: 290-299.
- Cahill AG, Jakobsen R. 2013. Hydro-geochemical impact of CO2 leakage from
  geological storage on shallow potable aquifers: A field scale pilot experiment.
  International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control. (0).

- Cahill, A. G., P. Marker and R. Jakobsen 2014. Hydrogeochemical and mineralogical
  effects of sustained CO2 contamination in a shallow sandy aquifer: A field-scale
  controlled release experiment. Water Resources Research 50(2): 1735-1755.
- 716 Carroll S, Hao Y, Aines R. 2009. Geochemical detection of carbon dioxide in dilute
  717 aquifers. Geochemical Transactions. 10(4):1-18.
- Dafflon, B., Y. Wu, S. S. Hubbard, J. T. Birkholzer, T. M. Daley, J. D. Pugh, J. E.
  Peterson and R. C. Trautz 2013. Monitoring CO2 Intrusion and Associated
  Geochemical Transformations in a Shallow Groundwater System Using Complex
  Electrical Methods. Environmental Science & Technology 47(1): 314-321.
- Dzombak DA, Morel FMM. 1990. Surface complexation modeling-hydrous ferric oxide.
  New York: John wiley & sons.
- Carroll, S., Y. Hao and R. Aines 2009. Geochemical detection of carbon dioxide in dilute
   aquifers. Geochemical Transactions 10(4): 1-18.
- Harvey, O.R., Qafoku, N.P., Cantrell, K.J., Lee, G., Amonette, J.E., Brown, C.F. 2012.
- Geochemical implications of gas leakage associated with geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage, a
  qualitative review. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2013, 47, 23–36.
- Hou, Z., D. H. Bacon, D. W. Engel, G. Lin, Y. Fang, H. Ren and Z. Fang 2014.
  Uncertainty analyses of CO<sub>2</sub> plume expansion subsequent to wellbore CO<sub>2</sub>
  leakage into aquifers. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 27: 69-80.
- Humez P, Audigane P, Lions J, Chiaberge C, Bellenfant G. 2011. Modeling of CO2
  leakage up through an abandoned well from deep saline aquifer to shallow fresh
  groundwater. Transport in Porous Media. 90(1):153-81.

735	Johnson, J.W., Knauss, K.G., Glassley, W.E., DeLoach, L.D., Tompson A.F.B 1998.
736	Reactive transport modeling of plug-flow reactor experiments: quartz and tuff
737	dissolution at 240°C. Journal of Hydrology, 209 (1–4), 81–111.

- Jordan, N., N. Marmier, C. Lomenech, E. Giffaut and J.-J. Ehrhardt 2007. Sorption of
  silicates on goethite, hematite, and magnetite: Experiments and modelling.
  Journal of Colloid and Interface Science 312(2): 224-229.
- Kharaka, Y. K., D. R. Cole, S. D. Hovorka, W. D. Gunter, K. G. Knauss and B. M.
  Freifeld 2006. Gas-water-rock interaction in Frio Fromation following CO<sub>2</sub>
  injection: implications fro the storage of greenhouse gases in sedimentary basins.
  Geology 34: 577-580.
- Kharaka, Y.K., Thordsen, J.J., Hovorka, S.D., Nance, H.S., Cole, D.R., Phelps, T.J.,
  Knauss, K.G. 2009. Potential environmental issues of CO<sub>2</sub> storage in deep saline
  aquifers: geochemical results from the Frio-I Brine Pilot test, Texas, USA.
  Applied Geochemistry, 24, 1106–1112.
- Kharaka, Y.K, Thordsen, J.J., Kakouros, E., Ambats, G., Herkelrath, W.N., Birkholzer,
  J.T., Apps, J.A., Spycher, N.F., Zheng, L., Trautz, R.C., Rauch, H.W.,
  Gullickson, K. 2010. Changes in the chemistry of shallow groundwater related to
  the 2008 injection of CO<sub>2</sub> at the ZERT Field Site, Bozeman, Montana.
  Environmental Earth Sciences, 60 (2), 273–284.
- Kirk, M.F., Santillan, E.F., Sanford, R.E., Altman, S.J., 2013. CO2-induced shift in
  microbial activity affects carbon trapping and water quality in anoxic bioreactors.
  Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 122, 198–208.

- 757 Kirk, F.E., 2011. Variation in Energy Available to Populations of Subsurface Anaerobes
- in Response to Geological Carbon Storage. Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 6676–
  6682.Kirsch, K., Navarre-Sitchler, A.K., Wunsch, A., McCray, J.E. 2014. Metal
  release from sandstones under experimentally and numerically simulated CO<sub>2</sub>
  leakage conditions. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 1436–1442.
- Lasaga AC, Soler JM, Ganor J, Burch TE, Nagy KL. 1994. Chemical weathering rate
  laws and global geochemical cycles. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta.
  58:2361-8.
- Lawter, A., N. P. Qafoku, G. Wang, H. Shao and C. F. Brown 2016. Evaluating impacts
  of CO2 intrusion into an unconsolidated aquifer: I. Experimental data.
  International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 44: 323-333.
- Lemieux J-M. 2011. Review: The potential impact of underground geological storage of
  carbon dioxide in deep saline aquifers on shallow groundwater resources.
  Hydrogeol J. 19(4):757-78.
- 771 Little MG, Jackson RB. 2010. Potential Impacts of Leakage from Deep CO2
  772 Geosequestration on Overlying Freshwater Aquifers. Environmental Science &
  773 Technology.44(23):9225-32.
- Lu JM, Partin JW, Hovorka SD, Wong C. 2010. Potential risks to freshwater resources as
  a result of leakage from CO2 geological storage: a batch-reaction experiment.
  Environ Earth Sci; 60(2):335-48.
- Millington, R. J. and J. P. Quirk 1961. Permeability of porous solids. Transactions of the
  Faraday Society 57(0): 1200-1207.

- Numerical Dynamics, 2014. Multibase MS Excel add-in developed by Numerical
  Dynamics, Inc., 2-3-1 Marunouchi Chiyoda Tokyo
  Japan. NumericalDynamics.com.
- McGrath AE, Upson GL, Caldwell MD. 2007. Evaluation and Mitigation of Landfill Gas
  Impacts on Cadmium Leaching from Native Soils. Ground Water Monitoring &
  Remediation. 27(4):99-109.
- Pankow, J. F. and J. J. Morgan 1980. Dissolution of tetragonal ferrous sulfide
  (mackinawite) in anoxic aqueous systems. 2. Implications for the cycling of iron,
  sulfur, and trace metals. Environmental Science & Technology 14(2): 183-186.
- Plummer, L. N., Parkhurst, D. L., Wigley, T. M. L. Critical review of the kinetics of
  calcite dissolution and precipitation, in Chemical Modeling in aqueous System,
  Jenne E.; ACS Symposium Series; Ameridan Chemical Society: Washington, DC.
  1979.
- Palandri J, Kharaka YK. 2004. A compilation of rate parameters of water-mineral
  interaction kinetics for application to geochemical modeling: US Geol. Surv.
  Open File report 2004-1068.
- Peter A, Lamert H, Beyer M, Hornbruch G, Heinrich B, Schulz A, et al. 2012.
  Investigation of the geochemical impact of CO2 on shallow groundwater: design
  and implementation of a CO2 injection test in Northeast Germany. Environ Earth
  Sci. 67(2):335-49.
- Pruess, K., C. Oldenburg and G. Moridis 1999. TOUGH2 User's Guide, Version 2.0,
  Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA.

- Remy N., A. Boucher and J. Wu. 2009, Applied Geostatistics with SGeMS: A User's
  Guide. New York, Cambridge University Press.
- Smyth RC, Hovorka SD, Lu J, Romanak KD, Partin JW, Wong C, et al. 2009. Assessing
  risk to fresh water resources from long term CO2 injection-laboratory and field
  studies. Energy Procedia.1(1):1957-64.
- SNL (2007) Qualification of thermodynamic data for geochemical modeling of mineralwater interactions in dilute systems (data0.ymp.R5), Report ANL-WIS-GS000003 REV 01. Sandia National Laboratories, Las Vegas, Nevada, ACC:
  DOC.20070619.0007 (2007).
- Spangler, L. H., L. M. Dobeck, K. S. Repasky, et al. 2010. A shallow subsurface
  controlled release facility in Bozeman, Montana, USA, for testing near surface
  CO2 detection techniques and transport models. Environmental Earth Sciences
  60(2): 227-239.
- Trautz, R. C., J. D. Pugh, C. Varadharajan, L. Zheng, M. Bianchi, P. S. Nico, N. F.
  Spycher, D. L. Newell, R. A. Esposito, Y. Wu, B. Dafflon, S. S. Hubbard and J. T.
  Birkholzer 2013. Effect of Dissolved CO2 on a Shallow Groundwater System: A
  Controlled Release Field Experiment. Environmental Science & Technology
  47(1): 298-305.
- Varadharajan C, Tinnacher C, Pugh J, Trautz RC, Zheng L, Spycher NF, Birkholzer JT,
  Castillo-Michel H, Esposito RA, Nico PS, 2013. A laboratory study of the initial
  effects of dissolved carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) on metal release from shallow
  sediments, International Greenhouse Gas Control, 19(0): 183-211.

- Viswanathan H, Dai Z, Lopano C, Keating E, Hakala JA, Scheckel KG, et al. 2012.
  Developing a robust geochemical and reactive transport model to evaluate
  possible sources of arsenic at the CO2 sequestration natural analog site in
  Chimayo, New Mexico. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control.
  10(0):199-214.
- Wang S, Jaffe PR. 2004. Dissolution of a mineral phase in potable aquifers due to CO<sub>2</sub>
  releases from deep formations; effect of dissolution kinetics. Energy Conversion
  and Management.45:2833-48.
- Wei, Y., M. Maroto-Valer and M. D. Steven 2011. Environmental consequences of
  potential leaks of CO2 in soil. Energy Procedia 4(0): 3224-3230.
- Wilkin RT, Digiulio DC. 2010. Geochemical Impacts to Groundwater from Geologic
  Carbon Sequestration: Controls on pH and Inorganic Carbon Concentrations from
  Reaction Path and Kinetic Modeling. Environmental Science & Technology.
  44(12):4821-7.
- Wolery T. and Jove-Colon C., 2007. Qualification of Thermodynamic Data for
  Geochemical Modeling of Mineral-Water Interactions in Dilute Systems. ANLWIS-GS-000003 REV 01. Las Vegas, Nevada: Sandia National Laboratories.
  ACC: DOC.20070619.0007.
- Wunsch A., Navarre-Sitchler, A.K., Moore, J., McCray, J.E. 2014. Metal release from
  limestones at high partial-pressures of CO<sub>2</sub>. Chemical Geology 363, 40–55.
- Yang, C., P. J. Mickler, R. Reedy, B. R. Scanlon, K. D. Romanak, J.-P. Nicot, S. D.
  Hovorka, R. H. Trevino and T. Larson 2013. Single-well push-pull test for
  assessing potential impacts of CO2 leakage on groundwater quality in a shallow

- 846 Gulf Coast aquifer in Cranfield, Mississippi. International Journal of Greenhouse
  847 Gas Control 18(0): 375-387.
- Zheng L, Apps JA, Zhang Y, Xu T, Birkholzer JT. 2009. On mobilization of lead and
  arsenic in groundwater in response to CO2 leakage from deep geological storage.
  Chemical geology. 268(3-4):281-97.
- Zheng L, Apps JA, Spycher N, Birkholzer JT, Kharaka YK, Thordsen J, et al. 2012.
  Geochemical modeling of changes in shallow groundwater chemistry observed
  during the MSU-ZERT CO2 injection experiment. International Journal of
  Greenhouse Gas Control. 7(0):202-17.
- Zheng L, Spycher N, Birkholzer J, Xu T, Apps J, Kharaka Y. 2013. On modeling the
  potential impacts of CO2 sequestration on shallow groundwater: Transport of
  organics and co-injected H2S by supercritical CO2 to shallow aquifers.
  International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control. 14(0):113-27.
- Zheng, L., N. Spycher, C. Varadharajan, R. M. Tinnacher, J. D. Pugh, M. Bianchi, J.
  Birkholzer, P. S. Nico and R. C. Trautz 2015. On the mobilization of metals by
  CO2 leakage into shallow aquifers: exploring release mechanisms by modeling
  field and laboratory experiments. Greenhouse Gases: Science and Technology (5):
  1-16.
- Zheng, L., N. P. Qafoku, A. Lawter, G. Wang, H. Shao and C. F. Brown 2016.
  Evaluating impacts of CO2 intrusion into an unconsolidated aquifer: II. Modeling
  results. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 44: 300-309.
- Zhong, L., K. J. Cantrell, D. H. Bacon and J. Shewell 2014. Transport of organic
   contaminants mobilized from coal through sandstone overlying a geological
   41

869	carbon sequestration reservoir. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control
870	21: 158-164.

Xu T, Spycher N, Sonnenthal E, Zhang G, Zheng L, Pruess K. 2011. TOUGHREACT
Version 2.0: A simulator for subsurface reactive transport under non-isothermal
multiphase flow conditions. Computers & Geosciences. 37(6):763-74.

# 877 Appendix A

- Table A1. List of aqueous complexes used in the model and log(K)'s for reactions that
- are written with the primary species listed the first column
- 880

Primary	Aqueous	logK(25	Aqueous	logK(25	Aqueous	logK(25	Aqueous	logK(25
species	complex	°C)	complex	°C).	complex	°C).	complex	°C).
H⁺		23.107	CaCO₃(aq)	7.009	FeOH <sup>+2</sup>	2.205	Mg <sub>4</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub> <sup>+4</sup>	39.758
H <sub>2</sub> O	HSO5 <sup>-</sup>	17.29	CaCl⁺	0.297	FeSO <sub>4</sub> (aq)	-2.2	MgOH⁺	11.681
AIO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup>	S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> <sup>-2</sup>	133.549	CaCl <sub>2</sub> (aq)	0.654	FeSO4 <sup>+</sup>	-1.917	MgSO <sub>4</sub> (aq)	-2.22
Ba <sup>+2</sup>	SO3 <sup>-2</sup>	46.625	CaHCO₃⁺	-1.043	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> (aq)	16.626	Mn <sub>2</sub> (OH) <sup>3+</sup>	23.9
Ca <sup>+2</sup>	AI(SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	-27.104	CaOH⁺	12.834	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> (aq)	1.021	MnCl⁺	0.143
CI	Al <sub>13</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (OH) <sub>24</sub>	- 189.919	CaSO₄(aq)	-2.1	H <sub>2</sub> Se(aq)	-3.807	MnCl <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	0.772
Fe <sup>+2</sup>	Fe <sub>13</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (OH) <sub>24</sub>	58.85	Fe(CO <sub>3</sub> )2 <sup>-2</sup>	13.498	HAIO <sub>2</sub> (aq)	-6.596	MnHCO <sub>3</sub> ⁺	-0.442
HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	$AI_2(OH)_2^{+4}$	-36.717	Fe(OH)3 <sup>-</sup>	31	HFeO <sub>2</sub> (aq)	12.021	MnO(aq)	22.203
K⁺	Al <sub>3</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub> <sup>+5</sup>	-52.731	Fe(OH) <sub>4</sub> <sup>-2</sup>	46	HFeO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup>	29.202	MnO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-2</sup>	48.28
Li <sup>+</sup>	$AI_2(OH)_2CO_3^+$	-38.721	Fe(SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>2-</sup>	-3.214	HMnO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup>	34.796	MnO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup>	20.219
Mg <sup>+2</sup>	Al <sub>3</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub> HCO <sub>3</sub>	-58.025	Fe <sub>2</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> <sup>+4</sup>	2.95	HS <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	131.867	MnOH⁺	10.62
Mn <sup>+2</sup>	Fe <sub>2</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> CO <sup>3+</sup>	0.627	Fe <sub>3</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub> <sup>+5</sup>	6.3	HSO4 <sup>-</sup>	-1.975	MnSO₄(aq)	-1.903
Na⁺	Fe <sub>3</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub> HCO <sub>3</sub>	0.986	FeCO <sub>3</sub> (aq)	4.879	KCI(aq)	2.541	NaCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	9.814
SO4 <sup>-2</sup>	AIO <sup>+</sup>	-11.857	FeCl⁺	0.165	KHSO <sub>4</sub> (aq)	1.502	NaCl(aq)	0.782
SiO <sub>2</sub> (aq)	Al <sup>+3</sup>	-22.199	FeCl <sup>+2</sup>	-1.475	KOH(aq)	14.44	NaHCO₃(aq)	-0.149
Sr <sup>+2</sup>	AIOH <sup>+2</sup>	-17.2	AICI <sup>+2</sup>	-21.685	KSO4 <sup>-</sup>	-0.875	NaOH(aq)	14.206
Zn <sup>+2</sup>	AISO4 <sup>+</sup>	-25.214	FeCl <sub>2</sub> (aq)	8.181	LiCl(aq)	1.517	NaSO4 <sup>-</sup>	-0.696
HS	BaCO <sub>3</sub> (aq)	7.691	FeHCO <sub>3</sub> ⁺	-1.47	LiOH(aq)	13.65	OH	13.991
Fe <sup>+3</sup>	BaCl⁺	0.503	FeO(aq)	20.412	LiSO4	-0.77	SrCO <sub>3</sub> (aq)	7.47
O <sub>2</sub> (aq)	BaHCO <sub>3</sub> ⁺	-1.012	MgO(aq)	24.491	MgCO <sub>3</sub> (aq)	7.356	SrCl⁺	0.253
	BaOH⁺	13.502	CaO(aq)	24.851	MgCl⁺	0.139	SrHCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>+</sup>	-1.226
	CO <sub>2</sub> (aq)	-6.341	FeO <sup>+</sup>	5.652	MgHCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>+</sup>	-1.033	SrOH⁺	13.303
	CO3 <sup>-2</sup>	10.325	FeO <sub>2</sub>	21.618	FeOH⁺	9.315	SrSO <sub>4</sub> (aq)	-2.3

Table A2. Cation exchange reactions and selectivity coefficients, using the Gaines-Thomas convention (Appelo and Postma, 1994)

Cation exchange reaction	K <sub>Na/M</sub>
$Na^{+} + X-H = X-Na + H^{+}$	1
$Na^+ + X-K = X-Na + K^+$	0.2
Na <sup>+</sup> + 0.5X-Ca = X-Na + 0.5Ca <sup>+2</sup>	0.4
$Na^{+} + 0.5X-Mg = X-Na + 0.5Mg^{+2}$	0.45
Na <sup>+</sup> + 0.5X-Ba = X-Na + 0.5Ba <sup>+2</sup>	0.35
$Na^{+} + 0.5X-Mn = X-Na + 0.5Mn^{+2}$	0.55
$Na^++0.5X-Sr = X-Na + 0.5Sr^{+2}$	0.35
$Na^++X-Li = X-Li + Li^+$	1.1

884

Table A3. Surface complexation reactions and surface complexation constants (logK) on
ferrihydrite (double diffuse layer model) (Dzomback and Morel, 1990; Appelo et al.,

Surface complexation					
$HFO_sOH_2^+ = HFO_sOH + H^+$	-7.29				
$HFO_wOH_2^+ = HFO_wOH + H^+$	-7.29				
HFO_sO <sup>-</sup> + H <sup>+</sup> = HFO_sOH	8.93				
$HFO_wO^- + H^+ = HFO_wOH$	8.93				
$HFO_sOFe^+ + H_+ = HFO_sOH + Fe^{+2}$	0.95				
$HFO_wOFe^+ + H^+ = HFO_wOH + Fe^{+2}$	2.98				
$HFO_wOFeOH + 2H^+ = HFO_wOH + Fe^{+2} + H_2O$	11.55				
$HFO_wCO_2^- + H_2O = HFO_sOH + HCO_3^-$	-2.45				
$HFO_wCO_2H + H_2O = HFO_sOH + HCO_3^{-} + H^{+}$	-10.4				
$HFO_sH_3SiO_4 + 3H_2O = HFO_sOH + SiO2(aq)$	-2.75				
$HFO_sH_2SiO_4^{-} + 3H_2O + H^{+} = HFO_sOH + SiO2(aq)1.4$					

887 2002; Jordan et al., 2007)

A general form of rate law is implemented for the dissolution and precipitation of solidphases (Lasaga et al., 1994):

892

$$r = kA \left| 1 - \left(\frac{K}{Q}\right)^{\theta} \right|^{\eta}$$
(A1)

893

894 where *r* is the kinetic rate, *k* is the rate constant (mol/m<sup>2</sup>/s) which is temperature 895 dependent, *A* is the reactive surface area per kg water, *K* is the equilibrium constant for 896 the mineral–water reaction written for the dissolution of one mole of mineral, and *Q* is 897 the ion activity product of the dissolution reaction. Here, for simplicity, the exponents  $\theta$ 898 and  $\eta$  are assumed to be equal to 1. *A* is a function of the mineral specific surface area 899 (e.g., cm<sup>2</sup>/g mineral), the volume fraction and density of each mineral in the sediment, 800 and porosity.

901 The rate constant for calcite dissolution is given as a combination of neutral, acid and
902 carbonate mechanisms (Plummer and Parkhurst, 1979):

903

$$k_c = 1.5 \times 10^{-6} e^{-E_a^{nu}/RT} + 0.5 e^{-E_a^H/RT} a_H + 9.6 \times 10^{-5} e^{-E_a^{CO2}/RT} a_{CO2}$$
(A2)

904

905	where $E_a^{nu}$ , $E_a^H$ and $E_a^{CO2}$ are activation energies with values of 23.5, 14.4 and 35.4
906	(kJ/mol), respectively. The rate constant for the neutral, acid and carbonate mechanisms,
907	are respectively $1.5 \times 10^{-6}$ , 0.5 and $9.6 \times 10^{-5}$ . $a_H$ is the H <sup>+</sup> activity and $a_{CO2}$ is the activity
908	of dissolved CO <sub>2</sub> . In the model, the specific surface area of calcite (9.8 $\text{cm}^2/\text{g}$ ) was
909	calibrated, together with the initial calcite volume fraction, to match the breakthrough of
910	observed alkaline earth metals concentrations of Ca, Ba and Sr in MW-3. Assuming
911	spherical grains, the value of the calibrated surface area would correspond to the
912	geometric surface area of millimeter-sized grains. Because the product of the specific
913	surface area and volume fraction is of relevance to the reaction rate (not each value
914	individually), calibrated values of specific surface area or volume fraction should be
915	viewed as non-unique (co-linearly varying) values.
916	The specific surface areas and kinetic rates of minerals other than calcite are listed in
917	Table A4. Most rate constants were taken from Palandri and Kharaka (2004). The
918	dissolution rate constant of plagioclase (Ab80An20) was calibrated based on Ca
919	concentration changes observed at MW-3. The rate constant is higher than that of albite
920	but lower than that of anorthite (Palandri and Kharaka, 2004). The rate constant for
921	FeS_m was taken from Pankow and Morgan (1980). Specific surface areas for most
922	minerals were arbitrarily set to the same value as for calcite, except for FeS_m, for which
923	the surface area was increased (56 $\text{cm}^2/\text{g}$ ) to match Fe concentrations at MW-3.

- 925 Table A4. Kinetic properties for minerals considered in the model (see text for sources)

	Mineral	Α	Parameters for Kinetic Rate Law
--	---------	---	---------------------------------

	(cm²/g)	g) Neutral Mechanism		Acid Mechanism			Base Mechanism		
		k <sub>25</sub>	Ea	k <sub>25</sub>	Ea	n(H⁺)	k <sub>25</sub>	Ea	n(H⁺)
		(mol/m²/s)	(KJ						
			/mol)						
Primary:						<u> </u>			
Quartz	9.8	1.023×10 <sup>-14</sup>	87.7						
K-feldspar	9.8	3.89×10 <sup>-13</sup>	38	8.71×10 <sup>-</sup>	51.7	0.5	6.31×10 <sup>-</sup>	94.1	- 0.823
Ab80An20	9.8	1.95×10 <sup>-12</sup>	65	2.95×10 <sup>-</sup>	69.8	0.457			
Kaolinite	9.8	6.91×10 <sup>-14</sup>	22.2	4.89×10 <sup>-</sup>	65.9	0.777	8.91×10 <sup>-</sup>	17.9	- 0.472
Smectite-Ca	9.8	1.66×10 <sup>-13</sup>	35	1.05×10 <sup>-</sup>	23.6	0.34	3.02×10 <sup>-</sup>	58.9	-0.4
Illite	9.8	1.66×10 <sup>-13</sup>	35	1.05×10 <sup>-</sup>	23.6	0.34	3.02×10 <sup>-</sup>	58.9	-0.4
Fe(OH) <sub>3</sub> (s)	9.8	2.51×10 <sup>-15</sup>	66.2	8.7×10 <sup>-</sup>	66.2	1.0			
FeS_m	56.0	3.27×10 <sup>-7</sup>	0						
Secondary:									
Gypsum	9.8	1.62×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0						
Dolomite	9.8	2.51×10 <sup>-9</sup>	95.3	1.74×10 <sup>-</sup>	56.7	0.5			
Siderite	9.8	2.51×10 <sup>-9</sup>	95.3	1.74×10 <sup>-</sup>	56.7	0.5			
Witherite	9.8	2.51×10 <sup>-9</sup>	95.3	1.74×10 <sup>-</sup>	56.7	0.5			
Rhodochrosite	9.8	2.51×10 <sup>-9</sup>	95.3	1.74×10 <sup>-</sup>	56.7	0.5			
Strontianite	9.8	2.51×10 <sup>-9</sup>	95.3	1.74×10 <sup>-</sup>	56.7	0.5			
Dawsonite	9.8	1×10 <sup>-7</sup>	62.8						