



Swansea University
Prifysgol Abertawe



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in :
Glasgow Mathematical Journal

Cronfa URL for this paper:

<http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa30925>

Paper:

FERNÁNDEZ-VALÈNCIA, R. & GIAN SIRACUSA, J. (2017). ON THE HOCHSCHILD HOMOLOGY OF INVOLUTIVE ALGEBRAS. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, 1-12.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0017089516000653>

This article is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Authors are personally responsible for adhering to publisher restrictions or conditions. When uploading content they are required to comply with their publisher agreement and the SHERPA RoMEO database to judge whether or not it is copyright safe to add this version of the paper to this repository.

<http://www.swansea.ac.uk/iss/researchsupport/cronfa-support/>

ON THE HOCHSCHILD HOMOLOGY OF INVOLUTIVE ALGEBRAS

RAMSÈS FERNÁNDEZ-VALÈNCIA AND JEFFREY GIAN SIRACUSA

ABSTRACT. We study the homological algebra of bimodules over involutive associative algebras. We show that Braun's definition of involutive Hochschild cohomology in terms of the complex of involution-preserving derivations is indeed computing a derived functor: the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -invariants intersected with the center. We then introduce the corresponding involutive Hochschild homology theory and describe it as the derived functor of the pushout of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -coinvariants and abelianization.

1. INTRODUCTION

Hochschild cohomology is a cohomology theory for associative algebras that describes their deformation theory. Under mild hypotheses, the groups $HH^*(A, A)$ can be defined in any of the following equivalent ways:

- (1) the homology of the usual Hochschild cochain complex of A ,
- (2) the homology of the complex of coderivations on the tensor coalgebra of ΣA (or equivalently, the complex of continuous derivations on the completed tensor algebra of $\Sigma^{-1}A^\vee$).
- (3) the derived center of A ,

There is a corresponding Hochschild *homology* theory that can be defined as the derived abelianization of A or by writing down the usual Hochschild chain complex. The derived functor description is perhaps most fundamental and it is based on the fact that A -bimodules form an abelian category that can equivalently be described as the category of right modules over the enveloping algebra $A^e = A \otimes A^{op}$.

In [Bra14], Braun studied the Hochschild theory of involutive algebras (and A_∞ -algebras), meaning algebras equipped with a map $a \mapsto a^*$ such that $a^{**} = a$ and $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$. He introduced an involutive variant of Hochschild cohomology by restricting to the subcomplex of the derivation complex consisting of derivations that commute with the involution. The ordinary Hochschild cohomology of an involutive algebra splits as a sum of this involutive Hochschild cohomology and a skew factor (assuming the characteristic of the ground field is not 2).

The purpose of this short note is to develop enough homological algebra for bimodules over involutive algebras to give a derived functor description of Braun's involutive Hochschild cohomology. From this perspective we are also able to define the corresponding involutive Hochschild *homology* theory. One key novel feature of the involutive theory is that it is based on the abelian category of *involutive bimodules*. In contrast with the

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SWANSEA UNIVERSITY, SINGLETON PARK, SWANSEA SA2 8PP, UK

E-mail addresses: ramses.fernandez.valencia@gmail.com, j.h.giansiracusa@swansea.ac.uk.

Date: July 12, 2016.

non-involutive case, involutive bimodules are actually equivalent to modules over a certain semidirect product of the enveloping algebra with the group ring $k[\mathbb{Z}/2]$.

Our motivation for studying involutive Hochschild theory comes from the first author's work on unoriented topological conformal field theories. Costello [Cos07] showed that an open 2d oriented TCFT is essentially a Calabi-Yau A_∞ -algebra, and such a theory admits a universal extension to an open-closed theory with closed state space (the value of the functor on a circle) given by the Hochschild chain complex of the algebra of the open theory. In [FV15], this picture is extended to Klein (i.e., unoriented) 2d TCFTs: open theories now correspond to involutive Calabi-Yau A_∞ -algebras, and the closed state space of the universal open-closed extension turns out to be the involutive Hochschild chain complex of the open state algebra.

Acknowledgements. Both authors were supported by EPSRC grant EP/I003908/2.

2. INVOLUTIVE ALGEBRAS AND THEIR BIMODULES

2.1. Involutive algebras. Let k be a field. An *involutive vector space* is a vector space V (assumed to be over k) equipped with an automorphism of order 2, which we will usually write as $v \mapsto v^*$. I.e., it is a representation of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/2$. We let $iVect_k$ denote the category of involutive k -vector spaces and linear maps that commute with the involutions.

An *involutive k -algebra* is an involutive vector space A equipped with an associative and unital multiplication map $A \otimes_k A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$(ab)^* = b^*a^*$$

for any $a, b \in A$. Note that it follows automatically that $1^* = 1$ and $0^* = 0$.

Remark 2.1.1. An associative k -algebra is the same as a monoid in the monoidal category of vector spaces with tensor product. Although involutive vector spaces are the same as $\mathbb{Z}/2$ representations, and the tensor product \otimes_k gives this category a monoidal structure, involutive algebras are *not* the same as monoids in the monoidal category of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ representations; they are a restricted class of such monoids. The tensor product $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ also provides a monoidal product on the category, but involutive algebras are not monoids for this structure.

Example 2.1.2. (1) Any commutative algebra A becomes an involutive algebra when equipped with the identity as involution. More generally, any k -algebra map of order 2 fixing 1 makes A an involutive algebra.

(2) Let V be an involutive vector space and let $TV = \bigoplus_n V^{\otimes n}$ be the tensor algebra on V . The tensor algebra becomes an involutive algebra with involution given by

$$(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n)^* = v_n^* \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1^*.$$

(3) Let G be a discrete group. The group ring $k[G]$ is an involutive k -algebra with involution given by $g \mapsto g^{-1}$.

2.2. Involutive bimodules. First suppose that A is an associative k -algebra. An A -bimodule M is a k -vector space with left and right multiplication maps $A \otimes_k M \rightarrow M$ and $M \otimes_k A \rightarrow M$ that commute: $(a \cdot m) \cdot b = a \cdot (m \cdot b)$ for all $a, b \in A$ and $m \in M$. In category-theoretic terms, M is a bimodule for the monoid A in the monoidal category $(\mathcal{V}ect_k, \otimes_k)$. Equivalently, an A -bimodule is the same as a left module over the enveloping algebra $A^e = A \otimes_k A^{op}$.

Now let A be an involutive k -algebra. An *involutive A -bimodule* is a bimodule equipped with an involution satisfying the compatibility condition between the left and right actions and the involution.

$$(a \cdot m)^* = m^* \cdot a^*.$$

Note that, unlike the non-involutive case, here the left and right A -module structures determine each other, so an involutive bimodule is determined by a vector space equipped with both a left A -module structure and an involution, but there is a compatibility condition that these two structures must satisfy coming from the fact that the left and right A -module structures on a bimodule commute. This condition is:

$$(2.2.1) \quad b \cdot (a \cdot m^*)^* = b \cdot (m \cdot a^*) = (b \cdot m) \cdot a^* = (a \cdot (b \cdot m))^*$$

for $a, b \in A$ and m in an involutive bimodule M .

One can describe the category of involutive bimodules as a category of left modules as follows. Consider the algebra $A^{ie} := A^e \otimes k[\mathbb{Z}/2]$ with product defined by

$$(x \otimes \tau^i) \cdot (y \otimes \tau^j) = (x \cdot \tau^i(y)) \otimes \tau^{i+j},$$

where τ is the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ and it acts on $A^e = A \otimes A^{op}$ by $\tau(a \otimes b) = b^* \otimes a^*$. We call A^{ie} the *involutive enveloping algebra of A* .

Proposition 2.2.1. *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$A\text{-}i\text{Bimod} \cong A^{ie}\text{-Mod}.$$

Proof. The subalgebra of A^{ie} consisting of elements of the form $x \otimes 1$ is isomorphic to A^e . Given an A^{ie} -module M , the action of $A^e \subset A^{ie}$ defines an A -bimodule structure on M as usual. The action of the subalgebra $k[\mathbb{Z}/2]$ defines an involution on M , and this in fact yields an involutive bimodule since, by the associativity of the A^{ie} -action, multiplying by

$$(1 \otimes 1) \otimes \tau \cdot (a \otimes b) \otimes \tau = (b^* \otimes a^*) \otimes 1$$

is equal to multiplying first by $(a \otimes b) \otimes \tau$ and then by $(1 \otimes 1) \otimes \tau$. In terms of the induced bimodule structure and involution on M , this says that $(b^* m a^*)$ is equal to $(a m^* b)^*$, and hence M becomes an involutive bimodule.

Conversely, if M is an involutive bimodule then it becomes an A^e -module, its involution makes it a module over $k[\mathbb{Z}/2]$, and the compatibility relation $(a m b)^* = b^* m a^*$ says that the involution and bimodule structure combine to define an associative action of A^{ie} . \square

One sees that the forgetful functor

$$A\text{-}i\text{Bimod} \rightarrow A\text{-Bimod}.$$

is faithful; however, it fails to be conservative (meaning that there are involutive bimodules M and N that are not isomorphic in $A\text{-}i\text{Bimod}$, but they become isomorphic in $A\text{-Bimod}$), and hence it is not full. The following simple example illustrates this.

Example 2.2.2. Let $A = k$ with the trivial involution, so A -bimodules are just k -vector spaces, and involutive A -bimodules are just involutive vector spaces. Let $V = k^2$ with the trivial involution, and let $W = k^2$ with involution $(x, y)^* = (y, x)$. As bimodules (i.e., vector spaces), V and W are clearly isomorphic, but as involutive bimodules (i.e., involutive vector spaces) they are not.

If M and N are involutive A -bimodules, then we write $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-}i\text{Bimod}}(M, N)$ for the set of involutive A -bimodule homomorphisms from M to N , which is to say the set of bimodule homomorphisms that commute with the involutions. Both this and the set of bimodule homomorphisms are k -vector spaces and there is a natural linear inclusion map

$$\text{Hom}_{A\text{-}i\text{Bimod}}(M, N) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{A\text{-Bimod}}(M, N).$$

However, the vector space $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-}i\text{Bimod}}(M, N)$ also carries an involution $f \mapsto f^*$ defined by

$$f^*(m) = f(m)^*, \text{ or equivalently, } f(m^*).$$

2.3. Some functors and adjunctions. Let A be a k -algebra. We first recall the adjunction between A -bimodules and vector spaces. If M is an A -bimodule then we may consider the functor

$$\text{Hom}_{A\text{-Bimod}}(M, -) : A\text{-Bimod} \rightarrow \mathcal{Vect}_k.$$

Note that in the special case of $M = A \otimes_k A$ with the bimodule structure given by

$$a_1 \cdot (a_2 \otimes a_3) \cdot a_4 = a_1 a_2 \otimes a_3 a_4,$$

the functor $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-Bimod}}(A \otimes_k A, -)$ coincides with the forgetful functor sending a bimodule to its underlying vector space.

If V is a vector space and M is an A -bimodule then the vector spaces $M \otimes_k V$ and $\text{Hom}_k(M, V)$ have canonical A -bimodule structures induced from the bimodule structure on M . The functor

$$M \otimes_k (-) : \mathcal{Vect}_k \rightarrow A\text{-Bimod}$$

is left adjoint to $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-Bimod}}(M, -)$. A *free* bimodule is a bimodule in the essential image of $(A \otimes_k A) \otimes_k (-)$. When viewed as A^e -modules, they are free modules. In section 3.1 below we will discuss an analogous notion of free involutive bimodules.

We now turn to the involutive variant of the above. First suppose V and W are involutive vector spaces. While $V \otimes W$ has three involutions to choose from (from the involution on V , the involution on W , or both at the same time), the quotient $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2} W$ inherits a canonical involution

$$v \otimes w \mapsto v^* \otimes w = v \otimes w^*$$

(the involution on V is identified with the involution on W , and doing both involutions simultaneously becomes the identity). This is a special case of the fact that the tensor product of R -modules is again R -module when R is a commutative ring; here R is the group ring $k[\mathbb{Z}/2]$.

Now let A be an involutive algebra and M an involutive A -bimodule. We can regard $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-}i\text{Bimod}}(M, -)$ as a functor $A\text{-}i\text{Bimod} \rightarrow i\mathcal{Vect}_k$. Given an involutive vector space V , the involutive vector space

$$M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2} V$$

becomes an involutive A -bimodule since

$$\begin{aligned} (m \otimes v)^* \cdot a^* &= (m^* \otimes v) \cdot a^* \\ &= (m^* \cdot a^*) \otimes v \\ &= (a \cdot m)^* \otimes v \\ &= ((a \cdot m) \otimes v)^* = (a \cdot (m \otimes v))^*. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.3.1. *Let A be an involutive algebra and M and involutive A -bimodule. There is an adjunction of functors*

$$M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2} (-) : iVect \rightleftarrows A\text{-iBimod} : \text{Hom}_{A\text{-iBimod}}(M, -).$$

Proof. Let L be an involutive A -bimodule and V an involutive vector space. A morphism of A -bimodules $f : M \otimes_k V \rightarrow L$ is adjoint to a morphism of vector spaces $g : V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A\text{-Bimod}}(M, L)$. Now we claim that f descends to a morphism of involutive bimodules $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2} V \rightarrow L$ if and only if g factors through a morphism of involutive vector spaces

$$\tilde{g} : V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A\text{-iBimod}}(M, L).$$

I.e., we claim that

$$f(m \otimes v^*) = f(m^* \otimes v) = f(m \otimes v)^*$$

if and only if

$$g(v)(m)^* = g(v)(m^*) = g(v^*)(m).$$

To see this, first suppose that f descends to an involutive morphism. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(v)(m)^* &= f(m \otimes v)^* \\ &= f(m \otimes v^*) = f(m^* \otimes v) \\ &= g(v^*)(m) = g(v)(m^*), \end{aligned}$$

where the equalities on the first and third lines are due to f and g being adjoint, and the equalities on the second line come from the hypotheses on f . The verification of the other direction is just a permutation of the above sequence of steps. \square

We now turn our attention to the functor $\otimes_{A^{ie}}$. Given involutive bimodules M and N , $M \otimes_{A^{ie}} N$ is *a priori* a vector space. It can be described as the quotient of $M \otimes_{A^e} N$ by the vector subspace spanned by the elements

$$m^* \otimes n - m \otimes n^*$$

for $m \in M$ and $n \in N$, and so as with $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2} N$, it carries an involution: $(m \otimes n)^* = m^* \otimes n = m \otimes n^*$.

Proposition 2.3.2. *Given involutive bimodules M and N , let $\mathbb{Z}/2$ act on $M \otimes_A N$ by*

$$m \otimes n \mapsto m^* \otimes n^*.$$

Then there is an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$(M \otimes_A N)_{\mathbb{Z}/2} \cong M \otimes_{A^{ie}} N.$$

Proof. In $(M \otimes_A N)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ we have

$$[am \otimes n] = [(am)^* \otimes n^*] = [m^* a^* \otimes n^*] = [m^* \otimes a^* n^*] = [m \otimes na],$$

so $(M \otimes_A N)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is a quotient of $M \otimes_{A^{ie}} N$. On the other hand, $M \otimes_{A^{ie}} N$ is clearly a quotient of $(M \otimes_A N)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$, and so the two are isomorphic. \square

Summing up, we have functors

$$\begin{aligned} (-) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2} (-) &: i\mathcal{Vect}_k \times i\mathcal{Vect}_k \rightarrow i\mathcal{Vect}_k \\ \text{or } A\text{-}i\mathcal{Bimod} \times i\mathcal{Vect}_k &\rightarrow A\text{-}i\mathcal{Bimod}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(-) \otimes_{A^{ie}} (-) : A\text{-}i\mathcal{Bimod} \times A\text{-}i\mathcal{Bimod} \rightarrow i\mathcal{Vect}_k.$$

2.4. Center and Abelianization. We first recall the non-involutive setup. The *center* of an A -bimodule M is the vector subspace

$$Z(M) := \{m \in M \mid am = ma \text{ for all } a \in A\} \subset M;$$

it is a bimodule over the center of A , and is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-}i\mathcal{Bimod}}(A, M)$. The *abelianization* of M is the quotient vector space

$$Ab(M) := M / (am \sim ma \mid m \in M, a \in A),$$

which canonically has the structure of a A -bimodule and is naturally isomorphic to $A \otimes_{A^e} M$.

We now turn to the case of involutive bimodules. Let A be an involutive algebra and M an involutive A -bimodule. We define the *involutive center* of M to be the involutive vector space

$$iZ(M) := \text{Hom}_{A\text{-}i\mathcal{Bimod}}(A, M),$$

and we define the *involutive abelianization* of M to be the involutive vector space

$$iAb(M) := A \otimes_{A^{ie}} M.$$

Proposition 2.4.1. *The involutive center of M is naturally isomorphic to the pullback (i.e., intersection) of the involutive vector spaces*

$$Z(M) \hookrightarrow M \hookrightarrow M^{\mathbb{Z}/2}.$$

The involutive abelianization of M is naturally isomorphic to the pushout of the involutive vector spaces

$$Ab(M) \leftarrow M \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{Z}/2}.$$

Proof. A morphism of involutive A -bimodules $f : A \rightarrow M$ is entirely determined by $f(1)$, which must be an element in $Z(M)$ since $a \cdot f(1) = f(a) = f(1) \cdot a$ for any $a \in A$, and must be fixed by the involution since $1 \in A$ is fixed. This shows that $iZ(M)$ is contained in $Z(M) \cap M^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$. Conversely, sending $1 \in A$ to any element in this intersection uniquely extends to a well-defined bimodule morphism that clearly commutes with the involutions.

Now consider the pushout P of $Ab(M) \leftarrow M \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$. First observe that, by the universal property of the pushout, there is a natural map $P \rightarrow A \otimes_{A^{ie}} M$ sending $[m]$ to $[1 \otimes m]$. An inverse to this should send $[a \otimes m]$ to $[am]$, and it remains to check that this is well defined. This formula gives a map $f : A \otimes_{A^e} M \rightarrow P$, and it satisfies

$$f(a^* \otimes m) = [a^* m] = [ma^*] = [am^*] = f(a \otimes m^*),$$

so it descends to $A \otimes_{A^{ie}} M$, giving the desired inverse. \square

3. HOMOLOGICAL ALGEBRA

Let A be an involutive k -algebra. The categories of involutive vector spaces and involutive A -bimodules are abelian categories; this follows immediately from the identifications as module categories,

$$iVect_k \cong k[\mathbb{Z}/2]\text{-Mod} \quad \text{and} \quad A\text{-iBimod} \cong A^{ie}\text{-Mod}.$$

Hence we may talk about projective objects, chain complexes, and quasi-isomorphisms in each of these categories. In this section we will show that if A is projective as an involutive vector space then the usual construction of the bar resolution in fact provides a projective, and hence flat, resolution of A in the category of involutive bimodules.

3.1. Flat and projective involutive bimodules. Projective objects in $iVect_k$ and $A\text{-iBimod}$ are defined by the usual lifting property. As these are module categories, the usual characterization holds: an involutive vector space is projective if, viewed as a $k[\mathbb{Z}/2]$ -module, it is a direct summand of a free module, and an involutive bimodule is projective if and only if, when viewed as a A^{ie} -module, it is a direct summand of a free module. For the purposes of this paper we will not need to give a more concrete characterization of projective involutive bimodules.

Remark 3.1.1. If the characteristic of k is different from 2 then every finite dimensional involutive vector space (i.e., $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -representation) is projective by Maschke's Theorem. In characteristic 2 a finite dimensional involutive vector space is projective if and only if it does not contain the trivial representation of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ as a direct summand. This is because every finite dimensional $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -representation splits as a sum of copies of the trivial representation and the regular representation, which is indecomposable. While the trivial representation is a subrepresentation of the regular representation, it is not a direct summand, nor is it a summand of any number of copies of the regular representation, and hence it is not projective, nor is anything that contains it as a summand.

Proposition 3.1.2. *Let A be an involutive algebra and V an involutive vector space. Considering A^{ie} as a $k[\mathbb{Z}/2]$ -bimodule by the inclusion $k[\mathbb{Z}/2] \hookrightarrow A^{ie}$, we have an isomorphism of vector spaces*

$$A^{ie} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2} V \cong A^e \otimes_k V,$$

and under this identification, the involution on the left (coming from the left action of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ on A^{ie}) corresponds with $(a \otimes b \otimes v)^* = b^* \otimes a^* \otimes v^*$.

Proof. We have an isomorphism of vector spaces,

$$A^{ie} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2} V = A^e \otimes k[\mathbb{Z}/2] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2} V \cong A^e \otimes_k V,$$

and one easily checks that this is in fact an isomorphism of A^e -modules, i.e., A -bimodules. This isomorphism is defined by sending $(a \otimes b \otimes \tau) \otimes v^* = (a \otimes b \otimes 1) \otimes v$ to $(a \otimes b) \otimes v$, for $a \otimes b \in A^e$ and $v \in V$.

It remains to examine the involution. The involution on $A^{ie} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2} V$, given by left multiplication by τ , is

$$(a \otimes b \otimes \tau^i) \otimes v \mapsto (b^* \otimes a^* \otimes \tau^{i+1}) \otimes v = (b^* \otimes a^* \otimes \tau^i) \otimes v^*.$$

Thus this corresponds to the involution $(a \otimes b) \otimes v \mapsto (b^* \otimes a^*) \otimes v^*$ on $A^e \otimes_k V$. \square

Proposition 3.1.3. *Let V be a projective involutive vector space. The involutive bimodule $A^{ie} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2} V$ is projective.*

Proof. We make use of the identification from Proposition 3.1.2. Bimodule homomorphisms $f : A^e \otimes_k V \rightarrow N$ are in bijection with linear maps $g : V \rightarrow N$ via the correspondence

$$g(v) = f(1 \otimes v \otimes 1), \text{ and } f(a \otimes v \otimes b) = ag(v)b.$$

Moreover, f commutes with the involutions if and only if g does. Thus, to lift f along a surjection $M \rightarrow N$ of involutive bimodules, it suffices to produce a lift of g in the category of involutive vector spaces, and such a lift exists since V is projective. \square

An involutive bimodule M is *flat* if it is flat as an A^{ie} -module; equivalently, it is flat if the functor $M \otimes_{A^{ie}} : A\text{-iBimod} \rightarrow i\mathcal{V}ect$ is exact. As usual, if M is a projective involutive bimodule then it is flat.

3.2. The bar resolution as an involutive resolution. First recall the classical bar resolution of an associative algebra A . We write $Bar(A)$ for the chain complex of bimodules whose degree n part is $A^{\otimes_k(n+2)}$. This has the bimodule structure given by $a \cdot (a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1}) \cdot b = aa_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1}b$ and in particular, it is free, and hence projective as a bimodule. The differential is defined by the formula

$$d(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1}.$$

The bar resolution of A is augmented by the multiplication map $Bar_0(A) = A \otimes_k A \rightarrow A$. Let ΣA denote the graded vector space consisting of A concentrated in degree 1, and write $T\Sigma A = \bigoplus_n (\Sigma A)^{\otimes n}$ for the tensor coalgebra with grading induced from that of ΣA . Regarding $T\Sigma A$ as a vector space, there is an isomorphism of graded bimodules

$$Bar(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} A^e \otimes_k T\Sigma A$$

given by $a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1} \mapsto (a_0 \otimes a_{n+1}) \otimes (a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n)$. I.e., $Bar(A)$ is the free graded A -bimodule generated by the underlying vector space $T\Sigma A$.

Now suppose that A is an involutive algebra. In this case $T\Sigma A$ has an involution given by $(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n)^* = a_n^* \otimes \cdots \otimes a_1^*$. The bar resolution has an involution given by

$$(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1})^* = a_{n+1}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes a_0^*,$$

and so we see that $Bar(A) \cong A^e \otimes_k T\Sigma A \cong A^{ie} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2} T\Sigma A$ is actually an isomorphism of involutive graded bimodules. One can easily check that the differential in $Bar(A)$ commutes with the involutions. Hence we have:

Proposition 3.2.1. *If A is an involutive algebra that is projective as an involutive vector space then the complex $Bar(A)$ is a projective resolution of A as an involutive bimodule.*

Proof. This follows directly from Proposition 3.1.3. \square

3.3. Involutive Hochschild homology and cohomology. Involutive Hochschild cohomology has been defined in [Bra14] as the cohomology of the complex of involution preserving coderivations (actually, he dualizes and then works with derivations). We instead define involutive Hochschild homology and cohomology as the derived functors of involutive abelianization and involutive center.

We propose the following definitions.

Definition 3.3.1. The involutive Hochschild homology $iHH_*(A, M)$ of an involutive algebra A with coefficients in an involutive bimodule M is the left derived functor of $iAb : A\text{-}iBimod \rightarrow iVect$ evaluated on M . Similarly, the involutive Hochschild cohomology $iHH^*(A, M)$ is the right derived functor of iZ evaluated on M .

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} HH_*(A, M) &= \text{Tor}_*^{A^{ie}}(A, M) \\ HH^*(A, M) &= \text{Ext}_{A^{ie}}^*(A, M). \end{aligned}$$

When A is projective as an involutive vector space, then by Proposition 3.2.1 the usual bar resolution in fact provides a resolution in the involutive setting, and so $iHH_*(A, M)$ and $iHH^*(A, M)$ can be computed by the complexes

$$\text{Bar}(A) \otimes_{A^{ie}} M = iAb(\text{Bar}(A) \otimes_A M)$$

and

$$\text{Hom}_{A\text{-}iBimod}(\text{Bar}(A), M)$$

respectively.

The standard Hochschild chain complex, $C_*(A, M)$, is the abelianization of the A -bimodule $\text{Bar}(A) \otimes_A M$, or equivalently it is $\text{Bar}(A) \otimes_{A^e} M$, and this has the familiar description

$$(3.3.1) \quad C_n(A, M) \cong A^{\otimes n} \otimes_k M,$$

with differential

$$\begin{aligned} d : a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \otimes m &\mapsto a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \otimes ma_1 \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \\ &\quad + (-1)^n a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1} \otimes a_n m. \end{aligned}$$

If A is an involutive algebra (that is projective as an involutive vector space) and M is an involutive bimodule then the involutive Hochschild homology is computed by the complex $\text{Bar}(A) \otimes_{A^{ie}} M$, and by Proposition 2.3.2, this is the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -coinvariants of $\text{Bar}(A) \otimes_{A^e} M$ for the action given by $a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1} \otimes m \mapsto a_{n+1}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n^* \otimes m^*$. Under the identification in (3.3.1), the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action on $\text{Bar}(A) \otimes_A M$ corresponds to

$$a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \otimes m \mapsto a_n^* \otimes \cdots \otimes a_1^* \otimes m^*.$$

We thus have the following result.

Proposition 3.3.2. *If A is an involutive algebra that is projective as an involutive vector space, and M is an involutive bimodule, then $iHH_*(A, M)$ is computed by the complex $iC_*(A, M)$ defined as*

$$\begin{aligned} iC_n(A, M) &= A^{\otimes n} \otimes M / (a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \otimes m - a_n^* \otimes \cdots \otimes a_1^* \otimes m^*) \\ &\cong C_n(A, M)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}, \end{aligned}$$

with differential induced by the usual Hochschild differential.

The $\mathbb{Z}/2$ action on $C_*(A, M)$ induces an action on $HH_*(A, M)$.

Proposition 3.3.3. *If the characteristic of the ground field k is different from 2 then*

$$iHH_*(A, M) = HH_*(A, M)_{\mathbb{Z}/2}.$$

Proof. This is immediate from the fact that taking $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -coinvariants is exact when the characteristic is not equal to 2. \square

4. COMPARISON WITH BRAUN'S DEFINITION

We now compare our definition of involutive Hochschild cohomology with Braun's definition and show that they agree when for involutive algebras that are projective as involutive vector spaces.

4.1. Derivations and coderivations. Given a graded algebra A , let $\text{Der}(A)$ denote the space of graded derivations of A into itself, and given a coalgebra C , let $\text{Coder}(C)$ denote the space of coderivations of C into itself. If A is an involutive algebra then we write $i\text{Der}(A)$ for the subspace of involution-preserving derivations, and likewise for the notation $i\text{Coder}(C)$ if C carries an involution. The spaces of derivations and coderivations are graded Lie algebras with respect to the commutator bracket, and the subspaces $i\text{Der}(A)$ and $i\text{Coder}(A)$ are Lie subalgebras.

If V is a graded involutive vector space then the tensor algebra TV carries an involution given by

$$(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n)^* = v_n^* \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1^*.$$

If A is an associative algebra then the multiplication map induces a coderivation m on $T\Sigma A$ of degree -1 and a derivation m' on $\widehat{T\Sigma}^{-1}A^\vee$ also of degree -1 . If A is an involutive algebra then m and m' are both involution-preserving. The commutator $[m, -]$ defines a differential on $i\text{Coder}(T\Sigma A)$, and likewise for the space $i\text{Der}(\widehat{T\Sigma}^{-1}A^\vee)$ of continuous involution-preserving derivations on the completed tensor algebra.

Braun defines the involutive Hochschild cohomology to be the cohomology computed by the complex

$$\Sigma^{-1}i\text{Der}(\widehat{T\Sigma}^{-1}A^\vee).$$

Since $T\Sigma A$ dualizes to $\widehat{T\Sigma}^{-1}A^\vee$, algebra derivations on the latter are the same as coalgebra coderivations on the former, and hence there is an isomorphism of complexes

$$\Sigma^{-1}i\text{Der}(\widehat{T\Sigma}^{-1}A^\vee) \cong \Sigma^{-1}i\text{Coder}(T\Sigma A).$$

As we have seen, the bar resolution provides a resolution in the involutive category, and this next proposition confirms that our derived functor definition of Hochschild cohomology agrees with Braun's definition.

Proposition 4.1.1. *There is a canonical isomorphism of complexes,*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{A\text{-}i\mathcal{B}imod}(Bar(A), A) \cong \Sigma^{-1}i\mathrm{Coder}(T\Sigma A).$$

Proof. Since $Bar(A) \cong A \otimes_k T\Sigma A \otimes_k A$, the degree n part of $\mathrm{Hom}_{A\text{-}i\mathcal{B}imod}(Bar(A), A)$ is the space of degree $-n$ linear maps $T\Sigma A \rightarrow A$, which is isomorphic to the space of degree $(-n-1)$ linear maps $T\Sigma A \rightarrow \Sigma A$. By the universal property of the tensor coalgebra, there is a bijection between degree $(-n-1)$ linear maps $T\Sigma A \rightarrow \Sigma A$ and degree $(-n-1)$ coderivations on $T\Sigma A$. Hence the degree n part of $\mathrm{Hom}_{A\text{-}i\mathcal{B}imod}(Bar(A), A)$ is isomorphic to the degree n part of $\Sigma^{-1}\mathrm{Coder}(T\Sigma A)$. One now checks directly that this isomorphism restricts to an isomorphism of graded vector spaces

$$i\mathrm{Hom}_{A\text{-}i\mathcal{B}imod}(Bar(A), A) \cong \Sigma^{-1}i\mathrm{Coder}(T\Sigma A).$$

With a bit of tedious but straightforward algebra one can check that the differentials coincide under the above isomorphism, cf. [LV12, §12.2.4]. \square

REFERENCES

- [Bra14] Christopher Braun, *Involutive A -infinity algebras and dihedral cohomology*, J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. **9** (2014), 317–337.
- [Cos07] K. Costello, *Topological conformal field theories and Calabi-Yau categories*, Adv. Math. **210** (2007), no. 1, 165–214.
- [FV15] Ramsès Fernández-València, *On the structure of unoriented topological conformal field theories*, arXiv:1503.02465, submitted, 2015.
- [LV12] Jean-Louis Loday and Bruno Vallette, *Algebraic operads*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 346, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.