



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in : *Desalination*

Cronfa URL for this paper: http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa30863

Paper:

Powell, L., Hilal, N. & Wright, C. (2017). Atomic force microscopy study of the biofouling and mechanical properties of virgin and industrially fouled reverse osmosis membranes. *Desalination, 404*, 313-321. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2016.11.010

This article is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Authors are personally responsible for adhering to publisher restrictions or conditions. When uploading content they are required to comply with their publisher agreement and the SHERPA RoMEO database to judge whether or not it is copyright safe to add this version of the paper to this repository. http://www.swansea.ac.uk/iss/researchsupport/cronfa-support/

Atomic Force Microscopy study of the biofouling and mechanical properties of virgin and industrially fouled reverse osmosis membranes.

L.C.Powell¹, N.Hilal², C.J. Wright¹*

¹ Biomaterials, Biofouling and Biofilms Engineering Laboratory (B³EL),The Systems and Process Engineering Centre (SPEC) College of Engineering, Swansea University, Fabian Way, Swansea.

²Centre for Water Advanced Technologies and Environmental Research (CWATER), College of Engineering, Swansea University, Fabian Way, Swansea SA1 8EN, UK

*Corresponding Author <u>c.wright@swansea.ac.uk</u>

1 Abstract

2

3 The mechanical properties of virgin and industrially fouled reverse osmosis membranes 4 (composite polyamide) used for the purification and desalination of seawater in desalination 5 processes were characterised using novel atomic force microscopy (AFM) methods. 6 Polymeric surface elasticity has previously been demonstrated to strongly affect the adhesion of bacteria; hence the study examined membrane surface elasticity to demonstrate how AFM 7 8 can be used to assess the bio-fouling potential of membranes. An AFM colloid probe 9 technique was used to determine the mechanical properties of the membrane, the adhesion 10 forces and the work of adhesion at the membrane surfaces. The mean values of Young's 11 modulus for the virgin membrane decreased in magnitude with increasing pH values, where 12 these values were significantly different (p<0.017) between both pH 3 (1450kPa), pH7 (1327kPa) and pH 9 (788kPa). These differences were attributed to differences in membrane 13 14 swelling and indicate possible control parameters that could be exploited to improve 15 membrane cleaning regimes. A membrane with a higher modulus will be stronger and 16 potentially more resistant to chemical and physical processes during operation and cleaning. 17 Significant differences (p<0.017) in force measurements were also found between different electrolytic conditions for each of the membranes, where for the virgin membrane the 18 19 adhesion force values were 6.00nN at pH 3, 1.77nN at pH 7 and 0.98N at pH 9, and also the 20 work of adhesion were 153.6nJ at pH 3, 22.8nJ at pH 7 and 9.9nJ at pH 9 in 0.6M NaCl. 21 These observations further confirm the importance of the electrolytic environment on the 22 nanoscale interactions of the membrane which should be considered to control fouling during 23 operation and cleaning cycles. AFM images and streaming potential measurements of virgin 24 and fouled membranes were also obtained to aid analysis of the industrial membrane system.

25	The novel application of AFM to membranes to measure Young's moduli and work of
26	adhesion is a new addition to the AFM tools that can be used to unravel separation processes
27	at the membrane surface. In addition, this study further demonstrates that AFM force
28	spectroscopy can be used as part of a sophisticated membrane autopsy procedure for the
29	elucidation of the mechanisms involved in membrane fouling.

- 31 Key Words: Membrane; Reverse Osmosis; Biofouling; Biofilm; Atomic Force Microscopy.

33 **1. Introduction**

34 Membrane separation is now an established technology that has been applied for the 35 production of ultra-pure water by the purification and desalination of seawater using reverse 36 osmosis (RO) membranes. However, membrane filtration processes are commonly impeded 37 by membrane fouling, which leads to considerable technical problems, such as reduction in 38 water product quality and requirement for higher pressure. The life span of the membrane is 39 also shortened by fouling and the subsequent need for cleaning processes [1,2]. A major 40 contributing factor to membrane fouling within industry is the formation of microbial 41 biofilms at the surface of the membrane [3,4]. Desalination plants can use a number of 42 strategies for the prevention and control of membrane fouling, which include the use of pre-43 treatment units for the removal of organic and inorganic dissolved substances and the 44 cleaning of the membrane by either back-washing or chemical wash [5]. To augment these 45 strategies many studies have focussed on the membrane fabrication stage to reduce fouling 46 and optimise the membrane processes [6,7]. Thus, a greater understanding of the physical, chemical and biological processes regulating biofilm formation and development is required 47 48 to aid in developing new strategies to inhibit or control the biofilm membrane fouling.

49 Atomic force microscopy (AFM) has become an essential tool for the membrane 50 technologist optimising separation processes through greater understanding of fouling 51 mechanisms [8-10]. This versatile instrument not only produces high resolution images of the 52 membrane surface in process relevant environments but can also be used to quantify the 53 forces acting at a membrane surface which govern fouling processes. Force is measured as a 54 function of distance when a probe attached to the apex of the AFM cantilever, approaches the 55 sample, makes contact and then retracts away from the sample. The displacement is varied using the extension and retraction of a piezoelectric crystal. The deflection of the cantilever is 56 57 monitored and converted into values of force using Hooke's Law, calibration of the spring

58 constant and deflection sensitivity [11]. Force measurement data presented in the form of a 59 force-distance curve, as shown in Figure 1, can provide valuable information on interactions 60 forces and local material properties such as elasticity, hardness, adhesion and surface charge 61 densities. The local mechanical properties of a membrane or fouling layer indicate the resilience of the material to chemical and physical processes. For polymer membranes a 62 63 harder surface may have reduced wear compared to softer membranes during operation and 64 cleaning cycles [12]. A foulant layer which has low mechanical robustness will be more 65 susceptible to hydrodynamic shear applied during cleaning procedures. The mechanical 66 properties of a membrane or a thin film can be studied by measuring force-distance curves 67 obtained by AFM and then analysing force data through a chosen mechanical theory which 68 considers the contact and indentation of two surfaces to obtain a value of Young's modulus. 69 AFM imaging can be used to identify changes in nanoscale morphology and surface 70 roughness when a foulant layer forms on a membrane surfaces. AFM force-distance curves 71 can be used to measure changes in the mechanical properties at the membrane surface when 72 the membrane-fouling layer system forms.

73 AFM imaging has been used to study the surface roughness and pore size distribution of many types of membrane, the distribution of fouling materials at a membrane surface before 74 75 and after cleaning processes [13,14] and also to study the early bacterial colonisation events 76 that lead to biofilm formation at nanofiltration membranes [15]. The AFM force-77 measurement capability has been used to study interactions that govern separation processes 78 including particle/bubble interactions [16] and particle/membrane interactions to provide an 79 assessment of the fouling potential of membranes [17,18]. The bulk mechanical properties of membranes, such as polybenzimidazole (PBI), have been investigated for the determination 80 81 of tensile strength, storage modulus and Young's modulus, using techniques such as dynamic 82 mechanical analysis (DMA) [19,20]. However, the local mechanical properties of the

83 polymer, at the membrane surface, vary from the bulk of the polymer, and it is the local 84 properties that act at the commensurate size of microbes which will influence the microbial 85 The AFM nano-indentation technique allows for a simple, convenient attachment. 86 measurement of local Young's modulus of membranes. Franceschini and Corti [21] determined the elastic moduli of nafion, PBI and poly [2, 5-benzimidazole] membranes, both 87 88 undoped and phosphoric acid doped, using AFM force spectroscopy. AFM nano-indentation 89 has also been used to measure the Young's modulus and hardness of various polymers, such 90 as polyethylene, polyvinyl alcohol, polyvinyl chloride, polycarbonate, Nylon6, poly(methyl 91 methacrylate), polystyrene and polyacrylic acid [22,23]. However, there is a limited 92 literature on the membrane elasticity of commercially available membranes, and it is only 93 recently that Chung et al. [24] reported the first measurement of the Young's modulus of the 94 active layer of RO membrane using a combined wrinkling-cracking methodology.

95 Many studies that have used AFM to characterise virgin and fouled membrane surfaces have 96 only measured adhesive forces and have been limited as they have used small numbers of 97 AFM force-distance measurements to characterise the surfaces. Recent developments in 98 AFM technology and its associated data capture now permit the measurement of multiple 99 force measurements across a surface and the creation of force measurement distributions. 100 This has enabled statistical analysis and improved comparison between different surface 101 systems including fouled membranes [13, 25].

AFM has been used extensively for the study of bacteria but only a few researchers have used this technique to study biofilms [26,27]. AFM imaging has provided high resolution images of the bacterial cell surface and AFM force measurement has been used to quantify bacterial cell-surface adhesion. The AFM nanoindentation technique allows the measurement of substrate elasticity and is being used to unravel the fundamental processes involved in the attachment of cells and bacteria to elastic surfaces. Recently substrate elasticity has emerged 108 as an important physical factor in the response of many cell types to surfaces. Some research 109 groups consider that the elasticity of a substrate will have an effect on the cell rigidity; 110 therefore the stiffness of a substrate is intrinsic to the cells response of attachment and growth 111 [28-30]. A few studies were performed on the mechanical properties of bacterial cells grown 112 on soft elastic surfaces. Bakker et al. [28] investigated the deposition of three marine strains, 113 Halomonas pacifica, Marinobacter hydrocarbonoclasticus and Psychrobacter sp. onto 114 polyurethane coated glass with varying elastic modulus in a stagnation point flow chamber. It 115 was shown that the bacteria adhered in higher numbers to hard surfaces compared to that at 116 surface coatings of lower elastic moduli. Lichter et al. [30], engineered weak polyelectrolyte 117 multilayered (PEM) thin films within the elasticity range 1MPa < E< 100MPa to investigate 118 if surface elasticity affects bacterial adhesion. The adhesion of viable Staphylococcus 119 epidermidis and Eschericia coli was found to correlate positively with increasing elastic 120 modulus of PEM, independently of surface roughness, surface interaction energy and surface 121 charge density of the surface. These studies demonstrate that the stiffness of nanoscale 122 polymeric substrata can strongly affect the adhesion of bacteria from aqueous suspensions 123 and that the measurement of local mechanical properties of a substrate and bacterial cells are 124 required for the understanding of cell responses on surfaces [29]. Oh et al. [31] have also observed that the formation of a bio-fouling layer (biofilm) is strongly dependent on the 125 126 mechanical characteristics of the solid substrate. Therefore, membrane elasticity is a key 127 factor in bacterial cell attachment and hence biofilm formation, where force measurements 128 could provide an assessment of membrane bio-fouling potential within process environments. Therefore, in the present study a comprehensive AFM force measurement investigation was 129 130 performed on both virgin and industrially fouled commercial RO membrane surfaces; the 131 membrane samples were removed from a desalination plant, for pre-treated seawater, that was experiencing fouling. This was to determine the micromechanical properties of the 132

133 systems as indicators of their propensity for biofouling and also for the development of 134 fouling control regimes for optimisation of membrane processes and water treatment. This 135 was achieved through obtaining Young's modulus values, adhesion force and work of 136 adhesion at different pH values and in media containing the salt content of seawater. Surface charge measurements were also performed on the membranes surfaces to further examine the 137 138 processes of adhesion. It is the first time, to the author's knowledge, that AFM 139 nanoindentation experiments have been performed on RO membranes and on industrially 140 fouled membranes for the measurement of elasticity properties.

141 **2. Materials and Methods**

142 **2.1 Membrane preparation**

The membrane element, SWC3+, used was obtained from Hydranautics (Nitto Denko 143 144 company). The membrane polymer is composite polyamide and has a nominal salt rejection 145 of 99.8%. An industrially fouled section of the membrane element, SWC3+, was obtained 146 from the Fujairah Water and Power plant, located in the United Arab Emirates (UAE). The 147 fouling was known to be bacterial in origin; a culture independent method based on the 16S 148 rDNA sequence and constructed gene libraries for the identification of the microbial diversity 149 was used to identify the most significant bacteria responsible for biofilm formation and 150 biofouling at the industrial RO membrane system of the present study [3]. Proteobacteria 151 was determined to be the most abundant identified group, with *y*-Proteobacteria being the 152 most predominant class within the phylum. The next most abundant grouping was the 153 Bacterioidetes and Plantomycetes. The fouled membrane section was stored at -20°C until 154 used. Storage may impact on the structure of the foulant layer, this is a problem that is innate 155 to all such studies which remove bio-fouled samples from a process environment to facilitate 156 membrane study. However, in this case the thin foulant film may be protected by the

157 underlying substrate membrane. Prior to AFM analysis, the virgin and industrially fouled 158 membrane samples were soaked in deionised water at 4° C for 24 hours, taking care not to 159 disrupt the foulant layer present on the industrially fouled membrane. In preparation for 160 imaging after 24 hours of initial soaking, the virgin and industrially fouled membrane 161 samples were left to dry then cut into small sections of 15 mm² within a sterile environment 162 and attached to one side of a glass cover slip using double sided adhesive tape.

In preparation for AFM force measurements, the virgin and industrially fouled membrane samples were cut into small sections within a sterile environment and attached to one side of a 25mm² circular glass cover slip using double sided adhesive tape. A circular 25mm² plastic fluid cell was then placed over the cover slip and secured in place with silica gel. 3ml of the liquid of varying pH and salt concentration was placed carefully into the fluid cell and left for 20 mins for the system to stabilise.

169 In preparation for streaming potential measurements, the virgin and industrially fouled 170 membrane samples were cut using provided templates (Anton Paar) into two rectangular 171 shaped pieces with specific holes for liquid flow, which would fit the rectangular fluid cell of 172 the instrument.

173 **2.2 Membrane AFM images**

A Dimension 3100 AFM (Bruker) was used for membrane imaging. Non contact cantilevers were used for imaging (OTESPA, Bruker). The membrane images were achieved using tapping mode within an air environment of 21°C and a relative humidity of 40%. Tapping mode AFM has been used extensively to image membranes and microbial surfaces [8, 9, 11]. Tapping mode in air was used and not imaging in liquid, the latter is experimentally demanding and risks imaging artefacts by damaging diffuse foulant layers if present. A scan rate of 0.4Hz was used for most images, however for the largest scan size of 100µm x 181 100µm, a scan rate of 0.2Hz was used to achieve a higher quality image. The images were 182 analysed through the AFM Nanoscope software to obtain peak to valley and surface 183 roughness measurements for both the virgin and fouled membranes at each scan size.

2.3 Force spectrometry measurement of local elastic properties

185 The NanoWizard II BioAFM with top view optics (JPK Instruments) was used for the force 186 measurements on all membrane surfaces. AFM colloid probes were made using a micromanipulator (Singer Instruments). In this technique a silica sphere of 3 µm radius was 187 188 attached to the apex of a tipless cantilever using glass bond glue. Only AFM colloid probes of 189 radius 3 µm were used in experimentation to standardise the contact area between the probe 190 and the surface. The colloid probe's cantilever spring constant was measured via the thermal 191 tune method. The silica colloid probe was chosen for indentation studies of the membranes 192 due to its well defined shape and larger tip radius when compared to manufactured silicon 193 nitride sharp tips [32]. This means that on a soft surface, the silica colloid probe will more 194 likely compress the surface, unlike sharp tips which could puncture and disrupt the surface as 195 sharp tips induce local strains that can far exceed the linear material regime. 50 force curves 196 were measured across the membrane surfaces at randomly chosen locations in each 197 experimental system.

198 2.4 Streaming Potential of the Membranes

The Electro Kinetic Analyzer (Anton Paar) was used to determine the streaming potential of virgin and industrial fouled membranes at 0.1M NaCl concentration and pH values 3 - 9. The cut membrane sample pieces were clamped between two measuring cell parts separated by a defined streaming channel within the rectangular fluid cell. Once the preliminary rinse cycles were performed, the pH of the salt solution was adjusted and the zeta potential measurements were repeated three times for each pH value in each direction of fluid flow. Zeta potential of the membrane surfaces was calculated from the measured streaming potential using the
 Helmholtz-Smoluchowski equation with the Fairbrother-Mastin approach.

3. Data Analysis

208 The AFM force data was analysed to determine values of maximum adhesion force, work of 209 adhesion and the Hertz model was used to calculate Young's Modulus values. The value of 210 force is calculated from the deflection of the cantilever using Hooke's law. The force as a 211 function of the piezo scanner displacement is sufficient to calculate the parameters and no 212 further manipulation of the piezo scanner displacement data is required. The contact region of 213 the approach curve is compared to that measured at a hard un-deformable surface to calculate 214 the indentation depth (h) when a force (F) was applied [27]. The value of adhesion is 215 determined from the minimum point of the retraction curve. The contact point was defined as the height where the cantilever deflection begins to leave the horizontal axis on the 216 217 deflection vs. sample height curve [33]. The work of adhesion was determined from the area 218 between the retraction curve and the x axis (Figure 1) applying the trapezoidal rule to 219 integrate.

The equation widely used to calculate the force on the cantilever F(h) by using Hertzmechanisms is [11] :

222
$$F(h) = \frac{4\sqrt{R}}{3} E^* h^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

The tip is approximated by a sphere with the radius R. E*is known as the effective modulus of a system tip-sample. The material of the tip is considerably harder than the sample, thus the following equation was used:

226
$$E^* \approx \frac{E_{sample}}{1 - \upsilon^2_{sample}}$$

Where E_{sample} and v_{sample} are the denotations for the Young's Modulus and the Poisson ratio for the materials of the sample, respectively. Through the substitution of the above equations into the Hertz equation and analysis of the data from the force-distance curves, the Young's Modulus of the sample was determined.

231 3.1 Statistical Analysis

All data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. The statistical analysis was performed using MiniTab Software, where normal distribution of variables was assessed using the Krustill Wallis test. The non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test for independent samples was used to compare mean values of adhesion force, work of adhesion and Young's modulus between virgin and fouled membranes. Due to multiple testing, a Bonferroni correction was applied for each analysis.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 High resolution images

240 Atomic force microscopy imaging elucidated the morphology of the fouling layers at the 241 industrial fouled RO membranes. Figure 2 presents high resolution 10 x 10 µm and 100 x 100 µm AFM topographical images of virgin and process fouled SWC 3+ RO membranes. 242 The virgin membrane images clearly show the structure of the RO membrane surface, where 243 244 the structural surface of the membrane is composed from peaks and valleys. A similar RO 245 surface topography was observed in an AFM and SEM study by Kwak and Ihm [34]. The 246 fouled membrane images clearly show the relatively non-uniform distribution of fouling present on the RO surface, where the structure of the RO membrane can be seen in gaps 247 248 within the fouling layer (Figure 2B). The high resolution images of the fouled membranes 249 suggested the presence of a bacterial biofilm, with the presence of particles of commensurate

size of bacteria embedded in a loose film like structure. The presence of the bacterial biofilm
was further supported by previous work that used standard DNA techniques to identify the
key bacterial species involved in the industrial fouling of the membrane of this study [3].
AFM technology has previously been utilized for the low resolution imaging of foulant layers
formed on process membranes [35,36].

255 The surface roughness and peak to valley measurements are shown in Table 1, where the root 256 mean square (RMS) surface roughness of the virgin RO membrane was 174 ± 26 nm and the 257 peak to valley measurement of 1975 ± 757 nm and the RMS surface roughness of the fouled 258 membrane was 297 \pm 44 nm and peak to valley measurement of 3837 \pm 1013 nm measured 259 from the 100 x100 µm images. The results also show that as the scan size increases from 1 to 260 $100 \ \mu m^2$, the values of surface roughness and peak to valley increase for both the virgin and fouled membranes. The current results agree with the work of Boussu et al. [37] which found 261 that surface roughness increases with scan area from $0.5\mu m^2$ to $10\mu m^2$ with different NF 262 263 membranes, where an explanation is that when the scan size is changed, it is possible to get a 264 different surface topography, therefore resulting in a different roughness value [37]. From 265 Table 1, it can also be seen that the fouled membrane surface has the largest surface roughness and peak to valley measurements for all scan sizes when compared to the virgin 266 267 membrane, apart from the surface roughness values measured from the 10 x 10 µm image, 268 where the fouled membrane surface is smoother than the virgin membrane. The smoother 269 surface could be due to the presence of a cohesive fouling layer, which may have been 270 facilitated by bacterial fouling producing extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) within the 271 foulant layer. Previous research has found that surface roughness measurements of similar, virgin RO membranes were 66nm [38] (from $4 \ge 4 \mu m$) and 50nm [34] (from $10 \ge 10 \mu m$) 272 273 and the corresponding peak to valley measurements were 560nm [38] and 400nm [34]. The 274 range of these values confirm with current findings of surface roughness and peak-to-valley

275 measurements of virgin RO membranes at small scan sizes (Table 1). The topography, 276 surface roughness and peak to valley measurements show that the virgin RO membranes 277 could be susceptible to biofouling, as the rough surface topography, with valleys of size 278 commensurate with that of bacteria, could facilitate attachment of bacterial cells and shield 279 the attached bacteria from the shear flow.

4.2 Young's modulus measurement by force spectrometry analysis

The mean Young's modulus values were determined from force measurements achieved at 281 282 virgin and fouled membranes in 0.6M NaCl at pH values of 3, 7 and 9 (Table 2). The 283 distribution of Young's modulus values from the virgin membrane and fouled membranes are 284 summarized using boxplots shown in Figure 3, where the distribution of all variables were 285 non-parametric. The mean values of Young's modulus for the virgin membrane decreased in magnitude with increasing pH values, where these values were significantly different 286 287 (p<0.017) between pH 3 (1.45MPa) and pH 9 (0.79MPa) and pH 7 (1.33MPa) and pH 9. The 288 change in Young's modulus values with pH could be due to polymer expansion. Elliott et al. 289 [39] has shown that expansion of the ionic polymer film was related to the solution pH. Yang et al. [40] also demonstrated that the ionization of functional groups through pH change plays 290 291 a key role in the expansion of the membrane, where for certain membranes the higher the 292 pH above the IEP in terms of zeta potential can lead to favourable polar interactions with the 293 surrounding solution so that the foulant compounds are more extended. The IEP of the 294 polyamide membrane in this study is at low pH (section 4.5). Thus, the higher Young' 295 Modulus at lower pH coincides with a membrane that has a denser polymeric structure. 296 These results indicate that the electrolytic environment is a potential control parameter that 297 could be exploited to improve the mechanical robustness of a polymer membrane, where at 298 pH 3 the surface is at its most robust with the highest Young's modulus measured for all electrolytic environments tested (1.45MPa). These results could provide the membrane 299

technologist with a method to improve the maintenance of membrane structure during thechemical and physical challenges of operation and cleaning.

302 The mean values of Young's modulus for the fouled membrane increased from pH 3 to pH 7 303 and then decreased in magnitude from pH 7 to pH 9, where these values were only 304 significantly different (p<0.017) from pH 7 (93.8kPa) to pH 9 (48.0kPa). The mechanical properties of the fouling layer present on RO membrane surface will affect the shape and 305 306 stability of the layer and therefore can determine the failure and detachment of the fouling 307 layer in reaction to a physical force such as fluid-induced shear and also the accumulation of 308 such fouling layers in industrial environments [41]. The dependence of the membrane foulant 309 layer Young's modulus on pH could be due to the nature of the different foulant materials 310 within the biofilm and their difference response to the environmental conditions. Therefore 311 the elasticity of the foulant surface present on the membrane surface could vary with 312 industrial conditions. This suggests that optimum electrolytic conditions could be determined 313 where the elasticity of the foulant layer is at its lowest, rendering cleaning regimes, such as 314 cross-flow, more effective in removing and breaking up the foulant biofilm.

315 The comparison between the values of Young's modulus achieved from the virgin and fouled 316 membrane at each pH value showed that all the values of Young's modulus of the virgin were 317 significantly different from the Young's modulus of the fouled membrane (p<0.017), where 318 the values of Young's modulus were greater in magnitude for the virgin membrane (1450kPa 319 at pH3) than that for the fouled membrane (70.9kPa at pH3). The measurement of Young's 320 modulus can be used to compare the fouling within different process conditions for 321 optimisation, in that lowering the mechanical robustness of the fouling layer and/or raising 322 that of the membrane surface will enable the cleaning regime to be more effective.

Franceschini and Corti [21] performed AFM force measurements to determine the elastic
moduli of non hydrated nafion, PBI and poly [2, 5-benzimidazole] membranes, using a sharp

325 contact tip in a N₂ environment, where the elasticity values measured for the different 326 membranes ranged from 0.104 ± 0.036 to 6.17 ± 0.93 GPa. Chung et al. [24] reported the first 327 measure of Young's modulus of the active layer of RO membrane, both in a dry (1.40 ± 0.53) 328 GPa) and hydrated state (0.36 \pm 0.14 GPa), using a combined wrinkling-cracking 329 methodology. The difference between these values and those measured in this study are due 330 to the environment in which the measurements were taken and the level of membrane The indentation experiments in the present study are performed in a liquid 331 hydration. 332 environment, as would be in the industrial process, and a colloid probe was used which could 333 also account for this difference in elasticity values; measurements made using a sharp AFM tip will be based on material rupture during layer penetration rather than compression by the 334 335 colloid sphere. In addition, the studies performed here are industrially relevant with ionic 336 concentrations which would be present within the desalination process, unlike other studies.

337

338 4.3 Adhesion Force

339 The mean adhesion force values were determined from force measurements achieved at virgin and fouled membranes in 0.6M NaCl at pH 3, 7 and 9, where the data is shown in 340 341 Table 2. NaCl was chosen for the electrolytic media to keep the experimental environment 342 simple, however the lack of divalent and trivalent ions, which can influence colloidal 343 interaction, may limit extension of the results to seawater. The distribution of adhesion force 344 values from virgin and fouled membranes are summarized using boxplots shown in Figure 4, 345 where the distribution of all variables were non-parametric. The mean values of adhesion force for the virgin membrane revealed a high adhesion force of 6.0nN at pH 3, where the 346 347 values of adhesion force decreased with increasing pH, as measurements of 1.8Nn at pH 7 and 1.0nN at pH 9 were obtained. The mean adhesion force values were significantly 348 349 different (p<0.017) between all pH values. The differences in adhesion force values could be

350 due to the charge held by the membrane surface in various electrolytic environments (see 351 section 4.5). The material that will foul a reverse osmosis membrane will be highly 352 heterogeneous containing materials that are biological in origin including humic acids (a 353 naturally occurring breakdown product of organic matter) and biofilm materials such as xanthan, 354 hyaluronan and dextran. Thus, there will be a range of interactions occurring between fouling 355 material, membrane and the silica probe, including electrostatic, van der Waals and hydrophobic. The 356 amount of information that can be inferred from the measurement of adhesion of an inorganic 357 silica particle at a membrane surface is limited as reverse osmosis membrane fouling is a 358 multi-component process, however the interaction of the colloid probe can be used as an 359 indicator of the degree of particle interaction with that surface. This will be more relevant to 360 the surface interaction with inorganic particles rather than biological particles where specific 361 macromolecular interactions may dominate. It should be noted that many bacteria have a 362 negative charge similar to that of a silica colloid. Thus, the significant differences (p<0.017) 363 in adhesion at the virgin membrane surface as the pH is changed demonstrates that some 364 fouling could be reduced at this membrane surface by controlling the pH. However, this 365 should be considered with reference to pH tolerance of the membrane material and how the 366 electrolytic environment affects the mechanical properties of the membrane (as discussed in section 4.2) as previous research has observed the bacteria adhere to harder surfaces [28]. 367

The average values of adhesion force for the fouled membrane showed very little variation between the different pH values, where the adhesion force value at pH 3 was 0.7nN. The mean values were not significantly different (p>0.017) between all pH values, so it was concluded that pH did not have a measurable influence on the values of adhesion force. This was expected, the chemical heterogeneity of the fouling material will mean that different chemical groups will be ionised to different degrees within the different pH environments, thus there will be no net change in the interactivity of the fouling film. The extent of electrostatic interactions will be reduced within the high ionic strength environment of the present research, thus another explanation for the consistency of adhesive force measured at the different pHs could be the dominance of hydrophobicity on the interaction of the colloid probe and the fouled surface.

379 The comparison between the values of adhesion force achieved from the virgin and fouled membrane at each pH value revealed that the values of adhesion force of the virgin 380 381 membrane at pH 3 (6.0nN) and pH 7 (1.8nN) were significantly different from the adhesion 382 measured at the fouled membrane at pH 3 (0.7nN) and 7 (0.9nN) (p<0.017), apart from the 383 adhesion force values at pH 9 which were not significantly different (p>0.017). The values of 384 adhesion force achieved from the virgin membrane at pH values of 3 and 7 were greater in 385 magnitude than that obtained for the fouled membrane. The differences in adhesion forces 386 observed between the fouled and virgin membrane indicate that the surfaces are chemically 387 different and confirm that the presence of a fouling layer will alter the nanoscale interactions 388 of the membrane surface with potential compromise of the separation process.

389 Previous adhesion force measurement studies were performed by Bowen and Doneva [38] on 390 a virgin RO membrane using silica colloid probes with a maximum loading force of 120nN – 140nN, where force measurements were performed in 10⁻¹M and at pH 9. The force 391 392 measurements performed on peaks of the membrane revealed an adhesion of 2.3 ± 0.48 393 mN/m and those performed within the membrane valleys, the adhesion was 8.7 ± 4.0 mN/m. 394 The results obtained at 0.6M NaCl at pH 9 in the present study revealed adhesion force 395 values of 0.98 nN, which when normalized for colloid radius of 3µm the adhesion value is 396 0.33 mN/m, where the differences between the adhesion forces measured by the studies could 397 be due to the very high loading force used by Bowen and Doneva [38]. The colloid would have indented the sample to a greater extent than the present study, hence more surface area 398 399 contact would have occurred between the sample and the colloid. In addition, the 400 measurements in the present study were at random positions across the membrane surface,401 with no separation of measurements from peaks and valleys..

402 **4.4 Work of Adhesion**

403 The measurement of adhesion from the retraction force curve minima does not account for 404 the mechanisms involved in adhesion (Figure 1). It is the shape of the force curve adhesion 405 component, which can be described by the work of adhesion, that provides information on 406 interaction mechanisms such as ligand-receptor peeling. The work of adhesion is the work 407 which must be done to separate two adjacent phases, liquid-liquid or liquid-solid phase as in 408 the case here. The mean work of adhesion values were determined from force measurements 409 at virgin and fouled membranes in 0.6M NaCl at pH 3, 7 and 9, where the data is shown in 410 Table 2. The distribution of work of adhesion values from the virgin and fouled membranes 411 were summarized using boxplots shown in Figure 5, where the distribution of all variables 412 were non-parametric. The average values of work of adhesion from the virgin membrane 413 revealed a high adhesion energy at pH 3 (153.6nJ), which decreased in magnitude at pH 7 (22.8nJ) and then again at pH 9 (9.9nJ). The mean work of adhesion values were significantly 414 415 different (p<0.017) between all pH values. The differences in work of adhesion values could be due to the charge held by the membrane surface in various electrolytic environments (see 416 417 section 4.5). The average values of work of adhesion for the fouled membrane showed very 418 little variation between the different pH values, for example at pH 3 the work of adhesion 419 was 16.2nJ. The mean values were not significantly difference (p < 0.017), so it was 420 concluded that pH did not have a measurable influence on the values of work of adhesion 421 obtained from the fouled membrane.

422 The comparison between the values of work of adhesion achieved from the virgin and fouled 423 membrane at each pH value showed that the values of work of adhesion of the virgin 424 membrane at pH 3 and 7 were significantly different and greater in magnitude from that for 425 the fouled membrane (p<0.017). The work of adhesion values at pH 9 were not significantly 426 different (p>0.017).

427 The work of adhesion and the adhesive force determined from a force measurement are 428 closely linked. However, the adhesive force gives no indication as to how the AFM probe 429 attaches and detaches from a surface. This can be dramatically different when the minimum 430 force recorded in force curves, the adhesive force, is the same. If deformation occurs when 431 the AFM probe interacts with the surface, during the approach and retraction phases of the 432 force measurement, then the work of adhesion will be greater. In addition, another 433 contribution to an increase in the work of adhesion could be an increase in the recruitment of 434 ligands to the interactions, so that the probe has to peel away from the surface and break more 435 bonds. In the present study the high work of adhesion measured at the virgin membrane at pH 3 could be due to the deformation of the surface and/or the increased number of bonds 436 437 involved in the interaction. This argument demonstrates the potential that AFM force 438 measurement offers the membrane technologist to unravel the processes operating during the 439 formation of fouling layers. In the past this has been restricted by using only AFM adhesive 440 force measurements.

441

442 **4.5 Streaming Potential**

The results of zeta potential versus pH for the virgin and process fouled RO membrane at 0.1M NaCl concentrations are shown in Figure 6. The streaming potential equipment was only designed to be operated at low salt concentrations therefore a maximum salt concentration of 0.1M was used to provide an indication of the surface charge of the membrane. The virgin membrane was positively charged or had no charge at low pH (pH 4, 0 ± 2 mV) and negatively charged at high pH (pH 9, -5.8 ± 3.5mV), where the IEP was determined to be pH 4.0 for 0.1M NaCl. The fouled membrane was entirely negatively charged over the pH range, where at pH 3 the zeta potential was -2.3 ± 1.7mV and at pH 9 was -11.3 ± 3.5mV and therefore no IEP could be determined.

452 This differences in AFM force measurements obtained using AFM as a function of pH could be attributed to the change in the ionization state of the membrane surface. The present study 453 454 measured greatest adhesion and work of adhesion at pH3, 6.0nN and153.6nJ respectively at 455 0.6M NaCl, however there is a suggested agreement with the streaming potential study that 456 indicated that a positive or neutral charge was measured at lower pH. Thus, at pH 3 the 457 membrane would be exhibiting a charge that would not tend to repel the AFM colloid probe 458 and so adhesion parameters would be at their highest. At low pH, the surface charge of the 459 membrane may be positively charged at 0.6M NaCl and close to the IEP of the membrane 460 where the electric double layer is relatively thin, as shown in Figure 6 for the virgin 461 membrane at 0.1M NaCl [42]. As the pH is increased, the membrane may become negatively 462 charged, where the silica colloid is also negatively charged at high pH values [42,43] so as 463 the colloid approaches the membrane surface in these conditions, the colloid could 464 experience increasing electrostatic double-layer repulsive force which opposes the motion of 465 the colloid. Due to the repulsive force, the particle may be prevented from coming into 466 intimate contact with the membrane surface, which leads to a lower adhesion force and work of adhesion 467

For the fouled membrane, the adhesion force and work of adhesion values revealed no significant differences as a function of pH. The reason could be the surface charge of the membrane being negative over all pH values (Figure 6) which means that the colloid experiences a repulsive force over all pH values which could explain why there was no significant difference of adhesion force and work of adhesion between pH values [44]. 473 Previous research by Al-Amoudi et al. [45] investigated the zeta potential of three virgin and 474 one fouled NF membrane at different pH values and the results agree with our current 475 findings for RO membranes, as the study concluded that the fouled DK (GE Osmonics) 476 membrane were almost negatively charged with no IEP over a range of pH values when 477 compared to a virgin DK membrane.

478

5. Conclusions

479 Mechanical measurements obtained from AFM force-distance measurements can provide an 480 assessment of the fouling potential of the membranes by the elucidation of mechanical factors 481 that affect membrane fouling, which has the potential to reduce commissioning studies and 482 optimise process operation. In this study a novel and comprehensive AFM characterisation 483 of the mechanical properties of virgin and industrially fouled membranes was achieved, 484 which detected differences between the virgin and fouled membranes in different electrolyte 485 conditions. The results of the paper suggest that pH control could be investigated to 486 strengthen membranes against chemical and physical challenges, where the increased 487 Young's modulus measured at pH 3 of the virgin membrane indicate, for example, that 488 cleaning at a low pH may be advantageous for the protection of the membrane. A careful 489 balance could be considered by the membrane technologist, which during a cleaning process 490 uses an electrolytic environment that renders the membrane at its strongest, while the 491 biofouling layer could be at its weakest, with the caveat that disruption of the chemical 492 properties of the membrane material are kept to a minimum.

493 Recent studies have indicated that the mechanical properties of nanoscale polymeric substrata 494 can strongly influence the adhesion of bacteria in aqueous suspensions [30]. However, there 495 are limited examples within the literature on the use of nano-indentation and measurement 496 of the mechanical properties of commercially available membranes and biofilm. Therefore,

497 the mechanical measurements at virgin and biofouled membranes of the present study are 498 extremely timely and such AFM characterisation is a novel technique, when applied to RO 499 membranes, which holds promise for further elucidation of the mechanisms involved in 500 membrane fouling. The present study on industrially fouled membranes has shown that the 501 analysis of AFM force-distance data can be extended beyond simple adhesion measurements 502 to aid diagnosis of processes problems such as fouling, as part of industrial membrane autopsies. It is hoped that this encompassing research study within an industrial context will 503 504 aid in developing a rational strategy for the prevention of biofouling and biofilm formation, 505 with economic and effective cleaning within desalination processes, which will maintain 506 efficient membrane operation and prolong membrane life.

507 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Youngpil Chun and In Seop Chang of the Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology (GIST), Gwangju, South Korea for the supply of fouled membranes. The authors would like to acknowledge the British Council for Research Co-operation Funding and the funding to start this project. Finally we thank Dr Bob Lovitt of Swansea University for his discussion.

514 **References**

- Landaburu-Aguirre, J., García-Pacheco, R., Molina, S., Rodríguez-Sáez, L.,
 Rabadán, J., García-Calvo, E. 2016 Fouling prevention, preparing for re-use and
 membrane recycling.Towards circular economy in RO desalination. Desalination 393:
 16–30
- 519
 2. Wyart, Y., Georges, G., Deumie, C., Amra, C., Moulin, P., 2008. Membrane
 520 Characterization by Microscope Methods: Multiscale Structure. J. Memb. Sci. 315,
 521 82-92.
- 522 3. Chun, Y., Ha, P.T., Powell L., Lee, J., Kim D., Choi. D., Lovitt R.W., Kim, I.S.,
 523 Mitra, S.S., Chang, I.S., (2012) Exploring microbial communities and differences of
 524 cartridge filters (CFs) and reverse osmosis (RO) membranes for seawater desalination
 525 processes. Desalination 298: 85–92
- 4. Kochkodan, V.M., Hilal, N., Goncharuk, V.V., Al-Khatib, L., Levadna, T.I.
 "Effect of the surface modification of polymer membranes on their microbiological fouling". Colloid Journal. Volume 68, Issue 3, 2006, Pages 267-273
- 5. Zhang, J., Northcott, K., Duke, M., Scales, P., Gray, S.R. 2016. Influence of pretreatment combinations on RO membrane fouling. Desalination 393: 120–126
- 6. Abu Seman, M.N., Khayet, M., Bin Ali, Z.I., Hilal, N. "Reduction of nanofiltration
 membrane fouling by UV-initiated graft polymerization technique". Journal of
 Membrane Science. Volume 355, Issue 1-2, 2010, Pages 133-141.
- 534 7. Khayet, M., Seman, M.N.A., Hilal, N. "Response surface modeling and optimization
 535 of composite nanofiltration modified membranes". Journal of Membrane Science.
 536 Volume 349, Issue 1-2, 2010, Pages 113-122
- 8. Bowen, W.R., Hilal, N., Lovitt, R.W., Williams, P.M. 1996 Atomic force
 microscope studies of membranes: Surface pore structures of Diaflo ultrafiltration
 membranes". Journal of Colloid and Interface Science. Volume 180, Issue 2, 350-359
- 540
 9. Bowen, W.R., Hilal, N., Lovitt, R.W., Sharif, A.O., Williams, P.M. "Atomic force
 541 microscope studies of membranes: Force measurement and imaging in electrolyte
 542 solutions". Journal of Membrane Science. Volume 126, Issue 1, (1997) 77-89.
- 543 10. Hilal, N., Al-Zoubi, H., Darwish, N.A., Mohammad, A.W., Abu Arabi, M., 2004. A
 544 comprehensive review of nanoiltration membranes: Treatment, pretreatment,
 545 modelling, and atomic force microscopy. Desalination 170 (3), 281–308.

- 546 11. Wright, C.J., Armstrong, I., 2006. The application of atomic force microscopy force
 547 measurements to the characterization of microbial surfaces. Surf. Interface. Anal. 38,
 548 1419-1428.
- 549 12. Roh, I.J., Kim, J-J., Park, S.Y., 2002. Mechanical properties and reverse osmosis
 550 performance of interfacially polymerized polyamide thin films. J. Membrane Sci. 197,
 551 199-210
- 552 13. Evans, P.J., Bird, M.R., Rogers, D., Wright, C.J., 2009. Measurement of polyphenol553 membrane interaction forces during the ultrafiltration of black tea liquor. Colloid.
 554 Surf. A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects. 335 (1-3), 148-153.
- 14. Tian, J-Y., Chen, Z-L., Yang, Y-L., Liang, H., Nan, J., Li, G-B., 2010. Consecutive
 chemical cleaning of fouled PVC membrane using NaOH and ethanol during
 ultrafiltration of river water. Water Res. 44 (1), 59-68.
- Ivnitsky H., Katz I, Minz D, Volvovic G, Shimoni E, Kesselman E, Semiat R,
 Dosoretz C.G., 2007. Bacterial community composition and structure of biofilms
 developing on nanofiltration membranes applied to wastewater treatment. Water Res.
 41 (17), 3924-3935
- 562 16. Johnson, D.J., Miles, N.J., Hilal, N. "Quantification of particle-bubble interactions
 563 using atomic force microscopy: A review". Advances in Colloid and Interface
 564 Science. Volume 127, Issue 2, 2006, Pages 67-81
- 565 17. Bowen, W.R., Hilal, N., Lovitt, R.W., Wright, C.J., 1998. A new technique for
 566 membrane characterisation: direct measurement of force of adhesion of a single
 567 particle using an atomic force microscope. J. Memb. Sci. 139, 269-274
- 18. Bowen, W.R., Hilal, N., Lovitt, R.W., Wright, C.J., 1999b. Characterisation of
 membrane surfaces: direct measurement of biological adhesion using an atomic force
 microscope. J. Memb. Sci. 154, 205-212.
- 571 19. Zhang, L., Ni, Q-Q., Natsuki, T., 2008. Mechanical properties of polybenzimidazole
 572 reinforced by carbon nanofibers. Adv. Mater. Res. 47-50, 302-305.
- 573 20. Xu, H., Chen, K., Guo, X., Fang, J., Yin, J., 2007. Synthesis of hyperbranched 574 polybenzimidazoles and their membrane formation. J. Memb. Sci. 288, 255-260.
- 575 21. Franceschini, E.A., Corti, H.R., 2009. Elastic properties of nafion, polybenzimidazole
 576 and poly [2,5-benzimidazole] membranes determined by AFM tip nano-indentation. J.
 577 Power. Sources. 188, 379-386.
- 578 22. Jee, A-Y., Lee, M., 2010. Comparative analysis on the nanoindentation of polymers
 579 using atomic force microscopy. Poly. Test. 29, 95-99.

- 580 23. Fang, T-H., Chang, W-J., Tsai, S-L., 2005. Nanomechanical characterisation of
 581 polymer using atomic force microscopy and nanoindentation. Microelectronics. J. 36,
 582 55-59.
- 583 24. Chung, J.Y., Lee, J-H., Beers, K.L., Stafford, C.M., 2011. Stiffness, strength and
 584 ductility of nanoscale thin films and membranes: a combined wrinkling-cracking
 585 methodology. Nano Lett. 11, 3361-3365.
- 586 25. Llanos, J., Williams, P.M., Cheng, S., Rogers, D., Wright, C., Perez, A., Canizares,
 587 P., 2010. Characterization of a ceramic ultrafiltration membrane in different
 588 operational states after its use in a heavy-metal ion removal process Water Res. 44,
 589 3522-30.
- 590 26. Powell, L.C., Sowedan A., Khan, S., Wright, C.J., Hawkins, K, Onsøyen, E.,
 591 Myrvold, R., Hill, K.E., and Thomas, D.W. 2013. The effect of alginate
 592 oligosaccharides on the mechanical properties of Gram-negative biofilms. Biofouling:
 593 29:4, 413-421.
- 594 27. Wright, C.J., Shah, M.K., Powell, L.C., Armstrong, I., 2010. Application of AFM
 595 from microbial cell to biofilm. Scanning 32, 134-149.
- 596 28. Bakker, D.P., Huijs, F.M., de Vries, J., Klijnstra, J.W., Busscher, H.J., van der Mei,
 597 H.C., 2003. Bacterial deposition to fluoridated and non-fluoridated polyurethane
 598 coatings with different elastic modulus and surface tension in a parallel plate and a
 599 stagnation point flow chamber. Colloids. Surf. B Biointerfaces. 32, 179-190.
- 29. Lee, S., Elimelech, M., 2006. Relating organic fouling of reverse osmosis membranes
 to intermolecular adhesion forces. Envion. Sci. Technol. 40, 980-987.
- 30. Lichter, J.A., Thompson, M.T., Delgadillo, M., Nishikawa, T., Rubner, M.F., Van
 Vliet, K.J., 2008. Substrata mechanical stiffness can regulate adhesion of viable
 bacteria. Biomacromolecules 9, 1571-1578.
- 605 31. Oh, Y.J., Lee, NR., Jo, W., Jung, W.K., Lim, J.S., 2009. Effects of substrates on
 606 biofilm formation observed by atomic force microscopy. Ultramicroscopy 109, 874607 880.
- 32. Ducker, W.A., Senden, T.J., Pashley, R.M., 1991. Direct measurement of colloidal
 forces using an atomic force microscope. Nature 353, 239-241.
- 33. Touhami, A., Nysten, B., Dufrene, Y.F., 2003. Nanoscale mapping of the elasticity of
 microbial cells by atomic force microscopy. Langmuir 19, 4539-4543.

- 612 34. Kwak, S-Y., Ihm, D.W., 1999. Use of atomic force microscopy and solid-state NMR
 613 spectroscopy to characterise structure-property-performance correlation in high flux
 614 reverse osmosis (RO) membranes. J. Memb. Sci. 158, 143-153.
- 615 35. Karime, M., Bouguecha, S., Hamrouni, B., 2008. RO membrane autopsy of Zarzis
 616 brackish water desalination plant. Desalination 220, 258-266.
- 617 36. Mohamedou, E.O., Surarez, D.B.P., Vince, F., Jaouen, P., Pontie, M., 2010. New
 618 lives for old reverse osmosis (RO) membranes. Desalination 53, 62-70
- 37. Bousso, K., Van der Bruggen, B., Volodin, A., Snauwaert, J., Van Hasendonck, C.,
 Vandecasteele, C., 2005. Roughness and Hydrophobicity studies of nanofilitration
 membranes using different modes of AFM. J. Colloid. Interface.Sci. 286, 632-638
- 38. Bowen, W.R., Doneva, T.A., 2000. Atomic force microscopy studies of membranes:
 effect of surface roughness on double-layer interactions and particle adhesion. J.
 Colloid. Interface. Sci. 229, 544-549.
- 39. Elliott, J.E., Macdonald, M., Nie, J., Bowman, C.N., 2004. Structure and swelling of
 poly (acrylic acid) hydrogels: effect of pH, ionic strength, and dilution on the
 crosslinked polymer structure. Polymer 45, 1503-1510.
- 40. Yang, J., Lee, S., Lee, E., Lee, J., Hong, S., 2009. Effect of solution chemistry on the
 surface property of reverse osmosis membranes under seawater conditions.
 Desalination 249, 148-161.
- 41. Hourai, A., Picard, J., Habarou, H., Galas, L., Vaudry, H., Heim, V., Di Martino, P.,
 2008. Rheology of biofilms formed at the surface of NF membranes in a drinking
 water production unit. Biofouling 24 (4), 235-240
- 42. Vrijenhoek, EM, Hong, S., Elimelech, M., 2001. Influence of membrane surface
 properties on initial rate of colloidal fouling of reverse osmosis and nanofiltration
 membranes. J. Memb. Sci. 188, 115-128.
- 43. Sheng, X., Ting, Y.P., Pehkonen, S.O., 2008. The influence of ionic strength,
 nutrients and pH on bacterial adhesion to metals. J. Colloid. Interface. Sci. 321, 256264.
- 44. Elzo, D., Huisman, I., Middlelink, E., Gekas, V., 1998. Charge effects on inorganic
 membrane performance in a cross-flow microfiltration process. Colloid. Surf. A:
 Physicochem. Eng. Aspects. 138, 145-159.
- 45. Al-Amoudi, A., Williams, P., Mandale, S., Lovitt, R.W., 2007. Cleaning results of
 new and fouled nanofiltration membrane characterized by zeta potential and
 permeability. Separation and Purification Technology. 54, 234-240.

- 1	-
646	'n

648

649

650 List of Figures and Legends

651

652 Figure 1. Typical AFM Force curve

653 Figure 2. Tapping mode AFM topographic images of virgin and fouled SWC 3+ RO

654 Membrane. A and C images show the topography of virgin SWC 3+ membrane at 10 μ m and 655 100 μ m respectively, while B and D images show the topography of fouled SWC 3+

656 membrane at 10μ m and 100μ m respectively. Z range in all images is 2.0μ m.

Figure 3. Variation of Young's modulus of (a) virgin and (b) fouled membranes betweendifferent pH values shown in box plot forms.

- Figure 4. Variation of Adhesion force of (a) virgin and (b) fouled membranes betweendifferent pH values shown in box plot forms.
- Figure 5. Variation of work of adhesion for (a) virgin and (b) fouled membranes betweendifferent pH values shown in box plot t forms.
- 663 Figure 6: Zeta potential measurements of virgin and fouled RO membrane at 0.1M NaCl
- 664
- 665
- Table 1. Surface Roughness measurements from the virgin and process fouled membrane.
- Table 2. Mean values of Young's modulus, adhesion force and work of adhesion achievedfrom both virgin and fouled membranes at various pH values.
- 669
- 670
- 671
- 672
- 673

-











Figure 1 Force-distance measurement curves: (a) Annotated AFM force curve typically
measured over 1µm (b) Typical AFM force curve measured at a virgin membrane (c) Typical
AFM force curve measured at a fouled membrane.



Figure 2. Tapping mode AFM topographic images of virgin and fouled SWC 3+ RO Membrane. A and C images show the topography of virgin SWC 3+ membrane at 10 μ m x 10 μ m and 100 μ m x 100 μ m, respectively, while B and D images show the topography of fouled SWC 3+ membrane at 10 μ m x 10 μ m and 100 μ m x 100 μ m respectively. The arrow in image B is suggested to be a cluster of bacterial cells. Z range in all images is 2.0 μ m.

Membrane Size (µm)	RMS	S (nm)	Peak to Valley (nm)		
	Virgin	Process Fouled	Virgin	Process Fouled	
1 x 1	69.7 ± 13.7	87.5 ± 11.2	444.3 ± 112.1	490.2 ± 109.6	
10 x 10	107.9 ± 9.68	99.6 ± 14.3	786.0 ± 116.6	824.3 ± 112.5	
100 x100	173.7 ± 25.9	297.7 ± 44.2	1974.7 ± 756.8	3837.0 ± 1013.3	

713	Table 1. Surface Roughness measureme	ents from	the virgin	and process	s fouled	membrane.
	0		<u> </u>	1		

	Mean Young's modulus (kPa)		Mean Adhesion Force (nN)		Mean Work of Adhesion (nJ)	
	Virgin	Fouled	Virgin	Fouled	Virgin	Fouled
рН 3	1450 ± 986	70.9 ± 36.3	6.00 ± 4.02	0.73 ± 0.90	153.6 ± 89.8	16.2 ± 48.4

pH 7	1327 ± 947	93.8 ± 55.1	1.77 ± 1.14	0.85 ± 0.96	22.8 ± 28.6	13.4 ± 23.9
рН 9	788 ± 432	48.1 ± 26.4	0.98 ± 0.72	0.84 ± 0.85	9.9 ± 10.2	12.9 ± 21.9

Table 2. Mean values of Young's modulus, adhesion force and work of adhesion achieved718 from both virgin and fouled membranes at various pH values.





730 (a) (b)



Figure 5. Variation of work of adhesion for (a) virgin and (b) fouled membranes betweendifferent pH values shown in boxplot forms.



750 Figure 6: Zeta potential measurements of virgin and fouled RO membrane at 0.1M NaCl