



# Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in : *Physical Review Letters* 

Cronfa URL for this paper: http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa28112

### Paper:

Dunbar, D. & Perkins, W. (2016). Two-Loop Six Gluon All-Plus Helicity Amplitude. *Physical Review Letters, 117*(6) http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.061602

This article is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Authors are personally responsible for adhering to publisher restrictions or conditions. When uploading content they are required to comply with their publisher agreement and the SHERPA RoMEO database to judge whether or not it is copyright safe to add this version of the paper to this repository. http://www.swansea.ac.uk/iss/researchsupport/cronfa-support/

### Two-loop six gluon all plus helicity amplitude

David C. Dunbar and Warren B. Perkins College of Science, Swansea University, Swansea, SA2 8PP, UK July 19, 2016

We present an analytic expression for the six-point all-plus helicity amplitude in QCD at twoloops. We compute the rational terms in a compact analytic form organised by their singularity structure.

PACS numbers: 04.65.+e

#### INTRODUCTION

Computing scattering amplitudes is a key challenge in confronting theories of particle physics to their predictions and the physical world. For example, the detailed comparison of data from colliders such as the LHC with standard model predictions requires not only leading order computations but NLO and, increasingly, NNLO calculations. Great strides have been made in computing NLO processes however NNLO computations in QCD remain extremely challenging with analytic results only known for a small number of four and a single five-point process. (Reference [?] gives a description of current progress and challenges.)

In this letter we present a proposal for the six-point two-loop amplitude in QCD for a particular helicity configuration. The helicity amplitude we present, where all six external gluons have positive helicity, is a particularly simple and symmetric amplitude where the (colorstripped) amplitude has full cyclic symmetry. (Although this amplitude is a two-loop amplitude it does not contribute at NNLO because the tree amplitude vanishes). In theories with maximal extended supersymmetry the enhanced symmetries and corresponding simplifications have enabled six-point processess to be computed at twoloop [?].

Many direct computational methods, such as Feynman diagrams, which divide amplitudes into a large number of computable pieces produce extremely complex final forms which hide the structure and symmetry of the amplitude. Methods which use the singular structure of the amplitude can produce much simpler expressions. Using singularities to reconstruct amplitudes is a well established method [?] whose deeper understanding is still developing [?]. The singular structure becomes most apparent when we consider amplitudes to be functions of complex momenta and in particular when twistor variables are used [?]. The amplitude then has poles corresponding to physical factorisations and collinear limits and has cuts corresponding to the discontinuities associated with polylogarithms. Tree amplitudes only have poles while cuts arise in loop amplitudes. Amplitudes also have well-defined singular behaviour due to "Infra-Red" (IR) and "Ultra-Violet" (UV) singularities whose form, in dimensional regularisation, is known from general considerations [?]. We have used a multi-technique approach where the IR singular term is first identified, unitarity is then used to reconstruct the "cut-constructible" part of an amplitude [??] and finally "augmented recursion" which is an extended form of Britto-Cachazo-Feng-Witten (BCFW) [?] recursion is used to compute the remaining rational terms. By focusing upon the singular structure we are able to organise this helicity amplitude into a compact analytic form. Although this is a very particular helicity configuration, such configurations provide windows through which to study higher order effects and very special cases to benchmark numerical studies.

The four [?] and five-point [?] all-plus two-loop amplitudes have been previously computed. The fivepoint amplitude was rewritten in a very elegant form in [?]. In [?] we were able to re-derive this result using a knowledge of the "Infra-Red" (IR) singularities together with unitarity and "augmented recursion". The unitarity used four-dimensional cuts for which the one-loop allplus amplitude is indivisible and acts rather like a vertex. In this letter we present the results of applying these combined techniques to compute the six-point amplitude. The result is quite remarkable: from the first  $2 \rightarrow 3$ two-loop QCD process we have been able to bootstrap a  $2 \rightarrow 4$  process.

The leading in color component of the two-loop n-point all-plus amplitude can be expressed

$$\mathcal{A}_{n}^{(2)}(1^{+}, 2^{+}, \cdots, n^{+}) = g^{n+2} \left( N_{c} c_{\Gamma} \right)^{2} \times \left( \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}/Z_{n}} \operatorname{tr}(T^{a_{\sigma(1)}} T^{a_{\sigma(2)}} \cdots T^{a_{\sigma(n)}}) \right)$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{n}^{(2)}(\sigma(1)^{+}, \sigma(2)^{+}, \cdots, \sigma(n)^{+}) \right).$$
(1)

The result we present is for the color-stripped two-loop amplitude  $A_6^{(2)}(1^+, 2^+, \dots, 6^+)$ . The factor  $c_{\Gamma}$  is defined as  $\Gamma(1+\epsilon)\Gamma^2(1-\epsilon)/\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)/(4\pi)^{2-\epsilon}$ .  $S_n/Z_n$  are the cyclically-distinguishable permutations of the *n*-legs and  $T^{a_i}$  are the color-matrices of  $SU(N_c)$ . The IR and UV behaviour of the *n*-point amplitude is very-well specified [?] and allows us to split  $A_n^{(2)}$ into singular terms plus a finite remainder function,  $F_n^{(2)}$ , which is to be determined:

$$A_n^{(2)} = A_n^{(1)} I_n^{(1)} + F_n^{(2)} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) , \qquad (2)$$

where

$$I_n^{(1)} = \left[ -\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{\mu^2}{-s_{i,i+1}} \right)^{\epsilon} + \frac{n\pi^2}{12} \right] .$$
 (3)

Since the corresponding one-loop amplitude is finite there are no  $\epsilon^{-1}$  IR terms in this unrenormalised amplitude [?]. In this equation  $A_n^{(1)}$  is the all- $\epsilon$  form of the one-loop amplitude [??]. Although the one-loop amplitude is rational to  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)$ , the all- $\epsilon$  expression contains polylogarithms which, when combined with the  $\epsilon^{-2}$  factor generate finite polylogarithms in the two-loop amplitude.  $F_n^{(2)}$  contains further polylogarithmic terms,  $P_n^{(2)}$  and rational terms  $R_n^{(2)}$ :

$$F_n^{(2)} = P_n^{(2)} + R_n^{(2)} . (4)$$

In [?] a form for  $F_n^{(2)}$  was proposed based upon unitarity. This is valid for all-*n* and is a simple compact analytic expression. In this letter we present a compact analytic expression for the rational remainder function  $R_6^{(2)}$ . This was derived using augmented recursive methods and is presented in a form emphasising its factorisation structure.

For n = 6 the ansatz for  $P_n^{(2)}$  reduces to

$$P_6^{(2)} = -\frac{i}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{\sum_{r=1}^{2} c_{r,i} F_{6:r,i}^{2m}}{\langle 1 2 \rangle \langle 2 3 \rangle \langle 3 4 \rangle \langle 4 5 \rangle \langle 5 6 \rangle \langle 6 1 \rangle}, \quad (5)$$

where the coefficients,  $c_{r,i}$ , simplify for n = 6 to

$$c_{1,i} = -s_{i+2,i+3}s_{i+3,i+4} + \frac{\langle i-1|KQK|i+1\rangle}{\langle i-1\,i+1\rangle} ,$$
  

$$c_{2,i} = s_{i+3,i+4}\frac{\langle i-1|k_{i+4}k_{i+3}|i+2\rangle}{\langle i-1\,i+2\rangle} ,$$
(6)

where  $K = k_{i+2} + k_{i+3} + k_{i+4}$  and  $Q = k_{i+2}k_{i+3} + k_{i+2}k_{i+4} + k_{i+3}k_{i+4}$ . The  $F_{6:r,i}^{2m}$  are defined as

$$F_{6:r,i}^{2m} = F^{2m}[t_{i-1}^{[r+1]}, t_i^{[r+1]}, t_i^{[r]}, t_{i+r+1}^{[4-r]}]$$
(7)

where

$$t_i^{[r]} = (k_i + k_{i+1} + \dots + k_{i+r-1})^2 \tag{8}$$

are kinematic invariants. Specifically  $t_i^{[1]} = 0$ ,  $t_i^{[2]} = (k_i + k_{i+1})^2 = s_{i,i+1}$  and  $t_i^{[3]} = (k_i + k_{i+1} + k_{i+2})^2 \equiv t_{i,i+1,i+2}$  [?

]. The  $F^{2m}$  are combinations of polylogarithms given by

$$F^{2m}[S, T, K_2^2, K_4^2] = \text{Li}_2[1 - \frac{K_2^2}{S}] + \text{Li}_2[1 - \frac{K_2^2}{T}] + \text{Li}_2[1 - \frac{K_4^2}{S}] + \text{Li}_2[1 - \frac{K_4^2}{T}] - \text{Li}_2[1 - \frac{K_2^2K_4^2}{ST}] + \text{Log}^2(S/T)/2.$$
(9)

The  $F^{2m}$  are related to scalar one-loop integral functions, specifically the two-mass-easy boxes. They are not the four-dimensional scalar integrals but can be thought of as either the eight dimensional box integrals or the four dimensional boxes truncated to remove singularities (and scaled) [? ? ]. For r = 1 the two-mass function reduces smoothly to the corresponding one-mass box integral function.

## **EXPLICIT FORM OF** $R_6^{(2)}$

We now present the explicit expression for  $R_6^{(2)}$  which completes the six-point amplitude. This was calculated using augmented recursion. In augmented recursion, modified BCFW recursion is applied to the rational part of the two-loop amplitude. This is complicated by the fact that the rational terms contain double poles and consequently a knowledge of the sub-leading pole is required. There are currently no theorems which specify this sub-leading pole but it may be computed using some off-shell information in a case by case manner [???]. Additionally, the BCFW shift of a pair of momenta gives a contribution from asymptotically large shifts which is not readily determined, so an alternate shift [?] must be used [?]. The shift introduces an arbitrary reference spinor,  $\eta$ , and breaks cyclic symmetry by selecting three legs to shift. Recovering cyclic symmetry and  $\eta$ independence are non-trivial consistency checks [?].

The resulting expression for  $R_6^{(2)}$  can be written

$$R_6^{(2)} = \frac{i}{36} \sum_{i=1}^6 \frac{G[i, i+1, i+2, i+3, i+4, i+5]}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle \langle 61 \rangle} \quad (10)$$

where the summation is over the six-cyclic permutations of the legs. The function G[a, b, c, d, e, f] is organised according to its singular structures,

$$G[a, b, c, d, e, f] = G_1 + G_2 + G_3 + G_4 + G_5 .$$
(11)

The first of these,

$$G_{1} = \frac{4 \langle a f \rangle \langle c d \rangle [d f] s_{df}}{t_{abc}} \times \left( [c a] - \frac{[d c] s_{bc}}{[d|K_{bc}|a\rangle} - \frac{[a f] s_{ab}}{[f|K_{ab}|c\rangle} \right), \quad (12)$$

where  $K_{ab} = k_a + k_b$  etc. This contains the multi-particle pole in  $t_{abc}$ . These only appear for six or more legs. As  $t_{abc} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $G_1$  factorises into the product of two one-loop amplitudes (both of which are purely rational)

$$G_1 \longrightarrow A_4^{(1)}(a^+, b^+, c^+, P^+) \frac{i}{P^2} A_4^{(1)}(-P^-, d^+, e^+, f^+) .$$
(13)

The function  $R_6^{(2)}$  has double poles (in complex momenta) as  $\langle a b \rangle \longrightarrow 0$ . These are contained in the functions  $G_2$  and  $G_3$ . Where

$$G_2 = H_{b,c,a,f} + H_{c,d,a,f} + H_{d,e,a,f}$$
(14)

with

$$H_{d,e,a,f} = \frac{[d e] [a f]}{\langle d e \rangle \langle a f \rangle} \left( -6 \langle a d \rangle^2 \langle e f \rangle^2 + \frac{52}{3} \langle a d \rangle \langle e f \rangle \langle a e \rangle \langle d f \rangle - \frac{28}{3} \langle a e \rangle^2 \langle d f \rangle^2 \right)$$
(15)

and

$$G_{3} = -4\left(\left[b\,c\right]\left[e|K_{af}|c\right\rangle + \left[b\,e\right]\left\langle e\,d\right\rangle\left[e\,d\right]\right) \times \frac{\left[e\,b\right]\left[a\,f\right]\left\langle a\,b\right\rangle\left\langle e\,f\right\rangle\left\langle a\,e\right\rangle\left\langle b\,f\right\rangle}{\left\langle a\,f\right\rangle\left[b|K_{af}|e\right\rangle\left[e|K_{af}|b\right\rangle} \,.$$
(16)

The  $\langle a f \rangle^{-1}$  and  $\langle d e \rangle^{-1}$  poles in these functions combine with the prefactor to yield double poles.

The combination  $G_1 + G_2 + G_3$  has many of the correct physical poles but has unphysical coplanar singularities when e.g.  $[d|K_{bc}|a\rangle \longrightarrow 0$ . These are removed by adding  $G_4$ , where

$$G_4 = -2\frac{K_{a,b,c,d,e,f} + K_{a,f,e,d,c,b}}{[a|K_{bc}|d\rangle[d|K_{bc}|a\rangle}$$
(17)

with

$$K_{a,b,c,d,e,f} = 2[a|K_{bc}|d\rangle [bd] \langle ab \rangle \left(-(s_{ed} + s_{af})s_{bd} - [bc] \langle bd \rangle \langle ac \rangle [ad]\right) - [d|K_{af}K_{ed}|a] \langle ad \rangle [dc] [ab] \langle ca \rangle \langle bd \rangle + [bc]^{2} [ad]^{2} \langle cd \rangle \langle ba \rangle \langle bd \rangle \langle ca \rangle .$$
(18)

The final term is pole free and when combined with the prefactor in eq. (??) only contributes single poles as  $\langle a b \rangle \longrightarrow 0$ ,

$$G_5 = s_{ab} \left( -4s_{ab} + \frac{8}{3}s_{cd} + \frac{68}{3}t_{bcd} + 28t_{cde} - 4s_{ad} + 24s_{be} \right) + \frac{22}{3}t_{abc}t_{bcd} .$$
(19)

The function  $R_6^{(2)}$  has all the correct collinear limits, factorisations and symmetries. The expression was ini-

tially computed using recursion yielding a considerably more complex expression which was simplified by organising terms according to their singular structure. The unphysical singularities cancel amongst the terms.

#### CONCLUSIONS

In this letter we have completed a proposal for one of the six-point two-loop helicity amplitudes in QCD. This has been bootstrapped from lower point amplitudes. There are some assumptions regarding this : we have assumed that we can identify the poles for complex momenta and that the shift vanishes at infinity. We also assume the one-loop amplitude is written in a form which satisfies one-loop factorisation to all orders in  $\epsilon$ . Nonetheless the final form is rather compelling and satisfies a wide variety of consistence checks. We have recently learned that a forthcoming computation using a local integrand representation of the complete D-dimensional amplitude has verified the rational form [?].

The techniques we have applied are essentially oneloop techniques: the higher transcendality polylogarithms lie within the singular terms and the remainder function has the transcendality of one-loop amplitudes. With these techniques we have been able to compute the first six-point QCD two-loop amplitude. Although this is a very particular, highly symmetric configuration, further processes may be amenable to these techniques and we may be opening a window into the analytic structure of multi-loop amplitudes.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Simon Badger, Gustav Mogull and Tiziano Peraro for sharing information regarding an independent computation of this amplitude that will appear soon. This work was supported by STFC grant ST/L000369/1.

- [1] J. R. Andersen et al., arXiv:1605.04692 [hep-ph].
- [2] L. J. Dixon, J. M. Drummond and J. M. Henn, JHEP **1201** (2012) 024 doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2012)024 [arXiv:1111.1704 [hep-th]].
- [3] R.J. Eden, P.V. Landshoff, D.I. Olive, J.C. Polkinghorne, *The Analytic S Matrix*, (Cambridge University Press, 1966).
- [4] N. Arkani-Hamed and J. Trnka, JHEP **1410** (2014) 30 [arXiv:1312.2007 [hep-th]].
- [5] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. 252 (2004) 189 doi:10.1007/s00220-004-1187-3 [hep-th/0312171].
- [6] S. Catani, Phys. Lett. B 427 (1998) 161 doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00332-3 [hep-ph/9802439].
- [7] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, D. C. Dunbar and D. A. Kosower, Nucl. Phys. B 425 (1994) 217 [hep-ph/9403226].

- [8] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, D. C. Dunbar, D. A. Kosower, Nucl. Phys. B435 (1995) 59 [hep-ph/9409265].
- [9] R. Britto, F. Cachazo, B. Feng and E. Witten, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 181602 [hep-th/0501052].
- [10] Z. Bern, A. De Freitas and L. J. Dixon, JHEP 0203
   (2002) 018 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/03/018 [hep-ph/0201161].
- S. Badger, H. Frellesvig and Y. Zhang, JHEP
   1312 (2013) 045 doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2013)045
   [arXiv:1310.1051 [hep-ph]].
- [12] T. Gehrmann, J. M. Henn and N. A. Lo Presti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116** (2016) 6, 062001 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.062001 [arXiv:1511.05409 [hep-ph]].
- [13] D. C. Dunbar and W. B. Perkins, arXiv:1603.07514 [hepth].
- [14] Z. Bern, G. Chalmers, L. J. Dixon and D. A. Kosower, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72** (1994) 2134 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.2134 [hep-ph/9312333].
- [15] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, D. C. Dunbar and D. A. Kosower, Phys. Lett. B **394** (1997) 105 doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(96)01676-0 [hep-th/9611127].
- [16] D. C. Dunbar, G. R. Jehu and W. B. Perkins, arXiv:1604.06631 [hep-th].

- [17] As usual, a null momentum is represented as a pair of two component spinors  $p^{\mu} = \sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\lambda^{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ . For real momenta  $\lambda = \pm \bar{\lambda}^*$  but for complex momenta  $\lambda$  and  $\bar{\lambda}$  are independent. We use the usual spinor products  $\langle j l \rangle \equiv \langle j^- | l^+ \rangle = \bar{u}_-(k_j)u_+(k_l)$  and  $[j l] \equiv \langle j^+ | l^- \rangle =$  $\bar{u}_+(k_j)u_-(k_l)$ . In terms of spinors  $\langle a b \rangle = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\lambda^{\alpha}_a\lambda^{\beta}_b$ and  $[a b] = -\epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}_a\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}}_b$ . We also use  $[i|K_{abc}|j\rangle$  to denote  $\langle i^+|\vec{K}_{abc}|j^+ \rangle$  with  $K^{\mu}_{abc} = k^{\mu}_a + k^{\mu}_b + k^{\mu}_c$  etc. Also  $s_{ab} = (k_a + k_b)^2$ ,  $t_{abc} = (k_a + k_b + k_c)^2$ , etc.
- [18] S. J. Bidder, N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, D. C. Dunbar and W. B. Perkins, Phys. Lett. B 612 (2005) 75 [hepth/0502028].
- [19] R. Britto, F. Cachazo and B. Feng, Nucl. Phys. B 725 (2005) 275 [hep-th/0412103].
- [20] D. C. Dunbar, J. H. Ettle and W. B. Perkins, JHEP 1006 (2010) 027 [arXiv:1003.3398 [hep-th]].
- S. D. Alston, D. C. Dunbar and W. B. Perkins, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) 6, 065024 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.065024 [arXiv:1507.08882 [hep-th]].
- [22] D. C. Dunbar and W. B. Perkins, arXiv:1601.03918 [hepth].
- [23] K. Risager, JHEP 0512 (2005) 003 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/12/003 [hep-th/0508206].
- [24] W. B. Perkins, in preparation
- [25] S. Badger, G. Mogull and T. Peraro, to appear.