



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in : *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*

Cronfa URL for this paper: http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa26473

Paper:

Doak, S. (2016). Opinion of the Scientific Committee on consumer safety (SCCS) - Opinion on the use of 2,2methylene-bis-(6-(2H-benzotriazol-2-yl)-4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol) (nano) – S79 – In cosmetic products. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2016.01.002

This article is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Authors are personally responsible for adhering to publisher restrictions or conditions. When uploading content they are required to comply with their publisher agreement and the SHERPA RoMEO database to judge whether or not it is copyright safe to add this version of the paper to this repository. http://www.swansea.ac.uk/iss/researchsupport/cronfa-support/

Accepted Manuscript

Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Consumer safety (SCCS) - Opinion on the use of 2,2'-methylene-bis-(6-(2H-benzotriazol-2-yl)-4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol) (nano) – S79 - in cosmetic products

Dr. S.H. Doak

PII: S0273-2300(16)30002-2

DOI: 10.1016/j.yrtph.2016.01.002

Reference: YRTPH 3487

To appear in: Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology

Received Date: 4 January 2016

Accepted Date: 5 January 2016

Please cite this article as: Scientific Committee of Consumer Safety, Doak, S.H., Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Consumer safety (SCCS) - Opinion on the use of 2,2'-methylene-bis-(6-(2H-benzotriazol-2-yl)-4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol) (nano) – S79 - in cosmetic products, *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.yrtph.2016.01.002.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



<u>Article type</u>: Commentary

Author's information

- Corresponding author: Scientific Committee of Consumer Safety SCCS
- Order of authors: SCCS, Dr. S. H. Doak^{b 1}
- Corresponding author institution and address:

SCCS Secretariat at the European Commission, Directorate General for Health and Food Safety, 11, rue E. Ruppert, L-2920 Luxembourg, Luxembourg, e-mail: SANTE-C2-SCCS@ec.europa.eu

• Author affiliation:

^b Swansea University Medical School, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, Wales, UK

¹Rapporteur of the Opinion

<u>Keywords:</u> SCCS, scientific opinion, UV-filter, S79, 2,2'-methylene-bis-(6-(2H-benzotriazol-2-yl)-4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol) nano, Regulation 1223/2009, CAS 103597-45-1, EC 403-800-1

Conclusion of the opinion

The calculation for margin of safety in this Opinion is based on a 39-week dermal toxicity study in the mini-pig, as no repeated dose toxicity study with the nano-sized material is available in rats. Also, dermal penetration data are not available for mini-pig skin. The SCCS has based this Opinion on the overall weight of evidence that suggests a very low absorption of MBBT in human skin, and the lack of adverse effects in mini-pigs up to the highest dose tested (1000mg a.i./kg bw/day) over 39 weeks. These together indicate that dermal application of nano-sized MBBT with regard to systemic effects is not a safety concern. The SCCS has therefore concluded that the use of MBBT [2,2'-methylene-bis-(6(2H-benzotriazol-2-yl)-4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol)] in nano-structured form with the following characteristics as a UV-filter at a concentration up to 10% in dermally applied cosmetic products is considered to not pose a risk of adverse effects in humans after application on healthy, intact skin:

The material has a purity of \geq 98.5% with the isomer faction not exceeding 1.5%, and the impurity profile not significantly different from that indicated in section 3.1.5.

The material has a median particle size (d0.5) of 120 nm or larger in terms of mass distribution, and/or 60 nm or larger in terms of number size distribution (by laser diffraction).

The material complies with other physicochemical specifications of the evaluated material as listed under section 3.1 of this opinion in terms of chemical identity, physical form, chemical composition, solubility, zeta potential, etc.

In view of the limited available information on inhalation toxicity, which indicates severe inflammatory effects of microfine MBBT in the respiratory tract, caution is warranted against the use of the material in applications that could lead to exposure of the consumer's lungs by inhalation. This Opinion therefore does not apply to such

particle forms. If any new evidence emerges in the future to show that the nano-form of MBBT used in cosmetic products can penetrate skin (healthy, compromised, sunburnt or damaged skin) in any significant amounts to reach viable cells, the SCCS may consider revising this assessment.

The in vitro genotoxicity assessment of MBBT was negative in two different test systems. These tests were appropriately applied and demonstrate that there was no evidence for chromosomal damage or mutagenicity when mammalian cells were exposed to both nonmicronised and nano-forms of MBBT. Although these test data are accepted by the SCCS, no experimental data on uptake/internalisation of the particle by cells has been provided.

In addition, the SCCS has the following concerns:

- In the study in rats, clinical effects (pain and vocalisation) after dermal application were noted at concentrations of 20% (500mg a.i. /kg bw/d and higher). In the carcinogenicity study, scabs were seen at a dose level of 100 mg a.i./kg/bw/day and higher. It is worthwhile to monitor possible irritation effects via the cosmetovigilance programs.

- Given the physicochemical properties (high lipophilicity) of the substance, potential bioaccumulation in selected tissues is of concern, especially over long-term use.

This opinion does not address the effects of MBBT on the environment.

Opinion to be cited as: SCCS (Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety), Opinion on 2,2'methylene-bis-(6(2H-benzotriazol-2-yl)-4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol) nano, SCCS/1546/15, 25 March 2015, revision of 25 June 2015

Authors of the Opinion

SCCS members: Dr. U. Bernauer, Dr. Q. Chaudhry (chairperson), Prof. M. Dusinska, Dr. W. Lilienblum, Dr. E. Nielsen, Prof. T. Platzek, Dr. J. van Benthem
Former SCCS member: Dr. S. Ch. Rastogi
SCENIHR members: Prof. P. H. M. Hoet, Dr. K. Rydzynski
External experts: Dr. O. Bussolati, Dr. N. von Goetz, Dr. S. H. Doak (rapporteur), Dr. T. Jung

SCCS Number: SCCS/1546/15 Doi: / Adopted on: 25 June 2015

Link to the SCCS Opinion: http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/consumer_safety/docs/sccs_o_168.pdf

4	
5	Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Consumer safety (SCCS) - Opinion on the use of 2,2'-
6	methylene-bis-(6-(2H-benzotriazol-2-yl)-4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol) (nano) – S79 - in
7	cosmetic products
8	
9	

10 The substance 2,2'-methylene-bis-(6(2H-benotriazol-2-yl)-4-(l, 1,3,3-11 tetramethylbutyl)phenol) (MBBT) (CAS No 103597-45-1). Methylene bis-benzotriazolyl 12 tetramethylbutylphenol (INCI) (COLIPA S79) is currently regulated in Entry 23 Annex VI of the Cosmetics Regulation (EC) 1223/2009 with the maximum concentration of 10% in 13 14 cosmetic products such as sunscreens, day care products and skin lightening products. The safety of mentioned use conditions was confirmed by the Scientific Committee on 15 Cosmetic Products and Non-Food (SCCNFP) in 1998 following submission I by COLIPA¹ 16 17 (SCCNFP/0080/98)².

Submission II on MBBT was submitted to the Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety
(SCCS) in January 2013 to assess the safety of its nano form. The SCCS opinion
concluded that:

21 "Since no appropriate data on genotoxicity of nano form of MBBT were provided, no22 conclusion on the safety of this substance can be drawn. However regarding systemic

¹ COLIPA - now Cosmetics Europe, - European Cosmetics Toiletry and Perfumery Association

² <u>http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific committees/consumer safety/opinions/sccnfp opinions 97 04/sccp out73 en.htm</u>

In August 2014 the applicant has transmitted a new safety dossier that summarizes
analytical and toxicological results obtained for genotoxicity studies performed with nano
and non-nano forms of MBBT.

6 The calculation for margin of safety in this Opinion is based on a 39-week dermal toxicity 7 study in the mini-pig, as no repeated dose toxicity study with the nano-sized material is 8 available in rats. Also, dermal penetration data are not available for mini-pig skin. The 9 SCCS has based this Opinion on the overall weight of evidence that suggests a very low 10 absorption of MBBT in human skin, and the lack of adverse effects in mini-pigs up to the highest dose tested (1000mg a.i./kg bw/day) over 39 weeks. These together indicate 11 12 that dermal application of nano-sized MBBT with regard to systemic effects is not a safety 13 concern. The SCCS has therefore concluded that the use of MBBT [2,2'-methylene-bis-14 (6(2H-benzotriazol-2-vl)-4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol)] in nano-structured form with the following characteristics as a UV-filter at a concentration up to 10% in dermally 15 applied cosmetic products is considered to not pose a risk of adverse effects in humans 16 after application on healthy, intact skin: 17

18 The material has a purity of \geq 98.5% with the isomer faction not exceeding 1.5%, and 19 the impurity profile not significantly different from that indicated in section 3.1.5.

The material has a median particle size (d0.5) of 120 nm or larger in terms of mass distribution, and/or 60 nm or larger in terms of number size distribution (by laser diffraction).

The material complies with other physicochemical specifications of the evaluated material as listed under section 3.1 of this opinion in terms of chemical identity, physical form, chemical composition, solubility, zeta potential, etc.

³ http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific committees/consumer safety/docs/sccso 129.pdf

4 consumer's lungs by inhalation. This Opinion therefore does not apply to such
5 applications that might lead to exposure of the consumer's lungs to MBBT nanoparticles
6 by inhalation.

7 It should also be noted that this Opinion is based on the currently available scientific 8 evidence, which shows an overall very low dermal absorption of MBBT in nano- or larger 9 particle forms. If any new evidence emerges in the future to show that the nano-form of 10 MBBT used in cosmetic products can penetrate skin (healthy, compromised, sunburnt or 11 damaged skin) in any significant amounts to reach viable cells, the SCCS may consider 12 revising this assessment.

The in vitro genotoxicity assessment of MBBT was negative in two different test systems. These tests were appropriately applied and demonstrate that there was no evidence for chromosomal damage or mutagenicity when mammalian cells were exposed to both nonmicronised and nano-forms of MBBT. Although these test data are accepted by the SCCS, no experimental data on uptake/internalisation of the particle by cells has been provided.

18 In addition, the SCCS has the following concerns:

In the study in rats, clinical effects (pain and vocalisation) after dermal application were
noted at concentrations of 20% (500mg a.i. /kg bw/d and higher). In the carcinogenicity
study, scabs were seen at a dose level of 100 mg a.i./kg/bw/day and higher. It is
worthwhile to monitor possible irritation effects via the cosmetovigilance programs.

- Given the physicochemical properties (high lipophilicity) of the substance, potential
bioaccumulation in selected tissues is of concern, especially over long-term use.

25 This opinion does not address the effects of MBBT on the environment.

- 4 benzotriazol-2-yl)-4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol) nano, Regulation 1223/2009, CAS
- 5 103597-45-1, EC 403-800-1
- 6
- 7 <u>Reference:</u>
- 8 <u>http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/consumer_safety/docs/sccs_o_168.pdf</u>

CER HA

- selected tissues is of concern
- This opinion does not address the effects of MBBT (nano) on the environment.

Max. 125 characters incl. spaces per highlight