



Swansea University
Prifysgol Abertawe



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in :
Journal of Marine Systems

Cronfa URL for this paper:

<http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa22015>

Paper:

Agirbas, E., Martinez-Vicente, V., Brewin, R., Racault, M., Airs, R. & Llewellyn, C. (2015). Temporal changes in total and size-fractionated chlorophyll-a in surface waters of three provinces in the Atlantic Ocean (September to November) between 2003 and 2010. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 150, 56-65.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2015.05.008>

This article is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Authors are personally responsible for adhering to publisher restrictions or conditions. When uploading content they are required to comply with their publisher agreement and the SHERPA RoMEO database to judge whether or not it is copyright safe to add this version of the paper to this repository.

<http://www.swansea.ac.uk/iss/researchsupport/cronfa-support/>

Accepted Manuscript

Temporal changes in total and size-fractioned chlorophyll-a in surface waters of three provinces in the Atlantic Ocean (September to November) between 2003 and 2010

Ertugrul Agirbas, Victor Martinez-Vicente, Robert J.W. Brewin, Marie-Fanny Racault, Ruth Airs, Carole Llewellyn

PII: S0924-7963(15)00108-6
DOI: doi: [10.1016/j.jmarsys.2015.05.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2015.05.008)
Reference: MARSYS 2713

To appear in: *Journal of Marine Systems*

Received date: 16 February 2015
Revised date: 22 April 2015
Accepted date: 20 May 2015



Please cite this article as: Agirbas, Ertugrul, Martinez-Vicente, Victor, Brewin, Robert J.W., Racault, Marie-Fanny, Airs, Ruth, Llewellyn, Carole, Temporal changes in total and size-fractioned chlorophyll-a in surface waters of three provinces in the Atlantic Ocean (September to November) between 2003 and 2010, *Journal of Marine Systems* (2015), doi: [10.1016/j.jmarsys.2015.05.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2015.05.008)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Temporal changes in total and size-fractionated chlorophyll-a in surface waters of three provinces in the Atlantic Ocean (September to November) between 2003 and 2010

Ertugrul Agirbas^{1,2}, Victor Martinez-Vicente², Robert J. W. Brewin^{2,3},

Marie-Fanny Racault², Ruth Airs² and Carole Llewellyn^{2,4*}

¹Recep Tayyip Erdogan University, Faculty of Fisheries, 53100, Rize, Turkey

²Plymouth Marine Laboratory (PML), Prospect Place, the Hoe, PL1 3DH, Plymouth, UK

³National Centre for Earth Observation, PML, Prospect Place, the Hoe, PL1 3DH, Plymouth,
UK

⁴Swansea University, Department of Biosciences, Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, Swansea, Wales,
UK

*Corresponding author: c.a.llewellyn@swansea.ac.uk

Tel: +44 1792 606168

ABSTRACT

Phytoplankton total chlorophyll concentration (TCHL_a) and phytoplankton size structure are two important ecological indicators in biological oceanography. Using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) pigment data, collected from surface waters along the Atlantic Meridional Transect (AMT), we examine temporal changes in TCHL_a and phytoplankton size class (PSC: micro-, nano- and pico-phytoplankton) between 2003 and 2010 (September to November cruises only), in three ecological provinces of the Atlantic Ocean. The HPLC data indicate no significant change in TCHL_a in northern and equatorial provinces, and an increase in the southern province. These changes were not significantly different to changes in TCHL_a derived using satellite ocean-colour data over the same study period. Despite no change in AMT TCHL_a in northern and equatorial provinces, significant differences in PSC were observed, related to changes in key diagnostic pigments (fucoxanthin, peridinin, 19'-hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin and zeaxanthin), with an increase in small cells (nano- and pico-phytoplankton) and a decrease in larger cells (micro-phytoplankton). When fitting a three-component model of phytoplankton size structure – designed to quantify the relationship between PSC and TCHL_a – to each AMT cruise, model parameters varied over the study period. Changes in the relationship between PSC and TCHL_a have wide implications in ecology and marine biogeochemistry, and provide key information for the development and use of empirical ocean-colour algorithms. Results illustrate the importance of maintaining a time-series of *in-situ* observations in remote regions of the ocean, such as that acquired in the AMT programme.

Key words: Atlantic Meridional Transect, temporal changes, in-situ, remote-sensing, Chlorophyll, Phytoplankton size class

1. Introduction

Phytoplankton are responsible for ~50% of global net primary production (Longhurst et al., 1995; Field et al., 1998). Together with physical processes, phytoplankton modulate the total CO₂ concentration and pH of the ocean, and the air-sea exchange of CO₂ (Takahashi et al., 2002). Forming the base of the food web, variations in phytoplankton biomass influence the availability and transfer of energy to higher trophic levels, as illustrated by its control on global fisheries catch (Chassot et al., 2010). In addition to phytoplankton biomass, community

size structure also plays a pivotal role in marine biogeochemistry. Phytoplankton cell size influences metabolic rates, growth and affinity for nutrients (Chisholm, 1992; Marañón, 2009), the structure and trophic interactions of the marine food web (Maloney and Field, 1991) and the export of carbon to the deep-ocean (Laws et al., 2000; Guidi et al., 2009). It is for these reasons phytoplankton biomass and size structure are recognised as key ecological indicators in the marine environment (Platt and Sathyendranath, 2008). Changes in these indicators may help to detect how the marine ecosystem may respond to natural variability (e.g. innate climate oscillations) and human-induced change (e.g. anthropogenic climate change).

Total chlorophyll concentration (TCHL_a), the major photosynthetic pigment in marine phytoplankton, is typically used as a simple metric for phytoplankton biomass, partly due to difficulties in measuring carbon biomass. TCHL_a can be routinely estimated *in-situ* (e.g. fluorometrically or using High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)) or by using satellite remote-sensing of ocean colour (O'Reilly et al., 1998). Size-fractionated chlorophyll is commonly used as a metric for phytoplankton size structure and can be estimated through either HPLC diagnostic pigment analysis (e.g. Vidussi et al., 2001; Uitz et al., 2006; Brewin et al., 2010; Hirata et al., 2011; Devred et al., 2011), or through size-fractionated filtration (e.g. Marañón et al., 2012; Brewin et al., 2014a, b). These two techniques may be used to partition TCHL_a into three phytoplankton size classes (PSC), micro- (>20µm), nano- (2-20µm) and pico-phytoplankton (<2 µm). Recent studies illustrate a tight coupling between TCHL_a, PSC and physical forcing (Behrenfeld, 2006; Martinez et al., 2009; Kostadinov et al., 2010; Brewin et al., 2012). However, long-term changes in these two ecological indicators remain non-trivial to evaluate (e.g. Gregg and Conkright, 2002; Antoine et al., 2005; Vantrepotte & Mélin, 2009; Boyce et al., 2010; Kostadinov et al., 2010; Mackas, 2011; McQuatters-Gollop et al., 2011; Vantrepotte & Mélin, 2011; Brewin et al., 2012; Racault et al., 2014a).

Global analysis of *in-situ* data illustrates coherent relationships between PSC and TCHL_a, which have been quantified using various statistical methods and applied to remotely-sensed observations of TCHL_a to map PSCs at regional to global scales (Vidussi et al., 2001; Uitz et al., 2006; Brewin et al., 2010; Hirata et al., 2011; Marañón et al., 2012; Brotas et al., 2013; IOCCG, 2014). Even standard empirical algorithms used for estimating TCHL_a from satellite ocean-colour data implicitly assume a fixed relationship between TCHL_a and PSC (Dierssen et al., 2010, IOCCG, 2014). This assumption implies a change in

one indicator will result in predictable modification in the other. However, proposed statistical relationships between TCHLa and PSC are based on past observations and these relationships may change in a future marine ecosystem. Since these two indicators have differing roles in ecology and marine biogeochemistry, changes in the relationship between the two may have wide ramifications. Monitoring both indicators is thus required to ensure comprehensive ecosystem management (Racault et al., 2014b).

The Atlantic Meridional Transect (AMT) Programme is a UK National Environmental Research Council (NERC) funded project consisting of a time-series of oceanographic stations along a 13,500km north-south transect (50°N-50°S) in the Atlantic Ocean (Aiken et al., 2000; Robinson et al., 2006). The AMT programme began in 1995, with the aim to quantify the nature and causes of biogeochemical and ecological variability in plankton of the Atlantic Ocean, and to assess the effects of this variability on air-sea gas and aerosol exchange, and biological carbon cycling (Robinson et al., 2006). It was also used for the calibration and validation of measurements and products from the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS) (Hooker and McClain, 2000). It is one of only a few programmes that conduct systematic surveys over large areas of the ocean, crossing a range of ecosystems from the eutrophic sub-polar shelf seas and upwelling systems to the oligotrophic gyres of the North and South Atlantic. The AMT dataset includes arguably the most coherent set of repeated observations on phytoplankton pigments ever made at ocean basin scales (Gibb et al., 2000; Barlow et al., 2002; Poulton et al., 2006; Aiken et al., 2009). The objectives of this study were to: i) elucidate any change in TCHLa and PSC in three key ecological provinces of the Atlantic Ocean over the period 2003-2010 (September to November); ii) investigate any change in the relationship between the two indicators (TCHLa and PSC) over the same period. To do this, we computed trends in TCHLa and PSC using surface pigment measurements collected along the AMT transect in each province. Changes in TCHLa were also compared with corresponding estimates from satellite ocean-colour data. The three-component model of Brewin et al. (2010), designed to quantify the relationship between TCHLa and PSC, was fitted to surface *in-situ* data collected along each of the AMT transects to test if the relationship between the two indicators changed over the study period. Finally, we discuss the use of empirical algorithms for the detection of TCHLa from satellite remote sensing and the wider implications of our results in the context of marine biogeochemistry and ecology.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. HPLC pigment data

Phytoplankton pigment data from AMT cruises 13-20, covering the time period of 2003-2010, were extracted from the AMT database (<https://www.bodc.ac.uk>). Only pigment data between 2003 and 2010 were used (as opposed to including data from the 1995-2000 period) because: 1) the focus of our study was on changes in TCHLa and PSC over the past decade; 2) we wanted to limit the influence of large-scale global climate oscillations on our results, and the years 1997 and 1998 were marked by some of the largest El Niño, followed by La Niña events recorded in the past century (Wolter and Timlin, 1998), showing large impacts on global phytoplankton productivity between 1997 to 2000 (Behrenfeld et al., 2006); 3) a relatively consistent number (see Table 1) of samples were collected on AMT cruises 13-20 in the boreal Autumn; and 4) we wanted coincidental availability of *in-situ* and remote-sensing data (available post 1997). The following quality control methods were applied to the dataset:

1) For consistency with the remote-sensing data, we only retained pigment samples from the first optical depth. This depth can be approximated as $Z_{eu}/4.6$ (Gordon and McCluney, 1975), with Z_{eu} being the euphotic depth (i.e. the depth where Photosynthetically Available Radiation (PAR) is reduced to 1% of its value just below the surface). The euphotic depth was estimated from surface TCHLa using the method of Morel et al. (2007) and cross-checked with *in-situ* measurements of PAR.

2) To minimise the effect of seasonality, we separated the data collected during boreal spring and autumn (AMT cruises April-May-June and September-October-November). In our analyses, we consider pigment data from the boreal autumn only, which was collected over the 2003-2010 period (boreal spring cruises ceased from 2005). Note that September to November (boreal autumn) constitutes autumn in the northern hemisphere but spring in the southern (austral) hemisphere, and therefore, the influence of seasonality is only minimised for data within a province and not between provinces.

3) To minimise differences in cruise track sampling, we focused our analysis on open-ocean provinces that have been monitored consistently over the AMT programme. Specifically, we selected the pigment data sampled within the Longhurst (2007) biogeochemical provinces of the lower latitudes of the Atlantic Ocean: NATL (North Atlantic Gyre), TRA (Tropical Atlantic) and SATL (South Atlantic Gyre), as shown in Figure 1.

4) Finally, we applied the method of Aiken et al. (2009) to systematically control the quality of the pigment data.

The selected 240 samples that passed these quality control procedures are listed in Table 1 for each cruise. Data in the first optical depth is also plotted for each cruise track in Figure 1.

2.2. Pigment Analysis

Water samples (1-4l) were collected from selected depths using the rosette samples on the CTD, filtered through GF/F filters (nominal pore size 0.7 μm), flash frozen in liquid nitrogen (-196°C), and then stored at -80°C until the analysis was performed (Roy et al., 2011). Pigment analysis methods were consistent for the selected cruises (Poulton et al., 2006; Aiken et al., 2009). Phytoplankton pigments for recent AMT cruises (18-20; Airs & Martinez-Vicente, 2014a,b,c.) were determined by HPLC analysis, using methods reported by Barlow et al. (1997, 2004), Tilstone et al. (2010) and Llewellyn et al. (2012), using solvent extraction and Thermo-Fisher instrumentation with photo-diode array spectroscopy (PDA) and Chromo-Quest software. Pigments were identified using retention time and spectral match PDA (Jeffrey et al. 1997), and pigment concentrations were calculated using response factors generated from calibrations using a suite of pigment standards (DHI Water and Environment, Denmark). Daily, pigment calibration was checked using chlorophyll-*a* and an internal standard (β apo-8'-carotenal) was used to correct for the water content retained in the GF/F filters.

2.3. Remote-sensing data

Level 3, Standard Mapped Images of Chlorophyll-*a* were retrieved for the Atlantic Ocean at 9 km spatial resolution and at 8-day temporal resolution from the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-View Sensor (SeaWiFS) for the period 2003 to 2010, available at <http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/>. We used the reprocessing from 2010 based on the OC4v4 algorithm (Feldman, G. C., C. R. McClain, Ocean Color Web, SeaWiFS Reprocessing (2010)). To assess the spatial and temporal representativeness of discrete AMT sampling we averaged the coincident TCHL*a* from remotely-sensed 8-day composites and AMT measurements over each biogeochemical province of Longhurst (2007) during the period of each cruise. In the present study, ocean colour remote-sensing observations are used to provide a more complete appreciation of the seasonal and inter-annual variability of chlorophyll concentration in the provinces. In this context, the 8-day temporal resolution appeared to be the most relevant, balancing finest temporal resolution and fewest missing data

in the ocean-colour time series. Furthermore, statistical analysis on match-ups of satellite and *in situ* chlorophyll concentration along AMT transects show similar results when using daily ($r^2 = 0.82$; for 241 match-ups, see Brewin et al. (2010)) or 8-day ($r^2 = 0.80$; average r^2 of six cruises, see Fig. 2 of Aiken et al. (2008)) composites.

2.4. Models

In addition to comparing TCHLa trends, we also compared trends in the fractional contribution of three phytoplankton size classes (PSC, micro-, nano- and picophytoplankton) to TCHLa using two models. The model of Uitz et al. (2006) was adopted, which uses *in-situ* pigment data to compute the fractions of each size class, and the model of Brewin et al. (2010), that uses *in-situ* pigment data to fit a conceptual model which is then used to re-compute the fractions of each size class from TCHLa.

The model of Uitz et al. (2006) involves first computing the weighted sum (ΣDPw) of the seven diagnostic pigments, such that $\Sigma DPw = 1.41*[Fucoxanthin] + 1.41*[Peridinin] + 1.27*[19'Hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin] + 0.35*[19'Butanoyloxyfucoxanthin] + 0.60*[Alloxanthin] + 1.01*[Total Chlorophyll-b] + 0.86*[Zeaxanthin]$. The three phytoplankton size fractions were then computed according to: microphytoplankton = $(1.41*[Fucoxanthin] + 1.41*[Peridinin]) / \Sigma DPw$; nanophytoplankton = $(1.27*[19'Hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin] + 0.35*[19'Butanoyloxyfucoxanthin] + 0.60*[Alloxanthin]) / \Sigma DPw$; and picophytoplankton = $(1.01*[Total Chlorophyll-b] + 0.86*[Zeaxanthin]) / \Sigma DPw$.

The model of Brewin et al. (2010) was used in the following manner: (i) using the approach of Uitz et al. (2006) described above, but with the addition of a picoeukaryote adjustment (attributing part of the 19'Hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin pigment to the picophytoplankton pool at low TCHLa, see Brewin *et al.*, 2010), the fractions of each size class to TCHLa were initially estimated; (ii) these fractions and TCHLa were then used to derive model parameters using a least-square-fit; and (iii) model parameters and TCHLa were then used to re-compute the fractions of each size class. The model of Brewin et al. (2010) was fitted to all available data in the 1st optical depth for each AMT cruise (see Figure 2), resulting in a different set of parameters for each year and thus accounting for any potential inter-annual variation in the relationship between PSC and TCHLa.

2.5. Statistics

Multi-annual trends were evaluated, for each province, using linear regression analysis on \log_{10} -transformed TCHLa (Siegel et al., 2013), but not \log_{10} -transformed phytoplankton size fractions. The rate of TCHLa change over the years was calculated by transforming log-scale to linear scale. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for significance in the trends for each province (Sokal and Rolf, 1969). We also used a General Linear Model (GLM) to test if the intercepts and slopes of the TCHLa trends for *in-situ* and SeaWiFS data were significantly different.

3. Results

3.1. Total chlorophyll-*a*

The time-series of *in-situ* TCHLa are superimposed on the SeaWiFS monthly mean TCHLa, averaged for each province, over the 2003 to 2010 period (Figure 3), to visualize differences in the temporal sampling rates of the two datasets. For the NATL and TRA provinces, the *in-situ* data generally lies within the spatial variability of the satellite data (quantified by the spatial standard deviation within a province, SD) at the same point of season. However, for the SATL province, the *in-situ* values are lower than SeaWiFS-derived values, probably a result of the AMT tracks passing through the most oligotrophic (centre) part of the SATL and avoiding the more productive regions at the edges of the province (Figure 1). AMT samples were collected between September and November, which is autumn in the Northern hemisphere (NATL province) and spring in the Southern hemisphere (SATL province). Inter-annual changes in TCHLa may vary with season (Figure 3), especially in provinces with changing phenology (Racault et al., 2012).

Trends in surface *in-situ* (AMT) TCHLa between 2003 and 2010 are plotted in Figure 4. The *in-situ* TCHLa showed no significant trend in the NATL and TRA provinces, but showed a significant increase in the SATL province ($0.008 \pm 0.007 \text{ mg.m}^{-3} \text{ year}^{-1}$). Trends in surface SeaWiFS-derived TCHLa are overlain onto the *in-situ* (AMT) trends in Figure 4. There was a significant decline in SeaWiFS-derived TCHLa in the TRA ($-0.009 \pm 0.003 \text{ mg.m}^{-3} \text{ year}^{-1}$) and the NATL ($-0.008 \pm 0.003 \text{ mg.m}^{-3} \text{ year}^{-1}$) province during the 2003 to 2010 period. In agreement with the *in-situ* (AMT) trends, SeaWiFS-derived TCHLa showed a significant increase in the SATL ($0.008 \pm 0.003 \text{ mg.m}^{-3} \text{ year}^{-1}$). Furthermore, General Linear Model (GLM) results revealed that inter-province differences between *in-situ* and SeaWiFS-derived TCHLa trends were not significant ($p > 0.05$).

3.2. Phytoplankton size fractions

The rate of change in the fractions of PSC derived using the Uitz et al. (2006) and Brewin et al. (2010) methods are plotted in Figure 5 for the three provinces. In the NATL province both methods showed a significant decline in the microphytoplankton fractions ($p < 0.01$, Table 2), with the rate of change between -1.12% and -1.73% year⁻¹. These rates of change were not significantly different between methods (Mann-Whitney U test, Table 2). The nanophytoplankton fractions exhibited an increase of 2.47% year⁻¹ ($p < 0.01$, Table 2) for the Uitz et al. (2006) method and an increase of 0.42% year⁻¹ for the Brewin *et al.* (2010) model, with the magnitude of these increases significantly different between approaches (Mann-Whitney U test, Table 2). The rate of change in picophytoplankton fractions in the NATL were different between methods (Mann-Whitney U test, Table 2), with the Uitz et al. (2006) method showing no significant trend and the Brewin et al. (2010) model showing a significant increase (0.81% year⁻¹, $p < 0.01$, Table 2).

In the TRA province, both the Uitz et al. (2006) and Brewin et al. (2010) methods showed a significant decline in microphytoplankton fractions (-1.12% to -1.19% year⁻¹, $p < 0.01$, Table 2), no large change in the nanophytoplankton fractions, but an increase in the picophytoplankton fraction, which was significant for the Brewin et al. (2010) model (0.92% year⁻¹, $p < 0.01$, Table 2). In the SATL province, the Brewin et al. (2010) and Uitz et al. (2006) methods varied slightly, though these variations were not significantly different according to the Mann-Whitney U test (Table 2). The Brewin et al. (2010) model indicated a decreasing trend in the SATL microphytoplankton fractions, not observed in the Uitz *et al.* (2006) method (Figure 5, Table 2). The Uitz et al. (2006) approach indicated a decline in SATL nanophytoplankton fractions (-1.45% year⁻¹, $p < 0.01$) that was not observed in the Brewin et al. (2010) approach. Both methods showed a significant increase in the picoplankton fraction in the SATL (Figure 5, Table 2).

The parameters of the Brewin et al. (2010) model were found to vary among cruises (Figure 2), implying a modification in the relationship between PSC and TCHLa over the time period. In fact, the parameters representing the maximum chlorophyll concentration for the $<20\mu\text{m}$ and $<2\mu\text{m}$ size class (denoted $C^{\text{m}}_{<20\mu\text{m}}$ and $C^{\text{m}}_{<2\mu\text{m}}$ in Figure 2) were positively correlated with time ($r = 0.52$ and 0.67 respectively), and the initial slopes of the model ($S_{<20\mu\text{m}}$ and $S_{<2\mu\text{m}}$ in Figure 2) negatively correlated with time ($r = -0.71$ and -0.78 respectively). However, given that there were only six parameters (one set for each cruises), these correlations were not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$).

3.3. Phytoplankton pigment ratios

To understand further the variability in PSC over the study period, we looked at trends in different marker pigment ratios in the three provinces (Figure 6). The ratio of both fucoxanthin (the main indicator for diatoms, may also be found in some flagellates) and peridinin (the main indicator of dinoflagellates) to the sum of diagnostic pigments (DP) significantly declined in the NATL and TRA provinces (Figure 6, Table 3), reflecting changes in PSC in both the Brewin et al. (2010) and Uitz et al. (2006) methods (Figure 5).

Changes in the nanophytoplankton fractions (Figure 5) were further investigated by examining the representative pigment ratios of 19'Hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin (marker for haptophytes, Jeffrey et al., 2011), 19-Butanoyloxyfucoxanthin (marker for pelagophytes, Jeffrey et al., 2011) and Alloxanthin (marker for Cryptophytes). The largest changes were observed in ratio of 19'Hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin to DP (haptophytes), with a significant increase in the NATL and decrease in the SATL (Figure 6, Table 3). These changes mimic the changes observed in the nanophytoplankton fraction using the Uitz et al. (2006) method in all provinces (Figure 5), and also reflect the increase in the picophytoplankton fraction in the NATL for the Brewin et al. (2010) model, when considering that in the process of parameterising this model the 19'Hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin pigment is attributed to picophytoplankton at low TCHLa (picoeukaryote adjustment).

Changes in the picophytoplankton fractions (Figure 5) were further investigated by examining TCHLb (marker for green flagellates and prochlorophytes) and zeaxanthin (marker for cyanobacteria and prochlorophytes) pigment ratios (Figure 6). In general, changes in zeaxanthin/DP reflect the trends in PSC using the Uitz et al. (2006) method in all three provinces, and also the Brewin et al. (2010) model in the TRA, indicating the important role of prochlorophytes and cyanobacteria in this size range. The smaller increase in picophytoplankton fractions (Figure 5) in SATL using the Brewin et al. (2010) model (Figure 5), when compared with the Uitz et al. (2006) method, is likely related to a conflicting increase in zeaxanthin/DP and decrease in 19'Hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin/DP, both of which are attributed to picophytoplankton at low TCHLa when parameterising this model. This likely reflects an increase in prochlorophytes and cyanobacteria, with respect to picoeukaryotes, in this province.

4. Discussion

4.1. Trends in Total chlorophyll-a

Over the 2003 to 2010 period, there was no significant trend in AMT *in-situ* TCHLa in the NATL and TRA provinces, but there was a significant increase in the SATL province. The eight-year time-period is too short to deduce any long-term trends in TCHLa, especially when considering a continuous time-series of ~40 years in length is required to distinguish a global warming trend from natural variability (Henson et al., 2010), and considering our results are only representative of the September to November period.

Although our results are not directly comparable to other estimates of trends in TCHLa based on datasets collected over much longer time-periods, it is useful to place our findings the context of current literature. Boyce et al. (2014) report significant declines in TCHLa in the North West Atlantic, Eastern Atlantic and South Atlantic over the past century, using a dataset of merged Secchi disk observations, Forel-Ule (FU) colour data, and TCHLa data (Boyce et al., 2010; 2012). Boyce et al. (2014) also report a significant increase in TCHLa in the North East Atlantic, in agreement with trends presented by Raitsos et al. (2005, 2014) and McQuatters-Gollop et al. (2011), derived using the Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) Phytoplankton Colour Index (PCI). However, conflicting results from these studies were presented in the central Northeast Atlantic. All these studies involve the use of proxies for TCHLa, which have limitations. PCI, Secchi disk and FU observations are visual estimates and limited by differences in the psychophysiology of the human eye-brain system. Both Secchi disk and FU data are vulnerable to variations in the relationships between TCHLa and other optically-significant water constituents (e.g. coloured-dissolved material and non-algal particles). The CPR has a mesh size of ~270 μm , and therefore may fail to capture the smaller phytoplankton biomass (e.g. pico- and nano-phytoplankton). Also, the Boyce et al. (2014) study involves blending different types of data, which if not carefully dealt with can lead to biases in the results (Mackas, 2011; Boyce et al., 2014). In our study, we used high quality HPLC estimates of TCHLa (not proxies) that were processed consistently over the entire study period, and therefore less vulnerable to the issues raised in these studies.

Trends in the three provinces observed in the *in-situ* AMT data were not significantly different to trends in SeaWiFS-derived TCHLa, supporting the use of AMT data as a platform for TCHLa trend detection, despite only sampling a limited area within each province (Figure 1). However, SeaWiFS-derived TCHLa indicated significant declines in NATL and TRA, not observed in the AMT data. Furthermore, whereas recent long-term analysis (>10 years) of SeaWiFS-derived TCHLa indicate a decrease over the entire NATL province, regions of both

increase and decrease have been observed in the TRA and SATL (Vantrepotte & Mélin, 2009; Siegel et al., 2013), suggesting variability in trends within these two provinces that may not be captured on a specific AMT transect route. Confidence in the satellite estimates of SeaWiFS TCHLa can be taken from the fact that they compare favourably with weekly and daily AMT match-ups (Aiken et al., 2009; Brewin et al., 2010). Furthermore, seasonal and inter-annual variations (including trends) in SeaWiFS TCHLa are consistent with those derived using the MODIS-Aqua and MERIS ocean-colour sensors over a similar time-period (2002-2010; see Brewin et al., 2014c), lending confidence to the SeaWiFS results. Blending *in-situ* and satellite observations of TCHLa (e.g. AMT and SeaWiFS) may lead to more robust estimates of trends (Aiken et al., 2009; Gregg & Casy, 2010; Gregg & Rousseaux, 2014) and minimise the impact of periods of missing data (Beaulieu et al., 2013; Racault et al., 2014a).

Difficulties arise when comparing our results on inter-annual variability in TChla and PSC with results from earlier AMT studies, given differences in cruise tracks and sampling periods. Gibb et al. (2002) compared pigment data collected during 1996 (AMT 2 and 3) with data collected during 1997 (AMT 4 and 5). They found an increase in the concentration of pigments associated with picoplankton (sum of zeaxanthin and divinyl chlorophyll a) during 1997 (AMT 4 and 5), particularly in the equatorial provinces, suggesting an increase in smaller size classes, consistent with our findings during the 2003-2010 period. However, changes between 1996 and 1997 were likely a result of the large El Niño event that occurred (Wolter and Timlin, 1998), which impacted global phytoplankton productivity and phytoplankton size structure (Behrenfeld et al., 2006, Kostadinov et al. 2010). Aiken et al. (2009) reported low inter-annual variability in TChla in all provinces between the 1996-2006 period, but observed evidence of an El Niño perturbation between 1997-1998, and a downward trend from 1998-2003, consistent with global changes reported by (Behrenfeld et al., 2006). Multi-decadal datasets are required to partition the influence of shorter term variability (e.g. El Niño) on long term trends, which can only be acquired through the continuation of programmes such as the AMT.

4.2. Implications of modifications in the relationship between PSC and TCHLa

There are discrepancies in the use of diagnostic pigments to infer phytoplankton size structure. Some diagnostic pigments such as fucoxanthin (main indicator of diatoms) may also be found in some flagellates (Vidussi et al., 2001; Jeffrey et al., 2011) and the pigment

groupings do not strictly reflect the true size of phytoplankton (Uitz et al., 2006). Brewin et al. (2014a) conducted a comparison of size-fractionated chlorophyll estimated independently from HPLC diagnostic pigment analysis and from size-fractionated filtration (SFF) along the AMT transect. Encouragingly, they found size-fractionated chlorophyll estimated from HPLC and SFF data were significantly correlated, with HPLC data explaining between 40% and 88% of the variability in the SFF data. However, there were biases between the two methods, with HPLC methods overestimating nanophytoplankton chlorophyll and underestimating picophytoplankton chlorophyll when compared with SFF. Brotas et al. (2013) compared size-fractionated chlorophyll derived using the Brewin et al. (2010) model, parameterised using HPLC diagnostic pigment analysis, with cell counts estimated from flow cytometry and microscopy. Their estimated cellular TCHLa values for the three size classes (pico-, nano- and microphytoplankton) were consistent with literature values derived from laboratory cultures, providing an indirect validation of the diagnostic pigment method to assign size classes. These studies provide some confidence in the use diagnostic pigments to infer PSC. However, additional analysis is required to quantify uncertainties in this pigment-based approach (Brewin et al., 2014a)

Significant temporal changes in PSC were observed in the NATL and TRA provinces (Figure 5), despite no significant change in TCHLa (Figure 4). In both provinces, there was evidence of a decrease in the fraction of microphytoplankton to TCHLa, and evidence of an increase in the fraction of nano- and picophytoplankton (Figure 5). A significant increase in TCHLa in the SATL province (Figure 4) was also at odds with an increase in the fraction picophytoplankton to TCHLa (Figure 5), which are typically inversely related (e.g. see Figure 2). Furthermore, when fitting the model of Brewin et al. (2010) to each AMT cruise individually (all data within the 1st optical depth), we observed changes in model parameters (Figure 2), consistent with a change in the relationship between PSC and TCHLa over the study period.

When considering the different roles of these two ecological indicators, changes in the relationship between PSC and TCHLa may have wide implications in marine biogeochemical cycling. Picophytoplankton absorb light with higher efficiency than larger phytoplankton (Ciotti et al., 2002; Uitz et al., 2008; Brewin et al., 2011; Devred et al., 2011), therefore, for the same TCHLa, an increase in the picophytoplankton fraction would result in an increase in the absorption of incoming radiation which could impact light availability for photosynthesis and heating of the upper ocean. The photophysiology of phytoplankton is influenced by its

size structure (Uitz et al., 2008); such that changes in the relationship between PSC and TCHLa are likely to influence the relationship between TCHLa and primary production (Uitz et al., 2010). Microphytoplankton are generally positively correlated with new and export production (Dugdale et al., 2007; Guidi et al., 2009; Uitz et al., 2010) and picophytoplankton with recycled production (Chisholm, 1992). For the same level of TCHLa, one may expect modifications in recycled, new and export production with changes in PSC. The amount of carbon exported or recycled in the upper ocean impacts the CO₂ concentrations and pH of the ocean, affecting air-sea CO₂ gas transfer, and potentially the role of the ocean as a sink for increasing atmospheric CO₂.

Analysis of phytoplankton pigment ratios indicate modifications in the composition of fucoxanthin, peridinin, 19'-hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin and zeaxanthin in the three provinces over the study period (Figure 6), indicative of modifications in diatoms, dinoflagellates, haptophytes and prokaryotes respectively. There is evidence that the phytoplankton community influences the transfer of energy through the food-web and the type of fish that prosper in a given environment (Beaugrand, 2003; Beaugrand & Reid, 2003, IOCCG, 2014). For instance, in the Benguela upwelling diatoms are correlated with the growth of anchovy while flagellates are correlated with the growth of sardines (Cury et al., 2008). Strong correlations have been observed between the occurrence of diatoms and the anchovy catch in the Humboldt ecosystem (Jackson et al., 2011). The distribution and rate of synthesis of essential fatty acids, required by all vertebrates, has been found to vary with diatom occurrence (Budge et al., 2014). Careful monitoring of phytoplankton community structure is required to ensure sustainable management of fisheries (IOCCG, 2014).

Other biogeochemical cycles are also likely to be influenced by modifications in the composition of the phytoplankton community relative to TCHLa. For instance, diatoms influence the cycling of silicate (Kröger et al., 2000), some prymnesiophyte species (such as coccolithophores) impact the cycling of calcium carbonate (Morse et al., 2007) and some (such as *Phaeocystis antarctica*) produce dimethyl sulphide (DMS; Liss et al., 1994). Cyanobacteria, such as *Trichodesmium*, utilise N₂ from the atmosphere and thus influence the nitrogen cycle (Falkowski, 1997; Tyrrell, 1999). Comprehensive monitoring of phytoplankton community structure is required to better understand important biogeochemical processes and how they may respond to climate variability and change (Le Quéré et al., 2005; IOCCG, 2014).

Changes in the relationship between phytoplankton community structure and TCHL_a will also influence the use of empirical ocean-colour remote-sensing algorithms. For instance, it has been shown that information on the absorption and backscattering properties of phytoplankton size is implicit in remote-sensing algorithms that empirically relate TCHL_a to band-ratio reflectance (Dierssen, 2010; IOCCG, 2014). In fact, these algorithms implicitly assume a fixed relationship between PSC and TCHL_a (IOCCG, 2014). Modifications in this relationship will likely impact the accuracy of these empirical algorithms. This presents a challenge for ocean-colour remote-sensing, as a change in ocean colour can occur from either a change in community composition (e.g. PSC) or in TCHL_a. Empirical relationships, that tie one indicator to the next, need to be minimised in models, since correlations between elements of the ecosystem may not be stable in a changing climate (Figure 4 and 5). If empirical relationships are unavoidable, on-going re-calibration is required to reduce ambiguity in interpretation of results, since empirical relationships based on past observations may not be a faithful guide to the future state of the ocean. Such recalibrations cannot occur without high quality *in-situ* observations, such as those acquired in the AMT programme.

This study has demonstrated that the relationship between PSC and TCHL_a may vary between years, and given that PSC and TCHL_a have differing roles in ecology and marine biogeochemistry, long-term monitoring of both indicators is required to ensure comprehensive ecosystem management. The AMT programme, soon to reach its 20th year, is one of a few oceanographic programmes capable of monitoring these indicators over basin-wide scales and delivering the measurements required for global ecosystem management. It is vital that such programmes are sustained long into the future.

5. Conclusions

Making use of surface pigment data collected on the Atlantic Meridional Transect (AMT) between 2003 and 2010 (September to November period only), we examine temporal changes in total chlorophyll-*a* (TCHL_a) and phytoplankton size classes (PSC; micro-, nano- and pico-phytoplankton, estimated from pigment analysis) in three ecological provinces of the Atlantic Ocean. No significant changes were observed in TCHL_a in northern (NATL) and equatorial provinces (TRA), but a significant increase was observed in the southern province (SATL). These changes were not significantly different to those derived using satellite data (SeaWiFS) over the same study period.

Despite no change in TCHLa in the NATL and SATL, significant changes were observed in PSC, with increases in small cells (pico- and nanophytoplankton) and decreases in large cells (microphytoplankton) in these provinces. These changes were related to modifications in key phytoplankton diagnostic pigments (principally fucoxanthin, peridinin, 19'-hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin and zeaxanthin). We also observed changes in the parameters of a three-component model over the study period which relates PSC to TCHLa. Results indicate a change in the relationship between PSC and TCHLa during this eight-year period, which has wide implications for ecosystem structure, marine biogeochemistry and ocean-colour remote sensing, and illustrates the importance of the AMT programme in monitoring the health of Atlantic Ocean ecosystem.

Acknowledgements

Data was used from the Atlantic Meridional Transect (AMT) Consortium (NER/0/5/2001/00680), provided by the British Oceanographic Data Centre (BODC) and supported by the Natural Environment Research Council National Capability funding to Plymouth Marine Laboratory and the National Oceanography Centre, Southampton. We sincerely thank officers and crew of the RRS James Clark Ross, RRS James Cook and RRS Discovery, for their help during the AMT cruises and all those involved in pigment data collection and analysis. We also thank Alex J. Poulton for comments on the manuscript. This is contribution number xxx of the AMT programme.

References

- Aiken, J., Pradhan, Y., Barlow, R., Lavender, S., Poulton, A., Holligan, P., and Hardman-Mountford, N. 2009. Phytoplankton pigments and functional types in the Atlantic Ocean: A decadal assessment, 1995-2005. *Deep-Sea Research Part II-Topical Studies in Oceanography* 56 (15): 899-917.
- Aiken, J., Rees, N., Hooker, S., Holligan, P., Bale, A., Robins, D., Moore, G., Harris, R., and Pilgrim, D. 2000. The Atlantic Meridional Transect: overview and synthesis of data. *Progress in Oceanography* 45 (3-4): 257-312.
- Airs, R., and Martinez-Vicente, V. (2014a). AMT18 (JR20081003) HPLC pigment measurements from CTD bottle samples. British Oceanographic Data Centre - Natural Environment Research Council, UK. doi:10/tk2.

- Airs, R., and Martinez-Vicente, V. (2014b). AMT19 (JC039) HPLC pigment measurements from CTD bottle samples. British Oceanographic Data Centre - Natural Environment Research Council, UK. doi:10/tk3.
- Airs, R., Martinez-Vicente, V. (2014c). AMT20 (JC053) HPLC pigment measurements from CTD bottle samples. British Oceanographic Data Centre - Natural Environment Research Council, UK. doi:10/tk4.
- Antoine, D., Morel, A., Gordon, H. R., Banzon, V. F., Evans, R. H. 2005. Bridging ocean color observations of the 1980s and 2000s in search of long-term trends. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 110: C06009, doi:10.1029/2004JC002620.
- Barlow, R.G., Aiken, J., Moore, G.F., Holligan, P.M., and Lavender, S. 2004. Pigment adaptations in surface phytoplankton along the eastern boundary of the Atlantic Ocean. *Marine Ecology-Progress Series* 281: 13-26.
- Barlow, R.G., Aiken, J., Holligan, P.M., Cummings, D.G., Maritorea, S., and Hooker, S. 2002. Phytoplankton pigment and absorption characteristics along meridional transects in the Atlantic Ocean. *Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers* 49 (4): 637-660.
- Barlow, R.G., Cummings, D.G., and Gibb, S.W. 1997. Improved resolution of mono- and divinyl chlorophylls a and b and zeaxanthin and lutein in phytoplankton extracts using reverse phase C-8 HPLC. *Marine Ecology-Progress Series* 161: 303-307.
- Beaugrand, G. 2003. Plankton effect on cod recruitment in the North Sea. *Nature* 426: 661–664.
- Beaugrand, G., and Reid, P. 2003. Long-term changes in phytoplankton, zooplankton and salmon related to climate. *Global Change Biology* 6: 801–817.
- Beaulieu, C., Henson, S.A., Sarmiento, J.L., Dunne, J.P., Doney, S.C., Rykaczewski, R.R., and Bopp, L. 2013. Factors challenging our ability to detect long-term trends in ocean chlorophyll. *Biogeosciences* 10 (4): 2711-2724, doi: 10.5194/bg-10-2711-2013.
- Behrenfeld, M. J., O'Malley, R. T., Siegel, D. A., McClain, C. R., Sarmiento, J. L., Feldman, G. C., Milligan, A. J., Falkowski, P. G., Letelier, R. M., and Boss, E. S. 2006. Climate-driven trends in contemporary ocean productivity. *Nature* 444: doi:10.1038/nature05317.
- Brewin, R.J.W., Sathyendranath, S., Hirata, T., Lavender, S.J., Barciela, R.M., and Hardman-Mountford, N.J. 2010. A three-component model of phytoplankton size class for the Atlantic Ocean. *Ecological Modelling* 221 (11): 1472-1483.

- Brewin, R. J.W., Devred, E., Sathyendranath, S., Hardman-Mountford, N. J., and Lavender, S. J. 2011. Model of phytoplankton absorption based on three size classes. *Applied Optics* 50: 4535-4549, doi:10.1364/AO.50.004535.
- Brewin, R. J. W., Hirata, T., Hardman-Mountford, N. J., Lavender, S., Sathyendranath, S., and Barlow, R. 2012. The influence of the Indian Ocean Dipole on interannual variations in phytoplankton size structure as revealed by Earth Observation. *Deep Sea Research Part II* 77-80: 117–127.
- Brewin, R. J. W., Sathyendranath, S., Lange, P. K. and Tilstone, G. 2014a. Comparison of two methods to derive the size-structure of natural populations of phytoplankton, *Deep Sea Research Part I* 85: 72–79, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2013.11.007.
- Brewin, R. J. W., Sathyendranath, S., Tilstone, G., Lange, P. K. and Platt, T. 2014b. A multicomponent model of phytoplankton size structure, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 119, doi:10.1002/2014JC009859
- Brewin, R. J. W., Mélin, F., Sathyendranath, S., Steinmetz, F., Chuprin, A. and Grant, M. 2014c. On the temporal consistency of chlorophyll products derived from three ocean-colour sensors, *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing* 97: 171-184, doi: 10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2014.08.013
- Boyce, D.G., Lewis, M.R., and Worm, B. 2010. Global phytoplankton decline over the past century. *Nature* 466 (7306): 591-596.
- Boyce, D.G., Lewis, M., and Worm, B. 2012. Integrating global chlorophyll data from 1890 to 2010. *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods* 10: 840–852.
- Boyce, D.G., Dowd, M., Lewis, M., and Worm, B. 2014. Estimating global chlorophyll changes over the past century. *Progress in Oceanography* 122: 163–173.
- Brotas, V., Brewin, R. J.W., Sá, C., Brito, A., Silva, A., Mendes, C. R., Diniz, T., Kaufmann, M., Tarran, G., Groom, S. B., Platt, T., and Sathyendranath, S. 2013. Deriving phytoplankton size classes from satellite data: Validation along a trophic gradient in the eastern Atlantic Ocean. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 134: 66–77, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2013.02.013
- Budge, S. M., Devred, E., Forget, M.-H., Stuart, V., Trzcinski, M. K., Sathyendranath, S., and Platt, T. 2014. Estimating concentrations of essential omega-3 fatty acids in the ocean: supply and demand. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* doi.10.1093/icesjms/fsu003.

- Chassot, E., Bonhommeau, S., Dulvy, N.K., Mélin, F., Watson, R., Gascuel, D. and Le Pape, O. 2010. Global marine primary production constrains fisheries Catches. *Ecology Letters* 13: 495–505
- Chisholm, S.W. 1992. Phytoplankton size. In: Falkowski, P.G., Woodhead, A.D. (Eds.), *Primary Productivity and Biogeochemical Cycles in the Sea*. Springer, New York, pp. 213-237.
- Ciotti, A.M., Lewis, M. R., and Cullen, J. J. 2002. Assessment of the relationships between dominant cell size in natural phytoplankton communities and the spectral shape of the absorption coefficient. *Limnology and Oceanography* 47: 404–417.
- Cury, P.M., Shin, Y.-J., Planque, B., Durant, J.M., Fromentin, J.-M., Kramer-Schadt, S., Stenseth, N. C., Travers, M. and Grimm, V. 2008. Ecosystem oceanography for global change in fisheries. *Trends Ecology and Evolution* 23: 338–346.
- Devred, E., Sathyendranath, S., Stuart, V., and Platt, T. 2011. A three component classification of phytoplankton absorption spectra: Applications to ocean colour data. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 115 (9): 2255–2266.
- Dierssen, H. M. 2010. Perspectives on empirical approaches for ocean color remote sensing of chlorophyll in a changing climate. *Proceedings of the National Academy of the United States of America* 107 (40): 17073-17078, doi:10.1073/pnas.0913800107.
- Dugdale, R.C., Wilkerson, F.P., Chai, F., and Feely, R. 2007. Size-fractionated nitrogen uptake in the equatorial Pacific and conformation of the low Si-high-nitrate low-chlorophyll condition. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 21 (2): GB2005, doi: 10.1029/2006BG002722.
- Falkowski, P. G. 1997. Evolution of the nitrogen cycle and its influence on the biological sequestration of CO₂ in the ocean. *Nature* 387: 272-275.
- Field, C.B., Behrenfeld, M.J., Randerson, J.T., and Falkowski, P. 1998. Primary production of the biosphere: Integrating terrestrial and oceanic components. *Science* 281 (5374): 237-240.
- Gibb, S.W., Barlow, R.G., Cummings, D.G., Rees, N.W., Trees, C.C., Holligan, P. and Suggett, D. 2000. Surface phytoplankton pigment distributions in the Atlantic Ocean: an assessment of basin scale variability between 50 degrees N and 50 degrees S. *Progress in Oceanography* 45 (3-4): 339-368.
- Gordon, H.R., and McCluney, W.R. 1975. Estimation of Depth of Sunlight Penetration in Sea for Remote-Sensing. *Applied Optics* 14 (2): 413-416.

- Gregg, W.W., and Conkright, M.E. 2002. Decadal changes in global ocean chlorophyll. *Geophysical Research Letters* 29 (15).
- Gregg, W. W. and Casey, N. W. 2010. Improving the consistency of ocean color data: A step toward climate data records, *Geophysical Research Letters* 37: L04605, doi:10.1029/2009GL041893.
- Gregg, W. W. and Rousseaux, C. S. 2014. Decadal trends in global pelagic ocean chlorophyll: A new assessment integrating multiple satellites, *in-situ* data, and models, *Journal of Geophysical Research - Oceans* 119: 5921–5933, doi:10.1002/2014JC010158.
- Guidi, L., Stemmann, L., Jackson, G. A., Ibanez, F., Claustre, H., Legendre, L., Picheral, M., and Gorsky, G., 2009. Effects of phytoplankton community on production, size and export of large aggregates: A world-ocean analysis. *Limnology and Oceanography* 54 (6): 1951–1963.
- Hardman-Mountford, N.J., Hirata, T., Richardson, K.A. and Aiken, J. 2008. An objective methodology for the classification of ecological pattern into biomes and provinces for the pelagic ocean. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 112: 3341–3352.
- Henson, S.A., Sarmiento, J.L., Dunne, J.P., Bopp, L., Lima, I., Doney, S.C., John, J., and Beaulieu, C. 2010. Detection of anthropogenic climate change in satellite records of ocean chlorophyll and productivity. *Biogeosciences* 7: 621-640. 10.5194/bg-7-621-2010.
- Hirata, T., Hardman-Mountford, N. J., Brewin, R. J. W., Aiken, J., Barlow, R., Suzuki, K., Isada, T., Howell, E., Hashioka, T., Noguchi-Aita, M., and Yamanaka, Y. 2011. Synoptic relationships between surface chlorophyll-a and diagnostic pigments specific to phytoplankton functional types. *Biogeosciences* 8: 311– 327.
- Hooker, S. B., and McClain, C. R. 2000. The calibration and validation of SeaWiFS data. *Progress in Oceanography* 45 (3-4): 427–465.
- IOCCG, 2014. *Phytoplankton Functional Types from Space*. Sathyendranath, S. (e.d.), Reports of the International Ocean-Colour Coordinating Group, No. 15, IOCCG, Dartmouth, Canada.
- Jackson, T., Bouman, H.A., Sathyendranath, S., and Devred, E. 2011. Regional-scale change in diatom distribution in the Humboldt Current as revealed by remote sensing: implications for fisheries. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 68: 729-736.
- Jeffrey, S.W., and Vesk, M. 1997. *Introduction to marine phytoplankton and their pigment signatures*. UNESCO, Paris.

- Jeffrey, S. W., Wright, S. W., and Zapata, M. 2011. Microalgal classes and their signature pigments. *In: Phytoplankton Pigments*. Roy S, Llewelyn CA, Egeland ES, Johnsen G (Eds). Cambridge University Press.
- Kröger, N., Deutzmann, R., Bergsdorf, C., and Sumper, M. 2000. Species-specific polyamines from diatoms control silica morphology. *Proceedings of the National Academy of the United States of America*, 97 (26): 14133-14138, doi:10.1073/pnas.260496497.
- Kostadinov, T. S., Siegel, D. A., and Maritorena, S. 2010. Global variability of phytoplankton functional types from space: assessment via the particle size distribution. *Biogeosciences* 7: 3239-3257.
- Laws, E. A., Falkowski, P. G., Smith Jr, W. O., Ducklow, H., and McCarth, J. J. 2000. Temperature effects on export production in the open ocean. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 14: 1231–1246.
- Le Quéré, C., Harrison, S.P., Prentice, C.I., Buitenhuis, E.T., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Claustre, H., Cotrim Da Cunha, L., Geider, R., Giraud, X., Klaas, C., Kohfeld, K.E., Legendre, L., Manizza, M., Platt, T., Rivkin, R., Sathyendranath, S., Uitz, J., Watson, A.J. and Wolf-Gladrow, D. 2005. Ecosystem dynamics based on plankton functional types for global ocean biogeochemistry models. *Global Change Biology* 11 (11): 2016–2040.
- Liss, P., Malin, G. Turner, S.M., and Holligan, P. H. 1994. Dimethyl sulphide and *Phaeocystis*: A review. *Journal of Marine Systems* 5: 41-53.
- Llewellyn, CA; White, D. A., Martinez-Vincente, V., Tarran, G. A., and T. J. Smyth. 2012. Distribution of Mycosporine-Like Amino Acids Along a Surface Water Meridional Transect of the Atlantic. *Microbiology of Aquatic Systems* 64: 320-333.
- Longhurst, A., Sathyendranath, S., Platt, T., and Caverhill, C. 1995. An Estimate of Global Primary Production in the Ocean from Satellite Radiometer Data. *Journal of Plankton Research* 17 (6): 1245-1271.
- Longhurst, A. 2007. *Ecological Geography of the Sea*. Academic Press.
- Mackas, D.L. 2011. Does blending of chlorophyll data bias temporal trend? *Nature* 472: (7342), E4-E5.
- Maloney, C. L., and Field, J. G. 1991. The size-based dynamics of plankton food webs. I. A simulation model of carbon and nitrogen flows. *Journal of Plankton Research* 13 (5): 1003-1038.

- Marañón, E. 2009. Phytoplankton size structure. In: Steele, J. H., Turekian, K., Thorpe, S. A. (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Ocean Sciences*. Academic Press, Oxford.
- Marañón, E., Cermeño, P., Latasa, M., and Tadonlécé, R. D. 2012. Temperature, resources, and phytoplankton size structure in the ocean. *Limnology and Oceanography* 57: 1266–1278.
- Martinez, E., Antoine, D., D’Ortenzio, F., and Gentili, B. 2009. Climate-driven basin scale decadal oscillations of oceanic phytoplankton. *Science* 326: 1253-1256, doi:10.1126/science.1177012.
- McQuatters-Gollop, A., Reid, P.C., Edwards, M., Burkill, P.H., Castellani, C., Batten, S., Gieskes, W., Beare, D., Bidigare, R.R., Head, E., Johnson, R., Kahru, M., Koslow, J.A., and Pena, A. 2011. Is there a decline in marine phytoplankton? *Nature* 472 (7342): E6-E7.
- Morel, A., Huot, Y., Gentili, B., Werdell, P. J., Hooker, S. B., and Franz, B. A. 2007. Examining the consistency of products derived from various ocean color sensors in open ocean (case 1) waters in the perspective of a multi-sensor approach. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 111: 69–88.
- Morse, J. W., Arvidson, R. S., and Lüttge, A. 2007. Calcium Carbonate Formation and Dissolution. *Chemical Reviews* 107 (2): 342-382.
- O’Reilly, J. E., Maritorena, S., Mitchell, B. G., Siegel, D. A., Carder, K. L., Garver, S. A., Kahru, M., and McClain, C. 1998. Ocean chlorophyll algorithms for SeaWiFS. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 103 (C11): 24,937–24,953.
- Platt, T., and Sathyendranath, S. 2008. Ecological indicators for the pelagic zone of the ocean from remote sensing. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 112: 3426–3436.
- Poulton, A.J., Holligan, P.M., Hickman, A., Kim, Y.-N., Adey, T.R., Stinchcombe, M.C., Holeton, C., Root, S., and Woodward, E.M.S. 2006. Phytoplankton carbon fixation, chlorophyll-biomass and diagnostic pigments in the Atlantic Ocean. *Deep-Sea Research Part II-Topical Studies in Oceanography* 53 (14-16): 1593-1610.
- Racault, M. -F., Le Quéré, C., Buitenhuis, E., Sathyendranath, S., and Platt, T. 2012. Phytoplankton phenology in the global ocean. *Ecological Indicators* 14(1): 152–163, doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.07.010.
- Racault, M. -F., Sathyendranath, S., and Platt, T. 2014a. Impact of missing data on the estimation of ecological indicators from satellite ocean-colour time-series. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 152: 15–28, doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2014.05.016.

- Racault, M. -F., Platt, T., Sathyendranath, S., Agirbas, E., Martinez-Vicente, V., and Brewin, R. J. W. 2014b. Plankton indicators and ocean observing systems: support to the marine ecosystem state assessment. *Journal of Plankton Research* 36: 621-629, doi:10.1093/plankt/fbu016.
- Raitsos, D.E., Reid, P.C., Lavender, S.J., Edwards, M., and Richardson, A.J. 2005. Extending the SeaWiFS chlorophyll data set back 50 years in the northeast Atlantic. *Geophysical Research Letters* 32: 1–4.
- Raitsos, D.E., Pradhan, Y., Lavender, S.J., Hoteit, I., McQuatters-Gollop, A., Reid, P.C., and Richardson, A.J. 2014. From silk to satellite: half a century of ocean colour anomalies in the Northeast Atlantic. *Global Change Biology* 20: 2117-2123, doi: 10.1111/gcb.12457.
- Robinson, C., Poulton, A. J., Holligan, P. M., Baker, A. R., Forster, G., Gist, N., Jickells, T. D., Malin, G., Upstill-Goddard, R., Williams, R. G., Woodward, E. M. S., and Zubkov, M. V. 2006. The Atlantic Meridional Transect (AMT) Programme: A contextual view 1995–2005. *Deep Sea Research II* 53: 1485–1515.
- Siegel, D.A., Behrenfeld, M.J., Maritorea, S., McClain, C.R., Antoine, D., Bailey, S.W., Bontempi, P.S., Boss, E.S., Dierssen, H.M., Doney, S.C., Eplee Jr., R.E., Evans, R.H., Feldman, G.C., Fields, E., Franz, B.A., Kuring, N.A., Mengelt, C., Nelson, N.B., Patt, F.S., Robinson, W.D., Sarmiento, J.L., Swan, C.M., Werdell, P.J., Westberry, T.K., Wilding, J.G., and Yoder, J.A. 2013. Regional to global assessments of phytoplankton dynamics from the SeaWiFS mission. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 135: 77–91, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2013.03.025.
- Sokal, R. R. and Rohlf, F. J. 1969. *Biometry: the principles and practice of statistics in biological research*. San Francisco: W.H. Freeman, 1969. 776 p. Department of Ecology and Evolution, State University of New York, Stony Brook, NYJ.
- Takahashi, T., Sutherland, S. C., Sweeney, C., Poisson, A., Metzl, N., Tilbrook, B., Bates, N., Wanninkhof, R., Feely, R. A., Sabine, C., Olafsson, J., and Nojiri, Y. 2002. Global sea-air CO₂ flux based on climatological surface ocean pCO₂ and seasonal biological and temperature effects. *Deep-Sea Research Part II*, 49: 1601-1622.
- Tilstone, G. H., Airs, R. L., Martinez-Vicente, V., Widdicombe, C., and Llewellyn, C. 2010. High concentrations of mycosporine-like amino acids and colored dissolved organic matter in the sea surface microlayer off the Iberian Peninsula. *Limnology Oceanography* 55(5): 1835-1850.

- Tyrrell, T. 1999. The relative influences of nitrogen and phosphorus on oceanic primary production, *Nature* 400: 525-531.
- Uitz, J., Claustre, H., Morel, A., and Hooker, S.B. 2006. Vertical distribution of phytoplankton communities in open ocean: An assessment based on surface chlorophyll. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans* 111 (C8).
- Uitz, J., Claustre, H., Gentili, B., and Stramski, D. 2010. Phytoplankton class-specific primary production in the world's oceans: Seasonal and interannual variability from satellite observations. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 24: GB3016.
- Uitz, J., Huot, Y., Bruyant, F., Babin, M., and Claustre, H. 2008. Relating phytoplankton photophysiological properties to community structure on large scales. *Limnology and Oceanography* 53 (2): 614–630.
- Vantrepotte, V., and Mélin, F. 2009. Temporal variability of 10-year global SeaWiFS time-series of phytoplankton chlorophyll a concentration, *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 66: 1547–1556.
- Vantrepotte, V., and Mélin, F. 2011. Inter-annual variations in the SeaWiFS global chlorophyll a concentration (1997–2007), *Deep Sea Research Part I* 58: 429–441.
- Vidussi, F., Claustre, H., Manca, B.B., Luchetta, A., and Marty, J.C. 2001. Phytoplankton pigment distribution in relation to upper thermocline circulation in the eastern Mediterranean Sea during winter. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans* 106 (C9): 19939-19956.
- Wolter, K. and Timlin, M. S. 1998. Measuring the strength of ENSO events: How does 1997/98 rank? *Weather* 53 (9): 315-324, doi:10.1002/j.1477-8696.1998.tb06408.x

Table 1. Available data for each cruise used in this study and corresponding method of analysis. N = Number.

AMT cruise number	N of pigment data available ; N of final data selected	Method of pigment analysis	Reference
AMT 13	174; 25	Barlow <i>et al.</i> (1997, 2004)	Aiken <i>et al.</i> , 2009
AMT 15	215; 24	Barlow <i>et al.</i> (1997, 2004)	Aiken <i>et al.</i> , 2009
AMT 17	144; 25	Barlow <i>et al.</i> (1997, 2004)	Aiken <i>et al.</i> , 2009
AMT 18	198; 34	Barlow <i>et al.</i> (1997)	Llewellyn <i>et al.</i> , 2012
AMT 19	110; 61	Barlow <i>et al.</i> (1997)	Llewellyn <i>et al.</i> , 2012
AMT 20	341; 71	Barlow <i>et al.</i> (1997)	Llewellyn <i>et al.</i> , 2012

Table 2. Average percentages (\pm standard errors) for *in-situ* and model derived Phytoplankton Size Classes (PSC) in the first optical depth for the different AMT cruises and biogeochemical provinces

Province/Cruise	MICROPHYTOPLANKTON			NANOPHYTOPLANKTON		PICOPHYTOPLANKTON	
	N	Uitz <i>et al</i>	Brewin <i>et al</i>	Uitz <i>et al</i>	Brewin <i>et al</i>	Uitz <i>et al</i>	Brewin <i>et al</i>
<u>NATL</u>							
AMT-13	7	15 \pm 1.2	15 \pm 1.2	26 \pm 2.5	30 \pm 1.4	59 \pm 3.2	55 \pm 2.6
AMT-15	4	20 \pm 8.8	16 \pm 0.9	16 \pm 1.7	36 \pm 0.7	64 \pm 7.4	47 \pm 1.6
AMT-17	3	14 \pm 0.8	15 \pm 0.8	37 \pm 0.6	36 \pm 2.3	49 \pm 1.3	50 \pm 3.1
AMT-18	12	8.9 \pm 1.7	9.8 \pm 0.8	29 \pm 1.0	31 \pm 1.3	63 \pm 2.2	60 \pm 2.0
AMT-19	12	8.9 \pm 0.3	11 \pm 0.1	29 \pm 2.1	29 \pm 0.3	62 \pm 2.1	60 \pm 0.3
AMT-20	27	4.3 \pm 0.8	7.9 \pm 0.2	43 \pm 3.7	35 \pm 0.5	53 \pm 3.2	57 \pm 0.6
N (per province)	65						
ANOVA		$p<0.01$	$p<0.01$	$p<0.01$	$p<0.01$	NS	$p<0.01$
Mann-Whitney		NS		$p<0.01$		$p<0.01$	
<u>TRA</u>							
AMT-13	8	14 \pm 2.0	18 \pm 1.1	22 \pm 1.1	33 \pm 1.1	68 \pm 2.3	49 \pm 2.2
AMT-15	7	12 \pm 0.7	14 \pm 0.9	29 \pm 6.5	34 \pm 0.8	59 \pm 6.8	52 \pm 1.6
AMT-17	14	16 \pm 1.4	15 \pm 0.4	28 \pm 4.5	36 \pm 1.1	56 \pm 5.0	49 \pm 1.6
AMT-18	13	4.5 \pm 1.0	12 \pm 1.3	22 \pm 1.7	34 \pm 1.2	73 \pm 2.2	55 \pm 2.5
AMT-19	17	8.6 \pm 0.5	11 \pm 0.1	31 \pm 2.1	33 \pm 0.6	61 \pm 2.5	57 \pm 0.7
AMT-20	25	7.6 \pm 1.2	8.5 \pm 0.2	25 \pm 3.3	37 \pm 0.6	67 \pm 4.2	55 \pm 0.9
N (per province)	84						
ANOVA		$p<0.01$	$p<0.01$	NS	$p<0.01$	NS	$p<0.01$
Mann-Whitney		$p<0.05$		$p<0.01$		$p<0.01$	

Data include first optical depth *in-situ* and model derived PSC. Biogeochemical provinces included are NATL (North Atlantic Gyre), TRA (Tropical Atlantic) and SATL (South Atlantic Gyre). N indicates number of data used in analyse. One-way ANOVA is used to analyse inter-cruise differences for all data; Mann-Whitney U test is used to analyse *in-situ* and model derived PSC differences. NS indicates not significant.

Table 2 (continued). Average percentages (\pm standard errors) for *in-situ* and model derived Phytoplankton Size Classes (PSC) in the first optical depth for the different AMT cruises and biogeochemical provinces

Province/Cruise	MICROPHYTOPLANKTON		NOPHYTOPLANKTON		PICOPHYTOPLANTON		
	N	Uitz <i>et al</i>	Brewin <i>et al</i>	Uitz <i>et al</i>	Brewin <i>et al</i>	Uitz <i>et al</i>	Brewin <i>et al</i>
SATL							
AMT-13	10	11 \pm 1.1	13.24 \pm 0.4	29 \pm 1.9	27 \pm 0.6	60 \pm 2.6	60 \pm 1.0
AMT-15	13	9.4 \pm 1.1	8.31 \pm 0.5	31 \pm 1.5	29 \pm 0.6	60 \pm 2.1	63 \pm 1.1
AMT-17	8	15 \pm 1.2	13.05 \pm 0.1	39 \pm 4.4	31 \pm 0.2	47 \pm 4.2	56 \pm 0.39
AMT-18	9	13 \pm 3.8	8.46 \pm 0.5	30 \pm 3.4	28 \pm 1.1	57 \pm 4.8	63 \pm 1.6
AMT-19	32	12 \pm 0.8	10.59 \pm 0.1	26 \pm 0.8	27 \pm 0.3	63 \pm 1.1	63 \pm 0.3
AMT-20	19	11 \pm 2.4	7.09 \pm 0.1	19 \pm 3.4	33 \pm 0.4	70 \pm 3.9	60 \pm 0.6
N (per province)	91						
ANOVA		NS	$p < 0.01$	$p < 0.01$	$p < 0.01$	$p < 0.01$	$p < 0.01$
Mann-Whitney		NS		NS		NS	

Data include first optical depth *in-situ* and model derived PSC. Biogeochemical provinces included are NATL (North Atlantic Gyre), TRA (Tropical Atlantic) and SATL (South Atlantic Gyre). N indicates number of data used in analyse. One-way ANOVA is used to analyse inter-cruise differences for all data; Mann-Whitney U test is used to analyse *in-situ* and model derived PSC differences. NS indicates not significant.

Table 3. Average diagnostic pigment ratios (in percentage with \pm standard errors) for *in-situ* data in the first optical depth for the different AMT cruises and biogeochemical provinces

Province/Cruise	N	Fuco/DP	Perid/DP	Zea/DP	Chlb/DP	19-Hex/DP	19-But/DP	Allo/DP
<u>NATL</u>								
AMT-13	7	10 \pm 1.2	5.0 \pm 0.4	58 \pm 3.3	1.5 \pm 0.8	23 \pm 2.1	2.6 \pm 0.4	0.1 \pm 0.1
AMT-15	4	16 \pm 9.0	3.2 \pm 0.4	64 \pm 7.4	-	15 \pm 1.6	1.1 \pm 0.1	0.1 \pm 0.1
AMT-17	3	8.0 \pm 0.6	6.0 \pm 0.2	46 \pm 0.4	3.3 \pm 1.7	34 \pm 0.6	2.2 \pm 0.2	0.5 \pm 0.3
AMT-18	12	7.5 \pm 1.6	1.4 \pm 0.8	57 \pm 1.9	5.8 \pm 1.8	26 \pm 0.9	2.3 \pm 0.2	0.1 \pm 0.1
AMT-19	12	5.7 \pm 0.3	3.2 \pm 0.2	55 \pm 2.4	7.4 \pm 0.3	27 \pm 2.0	1.8 \pm 0.1	1.9 \pm 0.1
AMT-20	27	4.2 \pm 0.8	0.1 \pm 0.1	50 \pm 3.2	2.4 \pm 0.8	39 \pm 3.4	3.3 \pm 0.3	0.4 \pm 0.2
ANOVA		<i>p</i> <0.01	<i>p</i> <0.01	NS	<i>p</i> <0.01	<i>p</i> <0.01	<i>p</i> <0.01	NS
<u>TRA</u>								
AMT-13	8	8.4 \pm 1.5	6.0 \pm 1.1	58 \pm 2.8	5.3 \pm 0.8	20 \pm 1.0	1.6 \pm 0.1	0.1 \pm 0.1
AMT-15	7	6.8 \pm 0.9	5.3 \pm 0.9	59 \pm 6.8	-	26 \pm 5.5	2.8 \pm 0.9	-
AMT-17	14	12 \pm 1.2	4.7 \pm 0.8	52 \pm 5.3	4.2 \pm 1.3	25 \pm 4.1	1.7 \pm 0.5	0.8 \pm 0.2
AMT-18	13	4.1 \pm 0.9	0.4 \pm 0.4	68 \pm 4.7	5.6 \pm 2.8	21 \pm 1.3	1.5 \pm 0.5	0.1 \pm 0.1
AMT-19	17	5.8 \pm 0.4	2.8 \pm 0.9	51 \pm 2.4	9.5 \pm 0.8	28 \pm 2.0	2.0 \pm 0.2	0.5 \pm 0.1
AMT-20	25	7.0 \pm 1.2	0.6 \pm 0.2	67 \pm 4.2	0.6 \pm 0.3	22 \pm 3.0	2.1 \pm 0.2	1.2 \pm 0.3
ANOVA		<i>p</i> <0.01	<i>p</i> <0.01	<i>p</i> <0.05	<i>p</i> <0.01	NS	NS	<i>p</i> <0.01
<u>SATL</u>								
AMT-13	10	7.1 \pm 0.6	4.1 \pm 0.7	53 \pm 3.3	7.3 \pm 3.2	26 \pm 1.8	2.7 \pm 0.2	0.1 \pm 0.1
AMT-15	13	7.8 \pm 0.9	1.7 \pm 0.4	59 \pm 2.3	0.6 \pm 0.4	28 \pm 1.4	3.1 \pm 0.2	-
AMT-17	8	9.5 \pm 1.3	5.0 \pm 1.3	41 \pm 4.0	5.9 \pm 2.0	35 \pm 4.5	3.1 \pm 0.5	0.5 \pm 0.5
AMT-18	9	12 \pm 3.9	1.0 \pm 0.7	51 \pm 5.0	5.9 \pm 1.5	27 \pm 3.2	3.5 \pm 0.4	0.1 \pm 0.1
AMT-19	32	8.1 \pm 0.8	3.5 \pm 0.2	56 \pm 0.9	6.7 \pm 0.5	22 \pm 0.7	2.3 \pm 0.1	1.3 \pm 0.1
AMT-20	19	11 \pm 2.3	0.6 \pm 0.3	64 \pm 3.8	5.7 \pm 2.2	14 \pm 3.0	3.6 \pm 0.6	1.7 \pm 1.0
ANOVA		NS	<i>p</i> <0.01	<i>p</i> <0.01	NS	<i>p</i> <0.01	<i>p</i> <0.05	NS

Data include first optical depth pigment ratios for different size fractions (Fuco: Fucoxanthin, Perid: Peridinin, Zea: Zeaxanthin, Chlb: Chlorophyll b, 19-Hex: 19-Hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin, 19-But: 19-Butanoyloxyfucoxanthin, Allo: Alloxanthin, DP: Diagnostic pigments (Fuco+Perid+Zea+Chlb+19-Hex+19-But+Allo)). Biogeochemical provinces included are NATL (North Atlantic Gyre), TRA (Tropical Atlantic) and SATL (South Atlantic Gyre). N indicates number

of data used in analyse. One-way ANOVA is used to analyse inter-cruise differences for all data. NS indicates not significant.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT




Figure 1. AMT Cruise tracks superimposed onto the ecological provinces defined by Longhurst (2007), and the classification of Hardman-Mountford *et al.* (2008). NADR refers to the North Atlantic Drift province; NAST-W, -E North Atlantic Subtropical Gyre province (West, East); NATL refers to North Atlantic Tropical Gyre province; TRA-W, -E refers to Tropical Atlantic province (West, East); SATL refers to South Atlantic Gyre province; and SAC the South Atlantic Convergence province. The colours on the map refer to the biomes of Hardman-Mountford *et al.* (2008), with blue through to red colours referring to low to high TCHLa.




Figure 2: The three-component model of Brewin *et al.* (2010), represented by the black solid line, fitted to the pigment observations from each cruise. The fractions (F) of each size class (pico- ($<2\mu\text{m}$), nano- ($2\text{-}20\mu\text{m}$), combined pico- and nano- ($<20\mu\text{m}$), and micro-phytoplankton ($>20\mu\text{m}$) are plotted as a function of TCHL*a*. The four parameters of the model are also provided (C^m represents the maximum chlorophyll concentration for the $<20\mu\text{m}$ and $<2\mu\text{m}$ size class, and S refers to the initial slope of these two size classes, see Brewin *et al.* (2010) for further details).




Figure 3. Time series of TCHLa (in-situ HPLC TCHLa from AMT sampling and satellite TCHLa from SeaWiFS sensor) for each of the three provinces (grey lines represent standard deviations across the province for the SeaWiFS image, SD).




Figure 4. Mean instantaneous rates of *in-situ* and SeaWiFS TCHLa change estimated for each province with 95% confidence limits




Figure 5. Rates of change in PSC, for *in-situ* (Uitz *et al.* 2006) and model-derived (Brewin *et al.* 2010) data, together with 95% confidence limits.




Figure 6. Rates of change in marker pigment ratios in the three provinces, together with 95% confidence limits

Highlights

- Changes in chlorophyll and size class were studied in the Atlantic (2003 to 2010) using AMT data.
- Parameters of a three-component model of size structure varied over the study period.
- No major changes were observed in chlorophyll, but changes were seen in size structure.
- Changes in *in-situ* chlorophyll were not significantly different to changes derived from satellite.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT