



# Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in: *Marine Biology* 

Cronfa URL for this paper: http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa21274

## Paper:

Esteban, N., van Dam, R., Harrison, E., Herrera, A. & Berkel, J. (2015). Green and hawksbill turtles in the Lesser Antilles demonstrate behavioural plasticity in inter-nesting behaviour and post-nesting migration. *Marine Biology* http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00227-015-2656-2

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/

- 1 Green and hawksbill turtles in the Lesser Antilles demonstrate behavioural plasticity in inter-nesting
- 2 behaviour and post-nesting migration

3 Nicole Esteban<sup>1\*</sup>, Robert P. van Dam<sup>2</sup>, Emma Harrison<sup>3</sup>, Arturo Herrera<sup>4</sup>, Jessica Berkel<sup>5</sup>

4 Manuscript version accepted by Marine Biology on 23 March 2015

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biosciences, Swansea University, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, UK

6 <sup>2</sup> Chelonia Inc, PO Box 9020708, San Juan, PR 00902, Puerto Rico

7 <sup>3</sup> Sea Turtle Conservancy, Apartado Postal 246-2050, San Pedro, Costa Rica

8 <sup>4</sup> Centre for Ecology and Conservation, College of Life & Environmental Sciences, University of

9 Exeter, Cornwall Campus, Penryn TR10 9EZ, UK

10 <sup>5</sup> Statia National Marine Park, STENAPA, Gallows Bay, St Eustatius, Dutch Caribbean

11

\*Corresponding Author: Nicole Esteban, Department of Biosciences, Swansea University, Singleton
Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, UK. E-mail: n.esteban@swansea.ac.uk. Tel: +44 1792 513005. Fax: +44
1792 295452.

#### 15 Abstract

16 Satellite transmitters were deployed on three green turtles, *Chelonia mydas*, and two hawksbill turtles, 17 Eretmochelys imbricata, nesting in the Lesser Antilles islands, Caribbean, between 2005-2007 to 18 obtain preliminary information about the inter-nesting, migratory and foraging habitats in the region. 19 Despite the extremely small dataset, both year-round residents and migrants were identified; 20 specifically (1) two green turtles used local shallow coastal sites within 50 km of the nesting beach 21 during all of their inter-nesting periods and then settled at these sites on completion of their breeding 22 seasons, (2) one hawksbill turtle travelled 200 km westward before reversing direction and settling 23 within 50 km of the original nesting beach and (3) one green and one hawksbill turtle initially nested at 24 the proximate site, before permanently relocating to an alternative nesting site over 190 km distant. A 25 lack of nesting beach fidelity was supported by flipper tag datasets for the region. Tagging datasets 26 from 2002-2012 supported that some green and hawksbill individuals exhibit low fidelity to nesting 27 beaches, whereas other females exhibited a high degree of fidelity (26 turtles tagged, 40.0km 28 maximum distance recorded from original nesting beach). Individual turtles nesting on St Eustatius

and St Maarten appear to exhibit behavioural plasticity in their inter-nesting behaviour and postnesting migration routes in the Eastern Caribbean. The tracking and tagging data combined indicate that some of the green and hawksbill females that nest in the Lesser Antilles Islands are year-round residents, while others may nest and forage at alternative sites. Thus, continued year-round protection of these islands and implementation of protection programmes in nearby islands could contribute towards safeguarding the green and hawksbill populations of the region.

#### 35 Introduction

36 Top pelagic predators such as tuna, sharks, sea turtles and cetaceans are widely dispersed across 37 expansive ranges and therefore documenting behaviour in the open ocean presents considerable 38 difficulties (Block 2005). The consequent incomplete baseline data on population status, spatial 39 patterns and habitat use and the need for international coordination of conservation actions are 40 amongst the challenges faced in promoting the protection and recovery of endangered, migratory 41 marine species (Piniak and Eckert 2011). Satellite tracking technology allows remote tracking of 42 migratory movements of these top pelagic predators and there is now a sizeable literature 43 documenting advances in biotelemetry of various animal species with extensive ranges, with results 44 enabling informed management decisions by fisheries and Marine Protected Area (MPA) managers 45 worldwide (Hays et al. 2014a; Nielsen et al. 2009). Furthermore, biotelemetry has been increasingly used to improve our knowledge of spatial use and migratory pathways between breeding and foraging 46 47 sites (e.g. Pendoley et al. 2014; Schofield et al. 2013).

48 In recent decades, satellite tracking technology has been proven the most suitable method for 49 tracking the open-sea migratory journey of sea turtles (Papi et al. 2000) and has been fundamental in 50 verifying inter-nesting patterns and migration routes of turtle populations from nesting beaches to 51 foraging grounds (Broderick et al. 2007; Georges et al. 2007; Hawkes et al. 2011, Hays et al. 2014b). 52 The high cost of satellite technology and lack of funding for tracking units has led to small sample 53 sizes (e.g. Cuevas et al. 2008, Horrocks et al. 2008) and, by 2007, over 130 studies published whilst 54 at least 200 studies have not yet been published in the peer-reviewed literature (Godley et al. 2007). 55 However, various studies have demonstrated that it is possible to enhance small sample sizes from 56 satellite tracking by integration of different technologies (i.e. stranding, capture-recapture, genetics, 57 stable isotopes, modelling): by using datasets available from long term flipper tag programmes (e.g.

Troëng et al. 2005) or integrating satellite telemetry with remotely sensed ocean data (Seminoff et al.
2008). For instance, a recent study demonstrated that satellite tracking of 75 turtles produced similar
information about migratory distributions to tag-returns published for the Mediterranean (Schofield et
al. 2013).

62 Nesting site fidelity, ie. the propensity of individual adult female turtles to make repeated nesting 63 emergences within a restricted geographic range, has been widely documented in the literature, and 64 an early example found high nesting site fidelity amongst green turtles, Chelonia mydas, in Ascension 65 Island (Mortimer and Portier 1989). Information on fidelity during inter-nesting movements has long 66 been derived from tag-recapture studies (e.g. Limpus et al. 1992). More recently, satellite telemetry 67 studies confirmed nesting site fidelity by green turtles, Chelonia mydas (Broderick et al. 2007, Whiting 68 et al. 2008), hawksbill turtles, Eretmochelys imbricata (Parker et al. 2009; Walcott et al. 2012), 69 leatherback turtles, Dermochelys coriacea (Byrne et al. 2009, Eckert et al. 2006) and loggerhead 70 turtles, Caretta caretta (Broderick et al. 2007; Marcovaldi et al. 2010; Tucker 2010).

71 The current study focussed on two turtle species that both nest, and are year-round resident, on St 72 Eustatius and St Maarten in the Dutch Caribbean Lesser Antilles (Debrot et al. 2005): the endangered 73 green turtle (as assessed by Seminoff 2004) and critically endangered hawksbill turtle (as assessed 74 by Mortimer and Donnelly 2008), with nesting by the latter species considered rare on these islands 75 (Meylan 1999). On St Eustatius, flipper tagging of green and hawksbill turtles was conducted from 76 2002 during the main nesting season from early-July to late-September. No flipper tagging took place 77 on St Maarten during the same period. Recapture of tagged individuals in this region has provided 78 limited information on the turtles' migratory abilities, restricted to the date and location of the original 79 tagging event and any subsequent recapture. Satellite telemetry allows us to address the question of 80 inter-nesting area use and nesting site fidelity in more detail.

The aim of our study was to assess inter-nesting area use and nesting site fidelity in the Lesser Antilles. Based on our satellite tracking data for three green and two hawksbill turtles nesting on St Eustatius and St Maarten, combined with the flipper tagging dataset, we suggest strategies for (1) inter-nesting area use, (2) fidelity to nesting beaches and (3) migration strategies by adult female green and hawksbill turtles in the Lesser Antilles.

#### 86 Methods

#### 87 Study area and target species

The islands of St Eustatius (17.48°N, 62.97°W) and St Maarten (18.07°N, 63.05°W) are part of the Dutch Caribbean, which also includes the islands of Aruba, Bonaire, Curaçao and Saba. The islands are located in the Lesser Antilles in the North-eastern Caribbean (Figure 1), with land areas of just 21 km<sup>2</sup> and 52 km<sup>2</sup>, respectively. Leatherback, green and hawksbill turtles nest on both islands. The study animals were female green and hawksbill turtles that emerged to nest in St Eustatius and in St Maarten.

94 The present study was conducted primarily in St Eustatius where a monitoring programme of nesting 95 turtles by Statia National Marine Park has been in operation since 2002. Year-round, early morning 96 surveys (0600-0800 hr) of the index beach took place according to a standard internationally 97 recognised protocol for nesting beaches (Eckert et al. 1999). Any indication of turtle activity (i.e. 98 tracks, sand disturbed in a way that is characteristic of nesting) was documented and the presence of 99 eggs confirmed through careful digging by hand. Nightly beach patrols were conducted on Zeelandia 100 Beach (1.0 km) and, when tidal conditions permitted, Turtle Beach (0.6 km). Hourly patrols were 101 conducted by a minimum of two people between 2100-0400 hr. The primary objective of the beach 102 patrols was to encounter as many nesting turtles as possible; to tag them with flipper tags, collect 103 standard carapace measurements (curved carapace length notch to tip (CCL<sub>n-t</sub>) and curved carapace 104 width (CCW), mark the location of the nest for inclusion in a nest survivorship and hatching success 105 study and relocate any nests laid in designated erosion zones. Tagging protocols detailed in Eckert 106 and Beggs (2006) were used: all turtles were initially checked for tags and, if present, the numbers 107 were recorded, as was the date, time and location. If no tags were present, the turtle was tagged with 108 Inconel #681 metal flipper tags (http://www.nationalband.com). Tags were applied adjacent to the first 109 large scale on the proximal part of the front flipper, where the swimming stroke will cause minimal tag 110 movement (Balazs 1999). Tags were attached while the turtle was covering its nest immediately after 111 laying eggs; so that the turtle was not disturbed prior to laying. Two metal tags were attached to each 112 turtle; this was to ensure that even if one tag was lost the individual could still be recognised. Details 113 of number, date, time and location of application of the tags were then recorded during patrols.

114 Satellite tag deployment

115 Nest monitoring results show that green and hawksbill turtles nest at St Eustatius during the months 116 of April to November with a seasonal peak in nesting in September (STENAPA unpubl data). Satellite 117 transmitters were deployed towards the end of the seasonal peak to increase the probability of encountering females at the end of their nesting season, and thus being able to track complete post-118 119 nesting migrations. Immediately after egg laying (or attempted egg laying) and once turtles were 120 returning to the water, they were intercepted on the nesting beach and detained in a plywood box for 121 transmitter attachment. Prior to attachment of the transmitter, the turtle carapace was thoroughly 122 cleaned, which included removal of interfering external commensals such as barnacles. Transmitters 123 of model ST-20 A-1010 (size, 12 x 6 x 3 cm; weight in air 280 g) (Telonics Inc, 124 http://www.telonics.com) were applied to the highest point on the carapace using the silicone 125 elastomer and fibreglass method of Balazs et al. (1996), modified by reinforcing the antenna base 126 with a roll of fibreglass cloth placed on top of the transmitter immediately anterior to the antenna, as 127 well as by placing hydrodynamically shaped filler material along the frontal area of the transmitter to 128 streamline the package. Turtles were held for 1 to 2 h after attaching the transmitters to allow 129 adhesives to set, then released at the location of capture.

Between September 2005 and September 2007, four female turtles (three green and one hawksbill)
were fitted with satellite transmitters on Zeelandia Beach, St Eustatius. Additionally, one hawksbill
was intercepted and equipped with a satellite transmitter on Guana Bay Beach, St Maarten. The
attachment of all devices was conducted with permission from the Statia National Marine Park and St
Maarten Marine Park.

135 Data analysis

The transmission durations from the two turtles tracked in 2005 lasted for much less time than expected according to the specifications of the transmitters (55 d and 69 d, pre-processed data) and remaining transmitters deployed in 2006 and 2007 were reprogrammed to improve the battery longevity and hence increase the amount of time that the transmitters would be able to send signals. Transmission durations from the three turtles tracked in 2006 and 2007 increased as a result of the re-programming (261 d, 146 d, 142 d, pre-processed data).

After attachment of satellite transmitters, locations were received from Service Argos and the online
Satellite Tracking and Analysis Tool (STAT) (Coyne and Godley 2005) was used for managing the

144 data. One copy of locations that had been uploaded twice was subsequently removed (Turtle B, n =145 8). Studies by Argos (2013) and Hays et al. (2001) have shown that Argos location classes (LC) 3, 2, 146 1 and A are the most reliable, thus data in LC 0 and B (n = 1608) were removed prior to the plotting of 147 tracks. Locations (n = 134) were filtered to exclude biologically unreasonable results for travel speed 148 (>5kmh<sup>-1</sup> (Luschi et al. 1998, 2001; Seminoff et al. 2008)). Data were further filtered (n = 533) to 149 select the best location received on that day (defined as highest quality location class received that 150 day; where two or more high-quality locations were received, we only used the first received that day). 151 Filtering of the Argos-transmitted data resulted in the removal of 2283 locations in total (from n =152 2479). A small number of locations (n = 14) were removed because they were visibly erroneous i.e. 153 they were on land. As the turtles were not travelling in straight lines on post-nesting migrations, but 154 rather were expected to be moving in complex ways in coastal waters, we did not use a turning angle 155 filter.

For each turtle, total distance covered was computed by adding the distances between successive valid fixes. The straightness index was calculated as the ratio between the beeline distance from nesting beach to the last fix of a turtle's route and the total length of the route (Batschelet 1981). Evidence for subsequent nesting events on a different beach that was not patrolled was implied by locations close to potential nesting beaches corresponding with the expected inter-nesting interval for the species (12-16 d) (Hays et al. 2002).

Along with direct observation, when turtles were encountered nesting by a patrol in some cases, we used tracking data to infer whether turtles re-nested after satellite transmitter attachment and further categorise tracks as either inter-nesting or post-nesting tracks. Foraging sites were identified by visual assessment of mapped data and by individuals slowing down and remaining in fixed areas for extended periods of time of at least 3 weeks or until transmissions ceased (21-217 d).

#### 167 Results

During patrols conducted between 2002 and 2012, 23 green turtles and three hawksbill turtles were flipper tagged when encountered while nesting on the index beach of Zeelandia Beach, St Eustatius. There were turtles nesting during this period that were not tagged due to logistical reasons. Reports from the morning track surveys for this 11 year period record the number of nests (probable and 172 confirmed) as 255 (greens) and 104 (hawksbills) out of a total of 468 green- and 152 hawksbill 173 nesting activities (JB, EH, AH, NE, STENAPA unpubl data). It is difficult to calculate an Estimated 174 Clutch Frequency (ECF) and rookery population based on these low numbers of tagged turtles. Using 175 calculated ECF from other Caribbean rookeries (greens = 3.0 in Florida (Johnson and Ehrhart 1996); 176 hawksbills = 4.1 in Barbados (Beggs et al. 2007)), these results suggest a rookery population of 8 177 green turtles and two hawksbill turtles. This rookery size estimate is based on the assumption that 178 nest counts are accurate as it is logistically challenging to dig up and verify that eggs have been laid 179 for each track recorded as a nest. Hence, this crucial assumption has not been tested. These data are 180 partially supported by a published record for turtles nesting in the Dutch Caribbean for the years 181 2002-4 (Debrot et al. 2005) and it was estimated that the number of flipper tagged turtles during 2002-182 2012 represented 26% and 14% of the green and hawksbill rookery populations respectively 183 (STENAPA unpub data).

The five tracked turtles travelled from the nesting areas of St Eustatius and St Maarten to residence sites between 16 and 607 km straight-line distance away within three broad geographical areas in the Eastern and Central Caribbean (Figures 2 and 3). Tracking durations ranged from 31 to 237 d (mean  $\pm$  SD = 120  $\pm$  85 d, *n* = 5). A minimum duration of three weeks of tracking was considered sufficient to confirm that a turtle was resident and remaining in a fixed area. The mean number of Argos-relayed locations from these turtles was 0.40 d<sup>-1</sup> (SD  $\pm$  0.19, range 0.09-0.61, *n* = 5). The size (CCL<sub>n-t</sub>) of the five study animals was 113.5 cm, 112.0 cm, 106.0 cm (greens) and 85.5 cm, 82.0 cm (hawksbills).

#### 191 Inter-nesting behaviour

## 192 Green turtles

Two turtles were observed nesting prior to satellite tag attachment (Turtle A on four occasions, Turtle C on one occasion). Subsequent to release, Turtle A was observed nesting on Zeelandia Beach 11 d after the previous observed nesting event. After attachment of the satellite transmitter, Turtle C remained in foraging grounds close to the coast of St Eustatius and headed to shallow waters of St Kitts (straight line distance 21.8 km). Positions close to a sandy beach indicated that it might nest but then showed a return to the primary nesting beach on St Eustatius and Turtle C was again observed returning to the sea from a false crawl, 11 d after nesting on Zeelandia (Table 2).

200 Prior to satellite transmitter attachment, one of the green turtles (E) had attempted to nest but was 201 unsuccessful; and was intercepted on the way back to the sea. Turtle E then remained offshore 202 around St Eustatius and satellite transmissions indicate a probable nesting event three nights later on 203 Zeelandia Beach. Table 2 shows an observed inter-nesting interval (INI) of 11-12 d (Turtles A, C) for 204 green turtles. Tag sighting records from 2002-2012 (JB, EH, AH, NE, STENAPA unpubl data) confirm 205 that the green turtle individuals in the study exhibited typical INI for females of this species nesting on 206 St Eustatius, varying from 9-13 d, supporting results from the satellite tracking (Turtles A, C). For 207 example, the INI recorded for five clutches laid by Turtles A and E immediately prior to satellite tag 208 attachment was INI = 11 and INI = 10-13, respectively. Tag sighting records from, St Kitts confirmed a 209 green turtle tagged on St Eustatius nesting on North Friar's Bay beach, 40.0 km to the southeast 210 (Stewart pers comm).

#### 211 Hawksbill turtles

212 No inter-nesting behaviour was observed for Turtle B. Satellite tracking data from Turtle D indicate 213 two probable nesting activities (Table 2). After satellite transmitter attachment, Turtle D immediately 214 left St Eustatius, swimming north to St Barthélemy (straight line distance from release site of 48.7 km) 215 and on to Scrub Island, North East of Anguilla (straight line distance from release site of 89.1 km) 216 where Turtle D remained for several days, probably nested and then moved westwards towards 217 deeper waters, changing southwards to St Croix, USVI, the site of another probable nest, a straight 218 line distance of 203.2 km from the release site. Table 2 shows an inferred 16-17 d INI for this 219 individual (Turtle D).

#### 220 Migration and residence

#### 221 Green turtles

Westward migration was shown by one turtle (E, Figure 2). Turtle E nested in St Eustatius in 2002,
2005, 2007, 2010 and 2012 indicative of a remigrant with regular migration patterns of 2-3 years.
Immediately after the probable nesting event three days after satellite transmitter attachment took
place, Turtle E headed north-westerly through the British Virgin Islands (BVI) (straight line distance of
203.1 km), past Puerto Rico (straight line distance of 355.7 km) to settle off El Macao, Dominican

Republic (606 km straight line distance in two weeks). Transmissions ceased 116 d after arriving in
foraging grounds.

229 No migration was shown by two turtles (A and C, Figure 3). Turtle A nested five confirmed times 230 during the season and was then expected to migrate. All subsequent transmissions (42 d) showed her 231 remaining within 5 km of the release site. Track surveys on St Eustatius showed that the last green 232 turtle track of the season was 1 October 2005 and so it can be considered that Turtle A remained in 233 foraging grounds around St Eustatius as uplinks record Turtle A was still in the offshore area >1 234 month after the 2005 nesting season had finished (last transmission was 2 November 2005). After 235 attempted nesting on St Eustatius on 29 September 2006, Turtle C travelled around St Kitts to reach 236 the shallow channel between St Kitts and Nevis, remaining until transmissions ceased after 237 d 237 (straight line distance 47.3 km and total distance tracked 1061.7 km).

#### 238 Hawksbill turtles

Both hawksbill turtles immediately departed from the nesting beach (B and D, Figure 2). Turtle B began a westward post-nesting migration from St Maarten and there was no evidence of subsequent nesting based on the tracking uplink data. This individual headed north-west toward Anegada (straight line distance of 155.1 km) swimming up to 60 km per day and then shifted her course abruptly to head to the south towards the Virgin Islands, travelling 289 km before reaching a foraging area close to Flanagan Island, BVI, 191 km straight-line distance from the release site, taking 10 d to reach the destination. Turtle B remained in the area until transmissions ceased after 57 d.

A circular pattern was shown by Turtle D and after the probable nesting activities on Scrub Island, Anguilla and St Croix, USVI, this individual completely changed direction and swam eastwards to return to Anguilla and St Maarten, settling in waters 20-35 m deep west of an uninhabited cay between St Barthélemy and St Maarten. This circular migration route of 880.6 km resulted in a final foraging site only 49.5 km straight line distance from the release site. Transmissions ended 104 d after arrival at the foraging location.

## 252 Discussion

The overriding conclusion of the current study is that individuals nesting on St Eustatius and St
 Maarten exhibit behavioural plasticity in their inter-nesting behaviour and post-nesting migration

255 routes in the Eastern Caribbean. All turtles tracked during this three year study exhibited nesting 256 behaviour patterns (INI, number of nests) similar to those previously reported for these two species in the Caribbean region; however some unusual post-nesting migration behaviour was observed and our 257 258 data are not consistent with the generally accepted hypothesis that adult female greens and 259 hawksbills in the Caribbean are migratory. Results demonstrate that green and hawksbill turtles in 260 tropical areas exhibit different nesting and post-nesting strategies. Two nesting strategies were 261 apparent in that some turtles repeatedly nest on the same beach, whilst others nest on beaches 262 separated by over 190 km. Post-nesting strategies included migration to disparate foraging grounds 263 as well as other turtles remaining at the nesting ground as year-round residents.

264 The green turtles showed use of an inter-nesting area of up to 21.8 km (including a foray to the 265 neighbouring island of St Kitts) from the release site and indicated that nesting may occur on several 266 islands in one season due to the close proximity of islands in this region of the Caribbean. This is 267 supported by previous reports of St Eustatius tagged green turtles nesting on St Kitts (K. Stewart pers 268 comm). While many populations of most sea turtle species exhibit general fidelity to nesting beaches, 269 this study supports the few existing publications from the tropics showing that females may frequent a 270 range of nesting beaches within an area of 25-200 km (e.g. Bjorndal and Bolten 2010). This lack of 271 nesting site fidelity has been demonstrated for temperate regions and one key example is the 272 observation of loggerhead turtles tagged on Zakynthos, Cephalonia or Kyparissia in the 273 Mediterranean nesting at one of the other two sites. This movement has also been documented by 274 satellite tracking studies, showing that females conducted "forays" of around 100 km to alternative 275 sites (Cephalonia, Kyparrisia, Kotichi, Mesolonghi) from Zakynthos (Schofield et al. 2010). In the 276 tropics, a key result of genetic analysis has been that loggerheads nested on several Cape Verde 277 islands that were over 70 km distant and separated by waters over 1000 m deep (Marco et al. 2011). 278 The size of this inter-nesting area is not surprising when compared to the reported inter-nesting area 279 of green turtles within 135 km of the release site of Tortuguero, Costa Rica (Troëng et al. 2005). If an 280 inter-nesting range of 135 km from a nesting beach is considered, then green turtles nesting on St 281 Eustatius could be nesting internationally on at least nine other islands, including St Kitts, Nevis, 282 Montserrat, Antigua, Barbuda, Saba, St Maarten, St Barthélemy and Anguilla.

283 A similar inter-nesting range is reported from Costa Rica (EH pers comm): every year a small number 284 of green turtles (15-30) are encountered during night patrols in Tortuguero that have tags from 285 monitoring and conservation projects run by other organisations at nesting beaches to the north and 286 south along the Caribbean coast of Costa Rica. These beaches are anywhere from 1-100 km 287 distance from Tortuguero National Park. In 2011, a green turtle was tagged on the nesting beach at 288 Chiriquí Beach, Panama in June, and in September was encountered nesting at the southern end of 289 Tortuguero National Park; a straight-line distance of approximately 260 km. At both locations the 290 green turtle was believed to have nested successfully. These unpublished data, together with our 291 results, further re-iterate the use of satellite tags to identify potential nesting sites for sea turtles. This 292 approach was first implemented more than 20 years ago with studies of single loggerhead turtles 293 (Hays et al. 1991) and has developed to studies of 30-60+ individuals (Kobayashi et al. 2011; Hawkes 294 et al. 2011; Schofield et al. 2013; Pendoley et al. 2014).

295 The INI of hawksbills is generally longer than green turtles, for example mean  $\pm$  SD = 14.9  $\pm$  1.3 d 296 reported from Barbados (Beggs et al. 2007) which supports the inferred nesting sites on Scrub Island, 297 Anguilla (INI = 17) and St Croix, US Virgin Islands (USVI) (INI = 17) by Turtle D. Results for hawksbill 298 turtles reflected reports from inter-nesting studies of hawksbill females tracked from beaches in St 299 Croix, USVI which have suggested that females exhibit preferences for particular locations on the reef 300 close to the primary beach (Starbird et al. 1999). This has been supported by studies of hawksbills 301 nesting in Barbados (Welcott et al. 2012). The hawksbills in this study migrated to known hawksbill 302 foraging grounds identified in previous studies (Boulon pers comm; RvD pers comm). This is also the 303 case for a handful of hawksbill turtles encountered at Tortuguero in Costa Rica with tags from other 304 nesting beach projects along the coast of Costa Rica (EH pers. comm.). The unusual pattern was the 305 circuitous route shown by one hawksbill that travelled over 200 km to nest again and then returned to 306 a foraging location less than 50 km from the original nesting site. This pattern has not been previously 307 reported. However in other species there are occasional movements away from nesting areas before 308 subsequent return within the same season (Schofield et al. 2010) and these movements may reflect 309 prospecting searches for alternative nesting sites.

Turtles in this study showed a predominant westward movement which is similar to migration patterns from nesting grounds reported from several studies in the Eastern and Central Caribbean, including

312 Puerto Rico (van Dam et al. 2008); Cayman Islands (Blumenthal et al. 2006) and Dominican Republic 313 (Hawkes et al. 2012). The Lesser Antilles separate the Caribbean from the Atlantic Ocean and act as 314 a sieve for the inflow of Atlantic water to the Caribbean Basin, forming the Caribbean Current, the 315 main surface circulation of the Caribbean Sea, consistent with observed and modelled patterns of 316 ocean and wind-driven currents westward into the Caribbean through the Lesser Antilles passages 317 north of Martinique at latitude ~15°N (Johns et al. 2002). The westward movement of the majority of 318 turtles in this study and others cited supports the theory that adult migration is influenced by ocean 319 current patterns experienced as hatchlings and small juvenile turtles (Hays et al. 2010b; Hays and Scott 2013; Luschi et al. 2003). 320

321 The non-direct routes to foraging sites have been discussed in previous studies whereby migrating 322 turtles do not show a precise map sense and hence take non-optimum routes to their destination 323 (Hays et al. 2014b). As with the individuals tracked in the current study, most turtles exhibit a 324 correction in course during migration with multiple stages of travel to the vicinity of their final foraging 325 destination. Typical course correction occurs along bathymetric contour lines around island groups, 326 such as that shown by Turtle B travelling around small islands of the BVI, and then a binomial choice 327 once the individual enters shallower waters of a larger island, as exhibited by Turtle E upon reaching 328 the coastline of Dominican Republic.

329 Each of the green turtles settled in foraging grounds of relatively shallow (10-25 m) seagrass beds (St 330 Eustatius, St Kitts, Dominican Republic) whilst the hawksbill turtles migrated to foraging grounds of 331 mixed coral reef habitat (BVI, St Barthélemy). The island of St Barthélemy appears to be suitable 332 foraging habitat for adult hawksbills, as another hawksbill was satellite tracked to the same area after 333 nesting in 1998 at Mona Island, Puerto Rico (van Dam et al. 2008). Many of the foraging areas 334 revealed by turtles' migration routes in this study have been previously documented (Revuelta et al. 335 2012; Debrot et al. 2005; Dow et al. 2007). Other foraging grounds have not been documented but are known locally, such as El Macao, Dominican Republic (Turtle E), an area of intense tourism 336 337 development with nearby areas with less developed beaches and offshore seagrass habitat (Y. Leon 338 pers comm) and the waters around Flanagan Island, BVI, a region with extensive reefs, algal plains 339 and seagrass beds, suggesting there is adequate food close by (R. Boulon pers comm). Studies have 340 reported that Caribbean hawksbills exhibit a migratory dichotomy, whereby some turtles remain in

341 coastal waters close to the nesting beach and others migrate internationally (Horrocks et al. 2001; 342 Moncada et al. 2012;). This is not peculiar to the region; loggerheads in the Mediterranean and 343 Atlantic exhibit alternative strategies such as coastal and oceanic foraging (e.g. Hawkes et al. 2012; 344 Schofield et al. 2013). What is new is that the results from this study also suggest that Caribbean 345 green turtles do not always migrate. Whilst this has been seen with green turtles in remote island 346 systems such as Cocos Islands, Indian Ocean (Whiting et al. 2008), loggerhead turtles in Greece 347 (e.g. Schofield et al. (2013) reported five of 75 tracked loggerheads remained resident at the breeding area), hawksbills in Cuba (Moncada et al. 2012) and in Hawaii (Parker et al. 2009), it is believed that 348 349 this is the first documented case of Caribbean green turtles exhibiting non-migratory breeding and 350 remaining within 50 km of the original nesting ground to forage. Clearly, if there are foraging 351 resources at nesting sites, then a proportion of turtles may stay on site. With no resources being 352 expended on migration, these green turtles might be able to reach reproductive condition more 353 quickly and so show a reduced interval between successive nesting seasons. This has not been 354 confirmed as there have been no observations of the two individuals at the nesting beach since the 355 season in which they were fitted with satellite tags.

356 As with the majority of sea turtle tracking studies, only female nesting turtles were included in this 357 study which involved a limited number of satellite transmitters (n = 5). It is important to increase the 358 number of individuals satellite tracked to >40 (see Schofield et al. 2013) in order to draw further 359 conclusions about population level dispersal of green and hawksbill turtles nesting on St Eustatius. 360 There is also an urgent need to increase efforts to track male turtles to further understand the sex-361 specific patterns of migration between foraging and breeding habitats in the Caribbean. Significant 362 differences have been observed in migratory range between males and females tagged in Puerto 363 Rico (van Dam et al. 2008). Further afield, marked differences in male versus female breeding 364 intervals have been revealed with males breeding more frequently than females in Australia (Limpus 365 1993) and Greece (Hays et al. 2010a). Increased understanding of patterns of behaviour of both 366 sexes will ultimately be useful to provide data to improve and inform regional conservation policies.

The absence of migration in the female green turtles (with data still required about movements of male turtles) has implications for decisions about MPAs to simultaneously protect turtle nesting and foraging grounds in the Caribbean and other tropical areas. The presence of year-round resident

370 females promotes the importance of year-round protection at key nesting sites, which would 371 safeguard part of the two species' populations. Whilst much of the priority, to date, has been on the protection of nesting habitat, it may now be possible to identify areas using satellite tracking studies 372 373 that incorporate foraging and nesting habitats and that, therefore, could provide improved protection 374 for a sub-set of the turtle population in the region throughout their adult life. Information from satellite 375 tracking studies in the Wider Caribbean, and further afield, can therefore allow researchers and 376 conservation organisations to identify and rank critical habitat, inform policy-making, promote the 377 implementation of regional agreements, and strengthen national and international conservation 378 planning and research (e.g. Blumenthal et al. 2012).

379 In the Caribbean, examples for regional integration of research on turtles into nature policies and 380 MPA management have been set by the DCNA and WIDECAST. Groups such as DCNA and 381 WIDECAST are building biodiversity databases to collect data from individual organisations, such as 382 conservation NGOs, and make data publically available. Improved communication and data sharing 383 among everyone working on satellite tracking projects in the region will lead to a more coordinated 384 approach to development of MPAs and turtle conservation/protection plans among all stakeholders. 385 The current manuscript is the result of work by DCNA to promote understanding of sea turtles in the 386 Dutch Caribbean, the data are freely available from the authors for further publications and it is hoped 387 that increasing numbers of groups will make satellite tracking study data more publicly available for 388 the benefit of international sea turtle conservation.

389 Results of this research, coupled with long-term monitoring of sea turtles nesting in St Eustatius, have 390 enabled us to develop and communicate an understanding of management requirements for 391 threatened green and hawksbill turtles in the Dutch Caribbean. This study highlights the value of 392 international networking and data sharing, the benefits of collecting baseline information on the 393 distribution and abundance of populations, and the usefulness of long-term, systematic monitoring of 394 sea turtle nesting grounds: the tracking and tagging data combined indicate that some of the green 395 and hawksbill turtles that nest in the Lesser Antilles Islands are year-round residents, while others 396 may nest and forage at alternative sites. Thus, continued year-round protection of the Lesser Antilles 397 Islands, and the expansion of protection measures to include islands within their potential inter-

nesting range would contribute towards safeguarding the green and hawksbill populations of theregion, to some extent.

## 400 Acknowledgements

401 NE, RvD and EH conceived the project and took part in fieldwork with assistance from AH and JB; NE 402 led the analyses, interpretation of the data and writing with contributions from all authors. The authors 403 wish to acknowledge use of the Maptool program (www.seaturtle.org) for analysis and graphics in this 404 paper. Funding was provided by a grant from the Dutch Caribbean Nature Alliance (DCNA), additional 405 funding and equipment was provided by WIDECAST and the Marine Turtle Tagging Centre in 406 Barbados. The study was conducted within the Marine Park programmes and complied with all 407 relevant national legislation. Numerous STENAPA and Nature Foundation St Maarten staff and 408 volunteers are acknowledged for assisting in the attachment of satellite transmitters and monitoring 409 activities between 2002-2012. We also thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive

410 suggestions to improve the manuscript.

## 411 References

412 Argos (2013) Argos User's Manual. Argos/CLS, Toulouse. http://www.argos-

413 system.org/manual/home.htm. Accessed 13 December 2013

- 414 Balazs GH (1999) Factors to consider in the tagging of sea turtles. In: Eckert KL, Bjorndal KA, Abreu-
- 415 Grobois FA, Donnelly M (eds) Research and Management Techniques for the Conservation of Sea

416 Turtles. IUCN/SSC Marine Turtle Specialist Group Publication No 4, pp 101–109

- 417 Balazs GH, Miya RK, Beavers SC (1996) Procedures to attach a satellite transmitter to the carapace
- 418 of an adult green turtle, *Chelonia mydas*. In: Keinath JA, Barnard DE, Musick JA, Bell BA (compilers)
- 419 Proceedings of the fifteenth annual symposium on sea turtle biology and conservation. NOAA
- 420 Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-387, pp 21-26
- 421 Batschelet E (1981) Circular statistics in biology. New York: Academic Press
- 422 Beggs JA, Horrocks JA, Krueger BH (2007) Increase in hawksbill sea turtle Eretmochelys imbricata
- 423 nesting in Barbados, West Indies. ESR 3(2):159-168. doi: 10.3354/esr003159

- 424 Block BA (2005) Physiological ecology in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century: Advancements in Biologging Science.
- 425 Integr Comp Biol 45:305-320
- Bjorndal KA and Bolten AB (2010) Hawksbill sea turtles in seagrass pastures: success in a peripheral
  habitat. Mar Biol 157:135-145
- 428 Blumenthal JM, Solomon JL, Bell CD, Austin TJ, Ebanks-Petrie G, Coyne MS, Broderick AC, Godley
- 429 BJ (2006) Satellite tracking highlights the need for international cooperation in marine turtle
- 430 management. ESR 2: 51-61
- Broderick AC, Coyne MS, Fuller WJ, Glen F, Godley BJ (2007) Fidelity and over-wintering of sea
  turtles. Proc R Soc B 274: 1533-1538. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2007.0211
- 433 Byrne R, Fish J, Doyle TK, Houghton DR (2009) Tracking leatherback turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*)
- 434 during consecutive inter-nesting intervals: Further support for direct transmitter attachment. JEMBE
  435 377: 68-75
- 436 Coyne MS, Godley BJ (2005) Satellite tracking and analysis tool (STAT): an integrated system for
- 437 archiving, analysing and mapping animal tracking data. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 301:1-7
- 438 Cuevas E, Abreu-Grobois FA, Guzmán-Hernández V, Liceaga-Correa MA, van Dam RP (2008) Post-
- 439 nesting migratory movements of hawksbill turtles *Eretmochelys imbricata* in waters adjacent to the
- 440 Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico. ESR 10:123-133. doi: 10.3354/esr00128
- 441 Debrot AO, Esteban N, Le Scao R, Caballero A, Hoetjes PC (2005) New Sea Turtle Nesting Records
- for the Netherlands Antilles Provide Impetus to Conservation Action. Caribb J Sci 41(2):334-339.
- 443 ISSN: 0008-6452
- 444 Dow W, Eckert K, Palmer M, Kramer P (2007) An Atlas of Sea Turtle Nesting Habitat for the Wider
- 445 Caribbean Region. WIDECAST and The Nature Conservancy. WIDECAST Tech Rep No. 6. Beaufort,
- 446 North Carolina. 267 pp. ISSN: 1930-3025
- 447 Eckert KL, Beggs J (2006) Marine Turtle Tagging: A Manual of Recommended Practices, Revised
- 448 Edition. WIDECAST Tech Rep No. 2. Beaufort, North Carolina. 40 pp. ISSN: 1930-3025

- 449 Eckert SA, Bagley D, Kubis S, Ehrhart L, Johnson C, Stewart K, DeFreese D (2006) Internesting and
- 450 Postnesting movements and foraging habitats of Leatherback sea turtles (Dermochelys coriacea)
- 451 nesting in Florida. Chelonian Conserv and Biol 5(2): 239-248
- 452 Georges JY, Billes A, Ferraroli S, Fossette S, Fretey J, Grémillet D, Le Maho Y, Myers AE, Tanaka H,
- 453 Hays GC (2007) Meta-analysis of movements in Atlantic leatherback turtles during nesting season:
- 454 conservation implications. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 338:225-232
- 455 Godley BJ, Blumenthal JM, Broderick AC, Coyne MS, Godfrey MH, Hawkes LA, Witt MJ (2007)
- 456 Satellite tracking of sea turtles: Where have we been and where do we go next? ESR 3:1-20. doi:
- 457 10.3354/esr00060
- 458 Hawkes LA, Witt MJ, Broderick AC, Coker JW, Coyne MS, Dodd M, Frick MG, Godfrey MH, Griffin
- 459 DB, Murphy SR, Murphy TM, Williams KL, Godley BJ (2011) Home on the range: spatial ecology of
- 460 loggerhead turtles in Atlantic waters of the USA. Diversity Distrib 17:624-640. doi:
- 461 10.1111/j.1472.2011.00768.x
- 462 Hays GC, Webb PI, Hayes JP, Priede IG, French J (1991) Satellite tracking of a loggerhead turtle
- 463 (*Caretta caretta*) in the Mediterranean. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 71:743-746
- 464 Hays GC, Åkesson S, Godley BJ, Luschi P, Santadrian P (2001) The implications of location accuracy
- 465 for the interpretation of satellite tracking data. Anim Behav 61:1035-1040
- 466 Hays GC, Broderick AC, Glen F, Godley BJ, Houghton JDR, Metcalfe JD (2002) Water temperature
- 467 and inter-nesting intervals for loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*) and green (*Chelonia mydas*) sea turtles. J
  468 Thermal Biology 27, 429-432.
- 469 Hays GC, Fossette S, Katselidis KA, Schofield G, Gravenor MB (2010a) Breeding periodicity for male
- 470 sea turtles, operational sex ratios, and implications in the face of climate change. Cons Biol 24:1636–
- 471 1643. doi: 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2010.01531.x
- 472 Hays GC, Fossette S, Katselidis KA, Mariani P, Schofield G (2010b) Ontogenetic development of
- 473 migration: Lagrangian drift trajectories suggest a new paradigm for sea turtles. J R Soc Interface
- 474 7:1319-1327. doi: 10.1098/rsif.2010.0009

- 475 Hays GC, Scott R (2013) Global patterns for upper ceilings on migration distance in sea turtles and
- 476 comparisons with fish, birds and mammals. Funct Ecol. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.12073
- 477 Hays GC, Mortimer JA, lerodiaconou D, Esteban N (2014a) Use of long-distance migration patterns of
- 478 an endangered species to inform conservation planning for the world's largest marine protected area.
- 479 Cons Biol 28:1636–1644. doi: 10.1111/cobi.12325
- 480 Hays GC, Christensen A, Fossette S, Schofield G, Talbot J, Mariani P (2014b) Route optimisation and
- 481 solving Zermelo's navigation problem during long distance migration in cross flows. Ecol Letters 17,
- 482 137–143. doi: 10.1111/ele.12219
- 483 Horrocks JA, Vermeer LA, Krueger B, Coyne M, Schroeder BA, Balazs GH (2001) Migration routes
- 484 and destination characteristics of post-nesting hawksbill turtles satellite-tracked from Barbados, West
- 485 Indies. Chelonian Conserv and Biol 4(1):107-114
- Johns WE, Townsend TL, Fratantoni DM, Wilson WD (2002) On the Atlantic inflow to the Caribbean
  Sea. Deep-Sea Res I 49:211-243
- Johnson SA, Ehrhart LM (1996) Reproductive ecology of the Florida green turtle: clutch frequency. J
  Herp 30(3):407-410
- 490 Kobayashi DR, Cheng I-J, Parker DM, Polovina JJ, Kamezaki N, Balazs GH (2011) Loggerhead turtle
- 491 (Caretta caretta) movement off the coast of Taiwan: characterization of a hotspot in the East China
- 492 Sea and investigation of mesoscale eddies. ICES J Mar Sci. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsq185
- Limpus CJ (1993) The green turtle, *Chelonia mydas* in Queensland: breeding males in the southern
  Great Barrier Reef. Wildl Res 20:513-523
- Limpus CJ, Miller JD, Paramenter CJ, Reimer D, McLachlan N, Webb R (1992) Migration of green
- 496 (Chelonia mydas) and loggerhead (Caretta caretta) turtles to and from eastern Australian rookeries.
- 497 Wildl Res 19(3):347-357
- 498 Luschi P, Hays GC, Del Seppia C, Marsh R, Papi F (1998) The navigational feats of green sea turtles
- 499 migrating from Ascension Island investigated by satellite telemetry. Proc R Soc B: Biol Sci 265:2279500 2284

- Luschi P, Åkesson S, Broderick AC, Glen F, Godley BJ, Papi F, Hays GC (2001) Testing animal
- 502 navigational abilities in the ocean: displacement experiments on sea turtles. Behav Ecol Sociobiol

503 50:528-534

- Luschi P, Hays GC, Papi F (2003) A review of long-distance movements by marine turtles, and the
  possible role of ocean currents. Oikos 103:293-302
- 506 Marco A, Abella Pérez E, Monzón Argüello C, Martins S, Araujo S, López Jurado LF (2011) The
- 507 international importance of the archipelago of Cape Verde for marine turtles, in particular the
- 508 loggerhead turtle Caretta caretta. Zoologia Caboverdiana 2(1):1-11. ISSN 2074-5737
- 509 Marcovaldi MÂ, Lopez GG, Soares L, Lima EHSM, Thomé JCA, Almeida AP (2010) Satellite-tracking
- of female loggerhead turtles highlights fidelity behaviour in northeastern Brazil. ESR 12:263-272
- 511 Meylan AB (1999) Status of the Hawksbill Turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) in the Caribbean region.
- 512 Chelonian Conserv Biol 3(2):177-184
- 513 Moncada FG, Hawkes LA, Fish MR, Godley BJ, Manolis SC, Medina Y, Nodarse G, Webb GJW
- 514 (2012) Patterns of dispersal of hawksbill turtles from the Cuban shelf inform scale of conservation and
- 515 management. Biol Cons 148:191-199
- 516 Mortimer JA, Portier KM (1989) Reproductive homing and inter-nesting behaviour of the Green Turtle
- 517 (Chelonia mydas) at Ascension Island, South Atlantic Ocean. Copeia 4:962-977
- 518 Mortimer JA, Donnelly M (IUCN SSC Marine Turtle Specialist Group) (2008) Eretmochelys imbricata.
- 519 The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2014.2. www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 05
- 520 October 2014
- 521 Papi F, Luschi P, Âkesson S, Capogrossi S, Hays GC (2000) Open-sea migration of magnetically
- 522 disturbed sea turtles. J Exp Biol 203:3435-3443
- 523 Parker DM, Balazs GH, King CS, Katahira L, Gilmartin W (2009) Short-range movements of hawksbill
- 524 turtles (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) from nesting to foraging areas within the Hawaiian Islands. Pacific
- 525 Science 63(3):371-382. doi: 10.2984/049.063.0306

Pendoley KL, Schofield G, Whittock PA, lerodiaconou D, Hays GC (2014) Protected species use of a
 coastal marine migratory corridor connecting marine protected areas. Mar Biol. doi: 10.1007/s00227-

528 014-2433-7

- 529 Piniak WED, Eckert KL (2011) Sea turtle nesting habitat in the Wider Caribbean Region. ESR
- 530 15(2):129-141. doi: 10.3354/esr00375
- 531 Revuelta O, León YM, Feliz P, Godley BJ, Tomas J (2012) Protected areas host important remnants
- of marine turtle nesting stocks in the Dominican Republic. Oryx 46:348-358
- 533 Schofield G, Hobson VJ, Lilley MKS, Katselidis KA, Bishop CM, Brown P, Hays GC (2010). Inter-
- annual variability in the home range of breeding turtles: Implications for current and future
- 535 conservation management. Biol Conserv 143, 722-730. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2009.12.011
- 536 Schofield G, Dimadi A, Fossette S, Katselidis KA, Koutsoubas D, Lilley MKS, Luckman A, Pantis JD,
- 537 Karagouni AD, Hays GC (2013) Satellite tracking large numbers of individuals to infer population level
- dispersal and core areas for protection. Divers and Distrib 1-11. doi: 10.1111/ddi.12077
- 539 Seminoff JA (Southwest Fisheries Science Center, US) (2004) Chelonia mydas. The IUCN Red List of
- 540 Threatened Species. Version 2014.2. www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 05 October 2014
- 541 Seminoff JA, Zárate P, Coyne M, Foley DG, Parker D, Lyon BN, Dutton PH (2008) Post-nesting
- 542 migrations of Galápogos green turtles *Chelonia mydas* in relation to oceanographic conditions:
- 543 integrating satellite telemetry with remotely sensed ocean data. ESR 4: 57-72. doi: 10.3354/esr00066
- 544 Starbird CH, Hills-Starr Z, Harvey JT, Eckert SA (1999) Inter-nesting movements and behaviour of
- hawksbill turtles (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) around Buck Island Reef National Monument, St. Croix, US
- 546 Virgin Islands. Chelonian Conserv Biol 3:237-243
- Troëng S, Evans DR, Harrison E, Lagueux CJ (2005) Migration of green turtles *Chelonia mydas* from
  Tortuguero, Costa Rica. Mar Biol 148:435-447. doi: 10.1007/s00227-005-0076-4
- 549 Tucker AD (2010) Nest site fidelity and clutch frequency of loggerhead turtles are better elucidated by
- satellite telemetry than by nocturnal tagging efforts: implications for stock assessment. J Exp Mar Biol
- 551 Ecol 383:48-55. doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2009.11.009

- van Dam RP, Diez CP, Balazs GH, Colon Colon LA, McMillan WO, Schroeder B (2008). Sex-specific
- 553 migration patterns of hawksbill turtles breeding at Mona Island, Puerto Rico. ESR 4:85-94
- 554 Walcott J, Eckert S, Horrocks JA (2012) Tracking hawksbill sea turtles (*Eretmochelys imbricata*)
- during inter-nesting intervals around Barbados. Mar Biol 159:927-938. doi: 10.1007/s00227-011-
- 556 1870-9
- 557 Whiting SD, Murray W, Macrae I, Thorn R, Chongkin M, Koch, AU (2008) Non-migratory breeding by
- isolated green sea turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) in the Indian Ocean: biological and conservation
- 559 implications. Naturwissenschaften 95(4):355-60

## 561 Figures and Tables



- **Figure 1** Location of study locations, the islands of St Eustatius and St Maarten in the Lesser Antilles
- 564 (inset) in the North East of the Caribbean Sea.



Figure 2 Migration patterns of three turtles subsequent to satellite transmitter attachment in St
Eustatius and St Maarten, Dutch Caribbean, showing westward migration of one green (Turtle E –
purple circles) and one hawksbill (Turtle B - green triangles) and circular migration of one hawksbill
(Turtle D – red inverted triangles) returning to forage <50 km from the original nesting site. Points</li>
represent Class 1, 2, 3 or A quality points. Open symbols (Turtle D) represent points during internesting periods, closed symbols are points indicating migration to foraging grounds.



## 

Figure 3 No or minimal migration shown by two green turtles (A and C), remaining in St Eustatius
(Turtle A - green circles) and St Kitts & Nevis (Turtle C – red triangles) post-nesting. Points represent
Class 1, 2, 3 or A quality points. Open symbols represent inter-nesting points or before settling at
forage grounds, closed symbols are points at foraging grounds for 21 d. Results indicate that the area

serves as a year-round foraging site as well as nesting ground.

**Table 1** Details of the five turtles for which inter-nesting and post-nesting migrations were tracked by satellite for 31-26

Turtle ID (Argos, Inconel)	Deployment	Date	Species	CCL	Deployment	Foraging site	Ma
	location	transmitter		(cm)	(inter-nesting)	(country)	dis
		deployed			duration (d)		(k
A (60722, WE22/WE23)	St Eustatius	20/09/05	Green	113.5	42 (11)	St Eustatius	3
B (60726, N/A)	St Maarten	09/10/05	Hawksbill	82.0	31 (10)	BVI	2
C (60724, WE36/WE37)	St Eustatius	18/09/06	Green	106.0	237 (8)	St Kitts & Nevis	10
D (60725, WE34/WE35)	St Eustatius	08/09/06	Hawksbill	85.5	146 (10)	St Barthélemy	8
E (60723, WE24/WE25)	St Eustatius	02/09/07	Green	112.0	142 (26)	Dominican Republic	7

582 data (CCL, curved carapace length tip to notch).

Table 2 Pre- and post-attachment nesting attempts for five turtles leaving St Eustatius and St Maarten. Confirmed nest
 were assessed by visual sightings (indicated by \*). Inferred nesting attempts were assessed by comparison of ARGOS

587 confirmed nesting attempts (INI, Inter Nesting Interval) using Argos data signal quality and frequency, and p	olots of dista
---	----------------

Turtle ID	Nest	Nests	INI	Post-deployment	LC	Nesting location	Di
(Argos ref)	years	pre- (post-)		nesting date			(kı
A (60722)	2005*	4 (+1)	11	01/10/05*	3	Zeelandia, St Eustatius	
B (60726)	2005*	1 (+0)	-	-	-	-	
C (60724)	2006*	1 (+1)	12	29/09/06*	2	Zeelandia, St Eustatius	
D (60725)	2006*	1 (+2)	16	25/09/06	3	Scrub Island, Anguilla	6
			33	12/10/06	2	NW St Croix, USVI	18
E (60723)	2002*						
	2005*						
	2007*	4 (+1)	3	04/09/07	-	-	
	2010*						
	2012*						