

1 **Title:** Prudent female allocation by modular hermaphrodites: female investment is promoted
2 by the opportunity to outcross in cyclostome bryozoans.

3

4

5 **Authors:** Helen L. Jenkins¹⁻³, John D.D. Bishop¹ and Roger N. Hughes²

6

7 ¹Marine Biological Association of the U.K., Plymouth, Devon, UK.

8 ²School of Biological Sciences, Bangor University, Bangor, Gwynedd, UK.

9 ³Department of Life Sciences, Natural History Museum, London, UK.

10

11 **Running title:** Female investment promoted by outcrossing

12

13 Author for correspondence: Helen L. Jenkins, The Marine Biological Association of the U.K.,

14 The Laboratory, Citadel Hill, Plymouth, Devon, PL1 2PB, UK.

15 Tel: +44 (0) 1752 633207; Fax: +44 (0) 1752 633102

16 e-mail: helinki@mba.ac.uk

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 **Abstract**

30

31 Many sessile, suspension-feeding marine invertebrates mate by spermcasting: aquatic
32 sperm are spawned and gathered by conspecific individuals to fertilise eggs that are retained
33 during development. In two phylogenetically distant examples, a cheilostome bryozoan and
34 an aplousobranch ascidian, the receipt of allosperm has previously been shown to alter sex
35 allocation by triggering female investment in eggs and brooding. Here we report experiments
36 demonstrating that two species of cyclostome bryozoan also show restrained female
37 investment in the absence of mating opportunity. In *Tubulipora plumosa*, the production of
38 female zooids and progeny is much reduced in reproductive isolation. In *Filicrisia geniculata*,
39 development of distinctive female zooids (gonozooids) begins but halts in the absence of
40 mating opportunity, and no completed gonozooids or progeny result. Reduced female
41 investment in the absence of a mate thus occurs in at least two orders of Bryozoa, but
42 significant differences in detail exist and the evolutionary history within the phylum of the
43 mechanism(s) by which female investment is initiated might be complex. The broadening
44 taxonomic spectrum of examples where female investment appears restrained until
45 allosperm becomes available may signify a general adaptive strategy among outcrossing
46 modular animals, analogous to similarly adaptive sex allocation typical of many flowering
47 plants.

48

49 **Key words**

50

51 Bryozoa — Cyclostomata (Cyclostomatida) — *Filicrisia geniculata* — reproductive
52 assurance — resource allocation — simultaneous hermaphroditism — spermcasting —
53 *Tubulipora plumosa*.

54

55

56

57

58 **Introduction**

59

60 Allocations to male and female function in hermaphrodites need not be equal, and
61 hermaphrodites can direct resources between sex functions to maximise the return on
62 reproductive investment and thus enhance fitness (Charnov, Maynard Smith & Bull, 1976;
63 Charnov, 1979, 1982). Flexibility in sex allocation is thus considered a major advantage
64 afforded to simultaneous hermaphrodites over gonochorists (Michiels, 1998). Observed sex
65 allocation may reflect an individual's adjustment in investment within its lifetime (phenotypic
66 plasticity) or optimisation over an evolutionary timescale (Schärer, 2009; Avise, 2011).

67

68 Modular organisms should be capable of exceptionally variable sex allocation throughout
69 their lifetime (for flowering plants see Lloyd & Bawa, 1984, for colonial invertebrates see
70 Hughes & Cancino, 1985). The modular architecture of simultaneously hermaphroditic
71 colonial marine invertebrates has the potential to facilitate flexibility in resource allocation,
72 enabling colonies to shunt resources between growth (addition of modules) and
73 reproduction, and between gender roles. However, the fixed costs of producing different
74 sexes in simultaneous hermaphrodites are expected to constrain sex allocation (Heath,
75 1977). Mating systems of many colonial invertebrates, including all bryozoans (Ryland &
76 Bishop, 1993), involve internal fertilisation of a retained egg by waterborne sperm (spermcast
77 mating) (Bishop & Pemberton, 2006). Resultant embryos are often retained during
78 development and in many cases receive ongoing maternal nourishment (matrotrophy; see
79 Ostrovsky, 2013a-b; Ostrovsky *et al.*, 2009, 2015). Whilst this strategy has several benefits
80 (e.g. enhancement of the survival of embryos), it incurs the fixed costs of formation of female
81 zooids and/or incubation chambers and the cost of extensive resource provisioning of the
82 embryos in matrotrophic or macrolecithal species. Accordingly, mechanisms to control
83 female investment may be in place. For example, the receipt of conspecific allosperm
84 triggers the development of female zooids in the gymnolaemate bryozoan *Celleporella*

85 *hyalina* (Bishop, Manriquez & Hughes, 2000; Hughes, Manriquez & Bishop, 2002). A similar
86 triggering of female investment by allosperm is also observed in the colonial ascidian
87 *Diplosoma listerianum*, although this occurs in the absence of zooidal polymorphism (Bishop
88 *et al.*, 2000). In species committed to outcrossing, restrained female investment may thus be
89 advantageous as it postpones fixed costs until mating is assured, allowing redirection of
90 investment. Self-fertilisation may be possible in simultaneous hermaphrodites (Darwin, 1876;
91 Stebbins, 1950; Jarne & Auld, 2006) but it is generally avoided in colonial marine
92 invertebrates (Ryland & Bishop, 1990, 1993; Knowlton & Jackson, 1993; Bishop, Jones &
93 Noble, 1996; Hoare, Hughes & Goldson, 1999; Hoare & Hughes, 2001; but see Hughes &
94 Wright, 2014). Such delayed or restrained female investment until male genetic input is
95 assured in colonial invertebrates such as bryozoans and ascidians is a trait shared with
96 flowering plants and is just one of several life history analogies identified between modular
97 animals and angiosperms (see Richards, 1997; Bishop *et al.*, 2000; Bernasconi *et al.*, 2004;
98 Hughes, 2005).

99

100 Patterns of sex allocation in bryozoans are predominately understood from studies of female
101 investment in *Celleporella hyalina* (Order Cheilostomata). The present study again focuses
102 on allocation to female function but extends investigations to a different major clade of
103 Bryozoa, the exclusively marine Order Cyclostomata (Class Stenolaemata), in which
104 colonies are formed from autozooids of cylindrical morphology (Borg, 1926; Hayward &
105 Ryland, 1985). Cyclostomes are understood to exhibit colonial hermaphroditism, but
106 individual zooids within colonies are gonochoristic (zooidal gonochorism) (Reed, 1991;
107 Nielsen, 2012), as spermatogonia are found only in zooids without oogonia (Harmer, 1929).
108 These calcified colonies are often small and lack the degree of zooidal polymorphism found
109 in other bryozoans such as the previously studied *Celleporella hyalina*. However, some
110 degree of polymorphism is present with the enlargement of female zooids to form voluminous
111 chambers, termed gonozooids, for the intracoelomic incubation of multiple embryos (Borg,
112 1926). Viviparous reproduction in the Cyclostomata is characterised by the enigmatic

113 phenomenon of polyembryony — the splitting of a primary embryo into multiple genetically
114 identical clone-mates (Craig, Slobodkin & Wray, 1995) — which is believed to occur within
115 the gonozooids of all or almost all living species (Harmer, 1893, 1896, 1898; Calvet, 1900;
116 Robertson, 1903; Borg, 1926; Jenkins, 2013). Fertilisation is internal, with a retained egg
117 fertilised by water-borne sperm (i.e. spermcast mating), the uptake of which may involve the
118 transitory lophophore in the developing gonozooid (Borg, 1926; Silén, 1972). Cyclostome
119 larvae receive extraembryonic nutrition within gonozooids from the specialised nutritive
120 tissue that surrounds them (Borg, 1926). Nourishment of embryos relies upon the transfer of
121 energy from surrounding autozooids, as gonozooids are non-feeding at this stage.
122 Furthermore, the production of genetically identical larvae from a single brood chamber may
123 be prolonged (Jenkins, 2013). Thus, incubation is likely to incur significant costs, although as
124 yet of unknown scale, and to create competition for resources within the colony, with the
125 potential for conflict between the maternal colony and developing offspring. Inter-brood
126 competition for resources may also occur where multiple broods of differing genotype are
127 present within the same colony. Other costs of sex are expected to be minimal as in *C.*
128 *hyalina* (e.g. no risks associated with sexual display or mating, uptake of sperm integrated
129 with feeding process, costs only of sperm production) – though this is an assumption in both
130 cases (Hughes *et al.*, 2002).

131

132 Using gonozooid development as a proxy, the prediction of restrained female investment
133 pending an opportunity to mate was investigated in two species of bryozoan from the Order
134 Cyclostomata. *Tubulipora plumosa* Thompson in Harmer, 1898 (Suborder Tubuliporina) and
135 *Filicrisia geniculata* (Milne Edwards, 1838) (Suborder Articulata) are cyclostomes of
136 contrasting colony form and gonozooid morphology. They represent different cyclostome
137 suborders, broadening the phylogenetic perspective of the investigation. *T. plumosa* is an
138 encrusting bryozoan, forming colonies of a single, broad lobe or multiple, narrower lobes
139 (Fig. 1A-B). Autozooids are arranged in radiating, linear, comb-like rows within the lobes.
140 Gonozooids are of irregular shape, often extensive, extending between rows of autozooids

141 and entirely or partially occupying lobes. Colonies of *T. plumosa* are common in shallow-
142 water rocky habitats, where they are found encrusting a variety of substrata, including
143 various algal species (Hayward & Ryland, 1985). *F. geniculata* is an erect bryozoan with a
144 rather straggly or weedy colony form (Fig. 1D). Branches are formed from a single series of
145 long and slender zooids, successive zooids being separated by a non-calcified joint.
146 Gonozooids are inflated and club-shaped (clavate) (Fig. 1F). Colonies of *F. geniculata* are
147 often found entangled with other species of Crisiidae among the sessile sward communities
148 of the low shore, located below large boulders and overhangs (Hayward & Ryland, 1985). In
149 this present investigation, colonies of *T. plumosa* and *F. geniculata* in laboratory culture were
150 exposed to a source of conspecific allosperm and its effect on brood chamber development,
151 and ultimately female investment, is reported.

152

153 **Material and Methods**

154

155 **Material collection and founding of clones**

156

157 Colonies of *Tubulipora plumosa* and *Filicrisia geniculata* were founded from larvae
158 originating from wild parental colonies collected from the Hoe foreshore, Plymouth, Devon (*T.*
159 *plumosa*), and from Wembury, Devon and Hannafore Point, Looe, Cornwall (*F. geniculata*).
160 Parental *T. plumosa* colonies were collected on fronds of the non-native brown alga
161 *Sargassum muticum* ((Yendo) Fensholt, 1955). Isolated wild colonies with single gonozooids,
162 each from different *S. muticum* plants, were placed in separate crystallising dishes filled with
163 aged, 0.2µm-filtered, UV-sterilised natural seawater (FSW) and lined with seawater-
164 preconditioned acetate sheet. Larvae released overnight subsequently settled and
165 metamorphosed onto the acetate. Individual metamorphs (at the ancestrula stage) were
166 isolated on trimmed acetate, mounted onto a larger piece of acetate fixed to a microscope
167 slide and clipped into separate stirred tanks. Colonies were grown from the ancestrulae, and
168 each was propagated by artificially dividing and re-culturing the sections to form a clone of

169 independent, equal-sized ramets ('subcolonies'; n = 16). One clone per parental (wild) colony
170 (n = 5) was selected for experimentation. Hence, a 'clone' refers here to a genetically distinct
171 genet represented by a set of ramets. For *F. geniculata*, individual small colony fragments,
172 each with a single gonozooid, were mounted onto a piece of acetate sheet on a microscope
173 slide, held in place by a loop of very fine fishing line, and clipped into separate stirred tanks
174 (one fragment per tank). Tanks were filled with aged FSW and lined with seawater-
175 preconditioned acetate sheet. Colony fragments were maintained in culture and the acetate
176 sheet was monitored daily for ancestrulae. After ~10 days, individual ancestrulae were
177 isolated into separate stirred tanks as described for *T. plumosa* and maintained in culture
178 conditions until attaining a suitable colony size for experimentation. One cultured colony
179 derived from each parental (wild) colony (n = 4), was divided into a clone of equal-sized
180 ramets (n = 12), each with ~8-12 branch tips with feeding autozooids. Two colony types were
181 identified whilst rearing colonies in isolation prior to experimentation: 'Type 1' colonies,
182 composed solely of regular autozooids, and 'Type 2' colonies, which also developed
183 incomplete gonozooids (Fig. 2). The following experiment involved two clones of each colony
184 type: Clones A & D were Type 1 colonies and Type 2 colonies were represented by Clones B
185 & C.

186

187 **Culturing conditions**

188

189 *Tubulipora plumosa* and *Filicrisia geniculata* ramets were maintained in stirred tanks (for *T.*
190 *plumosa*, two ramets per tank) filled with ~850ml FSW at 16°C±1°C with 15:9 hour light:dark
191 regime, and fed twice daily with a mixture of *Rhinomonas reticulata* (Novarino, 1991) and
192 *Isochrysis galbana* (Parke, 1949) (Bishop *et al.*, 2001). Feeding with *R. reticulata* alone
193 supports growth of *Celleporella hyalina* (Cheilostomata) to maturity (e.g. Hunter & Hughes,
194 1993, as *Rhodomonas baltica*; Manríquez, 1999; Manríquez, Hughes & Bishop, 2001), while
195 the mixture of two species used here enables indefinite growth and reproduction of the
196 compound ascidian *Diplosoma listerianum* (e.g. Bishop *et al.*, 2001). Water was replaced

197 weekly and ramets were observed and regularly cleaned with a soft artist's brush (~one
198 week intervals). Precautions were taken against any unwanted transfer of sperm between
199 tanks as detailed in Ryland & Bishop (1990).

200

201 **Experimental procedure**

202

203 All clones of each species were exposed to two experimental treatments: 'single-clone' and
204 'mixed-clone' treatments. In the 'single-clone' treatment, two ramets from the same clone
205 were placed within a tank. In the 'mixed-clone' treatment a single ramet from each of two
206 different clones was placed within a tank. Consequently, each tank contained two ramets, so
207 that the degree of crowding was equal in both treatments. Furthermore, for each species, the
208 number of tanks in each treatment was equal, as were the number of ramets per clone in
209 each treatment (these varied between the species due to number of available clones). Thus
210 for *Tubulipora plumosa*, the single-clone treatment comprised four tanks per clone (total no.
211 ramets per clone = eight) and the mixed-clone treatment comprised 20 tanks, two tanks per
212 cross (total no. ramets per clone = eight). For *Filicrisia geniculata*, the single-clone treatment
213 comprised three tanks per clone (total no. ramets per clone = six) and the mixed-clone
214 treatment comprised 12 tanks, two tanks per cross (total no. ramets per clone = six).
215 Experiments were conducted under culturing conditions identical to those used previously to
216 maintain ramets. Tank order on shelves was randomised to reduce any potential effects of
217 shelf position. All tanks were subject to an equal number of water changes, so opportunities
218 for the potential loss of sperm and larvae were equal.

219

220 **Supplementary study – exposure of *Filicrisia geniculata* to a single dose of allosperm**

221

222 Six virgin (unmated) fragments of Type 2 *Filicrisia geniculata* Clone C, all with developing
223 gonozooids (Fig. 2A), were mounted onto separate slides as described above. Two
224 experimental treatments were conducted: 'exposure' and 'control'. In the 'exposure'

225 treatment, three fragments were placed into a tank containing allosperm in suspension from
226 Type 1 colonies (but not containing Type 1 colonies themselves). The presence of allosperm
227 in suspension was confirmed using techniques described by Bishop (1998). In the 'control'
228 treatment, the three remaining fragments were placed in a tank containing clean FSW only.
229 Tanks were maintained under standard culture conditions and the fragments were monitored
230 for completion of gonozooids.

231

232 **Data collection and analysis**

233

234 All experimental ramets were monitored for the appearance of gonozooids and progeny.
235 Counts were made of the number of completed gonozooids per ramet and the number of
236 progeny produced per tank. Only settled progeny could be recorded, as swimming larvae
237 were not readily visible. Any bias in the effect of larval loss was minimised by undertaking an
238 equal number of water changes for all tanks.

239

240 Statistical analysis of count data was conducted where possible to assess the effect of
241 conspecific allosperm on gonozooid development and progeny production. With *Tubulipora*
242 *plumosa*, a replicated G-test was conducted in Excel to assess the overall effect of the
243 treatments on gonozooid production and on the overall response of clones (McDonald,
244 2009). Progeny production between treatments was assessed using a Mann-Whitney U test
245 in Minitab.

246

247 **Results**

248

249 ***Tubulipora plumosa***

250

251 Gonozooids were first observed developing by Week 4 in some single- and some mixed-
252 clone ramets but no progeny were observed at this time. At Week 8, counts of gonozooids

253 and settled progeny were made. Progeny at this time were evidently recently settled, either
254 at primary disc/ancestrula stage (Fig. 1C) or three to four autozooids in size. Adult ramets
255 were transferred onto new slides in new tanks at this time to reduce the risk of cross-
256 fertilisation with developing progeny and to enable counting of further progeny. At Week 12,
257 final counts of gonozooids per ramet and progeny per tank were made. Ten mixed-clone
258 ramets (two per clone) were kept beyond Week 12 and the release of larvae was observed
259 (but not counted) for a further four weeks.

260

261 Gonozooids were produced by all clones in the mixed-clone treatment (present in 30 ramets
262 out of 40) and by four clones in the single-clone treatment (present in 16 ramets out of 40).
263 The presence of gonozooids in the single-clone treatment provides evidence of gonozooid
264 development in the absence of allosperm. One clone (Clone 4) developed only a single
265 gonozooid in the mixed-clone treatment and none in the single-clone treatment; however, in
266 the mixed-clone treatment, gonozooids and progeny were produced by the companion clone.

267

268 Gonozooid production depended on treatment (replicated G-test, pooled $G_1 = 211.8$,
269 $P < 0.0001$). Thus, the number of gonozooids produced differed between treatments, with
270 more in the mixed-clone treatment (mean = 6.63, SD = 6.02, n = 40) than in the single-clone
271 treatment (mean = 0.78, SD = 1.23, n = 40) (Table 2).

272

273 Clones did not differ statistically in their response to the two treatments (replicated G-test,
274 heterogeneity $G_4 = 7.693$, $P = 0.1035$) — in all clones gonozooid production was greater in
275 ramets in the mixed-clone treatment. Figure 3 shows this relatively homogenous response
276 across clones and that clones rank the same in both treatments.

277

278 Progeny counts per tank give an estimate of progeny production per gonozooid in each
279 treatment. Greater estimated progeny production was shown by gonozooids in mixed-clone
280 tanks (mean = 69.21, SD = 56.06, n = 20) compared with those in single-clone tanks (mean =

281 15.56, SD = 20.62, n = 9) (Mann-Whitney U, $W = 3620$, $P = 0.0037$) (Table S1). An absolute
282 figure of progeny production per gonozooid was not possible as: (a) progeny cannot be
283 assigned to a particular ramet or gonozooid within a tank (due to multiple gonozooids present
284 within each tank); (b) progeny cannot be counted directly *in situ* within a gonozooid (as
285 prevented by the opaque, calcified outer skeleton); and (c) some larvae may be lost through
286 water changing (an effect balanced between treatments by the equal number of water
287 changes undergone by all tanks). Counts of metamorphosed (i.e. settled) larvae per tank
288 were used to estimate mean larval production per gonozooid. There was wide variation in
289 progeny per gonozooid between tanks in both treatments (Table S1). Progeny production in
290 single-clone tanks provides *prima facie* evidence for self-fertilisation in Clones 1, 2, and 5;
291 although gonozooids were produced, no progeny were recorded from single-clone tanks of
292 Clone 3. The overall frequency of tanks with progeny depended on treatment (Chi-squared:
293 $\chi^2_1 = 15$, $P < 0.001$), with 18 out of 20 mixed-clone tanks and 6 out of 20 single-clone tanks
294 having progeny.

295

296 ***Filicrisia geniculata***

297

298 A transitory lophophore was observed in early (approximately funnel-shaped) female zooids
299 in Clones B and C in both treatments (Fig. 2B).

300

301 After 20 weeks, no completed gonozooids developed in any ramet (of any clone) in the
302 single-clone treatment. In the mixed-clone treatment, only Clones B and C produced
303 completed gonozooids but only when crossed with ramets of Clones A and D; in single-clone
304 tanks clones B and C only produced incomplete gonozooids. Clones A and D developed only
305 autozooids in all ramets in both treatments.

306

307 Gonozooid production therefore differed markedly between clones, with completed
308 gonozooids only produced in Clones B and C (Chi-squared: $\chi^2_3 = 161.738$, $P < 0.0001$) (Table

309 1). A very clear-cut pattern of two distinct colony types was thus observed. Type 1 colonies
310 (Clones A & D) were composed solely of autozooids; Type 2 colonies (Clones B & C) formed
311 incomplete gonozooids, in addition to autozooids, in reproductive isolation and when reared
312 with one another. Completed gonozooids were only produced in Type 2 colonies in the
313 presence of Type 1 colonies.

314

315 Progeny were recorded from a total of three tanks over the duration of the experiment, all
316 from the mixed-clone treatments (Table 2). Larvae were released within tanks between
317 Weeks 13 and 20. Despite efforts to thoroughly examine colonies, metamorphs could
318 potentially settle onto branches of ramets and would then be difficult to count or could be
319 obscured altogether (even in tanks where gonozooids were present but no progeny were
320 scored). Therefore, alongside the reasons outlined for *Tubulipora plumosa*, progeny counts
321 should be considered as estimates.

322

323 **Supplementary study – exposure of *Filicrisia geniculata* to a single dose of allosperm**

324

325 Following exposure to allosperm, completed gonozooids were found only in those fragments
326 of Type 2 Clone C reared in the ‘exposure’ tank (i.e. receiving a single dose of Type 1
327 allosperm): a single completed gonozooid was present in two of the three fragments, with
328 incomplete gonozooids being budded subsequently. No completed gonozooids were
329 produced in any of the three control fragments.

330

331 **Discussion**

332

333 Female investment was restricted in the absence of conspecific allosperm in both *Tubulipora*
334 *plumosa* and *Filicrisia geniculata*. In *T. plumosa*, reproductive isolation (i.e. the single-clone
335 treatment) reduced gonozooid production 8.5-fold, and progeny were released in a smaller
336 number overall and in a smaller proportion of replicate tanks. Colonies of *T. plumosa* are

337 therefore apparently able to defer a proportion of their potential resource provisioning to
338 female function until receipt of conspecific allosperm is assured. In *F. geniculata*, our
339 observations indicate that some degree of female investment, in the form of incomplete
340 gonozooids, is made prior to the receipt of allosperm in Type 2 colonies. In this case, part of
341 the cost of gonozooid production and the subsequent investment in brooding offspring is
342 delayed until fertilisation is assured and this is apparently controlled by allosperm availability.
343 The result of the supplementary study strongly suggests that it is allosperm that triggers the
344 completion of developing gonozooids, rather than the presence a conspecific colony of Type
345 1 as such, although additional experiments would be required to rule out the role of an
346 additional substance released along with sperm (cf. Bishop *et al.*, 2000). Furthermore, the
347 resumption of production of incomplete gonozooids after the transient formation of completed
348 one(s) in response to a single exposure to allosperm (as seen in the supplementary study)
349 suggests a zooid-by-zooid basis to gonozooid development. This also implies the absence of
350 a sperm storage mechanism, known in some spermcasting colonial invertebrates (Bishop &
351 Ryland, 1991; Hughes *et al.*, 2002), which might counteract fluctuations in sperm supply.

352

353 In the absence of allosperm, *T. plumosa* colonies do reproduce (presumably by intracolonial
354 self-fertilisation), but this involves significantly fewer gonozooids and progeny than when
355 paired with another genotype. Under the same conditions of reproductive isolation,
356 gonozooid development in *F. geniculata* begins but is aborted, and no progeny result. Thus,
357 in *T. plumosa*, the general degree of investment depends on allosperm availability whereas
358 in *F. geniculata*, the completion of gonozooids followed by incubation depends on allosperm
359 availability. This suggests that the exact mechanisms controlling female investment differ
360 between the two species. In both cases, restrained female investment presumably allows
361 reallocation of resources to other colony functions, potentially with compensatory allocation
362 to female function when allosperm become available.

363

364 Aborted gonozooids in *F. geniculata* remained as wide, approximately funnel-shaped,
365 structures (Fig. 2B) either with the opening sealed over, or with a short autozoid-like
366 opening extending from within it. Borg (1926) described that the developing gonozoid has a
367 modified polypide with shortened tentacles and rudimentary gut in the Crisiidae (not including
368 *F. geniculata*). If not becoming gonozooids, such zooids resorb their ovaries, regenerate a
369 functional polypide and become feeding zooids with a cystid morphology intermediate
370 between autozoid and gonozoid. The ability of aborted gonozooids to feed and the
371 resorption of the ovaries require confirmation in *F. geniculata*.

372 Evidence here for restrained female investment in two cyclostomes pending receipt of
373 conspecific allosperm is generally in accordance with that from the gymnolaemate bryozoan
374 *Celleporella hyalina* (Bishop *et al.*, 2000; Hughes *et al.*, 2002). All share the ability to defer
375 costs of female investment until cross-fertilisation is assured, but differences are apparent. In
376 *C. hyalina* production of mature female zooids is triggered by the translocation of sperm
377 captured by feeding zooids and stored within the colony (Hughes *et al.*, 2002), whereas in
378 cyclostomes sperm uptake is understood to be via the feeding or non-feeding lophophore of
379 the zooid that developed an ovary and will become female (Borg, 1926; Silén, 1972). Such
380 differences in detail at an ordinal level suggest that the evolutionary history of the
381 mechanisms involved in the initiation of female investment may be complex. It should be
382 noted that *C. hyalina* may itself represent an unusual case amongst gymnolaemates
383 because the possession of separate male, female and feeding modules is uncommon, but
384 gives the potential for three-way resource trade-off between functions at the level of
385 modules.

386 Restrained female allocation in the absence of allosperm should be expected only if selfing is
387 absent or provides a lower return on reproductive investment, in fitness terms, than
388 outcrossing. Obligate outcrossing is typical of the *Celleporella hyalina* clade, but the
389 congeners *C. angusta* and *C. osiani*, which belong to a separate phylogenetic clade, freely
390 self-fertilise without incurring detectable inbreeding depression and show undiminished

391 female investment in the absence of allosperm (Hughes *et al.*, 2009; Hughes & Wright,
392 2014). In contrast, Johnson (2010) documented substantial inbreeding depression following
393 selfing in another cheilostome bryozoan, *Bugula stolonifera*. *Tubulipora plumosa* might be an
394 example of restrained investment in selfing when outcrossing is not possible, in a species
395 susceptible to inbreeding depression.

396 Borg (1926) noted the presence of a transitory lophophore (having shortened tentacles and
397 rudimentary digestive tract) in young crisiid zooids destined to become female. Silén (1972)
398 reported that lophophore tentacles can be seen extending from the developing gonozooid, as
399 confirmed here in *Filicrisia geniculata*, and proposed that sperm uptake was via this organ.
400 Evidence here from exposing Type 2 colony fragments to a single dose of allosperm
401 suggests that incipient gonozooids may need to be at a particular developmental stage to be
402 receptive to sperm uptake. Despite experimental fragments possessing many developing
403 gonozooids, only one completed gonozooid was produced in two out of three recipient
404 fragments after exposure, suggesting the capture of allosperm by individual zooids must be
405 during a relatively brief critical interval between polypide development and degeneration.
406 However, before any firm inferences can be drawn, the fertilisation mechanisms of *F.*
407 *geniculata* require further investigation, including confirmation of the transitory lophophore as
408 the actual site of sperm uptake.

409

410 Our observations reveal two distinct patterns of female allocation amongst cyclostome
411 bryozoans. Phenotypic plasticity in allocation is suggested in *T. plumosa* by greater female
412 investment in response to allosperm availability. This apparent colony-level within-lifetime
413 adjustment of female allocation is likely achieved via the shifting of resources between sex
414 functions – flexibility that is enhanced by modularity and has been demonstrated in other
415 bryozoan studies (*C. hyalina*: Hughes & Hughes, 1986; Hughes *et al.*, 2002, 2003). This
416 supports the view of simultaneous hermaphroditism as a mechanism to avoid gamete
417 wastage, allowing the re-direction of resources between gender roles to enhance the

418 individual's fitness (Charnov *et al.*, 1976; Charnov, 1979, 1982). However, a caveat must be
419 placed upon this. Such arguments are often based upon assumptions of a fixed resource
420 budget for reproduction and a trade-off purely between sex functions within this, which may
421 not always be the case (Schärer, 2009). While it is tempting to infer male allocation from
422 assessment of female function alone, it is possible that the total allocation to reproduction
423 may change (Schärer, 2009), with reallocation to other uses such as growth or storage
424 products (possibly benefitting future reproduction). Time and resource constraints precluded
425 measurement of male function and hence total reproductive allocation in the present study.
426 Estimations of sperm production per clone (or per ramet) would address this limitation.
427 Clones of *Tubulipora plumosa* acted as both sperm donors and recipients as expected in
428 functioning simultaneous hermaphrodites, with a single exception (Clone 4). The
429 reproductive activity of Clone 4 suggested that investment was solely in sperm production, or
430 that female investment was rare. This clone did not routinely produce female zooids—only a
431 single gonozooid developed across all 16 ramets—and showed no evidence of production of
432 progeny. However, Clone 4 apparently acted as a sperm donor as suggested by the
433 increased gonozooid production observed in its companion (recipient) clones in the mixed-
434 clone treatment

435

436 In contrast, results presented here for *Filicrisia geniculata* suggest the existence of colonies
437 of separate sexes. Complete gonozooids developed only in one of the two colony types
438 identified (Type 2) and only when in the presence of the alternative colony form composed
439 solely of autozooids (Type 1), evoking female and male colony forms respectively. Robertson
440 (1903) first suggested the presence of dioecious colonies in crisiids (*Crisia occidentalis* and
441 *C. franciscana*, the latter as *C. eburnea*). However, further investigations are required to
442 confirm the findings regarding *F. geniculata*, and will be pursued in the future.

443

444 In conclusion, our findings suggest that, although restrained female investment in the
445 absence of allosperm occurs in the two divergent orders Cyclostomata and Cheilostomata,

446 substantial diversity exists in the detail of female allocation patterns amongst bryozoans.
447 Inferences from the most comprehensive investigations to date (i.e. with the cheilostome
448 *Celleporella hyalina*) were not matched entirely in the cyclostomes studied here.
449 Furthermore, differences were revealed between the two cyclostomes themselves. Future
450 investigations may uncover further variations, at both familial and ordinal levels, within the
451 phylum as a whole. This will be of importance for understanding the maintenance of
452 universal hermaphroditism amongst Bryozoa and also for interpreting both past and present
453 life-history patterns. The growing number and taxonomic diversity of known cases in which
454 female investment is restrained until outcrossing opportunity is assured by reception of
455 allosperm suggest an adaptive allocation strategy similar to one typical of many flowering
456 plants. Restraint of female investment until outcrossed male gametes become available
457 therefore may prove to be of general adaptive significance among outcrossing modular
458 organisms, sensu Harper (1977).

459

460

461 **Acknowledgements**

462

463 We are grateful to Christine Wood (MBA) for her assistance in maintaining lab cultures and
464 to Dr Paul D. Taylor (NHM) for the SEM imaging of specimens (Figures 1B-C, E-F and 2A).
465 Many thanks also to Prof. Beth Okamura who advised on data analysis and read and
466 reviewed the related PhD thesis chapters. We thank the four anonymous reviewers for their
467 helpful comments. This research was funded by a NERC Open CASE PhD Studentship
468 (NE/G012644/1) to Bangor University (RNH), NHM (Prof. Beth Okamura) and MBA (JDDDB),
469 and awarded to HLJ.

470

471 **References**

472 **Awise JC. 2011.** *Hermaphroditism: a primer on the biology, ecology, and evolution of dual*
473 *sexuality*. New York: Columbia University Press.

474 **Bernasconi G, Ashman TL, Birkhead TR, Bishop JDD, Grossniklaus U, Kubli E. et al.**
475 **2004.** Evolutionary ecology of the pre-zygotic stage. *Science* **303**: 971-975.

476 **Bishop JDD. 1998.** Fertilization in the sea: are the hazards of broadcast spawning avoided
477 when free-spawned sperm fertilize retained eggs? *Proceedings of the Royal Society London*
478 *Series B, Biological Sciences* **265**: 725-731.

479 **Bishop JDD, Jones CS, Noble LR. 1996.** Female control of paternity in the internally
480 fertilizing compound ascidian *Diplosoma listerianum*. II. Investigation of male mating success
481 using RAPD markers. *Proceedings of the Royal Society London Series B, Biological*
482 *Sciences* **263**: 401-407.

483 **Bishop JDD, Manriquez PH, Hughes RN. 2000.** Water-borne sperm trigger vitellogenic egg
484 growth in two sessile marine invertebrates. *Proceedings of the Royal Society London Series*
485 *B, Biological Sciences* **267**: 1165-1169.

486 **Bishop JDD, Pemberton AJ. 2006.** The third way: spermcast mating in sessile marine
487 invertebrates. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* **46**: 398-406.

488 **Bishop JDD, Pemberton AJ, Sommerfeldt AD, Wood CA. 2001.** Laboratory studies of
489 mating in the aplousobranch *Diplosoma listerianum*. In: Sawada H, Lambert CC, Yokosawa
490 H, eds. *Biology of Ascidians*. Tokyo: Springer-Verlag, pp. 305-310.

491 **Bishop JDD, Ryland JS. 1991.** Storage of exogenous sperm by zooids of the ascidian
492 *Diplosoma listerianum*. *Marine Biology* **108**: 111-118.

493 **Borg F. 1926.** Studies on recent cyclostomatous Bryozoa. *Zoologiska bidrag från Uppsala*
494 **10**: 181-507.

495 **Calvet L. 1900.** Contribution a l'histoire naturelle des bryozoaires ectoproctes marins.
496 *Travaux de l'Institut de Zoologie de l'Universite de Montpellier et de la station zoologique de*
497 *Cette* **8**: 1-488.

498 **Charnov EL. 1979.** Simultaneous hermaphroditism and sexual selection. *Proceedings of the*
499 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **76**: 2480-2484.

500 **Charnov EL. 1982.** *The Theory of Sex Allocation*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

501 **Charnov EL, Maynard Smith J, Bull JJ. 1976.** Why be an hermaphrodite? *Nature* **263**: 125-
502 126.

503 **Craig SF, Slobodkin LB, Wray G. 1995.** The 'paradox' of polyembryony. *Trends in Ecology*
504 *and Evolution* **10**: 371-372.

505 **Darwin C. 1876.** *The effects of cross- and self-fertilisation in the vegetable kingdom*. London:
506 John Murray.

507 **Harmer SF. 1893.** On the occurrence of embryonic fission in Cyclostomatous Polyzoa.
508 *Quarterly Journal of Microscopical Science* **34**: 199-241.

509 **Harmer SF. 1896.** On the development of *Lichenopora verrucaria*, Fabr. *Quarterly Journal of*
510 *Microscopical Science* **39**: 71-144.

511 **Harmer SF. 1898.** On the development of Tubulipora, and on some British and northern
512 species of this genus. *Quarterly Journal of Microscopical Science* **41**: 73-157.

513 **Harmer SF. 1929.** Polyzoa. *Proceedings of the Linnean Society London* **141**: 68-118.

514 **Harper JL. 1977.** *Population Biology of Plants*. London: Academic Press.

515 **Hayward PJ, Ryland JS. 1985.** Cyclostome Bryozoans. Keys and notes for the identification
516 of the species. *Synopses of the British Fauna* (n. s.) **34**. EJ. London & Leiden: Brill/Backhuys
517 for the Linnean Society & Estuarine & Brackish-water Sciences Association.

518 **Heath DJ. 1977.** Simultaneous hermaphroditism: cost and benefit. *Journal of Theoretical*
519 *Biology* **64**: 363-373.

520 **Hoare K, Hughes RN. 2001.** Inbreeding and hermaphroditism in the sessile, brooding
521 bryozoan *Celleporella hyalina*. *Marine Biology* **139**: 147-162.

522 **Hoare K, Hughes RN, Goldson AJ. 1999.** Molecular genetic evidence for the prevalence of
523 outcrossing in the hermaphroditic brooding bryozoan *Celleporella hyalina*. *Marine Ecology*
524 *Progress Series* **188**: 73-79.

525 **Hughes DJ, Hughes RN. 1986.** Life history variation in *Celleporella hyalina* (Bryozoa).
526 *Proceedings of the Royal Society London Series B, Biological Sciences* **228**: 127-132.

527 **Hughes RN. 2005.** Lessons in modularity: the evolutionary ecology of colonial invertebrates.
528 *Scientia Marina* **69**: suppl. 1, 169-179.

529 **Hughes RN, Cancino JM. 1985.** An ecological overview of cloning in Metazoa. In: Jackson
530 JBC, Buss LW, Cook RE, eds. *Population Biology and Evolution of Clonal Organisms*. New
531 Haven, CT: Yale University Press, pp. 153-186.

532 **Hughes RN, Manriquez PH, Bishop JDD. 2002.** Female investment is retarded pending
533 reception of allosperm in a hermaphroditic colonial invertebrate. *Proceedings of the National*
534 *Academy of Sciences USA* **99**: 14884-14886.

535 **Hughes RN, Manriquez PH, Bishop JDD, Burrows MT. 2003.** Stress promotes maleness
536 in hermaphroditic modular animals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
537 *United States of America* **100**: 10326-10330.

538 **Hughes RN, Wright PJ. 2014.** Self-fertilisation in the *Celleporella angusta* clade and a
539 description of *Celleporella osiani* sp. nov. In: Rosso A, Wyse Jackson PN, Porter J, eds.
540 *Bryozoan Studies 2013: proceedings of the 16th International Bryozoology Association*
541 *conference*, Studi Trentini di Scienze Naturali **94**: 119-124.

542 **Hughes RN, Wright PJ, Carvalho GR, Hutchinson, WF. 2009.** Patterns of self
543 compatibility, inbreeding depression, outcrossing, and sex allocation in a marine bryozoan
544 suggest the predominating influence of sperm competition. *Biological Journal of the Linnean*
545 *Society* **98**: 519–531.

546 **Hunter E, Hughes RN. 1993.** Effects of diet on life-history parameters of the marine
547 bryozoan, *Celleporella hyalina* (L.). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **167**:
548 163-177.

549 **Jarne P, Auld JR. 2006.** Animals mix it up too: the distribution of self-fertilisation among
550 hermaphroditic animals. *Evolution* **60**: 1816-1824.

551 **Jenkins HL. 2013.** *Polyembryony, brood chamber development and gender specialization in*
552 *cyclostome bryozoans*. PhD thesis. Bangor University, UK.

553 **Johnson CH. 2010.** Effects of Selfing on Offspring Survival and Reproduction in a Colonial
554 Simultaneous Hermaphrodite (*Bugula stolonifera*, Bryozoa). *Biological Bulletin* **219**: 27–37.

555 **Knowlton N, Jackson JBC. 1993.** Inbreeding and outbreeding in marine invertebrates. In:
556 Thornhill NW ed. *The Natural History of Inbreeding and Outbreeding: Theoretical and*
557 *Empirical Perspectives* . Chicago: Chicago University Press, pp. 200-249.

558 **Lloyd DG, Bawa KS. 1984.** Modification of the gender of seed plants in varying conditions.
559 *Evolutionary Biology* **17**: 255-338.

- 560 **McDonald JH. 2009.** *Handbook of Biological Statistics*. 2nd edn. Baltimore, MD: Sparky
561 House Publishing.
- 562 **Manríquez PH. 1999.** *Mate choice and reproductive investment in the cheilostome bryozoan*
563 *Celleporella hyalina (L.)*. PhD thesis. Bangor: University of Wales, Bangor.
- 564 **Manríquez PH, Hughes RN, Bishop JDD. 2001.** Age-dependent loss of fertility in water-
565 borne sperm of the bryozoan *Celleporella hyalina*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **224**: 87-
566 92.
- 567 **Michiels NK. 1998.** Mating conflict and sperm competition in simultaneous hermaphrodites.
568 In: Birkhead TR, Moller AP, eds. *Sperm competition and sex selection*. San Diego, CA:
569 Academic Press, pp. 219-254
- 570 **Nielsen C. 2012.** *Animal Evolution: interrelationships of the living phyla*. 3rd edn. Oxford:
571 Oxford University Press.
- 572 **Ostrovsky AN. 2013b.** *Evolution of Sexual Reproduction in Marine Invertebrates. Example*
573 *of gymnolaemate bryozoans*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- 574 **Ostrovsky AN, Gordon DP, Lidgard S. 2009.** Independent evolution of matrotrophy in the
575 major classes of Bryozoa: transitions among reproductive patterns and their ecological
576 background. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **378**: 113-124.
- 577 **Ostrovsky AN, Lidgard S, Gordon DP, Schwaha T, Genikhovich G, Ereskovsky AV.**
578 **2015.** Matrotrophy and placentation in invertebrates: a new paradigm. *Biological Reviews*.
579 DOI: 10.1111/brv.12189
- 580 **Reed CG. 1991.** Bryozoa. In: Giese AC, Pearse JS, Pearse VB, eds. *Reproduction of Marine*
581 *Invertebrates*. The Boxwood Press, Pacific Grove, pp. 85-245.
- 582 **Richards AJ. 1997.** *Plant Breeding Systems*. London: Chapman & Hall.

583 **Robertson A. 1903.** Embryology and embryonic fission in the genus *Crisia*. *University of*
584 *California Publications in Zoology* **1**: 115-156.

585 **Ryland JS, Bishop JDD. 1990.** Prevalence of cross-fertilisation in the hermaphroditic
586 compound ascidian *Diplosoma listerianum*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **61**: 125-132.

587 **Ryland JS, Bishop JDD. 1993.** Internal fertilisation in hermaphroditic colonial invertebrates.
588 *Oceanography and Marine Biology Annual Review* **31**: 445-477.

589

590 **Schärer L. 2009.** Tests of sex allocation theory in simultaneously hermaphroditic animals.
591 *Evolution* **63**: 1377-1405.

592 **Silén L. 1972.** Fertilisation in the Bryozoa. *Ophelia* **10**: 27-34.

593 **Stebbins GL. 1950.** *Variation and Evolution in Plants*. New York: Columbia University Press.

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610 **Tables**

611

612 Table 1: The total number of completed gonozooids produced by all *Filicrisia geniculata*
613 clones in each treatment (from total of six ramets per clone per treatment).

614

615

616

Clone	Single-clone	Mixed-clone
A	0	0
B	0	24
C	0	79
D	0	0

617

618

619

620

621

622 Table 2: Total number of completed gonozooids and progeny produced by *Filicrisia*
623 *geniculata* Type 2 clones (bold) in the mixed-clone treatment (crosses involving both colony
624 types). Number of progeny recorded over the duration of experiment. Note: 'Rep' = replicate,
625 'GZ' = gonozooid, Type 1 colonies = Clones A & D, Type 2 colonies = Clones B & C.

626

627

628

629

Cross	Tank	No. of completed GZ	No. of progeny
B x A	Rep 1	3	0
	Rep 2	10	1
B x D	Rep 1	2	0
	Rep 2	9	0
C x A	Rep 1	33	0
	Rep 2	20	116
C x D	Rep 1	16	9
	Rep 2	10	0

630

631

632 **Figure legends**

633

634 Figure 1: Images of *Tubulipora plumosa* and *Filicrisia geniculata* from light microscopy and
635 scanning electron microscopy. *T. plumosa* (A) colony in culture, (B) part of colony with
636 gonozooid, (C) primary disc (left) and ancestrula (right). *F. geniculata* (D) colony in culture,
637 (E) ancestrula, (F) complete gonozooid.

638

639

640 Figure 2: Gonozooids of similar developmental stage in *Filicrisia geniculata* from light
641 microscopy and scanning electron microscopy: (A) incomplete gonozooids, (B) transitory
642 lophophore in funnel-shaped gonozooid.

643

644

645 Figure 3: The mean number of gonozooids produced by *Tubulipora plumosa* clones in the
646 two experimental treatments (from a total of eight ramets per clone per treatment). The range
647 of gonozooid production among clonal ramets in each treatment is also shown.

648

649 **Supporting Information**

650 Table S1: Estimated progeny production in *Tubulipora plumosa*.