The Ocean Colour Climate Change Initiative: III. A round-robin comparison on in-water bio-optical algorithms

Robert J.W. Brewin^{*,a,b}, Shubha Sathyendranath^{a,b}, Dagmar Müller^c, Carsten 4 Brockmann^d, Pierre-Yves Deschamps^e, Emmanuel Devred^f, Roland Doerffer^c, 5 Norman Fomferra^d, Bryan Franz^g, Mike Grant^a, Steve Groom^a, Andrew 6 Horseman^a, Chuanmin Hu^h, Hajo Krasemann^c, ZhongPing Leeⁱ, Stéphane 7 Maritorena^j, Frédéric Mélin^k, Marco Peters^d, Trevor Platt^a, Peter Regner^l, Tim 8 Smyth^a, Francois Steinmetz^e, John Swinton^m, Jeremy Werdell^g, George N. 9 White IIIⁿ 10 ^aPlymouth Marine Laboratory (PML), Prospect Place, The Hoe, Plymouth PL1 3DH, UK 11 ^bNational Centre for Earth Observation, PML, Plymouth PL1 3DH, UK 12 ^cHelmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht, Max-Planck-Straße 1, 21502 Geesthacht, Germany 13 ^dBrockmann Consult, Max-Planck-Straße 2, D-21502 Geesthacht, Germany 14 ^eHYGEOS, 165 Avenue de Bretagne, 59000 Lille, France 15 ^fUniversité Laval, 2325, rue de l'Université, Québec G1V 0A6, Canada 16 ⁸NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, USA 17 ^hCollege of Marine Science, University of South Florida, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, USA 18 ⁱCollege of Science and Mathematics, University of Massachusetts Boston, Boston, MA 19 02125-3393, USA 20 ^jUniversity of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA 21 ^kEuropean Commission - Joint Research Centre, Institute for Environment and Sustainability, 22 Ispra, 21027, Italy 23 ¹European Space Agency, ESRIN, Via Galileo Galilei, Casella Postale 64 00044 Frascati, Italy 24 ^mTelespazio VEGA UK Ltd, 350 Capability Green, Luton, Bedfordshire, LU1 3LU, UK 25 ⁿOcean Science Division, Bedford Institute of Oceanography, Box 1006, Dartmouth, Nova 26 Scotia, B2Y 4A2, Canada 27

28 Abstract

Satellite-derived remote-sensing reflectance (R_{rs}) just above the sea surface can be used for mapping biogeochemically relevant variables, such as the chlorophyll concentration and the Inherent Optical Properties (IOPs) of the water, at global scales for use in climate-change studies. Prior to generating such prod-

ucts, suitable algorithms have to be selected that are appropriate for the purpose. Algorithm selection needs to account for both qualitative and quantitative requirements. In this paper, we develop an objective methodology designed to rank the quantitative performance of a suite of bio-optical models. The objective classification is applied using the NASA bio-Optical Marine Algorithm Data set (NOMAD). Using in situ R_{rs} as input to the models, the performance of eleven semi-analytical models, as well as five empirical chlorophyll algorithms and an empirical diffuse attenuation coefficient algorithm, are ranked for spectrallyresolved IOPs, chlorophyll concentration and the diffuse attenuation coefficient at 489 nm. The sensitivity of the objective classification and the uncertainty in the ranking is tested using a Monte-Carlo approach (bootstrapping). Results indicate that the performance of the semi-analytical models varies depending on the product and wavelength of interest. For chlorophyll retrieval, empirical algorithms perform better than semi-analytical models, in general. The performance of these empirical models reflect either their immunity to scale errors or instrument noise in R_{rs} data, or simply that data used for model parameterisation were not independent of NOMAD. Nonetheless, uncertainty in the classification suggest the performance of some semi-analytical algorithms at retrieving chlorophyll were comparable with the empirical algorithms. For phytoplankton absorption at 443 nm, some semi-analytical models also performed with similar accuracy to an empirical model. We discuss the potential biases, limitations and uncertainty in the approach, as well as additional qualitative considerations for algorithm selection for climate change studies. Our classification has the

potential to be routinely implemented, such that the performance of emerging algorithms can be compared with existing algorithms as they become available. In the long-term, such an approach will further aid algorithm development for ocean-colour studies.

Key words: Phytoplankton, Ocean colour, Inherent Optical Properties, Remote
 sensing, chlorophyll-a

31 1. Introduction

Visible radiance received by satellite ocean-colour sensors over oceanic re-32 gions is essentially influenced by two components: the atmosphere and the 33 ocean. Typically, the atmospheric component constitutes more than 80% of 34 the signal received by the sensor, and it needs to be removed to isolate the 35 signal from the ocean. The ocean-colour signal may then be used to quan-36 tify optically-significant water-constituents such as Coloured Dissolved Organic 37 Matter (CDOM) and the abundance of particulate matter, inclusive of phyto-38 plankton, indexed through their chlorophyll pigment concentration, and non-39 phytoplanktonic material (e.g. detrital and inorganic matter). 40

Phytoplankton are a key component of the Earth System and are recognised
as an Essential Climate Variable in the Implementation Plan of the Global Climate Observing System (GCOS, 2011). Phytoplankton absorb light energy that
is either dissipated as heat, directly influencing the physical properties of the

Email address: robr@pml.ac.uk (Robert J.W. Brewin)

Preprint submitted to Remote Sensing of Environment

 $^{^{*}\}mbox{Corresponding}$ author. Plymouth Marine Laboratory (PML), Prospect Place, The Hoe, Plymouth PL1 3DH, UK

oceans, or used for photosynthesis (primary production), by which light is con-45 verted into chemical energy and carbon converted from inorganic to organic 46 form. It is estimated that phytoplankton fix approximately 50 gigatons of car-47 bon per year, equivalent to net terrestrial primary production. Phytoplankton, 48 together with physical processes, regulate the CO₂ concentration of the surface 49 ocean and the rate of CO_2 exchanges between the atmosphere and ocean. They 50 are at the base of the food web, providing sustenance for all pelagic marine life, 51 and contribute to the biogeochemical cycling of a variety of climatically-relevant 52 elements, such as silica, nitrate and phosphate, in addition to carbon. Monitor-53 ing the variability in phytoplankton distribution is vital to understanding how the 54 ocean ecosystem is likely to respond to future changes in climate. 55

The concentration of CDOM, its photodegradation status and the concentra-56 tion of detrital matter present in the water have a significant effect on phyto-57 plankton photosynthesis, through their absorption of light at blue wavelengths 58 of the visible spectrum, which corresponds to the main phytoplankton absorp-59 tion peak. CDOM can also affect the transport and bioavailability of trace metals 60 (Santschi et al., 1997; Guo et al., 2001), with possible implications for biological 61 activity, and plays an important role in photochemistry and photobiology, with 62 implications for ocean-climate connections (Nelson and Siegel, 2013). The pres-63 ence of highly-scattering non-phytoplanktonic particulate material (e.g. detrital 64 and inorganic matter) alters the spectral quality of the underwater light field and 65 thus influences phytoplankton photosynthesis. The concentration of particulate 66 material in the water is also important in coastal regions and has implications for 67

coastal protection, shipping and recreational activities. These are some of the
reasons why the systematic monitoring of ocean colour is considered a requirement for climate research by GCOS (GCOS, 2011) and why it is a component of
the Climate Change Initiative (CCI) of the European Space Agency (ESA).

The CCI programme was launched to realise the full potential of long-term, 72 global, Earth Observation archives that ESA as well as its member states have 73 established over the past 30-years, and to contribute to the Essential Climate 74 Variable databases required by United Nations Framework Convention on Cli-75 mate Change (UNFCCC). The Ocean Colour CCI (OC-CCI) project is one of 14 76 ESA funded CCI projects. The aims of OC-CCI are to create a long-term, consis-77 tent, error-characterised time series of ocean-colour products, for use in climate 78 change studies. A key component of the programme is the selection of suitable 79 algorithms that meet user requirements and project aims. The selection of algo-80 rithms for the OC-CCI project can be partitioned into two parts: (i) selection of 81 algorithms that correct for atmospheric affects; and (ii) algorithms that convert 82 the retrieved ocean-colour signal into biogeochemically relevant variables, here-83 after referred to as atmospheric-correction and in-water algorithms respectively. 84 This paper focuses on the development of an objective methodology designed to 85 aid the selection of appropriate in-water algorithms for climate studies. For infor-86 mation regarding the selection of atmospheric-correction algorithms the reader 87 is referred to Müller et al. (Submitted) in this issue. 88

⁸⁹ Since the establishment of ocean-colour remote sensing from space, with ⁹⁰ the launch of the Coastal Zone Color Scanner (CZCS) of NASA on board the

Nimbus-7 satellite in 1978, blue-to-green ratios of water-reflectance have been 91 used in empirical relationships to derive the total concentration of chlorophyll-92 a (C), an ubiquitous pigment present in phytoplankton. With the launch of 93 the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS), the NASA successor 94 to CZCS, NASA organised the SeaWiFS Bio-optical Algorithm Mini-workshop 95 (SeaBAM; O'Reilly et al., 1998), designed to identify chlorophyll algorithms 96 suitable for operational use for processing SeaWiFS data. A database was devel-97 oped with simultaneous measurements of *in situ* chlorophyll and *in situ* measure-98 ments of remote-sensing reflectance just above the surface $(R_{rs}(\lambda))$. Based on the 99 results from the workshop, an empirical blue-green band ratio algorithm, labelled 100 the Ocean-Chlorophyll-2 (OC2) algorithm, was chosen as the operational algo-101 rithm for SeaWiFS. This was later updated to the Ocean-Chlorophyll-4 (OC4) 102 algorithm (O'Reilly et al., 2000). 103

In Case-1 waters (Morel and Prieur, 1977) typically encountered in the open 104 ocean, where variations in ocean-colour are driven primarily by the abundance of 105 phytoplankton, with a co-varying influence from particulate matter and CDOM, 106 empirical blue-green band-ratio algorithms were generally found to perform with 107 reasonable accuracy. However, in more optically-complex waters (Case-2 wa-108 ters according to Morel and Prieur, 1977), often encountered in coastal regions, 109 where the concentrations of particulate matter and CDOM do not covary in a 110 predictable manner with the abundance of phytoplankton, empirical blue-green 111 band-ratio algorithms can give spurious results (e.g. Lavender et al., 2004). 112

Theoretical approaches have demonstrated that $R_{rs}(\lambda)$ is related to the In-

herent Optical Properties (IOPs) of seawater, the absorption and backscattering 114 coefficients. The absorption coefficient can in turn be partitioned into the contri-115 butions from water itself, and the type and abundance of material present in the 116 water, including phytoplankton, detrital matter and CDOM. The backscattering 117 coefficient can be partitioned into contributions from pure seawater and partic-118 ulate matter suspended in the water (which includes phytoplankton). IOPs can 119 be used to infer biogeochemical processes and to estimate the concentrations of 120 various optically-significant water constituents, such as chlorophyll. Theoreti-121 cal approaches that derive IOPs from $R_{rs}(\lambda)$ may improve performance of algo-122 rithms in more optically-complex waters (see IOCCG, 2000), and a variety of 123 semi-analytical approaches have been developed in this direction (see IOCCG, 124 2006). 125

Recently, NASA organised an international IOP algorithm workshop (Werdell, 126 2009), designed to provide data sets (Werdell and Bailey, 2005) and processing 127 framework in an international forum within which a new generation of global 128 IOP products can be developed and evaluated. The workshop aimed to: define 129 the state of the art with regard to the application of semi-analytical models to 130 satellite radiometry; identify similarities and differences between approaches; 131 identify strategies to provide uncertainties in IOPs; and achieve community con-132 sensus toward the generation of global IOP products (Werdell, 2009). An output 133 of the workshop was the development of a Generalised Inherent Optical Prop-134 erty model (GIOP), a test platform for algorithm development that offers free-135 dom to specify various optimisation approaches and parameterisations (Franz 136

and Werdell, 2010; Werdell et al., 2013).

In contrast to the aims of the NASA GIOP workshop, but making use of 138 progress made as a result of the workshop, and building on the report of the 139 IOCCG working group on the topic (IOCCG, 2006), this paper aims to establish 140 an objective methodology for algorithm selection for climate-change studies, and 141 then to use the method to compare and rank a variety of algorithms. Both qual-142 itative and quantitative considerations are examined. Qualitative considerations 143 relate to the suitability of the algorithms for use in climate change studies and 144 the quantitative considerations relate to algorithm performance. Qualitative al-145 gorithm considerations include the ability of the algorithm to: 146

- Create a long-term, consistent, error-characterised time series of oceancolour products for use in climate-change studies;
- Generate products that best suit the requirements of the user community;
- Facilitate seamless merging of Case-1 (open-ocean) and Case-2 (coastal optically-complex) waters;
- Quantify a variety of properties of the marine ecosystem that are relevant to climate studies and accessible from satellite ocean-colour data and;
- Be robust against potential modifications in the marine ecosystem in a changing climate.

Ideally, the most suitable algorithm would meet all these requirements and com pare well in statistical tests of performance. Using a suite of statistical tests,
 Page 8

and an *in situ* database of chlorophyll (*C*), the diffuse attenuation coefficient at 489 nm ($K_d(489)$), IOPs and $R_{rs}(\lambda)$, we evaluate the quantitative performance of a number of empirical and semi-analytical in-water bio-optical models. The limitations of the approach are discussed and additional challenges regarding the selection of in-water algorithms for climate studies are highlighted.

163 **2. Data**

To test in-water bio-opticals models, we made use of the publicly-available 164 NASA bio-Optical Marine Algorithm Data set (NOMAD, Werdell and Bailey, 165 2005). NOMAD Version 2.0 ALPHA was compiled on 18 July 2008 by the 166 NASA Ocean Biology Processing Group and source data is available online 167 (http://seabass.gsfc.nasa.gov/seabasscgi/nomad.cgi), as is documentation related 168 to IOPs (Werdell, 2005). The NOMAD database provides global in situ measure-169 ments of above-water spectral water-leaving radiance $(L_w(\lambda))$ and spectral sur-170 face irradiance $(E_s(\lambda))$, from which remote-sensing reflectance can be computed 171 $(R_{rs}(\lambda) = L_w(\lambda)/E_s(\lambda))$, and coincident measurements of water constituents such 172 as the chlorophyll-a concentration, IOPs and $K_d(489)$ (diffuse attenuation coef-173 ficient at 489 nm). The solar sun-zenith angle (θ) was computed for each data 174 point using information on time and location. Table 1 denotes the variables used 175 in the comparison. 176

The OC-CCI project currently focuses on the use of three ocean-colour satellite platforms: the Medium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MERIS) of ESA; the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectro-radiometer (MODIS) of NASA; and the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS) of NASA, to create a Page 9

time-series of satellite data. Therefore, to be representative of the majority of 181 wavelengths in all three satellite sensors, a common band set of 411, 443, 489, 182 510, 555, and 665 nm was chosen to maximise the amount of validation data 183 points in NOMAD. Though there are some mis-matches (MERIS native 560 > 184 555 nm; MODIS native 547 < 555 nm and 531 nm excluded; and SeaWiFS 670 185 > 665 nm), this compromise was adopted to maximise the number of samples. 186 The common band set used included six bands compatible with MERIS and Sea-187 WiFS and five bands compatible with MODIS. Co-located in situ measurements 188 of $R_{rs}(\lambda)$ were used as input to the models, as opposed to satellite-derived $R_{rs}(\lambda)$, 189 to minimise mis-matches in spatial scales between input and output variables. 190

To maximise the number of $b_b(\lambda)$ samples, 670 nm was used where re-191 flectance data at 665 nm were unavailable. Note that $b_b(\lambda)$, and the slope of 192 $b_b(\lambda)$, denoted as γ (Table 1), were used in this comparison as opposed to par-193 titioning $b_b(\lambda)$ into the contribution from pure water (b_{bw}) and particles (b_{bp}) , to 194 avoid issues caused by different b_{bw} spectra in different semi-analytical models. 195 Remote sensing reflectance data, at various wavelengths, and solar-zenith angles 196 were used as input to in-water algorithms to estimate IOPs, C and $K_d(489)$ (Table 197 1, 2 and 3). Estimated variables using the models were then compared with in 198 situ values in NOMAD, to determine the performance of the algorithms. Figure 199 1 shows the spatial coverage and number of samples for each variable used in the 200 in situ database and the NOMAD record identifier for each measurement used in 201 the comparison is provided as Supplementary Data. 202

203 **3. Models**

The following sections describe the semi-analytical models, designed to retrieve IOPs, and the chlorophyll models and the diffuse attenuation coefficient (K_d) models incorporated into the comparison. Tables 2 and 3 also provide a description of the output variables of each model and a summary listing key attributes of the various algorithms.

209 3.1. Semi-analytical models

Semi-analytical models used in the comparison are described in this section. 210 The term 'semi-analytical models' will be conventionally employed hereafter to 211 describe Models A-K for the sake of brevity. However, we acknowledge that 212 some of the models vary in their use of analytical and empirical solutions to 213 solve for the IOPs. These semi-analytical models (A-K) are used to compute the 214 total absorption coefficient (a), combined absorption by detritus and coloured 215 dissolved organic matter or gelbsfoff (a_{dg}) , absorption by phytoplankton (a_{ph}) , 216 total back-scattering coefficient (b_b) , the spectral slope of the total backscatter-217 ing coefficient (γ), the spectral slope of a_{dg} , denoted S_{dg} , and the ratio of phyto-218 plankton absorption at 555 nm to that at 443 nm $(a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443))$ (see Table 1 219 for all notations used). The ratio $a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443)$ was used in this comparison 220 as an index of the spectral shape of the phytoplankton absorption coefficient, an 221 index of the community structure of the phytoplankton (Sathyendranath et al., 222 2001, 2004; Ciotti et al., 2002). The ratio of 555 nm to 443 nm was chosen as 223 these wavelengths typically represent the minimum and maximum of the phy-224 toplankton absorption spectra. However, we acknowledge that ratios of other 225 Page 11

²²⁶ wavelengths could have also been used.

227 3.1.1. Model A

Model A refers to the model of Smyth et al. (2006). It uses an algebraic 228 approach for determining IOPs. The model uses spectral slopes for $a - a_w$ (where 229 subscript w stands for water) and b_{bp} (total particulate backscattering) derived 230 from field measurements, at the central wavelengths of 490 and 510 nm (or 531 231 for MODIS). Once the absorption and backscattering coefficients are known at 232 these wavelengths, based on Morel (1980), and assuming a fixed spectral slope 233 for b_{bp} , the absorption and backscattering coefficients across the spectrum can be 234 determined. Once absorption and backscattering are determined spectrally, a_{dg} 235 and a_{ph} can be determined using standard relationships and slopes between the 236 wavelengths of 412 and 443 nm. 237

238 3.1.2. Model B

Model B refers to the model of Smyth et al. (2006), as in Model A, but applying a new optical water classification, whereby the model parameters (spectral slopes in $a - a_w$ and b_{bp}) were computed for eight optical classes (see Moore et al., 2009). Based on the fuzzy-class-membership for each sample, determined from R_{rs} , the spectral slopes in $a - a_w$ and b_{bp} are re-computed and implemented in the model of Smyth et al. (2006): a_{dg} and a_{ph} are then determined as in Model A.

²⁴⁶ 3.1.3. Model C

Model C refers to the ocean-colour model of Devred et al. (2011) with some 247 simplifications. This model is designed to derive in-water optical properties and 248 water constituents from spectral water-leaving radiances, using non-linear op-249 timisation procedures. The method makes use of a three-component model of 250 phytoplankton absorption coupled to the reflectance model of Sathyendranath 251 and Platt (1997). The model retrieves $b_{bp}(555)$ (assuming the slope of $b_{bp} = 1.03$ 252 following Maritorena et al. (2002)), $a_{dg}(443)$ and S_{dg} from R_{rs} , initially assum-253 ing that a_{ph} can be expressed as the sum of the absorption coefficient of three 254 phytoplankton size classes (pico-, nano- and micro-phytoplankton), each with 255 its particular specific absorption spectrum $(a_{ph}^*, phytoplankton absorption nor-$ 256 malised by chlorophyll concentration) derived from the NOMAD dataset. Wave-257 lengths from 443 to 555 nm were used in the inversion of Model C. Output vari-258 ables were constrained to lie within the following range: $0.0 < a_{ph} < 100 \text{ m}^{-1}$; 259 $0.0 < a_{dg} < 100 \,\mathrm{m}^{-1}$; and $0.0 < b_{bp} < 5.0 \,\mathrm{m}^{-1}$. 260

261 3.1.4. Model D

Model D refers to the algebraic Quasi-Analytical Algorithm (QAA) of Lee et al. (2002). The model was designed to retrieve IOPs in optically-deep waters. The model inversion is based on two steps: the first involves partitioning water reflectance into b_b and a and the second decomposing a into a_{dg} and a_{ph} . The model is referred to as "Quasi-Analytical" as parts of the inversion are based on analytical, semi-analytical and empirical approximations. Model D uses the original parameterisation as described in Lee et al. (2002).

269 3.1.5. Model E

Model E refers to the Quasi-Analytical Algorithm (QAA) of Lee et al. (2002), as in Model D, but following an updated parameterisation (see Lee et al., 2009). This includes the use of measured $R_{rs}(670)$ in the calculation of a(555), in contrast to Model D which instead uses $R_{rs}(640)$ in the calculation of a(555), estimated empirically from other wavelengths when using data from SeaWiFS, MODIS, or MERIS.

276 3.1.6. Model F

Model F refers to the physics-based Hyperspectral Optimization Process 277 Exemplar (HOPE) model of Lee et al. (1998, 1999). In this model, R_{rs} is 278 modelled as a function of IOPs, and when influencing the R_{rs} signal, bottom 279 depth and bottom albedo. Unknowns are derived from non-linear optimisa-280 tion. The spectral shape of bottom albedo is pre-determined before the opti-281 misation starts, with the choice of two shapes (one for sand, another for grass) 282 automatically selected using the R_{rs} spectrum. The phytoplankton absorption 283 coefficients were constrained to lie within an upper and lower boundary (e.g. 284 $0.002 < a_{ph}(443) < 1.0 \,\mathrm{m}^{-1}$). 285

286 3.1.7. Model G

²⁸⁷ Model G refers to the semi-analytical Garver-Siegel-Maritorena (GSM) ²⁸⁸ model, that was initially developed by Garver and Siegel (1997) and later up-²⁸⁹ dated by Maritorena et al. (2002). The GSM model retrieves simultaneous esti-²⁹⁰ mates of chlorophyll (*C*), $a_{dg}(443)$ and $b_{bp}(443)$ from $R_{rs}(\lambda)$, assuming an under-²⁹¹ lying bio-optical model and using non-linear optimisation. Global parameters ²⁹² Page 14

of the bio-optical model were initially assigned based on simulated annealing 292 on a global quasi-real dataset, which are then used in the non-linear optimisa-293 tion routine. These include a fixed chlorophyll-specific phytoplankton absorp-294 tion coefficient (a_{ph}^*) , S_{dg} and the slope of b_{bp} . The chlorophyll (C), $a_{dg}(443)$ 295 and $b_{bp}(443)$ are first retrieved by fitting the bio-optical model to the observed 296 $R_{rs}(\lambda)$. IOPs at any wavelengths are then obtained using C, $a_{dg}(443)$ and $b_{bp}(443)$ 297 and their specific shape function from the bio-optical model. For Model G, the 298 output variables are constrained to lie within the range that was used to param-299 eterise the model (0.01 < C < 64 mg m⁻³; 0.0001 < a_{dg} (443) < 2.0 m⁻¹; and 300 $0.0001 < b_{bp}(443) < 0.1 \,\mathrm{m}^{-1}).$ 301

302 3.1.8. Model H

Model H refers to the semi-analytical Garver-Siegel-Maritorena (GSM) model (Maritorena et al., 2002), as in Model G, but allowing the retrievals to have any value, thus removing the constraint imposed on Model G.

306 3.1.9. Model I

Model I refers to a preliminary configuration of the Generalized Inherent Op-307 tical Property algorithm (GIOP; Franz and Werdell, 2010; Werdell et al., 2013). 308 The GIOP model is designed as a test platform for algorithm development and 309 was the result of a NASA IOP Algorithm Workshop (see Werdell, 2009; Werdell 310 et al., 2013). Whereas the GIOP model offers the user freedom to specify differ-311 ent parameterisations and optimisation approaches, a preliminary configuration 312 for GIOP is available which includes: an assigned a_{ph}^* following Bricaud et al. 313 (1995) but normalised by $0.055 \text{ m}^2 (\text{mgC})^{-1}$; a spectral backscattering depen-314 Page 15

dency following the QAA; a fixed spectral slope for $a_{dg}(\lambda)$ of 0.018 nm⁻¹; Morel 315 et al. (2002) f/Q ratio for zero Sun angle and zero view angle, where $Q(\lambda)$ is the 316 ratio of upwelling irradiance to upwelling radiance and $f(\lambda)$ captures the net ef-317 fects of variation in sea state, illumination conditions, and water column content; 318 and Levenberg-Marquardt optimisation. It is designed to retrieve spectral IOPs 319 and chlorophyll, and it is worth noting that this preliminary configuration could 320 be changed with time. All IOPs $(a_{dg}, a_{ph}, b_{bp}, and a_{dg} + a_{ph})$ were constrained 321 to lie within -0.005 and 5 m^{-1} . Retrievals were excluded if the reconstructed 322 R_{rs} spectrum, between 411-555 nm, differed from the observed R_{rs} spectrum by 323 more than 33%. 324

325 3.1.10. Model J

Model J refers to a Case-1 model, in which all IOPs are modelled as a func-326 tion of the chlorophyll concentration (C) derived using the NASA OC4v6 em-327 pirical model (Model L). Once C is estimated from R_{rs} , C is used as input to 328 estimate: $a_{ph}(\lambda)$ using a three-component model of phytoplankton absorption 329 (Brewin et al., 2011); $a_g(\lambda)$ using a power-function of C (Morel, 2009) with an 330 exponential spectral slope (S_g) of 0.018 nm⁻¹; $a_d(\lambda)$ using a power-function of 331 C (Bricaud et al., 2010) with an exponential spectral slope (S_d) of 0.0094 nm⁻¹; 332 $b_{bp}(\lambda)$ as a function of C using the model of Huot et al. (2008); pure water 333 absorption (a_w) according to Pope and Fry (1997); and pure-water backscatter-334 ing (b_{bw}) according to Buiteveld et al. (1994). Components of absorption and 335 backscattering are added to obtain the totals a and b_b respectively, from which 336 R_{rs} is computed using the model of Gordon et al. (1988). 337

338 3.1.11. Model K

Model K refers to a preliminary configuration of an in-water artificial 339 Neural-Network (NN) (e.g. Doerffer and Schiller, 2000, 2006; Doerffer et al., 340 2002) which is used as the forward model within an optimisation procedure 341 (Levenberg-Marquardt). The model computes IOPs from water-leaving radiance 342 for all available multi-spectral ocean colour sensors as well as in situ measure-343 ments. The method was optimised to invert water-leaving radiance directly into 344 spectral IOPs, with chlorophyll (C) parameterised as a function of phytoplankton 345 absorption and $K_d(489)$ as a function of scattering and total absorption. 346

347 3.2. Chlorophyll (C) models

³⁴⁸ Chlorophyll (*C*) algorithms incorporated into the comparison are described ³⁴⁹ in the following section. For semi-analytical Models C, G, H, I, and K, chloro-³⁵⁰ phyll is an output from the models. For semi-analytical Models A, B, D, E, and ³⁵¹ F, chlorophyll is not an output. For the purposes of the comparison, we esti-³⁵² mated chlorophyll as a function of $a_{ph}(443)$ using a power-law relationship (e.g. ³⁵³ Bricaud et al., 1995), such that

$$C = \left[\frac{a_{ph}(443)}{A}\right]^{\frac{1}{B}},\tag{1}$$

where, *A* and *B* are positive empirical parameters. The empirical parameters *A* and *B* were computed using the *in situ* NOMAD database (1042 samples), and set to A = 0.0497 and B = 0.7575. For semi-analytical Models A, B, D, E, and F, $a_{ph}(443)$ was first computed, then chlorophyll was computed using Eq. (1). Page 17 It is worth noting that the empirical conversion from $a_{ph}(443)$ to chlorophyll is merely introduced to facilitate the comparison, it is not a feature of the original algorithms. Note that Model J is not incorporated in the chlorophyll comparison as this model uses chlorophyll estimated from an empirical model (Model L) as input to compute IOPs. In addition to the semi-analytical models (A-I and K), a variety of empirical chlorophyll algorithms were also incorporated into the comparison and are described below.

365 3.2.1. Model L

Model L refers to the NASA OC4 chlorophyll algorithm (O'Reilly et al., 2000). This is a polynomial algorithm that relates the log-transformed ratio of remote-sensing reflectances (X) to the chlorophyll concentration (C). The OC4v6 uses a four-band blue-green reflectance ratio such that:

$$X = \log_{10}\{[R_{rs}(443) > R_{rs}(489) > R_{rs}(510)] / R_{rs}(555)\}.$$
(2)

³⁷⁰ Chlorophyll (*C*) is estimated according to:

$$C = 10^{(a_0 + a_1X + a_2X^2 + a_3X^3 + a_4X^4)},$$
(3)

where, $a_0 = 0.3272$, $a_1 = -2.9940$, $a_2 = 2.7218$, $a_3 = -1.2259$ and $a_4 = -0.5683$ (NASA, 2010).

373 3.2.2. Model M

Model M refers to the NASA OC3S chlorophyll algorithm (O'Reilly et al., 2000). Like the OC4, this is a polynomial algorithm that relates the logtransformed ratio of remote-sensing reflectances (X) to the chlorophyll concentration (C). The OC3S uses a three-band blue-green reflectance ratio where

$$X = \log_{10}\{[R_{rs}(443) > R_{rs}(489)]/R_{rs}(555)\},\tag{4}$$

and chlorophyll (*C*) is estimated according to Eq. (3) where, $a_0 = 0.2515$, $a_1 = -2.3798$, $a_2 = 1.5823$, $a_3 = -0.6372$ and $a_4 = -0.5692$ (NASA, 2010).

380 3.2.3. Model N

Model N refers to the NASA OC2S chlorophyll algorithm (O'Reilly et al., 2000). Like the OC4 and OC3S, this is a polynomial algorithm that relates the log-transformed ratio of remote-sensing reflectances (X) to the chlorophyll concentration (C). The OC2S uses a two-band blue-green reflectance ratio where

$$X = \log_{10}[R_{rs}(489)/R_{rs}(555)], \tag{5}$$

and chlorophyll (*C*) is estimated according to Eq. (3) where, $a_0 = 0.2511$, $a_1 = -2.0853$, $a_2 = 1.5035$, $a_3 = -3.1747$ and $a_4 = 0.3383$ (NASA, 2010).

387 3.2.4. Model O

Model O refers to the MERIS chlorophyll band-ratio algorithm (Morel and Antoine, 2011). Like the OC4, it is a four-band polynomial algorithm that relates Page 19 the log-transformed ratio of remote-sensing reflectance (*X*) to the chlorophyll concentration (*C*). Considering that a common-band set was chosen, not inclusive of 560 nm, the algorithm was implemented following Morel et al. (2007), such that the wavelength of 560 nm was replaced by 555 nm, and *X* can be estimated following Eq. (2) and (3), where $a_0 = 0.4461529$, $a_1 = -3.291807$, $a_2 = 3.777216$, $a_3 = -4.172339$ and $a_4 = 1.415588$ (see Table 2 OC4Me555 of Morel et al., 2007).

³⁹⁷ 3.2.5. Model P

Model P refers to the chlorophyll algorithm of Hu et al. (2012). This em-398 pirical algorithm was designed to improve the estimate of chlorophyll (C) in the 399 global ocean at concentrations $\leq 0.25 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$. For low chlorophyll concentra-400 tions ($\leq 0.25 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$), the algorithm uses a colour index (CI), which is defined 401 as the difference between R_{rs} in the green region of the visible spectrum and a 402 reference formed linearly between R_{rs} in the blue and red region of the visible 403 spectrum. For high chlorophyll concentrations ($>0.3 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$), Model P con-404 forms to the OC4 algorithm (Model L), and for concentrations between >0.25 405 and $\leq 0.3 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$ a mixture of the colour index (CI) and the OC4 algorithm 406 (Model L) is used, allowing a smooth transition from the CI to the OC4 with 407 increasing chlorophyll. 408

409 3.3. Diffuse attenuation models (K_d)

Algorithms for computing the diffuse attenuation coefficient at 489 nm ($K_d(489)$) are described in the following section. For semi-analytical Models A to J, $K_d(489)$ was computed following Lee et al. (2005), with a(489) and $b_b(489)$ Page 20 computed according to the particular model (A-J) and the solar sun-zenith angle (θ) as input, such that:

$$K_d(489) = [1 + (0.005\theta)]a(489) + 4.18\{1 - 0.52\exp[-10.8a(489)]\}b_b(489).$$
 (6)

For semi-analytical Model K, $K_d(489)$ is an output, tied to scattering and total absorption. In addition to $K_d(489)$ estimates from semi-analytical models, an empirical algorithm was also incorporated into the comparison (Model Q).

418 3.3.1. Model Q

Model Q refers to the NASA empirical algorithm for deriving $K_d(489)$ from SeaWiFS (KD2S). This is a polynomial algorithm that relates the logtransformed ratio of remote-sensing reflectances (*X*) to $K_d(489)$. The algorithm uses a two-band blue-green reflectance ratio to compute *X* (see Eq. 5), and $K_d(489)$ is computed following:

$$K_d(489) = 10^{(a_0 + a_1X + a_2X^2 + a_3X^3 + a_4X^4)} + 0.0166,$$
(7)

where, $a_0 = -0.8515$, $a_1 = -1.8263$, $a_2 = 1.8714$, $a_3 = -2.4414$ and $a_4 = -1.0690$ (NASA, 2009).

426 **4. Methods**

427 4.1. Statistical Tests

To test the performance of the in-water algorithms the following univariate statistical tests were adopted that are commonly used in comparisons between Page 21 ⁴³⁰ modelled and *in situ* data (e.g. Doney et al., 2009; Friedrichs et al., 2009).

431 4.1.1. Pearson correlation coefficient (r)

The correlation coefficient r (also called Pearson's product moment correlation) is calculated according to

$$r = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\frac{X_{i}^{M} - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} X_{j}^{M}\right)}{\left\{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left[X_{k}^{M} - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N} X_{l}^{M}\right)\right]^{2}\right\}^{1/2}} \right] \left[\frac{X_{i}^{E} - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} X_{m}^{E}\right)}{\left\{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[X_{n}^{E} - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{o=1}^{N} X_{o}^{E}\right)\right]^{2}\right\}^{1/2}} \right]$$
(8)

where, *X* is the variable and *N* is the number of samples. The superscript *E* denotes the estimated variable (from the model) and the superscript *M* denotes the measured variable (from NOMAD). Note that the Pearson correlation coefficient assumes a linear relationship between variables and normal distributions. The correlation coefficient may take any value between -1.0 and 1.0.

439 4.1.2. Root Mean Square Error (Ψ)

The absolute Root Mean Square Error (Ψ) is calculated according to

$$\Psi = \left[\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_i^E - X_i^M\right)^2\right]^{1/2}.$$
(9)

441 4.1.3. The bias (δ)

The bias between model and measurement can be expressed according to

$$\delta = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_i^E - X_i^M \right).$$
(10)

⁴⁴³ 4.1.4. The centre-pattern Root Mean Square Error (Δ)

The absolute centre-pattern (or unbiased) Root Mean Square Error (Δ) is calculated according to

$$\Delta = \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left[X_{i}^{E} - \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}X_{j}^{E}\right) \right] - \left[X_{i}^{M} - \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{N}X_{k}^{M}\right) \right] \right\}^{2} \right)^{1/2}.$$
(11)

It describes the error of the estimated values with respect to the measured ones, regardless of the average bias between the two distributions. It is related to Ψ and δ according to $\Delta^2 = \Psi^2 - \delta^2$.

449 4.1.5. Slope (S) and Intercept (I) of a Type-2 regression

The performance of a model with respect to *in situ* data can be tested using linear regression between the estimated variable (from the model) and the measured variable (*in situ* data), such that

$$X^E = X^M S + I. (12)$$

A slope (*S*) close to one and an intercept (*I*) close to zero is an indication that the model compares well with the *in situ* data. Type-1 regression typically assumes the dependent variable (*in situ* data) is known infinitely well, when in reality the *in situ* data are also affected by uncertainties (e.g. problems with *in situ* data sampling techniques) that are difficult to quantify. Therefore, we adopted Type-2 regression (Glover et al., 2011, MATLAB function lsqfitma.m), which instead of minimising the vertical distance between independent data and linear fit (as in

Type-1 regression), minimises the perpendicular distance between independent data and linear fit.

⁴⁶² 4.1.6. Percentage of possible retrievals (η)

⁴⁶³ Considering that algorithms chosen for climate studies should perform routinely, and globally, and should not be a source of more gaps in the data than would be the case if other algorithms were used, the percentage of possible retrievals (η) is an important criterion that should be considered in the comparison, calculated according to

$$\eta = \frac{N^E}{N^M} 100,\tag{13}$$

where N^E represents the number of retrievals using the model and N^M represents the number of *in situ* data points.

All statistical tests described above were performed in \log_{10} space, considering the majority of variables are approximately log-normally distributed, with the exception of S_{dg} , γ and $a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443)$ for which the analysis was performed in linear space.

474 4.2. Quantitative statistical methodology

As with the OC-CCI comparison of atmospheric correction algorithms (Müller et al., Submitted), a points scoring classification was used in the in-water comparison to rank objectively the performance of the algorithms. Each variable was tested independently in the points scoring classification. For each variable, $R_{rs}(\lambda)$ values in the database were used as input to the algorithm to estimate the Page 24 variable, the estimated variable was then compared with the corresponding *in situ* value using each statistical test and a score was assigned for each test ranging from zero to two. These tests are described in the following sections. If the algorithm was not capable of estimating the variable, it was given zero points for that test.

In addition, a chi-square test was also performed separately on a selection of 485 the semi-analytical models. This information was used to evaluate the goodness 486 of fit of the computed spectral R_{rs} values compared with the observed values. 487 The samples were only compared when the measured and estimated variables 488 conformed to the following requirements, which represent extreme upper and 489 lower boundaries fixed to avoid the influence of spurious results on the statisti-490 cal tests (note that algorithms were penalised (Eq. 13) for a higher number of 491 spurious results): 492

•
$$C > 0.001$$
 and $< 200 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$;

•
$$K_d > a_w$$
 (Pope and Fry, 1997) and < 10.0 m⁻¹;

•
$$a > a_w$$
 (Pope and Fry, 1997) and < 10.0 m⁻¹;

•
$$a_{dg} > 0.0001$$
 and $< 10.0 \text{ m}^{-1}$;

•
$$a_{ph} > 0.0001$$
 and $< 10.0 \text{ m}^{-1}$;

•
$$b_b > b_{bw}$$
 (Zhang et al., 2009) and < 10.0 m⁻¹;

•
$$\gamma > 0$$
 and < 4.32 (slope of pure water from Morel, 1974);

• $S_{dg} > 0$ and $< 0.05 \text{ nm}^{-1}$;

•
$$a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443) > 0$$
 and < 5.0

The lower boundaries for a_{dg} and a_{ph} were chosen based on the raw uncertainty 502 of a WET-Labs ac9 in waters with low attenuation (WET-Labs, 2012), and lower 503 boundaries for C were based on the absolute accuracy for HPLC detection if all 504 protocols are strictly followed (Aiken et al., 2009). The exclusion of spurious 505 results was conducted on a variable-by-variable basis. For instance, for a given 506 R_{rs} spectra, if a semi-analytical model has one variable (e.g. $a_{ph}(443)$) that falls 507 outside selected boundaries but another (e.g. a(443)) that falls within selected 508 boundaries, the former would be excluded and the latter included. 509

510 4.2.1. Pearson correlation coefficient (r) test

The r test involved determining whether the r-value for each model was sta-511 tistically higher or lower than the mean r-value for all models. This was de-512 termined using the z_{score} . The z_{score} may be used to determine if two correlation 513 coefficients are statistically different from one another (Cohen and Cohen, 1983). 514 Knowing the *r*-value for two respective models (say r_1 and r_2 , for model 1 and 2 515 respectively) and knowing the number of samples used to determine the r-values 516 (say n_1 and n_2) one can determine the z_{score} using the Fisher's r-to-z transforma-517 tion. Making use of the sample size employed to obtain each coefficient, these 518 z-scores of each r-value (z_1 and z_2) can be used to compute the overall z_{score} 519 (Cohen and Cohen, 1983), such that: 520

$$z_1 = 0.5\log(\frac{1+r_1}{1-r_1}),\tag{14}$$

521

$$z_2 = 0.5\log(\frac{1+r_2}{1-r_2}),\tag{15}$$

522

$$z_{score} = \frac{z_1 - z_2}{\{[1/(n_1 - 3)] + [1/(n_2 - 3)]\}^{1/2}}.$$
(16)

Having determined the z_{score} , this can be converted into a *p*-value assuming normal distribution. For the in-water comparison, a two-tailed test was used and if the *p*-value was <0.05, the *r*-values were deemed to be statistically different.

The mean *r*-value for all models was first determined by averaging the *r*value of all the models being tested. The mean number of samples used to compute the *r*-value, was also determined by averaging all models being tested. The *r*-value and number of samples of a particular model were then compared with the mean value for all models, so as to determine if the model's *r*-value was statistically lower, similar or higher than the average value for all models. The following points for each model were awarded accordingly:

- 0 points = r-value for the model tested was statistically lower than the mean r-value for all models.
- 1 point = r-value for the model tested was statistically similar to the mean r-value for all models.
- 2 points = r-value for the model tested was statistically higher than the mean r-value for all models.

4.2.2. Root Mean Square Error (Ψ) and centre-pattern Root Mean Square Error (Δ) tests

In addition to computing Ψ and Δ for each model, it is possible to determine 541 the 95% confidence levels in the Ψ and Δ , which provide an indication of how 542 confident one is in Ψ and Δ estimates. The 95% confidence levels can be com-543 puted from the standard error of the mean percentage and the *t*-distribution of the 544 sample size. Confidence levels provide a very powerful way of showing differ-545 ences and similarities between models. If the 95% confidence intervals of two or 546 more models overlap, then it can be assumed that the models have a statistically 547 similar Ψ or Δ . 548

⁵⁴⁹ For each model, the Ψ and Δ were computed in addition to their 95% con-⁵⁵⁰ fidence intervals. Furthermore, the average Ψ and Δ value for all models tested ⁵⁵¹ and the average 95% confidence interval on these values were also calculated. ⁵⁵² The following points for each model were awarded accordingly:

- 0 points = Ψ or Δ for the model tested was statistically higher than the mean Ψ or Δ for all models (95% confidence levels did not overlap).
- 1 point = Ψ or Δ for the model tested was statistically similar to the mean Ψ or Δ for all models (95% confidence levels overlap with mean values).
- 2 points = Ψ or Δ for the model tested was statistically lower than the mean Ψ or Δ for all models (95% confidence levels did not overlap).
- Figure 2 shows an example of the points classification for models in the chlorophyll (*C*) comparison using Ψ .

561 4.2.3. Bias (δ) test

The closer the model bias (δ) is to the reference value of zero implies that the model corresponds well with the *in situ* data. However, a model could have a δ close to the reference value of zero, when compared with another model, but have a much larger 95% confidence interval, implying lower confidence in the retrieved δ . Therefore, the following points classification was introduced for the bias:

- O points = the 95% confidence interval of δ for a particular model is higher
 than the mean 95% confidence interval for all models. In addition to this,
 the bias ± its 95% confidence interval did not overlap with zero ± the mean
 95% confidence interval for all models.
- 1 point = either, the 95% confidence interval of δ for a particular model is lower than the mean 95% confidence interval for all models, or, the bias ± its 95% confidence interval overlaps with zero ± the mean 95% confidence interval, but not both cases.

• 2 points = the 95% confidence interval of δ for a particular model is lower than the mean 95% confidence interval for all models, and, the bias \pm its 95% confidence interval overlaps with zero \pm the mean 95% confidence interval.

580 4.2.4. Slope (S) and Intercept (I) test

In addition to computing the intercept (I) and the slope (S) from Type-2 regression, it is possible to compute the standard deviation on I and S (Glover Page 29

et al., 2011, MATLAB function lsqfitma.m). The closer the intercept (I) is to 583 the reference value of zero and the closer the slope (S) is to the reference value 584 of one, the better the fit between variables. However, a model could have an 585 intercept closer to the reference value of zero and a slope closer to the reference 586 value of one, when compared with another model, but have a much larger stan-587 dard deviation on its retrieved parameters, implying lower confidence in the fit. 588 Therefore, to account for both these possibilities the following points classifica-589 tion was introduced for the slope (S) parameter: 590

- 0 points = the standard deviation of the *S* parameter for a particular model
 is higher than the mean standard deviation for all models. In addition to
 this, the *S* parameter ± its standard deviation does not overlap with one ±
 twice the mean standard deviation for all models.
- 1 point = either, the standard deviation of the *S* parameter for a particular
 model is lower than the mean standard deviation for all models, or, the
 S parameter ± its standard deviation overlaps with one ± twice the mean
 standard deviation for all models, but not both cases.
- 2 points = the standard deviation of the *S* parameter for a particular model
 is lower than the mean standard deviation for all models, and, the *S* parameter ± its standard deviation overlaps with one ± twice the mean standard
 deviation for all models.

The following points classification was introduced for intercept (I) parameter:

- 0 points = the standard deviation of the *I* parameter for a particular model
 is higher than the mean standard deviation for all models. In addition to
 this, the *I* parameter ± its standard deviation does not overlap with zero ±
 twice the mean standard deviation for all models.
- 1 point = either, the standard deviation of the *I* parameter for a particular
 model is lower than the mean standard deviation for all models, or, the *I* parameter ± its standard deviation overlaps with zero ± twice the mean
 standard deviation for all models, but not both cases.
- 2 points = the standard deviation of the *I* parameter for a particular model
 is lower than the mean standard deviation for all models, and, the *I* parameter ± its standard deviation overlaps with zero ± twice the mean standard
 deviation for all models.

617 4.2.5. Percentage of possible retrievals (η) test

To compare the percentage of possible retrievals (η) between models, the average percentage of retrievals for all models was computed in addition to its standard deviation. The following points criteria were set-up:

- 0 points = η of a model is less than the mean η of all models its standard deviation.
- 1 point = η of a model overlaps with the mean η for all models \pm its standard deviation.
- 2 points = η of a model is greater than the mean η of all models + its standard deviation.

627 4.2.6. Total points

To rank the performance of each model with reference to a particular variable, 628 all points were summed over each statistical test. The total score for each model 629 was then normalised by the average score of all models being tested. A score of 630 one indicates the performance of a model is average with respect to all models, 631 a score greater than one indicates a model is performing better than the average 632 and a score less than one indicates the model is performing worse than average. 633 Figure 3 shows a flow-chart illustrating the methodology of the scoring system 634 used to intercompare models. Note that a doubling of points (say from 1 to 2) 635 does not imply an algorithm is twice as good; instead it implies that the difference 636 between the two models is statistically significant. 637

The stability of the scoring system, and the sensitivity of the scores, was 638 tested using the method of bootstrapping (Efron, 1979; Efron and Tibshirani, 639 1993). This involved using sampling with replacement to randomly re-sample 640 the in situ data (1000 times) creating 1000 new datasets the same size as the 641 original dataset but not identical. The quantitative statistical methodology was 642 then re-run for each new dataset (Monte-Carlo approach) and from the resulting 643 distribution of scores, a mean score for each model was computed. Additionally, 644 a 2.5% and a 97.5% interval on the bootstrap distribution was taken and assumed 645 to be the error-bars or confidence limits on the mean score for each model, rather 646 than standard deviations on the bootstrap distribution, to avoid misinterpretation 647 of results should the bootstrap distribution not follow a normal distribution or be 648 skewed, for instance from the presence of outliers in the data. 649

650 4.2.7. Chi-square test

In addition to the tests described above, a chi-square (χ^2) test was also used to 651 compare performance of a selection of semi-analytical models. For each semi-652 analytical model tested, a reconstructed reflectance spectrum was produced in 653 forward mode and compared with the in situ reflectance data. This was con-654 ducted on 1713 samples ($K_d(489)$ database) representative of a broad range of 655 oceanic environments inclusive of the major ocean basins (see Fig. 1). The test 656 is designed to examine how well each semi-analytical model performed at re-657 producing the observations. The results from this test are not incorporated into 658 the points classification, as some semi-analytical models in the comparison are 659 algebraic (e.g. Models A, B, D and E) thus their χ^2 values equal zero. However, 660 the information is useful to evaluate the performance of those semi-analytical 661 algorithms that are not algebraic (Models C, F, G, H, I, J and K). The chi-square 662 was computed for each of the 1713 spectra using the following formula: 663

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\lambda}} \left[R_{rs}^{M}(i) - R_{rs}^{E}(i) \right]^{2},$$
(17)

where, the super-script M is the measured reflectance data, and the super-script E is the estimated reflectance data from the model. The lower the χ^2 is, the better the model reproduces the observed reflectance data.

667 5. Results

668 5.1. Chlorophyll comparison

Figure 4 shows results of the quantitative comparison on chlorophyll concen-669 tration. What is clear from the scatter plots in Fig. 4 is that all the algorithms 670 perform reasonably at estimating chlorophyll when compared with the in situ 671 data (r > 0.75). Secondly, a visual qualitative comparison of the scatter plots and 672 the results from the points classification score (bar chart in Fig. 4) reveals that 673 the objective points classification appears to be working consistently, such that 674 the models showing larger discrepancies between modelled and in situ data in 675 the scatter plots (e.g. Models C and K) have a low score, and models showing 676 a tighter relationship between modelled and *in situ* data in the scatter plots (e.g. 677 Models L to P) have a higher score. 678

Results from the classification in Fig. 4 (bar chart) highlight that the empiri-679 cal chlorophyll models have the highest score (e.g. Model L, M, N and P). This is 680 not surprising considering that many of the in situ data used to parameterise these 681 empirical models are not independent of the in situ data used here to test these 682 models (see Table 3 and Section 6.1.1 for a discussion of this aspect). However, it 683 is worth noting that Model O, which is the same mathematical equation as Model 684 L, was parameterised using a theoretical model of ocean colour (Morel and Mar-685 itorena, 2001) tuned using data gathered by the Laboratoire d'Océanographie de 686 Villefranche on K_d and chlorophyll (see Morel and Antoine, 2011, for details), 687 data that are independent of the chlorophyll and R_{rs} data used in this compari-688 son. The high score by Model O support the results from Models L, M, and N, in 689 Page 34

that the empirical (blue-green band-ratio) chlorophyll algorithms perform with a high score in the quantitative comparison. The performance of the empirical algorithms may reflect their immunity to scale errors in R_{rs} data (e.g. band-ratio, see Fig. 14) or errors induced by instrument noise (e.g. band-difference, see Hu et al., 2012).

With regard to chlorophyll derived by the semi-analytical algorithms, Mod-695 els A, G, H and I have a higher score when compared with Models B, D, E and 696 F. However, overlapping error bars from the bootstrap ensemble run, particularly 697 with regard to Model D and E, clearly indicate the difficulty in ranking the per-698 formance of many of these semi-analytical models objectively. For Models A, 699 G, H and I, error bars from the bootstrap ensemble overlap with the empirical 700 models, suggesting that the performance of these semi-analytical algorithms are 701 comparable with the empirical algorithms in certain conditions. Models C and 702 K perform with low scores, indicating that these semi-analytical models perform 703 less accurately at deriving chlorophyll when compared with the other models in 704 the comparison (Fig. 4). 705

706 5.2. $K_d(489)$ comparison

Figure 5 shows results of the quantitative comparison on $K_d(489)$. All models are seen to capture a high amount of the variability in the $K_d(489)$ *in situ* data (r>0.93). The bar chart indicates empirical Model Q performs with a high points score in the $K_d(489)$ comparison, followed by semi-analytical Models D and E. Models F, I, J and K are shown to perform similarly (slightly above average with scores >1), followed by Models G, H and C. Models A and B have low scores. Page 35 ⁷¹³ Model A shows a systematic overestimation in $K_d(489)$. Considering a(489) and ⁷¹⁴ $b_b(489)$ are used as inputs to Eq. (7), this overestimation in $K_d(489)$ associated ⁷¹⁵ with Model A can be linked to an overestimation in $b_b(489)$ for this model (see ⁷¹⁶ Figure 10) as opposed to the influence of a(489) (see Figure 7).

717 5.3. The total absorption coefficient $(a(\lambda))$ comparison

Figures 6 and 7 show results of the quantitative intercomparison on $a(\lambda)$. 718 Assessing the scatter plots (Fig. 7), all models capture a high amount of the vari-719 ability in the *in situ* data at blue and green wavelengths (412-510 nm, r > 0.87); 720 at longer wavelengths (e.g. 665 nm), Models A, B, D, and E (all algebraic ap-721 proaches) have a low score in comparison with the other IOP models in the 722 points classification (Fig. 6). When summing scores over all the wavelengths 723 $(a(\lambda)$ Fig. 6), results from the points classification indicate that, with the excep-724 tion of Model F which has the highest score, Models C through to K perform 725 with similar scores, as indexed by overlapping error bars. Model A and B have 726 a slightly lower score, which can be attributed to lower scores at longer wave-727 lengths (e.g. Model A and B have a similar score to some models at shorter 728 wavelengths (411, 443 and 489 nm, note the overlapping error bars), but lower 729 scores at longer wavelengths (>510 nm) in Fig. 6). Models A, B, D and E re-730 trieve a(665) directly from $R_{rs}(665)$, consequently when $R_{rs}(665)$ is very low and 731 has a high signal-to-noise ratio (common in oceanic waters), this will result in 732 low quality a(665). However, in such cases, semi-analytical optimisation mod-733 els (e.g. Models C, F, G, H, I and K) have less dependence on the quality of 734 $R_{rs}(665)$, as a(665) is inferred using a bio-optical model that operates a minimi-735 Page 36
sation using wavelengths in blue, green and red regions of the spectrum, often
with fixed spectral shapes for the IOPs.

⁷³⁸ 5.4. The absorption coefficient of phytoplankton $(a_{ph}(\lambda))$ comparison

Figures 6 and 8 show results of the quantitative intercomparison on $a_{ph}(\lambda)$. 739 The results indicate a large range of variability between semi-analytical models. 740 Models A, B, D, and E (algebraic approaches) perform reasonably well at shorter 741 wavelengths (411-489nm), as indexed by a higher points score, but perform less 742 accurately at longer wavelengths (555-665 nm), as indexed by a lower points 743 score. Models C and F through to J alternatively have a higher points score at 744 longer wavelengths (510-665 nm) and lower points score at shorter wavelengths, 745 likely a result of the algebraic approaches performing less accurately at longer 746 wavelengths (555-665 nm). When summing the points across all wavelengths 747 $(a_{ph}(\lambda)$ Fig. 6), Models I and J have the highest scores followed by Models C, G, 748 and H. Model J computes $a_{ph}(\lambda)$ assuming relationships between the chlorophyll 749 concentration of three size-classes of phytoplankton (micro-, nano- and pico-750 phytoplankton), and their associated specific absorption coefficient (a_{ph}^*) , as does 751 Model C during a first iteration to compute b_{bp} and a_{dg} . Models G and H estimate 752 $a_{ph}(\lambda)$ as a linear function of chlorophyll and Model I relates changes in the 753 spectral shape of a_{ph}^* with changes in chlorophyll. Models A and F have an 754 average score (\sim 1), in comparison with the other models, with Model K having 755 the lowest score when summing the points across all wavelengths. 756

Figures 6 and 11 show results of the quantitative intercomparison on $a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443)$. Models A and B are seen to perform less accurately at es-Page 37

timating $a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443)$, as indexed by a low points score. This can be at-759 tributed to the fact that $a_{ph}(555)$ is strongly overestimated by Models A and B 760 despite performing well at retrieving $a_{ph}(443)$ (Fig. 8), causing an overestimation 761 of $a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443)$ (Fig. 11). Models C, F, I, and J have the highest scores for 762 $a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443)$, and it is worth noting that these models tie the spectral shape 763 of a_{ph} to either the chlorophyll concentration or $a_{ph}(443)$ (Model C only during 764 a first iteration). Models D, E and K have intermediate scores, as do Models G 765 and H which assume a fixed spectral shape for a_{ph} (scores of ~1). Overlapping 766 error bars indicate the scores of some of these models are statistically similar. 767

⁷⁶⁸ 5.5. The absorption coefficient by detrital and dissolved matter $(a_{dg}(\lambda))$ compar-⁷⁶⁹ ison

Figures 6 and 9 show results of the quantitative intercomparison on $a_{dg}(\lambda)$. 770 In comparison with $a(\lambda)$ (Fig. 7), the majority of semi-analytical models are 771 seen to capture a lower amount of the variability in *in situ* $a_{dg}(\lambda)$ ($r \leq 0.88$), in-772 dicating lower performance in retrieving $a_{dg}(\lambda)$ in comparison with $a(\lambda)$, at least 773 for blue and green wavelengths. Slight variations in the performance of the al-774 gorithms for each wavelength are observed over the visible spectrum, which is 775 likely caused by variations in S_{dg} and the spectral shape of a_{ph} between models. 776 Despite these variations, the points score of all algorithms when summed across 777 all wavelengths ($a_{dg}(\lambda)$ Fig. 6), is strikingly similar to the performance of the 778 models at a single wavelength (e.g. $a_{dg}(443)$), highlighting the importance of 779 correctly estimating the magnitude of a_{dg} at a reference wavelength. However, 780 it is worth noting that the NOMAD $a_d(\lambda)$ and $a_g(\lambda)$ multi-spectral data were de-781 Page 38

veloped by fitting an exponential slope to original data on a sample-by-sample basis, to remove moderate noise often resulting from instrument artifacts or poor sample baselines (Werdell, 2005). When summing scores across all wavelengths $(a_{dg}(\lambda)$ Fig. 6), Models D and F have slightly higher scores, followed by Models H, G, E, B, J, A and I. However, with the exception of Models C and K, which have consistently low scores, many models have overlapping error bars indicating statistically similar results.

Figures 6 and 11 show results of the quantitative intercomparison on S_{dg} . To 789 compute S_{dg} for each semi-analytical model and *in situ* sample, the spectral a_{dg} 790 results were fitted using an exponential equation between 411-665 nm. What is 791 clear from the scatter plots is that none of the models capture well the variability 792 in S_{dg} (r < 0.15, Fig. 11). Models C to F and Model J have a slightly higher 793 score in the points classification when compared with Models A, B, G, H, I and 794 K. The higher points score for Models C to F and J are related to a lower Ψ , Δ 795 and δ for these models (Fig. 11). It is worth noting that Models G, H, and I, have 796 higher S_{dg} (0.018 to 0.0206) than the other models in the comparison. 797

⁷⁹⁸ 5.6. The total backscattering coefficient $(b_b(\lambda))$ comparison

Figures 6 and 10 show results of the quantitative intercomparison on $b_b(\lambda)$. Results indicate that it is difficult to separate the performance of the semianalytical models at determining $b_b(\lambda)$, as indexed by large error bars on the mean score of the bootstrap distribution. These larger error bars are in part a consequence of a lower number of *in situ* samples in the $b_b(\lambda)$ dataset, as compared with the other IOPs. Models A and B display a positive bias (Fig. 10), indicating Page 39 an overestimation of $b_b(\lambda)$, and Model J appears to underestimate $b_b(\lambda)$ at larger values (Fig. 10). When summing scores across all wavelengths ($b_b(\lambda)$, Fig. 6), Models A, C and K have lower scores and Models D, G, H and J slightly higher scores, when compared with the majority of models.

Figures 6 and 11 show results of the quantitative intercomparison on γ . To 809 compute γ for each semi-analytical model, and for the *in situ* data, the spec-810 tral b_b results were fitted using a power-law equation between 411-665 nm. As 811 with the $b_b(\lambda)$ points classification, it is difficult to separate the performance of 812 some of the algorithms (overlapping error bars). Models D and E have a higher 813 points scores in the γ test (note for these models the slope of b_{bp} was param-814 eterised using some of the data in NOMAD), followed by Models B, C and F 815 through to J. Models D, E, F, I and J all vary the spectral dependency of par-816 ticulate backscattering (b_{bp}) as a function of a blue-green ratio, Model J indi-817 rectly through chlorophyll which is first estimated using a blue-green ratio from 818 Model L. Models G and H assume a constant spectral dependency of particulate 819 backscattering (b_{bp}) . Models A and K have a lower score when compared with 820 the other semi-analytical models. 821

822 5.7. Chi-square tests

Figure 12 shows the results from the chi-square (χ^2) test for the non-algebraic semi-analytical models (Models C, F, G, H, I, J, and K). Results indicate that the models with the lowest chi-square are Models I and F, followed by Model K then Models G, H and C. Model J has a higher chi-square when compared to the other models, indicating the agreement between R_{rs} in situ and model is lower for this Page 40

model. For the algorithms that use non-linear optimisation (Models C, F, G, H, 828 I and K) the chi-square results are influenced by both the convergence criteria of 829 the optimisation scheme and the degrees of freedom in the bio-optical model. A 830 more stringent convergence criterion can result in a lower chi-square, but only to 831 an extent that is constrained by the freedom of the model to reproduce observed 832 R_{rs} . The chi-square is also dependent upon the optimisation scheme itself (e.g. 833 Levenberg-Marquardt, Gradient descent, Nelder-Mead method, Quasi-Newton, 834 Trust region), each of which has its advantages and disadvantages (see Mu et al., 835 2011), how each approach minimises the χ^2 (minimising to the absolute values of 836 R_{rs} , relative values, or even logarithmically transformed values), and the number 837 of wavelengths used in the minimisation. 838

5.8. Overarching comparison of semi-analytical models

Figure 13 shows results for the quantitative intercomparison when combining 840 the points score for all variables for each semi-analytical model, then normalising 841 with respect to the mean score. This was conducted in four ways: (i) all points for 842 spectral IOPs $(a(\lambda), a_{dg}(\lambda), a_{ph}(\lambda), b_b(\lambda), \gamma, a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443)$ and S_{dg} , chloro-843 phyll (C) and $K_d(489)$; (ii) all points for all spectral IOPs and $K_d(489)$; (iii) 844 all points for all spectral IOPs; (iv) and all points for IOPs from wavelengths 845 411-555 nm. The later was conducted as some algorithms perform poorly at re-846 trieving some IOPs at 665 nm (e.g. Model A, B, D, and E) which could have 847 repercussions on the points score for other models (see discussion on this aspect 848 in Section 6.1.2). 849

⁸⁵⁰ When combining the scores of all these variables, regardless of approach (i-Page 41

iv above), it is evident that Models D to J have higher scores than Models A, B, 851 C and K. It is important to note that despite this, Models A, B, C and K do, in 852 some cases, have higher or comparable scores to Models D to J for particular 853 variables (Fig. 6). Regarding Models D to J, it is very difficult to objectively 854 rank their performance with respect to each other, considering overlapping error 855 bars. Models H and J have a higher points score than Model E in all cases 856 except when summing points for IOPs from wavelengths 411-555 nm. However, 857 in all cases Model E has a statistically similar score to Models D, F, G and I, as 858 indexed by overlapping error bars, and Models F and G have statistically similar 859 scores to Models H and I. Models D to J all have statistically similar scores 860 for IOPs from wavelengths 411-555 nm. Therefore, results from the objective 861 classification indicate that Models D to J perform similarly, when the ensemble 862 of variables are considered. However, as highlighted in Fig 6, the scores of these 863 models vary depending on product and wavelength. 864

865 **6. Discussion**

866 6.1. Methodological Uncertainties

867 6.1.1. Data

This paper focuses on the development of a methodology to classify and rank objectively the performance of a variety of in-water bio-optical algorithms. The classification has been applied to a selection of in-water algorithms and the NO-MAD *in situ* dataset. We have used the NOMAD dataset as, to our knowledge, it is the most extensive globally-representative dataset of co-located measurements

of in situ $R_{rs}(\lambda)$ and in-water variables (IOPs, C and $K_d(489)$). To implement 873 the classification requires a large database. Ideally an inter-comparison of this 874 nature should be performed using a database entirely independent of any data 875 used to parameterise the models. In the intercomparison carried out here, it has 876 been difficult to evaluate the impact of the NOMAD dataset on algorithm per-877 formance, because most algorithms are influenced to some degree by the dataset 878 (see Table 3). The limited availability of *in situ* observations on $R_{rs}(\lambda)$ and in-879 water variables, coupled with the need for a large database to implement our 880 objective classification has meant that some data used in the comparison are not 881 independent of those used to parameterise many of the models. This was partly 882 addressed using the bootstrap method which allowed for some investigation into 883 the performance of the algorithms in the context of the range of variability in 884 the dataset. However, the work highlights the need for an independent dataset to 885 be developed and used to evaluate algorithms further, to ascertain the extent to 886 which the results are influenced by this issue. 887

Whereas NOMAD is the most extensive global database of *in situ* $R_{rs}(\lambda)$ and 888 in-water variables (IOPs, C and $K_d(489)$), the distribution of measurements in 889 NOMAD is not equivalent to the distribution in the global ocean. Eutrophic wa-890 ters are over-represented in NOMAD and oligotrophic waters under-represented 891 (Werdell and Bailey, 2005). Ideally, when comparing global bio-optical algo-892 rithms, a dataset should be used that corresponds approximately to the distribu-893 tion of measurements in the global ocean, highlighting the need for continued 894 on-going in situ campaigns that focus on the areas of the ocean that are under-895

⁸⁹⁶ represented in *in situ* databases, such as the oligotrophic gyres.

In the objective classification, the *in situ* datum is essentially deemed to be 897 the truth, whereas, in reality in situ data also have associated errors. Measure-898 ment outliers were minimised using robust quality control procedures adopted 899 in NOMAD (Werdell and Bailey, 2005). However, quantifying these errors is 900 a very difficult task and some variables have a higher level of uncertainty than 901 others. For some of the statistical tests, the measurement errors were partly ac-902 counted for (e.g. Type-2 regression). Nonetheless, it is recommended that future 903 efforts include uncertainty indices for *in situ* observations. 904

In this study, *in situ* observations of R_{rs} were used as input to the models. It 905 can be assumed that errors in the *in situ* R_{rs} values are small in comparison to 906 satellite-derived R_{rs} . The performance of the algorithms tested may differ when 907 used with data containing higher levels of noise. The tolerance of the bio-optical 908 models to errors in R_{rs} will need to be evaluated further to reflect realistic satellite 909 measurement conditions. This could be done using simulated datasets (e.g. Lee 910 et al., 2010) or satellite and in situ match-ups (e.g. Mélin et al., 2005; Bailey 911 and Werdell, 2006; Maritorena et al., 2010). A global database of satellite and in 912 situ match-ups would also allow for a thorough investigation into the suitability 913 of coupling different in-water bio-optical models with atmospheric correction 914 models. For example, atmospheric-correction models that focus on estimating 915 the spectral-shape of R_{rs} accurately, with low bias, maybe better suited to band-916 ratio in-water models. Hu et al. (2012) found that band-difference chlorophyll 917 algorithms are less sensitive than band-ratio algorithms to various errors induced 918

⁹¹⁹ by instrument noise and imperfect atmospheric correction in low chlorophyll ⁹²⁰ waters. It is recommended that future efforts investigate potential synergistic ⁹²¹ benefits of combining different in-water and atmospheric correction models.

922 6.1.2. Objective classification

The objective classification developed here is a step toward a fully-automated 923 tool for the comparison and development of emerging bio-optical algorithms. 924 The strategy for algorithm selection has to be open to the possibility that better 925 algorithms will emerge in the future, requiring periodic re-evaluations of algo-926 rithms, adoptions of new algorithms and re-processing of data archives, as and 927 when necessary. The objective classification developed here can aid the quan-928 titative comparison between emerging and existing algorithms. However, the 920 classification itself may undergo refinement with use and with changing user 930 requirements. 931

There are issues with using the average performance of all models as a base-932 line from which to compare algorithm performance. If some algorithms perform 933 very poorly this can significantly influence the average performance of all mod-934 els, to the extent that it becomes difficult to differentiate between the higher per-935 forming models. This happened for a(665) and $a_{ph}(665)$ (see Fig. 6). Models 936 A, B, D, and E performed poorly, with high Ψ , Δ and δ in comparison with the 937 other models (Fig. 7 and 8) resulting in minimal points for Models A, B, D, and 938 E and maximum points for all other algorithms. Supplementary Fig. S1 shows 939 the a(665) results with and without the inclusion of Models A, B, D and E. When 940 these models are removed from the comparison, it becomes apparent that Model 941 Page 45

G, H and J have a higher point score than Model C. This issue is to some extent dependent on the number of algorithms being tested. For instance, if one algorithm performs poorly it will have a larger effect on the mean of all models when only a small number of algorithms are being compared.

It is also important to note that the objective classification was conducted on a variable-by-variable basis. For example, there is no reason why the scores of the individual absorptions (a_{ph} and a_{dg}) should be related to total absorption (a). In Fig 6, Model K has an average score for a(443) but low score for $a_{ph}(443)$ and $a_{dg}(443)$. The performance of Model K impacts the average performance of all models, such that Models G and H have a higher score for $a_{ph}(443)$ and $a_{dg}(443)$ than they do for a(443).

Another disadvantage of using the average performance of all models as a 953 baseline from which to compare algorithm performance, is that it gives an in-954 dication only as to the relative performance of each model with respect to the 955 others, and not in absolute terms. For instance, it is clear from the scatter plots 956 (Fig. 5) that $K_d(489)$ is retrieved better by all models than S_{dg} (Fig. 11), yet it is 957 not clear from the scores in the objective classification (Fig. 6). The univariate 958 statistical tests were chosen in the objective classification as they are commonly 950 used in comparisons between modelled and in situ data. However, varying the 960 number of statistical tests in the comparison is likely to influence results. Future 961 refinement of the classification may include incorporating additional statistics, 962 or refining the number of statistical tests used, or even weighing the score of the 963 statistics, should one statistic be deemed more important than others. 964

An additional uncertainty is the challenging issue of how to filter the in-965 fluence of spurious inversion results. Here, we used extreme upper and lower 966 boundaries for each variable to avoid the influence of spurious results on the 967 statistical tests, filtering results if they fall outside the boundaries. For some 968 optimisation models, inversion results are constrained by positive boundaries 969 which differ among approaches and with those used here to filter results. When 970 the boundaries are hit should we consider the results valid or invalid? One may 971 argue that such results are not valid as they are likely to change if the bound-972 aries assigned by the optimisation scheme change. Setting the boundaries to 973 the same values for all optimisation models, consistent with those used to filter 974 results from other models, could minimise some differences. However, these 975 boundaries are often chosen according to range of data used for parameterisa-976 tion, which vary among models. There appears to be some subjectivity in the 977 selection of a suitable criterion for filtering spurious inversion results, yet the de-978 cision may have a large influence on the results of the classification. For future 979 model comparisons, it is recommended that significant efforts be focused toward 980 the development of an objective filter for spurious inversion results. 981

The models tested here differ implicitly in their treatment of uncertainties in the measured R_{rs} values. Band-ratio algorithm assume negligible uncertainties in the blue to green ratios of R_{rs} . Optimisation methods, that neglect certain bands (e.g. Model C), are effectively assuming very large uncertainties in these neglected bands. These differences impose some unavoidable limits on the comparison. As progress is made in the quantification of uncertainty in R_{rs} (e.g.

Moore et al., 2009) treatment of uncertainties in the various models should become less diverse.

To account for methodological uncertainties in the classification, bootstrapping was introduced. This Monte-Carlo approach not only provides a simple method to check the stability of the results, but also offers a straightforward way to derive confidence estimates on the resulting classification (Efron, 1979; Efron and Tibshirani, 1993), which is useful when comparing model performance. However, bootstrapping can be computationally expensive and cannot offer insight beyond the range of data to which it is applied.

997 6.2. Implications for algorithm performance and development

⁹⁹⁸ What is clear from the results of the comparison is that the performance of ⁹⁹⁹ each model varied depending on the product and wavelength being tested. Based ¹⁰⁰⁰ on the results in Figures 4, 5, 6 and 12, Table 4 highlights the variables in which ¹⁰⁰¹ each semi-analytical model (A-L) performed well and less well in the classifica-¹⁰⁰² tion. This information may be of use to algorithm developers and to users who ¹⁰⁰³ are potentially interested in a specific property, as it highlights components in ¹⁰⁰⁴ these models that may require improvement.

Aside from the individual performance of the models, there are variables for which all models perform reasonably well or less well at retrieval. From the scatter plots (Fig. 4 to 11) in general, it is apparent that most models perform well at retrieving $K_d(489)$, a(411-555) and $a_{ph}(443)$. Some algorithms also retrieve b_b reasonably well. Decomposing a into a_{ph} and a_{dg} is a problem with some models. An increase in performance of a_{ph} often results in a reduction in performance of Page 48

 a_{dg} and vice-versa (e.g. see Fig. 6 Models A and B, and Models D and E). In 1011 general, all models struggle to retrieve $a_{dg}(\lambda)$, as seen in a higher dispersion in 1012 the $a_{dg}(\lambda)$ scatter plots (Fig. 9) compared with other variables, confirming other 1013 studies (e.g. Mélin et al., 2007). Many of the models also struggle at retrieving 1014 $a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443)$ and S_{dg} , since they assume fixed values for these variables 1015 despite clear variability in the *in situ* data (Fig. 11). As previously highlighted, 1016 some of these in situ variables may have a higher level of measurement error than 1017 others, which is also dependent on the signal-to-noise ratio of the measurement 1018 at the wavelength of interest. 1019

Algebraic approaches (Models A, B, D and E) struggle to retrieve reason-1020 able results for a and a_{ph} at 665 nm. These algebraic approaches derive the ab-102 sorption coefficients at a specific wavelength directly from measured R_{rs} at that 1022 wavelength. Typically, for most Case-1 global waters $R_{rs}(665)$ approaches zero, 1023 due to the dominating effect of water absorption at this wavelength. Therefore, 1024 direct retrievals of a_{ph} at 665 nm, when there is little a_{ph} signal, are particularly 1025 challenging using these algebraic approaches. This is further complicated by 1026 additional inelastic processes (e.g. Raman scattering) that become increasingly 1027 important at longer wavelengths. Alternatively, many of the optimisation ap-1028 proaches operate a minimisation with respect to the to absolute magnitude of R_{rs} . 1029 For most Case-1 global waters, where $R_{rs}(665)$ approaches zero, $R_{rs}(665)$ has 1030 lower weight in the optimisation than R_{rs} at shorter wavelengths, meaning that 1031 retrievals, such as $a_{ph}(665)$, are actually inferred primarily from R_{rs} at shorter 1032 wavelengths. Under phytoplankton bloom conditions or turbid waters, where 1033

there is a higher signal in $R_{rs}(665)$, it is a different story. Under such conditions, variables such as $a_{ph}(665)$ could be derived from the measured $R_{rs}(665)$ using the algebraic approaches (possibly by shifting the reference wavelength further into the red or near-infrared). It is also likely that optimisation approaches, that operate a minimisation with respect to the absolute magnitude of R_{rs} , will give more weight to $R_{rs}(665)$ when deriving $a_{ph}(665)$ in bloom conditions, despite not deriving $a_{ph}(665)$ directly from $R_{rs}(665)$.

In this comparison, models were tested against a suite of IOPs, $K_d(489)$ and 1041 chlorophyll. It is important to note that many of these models are not designed 1042 for retrieving all these variables. The algebraic QAA model is not intended to 1043 derive IOPs at wavelengths longer than the reference wavelength, and many of 1044 the optimisation algorithms are typically designed to retrieve IOPs at specific 1045 wavelengths assuming an underlying bio-optical model. The advantages and 1046 disadvantages of each approach are, to a certain degree, characteristic of model 1047 design, making built-in biases difficult to avoid in this comparison. Nonetheless, 1048 this comparison has demonstrated that all the algorithms compared have certain 1049 desirable features. Further algorithm improvements could be explored by com-1050 bining the best features of various algorithms. The NASA GIOP framework is an 1051 ideal platform for such algorithm development, offering users freedom to specify 1052 and compare various optimisation approaches and parameterisations. Alterna-1053 tively, algorithm improvements may also come from looking outside the current 1054 set of approaches (e.g. Morel and Gentili, 2009; Shanmugam, 2011). 1055

¹⁰⁵⁶ When using semi-analytical approaches to estimate IOPs, it is generally as-

sumed that there is a good closure between the Apparent Optical Properties 1057 (AOPs) (or quasi-Inherent Optical Properties, such as R_{rs}) and the IOPs them-1058 selves. Figure 14 shows a comparison between measured R_{rs} and modelled R_{rs} 1059 for 87 samples in NOMAD with corresponding R_{rs} , a and b_b at wavelengths 1060 from 411-555 nm. Modelled R_{rs} in Fig. 14 was reconstructed using in situ a 1061 and b_b and the approximation of Gordon et al. (1988). What is clear from Fig. 1062 14, is that for the 87 samples used there is an imperfect closure between R_{rs} and 1063 modelled R_{rs} reconstructed from the *in situ* IOPs. Interestingly, there appears 1064 to be better closure when reconstructing the shape of R_{rs} from the IOPs. The 1065 reasons for this lack of closure are likely related to (i) uncertainty or errors in the 1066 in situ measurements themselves (both IOP and R_{rs}) and (ii) errors in the model, 1067 both of which require further investigation and have implications for algorithm 1068 development. 1069

1070 6.3. Algorithm selection for climate studies

Figure 13 indicates that when combining results from all variables, semianalytical Models D through to J have higher scores than Models A, B, C and K. Depending on the combination of variables (Fig. 13), it is difficult to rank the performance of these algorithms, as many of the models have overlapping error bars. The selection of suitable algorithms for any project depends not only on the quantitative performance of these algorithms, but also their suitability for the applications envisaged and the user requirements.

Algorithm selection for climate-change studies should take into consideration also the development of future ocean-colour products. The detection of Page 51

phytoplankton functional types is an emerging area of research (Nair et al., 2008) 1080 particularly relevant in the context of a changing climate. The spectral shape of 1081 the phytoplankton absorption coefficient provides an indication of the commu-1082 nity structure of phytoplankton (Sathyendranath et al., 2001, 2004; Ciotti et al., 1083 2002). To estimate the particle size distribution from satellite data requires mea-1084 surements of the spectral slope of particle backscattering (Loisel et al., 2006; 1085 Kostadinov et al., 2009). The exponential slope of the CDOM coefficient can 1086 potentially provide information on the proportions of humic and fulvic acids, the 1087 semi-labile and refractory fractions, photo-degradation status, and the relative 1088 contribution of a_d to a_{dg} . Bio-optical algorithms that do not allow for variations 1089 in the spectral shape of these IOPs are unsuitable for development of such prod-1090 ucts (nor are they designed with such applications in mind). Accurate retrievals 1091 of the phytoplankton absorption coefficient at 670 nm have the potential to im-1092 prove chlorophyll estimates, considering that absorption at this wavelength is 1093 less affected by absorption from accessory pigments, and allow for estimates of 1094 the average size of the phytoplankton (Roy et al., 2010). Algorithms that fail to 1095 detect $a_{ph}(670)$ will be unsuitable for such purposes. Furthermore, algorithms 1096 that infer $a_{ph}(670)$ from other wavelengths, or from chlorophyll, are not provid-1097 ing the independent information required for such purposes. 1098

Algorithms for climate change studies need to be robust in a changing environment. For example, if the phytoplankton community structure changes, the alteration in community structure should not interfere with the performance of the algorithm at retrieving chlorophyll. Empirical relationships that tie one

property to the next need to be minimised in models, since correlations between 1103 elements of the ecosystem may not be stable in a changing climate. Empirical 1104 relationships are based on observations in the past, often pooling data from mul-1105 tiple years, which may not be a faithful guide to the future state of the ocean. 1106 If empirical relationships are unavoidable, on-going re-calibration is required 1107 to reduce ambiguity in interpretation of results. A theoretical underpinning of 1108 the empirical models should be established to ascertain sensitivity to possible 1109 climate-related scenarios. Algorithms should also be robust against potential 1110 modifications in relationships between optically-significant constituents, mean-1111 ing that retrievals of the different contributors to ocean colour should ideally 1112 be independent of each another. This would also facilitate seamless merging 1113 of Case-1 and Case-2 algorithms, considering both water-types are vulnerable 1114 to climate-related change. The different ocean-colour products have to be con-1115 sistent with each other, in the sense that they close the radiation budget with 1116 minimal error. For instance, the empirical nature of Model J was such that when 1117 combining the individual products the radiation budget was not closed with min-1118 imal error (Fig. 12). 1119

1120 **7. Summary**

An objective classification has been presented designed to rank the quantitative performance of a suite of bio-optical models based on a variety of univariate statistics. Eleven semi-analytical models, as well as five empirical chlorophyll algorithms and an empirical diffuse attenuation coefficient algorithm, were ranked for some 29 variables using the NASA NOMAD dataset. Uncertainty in the Page 53

ranking, and sensitivity of the objective classification to the test dataset, were 1126 addressed using a bootstrapping (Monte-Carlo) approach. Results from the clas-1127 sification suggest that algorithm performance varies depending on the product 1128 and wavelength of interest, and that empirical algorithms in general performed 1129 better in the classification than semi-analytical models at retrieving chlorophyll, 1130 either due to their immunity to scale errors or instrument noise in R_{rs} data, or sim-1131 ply that data used for model parameterisation were not independent of NOMAD. 1132 However, uncertainty in the classification suggest some semi-analytical algo-1133 rithms performed comparably to the empirical algorithms at retrieving chloro-1134 phyll. Methodological uncertainties in the approach were discussed, and indicate 1135 the need for an independent in situ dataset for testing models, the need for addi-1136 tional data in undersampled water types, particularly in oligotrophic waters, and 1137 error quantification of in situ data. In addition to testing the quantitative perfor-1138 mance, algorithm selection for climate change studies need also to consider the 1139 suitability of the algorithm for the purpose and the development of future ocean-1140 colour products. The objective classification developed here has the potential to 1141 be routinely implemented, for testing the performance of emerging ocean-colour 1142 algorithms and aiding their development. 1143

1144 8. Acknowledgments

NOMAD data were contributed by participants in the NASA SIMBIOS Program (NRA-96-MTPE-04 and NRA-99-OES-09) and by voluntary contributors.
A cruise name accompanies each data record. Cruise details, including contributors' names, are available online (http://seabass.gsfc.nasa.gov/seabasscgi/nomad.cgi)
Page 54

using the General Search and Cruise Search utilities to facilitate communication,
collaboration, and acknowledgement. NASA should be commended for the development of the NOMAD database and for on-going *in situ* activities. This
work is a contribution to the Ocean Colour Climate Change Initiative of the European Space Agency and was supported by the UK National Centre for Earth
Observation.

1155 **References**

- Aiken, J., Pradhan, Y., Barlow, R., Lavender, S., Poulton, A., Holligan, P.,
 Hardman-Mountford, N. J., 2009. Phytoplankton pigments and functional
 types in the Atlantic Ocean: A decadal assessment, 1995-2005. Deep Sea Research I 56 (15), 899–917.
- Bailey, S. W., Werdell, P. J., 2006. A multi-sensor approach for the on-orbit
 validation of ocean color satellite data products. Remote Sensing Environment
 102, 12–23.
- Brewin, R. J. W., Devred, E., Sathyendranath, S., Hardman-Mountford, N. J.,
 Lavender, S. J., 2011. Model of phytoplankton absorption based on three size
 classes. Applied Optics 50 (2), 4535–4549.
- Bricaud, A., Babin, M., Claustre, H., Ras, J., Tiéche, F., 2010. Light absorption properties and absorption budget of Southeast Pacific waters. Journal of
 Geophysical Research 115, C08009.
- Bricaud, A., Babin, M., Morel, A., Claustre, H., 1995. Variability in the chloro phyll specific absorption coefficients of natural phytoplankton: Analysis and
- parameterization. Journal of Geophysical Research 100, 13,321–13,332. Page 55

- Buiteveld, H., Hakvoort, J. H. M., Donze, M., 1994. The optical properties of
 pure water. In: Ocean Optics XII, Proc. SPIE, Vol. 2258, edited by: Jaffe, J.
 S., SPIE, Bellingham, Washington, 174-183.
- Ciotti, A. M., Lewis, M. R., Cullen, J. J., 2002. Assessment of the relationships between dominant cell size in natural phytoplankton communities and
 the spectral shape of the absorption coefficient. Limnology and Oceanography
 47 (2), 404–417.
- ¹¹⁷⁹ Cohen, J., Cohen, P., 1983. Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for
 the behavioral sciences. L. Erlbaum Associates.
- ¹¹⁸¹ Devred, E., Sathyendranath, S., Stuart, V., Platt, T., 2011. A three component ¹¹⁸² classification of phytoplankton absorption spectra: Applications to ocean-¹¹⁸³ colour data. Remote Sensing of Environment 115 (9), 2255–2266.
- Doerffer, R., Heymann, K., Schiller, H., 2002. Case 2 water algorithm for the
 medium resolution imaging spectrometer (MERIS) on ENVISAT. In: Proceedings of the ENVISAT validation workshop, 9-13 December 2002, ESA
 report.
- Doerffer, R., Schiller, H., 2000. Neural Network for retrieval of concentrations
 of water constituents with the possibility of detecting exceptional out of scope
 spectra. In: IEEE 2000 International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, Honolulu, Hawaii USA, p. 714-717.
- ¹¹⁹² Doerffer, R., Schiller, H., 2006. The MERIS Case 2 water algorithm. Interna-¹¹⁹³ tional Journal of Remote Sensing 28 (3-4), 517–535.
- 1194 Doney, S. C., Lima, I. D., Moore, J. K., Lindsay, K., Behrenfeld, M. J., West-

- ¹¹⁹⁵ berry, T. K., Mahowald, N., M., G. D., Takahashi, T., 2009. Skill metrics for
 ¹¹⁹⁶ confronting global upper ocean ecosystem-biogeochemistry models against
 ¹¹⁹⁷ field and remote sensing data. Journal of Marine Systems 76, 95–112.
- Efron, B., 1979. Bootstrap methods: another look at the jackknife. Annals of Statistics 7, 1–26.
- Efron, B., Tibshirani, R. J., 1993. An Introduction to the Bootstrap. Chapman and Hall, New York.
- ¹²⁰² Franz, B. A., Werdell, P. J., 2010. A Generalized Framework for Modeling of
- Inherent Optical Properties in Ocean Remote Sensing Applications. In: Ocean
 Optics XX, Anchorage, Alaska, 27th Sept.-1st Oct. 2010.
- Friedrichs, M. A. M., Carr, M.-E., Barber, R. T., Scardi, M., Antoine, D., Armstrong, R. A., Asanuma, I., Behrenfeld, M., Buitenhuis, E. T., Chai, F., Christian, J. R., Ciotti, A. M., Doney, S. C., Dowell, M., Dunne, J., Gentili, B.,
- Gregg, W. W., Hoepffner, N., Ishizaka, J., Kameda, T., Lima, I., Marra, J.,
- ¹²⁰⁹ Mélin, F., Moore, J. K., Morel, A., O'Malley, R. T. O., O'Reilly, J. E., Saba,
- V. S., Schmeltz, M., Smyth, T. J., Tjiputraw, J., Waters, K., Westberry, T. K.,
- ¹²¹¹ Winguth, A., 2009. Assessing the uncertainties of model estimates of primary
- productivity in the tropical Pacific Ocean. Journal of Marine Systems 76 (1-2),
 113–133.
- Garver, S. A., Siegel, D. A., 1997. Inherent optical property inversion of ocean color spectra and its biogeochemical interpretation: 1. Time series from the Sargasso Sea. Journal of Geophysical Research 102, 18,607–18,625.
- 1217 GCOS, 2011. Systematic observation requirements from satellite-based data

- products for climate. Tech. rep., World Meteorological Organisation (WMO),
- ¹²¹⁹ 7 bis, avenue de la Paix, CH-1211 Geneva 2, Switzerland.
- Glover, D. M., Jenkins, W. J., Doney, S. C., 2011. Modeling Methods for Marine
 Science. Cambridge University Press.
- Gordon, H. R., Brown, O. B., Evans, R. H., Brown, J., Smith, R. C., Baker, K. S.,
 Clark, S. K., 1988. A semianalytic radiance model of ocean color. Journal of
 Geophysical Research 93, 10,909–10,924.
- Gordon, H. R., Clark, D. K., Brown, J. W., Brown, O. B., Evans, R. H., Broenkow, W. W., 1983. Phytoplankton pigment concentrations in the Mid-
- dle Atlantic Bight: Comparison of ship determinations and CZCS estimates.
 Applied Optics 22, 20–36.
- Guo, L., Hunt, B., Santschi, P., 2001. Effect of dissolved organic matter on the uptake of trace metals by American oysters. Environmental Science and Technology 35 (5), 885–893.
- Hu, C., Lee, Z., Franz, B., 2012. Chlorophyll a algorithms for oligotrophic
 oceans: A novel approach based on three-band reflectance difference. Journal of Geophysical Research 117, C01011.
- Huot, Y., Morel, A., Twardowski, M. S., Stramski, D., Reynolds, R. A., 2008.
- Particle optical backscattering along a chlorophyll gradient in the upper layer
 of the eastern South Pacific Ocean. Biogeosciences 5, 495–507.
- 1238 IOCCG, 2000. Remote Sensing of Ocean Colour in Coastal, and Other Opti-
- cally Complex waters. Tech. rep., Sathyendranath, S. (e.d.), Reports of the
- ¹²⁴⁰ International Ocean-Colour Coordinating Group, No. 3, IOCCG, Dartmouth,

1241 Canada.

- IOCCG, 2006. Remote Sensing of Inherent Optical Properties: Fundamentals,
 Tests of Algorithms, and Applications. Tech. rep., Lee, Z. P. (e.d.), Reports
 of the International Ocean-Colour Coordinating Group, No. 5, IOCCG, Dartmouth, Canada.
- Kostadinov, T. S., Siegel, D. A., Maritorena, S., 2009. Retrieval of the particle
 size distribution from satellite ocean color observations. Journal of Geophysical Research 114, C09015.
- Lavender, S., Pinkerton, M. H., Morales, J. F., Aiken, J., Moore, G. F., 2004. Sea WiFS validation in European coastal waters using optical and bio-geochemical
 measurements. International Journal of Remote Sensing 25 (7-8), 1481–1488.
- Lee, Z., Arnone, R., Hu, C., Werdell, P., Lubac, B., 2010. Uncertainties of optical parameters and their propagations in an analytical ocean color inversion algorithm. Applied Optics 49 (3), 369–381.
- Lee, Z., Carder, K. L., Arnone, R. A., 2002. Deriving inherent optical properties from water color: a multiband quasi-analytical algorithm for optically deep waters. Applied Optics 41 (27), 5755–5772.
- Lee, Z., Carder, K. L., Mobley, C. D., Steward, R. G., Patch, J. S., 1998. Hyperspectral remote sensing for shallow waters. 1. A semianalytical model. Applied Optics 37 (27), 6329–6338.
- 1261 Lee, Z., Carder, K. L., Mobley, C. D., Steward, R. G., Patch, J. S., 1999. Hy-
- perspectral remote sensing for shallow waters. 2. Deriving bottom depths and
- water properties by optimization. Applied Optics 38, 3831–3843.

Lee, Z., Du, K., Arnone, R., 2005. A model for the diffuse attenuation coefficient 1264 of downwelling irradiance. Journal of Geophysical Research 110, C02016. 1265 Z., Lubac, B., Werdell, P. J., Arnone, R., 2009. An Up-Lee. 1266 date of the Quasi-Analytical Algorithm (QAA_v5). Tech. rep., In-1267 ternational Ocean Colour Coordinating Group (IOCCG) Online: 1268 http://www.ioccg.org/groups/software.html (assessed 10/02/2012). 1269 Loisel, H., Nicolas, J.-M., Sciandra, A., Stramski, D., A., P., 2006. Spectral 1270 dependency of optical backscattering by marine particles from satellite remote 1271 sensing of the global ocean. Journal of Geophysical Research 111, C09024. 1272 Maritorena, S., Fanton d'Andon, O. H., Mangin, A., Siegel, D. A., 2010. Merged 1273 satellite ocean color data products using a bio-optical model: Characteristics, 1274 benefits and issues. Remote Sensing Environment 114, 1791–1804. 1275 Maritorena, S., Siegel, D. A., Peterson, A. R., 2002. Optimization of a semi-1276 analytical ocean color model for global-scale applications. Applied Optics 1277 41 (15), 2705–2714. 1278 Mélin, F., Berthon, J.-F., Zibordi, G., 2005. Assessment of apparent and inher-1279 ent optical properties derived from SeaWiFS with field data. Remote Sensing 1280 Environment 97, 540-553. 1281

- Mélin, F., Zibordi, G., Berthon, J.-F., 2007. Assessment of satellite ocean color
 products at a coastal site. Remote Sensing Environment 110, 192–215.
- Moore, T. S., Campbell, J. W., Dowell, M. D., 2009. A class-based approach to
 characterizing and mapping the uncertainty of the MODIS ocean chlorophyll
 product. Remote Sensing Environment 113, 2424–2430.

- ¹²⁸⁷ Morel, A., 1974. Optical properties of pure water and pure seawater. In: Jerlov,
- N. G., Steemann Nielsen, E. (Eds.), Optical Aspects of Oceanogrpahy. Aca demic, San Diego, California, pp. 1–24.
- ¹²⁹⁰ Morel, A., 1980. In-water and remote measurements of ocean color. Boundary ¹²⁹¹ Layer Meteorology 18, 177–201.
- Morel, A., 2009. Are the empirical relationships describing the bio-optical properties of case 1 waters consistent and internally compatible? Journal of Geophysical Research 114, C01016.
- Morel, A., Antoine, D., 2011. MERIS Algorithm Theoretical Basis Documents
- (ATBD 2.9) Pigment Index Retrieval in CASE 1 Waters (PO-TN-MEL-GS-
- 1297 0005), Issue 4, July 2011. Tech. rep., Laboratoire d'Océanographie de Ville 1298 franche (LOV), MERIS ESL, ACRI-ST.
- Morel, A., Antoine, D., Gentili, B., 2002. Bidirectional reflectance of oceanic
 waters: accounting for Raman emission and varying particle scattering phase
 function. Applied Optics 41, 6289–6306.
- Morel, A., Gentili, B., 2009. A simple band ratio technique to quantify the col ored dissolved and detrital organic material from ocean color remotely sensed
 data. Remote Sensing Environment 113, 998–1011.
- Morel, A., Huot, Y., Gentili, B., Werdell, P. J., Hooker, S. B., Franz, B. A.,
 2007. Examining the consistency of products derived from various ocean color
 sensors in open ocean (case 1) waters in the perspective of a multi-sensor
 approach. Remote Sensing of Environment 111, 69–88.
- ¹³⁰⁹ Morel, A., Maritorena, S., 2001. Bio-optical properties of oceanic waters: A

- reappraisal. Journal of Geophysical Research 106 (C4), 7163–7180.
- Morel, A., Prieur, L., 1977. Analysis of variations in ocean color. Limnology and
 Oceanography 22, 709–722.
- ¹³¹³ Mu, X., Shen, Q and, L. Z.-L., Yan, G., & Sobrino, J. A., 2011. A compari-¹³¹⁴ son of different optimization algorithms for retrieving aerosol optical depths ¹³¹⁵ from satellite data: an example of using a dual-angle algorithm. International ¹³¹⁶ Journal of Remote Sensing 32 (24), 8949–8968.
- ¹³¹⁷ Müller, D., Krasemann, H., Brewin, R. J. W., Brockmann, C., Deschamps, P.-
- Y., Doerffer, R., Fomferra, N., Franz, B. A., Grant, M. G., Groom, S., Mélin,
- F., Platt, T., Regner, P., Sathyendranath, S., Steinmetz, F., Swinton, J., Submitted. The Ocean Colour Climate Chnage Initiative: I. A methodology for assessing atmospheric correction processors based on in-situ measurements.
- Remote Sensing Environment.
- Nair, A., Sathyendranath, S., Platt, T., Morales, J., Stuart, V., Forget, M.-H.,
 Devred, E., Bouman, H., 2008. Remote sensing of phytoplankton functional
 types. Remote Sensing of Environment 112 (8), 3366–3375.
- NASA, June 2009. Diffuse attenuation coefficient (KD) for downwelling irradi ance at 490-nm.
- URL http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/REPROCESSING/R2009/kdv4/
 NASA, March 2010. Ocean Color Chlorophyll (OC) v6.
- URL http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/REPROCESSING/R2009/ocv6/
 Nelson, N. B., Siegel, D. A., 2013. The Global Distribution and Dynamics of
- ¹³³² Chromophoric Dissolved Organic Matter. Annual Review of Marine Science

1333 5, 20.1–20.3.

- O'Reilly, J. E., Maritorena, S., Mitchell, B. G., Siegel, D. A., Carder, K. L.,
 Garver, S. A., Kahru, M., McClain, C., 1998. Ocean chlorophyll algorithms
 for SeaWiFS. Journal of Geophysical Research 103 (C11), 24,937–24,953.
- O'Reilly, J. E., Maritorena, S., Siegel, D.and O'Brien, M. C., Toole,
 D.and Mitchell, B. G., Kahru, M., Chavez, F. P., Strutton, P., Cota, G., Hooker,
 S. B., McClain, C. R., Carder, K. L., Muller-Karger, F., Harding, L., Magnu-
- son, A., Phinney, D., Moore, G. F., Aiken, J., Arrigo, K. R., Letelier, R., Cul-
- ver, M., 2000. Ocean color chlorophyll a algorithms for SeaWiFs, OC2, and
- OC4:. Tech. rep., In: Hooker, S. B., Firestone, E. R. (Eds.), SeaWiFS Post-
- launch Technical Report Series. Vol. 11. SeaWiFS Postlaunch Calibration and
- Validation Analyses, Part 3. NASA, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt,
 Maryland. 9-23.
- Pope, R., Fry, E., 1997. Absorption spectrum (380-700 nm) of pure water. II.
 Integrating cavity measurements. Applied Optics 36 (33), 8710–8723.
- Roy, S., Sathyendranath, S., Platt, T., 2010. Retrieval of phytoplankton size from
 bio-optical measurements: theory and applications. Journal of the Royal Society Interface 8 (58), 650–660.
- Santschi, P., Lenhart, J., Honeyman, B., 1997. Heterogeneous processes affecting
 trace contaminant distribution in estuaries: the role of natural organic matter.
 Marine Chemistry 58 (1-2), 99–125.
- Sathyendranath, S., Platt, T., 1997. Analytic model of ocean color. Applied Optics 36, 2620–2629.

1356	Sathyendranath, S., Stuart, V., Cota, G., Maas, H., Platt, T., 2001. Remote sens-
1357	ing of phytoplankton pigments: a comparison of empirical and theoretical
1358	approaches. International Journal of Remote Sensing 22, 249–273.
1359	Sathyendranath, S., Watts, L., Devred, E., Platt, T., Caverhill, C., Maass, H.,
1360	2004. Discrimination of diatoms from other phytoplankton using ocean-colour
1361	data. Marine Ecological Progress Series 272, 59-68.
1362	Shanmugam, P., 2011. New models for retrieving and partitioning the colored
1363	dissolved organic matter in the global ocean: Implications for remote sensing.
1364	Remote Sensing Environment 115, 1501–1521.
1365	Smyth, T. J., Moore, G. F., Hirata, T., Aiken, J., 2006. Semianalytical model for
1366	the derivation of ocean color inherent optical properties: description, imple-
1367	mentation, and performance assessment. Applied Optics 45 (31), 8116-8131.
1368	Werdell, P. J., 2005. An evaluation of inherent optical property data
1369	for inclusion in the NASA bio-Optical Marine Algorithm Data
1370	set," NASA Ocean Biology Processing Group Science Systems and
1371	Applications, Inc. Document Version 1.1, corresponding to NO-
1372	MAD Version 1.3 19th September 2005. Tech. rep., NASA: Online
1373	http://seabass.gsfc.nasa.gov/seabass/data/werdell_nomad_iop_qc.pdf (2005).
1374	Werdell, P. J., 2009. Global bio-optical algorithms for ocean color satellite ap-
1375	plications. Eos Trans. AGU 90 (1), 4.

- ¹³⁷⁶ Werdell, P. J., Bailey, S. W., 2005. An improved in-situ bio-optical data set for
- ¹³⁷⁷ ocean colour algorithm development and satellite data production validation.
- ¹³⁷⁸ Remote Sensing Environment 98, 122–140.

- ¹³⁷⁹ Werdell, P. J., Franz, B. A., Bailey, S. W., Feldman, G. C., Boss, E., Brando,
- ¹³⁸⁰ V. E., Dowell, M., Hirata, T., Lavender, S. J., Lee, Z., Loisel, H., Maritorena,
- 1381 S., Mélin, F., Moore, T. S., Smyth, T. J., Antoine, D., Devred, E., d'Andon, O.
- H. F., Mangin, A., 2013. Generalized ocean color inversion model for retriev-
- ing marine inherent optical properties. Applied Optics 52, 2019–2037.
- ¹³⁸⁴ WET-Labs, 2012. An introduction to in-situ absorption and attenuation meters.
- 1385 URL http://www.wetlabs.com/technicalnotes/technoteindex.htm
- ¹³⁸⁶ Zhang, X., Hu, L., He, M.-X., 2009. Scattering by pure seawater: Effect of
- salinity. Optics Express 17, 5698–5710.

Abbreviation	Variable	Usage	Unit
$L_w(\lambda)$	Spectral water-leaving radiance	Input	$uW cm^{-2} mm^{-1} sr^{-1}$
$E_s(\lambda)$	Spectral surface irradiance	Input	$uW cm^{-2} nm^{-1} sr^{-1}$
$R_{rs}(\lambda)$	Remote sensing reflectance $(L_w(\lambda)/E_s(\lambda))$	Input	sr^{-1}
θ	Solar sun-zenith angle	Input [#]	Degrees
С	HPLC chlorophyll-a concentration	Output	${ m mg}~{ m m}^{-3}$
$K_d(489)$	Diffuse downwelling irradiance coefficient at 489 nm	Output	m ⁻¹
$a(\lambda)$	Total absorption coefficient	Output	m ⁻¹
$a_{ph}(\lambda)$	Phytoplankton absorption coefficient	Output	m ⁻¹
$a_{dg}(\lambda)$	Dissolved (gelbstoff) and detrital (non-algal) absorption coefficient	Output	m ⁻¹
S_{dg}	Exponential slope of a_{dg} with wavelength ^{\$}	Output	nm ⁻¹
$a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443)$	Index of spectral shape in a_{ph}	Output	Dimensionless
$p_b(\lambda)$	Total backscattering coefficient	Output	m ⁻¹
γ	Power slope of b_b with wavelength $^{\&}$	Output	Dimensionless
^{\$} Computed from fit & Computed from fi	ting an exponential function to <i>in situ data</i> and model. tting a power function to <i>in situ</i> data and model.		

Table 1: Variables tested in the in-water comparison.

* Solar sun-zenith angle was used as input to some of the semi-analytical models and for estimating K_d (489) (see Eq. (6)). λ = wavelength.

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	lel	K _d (489)	U	<i>a</i> (<i>X</i>)	$a_{ph}(\lambda)$	Output v $a_{dg}(\lambda)$	ariable $b_b(\lambda)$	~	S_{dg}	$a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443)$	Reference
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		*×	\$×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	Smyth et al. (2006)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		* ×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	Smyth et al. (2006)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		*×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	Devred et al. (2011)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		*	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	Lee et al. (2002)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		*	× ×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	Lee et al. (2009)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		*×	\$×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	Lee et al. (1998, 1999)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		*×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	Maritorena et al. (2002)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		*×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	Maritorena et al. (2002)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		*×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	Werdell et al. (2013)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		*×		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	see#
× 0'Reilly et al. (2000) × 0'Reilly et al. (2000) × 0'Reilly et al. (2000) × × × 0'Reilly et al. (2007) Hu et al. (2017) Hu et al. (2012) NASA (2009)	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		*×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	Doerffer and Schiller (2000)
× 0'Reilly et al. (2000) × 0'Reilly et al. (2000) × × Morel et al. (2007) Hu et al. (2012) NASA (2009)	$ \begin{array}{cccc} \times & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			×								O'Reilly et al. (2000)
× 0'Reilly et al. (2000) × Morel et al. (2007) × Hu et al. (2012) NASA (2009)	$ \begin{array}{cccc} \times & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			×								O'Reilly et al. (2000)
× Morel et al. (2007) × Hu et al. (2012) NASA (2009)	$ \begin{array}{c cccc} \times & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			×								O'Reilly et al. (2000)
× Hu et al. (2012) × NASA (2009)	× Hu et al. (2012) × NASA (2009) uted following Eq. (6) with θ , $a(489)$ and $b_b(489)$ as input from the model. uted following Eq. (1) with $a_{ph}(443)$ as input from the model. model represents a Case-1 approach that uses Model L as input. The model computes IOPs as a function of C			×								Morel et al. (2007)
× NASA (2009)	× uted following Eq. (6) with θ , $a(489)$ and $b_b(489)$ as input from the model. uted following Eq. (1) with $a_{ph}(443)$ as input from the model. model represents a Case-1 approach that uses Model L as input. The model computes IOPs as a function of C			×								Hu et al. (2012)
	uted following Eq. (6) with θ , $a(489)$ and $b_b(489)$ as input from the model. uted following Eq. (1) with $a_{ph}(443)$ as input from the model. model represents a Case-1 approach that uses Model L as input. The model computes IOPs as a function of C		×									NASA (2009)

Table 2: Model output variables.

Model	Approach	Method	Input R_{rs} wavelengths [#]	NOMAD Independence ^{\$}
А	Semi-analytical	Algebraic	411, 443, 489, 510, 555, 665	1
В	Semi-analytical	Algebraic	411, 443, 489, 510, 555, 665	2
С	Semi-analytical	Optimisation	443, 489, 510, 555	2
D	Semi-analytical	Algebraic	411, 443, 489, 510, 555, 665	1
Е	Semi-analytical	Algebraic	411, 443, 489, 510, 555, 665	2
F	Semi-analytical	Optimisation	411, 443, 489, 510, 555, 665	1
G	Semi-analytical	Optimisation	411, 443, 489, 510, 555, 665	1
Н	Semi-analytical	Optimisation	411, 443, 489, 510, 555, 665	1
Ι	Semi-analytical	Optimisation	411, 443, 489, 510, 555, 665	1
J	Semi-analytical	Band-ratio	443, 489, 510, 555	2
К	Semi-analytical	Optimisation	411, 443, 489, 510, 555, 665	1
L	Empirical	Band-ratio	443, 489, 510, 555	3
Μ	Empirical	Band-ratio	443, 489, 555	3
Ν	Empirical	Band-ratio	489, 555	3
0	Empirical	Band-ratio	443, 489, 510, 555	1
Р	Empirical	Band-ratio / CI*	443, 489, 510, 555, 665	3
Q	Empirical	Band-ratio	489, 555	3

Table 3: Summary of models used in the comparison.

[#] Wavelengths used that are available in the comparison.
^{\$} Qualitative assessment of algorithm independence to NOMAD: 1 = NOMAD dataset has a small influence on model parameterisation; 2 = NOMAD dataset has some influence on model parameterisation; 3 = NOMAD dataset has a large influence on model parameterisation.

* CI refers to a colour index defined as the difference between R_{rs} in the green region of the visible spectrum and a reference formed linearly between R_{rs} in the blue and red region of the visible spectrum.

Model	Higher performance	Lower performance
A	$a_{ph}(411-510), b_b(411), C$	$a(\lambda), a_{ph}(555-665), a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443), b_b(510-665), \gamma, K_d(489)$
в	$a_{ph}(411-443), a_{dg}(665)$	$a(489-665), a_{ph}(555-665), a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443), K_d(489)$
C	$a_{ph}(510-555), a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443), S_{dg}$	$a(411-443), a_{dg}(\lambda), b_b(\lambda), C$
D	$a(411-555), a_{dg}(\lambda), b_b(\lambda), S_{dg}, \gamma, K_d(489)$	$a(665), a_{ph}(510-665)$
Е	$a(411-555), a_{ph}(411-443), \gamma, K_d(489)$	$a(665), a_{ph}(510-665)$
Ч	$a(\lambda), a_{dg}(\lambda), S_{dg}, a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443), \chi^2$	$a_{ph}(411), b_b(665)$
IJ	$a(665), a_{ph}(510-665), b_b(\lambda), C$	a(443-489)
Η	$a(510-665), a_{ph}(510-665), b_b(\lambda), C$	a(443)
I	$a(665), a_{ph}(\lambda), a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443), C, \chi^{2}$	$a_{dg}(555-665)$
J	$a(665), a_{ph}(\lambda), a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443), S_{dg}$	χ^2
K	$a(665), a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443)$	$a(489-510), a_{ph}(\lambda), a_{dg}(\lambda), b_b(411-510), S_{dg}, \gamma, C$

Table 4: Performance of semi-analytical models in the objective classification.



Figure 1: NOMAD in situ data used in the study (N = number of samples).

Page 70



Figure 2: An example of the points classification for a number of models tested in the chlorophyll comparison using the Root Mean Square Error (Ψ). Red solid line represents the mean Ψ for all models and dashed red lines represent the mean $\Psi \pm$ mean 95% confidence intervals. The Ψ of each model is shown by the filled black circle and the black lines represent the Ψ of each model \pm 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 3: Flow chart illustrating the methodology of the scoring system.


Figure 4: Results from the chlorophyll (C) model comparison.



Figure 5: Results from the diffuse attenuation coefficient at 489 nm (K_d (489)) model comparison.



Figure 6: Results of the semi-analytical models at retrieving Inherent OpticalProperties (IOP) according to the points classification.Page 75







Page 77





Page 78







Figure 11: Scatter plots of the comparsion between model and in situ $a_{ph}(555)/a_{ph}(443)$, γ and S_{dg} .



Figure 12: Results from the chi-square test.



Figure 13: Results for semi-analytical models when summing all points in the classification.



Figure 14: A comparison between measured R_{rs} and modelled R_{rs} at wavelengths from 411-555 nm for 87 samples in NOMAD with corresponding R_{rs} , a and b_b . Modelled R_{rs} has been reconstructucted using in situ a and b_b and the approximation of Gordon et al. (1988).

Page 83