

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Open Access



Description, systematics and ecology of a new tanaidacean (Crustacea, Peracarida) species from mediterranean fish farms

P. Esquete^{1*}  and V. Fernandez-Gonzalez²

Abstract

An undescribed species of tanaidacean belonging to the genus *Hexapleomera*, tribe Pancolini, *Hexapleomera builtidactyla* sp. nov. was found in fouling community samples from off-coast fish farms cages in the western Mediterranean Sea. The species can be distinguished from other *Hexapleomera* species by the presence of a ventral apophysis on the dactylus of the chela in males. Other diagnostic characters (in combination) include a male antennule with five aesthetascs, the female with three, the maxillule palp with four terminal setae and maxilliped basis and coxa each with two setae; the male fixed finger with four ventral setae and proximal apophysis, the female chela fixed finger with a proximal triangular apophysis, an apophysis on the coxa of pereopod 1, a pleopod 3 basis with three outer setae, and an uropod of four segments. Although several substrata were investigated, the species was most abundant where the turf formed by Ceramiaceae algae and the hydroid *Aglaophenia* sp. was dominant. An updated identification key to all the species of *Hexapleomera* is provided.

Keywords: *Hexapleomera*, Mediterranean, Aquaculture facilities, Fouling, Fecundity

Background

Tanaidaceans (Order Tanaidacea Dana, 1846) are a group of peracarid crustaceans that are frequently found in very high densities, accounting for a significant proportion of the benthic community. They are considered to have a sedentary lifestyle, with limited displacement capacity and no obligate dispersive phase in their life history, which leads to allopatric speciation, niche specificity and high frequency of endemic species [1].

The genus *Hexapleomera* Dudich, 1931 was described in order to accommodate the species *Hexapleomera robusta* (Moore, 1984) [2], previously included in the genus *Tanais* Latreille, 1831. The validity of the genus was subject of discussion, until Sieg [3] delimited the generic characters. *Hexapleomera* was long considered monotypic, and the type species *H. robusta* cosmopolitan [2]. Bamber [4] revised material from various collections

recognizing five species that are geographically well differentiated, with the exception of the type species that is found in the Mediterranean, Northwest Atlantic, “possibly the Caribbean”, and Atlantic Brazil [4]. In the same paper, Bamber included a comparison of the most relevant morphological characters for all the species of the genus (*opus cit.*, Table 1), and considered that the redescription and illustrations of *H. robusta* by Sieg [3] as valid for the species. We agree with his conclusions, hence, will refer to the redescription when *H. robusta* is mentioned throughout the present paper.

Species of *Hexapleomera* have been found on a variety of substrates including (but not limited to) sea turtles, manatees, and also yacht hull fouling [4 and references therein]. There are two valid species cited for the Mediterranean Sea: *Hexapleomera statella* Bamber, 2012, found living amongst algae, polychaetes and sand, and *H. robusta*, recovered from the carapace of sea turtles (see [4]); *Hexapleomera crassa* (Riggio, 1975) *nomen nudum* was collected in Sicily and Naples.

During a study of the epifauna associated with fish farm fouling carried out from May to October 2010,

*Correspondence: pesquete@ua.pt

¹ Departamento de Biologia and CESAM, Universidade de Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Table 1 Quantitative samples in fish farms off Alicante (Spain) where species of *Hexapleomera* were present

Sample	Coordinates		Substrate (dominant species)	<i>H. bultidactyla</i>					<i>H. robusta</i>
	W	N		Prep. fem.	Cop. fem.	Males	Juv.	Total	
CTa1VII	0°36.06'	38°5.32'	Ceramiaceae	182	13	19	76	290	
CTa2VII	0°36.06'	38°5.32'	Ceramiaceae	126	9	12	27	174	
CTh1VII	0°36.06'	38°5.32'	<i>P. disticha</i>	11	1		1	13	
CTh2VII	0°36.06'	38°5.32'	<i>P. disticha</i>	22	2			24	Present ^a
CTh3VII	0°36.06'	38°5.32'	<i>P. disticha</i>	16	3	2		21	
CTm1VII	0°36.06'	38°5.32'	<i>M. galloprovincialis</i>	1				1	
CTm2VII	0°36.06'	38°5.32'	<i>M. galloprovincialis</i>	7	3	1		11	
CTm3VII	0°36.06'	38°5.32'	<i>M. galloprovincialis</i>	10	7	3	1	21	
CMa1VII	0°36.02	38°5.24'	Ceramiaceae	3		3		6	
CMa2VII	0°36.02	38°5.24'	Ceramiaceae	110	27	32	47	216	
CMa3VII	0°36.02	38°5.24'	Ceramiaceae	0				0	Present ^a
CMh2VII	0°36.02	38°5.24'	<i>P. disticha</i>	1				1	
CMh3VII	0°36.02	38°5.24'	<i>P. disticha</i>	1				1	
CMm2VII	0°36.02	38°5.24'	<i>M. galloprovincialis</i>		1			1	
CMm3VII	0°36.02	38°5.24'	<i>M. galloprovincialis</i>	5				5	
Total				495	66	72	152	785	

Prep. fem. preparatory females, cop. females copulatory females, juv. juveniles

^a Unpublished data

specimens belonging to an undescribed species of *Hexapleomera* were collected at several localities of the Mediterranean Sea. In this paper, the species is described and abundance, population structure and fecundity data are provided.


Methods

The above-mentioned study aimed to determine population densities of peracarids associated to the three main macrohabitats found on aquaculture fouling: mussels, hydroids and algae. For this, two fish farms, located off the coast of Guardamar del Segura (Alicante, SE Spain: 38°5'45.88"N; 0°36'15.84"W), were sampled quantitatively in July 2010 (see Fig. 1; Table 1). Each farm consisted of 18 rings with a diameter of 19 m or 25 m and cage nets reaching depths from 12 to 15 m. The cage structures are located 3–4 km off-coast and grow European sea bass *Dicentrarchus labrax* (Linnaeus, 1758) and gilthead sea bream *Sparus aurata* Linnaeus, 1758. Fouling communities at the two studied fish farms were sampled by scraping fouling organisms from mooring ropes, between 3 and 12 m depth. From each farm, nine replicates of 20 cm in length per rope were cleared using an air-lift device to ensure a quantitative method in high hydrodynamic conditions. The diameter of each rope was recorded to calculate an accurate sampled surface. The samples were sieved through a 250 µm mesh with seawater in order to retain small peracarid specimens and

juvenile organisms and subsequently preserved in 4 % formalin seawater solution. In the laboratory, all macrofaunal specimens were sorted and preserved in 70 % ethanol and all sessile organisms were identified, if possible to species level, and dried at 105 °C during 24 h.

During the identification work, the undescribed species of tanaid belonging to the genus *Hexapleomera* described below was found. Morphological terminology follows that of Bamber and Shearer [7]; serially repetitive body parts, such as the subdivisions of the antennal flagella and those of the uropodal rami are segments, while those with independent musculature, such as the parts of the pereopods are articles. Measurements were made axially, dorsally on the body and antennae, and laterally on other appendages. Type-material is lodged at the Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, Madrid (MNCN). Preparatory females are those with oostegites. Copulatory females are those with complete marsupia. Individuals with no secondary sexual characters differentiated are considered juveniles.

Specimens of the *Hexapleomera* were sorted according to sex and life-history stage: males, females, brooding females (including eggs per female) and juveniles, and then counted for calculation of population densities. Fecundity was defined as number of embryos or mancae per copulatory female (excluding those with damaged marsupia because part of the offspring were potentially lost), and calculated from the sum of all eggs in the



marsupium of each female and then the division by the number of copulatory females [5, 6]. Females with only one marsupium were included for consistency. Densities were standardized to the total amount of habitat per sample, calculated as the dry weight of all sessile organisms and presented as individuals m^{-2} and individuals $100 g^{-1}$ dry weight.

Additionally, samples of a previous qualitative study of epifauna associated to aquaculture facilities in the Mediterranean Sea were examined in order to determine the presence/absence of the new species along the Mediterranean coast. The mentioned study included a total of fourteen aquaculture facilities located off the coasts of Spain (9), Italy (1), Croatia (2) and Malta (2) (see Fig. 1; Table 2). Eight of these fish farms were dedicated to *S. aurata* and *D. labrax* farming (1 Málaga, Spain; 1 Almería, Spain, 1 Murcia, Spain, 2 Alicante, Spain, 2 Tarragona, Spain and 1 Follonica, Italy); four fish farms stocked with young Atlantic bluefin tuna *Thunnus thynnus* (Linnaeus, 1758) from the wild (Qawra, Malta; Il-Hofriet, Malta; Brac, Croatia and Ugljan, Croatia); one was a shellfish longline stocked with *Ostrea edulis* Linnaeus, 1758 (Alicante, Spain); and one was an inactive fish farm, which retains all the structures except the net-pens and had not been

used for production for the previous two years (Alicante, Spain).

Results

Systematics

Order Tanaidacea Dana, 1849

Suborder Tanaidomorpha Sieg 1980

Superfamily Tanaidoidea Nobili, 1906

Family Tanaididae Nobili, 1906

Subfamily Pancolinae Sieg, 1980

Tribe Pancolini Sieg, 1980

Genus *Hexapleomera* Dudich, 1931

Hexapleomera bultidactyla sp. nov. (Figures 2, 3, 4, 5)

Material examined. Holotype: ovigerous female MNCN 20.04/10595, 3.0 mm length, St. CMA2VII, 38°5.24'N 0°36.02'W, off Alicante, Ceramiaceae, 3–12 m depth, 14 July 2010. Male Allotype: MNCN 20.04/10596, 3.2 mm length, St. CMA2VII, 38°5.24'N 0°36.02'W, off Alicante, Ceramiaceae, 3–12 m depth, 14 July 2010. Other paratypes: Ovigerous female MNCN 20.04/10597, female MNCN 20.04/10598, male MNCN 20.04/10599, juvenile MNCN 20.04/10600, St. CMA2VII, 38°5.24'N 0°36.02'W, off Alicante, Ceramiaceae, 3–12 m depth, 10 May 2010. All coll. V.

Table 2 Qualitative samples in fish farms in the Mediterranean where species of *Hexapleomera* were present

Country	Location	Sample	Coordinates		Substrate (dominant species)	<i>H. bultidactyla</i>	<i>H. robusta</i>
			Lon.	Lat.			
Spain	Guardamar del Segura	MT	0°36.02'W	38°6.01'N	<i>Gelidium crinale</i>	71	
Spain	Santa Pola	OST	0°32.12'W	38°9.03'N	Ceramiaceae	87	Present ^a
Spain	Málaga	MMCS-2	4°21.51' W	36°42.32'N	<i>M. galloprovincialis</i>	16	
Spain	Almería	AMBS-1	2°32.27' W	36°48.85'N	<i>M. galloprovincialis</i>	12	
Spain	Tarragona	CR1	0°35.28' E	40°31.86'N	<i>Obelia</i> sp.	27	
Malta	Qawra	MaltA	14°41.5'E	35°97.1'N	–	29	
Malta	il-Hofriet	MaltB	14°56.4'E	35°83.98'N	–	10	
Croatia	Brac	Brac2	16°27.60'E	43°17.73'N	<i>Cladophora</i> sp.	0	Present ^a

Lon. longitude, Lat. latitude

^a Unpublished data

Fernandez-Gonzalez, D. Izquierdo-Gomez and P. Sanchez-Jerez.

Other material examined: 195 females, 19 males, 76 juveniles, St. CTa1VII, 38°5.32'N 0°36.06'W, off Alicante, Ceramiaceae, 3–12 m depth, 13 July 2010. 135 females, 12 males, 27 juveniles, St. CTa2VII, 38°5.32'N 0°36.06'W, off Alicante, Ceramiaceae, 3–12 m depth, 22 July 2010; 12 females, 1 juvenile, St. CTh1VII, 38°5.32'N 0°36.06'W, off Alicante, *Pennaria disticha*, 3–12 m depth, 22 July 2010. 24 females, St. CTh2VII, 38°5.32'N 0°36.06'W, off Alicante, *P. disticha*, 3–12 m depth, 22 July 2010. 19 males, 2 females, St. CTh3VII, 38°5.32'N 0°36.06'W, off Alicante, *P. disticha*, 3–12 m depth, 22 July 2010. 1 female, St. CTm1VII, 38°5.32'N 0°36.06'W, off Alicante, *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, 3–12 m depth, 22 July 2010. 10 females, 1 male, St. CTm2VII, 38°5.32'N 0°36.06'W, off Alicante, *M. galloprovincialis*, 3–12 m depth, 22 July 2010. 17 females, 3 males, 1 juvenile, St. CTm3VII, 38°5.32'N 0°36.06'W, off Alicante, *M. galloprovincialis*, 3–12 m depth, 22 July 2010. 3 females, 3 males, St. CMa1VII, 38°5.32'N 0°36.06'W, off Alicante, Ceramiaceae, 3–12 m depth, 14 July 2010. 136 females, 31 males, 46 juveniles, St. CMa2VII, 38°5.32'N 0°36.06'W, off Alicante, Ceramiaceae, 3–12 m depth, 14 July 2010. 1 female, St. CMh2VII, 38°5.32'N 0°36.06'W, off Alicante, *P. disticha*, 3–12 m depth, 14 July 2010. 1 female, St. CMh3VII, 38°5.32'N 0°36.06'W, off Alicante, *P. disticha*, 3–12 m depth, 14 July 2010. 1 female, St. CMm2VII, 38°5.32'N 0°36.06'W, off Alicante, *M. galloprovincialis*, 3–12 m depth, 14 July 2010. 5 females, St. CMm3VII, 38°5.32'N 0°36.06'W, off Alicante, *M. galloprovincialis*, 3–12 m depth, 15 July 2010.

Total lengths: Preparatory females 0.9–3.7 mm. Copulatory females: 1.9–3.2 mm. Males: 2.0–3.0 mm. Juveniles: 0.5–1.1 mm.

Diagnosis (adults)

Male antennule with five aesthetascs, female with three; maxillule palp with four terminal setae; maxilliped basis and coxa each with two setae; male chela dactylus with spinules and proximal apophysis, fixed finger with four setae and proximal apophysis; female chela fixed finger with proximal triangular apophysis; coxa 1 apophysis present; pleopod 3 basis with three outer setae and no inner seta; pleopods 1–3 endopod with one inner seta; uropod of 4 segments.

Description of ovigerous female

Body (Fig. 2a, b) 3.6 times as long as broad. *Cephalothorax* subtriangular, as long as broad, eyes with visual elements present, lateral margins with one seta each, and one seta behind each eyelobe. *Pereon* Pereonite 1 0.2 times as long as broad, bearing one pair of dorsal and one pair of lateral setae on frontal margin, and one pair of setae on posterolateral margin. Pereonite 2 2.3 times as long as broad, 1.6 times as long as pereonite 1, bearing one pair of dorsal setae and one pair of lateral setae. Pereonite 3 and 4 0.6 times as long as broad, 1.4 times as long as pereonite 2, bearing one pair of dorsal and two pairs of lateral setae. Pereonite 5 as pereonite 3–4, but with three pairs of lateral setae. Pereonite 6 0.4 times as long as broad, 0.6 times as long as pereonite 5, bearing one pair of sublateral and one pair of posterolateral simple setae. *Pleon* with pleonite 1 0.3 times as long as broad, with one pair of dorsal simple setae near frontal margin, and two simple and six plumose setae on each lateral margin. Pleonite 2 with one pair of dorsal simple setae near frontal margin, and two simple and four plumose setae on each lateral margins. Pleonite 3 as pleonite 2. Pleonite 4 about 0.6 times as broad as pleonite 5, 0.1 times as long as broad, bearing a pair of dorsolateral





Fig. 2 *H. bultidactyla*. Female paratype MNCN 20.04/10597: **a** habitus, dorsal; **b** habitus, lateral; **c** pleotelson and uropods. Female paratype MNCN 20.04/10598: **d** antennule; **e** antenna



setae and two setae on each lateral margin. Pleonite 5 as pleonite 4, but with one pair of dorsolateral setae instead of two. *Pleotelson* (Fig. 2c) with two pairs of setae on

lateral margins near uropod insertion, two pairs of dorsolateral setae near distal margin, and one pair of apical setae.




Fig. 4 *H. bultidactyla*. Female paratype MNCN 20.04/10598: **a** cheliped; **b** pereopod 1; **c** pereopod 2; **d** pereopod 3; **e** pereopod 4; **f** pereopod 5; **g** pereopod 6; **h** pleopod

Antennule (Fig. 2d) 0.8 times as long as cephalothorax. Article 1 3.3 times as long as broad, with pair of penicillate setae on ventral margin, tuft of four simple setae on ventrodistal corner, and one long, one short

and one penicillate setae on dorsodistal margin. Article 2 1.6 times as long as broad, 0.2 times as long as article 2, ventrodistal corner with one penicillate and three simple setae, dorsodistal corner with two penicillate and




Fig. 5 *H. bultidactyla*. Male paratype MNCN 20.04/10596: **a** Habitus. **b** Cheliped, outer view. **c** Cheliped, inner view. **d** Antennule. **e** Antenna

one simple setae. Article 3 1.9 times as long as broad, 0.8 times as long as article 2, ventrodiscal corner with two simple setae, dorsodiscal corner with one simple and one penicillate setae. Article 4 cap-like, 0.5 times as long as

broad, 0.2 times as long as article 3, bearing three aesthetascs and seven simple setae.

Antenna (Fig. 2e) article 1 naked. Article 2 1.4 times as long as broad, with one ventral and one dorsodiscal setae.

Article 3 about as long as broad, naked. Article 4 2.6 times as long as broad, with one ventrodiscal, one dorso-discal and one subdistal setae. Article 5 2.7 times as long as broad, distal margin with two long, two short simple setae and two dorsal penicillate setae. Article 6 1.3 times as long as broad, with eleven simple setae.

Mouthparts. Labrum (Fig. 3a) rhomboidal, with setules. Left mandible (Fig. 3b) pars incisiva straight, with distal fine crenulation; lacinia mobilis broad, distally with five lobes, accessory seta denticulate; pars molaris with corrugated grinding surface. Right mandible (Fig. 3c) as left, but lacinia mobilis smaller and narrower, distally smooth. Labium (Fig. 3d) lobes with setules, palp present. Maxillule (Fig. 3e, f) with eight distal serrulate spines, dorso-distally with several thin setae; palp (Fig. 3f) with three distal and one subdistal setae. Maxilla (Fig. 3g) ovoid, simple. Maxilliped (Fig. 3h) coxa with two inner setae; basis 0.6 times as long as broad, with one shorter and one longer distal setae; palp article 1 with two outer setae, article 2 with one outer and five inner serrulate setae, article 3 with twelve inner serrulate setae, article 4 with eight inner distal and one outer subdistal serrulate setae. Maxilliped endites (Fig. 3i) each with denticulate, setose margins and two distal pappose seta. Epignath (Fig. 3j) linguiform, margins setulose, with distal setulose spine.

Cheliped (Fig. 4a) sclerite with two setae. Basis 1.4 times as long as broad, with ventrodiscal seta. Merus with three ventral setae and tuft of three setae near carpus. Carpus 1.3 times as long as broad, with three ventral and three dorsodiscal setae. Propodus 1.4 times as long as broad, with five ventral setae, two outer setae on palm, four setae on fixed finger near dactylus insertion, nine setae near cutting edge, cutting edge with proximal invagination. Dactylus as long as fixed finger, with slight ventral apophysis and ventral row of six setules.

Pereopod 1 (Fig. 4b) coxa with apophysis, bearing two setae. Ischio-basis 3.5 times as long as broad, dorsal margin with one simple and one penicillate setae. Merus 0.3 times as long as basis, with one ventrodiscal seta. Carpus 1.2 times as long as merus, with one dorsodiscal and one ventrodiscal simple setae. Propodus 1.7 times as long as carpus, 4.7 times as long as broad, with one dorsal penicillate seta, one dorsodiscal and four ventrodiscal setae. Dactylus and unguis together 0.5 times as long as propodus, dactylus with one proximal seta, unguis 1.7 times as long as dactylus.

Pereopod 2 (Fig. 4c) coxa with anterior pair of setae. Ischio-basis 3.0 times as long as broad, with two dorsal penicillate setae and three ventrodiscal simple setae. Merus 0.4 times as long as basis, dorsodiscal margin with one simple seta, ventrodiscal margin with one spine, two simple setae and microtrichia. Carpus 0.9 times as long as merus, with four distal compound spines, dorsodiscal

margin with two setae, ventrodiscal corner with two setae and microtrichia. Propodus 1.5 times as long as carpus, 3.6 times as long as broad with two ventral simple setae, one dorsal penicillate seta and one dorsodiscal simple seta. Dactylus and unguis together 0.5 times as long as propodus, unguis 1.9 times as long as dactylus.

Pereopod 3 (Fig. 4d) coxa with anterior pair of setae. Ischio-basis 3.0 times as long as broad, with one dorsal simple seta one dorsal penicillate seta, and tuft of three ventrodiscal setae. Merus 0.4 times as long as basis, dorsodiscal margin with one simple seta, ventrodiscal margin with cuticular tubercles, one spine and two simple setae. Carpus 0.8 times as long as merus, with ventral cuticular tubercles and microtrichia, four distal compound spines, two dorsodiscal and one ventrodiscal setae. Propodus 1.3 times as long as carpus, with two ventral and one ventrodiscal setae. Dactylus and unguis together 0.6 times as long as propodus. Unguis 1.6 times as long as dactylus.

Pereopod 4 (Fig. 4e) ischio-basis 3.0 times as long as broad, with one dorsal penicillate seta, two ventral penicillate seta, and three ventrodiscal simple setae. Merus 0.5 times as long as basis, with ventral cuticular tubercles and microtrichia, ventrodiscal margin with two spines and one seta, dorsodiscal margin with one seta. Carpus 0.7 times as long as merus, with ventral cuticular tubercles and microtrichia, two subdistal setae and four distal compound spines. Propodus 1.3 times as long as carpus, with two ventral, one dorsal and one subdistal setae. Dactylus and unguis fused into a claw, curved, with ventral microtrichia and inner and outer lateral comb of six spinules.

Pereopod 5 (Fig. 4e) ischio-basis 3.0 times as long as broad, with one dorsal penicillate seta, three ventrodiscal simple setae. Merus 0.5 times as long as basis, with ventral cuticular tubercles and microtrichia, ventrodiscal margin with two spines and one seta, dorsodiscal margin with one seta. Carpus 0.7 times as long as merus, with ventral cuticular tubercles, two subdistal setae and four distal compound spines. Propodus 1.3 times as long as carpus, with two ventral, one dorsal and two subdistal simple setae and one dorsal penicillate seta. Dactylus and unguis fused into a claw, curved, with ventral microtrichia and inner and outer lateral comb of seven spinules.

Pereopod 6 (Fig. 4f) ischio-basis 2.6 times as long as broad, with two dorsal penicillate setae and one ventrodiscal simple seta. Merus 0.5 times as long as basis, ventrodiscal margin with two spines and three setae. Carpus 0.9 times as long as merus, with ventral microtrichia, four distal spines and two dorsodiscal setae. Propodus 1.3 times as long as carpus, with row of ten leaf like spines, three ventral setae, one penicillate and three simple dorsodiscal setae. Dactylus and unguis fused into a claw, curved, with ventral microtrichia and inner and outer lateral combs of seven spinules.

Pleopods 1 and 2 (Fig. 4g) basis with one inner and six outer plumose setae. Exopod outer edge with about 18 plumose setae. Endopod inner edge with one medial plumose seta, outer edge with about ten plumose setae, distalmost distinctively shorter and stouter than rest. *Pleopod 3* basis with no inner and three outer plumose setae.

Uropods (Fig. 1c) of three segments plus basis. Basis 3.0 times as long as broad, with four setae. Segments all subequal in length, with four or five distal simple setae.

Juvenile specimens do not present significant differences with females, but for the absence of oostegites or marsupia.

Description of male

As female, but for the following characters:

Body (Fig. 5a) 4.0 times as long as broad. *Cephalothorax* subtriangular, as long as broad, eyes with visual elements present, lateral margins with one seta each. Pereonite 1 0.2 times as long as broad. Pereonite 2 1.3 times as long as pereonite 1 and 0.3 times as long as broad. Pereonite 3 as pereonite 2. Pereonite 4 1.4 times as long as pereonite 3 and 0.5 times as long as broad. Pereonite 5 as pereonite 4. Pereonite 6 0.4 times as long as pereonite 5 and 0.4 times as long as broad. Pleonite 1 0.3 times as long as broad, about as long as pereonite 6. Pleonite 2 as pleonite 1. Pleonite 3 0.9 times as broad as pleonite 2. Pereonites 4 and 5 0.7 times as long as pleonite 3.

Antennule (Fig. 5d) just longer as cephalothorax. Article 1 6.0 times as long as broad, with one medial seta, three simple and one penicillate dorsodistal setae and two simple, two penicillate subdistal setae. Article 2 0.4 times as long as article 1, with three dorsodistal simple setae, two subdistal setae, and two simple and two penicillate ventrodistal setae. Article 3 0.8 times as long as article 2, with one dorsodistal and one ventrodistal setae. Distal article shorter than long, with six setae and five aesthetascs.

Antenna (Fig. 5e) Article 1 as long as broad, naked. Article 2 2.7 times as long as broad, with one ventroproximal, one ventrodistal, one mediodorsal and one dorsodistal setae. Article 3 0.6 times as long as article 2, naked. Article 4 2.3 times as long as broad, with three dorsodistal and one ventrodistal setae. Article 5 0.6 times as long as article 4, with two penicillate and three simple setae, one shorter. Article 6 0.4 times as long as article 5, with eight distal setae.

Cheliped (Fig. 5b, c) basis about as long as broad, with ventrodistal seta. Merus ventral margin longer than that of carpus, with three ventral setae and two setae near insertion with basis. Carpus broad, ventral margin short, about as long as broad, with three ventral setae, three dorsodistal setae and three short setae along dorsal margin. Propodus twice as long as broad, ventral margin with

four setae, cutting edge with proximal triangular apophysis and five setae; outer surface with row of three setae near apophysis, tuft of five setae near tip of fixed finger, and three setae on palm, inner side with one seta near dactylus insertion and one near cutting edge apophysis. Dactylus arcuate, with proximal ventral apophysis and ventral row of short setae on incisive margin.

Etymology

From the Spanish *bulto* (bump) and the Latin *dactylus* (finger, metonymy from the greek *daktulos*, that refers to the three last bones of the finger). In reference to the ventral apophyses on the cheliped dactylus.

Remarks

Hexapleomera bultidactyla sp. nov. is the only species of the genus having a ventral apophysis on cheliped dactylus. Otherwise, it is most similar to the sympatric species *H. robusta* as redescribed by Sieg [1] (see table 1 in [3]) in having five aesthetascs on the male antennule, a maxilliped basis with two setae and endite without spines, male cheliped dactylus with spinules, male and female chela fixed finger with apophysis, pleopod endopod with one inner seta, and uropod with four segments. *Hexapleomera robusta* sensu Sieg [2] is different from *H. bultidactyla* in having three setae near each eye, no dorsal setae on pereonites, eight aesthetascs on female antennule, eight setae on maxillule palp, male chela fixed finger with four setae, coxa 1 without apophysis, pleopods 1 and 2 basis with seven inner setae, and pleopod 3 basis with four outer setae.

Hexapleomera satella shares with *H. bultidactyla* the presence of three aesthetascs on the female antennule, four terminal setae on the maxillule palp, two setae on the maxilliped coxa, the presence of short setae on the male cheliped dactylus and the presence of an apophysis on the coxa of pereopod 1, and uropods of four segments. It differs on the presence of two setae near each eye, a pair of dorsal setae on the male cephalothorax, four aesthetascs on the antennule, chela fixed finger with three ventral setae only, absence of an apophysis on male chela fixed finger and five inner setae on basis of pleopods 1 and 2.

Hexapleomera edgari Bamber, 2012 from Australia differs from *H. bultidactyla* in having two setae near each eye, the male and female antennules with six aesthetascs, the maxillule palp with five setae, maxilliped coxa with three setae, maxilliped endite with spines, chela fixed finger with six ventral setae, the female having triangular apophysis, apophysis on coxa of pereopod 1 absent, pleopods 1 and 2 basis with five inner setae, and pleopod endopod with two inner setae.

Only the male of *Hexapleomera moverleyi* (Edgar, 2008), also from Australia, is known. It shares with the

male of *H. bultidactyla* having only one seta near each eye, five aesthetascs on the antennule, two setae on the maxilliped coxa and presence of an apophysis on the coxa of the pereopod 1. It differs in having five setae on maxillule palp, one seta on the maxilliped basis, the maxilliped endite with spines, chela dactylus with no short setae, chela fixed finger with five ventral setae and no apophysis, pleopod 1 and 2 basis with five inner setae, pleopod 3 basis with one inner seta, and uropod of five segments.

Finally, *Hexapleomera wombat* Bamber, 2012 from the eastern Mediterranean has, like the new species, one seta near each eye, no spines on the maxilliped endite, and no proximal apophysis on the female chela fixed finger, but it is different in having six and four aesthetascs on the male and female antennules respectively, five setae on the maxillule palp, three setae on maxilliped coxa and one on basis, chela fixed finger with five setae, no apophysis on male chela fixed finger, absence of apophysis on coxa 1, five inner setae on basis of pleopods 1 and 2, and uropod of five segments.

Identification key to the species of *Hexapleomera* (modified after Bamber [4])

1. Adult uropod five-segmented; maxilliped basis with one distal seta; maxillule palp with five distal setae.....2
Adult uropod four-segmented; maxilliped basis with two distal setae.....3
2. Spinulation of male cheliped dactylus present; pereopod 1 coxa without apophysis.....*H. wombat* Bamber, 2012
Spinulation of male cheliped dactylus absent; pereopod 1 coxa with apophysis.....*H. moverleyi* (Edgar, 2008) [8]
3. Male chela without large apophysis on cutting edge of fixed finger; maxillule palp with four or five distal setae.....4
Male chela with large apophysis on cutting edge of fixed finger; maxillule palp with eight distal setae.....5
4. Pleopod endopod with two inner setae; maxillule palp with five distal setae; male chela without proximoventral apophysis on fixed finger; six aesthetascs on female antennules.....*H. edgari* Bamber, 2012
Pleopod endopod with one inner seta; maxillule palp with four distal setae; male chela with proximoven-tral apophysis on fixed finger; three aesthetascs on female antennules.....*H. satella* Bamber, 2012
5. Pereonites with dorsal setation; male and female chela with proximal apophysis on dactylus (more prominent on male); female chela without triangular apophysis on fixed finger; pereopod 1 coxa with apophysis.....*H. bultidactyla* sp. nov.

Pereonites with no dorsal setation; male and female chela without proximal apophysis on dactylus; female chela with triangular apophysis on fixed finger; pereopod 1 coxa without apophysis.....*H. robusta* (Moore, 1894)

Biology and ecology

A total of 785 specimens of *H. bultidactyla*, including males, brooding and non-brooding females and juveniles, were counted in this study (Table 1). Mean density was 2201.8 ± 983.7 ind m^{-2} , equivalent to 1626.7 ± 1078.6 ind $100 g^{-1}$ of dry weight habitat. The overall male/female ratio was 0.13, with an average of 0.25 ± 0.10 per sampling site (having omitted those sites where one of the genders was absent). An 11.1 % of the counted females were ovigerous. The average number of eggs per female was 20.8 ± 1.3 and the highest number of eggs carried by a single female was 46.

Hexapleomera bultidactyla occurred in fouling communities dominated by the mussel *M. galloprovincialis* Lamarck, 1819, the hydroid *P. disticha* Goldfuss, 1820 and turfs formed by Ceramiaceae algae and the hydroid *Aglaophenia* sp. The largest populations were counted in algae-hydroids turfs, with a maximum density of 15,263.2 ind m^{-2} . The species occurred in six of the 14 off-coast aquaculture facilities (Fig. 1), mainly those located in the western Mediterranean Sea. *H. robusta* was present together with *H. bultidactyla* in two of the samples from Alicante, one sample from Santa Pola (near Alicante) and one from Croatia (Tables 1, 2).

Discussion

Ecology and distribution

The current numbers and density of fish cages scattered along some of the Mediterranean coasts provide a significant amount of hard substrata in off-coast areas where they are naturally absent, boosting the dispersion of associated species [9]. Macroinvertebrate species associated with fish farms have been shown to assimilate the organic matter derived of uneaten feed pellets and fish faeces [10], and tanaidaceans may also take advantage of this new trophic resource. Specifically, tanaidaceans represent between 0.03 and 15.9 % of the mobile epifauna associated with fish farms fouling in the Mediterranean Sea, being the third group in importance after amphipods and polychaetes [11]. *Hexapleomera robusta*, previously reported from turtles in the Mediterranean [12–15], has been also observed associated to fish farm fouling in this study. According to [15], *H. robusta* may be found associated to the algae *Polysiphonia* sp, which is also part of fish farms fouling [11]. It is feasible that *H. bultidactyla* will be found in other natural habitats containing similar

algae or hydroids species than those found in fish farm fouling.

Sex ratio and fecundity

The male/female ratio in tanaidomorphs varies from scarcely biased, nearly 1.0 in the family Agathotanaidae [16] to strongly female biased, to 0.001 in Leptocheliidae [17, 18]; in Tanaididae, Rumbold et al. [19] found values ranging from 0.01 to 0.16 in *Tanais dulongii* (Audouin, 1826), thus significantly lower than the average per sample registered here (0.25).

These are the first data presented on the fecundity of any *Hexapleomera* species. The values found in this study (20.81 ± 1.30 embryos per female, with a maximum of 46 in a single female) are in agreement with other studies on the fecundity of the Tanaididae: for instance, Johnson & Attranadal [20] recorded a maximum of 46 embryos in *Tanais dulongii* whereas Rumbold et al. [21] obtained averages of up to 39.1 ± 15.3 for the same species. Schmidt et al. [6] counted 19–31 in *Allotanais hirsutus* Beddard, 1886. Within the subfamily Pancolinae, the records come from species of *Zeuxo* Templeton 1840: Masunari & Sieg [22] measured an average of eleven embryos in *Zeuxo coralensis* Sieg, 1980, Bamber [23] counted 25 in *Zeuxo holdichi* Bamber, 1990, whereas he later counted 15 in *Zeuxo zorro* Bamber, 1997 [24]. Nakaoka [25] registered 20–40 embryos on the females of an unidentified species of *Zeuxo*. Overall, our results lay within the general range for these records.

Final considerations

The relatively high densities documented here, and the presence of different developmental stages in the samples indicate that *H. bultidactyla* is represented by well-established populations in the fouling of the fish farms surveyed. As mentioned above, tanaidaceans have limited swimming capacity and no dispersive phase in the development; under these circumstances, the question of how this species has been able to colonize these isolated substrata with no contact with the seafloor arises. Limited swimming capacity has been described by Larsen [1], several species are known to cling on to floating objects [26], and species of *Hexapleomera* build tubes on large marine organisms such as manatees or sea turtles [4 and references therein]. Any of these dispersal mechanisms, or a combination of more than one, might explain the occurrence of large populations of *H. bultidactyla* on the fish farms fouling, but further experimental work would be needed to solve this question definitely.

Abbreviations

MNCN: Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales (Madrid); Prep. fem.: preparatory females; cop. females: copulatory females; juv.: juveniles.

Authors' contributions

PE made microscopic observation, measurement, description and schemes of the tanaidacean specimens. VFG carried out the sampling work and revised, classified and counted the specimens. PE and VFG drafted the text. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Departamento de Biología and CESAM, Universidade de Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal. ² Departamento de Ciencias del Mar y Biología Aplicada, Universidad de Alicante, Alicante, Spain.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the staff of the fish farms that gave us access and help for the study, and specially to CULMAR fish farms. We also thank Pablo Sanchez-Jerez and David Izquierdo-Gomez for their invaluable cooperation during the sampling work and to Joseph A. Borg (University of Malta), Tanja Segvic (Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries of Split, Croatia), Paolo Tomasetti (ISPR, Italy), Felipe Aguado (IMIDA, Spain), Ignasi Gairin (IRTA, Spain) and Pablo Ávila (Junta de Andalucía, Spain) for kindly providing fouling samples. Comments from an anonymous referee contributed to improve this manuscript, and are greatly appreciated.

Competing interests

Both authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets during and/or analysed during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Funding

P. Esquete is supported by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT, Portugal), under postdoctoral Grant SFRH/BPD/94985/2013.

Received: 12 July 2016 Accepted: 15 November 2016

Published online: 23 December 2016

References

- Larsen K. Deep-sea tanaidacea (Peracarida) from the Gulf of Mexico. Crustaceana. Monographs 5. Brill: Leiden; 2005.
- Moore HF. *Tanais robustus*, a new species of Anisopoda. Proc Acad Nat Sci Phila. 1984;46:90–5.
- Sieg J. Taxonomische Monographie der Tanaididae Dana, 1849 (Crustacea: Tanaidacea). Abh Senckb Naturforsch Ges. 1980;537:1–267.
- Bamber RN. A re-assessment of *Hexapleomera dudichi*, 1931 (Crustacea: Tanaidacea: Tanaididae), with designation of three new species. Zootaxa. 2012;3583:51–70.
- Masunari S. Postmarsupial development and population dynamics of *Leptochelia savignyi* (Krøyer, 1842) (Tanaidacea). Crustaceana. 1983;44:151–62.
- Schmidt A, Siegel V, Brandt A. Postembryonic development of *Apseudes heroeae* and *Allotanais hirsutus* (Tanaidacea, Crustacea) in Magellanic and sub-Antarctic waters. Antarct Sci. 2002;14:201–11.
- Bamber RN, Shearer M. A reinterpretation of the taxonomy and zoogeography of *Pakistanapseudes* and *Swireapseudes* (Crustacea; Tanaidacea): Hong Kong taxa in the world context. In: Morton B, editor. Perspectives on marine environment Change in Hong Kong and Southern China, 1977–2001. Proceedings of an international workshop reunion conference, Hong Kong. Hong Kong: Hong Kong Univ. Press; 2003; p. 21–6.
- Edgar GJ. Shallow water Tanaididae (Crustacea: Tanaidacea) of Australia. Zootaxa. 2008;1836:1–92.

9. Fernandez-Gonzalez V, Sanchez-Jerez P. First occurrence of *Caprella scaura* Templeton, 1836 (Crustacea: Amphipoda) on off-coast fish farm cages in the Mediterranean Sea. *Helgol Mar Res*. 2013;68(1):187–91.
10. Gonzalez-Silvera D, Izquierdo-Gomez D, Fernandez-Gonzalez V, Martínez-López FJ, López-Jiménez JA, Sanchez-Jerez P. Mediterranean fouling communities assimilate the organic matter derived from coastal fish farms as a new trophic resource. *Mar Pollut Bull*. 2015;91(1):45–53.
11. Fernandez-Gonzalez V, Sanchez-Jerez P. Fouling assemblages associated with off-coast aquaculture facilities: an overall assessment of the Mediterranean sea. *Mediterr Mar Sci*. doi:10.12681/mms.1806.
12. Sanz MC. Contribución al conocimiento de los Crustáceos litorales del Mediterráneo occidental (tanaidáceos). Ph.D. thesis, Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona. 1993; 668 p.
13. Bentivegna F, Cirino P, Toscano A. Care and treatment of loggerhead sea turtles from the Gulf of Naples, Italy. *Mar Turt Newslett*. 1993;61:6–7.
14. Kitsos MS, Christodoulou M, Arvanitidis C, Mavidis M, Kirmitzoglou I, Koukouras A. Composition of the organismic assemblage associated with *Caretta caretta*. *J Mar Biol Assoc UK*. 2005;85(02):257–61.
15. Domènech F, Badillo FJ, Tomás J, Raga JA, Aznar FJ. Epibiont communities of loggerhead marine turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in the western Mediterranean: influence of geographic and ecological factors. *J Mar Biol Assoc UK*. 2005;95(04):851–61.
16. Bird GJ. Tanaidacea (Crustacea, Peracarida) of the North-east Atlantic: the Agathotanaidae of the AFEN, BIOFAR and BIOICE projects, with a description of a new species of *Paragathotana* Lang. *Zootaxa*. 2010;22:1–22.
17. Bamber RN. In the footsteps of Henrik Nikolaj Krøyer: the rediscovery and redescription of *Leptochelia savignyi* (Krøyer, 1842) sensu stricto (Crustacea: Tanaidacea: Leptocheliidae). *P Biol Soc Wash*. 2010;123:289–311.
18. Ishimaru S. A new species of *Leptochelia* (Crustacea, Tanaidacea) from Japan, with a redescription of *L. savignyi* (Krøyer, 1842). *Pub Seto Mar Biol Lab*. 1985;30:241–67.
19. Rumbold CE, Obenat SM, Spivak ED. Life history of *Tanais dulongii* (Tanaidacea: Tanaidae) in an intertidal flat in the southwestern Atlantic. *J Crustacean Biol*. 2012;32:891–8.
20. Johnson S, Attranadal YG. Reproductive behaviour and larval development of *Tanais cavolirrii* (Crustacea: Tanaidacea). *Mar Biol*. 1982;71:11–6.
21. Rumbold CE, Obenat SM, Spivak ED. Comparison of life history traits of *Tanais dulongii* (Tanaidacea: Tanaididae) in natural and artificial marine environments of the south-western Atlantic. *Helgol Mar Res*. 2015;69:231–42.
22. Masunari S, Sieg J. Morphological and ecological notes on *Zeuxo coralensis*- Sieg, 1980 from Brazil. *Stud Neotrop Fauna Environ*. 1980;15:1–8.
23. Bamber RN. A new species of *Zeuxo* (Crustacea: Tanaidacea) from the French Atlantic coast. *J Nat Hist*. 1990;24:1587–96.
24. Bamber RN, Bird G. Peracarid Crustaceans from Cape D' Aguilar and Hong Kong, III. Tanaidacea: Tanaidomorpha, Hong Kong. In: Morton B, editor. *The marine Fauna and Flora of Hong Kong and Southern China IV*. Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press; 1997. p. 103–42.
25. Nakaoka M. Predation on seeds of seagrasses *Zostera marina* and *Zostera caulescens* by a tanaid crustacean *Zeuxo* sp. *Aquat Bot*. 2002;72:99–106.
26. Highsmith RC. Floating and algal rafting as potential dispersal mechanisms in brooding invertebrates. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser*. 1985;25(2):169–79.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

