Ceria-praseodymia mixed oxides: relationships between redox properties and catalytic activities towards NO oxidation to NO₂ and CO-PROX reactions

Javier Giménez-Mañogil¹, Noelia Guillén-Hurtado¹, Susana Fernández-García², Xiaowei Chen², José Juan Calvino-Gámez² and Avelina García-García^{1*}

¹MCMA Group, Department of Inorganic Chemistry. Faculty of Sciences. University of Alicante. Ap.99 E-03080 Alicante. SPAIN.
²Faculty of Sciences. University of Cádiz. Campus Río San Pedro, Puerto Real. SPAIN.

Abstract

A series of $Ce_xPr_{1-x}O_{2-\delta}$ catalysts was prepared by co-precipitation method in alkali media. These catalysts were characterized by N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms at -196°C, X-ray diffraction (XRD), thermogravimetry combined with mass spectrometry (TG-MS), and temperature-programmed reduction with H₂ and CO (H₂-TPR and CO-TPR, respectively). Catalytic tests were performed for temperature programmed NO oxidation to NO₂ (from 25 to 750°C) and for the preferential oxidation of CO in H₂ rich stream (CO-PROX reaction) in the range of 150-500°C. The trends in the order of catalytic activities towards NO oxidation and CO-PROX are correlated with the redox properties of the catalysts and their composition.

 $Ce_xPr_{1-x}O_{2-\delta}$ mixed oxides present very different catalytic behaviours towards NO oxidation and CO-PROX reactions. These experimental trends might be explained by the balance of several factors: the acid character of the NO and CO molecules, the different lattice oxygen mobility of the catalysts, the presence of surface carbonates species in the samples, and the catalysts' reducibility under H₂ and CO. The understanding of the features that govern the activity towards these environmentally relevant oxidation reactions is important in the designing of effective catalysts.

Keywords: NO oxidation; CO-PROX; ceria-praseodymia; redox properties; lattice oxygen.

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 965909419; e-mail: <u>a.garcia@ua.es</u> Fax: +34 96590 3454

1. Introduction

The catalyzed oxidation of CO and NO has attracted interest of industry and academia as a major research topic for catalytic exhaust gas purification. Although most of the investigations are focused on catalytic performance of precious metals supported on inorganic oxides (such as ceria), great efforts have been devoted to understand and improve the special redox properties of noble metal-free ceria in the last years [1]. In this sense, one of the most interesting approaches to enhance ceria's redox behaviour is the addition of lanthanide cations in order to promote the low temperature redox response and the thermal stability. Among these elements, praseodymium, with variable oxidation states (+3/+4) deserves particular interest and, lastly, Pr-doped CeO₂ nanostructures are those to which more attention has been paid [2–4].

Preferential CO oxidation in the presence of excess of hydrogen (CO-PROX) is a cleaningup process to remove CO impurities from reformate streams containing large amounts of hydrogen. Ceria based oxides with different morphologies or with some dopants have been intensively investigated for CO oxidation during the last ten years [1,3]. However, up to now and to the best of our knowledge, there are no studies about metal-free ceria based oxides for PROX reaction.

The corresponding mixed oxides, Ce_xPr_{1-x}O₂₋₅, can undergo more oxygen exchange at a lower temperature than pure ceria and it is also known that the Ce-Pr-O compositions are more promising than the trivalent rare-earth substituted ceria formulation. It could be assumed that Pr-containing mixed oxides should exhibit intermediate and close to optimal combination of capacity, stability and reactivity with respect to reversible oxygen evolution/uptake, but in catalytic oxidation reactions with practical application not all the *reactive* oxygen can be available and the establishment of redox-catalytic performances relationships in different environmental reactions could be of special interest [5].

The present work is devoted to prepare and characterize a series of $Ce_xPr_{1-x}O_{2-\delta}$ and to analyze the trends in catalytic activities towards the NO oxidation to NO₂ under O₂ rich atmospheres and the preferential oxidation of CO in H₂ rich stream (CO-PROX). The correlations between catalytic performances obtained and redox properties are explored and connected to the catalysts' composition.

2

2. Materials and methods

A series of mixed oxides with the general formula $Ce_xPr_{1-x}O_{2-\delta}$ (x=0, 0.2, 0.5, 0.8, 1.0) was prepared by co-precipitation method in alkali media. The corresponding solids obtained after filtration were dried at 110°C and calcined at 500°C for 1 hour. The same formulations were synthesized and calcined at 1000°C for 1 hour as well. The catalysts were characterized by N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms at -196°C, XRD, TG-MS (under 100 ml/min of inert He atmosphere, with and without pre-treatment at 950°C under 100 ml/min of 5% O₂/He), H₂-TPR and CO-TPR. H₂-TPR experiments were carried out after pre-treatment at 500°C under 5% O₂/He for 1 hour. H₂-TPR experiments were performed heating up to 1000°C at 10°C/min, under 5% H₂/Ar with an inlet gas flow of 35 ml/min. CO-TPR experiments were performed in a 60 ml/min flow of 5% CO/He, and heating up to 950°C at 10°C/min. Before the CO-TPR experiments, the samples were pretreated with 5% O₂/He at 500 °C for 1 h and then under a flow of He at the same temperature for 1 h. The outlet of the reactor was connected to a Pfeiffer Thermostar quadrupole mass spectrometer to continuously monitor the composition of the gas stream.

NO oxidation to NO₂ catalytic tests were performed in a fixed-bed reactor under a 500 ppm NO+5%O₂/N₂ gas flow (500 ml/min; GHSV 30000 h⁻¹). Temperature-Programmed NO oxidations were carried out from 25 to 750 °C at 10°C/min. The gas composition was monitored by specific NDIR-UV gas analyzers for NO, NO₂ and O₂. 80 mg of catalyst were mixed with 300 mg of SiC (to avoid pressure drop) in each test.

Catalytic activity in PROX reaction was carried out in a stepwise manner under isothermal conditions at selected temperatures in the 150-500°C range. Samples were pre-treated under 5% O_2 /He, at 250 °C for 1 hour. CO-PROX experiments were performed with an inlet total gas flow of 100 ml/min, containing 50% H₂, 1% CO, 1% O₂ and He as balance (GHSV=12,000 h⁻¹). A gas chromatograph Bruker 450-GC with a thermal conductivity detector is coupled to the reactor for analysis of the reactants and products of CO-PROX. A Molsieve 13X column is used to separate CO and O₂, and another column of Hayesep is attached to analyze CO₂ and H₂O. The concentrations of CO, O₂ and CO₂ were calibrated with external standard calibration gas mixtures from Praxair.

3. Results and discussion

Figure 1a depicts the NO₂ formation, obtained from the catalytic oxidation reaction of NO in the presence of O₂, for the studied catalysts along with the uncatalyzed reaction. It is worth noting that as the praseodymium content is increased in $Ce_xPr_{1-x}O_{2-\delta}$ formulation, NO₂ production becomes higher, being the maximum NO₂ production shifted to lower temperatures. There is a remarkable difference between CeO₂ and Ce_{0.8}Pr_{0.2}O_{2- δ}, with an increase in the maximum production of NO₂ from 24% to 39% when the ceria is doped with only 20 mol% Pr. Pure praseodymia presents the highest NO₂ production (48% at 375°C), therefore indicating that Pr-based oxides are very active for the NO oxidation to NO₂.



Figure 1. Temperature programmed NO oxidation to NO₂ for samples calcined at (a) 500°C and (b) 1000°C.

It could be thought that the activity enhancement when CeO₂ is doped with 20 mol% of Pr, Ce_{0.8}Pr_{0.2}O₂₋₅, is related to the BET surface area. However, when pure CeO₂ is doped with 50 mol% and 80 mol% of praseodymium (Ce_{0.5}Pr_{0.5}O₂₋₅ and Ce_{0.2}Pr_{0.8}O₂₋₅, respectively), the maximum NO₂ production is slightly higher than Ce_{0.8}Pr_{0.2}O₂₋₅, while the former mixed oxides present much lower BET surface areas (30 and 28 m²/g, respectively) than Ce_{0.8}Pr_{0.2}O₂₋₅, confirming that the BET surface area is not a determining parameter in the NO₂ production.

In order to verify the excellent behaviour of the Pr-doped ceria in the oxidation reaction of NO to NO₂, additional samples were prepared, synthesized under identical conditions, but this time calcined at 1000°C for one hour, to minimize the effect of a non-gradual change in the surface area. These samples are named as $Ce_xPr_{1-x}O_{2-\delta}$ -1000. Figure 1b shows a gradual improvement in the catalytic activity when the Pr content increases. Surprisingly, praseodymia calcined at 1000°C, with BET area of 2 m²/g, is much more active in the catalytic NO oxidation than ceria calcined at 500°C, revealing that choosing the Pr cation as dopant improves the catalytic properties of the solid, with respect to pure ceria, even minimizing the effects of surface area loss. The satisfactory catalytic activity shown by $Ce_{0.2}Pr_{0.8}O_{2-5}$ and PrO_{2-5} catalysts calcined at 1000°C was not reported either for pure ceria or other ceria-doped mixed oxides (such as ceria-zirconia), which justifies the choice of Pr as doping cation for Ce-based mixed oxides. These results are supported by the binding energy values of Ce-O bond, which are higher than those of Pr-O [6]. The Ce³⁺ ionization energy is 2.22 eV lower than that of Pr³⁺ [7]. Because of this, the addition of praseodymium in the ceria network increases the creation of oxygen vacancies and the presence of more labile oxygen species.

To get further insight into the quantification of the oxygen species lability and in an attempt to determine the degree of lattice oxygen release in these materials, TG-MS experiments under inert atmosphere were carried out for all the catalysts calcined at 500°C. All the evolved products were registered. The use of an inert atmosphere is justified, because it is deduced from TPR experiments (discussed below) that the commonly used reducing agents might present different degree of interaction with these mixed oxides' surface sites. In this sense, the catalysts' O₂ evolution profiles were obtained and depicted in Figure 2a (solid lines correspond to fresh catalysts and dotted lines to pre-treated catalysts). Figure 2a provides extremely interesting information, because pure ceria is not able to release oxygen under inert atmosphere up to 950°C,

and both surface and sub-surface oxygen is not labile enough to evolve under these experimental conditions. However, O_2 evolution is observed as a broad band for $Ce_{0.8}Pr_{0.2}O_{2-\delta}$. From $Ce_{0.5}Pr_{0.5}O_{2-\delta}$ composition to $PrO_{2-\delta}$, the corresponding peaks become sharper and profiles become more complex, especially for pure $PrO_{2-\delta}$, whose multi-modal profile can be related to the surface and bulk praseodymia reduction, and could also be related to phase segregation: PrO_2 , Pr_6O_{11} and $PrO_{1.83}$ (identified by XRD), as discussed in a previous article [8]. It is worth noting the sharp peak appearing at low temperature for $Ce_{0.2}Pr_{0.8}O_{2-\delta}$, which could be ascribed to very labile oxygen species, partially responsible of the NO oxidation to NO₂ at low temperature (Figure 1a).



Figure 2. Evolved gases profiles obtained by TG-MS under He (solid lines correspond to fresh catalysts and dotted lines to pre-treated catalysts). (a) Evolved O₂ (m/z 32); and (b) Evolved CO₂ (m/z 44).

In order to investigate the origin of these O₂ emissions, it is important to verify that evolved O₂ is generated by desorption/decomposition of the surface and/or sub-surface oxygen species, and it is not only due to parallel reactions (such as decomposition of carbonates). This fact was verified by conducting additional experiments where samples were pre-treated; they were heated up to 950°C under 5%O₂/He (thus removing catalysts' surface contamination). Pre-treated CeO₂ presents no signal and Ce_{0.8}Pr_{0.2}O_{2-δ} signal is similar to its profile without pre-treatment. Results from the other samples reveal that after this treatment, catalysts without pre-treatment, as seen in Figure 2a.

It is worth mentioning that these ceria-based samples present a high degree of surface contamination, due to the presence of different hydroxyl groups, water and CO₂ adsorbed (not shown for the sake of brevity), as well as carbonates on surface, both of cerium and praseodymium. However, since exhaust gases from vehicles present H₂O and CO₂, which is one of the most important applications of these solids, it is interesting to carry out the NO oxidation catalytic reactions without any "cleaning" pre-treatment. As an example, and in order to identify the degree of contamination in the samples, Figure 2b illustrates their CO₂ profiles in TG-MS experiments up to 950°C. Remarkably, the presence of stable carbonates dramatically increases with the Pr content, from pure ceria to pure praseodymia, which decompose in a wide temperature interval (from 170°C to 880°C). It is worthwhile to highlight that these carbonates seem to be, *a priori*, spectators and not inhibitors of the NO oxidation reaction; but nevertheless, this fact might not be extrapolated to other oxidation reactions, as will be discussed below.

CO-PROX reaction was performed with this series of catalysts to check the importance of the molecule's features to be catalytically oxidized. Figure 3a depicts the CO conversion obtained on the basis of the CO₂ produced (not considering the original carbonates). CO conversion reaches the maximum at 450°C over all the Ce-containing oxide catalysts. The highest CO conversion (86.8%) is shown by Ce_{0.8}Pr_{0.2}O_{2-δ} at 450°C. However, pure PrO_{2-δ} exhibits the lowest activity for CO-PROX reaction since the highest CO conversion is only around 45% at 400°C. During CO-PROX process, two parallel and competitive oxidation reactions occur: CO oxidation and H₂ oxidation.



Figure 3. (a) CO conversion, (b) O_2 conversion and (c) O_2 selectivity to CO_2 in CO-PROX reaction for the studied catalysts.

Figure 3b presents O_2 conversion of the catalysts during CO-PROX. It can be observed that O_2 conversion over pure $PrO_{2-\delta}$ catalyst is the highest at 400°C. Meanwhile its O_2 selectivity to CO_2 is the lowest among all the tested catalysts. This suggests that H_2 oxidation is dominant over pure $PrO_{2-\delta}$ catalyst. Furthermore, all the Ce-containing catalysts show higher O_2 selectivity to CO_2 than pure $PrO_{2-\delta}$ sample. The highest O_2 selectivity to CO_2 is reached over $Ce_{0.8}Pr_{0.2}O_{2-\delta}$ which also shows the highest CO conversion. CO oxidation is more favourable than H_2 oxidation over Ce-containing catalysts. The optimized composition for CO-PROX reaction is $Ce_{0.8}Pr_{0.2}O_{2-\delta}$ due to its highest CO conversion and O_2 selectivity to CO_2 .

CO-TPR curves shown in Figure 4 provide some insight into these ideas, revealing that the main reduction peak is slightly moved towards higher temperatures (from 323° C for Ce_{0.8}Pr_{0.2}O₂₋₅ to 423° C for PrO₂₋₅). The Ce-Pr samples show a certain relationship between CO-TPR profiles and catalytic behaviour towards CO-PROX reaction. Thus, samples with the lowest main peak reduction temperatures, present the highest CO conversions at 450° C.

Figure 5 depicts the H₂-TPR curves of the Ce_xPr_{1-x}O_{2- δ} catalysts. It can be observed that the reduction temperature decreases with increasing Pr amount in the Ce_xPr_{1-x}O_{2- δ} mixed oxide. This result indicates that the reducibility is remarkably improved with incorporation of larger amounts of Pr. In fact, with gradually increasing the Pr content in the catalysts, the overall hydrogen consumption is also increased. This trend seems to be in accordance with the catalytic activity order reported for the NO oxidation to NO₂ (see Figures 1a and 1b).

Figure 4. CO-TPR profiles of the $Ce_xPr_{1-x}O_{2-\delta}$ catalysts calcined at 500°C.

In an attempt to elucidate the origin of these divergences, the differences between conducting TPR experiments under CO and H₂ are discussed below.

It is known from the literature that CO can reduce the surface CeO₂ even at lower temperature compared with H₂, although it is generally considered that CO is a weaker reducing agent than H₂. This can be clearly seen by comparison of Figures 4 and 5 (CeO₂ profiles). As reported in the literature, the reason may be that the dissociation of adsorbed H₂ is necessary for the reduction by H₂, while CO can directly attack the surface oxygen in CeO₂ [9]. However, if the amount of surface carbonates with different degree of stability is high, the CO ability to interact with the surface of the oxides could be affected. This relationship seems very clear for pure praseodymia, because it presents the highest amount of carbonates among the catalysts studied. Regarding the evolved CO₂ profiles on Figure 2b, decomposition peaks of surface carbonates (between 320°C and 430°C) are nearly coincident with the shoulder and main peak observed in CO-TPR for CO consumption (seen in Figure 4 as CO₂ generation). The amount of carbonates on the surface of the oxides plays an important role in CO-PROX reaction. This could be the explanation for the low catalytic activity shown by PrO₂₋₅ towards CO-PROX. On other hand, the reducibility order as determined by H₂-TPR of the catalysts is in accordance with the activity of H₂ oxidation during the CO-PROX process. As discussed in CO-PROX results, PrO₂₋₀ exhibits the lowest O₂ selectivity to CO_2 but the highest O_2 selectivity for H_2 oxidation, which means that the rest of O_2 was consumed by H₂ oxidation and H₂ oxidation is dominant during CO-PROX reaction. For CexPr1-xO2-5 mixed oxides, H2-TPR reduction temperature decreases, CO conversion also decreases. At the same time, the O2 selectivity to CO2 also decreases, suggesting that H2 oxidation is more favourable. To summarize, CO oxidation in CO-PROX process follows the same order of CO-TPR, while H₂ oxidation in CO-PROX process is related to the catalysts' reducibility in H₂-TPR. For this reason, $PrO_{2-\delta}$ shows the lowest activity for CO oxidation during PROX process, however it is more active for H₂ oxidation and NO oxidation to NO₂, even after calcination at 1000°C.

Regarding the oxidant molecules' features, NO/NO₂ present a stronger Lewis acid character than CO/CO₂, so nitrogen oxides could react with basic oxygen sites, displacing surface carbonate species [10]. In this sense, a high amount of surface praseodymium carbonates has minor or no negative influence on the NO oxidation reaction, since the forming nitrates can displace surface carbonates, and therefore $PrO_{2-\delta}$, which presents the highest reducibility under H₂ and the highest O₂ evolution under He, is the most active sample.

Interestingly, PrO_{2-δ} and Ce_{0.2}Pr_{0.8}O_{2-δ} showed a certain NO oxidation activity at the temperatures below 250°C. It is important to outline that these catalytic tests were conducted with fresh samples (no pretreatments) as explained above. The desorption of surface contamination (carbonates, hydroxyl groups) under the reactive stream can create nascent Ln³⁺ centers able to suffer NO attack and promote several reaction routes [10] and these two samples are those with the highest population of carbonate-like species desorbed (from 150°C). This fact joined to an easy desorption of their labile oxygen species are tentatively ascribed to be responsible of NO oxidation in such low temperature region.

On the contrary, carbonates generated during PROX reaction (or those which were not decomposed during the pre-treatment at 250°C) seem to inhibit the CO preferential oxidation reaction to CO₂.

Finally, the $Ce_{0.8}Pr_{0.2}O_{2-\delta}$ sample shows a certain lattice oxygen mobility (evolving O_2 is observed in TG-MS experiments, while it is not observed for pure ceria) and a low population of carbonates, comparing to the other Pr-containing samples. A combination of both factors make this composition the most active one among those studied for PROX reaction.

4. Conclusions

In this work, a series of Ce_xPr_{1-x}O_{2-δ} mixed oxides was prepared by co-precipitation method. These catalysts were characterized and studied for the NO oxidation to NO₂ and the CO-PROX reactions. The general conclusions that have been drawn are the following:

TG-MS results reveal that pure ceria is not able to release O₂ under inert atmosphere, but ceria-praseodymia mixed oxides and pure praseodymia present different and complex O₂ evolution profiles. In addition, the released CO₂ profiles show that the presence of surface carbonates increases with the Pr content in the catalysts. These carbonates seem not to inhibit the NO oxidation reaction, but the CO-PROX reaction is negatively affected by their presence. The catalytic activity results observed in CO oxidation during PROX process can be related to the CO-TPR trends in reducibility; meanwhile H₂-TPR results can be correlated to NO oxidation to

NO₂ trends in activity and H₂ oxidation during PROX reaction. All these results can explain the superior catalytic activity for NO oxidation to NO₂ shown by $PrO_{2-\delta}$ and its low activity for CO-PROX reaction. On the other hand, Ce_{0.8}Pr_{0.2}O_{2-\delta} becomes the most active catalyst for CO-PROX due to the balance of a moderate reducibility under H₂, a low carbonate population and a measurable O₂ evolution under He.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of Generalitat Valenciana (PROMETEOII/2014/010), MINECO (CTQ2012-30703, MAT2013-40823-R, CSD2009-00013) and the UE (FEDER funding). X. Chen thanks the program of "Ramón y Cajal" from Ministry of Science and Innovation of Spain.

References

- 1. Wu Z, Li M, Overbury SH (2012) On the structure dependence of CO oxidation over CeO₂ nanocrystals with well-defined surface planes. J Catal 285:61–73.
- 2. Ren YY, Deng CS, Ai DS, et al. (2010) A Facile Template-Free Synthesis of Praseodymium-Doped Ceria Nanorods. Key Eng Mater 434-435:714–716.
- 3. González Rovira L, Delgado JJ, Elamrani K, et al. (2012) Synthesis of ceria-praseodimia nanotubes with high catalytic activity for CO oxidation. Catal Today 180:167–173.
- 4. Yan L, Xing X, Yu R, et al. (2007) Synthesis of Pr-doped ceria nanorods with a high specific surface area. Scr Mater 56:301–304.
- Ilieva L, Pantaleo G, Ivanov I, et al. (2011) Preferential oxidation of CO in H₂ rich stream (PROX) over gold catalysts supported on doped ceria: Effect of water and CO₂. Catal Today 175:411–419.
- Sinev MY, Graham GW, Haack LP, Shelef M (1996) Kinetic and structural studies of oxygen availability of the mixed oxides Pr1–xMxOy (M = Ce, Zr). J Mater Res 11:1960– 1971.
- 7. Lade DR (1994-1995) Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 75th ed. CRC Press
- De Rivas B, Guillén Hurtado N, López-Fonseca R, et al. (2012) Activity, selectivity and stability of praseodymium-doped CeO₂ for chlorinated VOCs catalytic combustion. Appl Catal B Environ 121-122:162–170.
- 9. Zhu H, Qin Z, Shan W, et al. (2004) Pd/CeO₂-TiO₂ catalyst for CO oxidation at low temperature: A TPR study with H₂ and CO as reducing agents. J Catal 225:267–277.
- Atribak I, Azambre B, Bueno López A, García García A (2009) Effect of NOx adsorption/desorption over ceria-zirconia catalysts on the catalytic combustion of model soot. Appl Catal B Environ 92:126–137.