

## Enhanced catalytic activity and stability for the electrooxidation of formic acid on lead modified shape controlled platinum nanoparticles.

Juan Víctor Perales-Rondón<sup>\*a,b</sup>, Jose Solla-Gullón<sup>b</sup>, Enrique Herrero<sup>a,b</sup> and Carlos

Manuel Sánchez-Sánchez<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Departamento de Química-Física, Instituto Universitario de Electroquímica,*

*Universidad de Alicante Ap. 99, E-03080, Alicante, Spain.*

<sup>b</sup>*Instituto de Electroquímica, Universidad de Alicante Ap. 99, E-03080, Alicante, Spain.*

<sup>c</sup>*Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS, Laboratoire Interfaces et*

*Systèmes Electrochimiques, 4 place Jussieu, F-75005 Paris, France*

*\*e-mail adress: [juanvictor.perales@ua.es](mailto:juanvictor.perales@ua.es) (Juan Víctor Perales-Rondón).*

### Abstract

High catalytic activity for formic acid oxidation reaction (FAOR) is demonstrated in Pb modified shape-controlled Pt nanoparticles (NPs). Cyclic voltammetry is used to follow the effective modification of Pt NPs by Pb. Octahedral shaped Pt NPs (having a (111) preferential surface structure) modified by Pb are proved the most active electrocatalyst studied towards FAOR and display a catalytic activity of c.a. 7 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at 0.5 V in 0.1 M formic acid solution. This current density represents an enhancement factor 29.5 with respect to the unmodified Pt NPs and this is 2.7 and 2.3 times higher than that found on Tl<sub>θ</sub> /100-Pt NPs and Sb<sub>θ</sub> /111-Pt NPs, respectively, some of the most active electrocatalysts based on adatoms modified Pt NPs reported so far. This outstanding activity is displayed at maximum Pb coverage and also confers a wide electrocatalyst stability over the entire potential range studied. FAOR is also studied using scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM) by the micropipette delivery/substrate collection (MD/SC) working mode as a preliminary rapid test to identify active electrocatalysts. In

particular, the remarkable activity enhancement exhibited by a Pt ultramicroelectrode (100  $\mu\text{m}$  diameter) modified by Pb is rapidly imaged by SECM providing preliminary catalyst performance information. Thus, this technique emerges as a suitable and fast method to test, and in some cases quantify, catalyst activity for reactions of interest in fuel cell applications.

**Keywords:** shape-controlled Pt nanoparticles; Pb adsorption; Pb modified electrodes; formic acid oxidation; SECM.

### **Highlights**

- Lead modified 111-Pt NPs display one of the highest current density values reported for FAOR at 0.5 V in 0.1 M HCOOH solution.
- Lead adatom presents a combined third body and bifunctional catalytic effect as modifier on Pt NPs.
- High catalyst stability is displayed at maximum lead coverage.
- SECM emerges as a suitable and fast tool to perform preliminary tests of electrocatalytic activity.

## 1. Introduction

Formic acid oxidation reaction (FAOR) represents a very important reaction in electrocatalysis, since it can be used as a model in fundamental studies for other small organic molecules (SOMs) such as methanol or ethanol [1]. Moreover, formic acid has been proposed as a fuel for direct liquid fuel cells (DLFCs), which can be used as small power supply [2, 3] in electronic devices, since FAOR presents fast oxidation kinetics, safety in the manipulation and less fuel crossover through the ionic exchange membrane than other fuels such as methanol [4]. In a direct formic acid fuel cell (DFAFC) [5], the thermodynamic cell potential is 1.428 V, which makes it more interesting than hydrogen fuel cells from an available energy point of view. Nevertheless, the overpotential for the FAOR at present needs to be improved in order to reach commercial applications.

Pt is one of the most studied metals in electrocatalysis [1]. In particular, FAOR on Pt electrodes has been widely studied over the last decades due to the high activity of this metal for the oxidation of different SOMs. Since FAOR has probably the simplest oxidation mechanism among all different SOMs, a deep understanding of the FAOR mechanism on Pt should be very useful for other important electrocatalytic oxidation reactions. It is well accepted that FAOR on Pt electrodes follows two different reaction pathways [6-8]. One of them, the so-called indirect via, involves the formation of CO on the electrode surface, which acts as a poison intermediate. The other pathway is known as the direct via, and implies the formation of an active intermediate, which is immediately oxidized into CO<sub>2</sub>. On the other hand, it is also well-known that FAOR is a surface sensitive reaction [9, 10]. Studies on Pt single crystal electrodes (Pt(*hkl*)) have allowed a better comprehension of some of the elementary steps in FAOR,

proving that Pt(100) is the most active electrode for both paths, while Pt(111) is least active one, in spite of the fact that the formation of CO is almost negligible on this electrode [11].

The modification of the surface chemical composition on the Pt(*hkl*) electrodes is one of the most widely employed methods to increase the catalytic activity for the FAOR. This strategy is mainly based on the incorporation of different adatoms, which can be either metals or semi-metals, on the surface of the Pt(*hkl*) electrodes. This epitaxial adsorption and deposition of a sub-monolayer of adatoms on a foreign metal substrate is usually performed either by irreversible adsorption at open circuit potential or by underpotential deposition (UPD). These two interesting methods to modify noble metals may generate surface alloys in some cases [12]. In the case of modified Pt electrodes, the UPD method [13] is based on the electrodeposition of an adatom monolayer from a solution containing the adatom dissolved as a cation at potentials significantly less negative than that for the bulk electrodeposition of the adatom [14]. The main difference between UPD and irreversible adsorption methods is the fact that irreversible adsorbed adatoms remain stable on the Pt surface in the absence of the adatom cation in solution [1, 15-19], but on the contrary, UPD adatoms are not stable on the Pt surface unless the solution contains the adatom cation in low concentration. Moreover, irreversible adsorption allows achieving adatom coverages independently of the applied potential, as far as it remains within the adatom electrochemical stability limits. In addition, this approach also avoids the problem of accuracy in the coverage quantification that appears when the UPD method is used, due to its dependency with the applied potential and solution composition. The well accepted positive effect of the presence of some adatoms

on the electrocatalytic activity of Pt electrodes towards FAOR is visualized by an evident shift to lower potential values on the onset oxidation potential and/or by increasing current densities of the oxidation reaction. In this sense, it is proposed that adatoms may act following three main different mechanisms; *i*) the *third body* effect in which the foreign adatom modifies the reaction mechanism by steric interference, since it blocks specific adsorption sites on the Pt surface preventing CO formation [20], *ii*) the *electronic* effect [21, 22], where the modification of the Pt electronic structure due to the presence of foreign adatoms enhances the activity of the surface and *iii*) the *bi-functional* effect [23], in which the adatom and the Pt surface sites have distinct roles in the oxidation mechanism [24].

Over the last decades, adatoms such as bismuth (Bi) [25, 26], arsenic (As) [27], antimony (Sb) [28], palladium (Pd) [29] and lead (Pb) [19, 30, 31] adsorbed on Pt(*hkl*) electrodes has been studied, showing an important improvement in the FAOR activity, by following at least one of the proposed mechanisms mentioned above. Nowadays, the next challenge is to transfer all that knowledge from single crystal electrodes to nanoparticles (NPs) with a preferential surface structure. In this sense, the role of some adatoms such as Bi [32], Sb [33] and Tl [34] modifying shape-controlled Pt NPs have been already reported. Particularly, Bi adatom has shown a significant enhancement in the activity of the (111) preferential Pt NPs towards FAOR [35], which agrees with previous studies using Bi modified Pt single crystal electrodes [36]. Some new approaches in the study of FAOR on modified Pt NPs electrode also include trimetallic systems [37] and graphene-Pt NPs hybrid materials[38].

Despite the number of adatoms already studied modifying Pt NPs, there are still some of them untested. This is the case of Pb adatom on shape-controlled Pt NPs for FAOR, which is studied herein. However, Pb has been already used in Pt-Pb bimetallic alloys [39-41] to improve conventional spherical Pt NPs activity towards FAOR, as well as intermetallic Pb-Pt catalysts [42, 43]. The main goal of this article is to address that question in order to explore the feasibility of using Pb modified shape-controlled Pt NPs as catalyst for fuel cell applications. The use of conventional Pb or PtPb NPs in real electrochemical filter press reactors either for electroorganic synthesis [44] or DFAFCs [45] have been already demonstrated in the literature.

Finally, the use of novel electroanalytical techniques for studying the reaction mechanism in electrocatalytic reactions provides new tools for electrocatalyst interrogation [46-50]. Among of them, the scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM) [51], which is based on the steady-state diffusion controlled regime provided by either an ultramicroelectrode (UME) or a micropipette, has already demonstrated its utility for studying FAOR [52-54]. However, no SECM imaging studies have been devoted to prove the activity improvement of adatoms modifying Pt electrodes in FAOR. So far, only a voltammetric study of FAOR on Bi modified Pt UME has been reported [55]. In the present work, we use SECM images to provide some additional information in the comparison of the activity for FAOR on pristine Pt and Pb modified Pt (Pb-Pt).

## 2. Experimental section

### 2.1. Chemicals

1,2-dichloroethane ( $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}-\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$ , DCE) anhydrous 99.8%, octyltriethoxysilane 97.5%, lead (II) perchlorate hydrate  $\text{Pb}(\text{ClO}_4)_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  (Aldrich<sup>®</sup> 99.995%),  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  (Merck KGaA Suprapur<sup>®</sup> 96%),  $\text{HCOOH}$  (Merck KGaG 98 %), polyethylene glycol dodecyl ether (Brij<sup>®</sup> 30), n-heptane (ACROS Organics, Analysis), sodium borohydride (Aldrich<sup>®</sup> 99.99%), sodium polyacrylate (Aldrich<sup>®</sup>),  $\text{K}_2\text{PtCl}_4$  (Aldrich<sup>®</sup> 99.99%),  $\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (Aldrich<sup>®</sup> 37.50%),  $\text{HCl}$  (Aldrich<sup>®</sup> 37%),  $\text{NaOH}$  (Merck, Pellets for analysis). All solutions were prepared with ultrapure water (18.2 M $\Omega$  cm, Purelab Ultra system, Elga-Vivendi).

## **2.2. Electrochemical experiments**

### **2.2.1. Voltammetric and chronoamperometric studies**

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and chronoamperometry (CA) were performed using a conventional electrochemical glass cell of 3 electrodes at room temperature and a potentiostat-galvanostat AUTOLAB PGSTAT302N (Metrohm Autolab B. V.) or a potentiostat CHI 760E. The working electrode was prepared by adding 4  $\mu\text{L}$  of each type of Pt NPs (2  $\mu\text{L}$  for 100-Pt NPs) coating a 3 mm diameter gold (Au) disc electrode, which is used as a NPs support and current collector, and allowing the water solvent to be evaporated before entering the electrode in the cell. The sample volume of 4  $\mu\text{L}$  was selected after performing a calibration curve that proved no diffusion limitations for FAOR due to the thickness of that layer of NPs deposited on the gold disc electrode. Each Pt NPs suspension was sonicated for 2 min before being used. A gold wire was used as a counter electrode, and a reversible hydrogen electrode (*RHE*) within a Luggin capillary was employed as a reference electrode. All potentials used in this paper

are referred to *RHE*. CVs for Pt surface area quantification were carried out in a deaerated 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution. CVs and CAs for studying the electrocatalytic activity for FAOR were carried out in deaerated 0.1 M HCOOH and 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution. An electrochemical pre-treatment for removing the CO<sub>ads</sub> accumulated at the electrode surface from previous FAOR experiments was performed before starting each CA. This consists in holding the electrode potential at 0.8 V for 5 s. The potential window where it is possible to work avoiding structure modifications on the shape-controlled Pt NPs surface is between 0.05 V and 0.8 V [56, 57].

### 2.3. Pb modified shape controlled Pt NPs (Pb<sub>0</sub>/Pt NPs)

Three types of shape-controlled Pt NPs dispersed in water with surface area to volume ratios of 0.03 cm<sup>2</sup> μL<sup>-1</sup> for Cubic 100-Pt NPs and 0.017 cm<sup>2</sup> μL<sup>-1</sup> for octahedral 111-Pt NPs and for quasi-spherical poly-Pt NPs were used. The chemical synthesis of those NPs was carried out as previously described in detail [58]. The Pt NPs morphology/shape was confirmed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM and HRTEM). TEM experiments were performed with a JEOL JEM-2010 microscope and a JEOL 3010 microscope working at 200 kV and 300 kV respectively. The procedure to get all the TEM images is detailed in reference [59].

The average particle size of the cubic and octahedral NPs was around 9 nm, whereas the size of the quasi-spherical NPs was around 4 nm. Before being modified with Pb, the Pt NPs were electrochemically characterized and a CO adsorption-stripping experiment was performed in order to clean the Pt NPs surface, as has been previously reported [57, 58]. In brief, this procedure consists



in blocking the Pt NPs electrode surface by bubbling CO in solution for about 30 s. After this time the electrode is kept at potential 0.1 V for about 15 min, while the solution is bubbled with Ar(g) in order to remove any CO traces in solution. Finally, the CO adsorbed on the Pt NPs electrode surface is stripped and converted into CO<sub>2</sub> by running a CV at slow scan rate (20 mV s<sup>-1</sup>), which reaches a high enough positive potential (0.8 V vs RHE). Then, each type of Pt NPs was electrochemically characterized in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution before and after the irreversible adsorption of Pb adatoms on its surface. The Pt NPs active surface area was determined by the charge involved in the hydrogen UPD region (between 0.05 V and 0.50 V for 100-Pt NPs; 0.05 V and 0.45 V for poly-Pt NPs, and 0.05 V and 0.65 V for 111-Pt NPs) after the subtraction of the double layer [57]. Then, Pb adatoms were irreversibly adsorbed on each type of Pt NPs outside the electrochemical cell by simple immersion of Pt NPs at open circuit potential within dilute solutions of 10<sup>-3</sup> ~ 10<sup>-5</sup> M Pb(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in 0.5 M HClO<sub>4</sub> and following a common procedure for the irreversible adsorption of different adatoms on Pt [60]. After this, the electrode was rinsed with ultrapure water (except for the maximum coverage case, where the electrodes were not rinsed before being immersed into the solution) and transferred to an electrochemical cell (different to the one used for Pt NPs electrochemical characterization) to perform the electrocatalytic test for FAOR in deaerated 0.1 M HCOOH and 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution. By varying the adatom concentration in solution as well as the electrode immersion time, different Pb adatom coverages can be reached on the Pt NPs surface. Finally, the Pb coverage ( $\theta_{\text{Pb}}$ ) on the Pt NPs surface was quantified by following the decrease of the charge involved in the hydrogen adsorption or

desorption peaks present in the hydrogen UPD region of the corresponding cyclic voltammetry, according to the equation:

$$\theta_{Pb} = 1 - \theta_H = \frac{q_H^0 - q_H^{Pb}}{q_H^0} \quad (1)$$

where  $q_H^0$  and  $q_H^{Pb}$  are the hydrogen desorption charges for the pristine and Pb modified Pt electrodes respectively, calculated after the subtraction of the double layer contribution [34, 35]. However, as it was already described for Sb [33] and Tl [34] modified Pt NPs, the characterization of  $\theta_{Pb}$  is not possible at high Pb coverage, since the decrease in the hydrogen adsorption/desorption peaks shown in the hydrogen UPD region is compensated by the appearance of a new peak associated with a surface Pb oxidation/reduction signal from the Pb adsorbed on the gold substrate electrode, preventing the quantification of high Pb coverages by this procedure. Thus, the maximum Pb coverage obtained on each type of Pt NPs studied here is not quantified and it is identified as  $\theta_{max}$ . Then, the three types of Pb modified Pt NPs are named: *i*)  $Pb_{\theta}/100$ -Pt NPs, *ii*)  $Pb_{\theta}/111$ -Pt NPs and *iii*)  $Pb_{\theta}/poly$ -Pt NPs.

#### 2.4. Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy (SECM)

The working electrode for SECM experiments was a 100  $\mu$ m diameter pristine Pt UME and the same electrode after in-situ surface modification by irreversible adsorption of Pb (3 min immersed in a diluted  $Pb(ClO_4)_2$  solution) (Pb-Pt). SECM images were carried out using the micropipette delivery/substrate collection (MD/SC) mode [53] of SECM using a CHI 910B microscope (CH Instruments) and a three-electrodes configuration cell at room temperature. The micropipettes used to deliver the HCOOH in the vicinity of the studied electrodes

presented an opened diameter of ca. 15-20  $\mu\text{m}$  and were loaded with a mixture 50:50 (v/v) DCE:HCOOH. They were fabricated by pulling borosilicate capillaries with O.D.: 1.5 mm, I.D.: 1.0 mm and length 90 mm using a laser-based puller P-2000 from Sutter Instrument Co. The inner walls of the micropipettes were made hydrophobic following a well-established silanization protocol [61, 62] by filling them with octyltriethoxysilane overnight and finally, drying them exhaustively. For MD/SC SECM imaging [53, 63], a gold wire, 0.5 mm diameter, was used as counter electrode and a commercial Hg/Hg<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> sat.) electrode within a Luggin capillary as reference, although all potential values have been referred in the article to *RHE* ( $E_{(\text{Hg}/\text{Hg}_2\text{SO}_4)} = +0.64 \text{ V}$  vs *RHE*). The electrochemical cell employed was built in Teflon with a 2 mm diameter aperture, where the Pt UME perfectly fitted facing up and was held at a potential value positive enough to produce the electrochemical oxidation of HCOOH at the electrode surface. An electrochemical pre-treatment for removing the CO<sub>ads</sub> accumulated at the electrode surface was performed before each SECM image. This consists in holding the electrode potential constant at 0.8 V for 5 s. The micropipette was located at a constant tip-substrate distance in Z ( $d = 50 \mu\text{m}$ ) facing the Pt UME and the MD/SC SECM images were obtained recording the substrate current while the micropipette was scanned on the X-Y plane in an argon saturated 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution. The tip scan rate was 75  $\mu\text{m s}^{-1}$ , using increments of 15  $\mu\text{m}$  each 0.2 s.

### **3. Results and discussion**

#### **3.1. FAOR activity enhancement on modified Pb-Pt electrodes by SECM imaging.**

Figure 1 displays several SECM images for FAOR on pristine and Pb-modified with the highest coverage ( $\theta_{\max}$ ) Pt electrodes, using the MD/SC mode of the SECM for imaging. In this mode, the species of interest (HCOOH) is delivered within the solution through a micropipette by crossing the liquid-liquid interface between two immiscible phases, DCE and H<sub>2</sub>O, within and without the micropipette, respectively. Moreover, HCOOH delivery rate is controlled by its partition coefficient in both immiscible phases [53]. These SECM images were taken at two different potentials, namely, 0.3 V and 0.5 V. Brown color in the figure corresponds to the background current, and green color means an increase in the oxidation current because of the oxidation of HCOOH on the electrode.

Figure 1 shows a much higher net oxidation current appearing when the micropipette scans above Pb-Pt than on Pt electrode at both studied potentials. In fact, the current collected at 0.3 V on Pt is so low that a dashed circle has been added into the image to mark the exact Pt electrode location. Thus, these facts clearly point out that the current collection for FAOR (difference between the maximum current at the electrode location and the background current in the SECM image at a given tip-substrate distance [64]) is significantly higher in the case of Pb modified Pt electrode. Table 1 summarizes the collected current for Pt and Pb-Pt electrodes at both potentials exhibiting values from 1.1 to 34.8 nA. Moreover, the catalytic enhancement factor, which in this case is defined as the ratio between the collected current on Pb-Pt electrode versus that collected on Pt electrode at the same potential and tip-substrate distance, is calculated and included in Table 1.

### **3.2. Characterization and stability of Pb modified shape controlled Pt NPs.**

Once the FAOR activity enhancement on modified Pb-Pt electrodes has been demonstrated by preliminary rapid SECM imaging, it is necessary to transfer those results into Pt NPs in order to gain insight into the catalytic mechanism and to envisage the potential feasibility of using Pb modified Pt NPs as electrocatalysts for real DFAFCs.

Figure 2 shows some representative TEM images of the different Pt nanoparticles employed in this work. The results obtained are similar to those previously reported [58]. In figure 2 (A) Pt nanoparticles with octahedral and tetrahedral shapes can be easily distinguished. These shapes would suggest the presence of a {111} preferential orientation. On the other hand, figure 2 (B) shows Pt nanoparticles with a preferential cubic shape thus suggesting a preferential {100} orientation. Finally, spherical Pt nanoparticles with a poly-oriented surface can be observed in figure 2 (C). It is worth noting that before preparing the Pb modified Pt NPs, a careful verification of the current contribution provided by the substrate electrode used as a support and current collector should be conducted. In order to carry out that verification, the Pb surface modification (maximum coverage) of an Au disk electrode was performed. The voltammetric profiles of Pb modified Au disk (black plot) and Pb modified 111-Pt NPs supported on Au disk electrode (red plot) are displayed in figure 3. The main feature observed in both curves corresponds to a sharp anodic peak around 0.22 V, which is associated with Pb UPD/dissolution at different crystal faces of the Au substrate [65]. This is evident in figure 3a when comparing the response of 111-Pt NPs on Au substrate (red plot) and on glassy carbon (GC) substrate (blue plot), where the main difference corresponds to the sharp peak displayed at 0.22 V only present in the Au substrate case. A broad

redox signal extended from 0.3 V to 0.8 V only appears when the electrode contains 111-Pt NPs, suggesting Pb redox processes on the Pt surface taking place at more positive potentials than on Au [19, 31, 66, 67]. Furthermore, the presence of 111-Pt NPs in the electrode causes an important **raise** in the apparent double layer capacitance current. **These signals are associated to the Pb redox processes and are only well-observed for high coverages.** Finally, figure 3b exhibits the response of Pb modified Au and bare Au electrodes for the FAOR, showing no activity **enhancement** when the Au electrode is modified by Pb. This fact rules out any relevant **effect of the Au substrate electrode** in the electrocatalytic behavior displayed by the Pt NPs studied.

Figure 4 shows the electrochemical characterization of all three types of shape controlled Pt NPs modified with different coverage of Pb in sulfuric acid solution. The voltammetric profiles without Pb modification ( $\theta_{\text{Pb}} = 0$ ) agree with those reported already in the literature for shaped controlled Pt NPs [68]. Then, for the three types of shape controlled Pt NPs modified with different amount of Pb, the charge associated within the hydrogen adsorption and desorption region on Pt clearly decreases when the amount of Pb is increased. The Pb coverage is estimated using equation (1). Nevertheless, the peak appeared around 0.22 V when the amount of Pb is significantly increased ( $\theta_{\text{Pb}} = \text{max}$ ), which is due to a redox process associated to Pb adsorbed on the Au polycrystalline surface [65, 69], **prevents** a proper Pb coverage quantification. For this reason, the maximum Pb coverage is not quantified ( $\theta_{\text{max}}$ ).

The stability of the Pb adlayer on Pt NPs **before (solid plots) and after (dash dotted plots) FAOR** is shown in Figure 5 at both, high ( $\theta_{\text{max}}$ , red plots) and low coverage (black plots,  $\theta_{\text{Pb}}/100\text{-Pt} = 0.27$  and  $\theta_{\text{Pb}}/111\text{-Pt} = 0.31$ ), **in sulfuric**

**acid solution 0.5 M.** At low Pb coverage, the Pb deposition is more unstable and the characteristic hydrogen features are clearly identified after FAOR experiment (dash dotted black plot), due to the partial dissolution of Pb [70]. A similar behavior is found when increasing the number of electrochemical cycles that reach 0.8 V as a positive cycle limit (not shown). On the contrary, at maximum Pb coverage, the modified electrode profile before and after FAOR remains stable, which may suggest the formation of a chemically stable Pb surface alloy on Pt when high coverages are reached, which avoids its rapid dissolution and confers more durability to the catalyst. **More work is in progress to deepen in this feature.**

### **3.3. FAOR on Pb modified shape controlled Pt NPs.**

Figure 6 presents the positive scan direction of CVs for all three different **types** of shape controlled Pt NPs at different Pb coverage, and the negative scan direction only for  $\theta_{\text{Pb}} = 0$  and  $\theta_{\text{Pb}} = \text{max}$ . In particular, Figure 6a exhibits pristine and Pb modified poly-Pt NPs. This type of Pt NPs represents the most comparable case to the bulk Pt electrode used for SECM imaging (Figure 1). Moreover, the current density at potentials below 0.30 V is almost negligible in the positive potential scan in all three types of pristine Pt NPs shown in Figure 6 (see Table 2), which is due to  $\text{CO}_{\text{ads}}$  poisoning effects. However, this behavior is particularly important in the pristine 100-Pt NPs (figure 6b, solid black plot), which perfectly agrees with previous  $\text{Pt}(hkl)$  studies, which demonstrated that (100) domains are the most active for the CO formation during FAOR [22]. Above 0.3 V, the current density on pristine Pt NPs increases slowly until ~0.80 V. In contrast, the negative potential scans (dashed black plots in Figure 6) show

significantly higher current densities producing an important hysteresis in all three cases because the CO is removed from the electrode surface at 0.8 V. Figure 6 also shows a significant increase in the oxidation current densities when Pb is present on the surface of all three types of Pt NPs, even at low Pb coverages ( $\theta_{\text{Pb}} < 0.2$ ), reaching a maximum at  $\sim 0.50$  V. Moreover, this activity enhancement is displayed in both positive and negative scan directions, exhibiting a very small hysteresis when Pb is present on the Pt NPs surface. In fact, for  $\text{Pb}_\theta/\text{poly-Pt}$  NPs there is not hysteresis between both scans, whereas in the cases of  $\text{Pb}_\theta/100\text{-Pt}$  NPs and  $\text{Pb}_\theta/111\text{-Pt}$  NPs, this effect is somehow more visible, being always the current in the positive scan larger than in the negative one. This behavior is completely different from that observed in unmodified Pt NPs and indicates suppression of CO formation when Pb is present on the Pt NPs surface. Furthermore, there is a shift of the onset potential for the FAOR with respect to the unmodified Pt NPs towards less positive potentials ( $\sim 0.15$  V) in all three cases. More importantly, a significant current increase is observed for  $\text{Pb}_\theta/111\text{-Pt}$  NPs and particularly at maximum Pb coverage ( $6718 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$  at 0.5 V).

Table 2 summarizes the density current values reached at 0.3 V and 0.5 V in the positive scan direction for each type of shape controlled Pt NP at  $\theta_{\text{Pb}}$  maximum. Additionally, in order to achieve a proper comparison with other adatoms already studied in the literature, comparable results for FAOR using Sb [33] modified Pt NPs and Tl [34] modified Pt NPs are also included in Table 2. That comparison points out that  $\text{Sb}_\theta/111\text{-Pt}$  NPs and  $\text{Tl}_\theta/100\text{-Pt}$  NPs exhibit a little bit larger current densities than any of the Pb modified Pt NPs at 0.3 V (1.2 and 1.1 times higher than  $\text{Pb}_\theta/111\text{-Pt}$  NPs, respectively). Nevertheless, the maximum current reported for FAOR on Pt NPs modified by adatoms at higher



potentials (at 0.5 V) is the one exhibited by  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /111-Pt NPs (2.7 times higher than on  $\text{Tl}_\theta$ /100-Pt NPs and 2.3 higher than on  $\text{Sb}_\theta$ /111-Pt NPs). In addition to this, all three types of Pb modified Pt NPs exhibit higher current densities than Sb or Tl modified Pt NPs at 0.5 V, independently of the preferential Pt surface structure. Furthermore, the modification by Pb provides the highest average current comparing all 3 adatoms and considering the average of all three types of NPs for each case, both at 0.3 and 0.5 V, since the reaction is less sensitive to the surface structure of the NPs, which also supports the possible formation of a chemically stable Pb-Pt surface alloy [71].

Figure 7 shows the catalytic enhancement factor for FAOR as a function of applied potential calculated from the corresponding positive-sweep voltammetric profiles displayed in Figure 6. This factor of normalization is defined as the ratio between the current densities of Pb modified Pt NPs at maximum Pb coverage and that obtained in the corresponding pristine Pt NPs. It can be seen that, despite  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /111-Pt NPs is the most active electrode comparing absolute values of current density, the normalized activity is very similar to that of  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /100-Pt NPs. However,  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /poly-Pt NPs show a lower increase in the activity. In fact, the maximum normalized activity is reached around 0.37 - 0.40 V, and the catalytic enhancement factor is 29.5 and 28.5 for  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /111-Pt NPs and  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /100-Pt NPs, respectively, and 18 for  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /poly-Pt NPs. This fact could be related with a NPs size effect. Since poly-Pt NPs are significantly smaller than the others, they have a larger fraction of edge atoms on their surface, which affords a smaller catalytic enhancement factor. Thus, a Pb adatom deposited neighboring to an edge will have a lower amount of neighboring Pt surface atoms, but the increase in activity is proportional to the number of Pt-Pb ensembles created by the adatom

deposition, that is, to the number of Pt neighboring the Pb adatom [20]. It is clear that the deposition of Pb close to an edge will result in an increase of activity lower than that measured when the adatom is deposited in the middle of a large domain. However, despite a lower increase, the shape of the curve in figure 7 is the same in all three cases, which indicates that the actuation mechanism is the same in all Pb modified Pt NPs. Furthermore, no difference is observed when comparing the catalytic enhancement factor at 0.3 V calculated from the current collected within the SECM images (13, see Table 1) and the one calculated from the positive-sweep voltammetric profiles in Figure 6a for Pb modified poly-Pt NPs (13.5, see Table 2), since that potential fits into the charge transfer control regime. In contrast, the same comparison at 0.5 V, a potential at which diffusion may play a role in the kinetics, denotes a clear underestimation of the catalytic enhancement factor when it is calculated from SECM images, mainly due to the tip-substrate distance used in figure 1, which does not allow a 100% collection efficiency.

Figures 8 and 9 show the chronoamperometric experiments for 600 s at 0.3 and 0.5 V, respectively, obtained with all three types of Pb modified Pt NPs. Chronoamperometric experiments not only provide information about the catalytic enhancement at any specific potential, but also it can give some light about the stability of the catalytic activity vs. time. For this reason, they may be used to calculate the deactivation rate, which is defined as  $(j_{60s} - j_{600s}) / j_{60s}$  and it is reported in Table 3. In particular, figure 8 shows that all three types of Pb modified Pt NPs provide similar current density values (aprox.  $400 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$ , see Table 3) at maximum Pb coverage after 600 s, which implies that there is not a specific surface structure effect in the activity when modifying by Pb at this

potential, as was also suggested by the voltammetric behavior. However, when the chronoamperometric experiment is performed at 0.5 V (figure 9), the  $\text{Pb}_0/111\text{-Pt}$  NPs at maximum Pb coverage clearly exhibits the highest current density value after 600 s ( $4190 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$ , see Table 3), showing also a moderate deactivation rate (15%, see Table 3) similar to the one already reported for  $\text{Sb}_0/111\text{-Pt}$  NPs at optimum Sb coverage [33].

### **3.4. FAOR mechanism discussion for Pb modified shaped controlled Pt NPs.**

As it is well known,  $\text{HCOOH}$  can yield adsorbed  $\text{CO}$  by a dehydration reaction [72]. This process has specific surface site requirements [20, 73], which makes the reaction susceptible of control by adding adatoms, which can act blocking some surface Pt ensemble sites and hindering in this way the  $\text{CO}$  formation. From the voltammetric profiles shown in Figure 6 it is clear that the  $\text{CO}$  adsorption is hindered by the presence of Pb on the Pt NPs surface, at low and high coverage, because of a third body effect [26, 74]. This is more evident by the loss of the hysteresis between the positive and negative potential sweep at each case compared with the unmodified Pt NPs.

As in the case of other electropositive adatoms such as Bi, Sb or Te, their presence on Pt promotes the adsorption of the formate on the adatom in a configuration which facilitates the cleavage of the C-H bond with almost no activation energy on a neighboring Pt site, justifying a bifunctional mechanism by the Pb adatom. This is well documented and demonstrated in recent contributions [26, 74]. This behavior is certain assuming only the formation of a submonolayer of adatom on the electrode surface. In parallel, the presence of Pb hinders the

dehydration reaction of HCOOH [75]. Thus, the net result of both mechanism of action is that the formation of CO is almost completely inhibited and much higher oxidation currents are reached by the direct pathway.

#### 4. Conclusions

Pb modified shape controlled Pt NPs represents a highly efficient method for improving the catalytic activity for FAOR of Pt NPs by reaching catalytic enhancement factors as high as 29.5. In particular, Pb<sub>θ</sub>/111-Pt NPs at θ<sub>max</sub> reaches 2.7 times higher current density than Tl<sub>θ</sub>/100-Pt NPs [34] and 2.3 higher than Sb<sub>θ</sub>/111-Pt NPs [33] at 0.5 V in 0.1 M HCOOH and 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution, so far, two of the most active catalysts reported for FAOR under low reactant concentration conditions (0.1 M). It should be also highlighted that all three types of Pb modified Pt NPs studied at maximum Pb coverage exhibit higher current density at 0.5 V than Tl<sub>θ</sub>/100-Pt NPs and Sb<sub>θ</sub>/111-Pt NPs, which could suggest the formation of a Pb surface alloy on the Pt surface at high Pb coverage and an important bifunctional effect leading the FAOR mechanism towards the direct reaction pathway and suppressing the CO formation by a third body effect. Moreover, at maximum Pb coverage, the modified electrode voltammetric profile remains stable after FAOR in a wide potential range, which confers a remarkable durability to the Pb modified Pt electrodes and opens up its application in diffusion gas electrodes for fuel cells. Finally, it should be pointed out that the SECM imaging by the MD/SC mode emerges as a suitable and fast method to perform preliminary catalytic tests, and in some cases quantify, the catalyst activity for reactions of interest in fuel cell applications, such as FAOR, providing a fast method to test in advance the effect of a specific adatom adsorbed on metallic electrodes.

## 5. Acknowledgements

This work has been financially supported by the MICINN (Spain) (projects CTQ2013-44083-P and CTQ2013-48280-C3-3-R), Generalitat Valenciana (project PROMETEOII/2014/013, FEDER) and CNRS (project Défi Instrumentation aux limites 2015).

## 6. References

- [1] M.T.M. Koper, *Fuel Cell Catalysis: A Surface Science Approach*, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey, 2009.
- [2] C. Rice, R.I. Ha, R.I. Masel, P. Waszczuk, A. Wieckowski, T. Barnard, *J. Power Sources* 111 (2002) 83-89.
- [3] N.V. Rees, R.G. Compton, *J. Solid State Electrochem.* 15 (2011) 2095-2100.
- [4] S. Uhm, H.J. Lee, J. Lee, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 11 (2009) 9326-9336.
- [5] X. Yu, P.G. Pickup, *J. Power Sources* 182 (2008) 124-132.
- [6] A. Capon, R. Parsons, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 44 (1973) 1-7.
- [7] A. Capon, R. Parsons, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 44 (1973) 239-254.
- [8] A. Capon, R. Parsons, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 45 (1973) 205-231.
- [9] V. Grozovski, V. Climent, E. Herrero, J.M. Feliu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 12 (2010) 8822-8831.
- [10] S.G. Sun, Y.Y. Yang, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 467 (1999) 121-131.
- [11] V. Climent, J.M. Feliu, *J. Solid State Electrochem.* 15 (2011) 1297-1315.
- [12] F.J. Vidal-Iglesias, A. Al-Akl, D. Watson, G.A. Attard, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 611 (2007) 117-125.
- [13] E. Herrero, L.J. Buller, H.D. Abruna, *Chem. Rev.* 101 (2001) 1897-1930.
- [14] S. Szabo, *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 10 (1991) 207-248.
- [15] J. Clavilier, J.M. Feliu, A. Aldaz, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 243 (1988) 419-433.
- [16] J. Clavilier, J.M. Feliu, A. Fernandezvega, A. Aldaz, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 269 (1989) 175-189.
- [17] R.W. Evans, G.A. Attard, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 345 (1993) 337-350.
- [18] J.M. Feliu, A. Fernández-Vega, A. Aldaz, J. Clavilier, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 256 (1988) 149-163.
- [19] J.M. Feliu, A. Fernández-Vega, J.M. Orts, A. Aldaz, *J. Chim. Phys. Phys.-Chim. Biol.* 88 (1991) 1493-1518.
- [20] E. Leiva, T. Iwasita, E. Herrero, J.M. Feliu, *Langmuir* 13 (1997) 6287-6293.
- [21] M. Shibata, N. Furuya, M. Watanabe, S. Motoo, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 263 (1989) 97-108.
- [22] E. Herrero, A. Fernández-Vega, J.M. Feliu, A. Aldaz, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 350 (1993) 73-88.
- [23] M. Watanabe, S. Motoo, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 60 (1975) 275-283.
- [24] N.M. Markovic, H.A. Gasteiger, P.N. Ross, X.D. Jiang, I. Villegas, M.J. Weaver, *Electrochim. Acta* 40 (1995) 91-98.

- [25] S.P.E. Smith, K.F. Ben-Dor, H.D. Abruna, *Langmuir* 15 (1999) 7325-7332.
- [26] J.V. Perales-Rondon, A. Ferre-Vilaplana, J.M. Feliu, E. Herrero, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 136 (2014) 13110-13113.
- [27] A. Boronat-Gonzalez, E. Herrero, J.M. Feliu, *J. Solid State Electrochem.* 18 (2014) 1181-1193.
- [28] Y.-Y. Yang, S.-G. Sun, Y.-J. Gu, Z.-Y. Zhou, C.-H. Zhen, *Electrochim. Acta* 46 (2001) 4339-4348.
- [29] F.J. Vidal-Iglesias, J. Solla-Gullón, E. Herrero, A. Aldaz, J.M. Feliu, *J. Appl. Electrochem.* 36 (2006) 1207-1214.
- [30] X.H. Xia, T. Iwasita, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 140 (1993) 2559-2565.
- [31] H.W. Lei, H. Hattori, H. Kita, *Electrochim. Acta* 41 (1996) 1619-1628.
- [32] A. López-Cudero, F.J. Vidal-Iglesias, J. Solla-Gullón, E. Herrero, A. Aldaz, J.M. Feliu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 11 (2009) 416-424.
- [33] F.J. Vidal-Iglesias, A. Lopez-Cudero, J. Solla-Gullon, J.M. Feliu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 52 (2013) 964-967.
- [34] C. Buso-Rogero, J.V. Perales-Rondon, M.J.S. Farias, F.J. Vidal-Iglesias, J. Solla-Gullon, E. Herrero, J.M. Feliu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 16 (2014) 13616-13624.
- [35] Q.S. Chen, Z.Y. Zhou, F.J. Vidal-Iglesias, J. Solla-Gullon, J.M. Feliu, S.G. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 133 (2011) 12930-12933.
- [36] M.D. Maciá, E. Herrero, J.M. Feliu, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 554 (2003) 25-34.
- [37] G.-T. Fu, B.-Y. Xia, R.-G. Ma, Y. Chen, Y.-W. Tang, J.-M. Lee, *Nano Energy* 12 (2015) 824-832.
- [38] X. Gao, Y. Li, Q. Zhang, S. Li, Y. Chen, J.-M. Lee, *J. Mater. Chem. A* 3 (2015) 12000-12004.
- [39] M. Gong, F. Li, Z. Yao, S. Zhang, J. Dong, Y. Chen, Y. Tang, *Nanoscale* 7 (2015) 4894-4899.
- [40] E. Bennett, J. Monzó, J. Humphrey, D. Plana, M. Walker, C. McConville, D. Fermin, A. Yanson, P. Rodriguez, *ACS Catal.* 6 (2016) 1533-1539.
- [41] Y. Kang, L. Qi, M. Li, R.E. Diaz, D. Su, R.R. Adzic, E. Stach, J. Li, C.B. Murray, *ACS Nano* 6 (2012) 2818-2825.
- [42] E. Casado-Rivera, D.J. Volpe, L. Alden, C. Lind, C. Downie, T. Vázquez-Alvarez, A.C.D. Angelo, F.J. DiSalvo, H.D. Abruña, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 126 (2004) 4043-4049.
- [43] F. Matsumoto, C. Roychowdhury, F.J. DiSalvo, H.D. Abruna, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 155 (2008) B148-B154.
- [44] A. Saéz, C.M. Sánchez-Sánchez, J. Solla-Gullón, E. Expósito, V. Montiel, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 156 (2009) E154-E160.
- [45] S. Uhm, S.T. Chung, J. Lee, *Electrochem. Commun.* 9 (2007) 2027-2031.
- [46] C.M. Sánchez-Sánchez, A.J. Bard, *Anal. Chem.* 81 (2009) 8094-8100.
- [47] M. Villanueva-Rodríguez, C.M. Sánchez-Sánchez, V. Montiel, E. Brillas, J.M. Peralta-Hernández, A. Hernández-Ramírez, *Electrochim. Acta* 64 (2012) 196-204.
- [48] C.M. Sanchez-Sanchez, J. Souza-Garcia, A. Saez, V. Montiel, E. Herrero, A. Aldaz, J.M. Feliu, *Electrochim. Acta* 56 (2011) 10708-10712.
- [49] C.M. Sanchez-Sanchez, J. Solla-Gullon, F.J. Vidal-Iglesias, A. Aldaz, V. Montiel, E. Herrero, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 132 (2010) 5622-5624.
- [50] C.M. Sanchez-Sanchez, *The Electrochem. Soc. Interface* 23 (2014) 43-45.
- [51] A.J. Bard, M.V. Mirkin, *Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy*, in: N.Y. CRC Press, US (Ed.), 2012.
- [52] C. Jung, C.M. Sánchez-Sánchez, C.-L. Lin, J. Rodríguez-López, A.J. Bard, *Anal. Chem.* 81 (2009) 7003-7008.
- [53] C.-L. Lin, J. Rodríguez-López, A.J. Bard, *Anal. Chem.* 81 (2009) 8868-8877.

- [54] J. Rodríguez-López, A.J. Bard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 132 (2010) 5121-5129.
- [55] S. Daniele, S. Bergamin, *Electrochem. Commun.* 9 (2007) 1388-1393.
- [56] F.J. Vidal-Iglesias, R.M. Arán-Ais, J. Solla-Gullon, E. Herrero, J.M. Feliu, *ACS Catal.* 2 (2012) 901-910.
- [57] J. Solla-Gullón, P. Rodríguez, E. Herrero, A. Aldaz, J.M. Feliu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 10 (2008) 1359-1373.
- [58] J. Solla-Gullón, F.J. Vidal-Iglesias, A. López-Cudero, E. Garnier, J.M. Feliu, A. Aldaz, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 10 (2008) 3689-3698.
- [59] J. Solla-Gullon, E. Garnier, J.M. Feliu, M. Leoni, A. Leonardi, P. Scardi, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 48 (2015) 1534-1542.
- [60] C.M. Sánchez-Sánchez, J. Souza-Garcia, E. Herrero, A. Aldaz, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 668 (2012) 51-59.
- [61] Y. Shao, M.V. Mirkin, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 102 (1998) 9915-9921.
- [62] D.A. Walsh, J.L. Fernández, J. Mauzeroll, A.J. Bard, *Anal. Chem.* 77 (2005) 5182-5188.
- [63] O. Lugaresi, J.V. Perales-Rondon, A. Minguzzi, J. Solla-Gullon, S. Rondinini, J.M. Feliu, C.M. Sanchez-Sanchez, *Applied Catalysis B* 163 (2015) 554-563.
- [64] F. Zhou, P.R. Unwin, A.J. Bard, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* 96 (1992) 4917-4924.
- [65] R. Adzic, E. Yeager, B.D. Cahan, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 121 (1974) 474-484.
- [66] F. ElOmar, R. Durand, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 178 (1984) 343-350.
- [67] B.N. Grgur, N.M. Marković, P.N. Ross, *Langmuir* 13 (1997) 6370-6374.
- [68] Z.Y. Zhou, N. Tian, Z.Z. Huang, D.J. Chen, S.G. Sun, *Faraday Discuss.* 140 (2008) 81-92.
- [69] M.J. Henderson, E. Bitziou, A.R. Hillman, E. Vieil, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 148 (2001) E105-E111.
- [70] J. Clavilier, J.M. Orts, J.M. Feliu, A. Aldaz, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 293 (1990) 197-208.
- [71] M.P. Mercer, D. Plana, D.J. Fermín, D. Morgan, N. Vasiljevic, *Langmuir* 31 (2015) 10904-10912.
- [72] M.J. Llorca, E. Herrero, J.M. Feliu, A. Aldaz, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 373 (1994) 217-225.
- [73] E. Herrero, J.M. Feliu, A. Aldaz, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 368 (1994) 101-108.
- [74] A. Ferre-Vilaplana, J.V. Perales-Rondon, J.M. Feliu, E. Herrero, *ACS Catal.* 5 (2015) 645-654.
- [75] H. Wang, L. Alden, F.J. DiSalvo, H.D. Abruna, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 10 (2008) 3739-3751.

## Figure captions

**Figure 1.** SECM MD/SC images displaying the substrate current collected for HCOOH oxidation at different potentials in a deaerated 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution at pristine and Pb-modified ( $\theta_{\max}$ ) Pt UME (diameter = 100  $\mu\text{m}$ ). The micropipette tip scan rate was 75  $\mu\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  and the micropipette-substrate electrode distance was 50  $\mu\text{m}$ . Micropipette filled with 50:50 (v/v) DCE:HCOOH.

**Figure 2.** TEM pictures for (A) octahedral, (B) cubic and (C) quasi-spherical Pt NPs.

**Figure 3.** (a) Voltammetric profiles in argon saturated 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution for Pb modified Au substrate electrode (black plot); Pb<sub>0</sub>/111-Pt NPs on Au substrate (red plot) and Pb<sub>0</sub>/111-Pt NPs on GC substrate (blue plot) at maximum coverage ( $\theta_{\text{Pb}}$ ) in all three cases. (b) Voltammetric profile in argon saturated 0.1 M HCOOH and 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution on gold pristine disk electrode (black plot) and on Pb modified gold disk electrode (red plot). Scan rate: 0.05 V s<sup>-1</sup>.

**Figure 4.** Voltammetric profiles: (a) Pb<sub>0</sub>/poly-Pt NPs, b) Pb<sub>0</sub>/100-Pt NPs and c) Pb<sub>0</sub>/111-Pt NPs with different Pb coverage ( $\theta_{\text{Pb}}$ ). Scan rate: 0.05 V.s<sup>-1</sup>. Test solution: argon saturated 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

**Figure 5.** Voltammetric profiles: (a) Pb<sub>0</sub>/100-Pt NPs and b) Pb<sub>0</sub>/111-Pt NPs at low ( $\theta_{\text{Pb}}/100\text{-Pt} = 0.27$  and  $\theta_{\text{Pb}}/111\text{-Pt} = 0.31$ ) (black plots) and at maximum Pb coverage ( $\theta_{\max}$ ) (red plots). Solid plots represent CVs before FAOR experiments, whereas dash



dotted plots represent CVs after FAOR experiments. Scan rate:  $0.05 \text{ V}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ . Test solution: argon saturated  $0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ .

**Figure 6.** Voltammetric profiles for FAOR. Solid line, positive scan direction and dashed line, negative scan direction. (a)  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /poly-Pt NPs, b)  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /100-Pt NPs and c)  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /111-Pt NPs with different Pb coverages ( $\theta_{\text{Pb}}$ ). Scan rate:  $0.02 \text{ V}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ . Test solution: argon saturated  $0.1 \text{ M HCOOH}$  in  $0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ .

**Figure 7.** Catalytic activity comparison between the three types of pristine and Pb-modified ( $\theta_{\text{max}}$ ) shape-controlled Pt NPs by calculating the catalytic enhancement ratio from the corresponding current densities displayed in Figure 6.  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /poly-Pt NPs, black solid plot;  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /100-Pt NPs, red solid plot and  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /111-Pt NPs, green solid plot.

**Figure 8.** Chronoamperometric curves recorded at  $0.3 \text{ V}$  vs *RHE* for catalytic evaluation of FAOR. (a)  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /poly-Pt NPs, b)  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /100-Pt NPs and c)  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /111-Pt NPs with different Pb coverages ( $\theta_{\text{Pb}}$ ). Test solution: argon saturated  $0.1 \text{ M HCOOH}$  in  $0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ .

**Figure 9.** Chronoamperometric curves recorded at  $0.5 \text{ V}$  vs *RHE* for catalytic evaluation of FAOR. (a)  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /poly-Pt NPs, b)  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /100-Pt NPs and c)  $\text{Pb}_\theta$ /111-Pt NPs with different Pb coverages ( $\theta_{\text{Pb}}$ ). Test solution: argon saturated  $0.1 \text{ M HCOOH}$  in  $0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ .

**Table 1.** Current collected on the SECM images and catalytic enhancement factor comparing those currents for Pt and Pb-Pt electrodes at 0.3 and 0.5 V.

Applied potential (V)	$I_{\text{collected}}$ (nA) Pt	$I_{\text{collected}}$ (nA) Pb-Pt	Catalytic enhancement factor
0.3	1.1	14.3	13
0.5	8.7	34.8	4

**Table 2.** Density current at 0.3 and 0.5 V from the positive-sweep voltammetric profile performed at  $0.02 \text{ V s}^{-1}$  in 0.1 M HCOOH and 0.5 M  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  solution for each type of shape controlled Pt NPs modified at maximum coverage by Pb and Tl and at optimum coverage by Sb. Their corresponding catalytic enhancement factors are also included. They are all calculated in comparison with the current densities on pristine Pt NPs reported here.


	$j$ at (0.3 V) / $\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$	Catalytic enhancement factor at 0.3 V	$j$ at (0.5 V) / $\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$	Catalytic enhancement factor at 0.5 V
poly-Pt NPs	46.6		341	
100-Pt NPs	32.7		210	
111-Pt NPs	41.7		372	
$\text{Pb}_\theta$ /poly-Pt NPs	628	13.5	2975	8.7
$\text{Pb}_\theta$ /100-Pt NPs	553	16.9	3770	18
$\text{Pb}_\theta$ /111-Pt NPs	642	15.4	6718	18
<sup>a</sup> $\text{Sb}_\theta$ /poly-Pt NPs	520	9	2150	6.3
<sup>a</sup> $\text{Sb}_\theta$ /100-Pt NPs	260	8	1070	5.1
<sup>a</sup> $\text{Sb}_\theta$ /111-Pt NPs	820	19.7	2880	7.7
<sup>b</sup> $\text{Tl}_\theta$ /100-Pt NPs	712	21.8	2447	11.7
<sup>b</sup> $\text{Tl}_\theta$ /111-Pt NPs	425	10.2	1670	4.5

<sup>a</sup> From reference [33]




<sup>b</sup> From reference [34]

**Table 3.** Chronoamperometric activities at 600 s and deactivation percentage at 0.3 and 0.5 V for each shape controlled Pt NPs modified by Pb calculated by comparing the current density in the corresponding chronoamperometry at 60 s and 600s.


	<b>j a 600 s (0.3 V) / <math>\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}</math></b>	<b>j a 600 s (0.5 V) / <math>\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}</math></b>	<b>Deactivation at 0.3 V (%)</b>	<b>Deactivation at 0.5 V (%)</b>
<b>Pb<sub>0</sub>/poly-Pt NPs</b>	380	2530	20	10
<b>Pb<sub>0</sub>/100-Pt NPs</b>	340	2250	20	17
<b>Pb<sub>0</sub>/111-Pt NPs</b>	350	4190	27	15




**Figure 1.**




**Figure 2.**



**Figure 3.**




**Figure 4.**




**Figure 5.**







**Figure 6.**



**Figure 7.**



**Figure 8.**



**Figure 9.**