Birth Weight in Relation to Leisure Time Physical Activity in Adolescence and Adulthood: Meta-Analysis of Results from 13 Nordic Cohorts

Lise Geisler Andersen¹, Lars Ängquist¹, Michael Gamborg¹, Liisa Byberg^{2,3}, Calle Bengtsson⁴, Dexter Canoy^{5,6}, Johan G. Eriksson^{7,8,9,10,11}, Marit Eriksson¹², Marjo-Riitta Järvelin^{6,13,14}, Lauren Lissner⁴, Tom I. Nilsen¹⁵, Merete Osler^{16,17}, Kim Overvad¹⁸, Finn Rasmussen¹², Minna K. Salonen¹⁹, Lene Schack-Nielsen^{1,20}, Tuija H. Tammelin^{21,22}, Tomi-Pekka Tuomainen²³, Thorkild I. A. Sørensen¹, Jennifer L. Baker^{1*}, for the NordNet Study Group

1 Institute of Preventive Medicine, Center for Health and Society, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark, 2 Department of Surgical Sciences, Orthopaedics, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden, 3 Uppsala Clinical Research Center, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden, 4 Department of Public Health and Community Medicine, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden, 5 The Northwest Institute for Bio-Health Informatics, School of Community-Based Medicine, The University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom, 6 Institute of Health Sciences and Biocenter Oulu, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland, 7 Department of General Practice and Primary Health Care, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, 8 National Institute of Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland, 9 Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland, 10 Vasa Central Hospital, Vasa, Finland, 11 Folkhälsan Research Center, Helsinki, Finland, 12 Department of Public Health Sciences, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden, 13 Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom, 14 Department of Child and Adolescent Health, National Institute of Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland, 15 Human Movement Science Programme, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway, 16 Research Centre for Prevention and Health, Glostrup University Hospital, Glostrup, Denmark, 17 Institute of Public Health, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, 18 Department of Cardiology and Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Aalborg Hospital, Aarhus University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark, 19 Diabetes Prevention Unit, Department of Health Promotion and Chronic Disease Prevention, National Institute of Occupational Health, Oulu, Finland, 20 Department of Human Nutrition, Faculty of Life Science, University of Copenhagen, Frederiksberg, Denmark, 21 Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Oulu, Finland, 22 LIKES - Research Center for Sport and Health Sciences, Jyväskylä, Finland, 23 Research Institute of

Abstract

Background: Prenatal life exposures, potentially manifested as altered birth size, may influence the later risk of major chronic diseases through direct biologic effects on disease processes, but also by modifying adult behaviors such as physical activity that may influence later disease risk.

Methods/Principal Findings: We investigated the association between birth weight and leisure time physical activity (LTPA) in 43,482 adolescents and adults from 13 Nordic cohorts. Random effects meta-analyses were performed on categorical estimates from cohort-, age-, sex- and birth weight specific analyses. Birth weight showed a reverse U-shaped association with later LTPA; within the range of normal weight the association was negligible but weights below and above this range were associated with a lower probability of undertaking LTPA. Compared with the reference category (3.26–3.75 kg), the birth weight categories of 1.26–1.75, 1.76–2.25, 2.26–2.75, and 4.76–5.25 kg, had odds ratios of 0.67 (95% confidence interval: 0.47, 0.94), 0.72 (0.59, 0.88), 0.89 (0.79, 0.99), and 0.65 (0.50, 0.86), respectively. The shape and strength of the birth weight-LTPA association was virtually independent of sex, age, gestational age, educational level, concurrent body mass index, and smoking.

Conclusions/Significance: The association between birth weight and undertaking LTPA is very weak within the normal birth weight range, but both low and high birth weights are associated with a lower probability of undertaking LTPA, which hence may be a mediator between prenatal influences and later disease risk.

Citation: Andersen LG, Ängquist L, Gamborg M, Byberg L, Bengtsson C, et al. (2009) Birth Weight in Relation to Leisure Time Physical Activity in Adolescence and Adulthood: Meta-Analysis of Results from 13 Nordic Cohorts. PLoS ONE 4(12): e8192. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008192

Editor: Adrian V. Hernandez, Lerner Research Institute, United States of America

Received July 20, 2009; Accepted November 2, 2009; Published December 16, 2009

Copyright: © 2009 Andersen et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: The Nordic longitudinal epidemiological research program, entitled "Prenatal and Childhood Growth in Relation to Cardiovascular Disease" (The NordNet Study), is funded by NordForsk, the Nordic Research Board. The Diet, Cancer, and Nutrition survey (KKH) was provided and funded by the Danish Cancer Society. The Northern Finland Birth cohorts (NFBC) received financial support from the Academy of Finland, Ministry of Education, University Hospital Oulu, Biocenter, University of Oulu, Finland, and the Medical Research Council, UK. The Helsinki birth Cohorts study (HBCS) was supported by Academy of Finland; British Heart Foundation; Finnish Foundation for Cardiovascular Research; Finnish Diabetes Foundation; Finska L Kares Llskapets; Juho Vainio Foundation; Signe and Ane Gyllenberg Foundation and Yrjö Jahnsson Foundation. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: jba@ipm.regionh.dk

Introduction

It has been shown that babies born small have a higher risk of developing type 2 diabetes [1-3], the metabolic syndrome [4], and coronary heart disease [5,6] later in life. Furthermore, a high birth weight is associated with later risk of obesity [7-10] and type 2 diabetes [1] and both low and high birth weights are associated with a higher risk of all-cause mortality in adulthood [11]. These associations have been interpreted as a consequence of nonoptimal growth during foetal life and infancy with long-term effects on cardiovascular function, referred to as the "developmental origins of disease hypothesis" [3]. It has been suggested that not only physiological outcomes directly related to the later disease processes, but also behavior may be determined early in life [12]. Such associations could contribute to explaining the relationship between birth weight and adult disease and lead towards an understanding of the underlying mechanisms as well as have public health implications.

There is strong evidence that physical inactivity is a major predictor for many chronic diseases and is associated with all-cause mortality [13,14]. Birth weight is positively associated with adolescent and adult lean body mass [15,16] and muscle strength [15], which could, in turn, lead to a direct association between birth weight and an active lifestyle. On the other hand, high birth weight is also associated with an increased risk of obesity in later life [7–10], which might have the opposite effect. If leisure time physical activity (LTPA) is associated with birth weight, this association has the potential of mediating the effect of birth weight on the later risk of disease by a modifiable risk factor. Previous research on the relationship between birth weight and level of physical activity in children has not identified any associations [12,17], nor have consistent ones been identified in adults [4,18-20]. These investigations assumed a linear association across the range of birth weights, and none explicitly investigated the shape of the association.

The aim of the present study was to explore the nature of the association between birth weight and LTPA later in life in the context of a Nordic collaboration that takes advantage of the use of a standardized meta-analysis method and provided access to data on a large number of adult subjects of both genders and with a wide range of birth weight and ages [21].

Methods

Study Design

The present study is based on a Nordic longitudinal epidemiologic research program "Prenatal and Childhood Growth in Relation to Cardiovascular Disease" (the NordNet Study). All Nordic cohort studies that could provide information about birth weight and cardiovascular disease were invited to participate in the NordNet Study. The NordNet Study consists of researchers from 13 study centers located in Denmark, Finland, the Faroe Islands, Iceland, Norway and Sweden. They provided access to both published and unpublished raw data from 21 Nordic population-based studies of individuals constituting prospective and/or retrospective cohort studies followed longitudinally over many years and comprising >548.000 subjects in the collective data set. Out of the 13 participating centers, 10 centers [22-34] were able to contribute data on birth weight together with adolescent or adult LTPA and body mass index for a total of 13 cohorts. Twelve cohorts [22-28,30-34] included 24,890 men (table 1), and 9 cohorts [22-24,26-29,31,33,34] included 18,592 women (table 2). Cohort participants were born between 1914 and 1987. All studies were performed in accordance with the Helsinki 2 Declaration. The meta-analysis was conducted on anonymous data, and it was approved by the Danish Data Protection Agency (*Datatilsynet*).

Birth weight (in kg) was used as a categorical variable and divided into 11 categories: 0.75–1.25, 1.26–1.75, 1.76–2.25, 2.26–2.75, 2.76–3.25, 3.26–3.75, 3.76–4.25, 4.26–4.75, 4.76–5.25, 5.26–5.75, and 5.76–7.00 kg. The borders of the categories were chosen to minimize the arbitrary effect of digit preference. The birth weight category 3.26–3.75 kg was chosen as the reference category as it contained the most subjects. The birth weight groups 0.75–1.25, 5.26–5.75, and 5.76–7.00 kg were excluded in the analyses as they consisted of few subjects, are special cases, and are more likely subject to errors (table 3). Birth weight was either measured (10 cohorts) or reported by the mother (3 cohorts).

Information on LTPA was obtained by questionnaire including information on duration, frequency, and/or intensity of the LTPA. Based on each cohort's information that consisted of either three to six levels of LTPA or of energy expenditure, we categorized LTPA as *active* or *inactive*. To construct comparable groups across cohorts, we defined the active category by a similar duration, frequency, and/or intensity of LTPA within age groups with the aim of having similar frequency of inactive subjects across cohorts. The categorizations were created in consultation with the investigators from each cohort.

The following potential confounders or mediators were investigated: gestational age (</>37 weeks), duration of education (as defined in each cohort), adult body mass index, and smoking (yes/no). Body mass index (weight/height²; kg/m²) was calculated for each subject based on self-reported (3 cohorts) or measured weight and height (10 cohorts) at the time of the physical activity assessment, and smoking habits were recorded on the same occasion.

Statistical Methods

All analyses were performed in three steps. First, researchers responsible for each cohort performed the analyses described below stratified by sex and age; age was categorized as 14–17, 18–24, 25–34, 35–44, 45–54, 55–64, and 65–74 years. Second, the estimates of stratum-specific regression coefficients (SSRC), along with the corresponding standard errors, were reported to the coordinating center. Third, the estimated SSRCs were pooled using random-effects meta-analyses techniques with random effects based on strata formed from combinations of cohort, sex-, and age-categories. Our procedure has been described in detail elsewhere [21].

We performed logistic regression of physical activity, modelling the probability of being active, on birth weight used as categorical variable for each combination of cohort and sex and age categories. We also used the SSRCs from the cohort and sex and age specific logistic regression analyses to investigate a potential heterogeneity of the association by age. In these analyses, the subjects were subdivided into two age groups consisting of a comparable number of subjects; a younger group aged 14-34 years and an older group aged 35-74 years. Moreover, we performed meta-regression with age as covariate to test for an ageeffect, but there were no significant indications of this being the case. In cohorts where data on potential confounding or mediating factors were available, we performed logistic regressions of LTPA on birth weight used as a categorical variable both adjusted and unadjusted for the potential factors, one at a time, to assess their effects. To assess the impact of self-reported birth weight, we performed a sensitivity analysis omitting the 3 cohorts in which birth weight was self-reported.

Table 1. Male cohorts included in the meta-analysis of birth weight and leisure time physical activity (LTPA).

Country and study	Birth- year(s)	Age (years)	Number of subjects	Mean birth weight (kg)	LTPA (% active)	Definition of LTPA (inactivity)
Denmark						
Andersen et al. (2000)	1932–1970	20–50	948	3.4 (0.56) ^a	79.1	None or very little
Bak et al. (2004)	1930–1956	26–52	225	3.5 (0.58)	58.7	<2 h of exercise/wk
Osler et al. (2008)	1953	51	5767	3.4 (0.53)	82.4	Read, watch television or have other sedentary activities
Willumsen (1970)	1959–1961	41–43	1856	3.3 (0.59)	54.0	Light physical activity <4 h/wk
Johnsen et al. (2006)	1932–1946	50–64	4143	3.4 (0.59)	74.4	1st quartile of MET-score for walking, cycling, sports, and gardening
Finland						
Salonen (1988)	1926–1946	42–61	719	3.5 (0.54)	54.1	Exercising < once/mo
Tammelin et al. (2003)	1966	31	2803	3.6 (0.52)	69.9	Brisk exercise <1 time/wk and light exercise <4 times/wk
Tammelin et al. (2007)	1986	15–16	2622	3.7 (0.51)	70.6	\leq 1 h/wk of brisk physical activity
Eriksson et al. (2006)	1934–1944	56–69	906	3.5 (0.50)	76.9	1st quartile of MET-score for conditioning and non-conditioning exercise
Norway						
Holmen et al. (2000)	1975–1981	14–20	2596	3.6 (0.54)	81.7	${<}1$ time/wk and ${<}2$ h/wk of at least moderate intensity
Sweden						
Rasmussen et al. (2004)	1985–1987	14–16	1312	3.5 (0.54)	66.6	Lowest tertile of energy expenditure
Michealsson et al. (2007)	1920–1924	55–64	993	3.6 (0.50)	88.6	Mostly sedentary leisure time

^aNumbers in parentheses, standard deviation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008192.t001

All cohorts within the context of the NordNet collaboration that were eligible for this study were included irrespective of whether results on birth weight and LTPA had been published or not, and hence, the results of the present study should not be subject to publication bias. Given this analytic framework, our metaregression analysis was not conducted in conjunction with a traditional systematic review of the literature. There are few published studies on this topic, and those that exist are difficult to compare due to different characteristics of subjects [4,12,17-20]. Thus, the method we used is advantageous over a standard meta-

Table 2. Female cohorts included in the meta-analysis of birth weight and leisure time physical activity (LTPA).

Country and study	Birth- year(s)	Age (years)	Number of subjects	Mean birth weight (kg)	LTPA (% active)	Definition of LTPA (inactivity)
Denmark						
Andersen et al. (2000)	1932–1970	20–50	919	3.3 (0.55) ^a	73.8	None or very little
Willumsen (1970)	1959–1961	41–43	2160	3.2 (0.56)	49.5	Light physical activity <4 h/wk
Johnsen et al. (2006)	1932–1946	50–64	4363	3.3 (0.59)	72.8	1st quartile of MET-score for walking, cycling, sports, and gardening
Finland						
Tammelin et al. (2003)	1966	31	2847	3.5 (0.49)	75.1	Brisk exercise ${<}1$ time/wk and light exercise ${<}4$ times/wk
Tammelin et al. (2007)	1986	15–16	2911	3.5 (0.51)	60.1	\leq 1 h/wk of brisk physical activity
Eriksson et al. (2006)	1934–1944	56–69	1059	3.4 (0.47)	73.5	1st quartile of MET-score for conditioning and non-conditioning exercise
Norway						
Holmen et al. (2000)	1975–1981	14–20	2512	3.5 (0.51)	79.3	<1 time/wk and <2 h/wk of at least moderate intensity
Sweden						
Rasmussen et al. (2004)	1985–1987	14–16	1214	3.4 (0.54)	66.7	Lowest tertile of energy expenditure
Lissner et al. (1996)	1914–1930	50–66	607	3.5 (0.54)	73.0	Almost completely inactive

^aNumbers in parentheses, standard deviation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008192.t002

	Men	Men		Women		
Birth weight; kg	14–34 y	35–74 у	14–34 y	35–74 y	14–74 y	
0.75–1.25	6 (0.1)	9 (0.1)	13 (0.1)	19 (0.2)	47 (0.1)	
1.26–1.75	21 (0.2)	73 (0.5)	31 (0.3)	69 (0.8)	194 (0.4)	
1.76–2.25	83 (0.9)	327 (2.2)	108 (1.1)	257 (2.9)	775 (1.8)	
2.26–2.75	372 (3.8)	1,107 (7.3)	541 (5.5)	951 (10.8)	2,971 (6.8)	
2.76–3.25	1,873 (19.3)	4,093 (27.0)	2,443 (25.0)	2,883 (32.7)	11,292 (26.0)	
3.26–3.75	3,664 (37.7)	5,592 (36.9)	3,987 (40.8)	3,113 (35.3)	16,356 (37.6)	
3.76-4.25	2,727 (28.0)	3,077 (20.3)	2,127 (21.7)	1,195 (13.6)	9,126 (21.0)	
4.26–4.75	848 (8.7)	741 (4.9)	471 (4.8)	247 (2.8)	2,307 (5.3)	
4.76-5.25	117 (1.2)	120 (0.8)	57 (0.6)	53 (0.6)	347 (0.8)	
5.26–5.75	14 (0.1)	12 (0.1)	4 (0.0)	12 (0.1)	42 (0.1)	
5.76–7.00	2 (0.0)	12 (0.1)	1 (0.0)	10 (0.1)	25 (0.1)	
Total	9,727 (100)	15,163 (100)	9,783 (100)	8,809 (100)	43,482 (100)	

Table 3. Distribution of birth weight.

Number of subjects (%) for each birth weight group by sex and age and for all subjects. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008192.t003

analysis and systematic literature review. If our access to data was biased by being dependent on the strength or direction of the birth weight-LTPA association, the cohorts included in our study could represent a biased pool of the theoretical sample. However, as inclusion of study cohorts in the Nordnet Study was based on availability of birth weight and cardiovascular disease information access bias is unlikely to have occurred.

Results

Results from a meta-analysis, pooling all the cohort and age and sex specific regression coefficients from the logistic regression of birth weight as a categorical variable, revealed a reverse U-shaped association between birth weight and LTPA (figure 1). Lower probabilities of being active, when compared to the odds of engaging in LTPA among subjects in the reference category (3.26– 3.75 kg; odds ratio (OR) of 1.00), were apparent at birth weights <2.76 kg and >4.75 kg, when examined for men and women combined. The ORs of engaging in LTPA for birth weights of 1.26-1.75, 1.76-2.25, 2.26-2.75, 4.26-4.75 and 4.76-5.25 kg were 0.67 (95% confidence interval (CI): 0.47, 0.94), 0.72 (95% CI: 0.59, 0.88), 0.89 (95% CI: 0.79, 0.99), 0.92 (95% CI: 0.81, 1.03), and 0.65 (95% CI: 0.50, 0.86), respectively. The strength of the effect of a low or a high birth weight on the probability of engaging in LTPA thus appeared to be similar. The birth weight groups 2.76-3.25 and 3.76-4.25 kg had only slightly lower probabilities of undertaking LTPA than the reference group. Thus, there was no noteworthy birth weight-LTPA association in the main part of the normal birth weight interval. When omitting the 3 three cohorts in which birth weight was self-reported, the reverse U-shaped association remained, although it was slightly attenuated and became non-significant (data not shown).

Analyses of birth weight as a categorical variable conducted separately for men and women showed no sex-dependency in the birth weight-LTPA association (table 4). The reverse U-shaped association between birth weight and the odds of engaging in LTPA was apparent among both men and women and the strength of the reverse U-shaped birth weight-LTPA association was similar in men and women.

The results from the regression analyses of LTPA on birth weight treated as a categorical variable separately for men and

women younger than or at least 35 years showed limited evidence of an age modification of the shape or strength of the birth weight-LTPA association (table 4).

The effect of potential confounders or mediators was investigated separately for men and women. The results are not shown, but are provided in Table S1 and Table S2 in supplementary online material. Including gestational age in the model appeared to reduce the OR of LTPA among low and high birth weight men and women slightly further, indicating a stronger effect of birth weight on LTPA when the effect of gestational age was taken into account. The estimates, however, were less precise because 5 cohorts did not have the information available. Comparing the birth weight-LTPA association estimates unadjusted and adjusted for education, body mass index, and smoking status, one at a time,



Figure 1. Meta-analysis of logistic regressions of leisure time physical activity as a function of birth weight. The points depict log(OR) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals with birth weight as a categorical variable. Only results for the birth weight groups in the interval 1.26-5.25 kg are presented. The dotted reference line corresponds to an OR value of 1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008192.g001

Table 4. Logistic regression of leisure time physical activity on birth weight.

	Men		Women				
Birth weight; kgª	14–34 y	35–74 у	14–34 y	35–74 y			
1.76–2.25	0.82 [0.50, 1.36]	0.77 [0.60, 0.98]	0.73 [0.46, 1.15]	0.64 [0.40, 1.01]			
2.26–2.75	1.12 [0.88, 1.44]	0.79 [0.65, 0.95]	0.89 [0.73, 1.09]	0.92 [0.79, 1.08]			
2.76–3.25	1.08 [0.95, 1.22]	0.87 [0.79, 0.96]	0.99 [0.89, 1.11]	0.93 [0.83, 1.04]			
3.26–3.75	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)			
3.76–4.25	0.97 [0.87, 1.09]	0.92 [0.83, 1.03]	1.05 [0.93, 1.18]	1.01 [0.87, 1.17]			
4.26–4.75	0.98 [0.83, 1.17]	0.79 [0.62, 1.00]	1.01 [0.81, 1.26]	0.85 [0.64, 1.13]			
4.76–5.25	0.73 [0.50, 1.10]	0.41 [0.27, 0.65]	1.01 [0.43, 2.36]	0.78 [0.42, 1.47]			

Odds ratio [95% confidence limits] for each birth weight category among men <35 years and ≥35 years and among women <35 years and ≥35 years. ^aResults from the birth weight groups 0.75–1.25, 1.26–1.75, 5.26–5.75, and 5.76–7.0 kg were excluded because of lack of available data. doi:10.1371/iournal.pone.0008192.t004

showed essentially no changes in the odds of undertaking LTPA and thus no indication of confounding or mediation effects.

Discussion

This study investigated birth weight as a determinant of a behavioral outcome in terms of physical activity during leisure time among adolescents and adults. The study indicated that both very low and very high birth weights were associated with a lower probability of undertaking LTPA, whereas the association between birth weight and LTPA was weak in the birth weight interval of 2.76–4.25 kg, which is the range that contains the majority of subjects. These findings were obtained irrespective of adjustment for potential confounders or mediators, although unmeasured or residual confounding cannot be excluded.

A few other studies have investigated the association between size at birth and physical activity in adulthood. In 462 middle-aged Finnish men, ponderal index at birth was not associated with the duration of strenuous leisure time physical activity [4]. The shape of the association cannot be evaluated since only the p-value for the association is reported. In a cohort of 186 elderly Finnish men, birth weight and ponderal index were, in contrast to the findings of the present study, significantly inversely correlated with exercise frequency. These analyses were adjusted for age and current body mass index, but not for gestational age. The associations were not significant in the 314 women included in this cohort [19]. Conversely, 163 very-low-birth-weight (≤ 1500 g) young Finnish adults were active at a lower intensity while undertaking regular leisure-time exercise compared to 169 subjects born at term [20]. Similarly, a much larger study of 25,874 British middle-aged adults showed that a higher birth weight, expressed continuously and linearly, was significantly and positively associated with the prevalence of undertaking regular physical activity [18].

Fewer studies have investigated physical activity in subjects with a high birth weight. The British study included subjects with a high birth weight, and according to the crude prevalence rates of undertaking regular physical activity, those with a birth weight >4.5 kg were less likely to undertake regular physical activity than subjects with a birth weight between 4.0 and 4.5 kg [18].

Physical activity is a multidimensional and complex exposure to measure. The studies of size at birth and physical activity have investigated different aspects of physical activity, and this could contribute to the differing results. The studies were conducted in age groups from young adults to the elderly, but we found, however, limited evidence of an age modification of the birth weight-LTPA association. Although the other studies did not explicitly investigate the shape of the association between birth weight and occurrence of LTPA later in life throughout the entire birth weight range, the association seems to be an inverse U-shape and very weak within the normal birth weight range.

The underlying mechanisms for the present findings are unknown, but there are several possible explanations. Low birth weight could be associated with a reduced physical capacity through a variety of biological mechanisms, including a reduced muscle strength because of low muscle mass [15,16,35,36] that can also be measured as reduced hand grip strength [15,37,38]. Moreover, it could work through an insufficient anaerobic capacity [39], respiratory capacity [40], aerobic fitness [41], or even a higher energetic cost of exercise [42]. These biological factors could reduce the willingness to undertake physical activity because of early tiredness and a reduced ability to perform physical activity. Among adults, a high birth weight has been associated with an increased risk of obesity as indicated by high body mass index in later life [7–10]. This biological factor could reduce the aerobic capacity or reduce the motivation for physical activity because of discomfort as previously suggested [26,43] and thereby lead to a lower level of physical activity among subjects with a high birth weight.

We did not find any obvious confounding or mediating effect of indicators of concurrent social position, body mass index, or smoking status on the birth weight-LTPA association. We cannot, however, exclude the possibility that unmeasured or residual confounding, for example from genes or aspects of social background, may contribute to explain our findings. Gestational age could be regarded as a determinant of birth weight, and adjusting for gestational age strengthened the birth weight-LTPA association indicating that the intrauterine growth pattern, i.e. birth weight for gestational age, may be a stronger determinant for LTPA than the birth weight per se. This finding is in accordance with studies on birth weight and coronary heart disease, which found that the association was strengthened by adjustment for gestational age [44,45].

In the NordNet collaboration, we used a standardized metaregression method to investigate the association between birth weight and LTPA. Researchers conducting meta-analyses are often limited to published estimates that may not be very consistent with the question of interest. In our study we developed and applied a common analytic strategy. For example, we were able to create a consistent as possible definition of LTPA and to specify which potential confounding variables to adjust for in every cohort, thus allowing us to obtain uniform estimates from each cohort. As with any meta-analysis, there was an assumption that the included cohorts were homogeneous, and these methods helped reduce any impact of non-homogeneity. Additionally, the use of a random effects meta-analysis model allowed any potential heterogeneity in the association between birth weight and LTPA across cohorts to occur around a normally distributed mean effect. The NordNet collaboration circumvented the potential of publication biases usually encountered in meta-analysis on previously published results, as many results that may have had difficulties being published on their own because of null-findings were included in this study. Moreover, this set-up overcame problems experienced in traditional meta-analyses that are unable to adjust for confounding, and allowed for the discovery of the inverse U-shaped birth weight-LTPA association, which would not have been revealed by a simple linear regression.

Some information on birth weight and all information on physical activity was self-reported, and thus has an inherent potential for diminished precision. However, a sensitivity analysis showed no influence of self-reported birth weight on the results. There is always a potential for misclassification bias in selfreported estimation of LTPA. However, most likely, any misclassification of LTPA will attenuate the strength of the observed association. Moreover, although simple questionnaires give a relatively crude measure of overall physical activity, they are useful in larger cohort studies [46]. The physical activity assessed in the present study may thus represent active or inactive lifestyle patterns rather than exact levels of physical activity justifying the comparison of active and inactive within each cohort and the pooling of the results.

This study suggested that factors in early life might influence physical activity behavior in adult life. The results of this study do not necessarily imply recommendations for interventions to modify birth weight; birth weight is a proxy for many determinants, which have not yet fully been identified, and, moreover, birth weight is not easily modifiable [47]. The lower probability of engaging in LTPA observed among subjects with birth weights lower than the reference groups outside the normal range of birth weight may have implications for public health. If physical activity constitutes a link between birth weight and morbidity and mortality, promotion of physical activity may be of special importance among subjects of low and high birth weights.

In summary, this study provides evidence that both low and high birth weights outside the normal range of birth weights are associated with a lower probability of being physically active during leisure time. This may be part of the underlying mechanism of the association between birth weight and disease and may thus have public health implications.

References

- Harder T, Rodekamp E, Schellong K, Dudenhausen JW, Plagemann A (2007) Birth weight and subsequent risk of type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. Am J Epidemiol 165: 849–857.
- Forsen T, Eriksson J, Tuomilehto J, Reunanen A, Osmond C, et al. (2000) The fetal and childhood growth of persons who develop type 2 diabetes. Ann Intern Med 133: 176–182.
- Barker DJ (2006) Adult consequences of fetal growth restriction. Clin Obstet Gynecol 49: 270–283.
- Laaksonen DE, Lakka HM, Lynch J, Lakka TA, Niskanen L, et al. (2003) Cardiorespiratory fitness and vigorous leisure-time physical activity modify the association of small size at birth with the metabolic syndrome. Diabetes Care 26: 2156–2164.
- Barker DJ, Winter PD, Osmond C, Margetts B, Simmonds SJ (1989) Weight in infancy and death from ischaemic heart disease. Lancet 2: 577–580.
- Huxley R, Owen CG, Whincup PH, Cook DG, Rich-Edwards J, et al. (2007) Is birth weight a risk factor for ischemic heart disease in later life? Am J Clin Nutr 85: 1244–1250.

Supporting Information

Table S1Logistic regression of leisure time physical activity onbirth weight with adjustments among men.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008192.s001 (0.01 MB PDF)

Table S2 Logistic regression of leisure time physical activity on birth weight with adjustments among women.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008192.s002 (0.01 MB PDF)

Acknowledgments

Project partners: Institute of Preventive Medicine, Danish Epidemiology Science Centre, Copenhagen University Hospital; Department of Human Nutrition, University of Copenhagen (Denmark), Department of Epidemiology Research, The State Serum Institute (The Faeroe Islands/ Denmark), Department of Epidemiology and Health Promotion, National Public Health Institute; Institute of Health Sciences, University of Oulu; Research Institute of Public Health, University of Kuopio; National Institute of Health and Welfare; Department of Public Health, University of Helsinki (Finland), Unit for Nutrition Research, Landspitali-University Hospital, University of Iceland (Iceland), Department of Public Health and General Practice, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (Norway), Department of Clinical Nutrition, Gothenborg University; Section of Geriatrics, Department of Public Health and Caring Sciences, Uppsala University; Department of Public Health Sciences, Karolinska Institutet; Centre for Health Equity Studies (CHESS), Stockholm University/Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm (Sweden). Local statistical analyses (if not performed by one of the authors): Per Tynelius, Department of Public Health Sciences, Karolinska Institute conducted analyses on the COMPASS dataset.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: LGA LÄ MG TIAS JLB. Analyzed the data: LGA LÄ MG LB DC ME TIN MO MKS TT TIAS JLB. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: LB CB DC JGE MRJ LL MO KO FR LSN TPT TIAS JLB. Wrote the paper: LGA TIAS JLB. Participated in interpretation of the data, drafting of the manuscript and final approval of the submitted version: LÄ MG JLB. Participated in interpretation of the data and final approval of the submitted version: LGA. Participated in critical revisions of the manuscript and final approval of the submitted version: CB ME MRJ LL TIN MO KO FR MKS LSN TT TPT. Participated in interpretation of the data, critical revisions of the manuscript and final approval of the submitted version IB DC JGE. Participated in conception and design of the study, interpretation of the data, drafting and critical revisions of the manuscript and final approval of the submitted version: TIAS. Project coordinators: TIAS JLB. Data management: LGA LÄ JLB. Statistical analysis: LÄ MG.

- Curhan GC, Willett WC, Rimm EB, Spiegelman D, Ascherio AL, et al. (1996) Birth weight and adult hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and obesity in US men. Circulation 94: 3246–3250.
- Curhan GC, Chertow GM, Willett WC, Spiegelman D, Colditz GA, et al. (1996) Birth weight and adult hypertension and obesity in women. Circulation 94: 1310–1315.
- Rasmussen F, Johansson M (1998) The relation of weight, length and ponderal index at birth to body mass index and overweight among 18-year-old males in Sweden. Eur J Epidemiol 14: 373–380.
- Rugholm S, Baker JL, Olsen LW, Schack-Nielsen L, Bua J, et al. (2005) Stability of the association between birth weight and childhood overweight during the development of the obesity epidemic. Obes Res 13: 2187–2194.
- Baker JL, Olsen LW, Sørensen TIA (2008) Weight at birth and all-cause mortality in adulthood. Epidemiology 19: 197–203.
- Hallal PC, Wells JC, Reichert FF, Anselmi L, Victora CG (2006) Early determinants of physical activity in adolescence: prospective birth cohort study. BMJ 332: 1002–1007.

- Pedersen BK, Saltin B (2006) Evidence for prescribing exercise as therapy in chronic disease. Scand J Med Sci Sports 16 Suppl 1: 3–63.
- Haennel RG, Lemire F (2002) Physical activity to prevent cardiovascular disease. How much is enough? Can Fam Physician 48: 65–71.
- Yliharsila H, Kajantie E, Osmond C, Forsen T, Barker DJ, et al. (2007) Birth size, adult body composition and muscle strength in later life. Int J Obes (Lond) 31: 1392–1399.
- Eriksson M, Tynelius P, Rasmussen F (2008) Associations of birthweight and infant growth with body composition at age 15–the COMPASS study. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 22: 379–388.
- Mattocks C, Ness A, Deere K, Tilling K, Leary S, et al. (2008) Early life determinants of physical activity in 11 to 12 year olds: cohort study. BMJ 336: 26–29.
- Davies AA, Smith GD, May MT, Ben-Shlomo Y (2006) Association between birth weight and blood pressure is robust, amplifies with age, and may be underestimated. Hypertension 48: 431–436.
- Eriksson JG, Yliharsila H, Forsen T, Osmond C, Barker DJ (2004) Exercise protects against glucose intolerance in individuals with a small body size at birth. Prev Med 39: 164–167.
- Hovi P, Andersson S, Eriksson JG, Jarvenpaa AL, Strang-Karlsson S, et al. (2007) Glucose regulation in young adults with very low birth weight. N Engl J Med 356: 2053–2063.
- Gamborg M, Byberg L, Rasmussen F, Andersen PK, Baker JL, et al. (2007) Birth Weight and Systolic Blood Pressure in Adolescence and Adulthood: Meta-Regression Analysis of Sex- and Age-specific Results from 20 Nordic Studies. Am J Epidemiol 166: 634–645.
- Andersen LB, Schnohr P, Schroll M, Hein HO (2000) All-cause mortality associated with physical activity during leisure time, work, sports, and cycling to work. Arch Intern Med 160: 1621–1628.
- Tammelin T, Ekelund U, Remes J, Nayha S (2007) Physical activity and sedentary behaviors among Finnish youth. Med Sci Sports Exerc 39: 1067–1074.
- Eriksson JG, Osmond C, Kajantie E, Forsen TJ, Barker DJ (2006) Patterns of growth among children who later develop type 2 diabetes or its risk factors. Diabetologia 49: 2853–2858.
- Osler M, Godtfredsen NS, Prescott E (2008) Childhood social circumstances and health behaviour in midlife: the Metropolit 1953 Danish male birth cohort. Int J Epidemiol 37: 1367–1374.
- Bak H, Petersen L, Sorensen TI (2004) Physical activity in relation to development and maintenance of obesity in men with and without juvenile onset obesity. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 28: 99–104.
- Holmen TL, Barrett-Connor E, Holmen J, Bjermer L (2000) Adolescent occasional smokers, a target group for smoking cessation? the Nord-Trondelag Health Study, Norway, 1995–1997. Prev Med 31: 682–690.
- Johnsen NF, Christensen J, Thomsen BL, Olsen A, Loft S, et al. (2006) Physical activity and risk of colon cancer in a cohort of Danish middle-aged men and women. Eur J Epidemiol 21: 877–884.
- Lissner L, Bengtsson C, Bjorkelund C, Wedel H (1996) Physical activity levels and changes in relation to longevity. A prospective study of Swedish women. Am J Epidemiol 143: 54–62.

- Michaelsson K, Olofsson H, Jensevik K, Larsson S, Mallmin H, et al. (2007) Leisure physical activity and the risk of fracture in men. PLoS Med 4: e199.
- Rasmussen F, Eriksson M, Bokedal C, Schäfer-Elinder L (2004) Physical activity, eating habits, overweight and self-esteem among youth. COMPASS — a study in south-west Greater Stockholm.
- Salonen JT (1988) Is there a continuing need for longitudinal epidemiologic research? The Kuopio Ischaemic Heart Disease Risk Factor Study. Ann Clin Res 20: 46–50.
- Willumsen AL (1970) Environmental Factors in Congenital Malformations: A Prospective Study of 9006 Human Pregnancies. Copenhagen: F.A.D.L.'s Forlag.
- Tammelin T, Nayha S, Hills AP, Jarvelin MR (2003) Adolescent participation in sports and adult physical activity. Am J Prev Med 24: 22–28.
- Loos RJ, Beunen G, Fagard R, Derom C, Vlietinck R (2001) Birth weight and body composition in young adult men–a prospective twin study. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 25: 1537–1545.
- te Velde SJ, Twisk JW, van MW, Kemper HC (2004) Birth weight and musculoskeletal health in 36-year-old men and women: results from the Amsterdam Growth and Health Longitudinal Study. Osteoporos Int 15: 382–388.
- Inskip HM, Godfrey KM, Martin HJ, Simmonds SJ, Cooper C, et al. (2007) Size at birth and its relation to muscle strength in young adult women. J Intern Med 262: 368–374.
- Kuh D, Hardy R, Butterworth S, Okell L, Wadsworth M, et al. (2006) Developmental origins of midlife grip strength: findings from a birth cohort study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 61: 702–706.
- Jensen CB, Storgaard H, Madsbad S, Richter EA, Vaag AA (2007) Altered skeletal muscle fiber composition and size precede whole-body insulin resistance in young men with low birth weight. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 92: 1530–1534.
- Canoy D, Pekkanen J, Elliott P, Pouta A, Laitinen J, et al. (2007) Early growth and adult respiratory function in men and women followed from the fetal period to adulthood. Thorax 62: 396–402.
- Boreham CA, Murray L, Dedman D, Davey SG, Savage JM, et al. (2001) Birthweight and aerobic fitness in adolescents: the Northern Ireland Young Hearts Project. Public Health 115: 373–379.
- Baraldi E, Zanconato S, Zorzi C, Santuz P, Benini F, et al. (1991) Exercise performance in very low birth weight children at the age of 7-12 years. Eur J Pediatr 150: 713–716.
- Petersen L, Schnohr P, Sørensen TIA (2004) Longitudinal study of the long-term relation between physical activity and obesity in adults. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 28: 105–112.
- Eriksson JG, Forsen T, Tuomilehto J, Winter PD, Osmond C, et al. (1999) Catch-up growth in childhood and death from coronary heart disease: longitudinal study. BMJ 318: 427–431.
- Kaijser M, Bonamy AK, Akre O, Cnattingius S, Granath F, et al. (2008) Perinatal risk factors for ischemic heart disease: disentangling the roles of birth weight and preterm birth. Circulation 117: 405–410.
- Shephard RJ (2003) Limits to the measurement of habitual physical activity by questionnaires. Br J Sports Med 37: 197–206.
- Morley R (2006) Fetal origins of adult disease. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med 11: 73–78.