1	Cascading effects of defaunation on the coexistence
2	of two specialized insect seed predators
3	
4 5	Guille Peguero <sup>* 1, 2, 3</sup> , Helene C. Muller-Landau <sup>1</sup> , Patrick A. Jansen <sup>1, 4</sup> , S. Joseph Wright <sup>1</sup>
6 7	<sup>1</sup> Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Box 0843-03092, Balboa, Ancon, Republic of Panama
8	<sup>2</sup> Global Ecology Unit CREAF-CSIC-CEAB, 08913, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain
9	<sup>3</sup> CREAF, 08913 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain
10	<sup>4</sup> Department of Environmental Sciences, Wageningen University, PO Box 47,
11	6700 AA, Wageningen, The Netherlands
12 13 14	*Correspondence author: Guille Peguero. Present address: Research Group of Plant and Vegetation Ecology, Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium.
15	E-mail: guille.peguero@gmail.com
16	

This is the accepted version of the following article: Peguero, G., et al. "Cascading effects of defaunation on the coexistence of two specialized insect seed predators" in Journal of animal ecology, vol. 86, issue 1 (Jan. 2016), p. 136-146, which has been published in final form at DOI 10.1111/1365-2656.12590. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley terms and conditions for self-archiving.

### 17 Summary

- Identification of the mechanisms enabling stable coexistence of species with
   similar resource requirements is a central challenge in ecology. Such coexistence
   can be facilitated by species at higher trophic levels through complex multi trophic interactions, a mechanism that could be compromised by ongoing
   defaunation.
- We investigated cascading effects of defaunation on *Pachymerus cardo* and
   *Speciomerus giganteus*, the specialized insect seed predators of the Neotropical
   palm *Attalea butyracea*, testing the hypothesis that vertebrate frugivores and
   granivores facilitate their coexistence.
- 27 3. Lab experiments showed that the two seed parasitoid species differed strongly in
  28 their reproductive ecology. *Pachymerus* produced many small eggs that it
  29 deposited exclusively on the fruit exocarp (exterior). *Speciomerus* produced few
  30 large eggs that it deposited exclusively on the endocarp, which is normally
  31 exposed only after a vertebrate handles the fruit. When eggs of the two species
  32 were deposited on the same fruit, *Pachymerus* triumphed only when it had a
  33 long head start, and the loser always succumbed to intraguild predation.
- 4. We collected field data on the fates of 6569 *Attalea* seeds across sites in central
  Panama with contrasting degrees of defaunation and wide variation in the
  abundance of vertebrate frugivores and granivores. *Speciomerus* dominated
  where vertebrate communities were intact, whereas *Pachymerus* dominated in
  defaunated sites. Variation in the relative abundance of *Speciomerus* across all
  84 sampling sites was strongly positively related with the proportion of seeds
  attacked by rodents, an indicator of local vertebrate abundance.
- 5. Synthesis: We show that two species of insect seed predators relying on the 41 same host plant species are niche differentiated in their reproductive strategies 42 43 such that one species has the advantage when fruits are handled promptly by vertebrates and the other when they aren't. Defaunation disrupts this mediating 44 influence of vertebrates and strongly favors one species at the expense of the 45 other, providing a case study of the cascading effects of defaunation and its 46 47 potential to disrupt coexistence of non-target species, including the hyperdiverse phytophagous insects of tropical forests. 48
- Key-words: *Attalea butyracea*, facilitation, intraguild predation, multi-trophic
   interactions, Panama, seed fate, seed beetles, top-down control, trophic cascades.
- 51

## 52 **Introduction**

Identification of the mechanisms that enable the coexistence of species with similar
resource requirements is fundamental for understanding the organization and
maintenance of biodiversity. This challenge has traditionally been addressed by
focusing on antagonistic interactions in just one (competition) or two (predator-prey)
trophic levels (Gurevitch, Morrison & Hedges 2000; Chase *et al.* 2002). However, the

recognition of intraguild predation, i.e. competitors killing and eating each other (Arim 58 & Marguet 2004) and facilitative interactions as important community structuring 59 forces (Bruno, Stachowicz & Bertness 2003) has fostered the adoption of more holistic 60 multi-trophic approaches (Tscharntke & Hawkins 2002; Smith, Mooney & Agrawal 61 2008; Visser et al. 2011). Further, interspecific interactions may show conditionality 62 63 when their net outcome varies with the ecological context (Bronstein 1994). The 64 heterogeneity of natural communities thus requires studying species coexistence in contrasting multi-trophic contexts to disentangle the effects of the antagonistic and 65 facilitative mechanisms (Amarasekare 2008). 66

Phytophagous insects provide paradigmatic cases of species that coexist despite 67 similar resource requirements. Seed predators in particular are a diversified guild of 68 insects that often show high degrees of niche overlap due to their high levels of host-69 70 specificity (Janzen 1980; Novotny & Basset 2005; Delobel & Delobel 2006). Competing seed parasitoid species are typically differentiated in their adult phenologies 71 and oviposition behaviors (Mitchell 1975; Messina 1991; Johnson & Romero 2004; 72 73 Espelta, Bonal & Sánchez-Humanes 2009). Yet, despite these differences, a single seed 74 may end up with larvae of more than one species (Espelta et al. 2009). Seeds are 75 discrete resources, which sometimes cannot sustain the development of more than one individual, and thus larval competition within a seed is intense. One of the usual 76 outcomes of such competition is intraguild predation among larvae leaving a single 77 78 survivor (Wilson & Janzen 1972; Janzen 1975; Traveset 1991; Peguero, Bonal & Espelta 2014). Though such direct interspecific competition is clearly important, a 79 community-wide perspective is needed to account for indirect, enemy-mediated and 80 facilitative effects across different trophic levels (Kaplan & Denno 2007). 81

82 Coexistence between species that share the same key resource could be stabilized if the outcome of their competition varies with the community context (Smith et al. 2008). 83 84 In the case of insect seed predators sharing the same host plant, variation in the abundance of another seed consumer or shared enemy can modulate their intraguild 85 competition if one of the insects is more vulnerable to or favored by this third agent. For 86 instance, one insect could dominate its competitor in the presence of a facilitative 87 species, but be subordinate in its absence. Variation in relative dominance would then 88 result from this third species disproportionately favoring the inferior competitor and/or 89 disadvantaging the superior competitor. If the abundance of the third species is spatially 90 heterogeneous, the coexistence of competing species at a regional level could be 91 stabilized (see Gurevitch et al. 2000 and Chase et al. 2002 for a similar reasoning for 92 93 competitors under variable predation pressure). However to our knowledge, the 94 hypothesis that multi-trophic interactions may mediate the coexistence of specialized seed predators has never been empirically tested. 95

96 Vertebrates are key candidates for mediating the coexistence of seed predators, as
97 they may feed on the fruits and seeds as well as on larvae inside fruits and seeds
98 (Sallabanks & Courtney 1992). Examples of top-down control by reptiles, birds and
99 mammals of insect species at lower trophic levels are many (Terborgh *et al.* 2001;
100 Böhm, Wells & Kalko 2011; Visser *et al.* 2011), but we know of no study that has

considered whether vertebrates facilitate the coexistence of competing insects such as
 specialized seed predators. The question of whether or not vertebrates mediate insect
 species coexistence is particularly important given the diversity of insects combined
 with global defaunation trends, i.e., the extirpation and population decline of native
 vertebrate species, which can increase the variability of local abundances producing
 many unanticipated cascade effects of biodiversity loss (Dirzo *et al.* 2014).

Here, we study the consequences of defaunation for species coexistence by assessing 107 whether and how vertebrate frugivores and granivores affect the competitive balance of 108 two specialized bruchid beetles (Bruchinae: Pachymerini) whose larvae are seed 109 predators of the tropical palm Attalea butyracea (hereafter 'Attalea'). Previous studies 110 suggested that these bruchids differ in oviposition strategy. The larger species – 111 Speciomerus giganteus (hereafter 'Speciomerus') – lays its eggs on exposed endocarps 112 113 on the forest floor, and is thus a post-dispersal seed parasitoid (Wright 1983). The smaller species - Pachymerus cardo (hereafter 'Pachymerus') - is thought to lay its 114 eggs on the exocarp of the fruits while they are developing in the canopy, and would 115 116 thus be a pre-dispersal seed parasitoid, like its local sister species P. bactris, the seed 117 parasitoid of the palm Astrocaryum standleyanum (Jansen et al. 2010). Though multiple larvae usually infest the same seed, only one adult bruchid can emerge, suggesting that 118 intraguild predation occurs among bruchid larvae (Wilson & Janzen 1972; Wright 119 1983). 120

121 Vertebrates may affect these bruchids in at least three ways (Fig. 1). First, many 122 vertebrate species feed on the fruit pulp, thus exposing the endocarp to oviposition by 123 Speciomerus. Second, three species of rodents remove and store seeds as food supplies in shallow soil-surface caches, thus moving seeds out of reach of bruchids (Jansen et al. 124 125 2010, 2012, 2014). Third, at least two rodent species also consume bruchid larvae (Silvius & Fragoso 2002; Gálvez & Jansen 2007) and may exert a strong top-down 126 127 control over bruchid populations (Wright & Duber 2001; Visser et al. 2011). Previous studies monitoring fruit falling into traps showed that 63% of the fruits have their 128 endocarps partly exposed (n = 2468, 21 palms) on Barro Colorado Island, which has a 129 complete vertebrate community, versus just 18% (n = 5270, 27 palms) in Soberania 130 National Park which has high levels of poaching (P.A. Jansen, unpublished data). This 131 suggests that the accessibility of Speciomerus to the endocarps is lower where frugivore 132 populations are smaller, allowing more Pachymerus larvae to develop. Therefore, our 133 overarching hypothesis was that vertebrates mediate the coexistence of these beetles, 134 135 and that defaunation could favor one parasitoid at the expense of the other.

We tested the following specific predictions: (1) Pachymerus and Speciomerus differ 136 importantly in reproductive ecology; (2) Speciomerus larvae kill Pachymerus larvae in 137 the same seed, unless *Pachymerus* has a sufficiently long head start; and (3) relative 138 abundances of the two species will depend on vertebrate abundance, with Speciomerus 139 dominating where vertebrate frugivores are common, because the latter facilitate its 140 oviposition and reduce the head start of *Pachymerus*. We tested these hypotheses 141 142 through oviposition trials and competition experiments, combined with sampling at sites with contrasting vertebrate abundance. Overall, our results indicate that vertebrates 143

- 144 indeed mediate the coexistence of the specialized seed predators in this system by
- 145 modifying their competitive balance. Thus, we demonstrate that multi-trophic
- 146 interactions can contribute to the coexistence of insect species with similar resource
- 147 requirements.

# 148 Materials and Methods

## 149 Study system

150 This study was carried out in central Panama, at Barro Colorado Island (BCI), in Soberania National Park (SNP), and at small (<1.5 ha) islands in Gatun Lake (hereafter 151 referred to as islets). Annual rainfall averages 2600 mm with a distinct 4-month dry 152 season from January to April. The vegetation is semi-deciduous tropical moist forest. 153 Because BCI is well-protected from poaching while SNP is not, vertebrates are much 154 155 more abundant on BCI than in SNP (Wright et al. 2000). The islets are virtually defaunated because they are too small to sustain populations of vertebrates larger than 156 157 rats (Adler & Seamon 1991; Wright & Duber 2001). Thus, these three types of site form 158 a gradient of defaunation.

Attalea butyracea (Mutis ex L.f.) Wess. Boer (formerly Scheelea zonensis) is a 159 160 monoecious arborescent canopy or subcanopy palm that is abundant in Panama (Wright 1983). Mature individuals annually produce one to three infructescences with 100-600 161 162 ovoid drupes (3-6 cm length) that ripen during the first half of the wet season (Wright 163 1983). These fruits have a tough exocarp, a soft oily mesocarp and a stone, i.e., a hard 164 woody endocarp that usually encloses one seed, although around 2% contain two or 165 even three seeds (Bradford & Smith 1977). The woody endocarps remain on the forest floor more than 3 years as they slowly decompose (Wright 1983). The mesocarp is 166 167 eaten by many vertebrate species including Baird's tapir (Tapirus bairdii Gill), white-168 faced monkey (Cebus capucinus L.), howler monkey (Alouatta palliata L.), kinkajou (Potos flavus Schreber) and red-lored parrot (Amazona autumnalis L.), all of which are 169 170 able to open the tough exocarp (Wright et al. 2000, G. Peguero, personal observation). Of these, only Baird's tapir ingests the endocarps (Jansen et al. 2014). Three rodent 171 172 species - Red-tailed squirrel (Sciurus granatensis Humboldt), Central American agouti 173 (Dasyprocta punctata Gray) and Central American spiny rat (Proechimys semispinosus 174 Tomes) – are also able to open the endocarps to eat the seeds or the bruchid larvae 175 inside (Wright & Duber 2001; Gálvez & Jansen 2007; Jansen et al. 2014).

176 Two bruchid beetles prey on Attalea seeds in this area: Speciomerus giganteus 177 Chevrolat and *Pachymerus cardo* Fåhareus (henceforth referred to by their genus 178 names). They have no other local hosts (S. Gripenberg, pers. comm.) and no known 179 larval predators (S.J. Wright, unpublished data). Speciomerus is larger and is a post-180 dispersal seed parasitoid that only lays eggs on defleshed endocarps, i.e. after opening or removal of the exocarp by a frugivore (Wilson & Janzen 1972; Wright 1983). In 181 182 contrast, Pachymerus can lay its eggs directly on intact and partially de-fleshed fruits (Silvius & Fragoso 2002, D. Gálvez, unpublished data) hence could be a pre-dispersal 183 184 seed parasitoid. Previous observations also suggest differences in fecundity traits like egg number and size (D. Gálvez, unpublished data). Relative abundance differs among 185

186 sites. *Speciomerus* is dominant in BCI (Visser *et al.* 2011) whereas *Pachymerus* 

- accounts for more than half of bruchid emergences in the SNP (S.J. Wright,
- 188 unpublished data).

# 189 **Reproductive ecology**

We quantified differences between the two bruchids in the timing of adult 190 emergence, egg number and size, and oviposition site preferences. We collected 750 191 192 endocarps beneath approximately 15 haphazardly selected trees along Pipeline Road in 193 SNP during the dry season of 2013 and incubated them in 15 20-liter buckets sealed off with insect screening at ambient temperature but protected from rain and direct sunlight. 194 Buckets were checked daily, the timing of emergence was recorded and each bruchid 195 196 was identified to species. We evaluated interspecific differences in the timing of 197 emergence using a generalized estimating equation model (GEE) with a Poisson loglink function that included the interaction between species and emergence time (in 198 199 ordinal days), treated each incubation bucket as a blocking factor, and included an autoregressive correlation structure for each bucket (block) to account for the 200 201 longitudinal nature of the data.

202 The emerged bruchids were mixed and placed in groups of 10-20 conspecifics in 203  $25 \times 20 \times 8$  cm plastic containers with dry leaves and water in glass vials. After allowing for mating, 17 females of each species were placed individually in plastic containers 204 205 with one intact fruit, one partially de-fleshed endocarp (i.e. a gashed fruit conserving half of the exo- and mesocarp) and a bare endocarp (i.e. a fruit with exo- and mesocarp 206 207 completely removed). These treatments mimic the three most common levels of fruit 208 handling by vertebrates: a non-handled fruit, an endocarp partially de-fleshed by a canopy-dwelling pulp feeder, and an endocarp entirely de-fleshed, as a scatter-hoarding 209 rodent would do (Silvius & Fragoso 2002; Gálvez & Jansen 2007; Jansen et al. 2010). 210 Fruits were mixed and randomly assigned to treatments to ensure that there were no 211 212 differences in size or maturation stage.

213 Every 72 hours, the fruits were replaced by new ones (i.e. fruits collected and manipulated the same day). The number of eggs laid in each fruit treatment and whether 214 215 they were attached to the exocarp or to the endocarp in the partially de-fleshed fruits was monitored daily until the beetles died. Interspecific differences in oviposition 216 217 preference were inferred from the proportion of eggs laid on each fruit type and the 218 proportion of eggs attached to endo- versus exocarp. We fitted generalized linear 219 models (GLM) with binomial or, if overdispersed, quasibinomial errors, and with 220 species as factor and lifetime as covariate, to assess potential behavioral differences 221 related with maternal lifetime.

A random subsample of the eggs of six females per species  $(35 \pm 6 \text{ eggs per female}, mean \pm SE, N = 418)$  were photographed and their maximum length and width measured to the nearest 0.001 mm using the software ImageJ (Schneider, Rasband & Eliceiri 2012). Egg volume was calculated from these measurements under the assumption that the eggs were prolate spheroids, i.e., as  $(4\pi/3)a^2c$ , where *a* is the equatorial diameter and *c* is the polar diameter. Generalized linear mixed models (GLMM) were used to assess interspecific differences in egg volume and fecundity (egg
 number), including species as factor, mother beetle as a random effect nested within
 species, and maternal lifetime (days) as covariate.

# 231 Intraguild interaction trials

232 We conducted a series of incubation trials to test the hypothesis that the outcome of the intraguild interaction among the larvae of Pachymerus and Speciomerus depends on 233 234 the timing of infestation. In July 2013, we collected 257 ripe intact fruits directly from 235 the canopy of five haphazardly selected palms along Pipeline road in SNP, using a pole pruner. The exo- and mesocarp of each fruit were completely removed with a knife, and 236 fresh unhatched eggs (i.e. laid less than 24 hours before) of both species were placed 237 238 onto the bare endocarps to create the following six experimental treatments: 1) one egg 239 of Pachymerus; 2) one egg of Speciomerus; 3) one egg of each species simultaneously ('P0' hereafter); 4) one egg of each species with a 10 day head start for Pachymerus 240 ('P10' hereafter); 5) one egg of each species with a 30 day head start for Pachymerus 241 ('P30'); and 6) a control group without egg addition. The endocarps were incubated 242 243 individually in transparent plastic cups with pierced lids to allow air movement, and adult emergences were monitored during the next wet season until they ceased. Each 244 endocarp was then classified by three possible outcomes: emergence of a Pachymerus 245 246 adult, a Speciomerus adult, or neither.

247 We used three contingency analyses to evaluate differences in beetle emergence patterns among egg addition treatments. The first compared the control, Pachymerus-248 249 only and Speciomerus-only treatments to assess the effectiveness of the egg addition 250 treatments. This identified bruchid infestations prior to fruit collection (emergence from the control treatment) and the viability of the experimental eggs added to the endocarps. 251 252 The second contingency analysis compared the *Pachymerus*-only, *Speciomerus*-only 253 and P0 treatments to assess the impact of interspecific interaction between the two bruchid species. Significantly lower emergence of either species in the simultaneous 254 255 infestation treatment compared to the appropriate single species treatment would indicate that the interspecific interaction is costly. The final contingency analysis 256 257 compared the P0, P10, and P30 treatments to assess the effect of the timing of oviposition on the outcome of the interspecific interaction. 258

# 259 Seed fates in the field

260 We conducted a field study during July 2013 to quantify among-site differences in the relative abundance of the two bruchids and to determine whether this variation was 261 262 correlated with differences in rodent abundance and associated levels of feeding on 263 Attalea seeds. We investigated the fate of endocarps collected from the forest floor and 264 top soil (5 cm depth). In BCI and SNP we selected six and five 50-m radius circular plots, respectively. The plots were separated by more than 250 m and located in 265 266 secondary forests avoiding steep slopes and streams. In Gatun lake we selected 11 small (< 1.5 ha) islets known to be almost defaunated (see Adler & Seamon, 1991). At each 267 268 plot/islet we collected all the endocarps under focal Attalea individuals (4-6 trees per plot in BCI and SNP, and 1-6 trees per islet upon availability). We defined the area 269

under a palm as the area within 3 m of the trunk (i.e. ~28 m<sup>2</sup>). We avoided fruiting
individuals in order to avoid collecting endocarps from the current season. All
endocarps sampled were between 1 to 3 years old, so that any surviving beetle would
already have emerged before the seeds were collected.

274 We examined the endocarps to determine the fates of their seeds (cf. Wright et al. 2000, Visser et al. 2011). Emerging bruchids leave distinct circular exit holes that differ 275 276 in diameter between Speciomerus ( $6.1 \pm 0.53$  mm) and Pachymerus ( $4.9 \pm 0.54$  mm, mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 16 per species). The three rodent species leave different tooth marks: 277 agoutis gnaw holes in the sides of the endocarp, red-tailed squirrels leave long gashed 278 tooth marks and triangular openings at one end of the endocarp (Gálvez & Jansen 279 2007), and spiny rats split the endocarps in half leaving a clean and polished cut (S.J. 280 Wright, personal observation). Agoutis and squirrels make smaller openings when 281 extracting bruchid larvae  $(38 \cdot 1 \pm 17.5 \text{ mm}^2, n = 12)$  than when extracting seeds  $(116 \pm 12.5 \text{ mm}^2)$ 282  $31.8 \text{ mm}^2$ , n = 10, mean  $\pm$  SD; data from Gálvez & Jansen 2007), hence we could 283 distinguish seed predation from larval predation (Visser et al. 2011). 284

Thus, all collected endocarps were classified into one of eight distinct seed fates: 285 286 intact endocarp (I), endocarp opened by a spiny rat (R), endocarp opened by an agouti to extract a bruchid larva (Abr) or the seed (Asd), endocarp opened by a squirrel to 287 288 extract a bruchid larva (Sbr) or the seed (Ssd), endocarp with a Speciomerus emergence 289 hole (Spec), and endocarp with a Pachymerus emergence hole (Pach). We calculated the proportions of each seed fate for each focal tree. We also measured the maximum width 290 291 and length for a representative sub-sample (~50%) of the collected endocarps at each 292 focal tree, and calculated their volume assuming equivalence with a prolate spheroid.

To quantify differences in seed fates among site types (protected BCI, hunted SNP and completely defaunated islets), we analyzed variation among focal trees in the proportions of endocarps in different categories. We used GLMMs with binomial errors and included plot/islet as a random effect. We performed five analyses:

- 297 1. To determine whether rodent activity paralleled the defaunation gradient, we
  298 analyzed the proportion of endocarps handled by rodents [(R + Abr + Asd + Sbr +
  299 Ssd)/Total].
- 300 2. To determine how bruchid abundance varied over the defaunation gradient, we
  analyzed the proportion of endocarps with bruchid emergence [(Spec +
  302 Pach)/Total].
- 303 3. To determine how bruchid escape from agoutis and squirrels varied over the
  304 defaunation gradient, we analyzed the proportion of bruchid infested endocarps
  305 from which a bruchid emerged [(Spec + Pach)/(Spec + Pach + Abr + Sbr)].
- 4. To determine how the relative abundance of the two bruchids varied over the
  defaunation gradient, we analyzed the proportion of bruchid emergences comprised
  by *Speciomerus* [Spec/(Spec+Pach)]
- 5. To evaluate potential consequences of defaunation-related variation in seed
  predation for *Attalea* population dynamics, we analyzed the proportion of intact
  endocarps that escaped bruchid and rodent attack [I/Total].

- According to previous research (Wright et al. 2000), across Central Panama the
- abundance of squirrels and agouties combined explains 68% of the variation in the
- abundance of vertebrates at a community level, so that their abundance can be
- considered a reliable proxy for the level of defaunation more generally (see Fig. S1 in
- Supporting Information). To further illuminate how the two bruchid species respond quantitatively to variation in rodent activity, we fit models for *Pachymerus* emergence
- 318 [Pach/Total], *Speciomerus* emergence [Spec/Total], and *Speciomerus* relative
- abundance [Spec/(Spec+Pach)] as a function of the proportion of endocarps handled by
- rodents [(R+Abr+Asd+Sbr+Ssd)/Total]. We fit these three relationships using GLMMs
- 321 or, if nonlinear generalized additive mixed models (GAMMs), with binomial error
- distributions and plot/islet as a random factor nested with site type (SNP, BCI or islets).

To test whether rodents prefer larvae to seeds, we investigated how the proportion of larvae predated by rodents [(Abr+Sbr)/(Abr+Sbr+Spec+Pach)] change with the proportion of seeds predated by rodents [(Asd+Ssd)/(Asd+Ssd+Intact)]. We fit a GAM for larval predation as a function of seed predation to quantify the relationship between the two. Because it is impossible to differentiate between larval and seed predation in the endocarps handled by rats, they were excluded from this analysis.

Finally, we assessed if the two bruchid species differ in the sizes of the endocarps they exploit. We conducted a GLMM on log-transformed endocarp volume, with site, emerging bruchid species and their interaction as fixed factors and plot/islet nested within site as a random effect. *P*-values were obtained by normal and Kenward-Roger approximation of degrees of freedom with pbkrtest (Halekoh & Højsgaard 2012).

All analyses were done with the R packages geepack (Højsgaard & Halekoh 2006),
gamm4 (Wood 2011) and lme4 (Bates *et al.* 2014). Best models were selected
according to AIC and model assumptions were validated graphically.

# 337 **Results**

# 338 **Reproductive ecology**

339 The two bruchid species differed in their emergence phenology, reproductive traits and oviposition preferences. Adults of *Pachymerus*, the smaller species, emerged 340 significantly earlier in the fruiting season (interaction day\*species,  $Wald = 25 \cdot 2$ , P < 100341 342 0.0001) than Speciomerus, although the emergence times overlapped substantially (see 343 Fig. S2). Egg volume was almost 20 times larger in Speciomerus than in Pachymerus  $(F_{1,406} = 10171, P < 0.0001, Fig. 2a)$ , whereas *Pachymerus* females laid 5 times more 344 eggs than Speciomerus ( $F_{1,31} = 8.2$ , P < 0.01, Fig. 2b). Maternal lifetime did not affect 345 fecundity  $(F_{1,31} = 0.7, P = 0.4)$ . 346

*Speciomerus* females overwhelmingly chose to attach their eggs to the endocarp, i.e. in areas where the flesh had been removed, whereas *Pachymerus* preferred the exocarp and specifically under the bracts ( $Z_{1, 29} = 8.9$ , P < 0.0001, Fig. 2c). Consequently, given the choice of one fully de-fleshed, one partially de-fleshed, and one intact fruit as potential sites for egg deposition, *Speciomerus* females laid almost no eggs on intact fruits (just 1 of 241), and laid 2.4 times more eggs on fully de-fleshed than on partially

- de-fleshed fruits, whereas *Pachymerus* laid almost no eggs on fully de-fleshed fruits
- (just 18 of 1676), and laid 1.5 times more eggs on intact than on partially de-fleshed
- fruits (Fig. 2d). Thus, the two species showed significant differences in the proportions
- of eggs laid on each fruit type (fully de-fleshed  $Z_{1,31} = 5.5$ , P < 0.0001; partially de-
- 357 fleshed  $Z_{1, 28}$ = -2.6, P < 0.05; intact:  $Z_{1, 28}$  = -2.5, P < 0.05). Maternal lifetime did not
- affect the probability of laying an egg on an endocarp ( $Z_{1,30} = 0.7, P = 0.5$ ).

## 359 Intraguild interactions

Patterns of beetle emergence differed significantly between egg addition treatments 360 (Fig. 3a;  $\chi^2 = 44$ , df = 10, P < 0.0001). Pachymerus adults emerged from 30% of the 361 endocarps in the control group, which received no experimental egg addition, implying 362 that this species infested seeds directly in the canopy, before fruit collection (Fig. 3a). 363 Adding a Speciomerus egg increased emergence of Speciomerus adults from zero to 364 25% ( $\gamma^2 = 18.5$ , df = 2, P < 0.0001, Fig. S3b), whereas the increase of *Pachymerus* 365 emergences in the Pachymerus-only treatment was not significant compared with those 366 in the control group ( $\chi^2 = 2.2$ , df = 2, P = 0.14, Fig. S3a). 367

The reduction in the expected number of adult emergences in the P0 treatment (i.e. 368 simultaneous egg addition of both species) compared with the *Pachymerus*-only ( $\chi^2$  = 369 11.6, df = 2, P < 0.01, Fig. S3c) and with the Speciomerus-only ( $\chi^2 = 7.6$ , df = 2, P < 1.6370 0.05, Fig. S3d) suggests that interspecific competition is costly for both species. When 371 in direct competition for the same seed, the relative success of the two species depended 372 on the relative timing of egg addition ( $\chi^2 = 10.3$ , df = 4, P < 0.05, Fig.3b). When 373 374 Pachymerus was given a head start of 30 days, there was no emergence whatsoever of Speciomerus, compared with 42% for Pachymerus. In contrast, when both eggs were 375 added at the same time or when Pachymerus had a head start of just 10 days, 376 Speciomerus emerged from 14-15% of all endocarps, whereas Pachymerus emerged at 377

378 rates similar to the control.

## 379 Seed fate in the field

6569 Attalea endocarps were collected from beneath 84 focal palms (BCI: mean 380 67±12 SE endocarps per palm; SNP: 70±13; islets: 100±20). At each site, around a third 381 382 of the recovered endocarps were intact (Fig. 4a). The frequency with which seeds were attacked by rodents varied strongly between the three site types as predicted (Fig. 4a: 383  $Z_{\text{SNP}} = -4.5$ , P < 0.0001,  $Z_{\text{Islets}} = -2.8$ , P < 0.001, contrasts respective to BCI which was 384 the intercept level of the models, see Table S1 for parameter estimates). In BCI, 64.7% 385 of the endocarps were opened by rodents, compared with 17.7% in SNP and 11.6% in 386 387 the islets. In BCI and SNP the most abundant rodent scars were from agoutis and 388 squirrels, whereas on the islets most scars were made by spiny rats (Fig. 4b).

The proportion of seeds from which bruchids emerged showed the opposite pattern of variation among site types (Fig. 4a:  $Z_{\text{SNP}} = 4.8$ , P < 0.0001,  $Z_{\text{Islets}} = 4.4$ , P < 0.0001): just 6.3% of seeds on BCI had bruchid emergence holes, compared to more than half of endocarps in SNP and the islets. The proportion of bruchid infestations that resulted in adult emergences was lower on BCI than SNP and the islets ( $Z_{\text{SNP}} = 3.4$ , P < 0.0001,

- 394 $Z_{\text{Islets}} = 6.7, P < 0.0001$ ), reflecting higher levels of larval predation by rodents on BCI395(Fig. 4c). There was a significant difference between sites in the relative abundance of396the two bruchid species (Fig. 4d:  $Z_{\text{SNP}} = -6.7, P < 0.001, Z_{\text{Islets}} = -7.9, P < 0.001$ ).397Speciomerus was overwhelmingly dominant on BCI, accounting for 95% of bruchid
- 398 emergences whereas *Pachymerus* dominated on the islets with 70 % of the emergences,
- and the two species had similar abundances at SNP (Fig. 4d).
- 400 Variation in rodent attack among focal trees was strongly and differentially related to variation in the success of the two bruchid species (Fig.5). The emergence of 401 *Pachymerus* decreased as the levels of rodent attack increased ( $Z_{1, 84} = -14 \cdot 2, P < P$ 402 0.0001, Fig.5a). In contrast, Speciomerus emergences peaked at intermediate levels of 403 rodent attack ( $\chi^2 = 66.1$ , estimated df = 4.2, P < 0.0001,  $r^2 = 0.35$ , Fig.5b) and its 404 relative abundance reached almost total dominance when rodents were abundant ( $Z_{1,84}$ 405 406 =  $15 \cdot 2$ , P < 0.0001, Fig.5c). Larval predation risk was higher than rodent seed predation risk at the vast majority of focal trees, with the fitted relationship far above the 1:1 line 407 for most of the range of the data (estimated df = 4.6; F = 50.3; P = 0.0001,  $r^2 = 0.78$ , 408 409 Fig. S4).
- 410 Measurements of endocarp size in 1728 endocarps provided no clear indication of
- differences in endocarp-size preferences between the bruchids (Table S2 and Fig. S5).
- 412 Speciomerus emerged from slightly larger endocarps than Pachymerus in BCI ( $8.4 \pm$
- 413 0.2 versus  $6.5 \pm 0.6$  respectively; mean  $\pm 1$  SE in cm<sup>3</sup>) and the islets ( $6.9 \pm 0.1$  and 6.6
- 414  $\pm 0.06$ ), but there were no differences in PLR ( $8.1 \pm 0.1$  versus  $8.2 \pm 0.1$ ).

### 415 **Discussion**

416 We investigated how vertebrates affected competitive interactions between two specialized seed predators of the palm Attalea butyracea. We found that the larger 417 bruchid Speciomerus was the superior competitor in direct competition for a given seed 418 but required the removal of the exo- and mesocarp of the fruits by a vertebrate frugivore 419 420 before oviposition. The smaller but more fecund Pachymerus infested seeds inside 421 intact fruits directly in the canopy, gaining a head start on its post-dispersal competitor. Pachymerus emergence dominated in the defaunated sites, but its dominance decreased 422 423 as the proportion of seeds attacked by rodents increased. Rodent abundance is well correlated with the abundances of other mammals and serves as proxy for defaunation 424 425 (Fig. S1). These results provide evidence for vertebrates influencing competitive interactions between seed predators, as well as for cascading effects of defaunation on 426 427 species coexistence.

### 428 Niche differentiation

The two bruchids showed pronounced differences in their reproductive ecology. The differences in egg volume and fecundity are consistent with a classical evolutionary trade-off between offspring size and number (Smith & Fretwell 1974). The finding that *Pachymerus* females preferred to oviposit on intact fruits along with the observation that incubated seeds collected directly from palms and subsequently stripped of exoand mesocarp frequently already contained *Pachymerus* larvae indicates that this

- species oviposits on infructescences in the canopy. The same pre-dispersal oviposition 435 behavior has been observed in the congener P. bactris, which infests seeds of the palm 436 Astrocaryum standleyanum (Jansen et al. 2010). Speciomerus females, in contrast, 437 438 exclusively laid their eggs on defleshed or partially defleshed endocarps that are 439 typically available below parent palms (Wilson & Janzen 1972; Wright 1983). Several 440 rodents cache these endocarps as food supplies out of reach of Speciomerus (Jansen et 441 al. 2010, 2012, 2014), shortening the temporal window to lay their eggs. This, along 442 with the uncertainty about prior infestation by its pre-dispersal competitor may have favored greater larval competitive ability and the almost 20 fold larger energy 443 444 investment per egg.
- This interpretation of a more opportunistic versus a more conservative reproductive strategy fits with bet-hedging theory predictions on optimal progeny size (Fox & Czesak 2000). A harsh environment (e.g. low-density of oviposition sites and highly variable seed quality) is expected to select for adaptations that increase offspring survival such as larger egg sizes at the cost of lower fecundity (Czesak & Fox 2003), whereas an abundance of aggregated oviposition sites should select for a lower parental investment per egg and greater fecundities (Fox & Czesak 2000).
- 452 *Pachymerus* emerges earlier than *Speciomerus* at the onset of the wet season (Fig. 453 S2), consistent with its pre-dispersal oviposition behavior. However, the substantial overlap in adult activity of the two bruchid species precludes a resource partitioning 454 based on phenological differences (Espelta et al. 2009). The larvae of Attalea seed 455 456 predators exhibited intraguild predation, with only one adult emerging per seed despite 457 multiple infestations, consistent with previous observations (Wilson & Janzen 1972; Wright 1983). The incubation trials demonstrated that the outcome of this larval 458 predation depends on the duration of the head start of the pre-dispersal seed parasitoid 459 versus its post-dispersal competitor. Similar results have been found with the bruchid 460 461 Callosobruchus maculatus in which just two days of head start allowed the larvae of a less competitive strain to equal its more aggressive competitor (Messina 1991). These 462 results highlight that oviposition strategy, i.e., seed infestation before or after primary 463 dispersal, is a main axis of niche divergence for insect seed predators and is associated 464 465 with several other life history traits, such as adult phenology, egg size/number and oviposition preferences (Messina 1991; Traveset 1991; Johnson & Romero 2004). 466

# 467 Cascading effects of defaunation

468 Our study sites represented a sharp defaunation gradient (Adler and Seamons 1991, Wright et al. 2000), as shown by striking differences in the proportion of endocarps 469 470 handled by rodents. Bruchid emergence relative to bruchid infestation was much higher 471 in defaunated sites, probably due to the lower rates of larval predation by rodents. 472 Rodents preferentially prey upon bruchid larvae (Fig. S4) in accordance with previous studies suggesting that rodents can exert a strong top-down control over insect 473 474 populations (Wright & Duber 2001; Gálvez & Jansen 2007; Visser et al. 2011). This 475 top-down pressure had differential effects on the two competing seed predators. The relative abundance of Speciomerus increased with the levels of rodent attack, with 476

Speciomerus being almost absolutely dominant on Barro Colorado where the level of 477 larval predation by rodents was highest, while *Pachymerus* dominated at the most 478 defaunated sites. One plausible reason is that *Speciomerus* is favored by the higher 479 480 abundance of vertebrate frugivores which expose endocarps as soon as fruits are ripe, thus reducing the headstart of Pachymerus. In addition to rodents, many other vertebrate 481 482 species feed upon Attalea fruits and there are remarkable differences in frugivory rates 483 across central Panama linked with defaunation levels (Wright et al. 2000). Therefore, 484 vertebrate frugivores and granivores may play a dual mutualistic and antagonistic role and modify the outcome of the intraguild predation of bruchids competing for Attalea 485 seeds even at rather local scales (Fig. 5). If so, natural variation in vertebrate abundance 486 487 and consumption of *Attalea* fruits at a landscape level would ultimately enable the coexistence of both bruchid species. A similar mechanism has been hypothesized to 488 facilitate coexistence of specialized host-sharing milkweed aphids via spatial variation 489 490 in the abundance of ants that promote their tended species by suppressing their superior 491 non-tended competitors (Smith et al. 2008).

## 492 Conclusions

493 Natural food webs are spatially dynamic but empirical field-based evidence of how
494 spatial variation in multi-trophic interactions affects species coexistence are scant
495 (Amarasekare 2008). Vertebrates such as reptiles, birds and mammals are keystone
496 ecological agents known to exert top-down control on the populations of insects at
497 lower trophic levels (Böhm *et al.* 2011; Visser *et al.* 2011), and whose disappearance
498 thus has cascade effects reverberating through entire food webs (Terborgh *et al.* 2001).

- 499 Our results show that vertebrates may also modify the competitive balance of
- 500 phytophagous insects sharing the same key resource, and can determine their relative
- abundances. Given the unprecedented rates of defaunation globally (Dirzo *et al.* 2014),
- 502 this insight warns of potential unanticipated effects on biodiversity loss in hyperdiverse
- 503 groups such as phytophagous insects.

# 504 Acknowledgements

- 505 The field work of this study was funded by a Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute
- short-term fellowship granted to G.P and the analysis and writing was supported in part
- 507by a post-doctoral grant by the Ramon Areces Foundation and the ERC Synergy
- 508 grant ERC-2013-SyG-610028 IMBALANCE-P. We gratefully acknowledge Betzi
- 509 Perez, Chelina Batista and Gustavo Bornemann for assistance with field work.

## 510 Data Accessibility

- 511 Data available from the Dryad Digital Repository <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.gc850</u>
- 512 (Peguero *et al.* 2016).

## 513 **References**

- Adler, G.H. & Seamon, J.O. (1991) Distribution and abundance of a tropical rodent, the spiny
   rat, on islands in Panama. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 7, 349–360.
- 516 Amarasekare, P. (2008) Spatial Dynamics of Foodwebs. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution,

- 517 *and Systematics*, **39**, 479–500.
- Arim, M. & Marquet, P.A. (2004) Intraguild predation: a widespread interaction related to
   species biology. *Ecology Letters*, 7, 557–564.
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. (2014) Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models
  using lme4. *ArXiv e-print*, 51.
- Böhm, S.M., Wells, K. & Kalko, E.K. V. (2011) Top-down control of herbivory by birds and
  bats in the canopy of temperate broad-leaved oaks (Quercus robur). *PLoS ONE*, 6.
- Bradford, D. & Smith, C. (1977) Seed predation and seed number in Scheelea palm fruits.
   *Ecology*, 58, 667–673.
- Bronstein, J.L. (1994) Conditional outcomes in mutualistic interactions. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 9, 214–217.
- Bruno, J.F., Stachowicz, J.J. & Bertness, M.D. (2003) Inclusion of facilitation into ecological
  theory. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 18, 119–125.
- Chase, J.M., Abrams, P. a., Grover, J.P., Diehl, S., Chesson, P., Holt, R.D., Richards, S. a.,
  Nisbet, R.M. & Case, T.J. (2002) The interaction between predation and competition: a
  review and synthesis. *Ecology Letters*, 5, 302–315.
- 533 Czesak, M. & Fox, C. (2003) Evolutionary ecology of egg size and number in a seed beetle:
  534 genetic trade-off differs between environments. *Evolution*, 57, 1121–1132.
- 535 Delobel, B. & Delobel, A. (2006) Dietary specialization in European species groups of seed
  536 beetles (Coleoptera: Bruchidae: Bruchinae). *Oecologia*, 149, 428–43.
- 537 Dirzo, R., Young, H.S., Galetti, M., Ceballos, G., Isaac, N.J.B. & Collen, B. (2014) Defaunation
  538 in the Anthropocene. *Science*, 345, 401–406.
- Espelta, J.M., Bonal, R. & Sánchez-Humanes, B. (2009) Pre-dispersal acorn predation in mixed
  oak forests: interspecific differences are driven by the interplay among seed phenology,
  seed size and predator size. *Journal of Ecology*, **97**, 1416–1423.
- Fox, C. & Czesak, M. (2000) Evolutionary ecology of progeny size in arthropods. Annual
   *Review of Entomology*, 45, 341–369.
- Gálvez, D. & Jansen, P.A. (2007) Bruchid beetle infestation and the value of Attalea butyracea
  endocarps for neotropical rodents. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 23, 381–384.
- Gurevitch, J., Morrison, J. & Hedges, L. (2000) The interaction between competition and
   predation: a meta- analysis of field experiments. *The American Naturalist*, 155, 435–453.
- Halekoh, U. & Højsgaard, S. (2012) A Kenward-Roger approximation and parametric bootstrap
  methods for tests in linear mixed models-the R package pbkrtest. *Journal of Statistical Software*, **59**, 1–32.
- Højsgaard, S. & Halekoh, U. (2006) The R Package geepack for Generalized Estimating
  Equations. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 15, 1–11.
- Jansen, P.A., Elschot, K., Verkerk, P.J. & Wright, S.J. (2010) Seed predation and defleshing in
   the agouti-dispersed palm Astrocaryum standleyanum. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 26,
   473–480.
- Jansen, P.A., Hirsch, B.T., Emsens, W.-J., Zamora-Gutierrez, V., Wikelski, M. & Kays, R.
  (2012) Thieving rodents as substitute dispersers of megafaunal seeds. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109, 12610–12615.
- Jansen, P.A., Visser, M.D., Wright, S.J., Rutten, G. & Muller-Landau, H.C. (2014) Negative
   density dependence of seed dispersal and seedling recruitment in a Neotropical palm.
   *Ecology Letters*, 17, 1111–1120.

- Janzen, D.H. (1975) Intra- and Interhabitat Variations in Guazuma ulmifolia (Sterculiaceae)
   Seed Predation by Amblycerus Cistelinus (Bruchidae) in Costa Rica. *Ecology*, 56, 1009–
   1013.
- Janzen, D.H. (1980) Specificity of seed-attacking beetles in a Costa Rican deciduous forest.
   *Journal of Ecology*, 68, 929–952.
- Johnson, C.D. & Romero, J. (2004) A review of evolution of oviposition guilds in the
  Bruchidae (Coleoptera). *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia*, 48, 401–408.
- Kaplan, I. & Denno, R.F. (2007) Interspecific interactions in phytophagous insects revisited: a
   quantitative assessment of competition theory. *Ecology Letters*, 10, 977–994.
- 571 Messina, F. (1991) Life-history variation in a seed beetle: adult egg-laying vs. larval
   572 competitive ability. *Oecologia*, 85, 447–455.
- 573 Mitchell, R. (1975) The evolution of oviposition tactics in the bean weevil, Callosobruchus
  574 maculatus (F.). *Ecology*, 56, 696–702.
- Novotny, V. & Basset, Y. (2005) Host specificity of insect herbivores in tropical forests.
   *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 272, 1083–1090.
- Peguero, G., Bonal, R. & Espelta, J.M. (2014) Variation of predator satiation and seed abortion
  as seed defense mechanisms across an altitudinal range. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 15, 269–276.
- Peguero, G., Muller-Landau, H., Jansen, P. & Wright, J. (2016) Data from: Cascading effects of
   defaunation on the coexistence of two specialized insect seed parasitoids. Dryad Digital
   Repository. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.gc850
- Sallabanks, R. & Courtney, S.P. (1992) Frugivory, seed predation, and insect-vertebrate
   interactions. *Annual review of entomology*, 37, 377–400.
- Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S. & Eliceiri, K.W. (2012) NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of
  image analysis. *Nature Methods*, 9, 671–675.
- Silvius, K. & Fragoso, J. (2002) Pulp handling by vertebrate seed dispersers increases palm seed
   predation by bruchid beetles in the northern Amazon. *Journal of Ecology*, **90**, 1024–1032.
- Smith, C.C. & Fretwell, S.D. (1974) The optimal balance between size and number of offspring.
   *The American Naturalist*, 108, 499.
- Smith, R., Mooney, K. & Agrawal, A. (2008) Coexistence of three specialist aphids on common
   milkweed, Asclepias syriaca. *Ecology*, 89, 2187–2196.
- Terborgh, J., Lopez, L., Nuñez, P., Rao, M., Shahabuddin, G., Orihuela, G., Riveros, M.,
  Ascanio, R., Adler, G.H., Lambert, T.D. & Balbas, L. (2001) Ecological Meltdown in
  Predator-Free Forest Fragments. *Science*, 294, 1923–1926.
- Traveset, A. (1991) Pre-dispersal seed predation in Central American Acacia farnesiana: factors
   affecting the abundance of co-occurring bruchid beetles. *Oecologia*, 87, 570–576.
- Tscharntke, T. & Hawkins, B.A. (2002) Multitrophic level interactions: an introduction.
   *Multitrophic level interactions* (eds T. Tscharntke), & B.A. Hawkins), pp. 1–7. Cambridge
   University Press.
- Visser, M.D., Muller-Landau, H.C., Wright, S.J., Rutten, G. & Jansen, P. a. (2011) Tri-trophic
   interactions affect density dependence of seed fate in a tropical forest palm. *Ecology Letters*, 14, 1093–100.
- Wilson, D. & Janzen, D. (1972) Predation on Scheelea palm seeds by bruchid beetles: seed
   density and distance from the parent palm. *Ecology*, 53, 954–959.
- Wood, S.N. (2011) Fast stable restricted maximum likelihood and marginal likelihood
   estimation of semiparametric generalized linear models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical*

- 608 *Society* (*B*), **73**, 3–36.
- Wright, S.J. (1983) The dispersion of eggs by a bruchid beetle among Scheelea palm seeds and
  the effect of distance to the parent palm. *Ecology*, 64, 1016–1021.
- Wright, S.J. & Duber, H.C. (2001) Fragmentation Alter Seed Dispersal, Seed Survival, and
  Seedling Recruitment in the Palm Attalea butyracea with implications for Tropical Tree
  Diversity. *Biotropica*, 33, 583–595.
- Wright, S.J., Zeballos, H., Domínguez, I., Gallardo, M.M., Moreno, M.C. & Ibáñez, R. (2000)
  Poachers alter mammal abundance, seed dispersal, and seed predation in a neotropical
  forest. *Conservation Biology*, 14, 227–239.
- 617

#### 618 Supporting Information

- Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.
- 620 Figure S1. Correlation between rodent and vertebrate abundance across central Panama.
- 621 **Figure S2.** Phenology of *Pachymerus* and *Speciomerus* adult emergence.
- 622 Figure S3. Association plots of egg incubation trials.
- **Figure S4.** Relationship between larval predation and seed predation by rodents.
- **Figure S5.** Variation in *Attalea* endocarp volume by insect species and across sites.
- **Table S1.** Results of the analyses on *Attalea* seed fate differences across sites.
- **Table S2.** Results of the analysis of *Attalea* variance in endocarp volume.
- 627
- 628
- 629
- 630
- 631
- 632
- 633
- 634

### 635 **Figures**

- **Fig. 1.** Food web associated with the fruits and seeds of the palm *Attalea butyracea* in
- 637 Central Panama. Numbers denote different trophic interactions: 1. Fruit pulp feeding; 2.
- 638 Seed feeding; 3. Predation of bruchid larvae by rodents; 4. Intraguild predation of
- 639 larvae. Defaunation cascades down the web to affect the fate of the palm seeds.
- 640 **Fig. 2.** Differences between the *Attalea* seed predators *Pachymerus cardo* and
- 641 Speciomerus giganteus in egg size (a), egg number (b), and oviposition preferences in
- terms of preferred fruit tissue (c) and level of endocarp defleshing (d). Central lines are
- 643 medians, boxes are  $1^{st}$  and  $3^{rd}$  quartile and whiskers show min and max values. Note

- log-scale in panels a and b. Overlaid numbers in panels c and d show the number of
- eggs. Significance levels (from GLMMs) are \* P < 0.05; \*\* P < 0.01; and \*\*\* P < 0.001.

Fig. 3. Results of the trials in which Attalea seeds were incubated with and without 646 647 addition of eggs of one or both species of the bruchid seed parasitoids Pachymerus and 648 Speciomerus. Treatments were a control group of fruits directly collected from palms incubated without egg addition, and experimental additions of eggs of Pachymerus 649 only, of both species with a head start of 0, 10 or 30 days for Pachymerus (P0, P10 and 650 P30, respectively), and of Speciomerus only. (a) Differences among treatments in 651 bruchid emergence. Overlaid numbers show counts for each outcome. (b) Association 652 plot for the contingency analysis of treatments P0, P10 and P30 ( $\gamma^2 = 10.3$ , df = 4, P < 653 0.05). Numbers above and below the bars show the frequencies of each outcome for 654 each treatment. The height of the bars is proportional to the corresponding Pearson 655 residual. Within each treatment, the width of the bars is proportional to the frequency of 656 the outcome (with frequency 0 assigned an arbitrary width). The significance level is for 657 the overall analysis with pink indicating the strongest deviation from the null hypothesis 658 659 of independence between treatments and outcomes.

Fig. 4. Fates of Attalea seeds collected from sites with different levels of defaunation in 660 Central Panama. (a) Proportion of seeds with a bruchid emergence hole, opened by 661 662 rodents, and intact. (b) Proportion of seeds opened by squirrels, agouties or spiny rats relative to all seeds handled by rodents; (c) Proportion of seeds with signs of larval 663 predation by a rodent and with a bruchid emergence hole relative to all bruchid-infested 664 seeds; (d) Proportion of endocarps with bruchid emergence holes classified by bruchid 665 666 species. Overlaid numbers show counts for each seed fate. Associated p-values are given in the text. 667

668 Fig. 5. Relationship of bruchid emergence with the frequency of rodent attack on seeds along a defaunation gradient in Central Panama. Percentages of (a) Pachymerus 669 emergence relative to all seeds [Pach/Total]; (b) Speciomerus emergences relative to all 670 671 seeds [Spec/Total]; and (c) Speciomerus emergences relative to all bruchid emergences 672 [Spec/(Pach+Spec)]. Rodent attack is defined by the proportion of seeds opened by rodents [(Sbr + Ssd + Abr + Asd + R)/Total]. Solid lines show regression fits according 673 to generalized linear (a, c) or additive (b) mixed models with binomial errors and with 674 plot nested within site as a random factor. Dotted lines show 95% confidence envelopes. 675 676 Each point corresponds to a single focal tree, with point size proportional to sample size 677 (number of seeds) and colors indicating sampling site types (BCI = black, SNP = grey, and Islets = light grey). 678

679









Outcomes





#### (b) Handled by rodents



#### (c) Infested by bruchids



#### (d) Bruchid emergences





