Our reference: CYSR 1830 P-authorquery-v10

AUTHOR QUERY FORM



Journal: CYSR

Please e-mail or fax your responses and any corrections to:

E-mail: corrections.esil@elsevier.spitech.com

Fax: +1 619 699 6721

Article Number: 1830

Dear Author,

Please check your proof carefully and mark all corrections at the appropriate place in the proof (e.g., by using on-screen annotation in the PDF file) or compile them in a separate list. Note: if you opt to annotate the file with software other than Adobe Reader then please also highlight the appropriate place in the PDF file. To ensure fast publication of your paper please return your corrections within 48 hours.

For correction or revision of any artwork, please consult http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions.

Any queries or remarks that have arisen during the processing of your manuscript are listed below and highlighted by flags in the proof. Click on the 'Q' link to go to the location in the proof.

Location in article	Query / Remark: click on the Q link to go Please insert your reply or correction at the corresponding line in the proof
<u>Q1</u>	Please confirm that given names and surnames have been identified correctly.
<u>Q2</u>	Single asterisk was changed to double asterisks for the term " $p \le .05$ " and linked to the citation in Table 4. Please check if appropriate and correct as necessary.
<u>Q3</u>	Citation "Steele and Steele, 2006" has not been found in the reference list. Please supply full details for this reference.
<u>Q4</u>	Citation "Steele & Steele, 2006" has not been found in the reference list. Please supply full details for this reference.
Q5, Q8	Citation "Spanish adaptation TEA, 2004" has not been found in the reference list. Please supply full details for this reference.
<u>Q6</u>	Citation "Steele and Steele, 2005" has not been found in the reference list. Please supply full details for this reference.
<u>Q7</u>	Citation "Unpublished manuscript, 2009" has not been found in the reference list. Please supply full details for this reference.
<u>Q9</u>	The word "rest-retest" was changed to "test-retest". Please check and correct as necessary.

Thank you for your assistance.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

CYSR-01830; No of Pages 1

Children and Youth Services Review xxx (2012) xxx



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Children and Youth Services Review

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/childyouth



Highlights

Social relationships in children from intercountry adoption

Children and Youth Services Review xxx (2012) xxx-xxx

Natàlia Barcons ^{a,*}, Neus Abrines ^a, Carme Brun ^a, Claudio Sartini ^b, Victoria Fumadó ^c, Diana Marre ^b

- ^a Department of Clinical and Health Psychology, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Bellaterra, Spain
- ^b Department of Social and Cultural Anthropology, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Bellaterra, Spain
- ^c Pediatric Service, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Esplugues, Spain
- ▶ We examine social relationships of 116 internationally adopted children aged 8_11. ▶ Adoptees from Eastern Europe struggle in developing a secure attachment pattern. ▶ A secure attachment pattern correlates on the children's social relationships. ▶ Adoptees from Eastern European countries struggle in developing social skills. ▶ Later age at adoption has an effect on interpersonal relationships and social stress.

16 **4**7

0190-7409/\$ – see front matter © 2012 Published by Elsevier Ltd. doi:10.1016/j.childyouth.2012.01.028

Children and Youth Services Review xxx (2012) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Children and Youth Services Review

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/childyouth



Social relationships in children from intercountry adoption

Natàlia Barcons, a, *, Neus Abrines, a, Carme Brun, a, Claudio Sartini, b, Victoria Fumadó, c, Diana Marre, b

- ^a Department of Clinical and Health Psychology, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Bellaterra, Spain
- ^b Department of Social and Cultural Anthropology, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Bellaterra, Spain
- ^c Pediatric Service, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Esplugues, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 27 October 2011

Received in revised form 28 January 2012

Accepted 29 January 2012

Available online xxxx

Keywords:

9

11

12

16

17

19

20

21

37 36 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

52

53 54

55

56 57

58 59

60

Intercountry adoption

Social relationships

Attachment

Country of origin

Children

ABSTRACT

In this study we aim to analyze the social relationships from a sample of 116 internationally adopted children 22 aged 8-11, considering the following factors: relationship with parents, interpersonal relationships, and so-23 cial stress. In comparison with previous researches, we have used the child as the informant. These factors are 24 explored depending of the attachment pattern of the child, the country of origin, sex and age at adoption. The 25 attachment pattern is explored with the semi-structured Friends and Family Interview (FFI; Steele and Steele, 26 03 2006) and the social relationships have been assessed with the Behavioral Assessment System for Children 27 (BASC; Reynolds & Kamphaus, 1992).

Results show significant differences in the attachment pattern depending on the countries of origin and the 29 impact of the secure attachment pattern over the interpersonal and parental relationships of the children is 30 highlighted. Research helps us to identify the groups that are at risk in developing a secure attachment 31 pattern and in developing their skills for social relationships.

© 2012 Published by Elsevier Ltd. 33

1. Introduction

According to the Convention on the Rights of the Children Adoption (United Nations, 1989) and to the Convention on Protection of Children and Co-operation in respect of Intercountry Adoption (Hague Conference on Private International Law, 1993); adoption is a childhood protection measure with the objective to provide a family to children whose biological families are not able to care for them. It is a phenomenon that involves 45,000 transnational adoptees every year around the world. In 2004, Spain was the second country in the world in receiving children from other countries, after USA. (Selman, 2009).

Research in intercountry adoption has been mostly focused on differences on the psychological adjustment of the adoptees compared with their non-adopted peers, and the results indicate that, although they have adequate development, more emotional and behavioral problems are detected compared with nonadopted children, such as: developmental delays (Beckett et al., 2006; Morison, Ames, & Chisholm, 1995); attachment difficulties (Van den Dries, Juffer, Van IJzendoorn, & Bakermans-Kranenburg, 2009); psychiatric disorders in adolescence and adulthood, increased risks for psychiatric hospitalization, suicidal behavior, severe social problems, lower cognitive functioning, and poorer school performance (Dalen et al., 2008; Lindblad, Hjern, & Vinnerljung, 2003); and internalized and externalized problems, with higher incidence among the males (Bimmel, Juffer, Van

E-mail address: natalia.barcons@gmail.com (N. Barcons).

IJzendoorn, & Bakermans-Kranenburg, 2003; Juffer & Van IJzendoorn, 61 2005; Stams, Juffer, Rispens, & Hoksbergen, 2000).

In any adoption process, risk factors interact with protective factors 63 that can mitigate the effects of adverse experiences allowing the child to 64 cope with stress and adversity effectively and emerge stronger from 65 these experiences promoting the children's resilience (Rutter, 1985, 66 1987, 1990; Scroggs & Heitfield, 2001; Werner, 1993, 2000). The term 67 resilience refers to the relative positive psychological adaptation 68 despite suffering risk experiences that would be expected to entail 69 significant consequences (Rutter, 2007).

There is a lot of research focused on the psychological adjustment 71 of adoptees, although there is few research focused on how the 72 adoptees function in areas such as social adjustment, and educational 73 and professional attainment.

These areas are the focus of the study of Tieman, van de Ende, and 75 Verhulst (2006) in which, using data from a large adoption and gen-76 eral population cohort, the authors compared the social functioning 77 of 24- to 30-year-old intercountry adoptees with that of same-aged 78 nonadoptees in The Netherlands. Results showed that adoptees, com- 79 pared to nonadoptees, were less likely to have intimate relationships, 80 to live with a partner, and to be married (Tieman et al., 2006).

Another study by Tan (2006) analyzed the social competence 82 (participation and performance in extracurricular activities; quality 83 of social relations; and academic attainment) of 115 girls aged 6-8, 84 adopted from China before they were 2 y.o. by American families 85 and its association with their history of neglect. Results showed the $\,86$ percentage of children who were in the neglected group that felt 87 below the normal range of the Overall Competence scale group was 88 significantly higher than for the comparison group. 89

0190-7409/\$ – see front matter © 2012 Published by Elsevier Ltd. doi:10.1016/j.childyouth.2012.01.028

Please cite this article as: Barcons, N., et al., Social relationships in children from intercountry adoption, Children and Youth Services Review (2012), doi:10.1016/j.childyouth.2012.01.028

^{*} Corresponding author at: Departament de Psicologia Clínica i de la Salut, Edifici B. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain. Tel.: +34 660862919.

91

92

95

96 97

98

99

100

101

102 103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

117 118

119

120

121

122

123 124

125

126

127

128

129

130

132

136

137

138

139 140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

Some studies show that both, domestic and international adoptees, regardless of history of neglect, exhibit poorer social competence (Brodzinsky, 1993; Brodzinsky, Schechter, & Henig, 1992; Hodges & Tizard, 1989; Miller et al., 2000; Van IJzendoorn, Juffer, & Klein Poelhuis, 2005; Wierzbicki, 1993). In intercountry adoption, the English Romanian Adoptees study provided relevant information regarding the intellectual good catch-up, whereas the social skills development was often substantially impaired, showing difficulties in social situations and to make friends (Goodman & Scott, 2005).

As mentioned in previous research, emotional/conduct disturbances could develop as a consequence of difficulties in picking up social cues and knowing how to behave in different social situations. This competence and understanding is crucial in middle childhood in terms of peer relations, thus can have repercussions for both conduct and emotional functioning (Colvert et al., 2008). This fact can point to some other pre- and post adoption factors that may affect the adopted children's social competence, such as pre-natal alcohol exposure or the quality of the relationship with the adoptive family.

1.1. Attachment pattern

One of the factors that can mitigate the adverse experiences is a secure attachment pattern of the child with a caregiver (Cassidy & Shaver, 1999; Werner, 2000). According to Van IJzendoorn, Schuengel, & Bakermans-Kranenburg, 1999, in normative samples attachment patterns are distributed as follows: 62% secure attachment pattern, 15% insecure-avoidant, 9% insecure-ambivalent and 15% of disorganized attachment pattern. The adverse experiences of the early months of live of a child can influence in the later way of interacting with others and various studies indicate a higher probability of attachment disorders among adopted children (Chisholm, 1998; Marcovitch et al., 1997; Zeanah, 2000). The development of a secure attachment relationship is a complex process, and the literature suggests that experiences of institutionalization, abuse and neglect, can affect cognitive processes, attachment relationships, and therefore the children's relationships with peers and family (Van den Dries et al., 2009). A secure attachment relationship provides the child the ability to develop their social identity, their own adaptive and social skills, and explore the environment autonomously. Attachment security has been shown to be antecedents of children's adaptive functioning over time and to contribute to the child's social development.

1.2. Country of origin

Some studies find differences in medical and developmental difficulties depending on the country of origin of the adopted minor (Welsh, Viana, Petrill, & Mathias, 2007): minors from Eastern Asia present the highest rates of craneoencephalic anomalies and skin infections at the moment of adoption; minors from Eastern Europe display more neurological symptomatology, higher rates of prenatal exposure to tobacco and to alcohol. The study of Johnson (2000) reports that more than 50% of children institutionalized in Eastern Europe present low birth weight, in many cases they are premature, and some of them have been exposed to alcohol during pregnancy. The long-term impact of such exposure and its effects on the fetus, and the prevalence of these problems among the institutionalized minors in Eastern Europe is more pronounced (Miller, Chan, Tirella, & Perrin, 2009). In the research of Barcons, Fornieles, and Costas (2011) children from Eastern Europe displayed more difficulties in the interpersonal relationships than children from other countries of origin, such as Asia, Latin-America and Africa, similar results to those also found in other researches (Stams et al., 2000; Verhulst, Althaus, & Versluis-den Bieman, 1990).

1.3. Age of adoption

The age at placement is a factor that some literature suggests that 151 can influence in the appearance of more difficulties in the develop- 152 ment of the adopted minors. Those who were over 3 years of age at 153 placement present higher rates of problems because they spent 154 more time in unfavorable conditions for their development, such as 155 institutionalization (Barth, Berry, Yoshikami, Goodfield, & Carson, 156 1988; Erich & Leung, 2002), though some studies find few difficulties 157 between the children adopted before the 3 years of age and those 158 adopted before, and find differences only in the attention scales 159 (Barcons et al., 2011).

Most of the studies about the psychological adjustment of the 161 adopted minors have been based on the answers of the parents or 162 teachers which can constitute a bias based on the perceptions of adult 163 people around the adoptees but not on the adoptees themselves. In 164 this research the information has been gathered from the adoptees, 165 via interview about their attachment relationships (FFI, Friends and 166 Family Interview, Steele & Steele, 2006) and via the Behavioral Assess167 Q4 ment System for Children — Self Questionnaire (Reynolds & Kamphaus, 168 1992; Spanish adaptation TEA, 2004).

Due to the few research focused on the social relationships of the 170 adopted children, the aim of this article is to explore the social relationships of a sample of 116 internationally adopted children in Spain aged 172 8–11. The social relationships have been analyzed with the Behavioral 173 Assessment System for Children (BASC) using three of the instrument 174 scales: social stress, relationship with parents and interpersonal rela- 175 tionships. The results of the social relationships scales have been 176 analyzed in relation to the adoptees attachment pattern, assessed 177 with the FFI, country of origin, age at adoption, and sex of the minor 178 with the intention to answer three questions: do the children with a 179 secure attachment pattern obtain better scores in the scales related to 180 their social relationships than the children with an insecure attachment 181 pattern? Is the age of adoption a factor that influences the development 182 of the social skills of the adopted children? And do the children adopted 183 from Eastern Europe display more difficulties in the social relationships 184 scales than the children adopted from other countries?

2. Method 186

187

208

209

210

2.1. Participants

Participants were recruited with the collaboration of the *Pediatric* 188 *Department of the Hospital de Sant Joan de Déu* in Barcelona. Its data- 189 base contained 4000 families with internationally adopted children, 190 from which 1700 families were invited to participate because they 191 had children in the required age range between 8 and 11 years. A 192 minimum of 2 years living with the adoptive family was required as 193 an inclusion criterion.

The final total sample was 116 children from intercountry adoption, 195 53.4% (62) were female and 46.6% (54) were male. From the pre- 196 adoption information that the families had available, it is noteworthy 197 that 86.2% (100) of the children had been in an institution before 198 being adopted.

Mean age of the sample was 8.92 years (SD = 1.08). The mean age 200 at placement of the adopted minors was 30.61 months (SD = 21.94), 201 the minimum value was 1 month and the maximum 103 months, 202 the mean age depending on the country of origin is detailed below. 203

The adopted minors were from the following countries of origin: 204

- 28% from Asia (n = 33).
 Mean age at adoption was 21.27 months (SD = 12.26).
 15.2%; 5 boys: 1 from China, 2 from Nepal, 2 from India.
- 15.2%; 5 boys: 1 from China, 2 from Nepal, 2 from India.
 84.8%; 28 girls: 21 from China, 4 from Nepal and 3 from India.
 47% from Eastern Europe (n = 54)

). \circ Mean age at adoption was 29.57 months (SD = 21.44).

- o 61.1%: 33 boys: 26 from Russia, 5 from Ukraine, 2 from Bulgaria. 211 212 o 38.9%: 21 girls: 16 from Russia, 4 from Ukraine, 1 from Bulgaria.
- 213 • 14% from Latin-America (n = 16)
- 214 \circ Mean age at adoption was 30.5 months (SD = 20.12).
- o 56.3%: 9 boys: 6 from Colombia, 1 from Peru. 215
- o 43.8%: 7 girls: 5 from Colombia, 1 from Guatemala, 1 from Haiti. 216
- 11% from Africa (n = 13) 217
- \circ Mean age at adoption was 58.77 months (SD = 23.90). 218
- 219 o 53.8%:7 boys: 6 from Ethiopia, 1 from Madagascar.
- 220 o 46.2%: 6 girls: 5 from Ethiopia, 1 from Madagascar.
- 221 2.2. Instruments

06224

225

226

227

228

229 230

231

232

233

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

O7247

2.2.1. Socio-demographic questionnaire and details of adoption: ad-hoc 222 questionnaire developed for this research and answered by parents 223

2.2.1.1. Friends and family interview (Steele and Steele, 2005). Semistructured interview to assess the child's attachment relationships. In the interview, the children are asked to talk about themselves and their relationships with family and close relatives, teachers and friends. The interviews are videotaped, transcribed and double coded by two child psychologists that have been trained by the authors. The FFI interview has 8 dimensions, each one with the respective sub-dimensions, as follows: Coherence: truth, economy, relation, manner and overall coherence; reflective functioning: developmental perspective, theory of mind (mother, father, friend, sibling, teacher) and diversity of feelings (self, mother, father, friend, sibling, teacher). evidence of secure base: father, mother and other significant figure; evidence of self-esteem: social and school competence; peer relations: frequency and quality of contact; sibling relations: warmth, hostility and rivalry; anxieties and defense: idealization (self, mother and father), role reversal (mother and father), anger (mother and father), derogation (self, mother and father) and adaptive response; differentiation of parental representations. The interview also has the non-verbal codes regarding fear/distress and frustration/anger and the global attachment classification, which is the classification used in this

The dimensions are scored on four-point ratings (1 = no evidence; 2 = mild evidence; 3 = moderate evidence; and 4 = marked evidence) according to the coding guidelines from the authors (Unpublished manuscript, 2009).

In this article, the categorized score of the attachment pattern of the child has been obtained from the attachment classification scores from the interview and the correlation between coherence, the evidence of a secure base with the mother/father and a secure attachment pattern has been tested, obtaining a positive correlation among the overall coherence ant a secure attachment pattern (r=.49, p<.00), and a positive correlation among the evidence of a secure base with the mother (r=.46, p<.00) and with the father (r=.32, p=.001) and a secure attachment pattern.

Descriptive analyses of the attachment pattern have been carried out. The attachment pattern of this sample is as follows, 60.3% (n=70) have a secure attachment pattern; 25% (n=29) have an insecure-avoidant pattern; 12.9% (n = 15) have an insecureambivalent attachment pattern; and 1.7% (n = 2) have a disorganized pattern.

2.2.1.2. Behavioral Assessment System for Children (BASC; Reynolds & Kamphaus, 1992; Spanish adaptation TEA, 2004). This is a multidimensional and multimethod questionnaire that collects information from the parents, the teachers, or the individual. The BASC is presented with a multiple choice format of two response alternatives. In the current investigation, we used the self-report questionnaire filled in by the children (S2).

The self-report provides 8 clinical scales: negative attitude towards school ($\alpha = .81$), negative attitude towards teachers ($\alpha = .72$), atypicality ($\alpha = .79$), locus of control ($\alpha = .77$), social stress ($\alpha = .72$), 273 anxiety ($\alpha = .81$), depression ($\alpha = .83$), and sense of inadequacy 274 $(\alpha = .72)$; 5 adaptive scales: interpersonal relations ($\alpha = .83$), relations 275 with parents ($\alpha = .56$), self-esteem ($\alpha = .75$), and self-reliance 276 $(\alpha = .61)$; it also provides 4 global dimensions: clinical maladjustment 277 (α = .90), academic maladjustment (α = .85), personal adjustment 278 (α = .84), and index of emotional symptoms (α = .93). The internal 279 consistency of the self-report was .76, and the test-retest reliability 280 Q9 for a 3-month interval was .69 (González-Marqués, Fernández- 281 Guinea, Pérez-Hernández, Pereña, & Santamaría, 2004). 282

In this study, three scales related to social competence from the 283 self report questionnaire have been used: 284

- Social stress: included 13 items and measured the child's tension 285 around peers, rejection and isolation from others.
- Relations with parents: included nine items and measured the indi- 287 vidual's perception of being important in the family, the status of 288 the parent-child relationship, and the child's perception of the degree 289 of parental trust and concern.
- The interpersonal relations with peers scale: included six items and 291 measured the individual's reports of success in relating to others 292 and the degree of enjoyment derived from this interaction. 293

2.3. Procedure

In collaboration with the Pediatric Service of the Hospital Sant Joan de 295 Déu from Barcelona, and after the approval of the Ethics Committee of 296 the institution, an invitation letter was sent to the selected families 297 according the age of their children. Each family who accepted the invitation letter had an appointment at the clinics of the Hospital with one 299 of the two psychologists who conducted the assessment. Every assessment lasted approximately 2 h, during which parents completed the 301 questionnaires and the child was interviewed. All families agreed and 302 signed informed consent. Following the investigation, a report was 303 provided to each family with the results of the questionnaires for their 304 children and possible treatment recommendations.

Statistical analyses were conducted using statistical software Stata 306 11 (Release Stata/MP 11.1 for windows. College Station, TX: Copy- 307 right 2009 StataCorp LP).

Descriptive statistics were used as preliminary analysis to describe 309 the sample. Chi-square tests were used for the analysis of the attachment pattern of the children depending on the country of origin, sex 311 and age at adoption of the minors.

Finally, linear regression models were used for multivariate ana- 313 lyses to investigate the relationship between the social relationships 314 outcome scales (social stress, relation with the parents and interper- 315 sonal relationships) and the following factors: country of origin, age 316 at adoption, attachment pattern and sex of the minors.

3. Results 318

3.1. Attachment pattern

The distribution of the attachment pattern classification depending 320 on the country of origin can be found in Table 1.

Due to the few observations in the different categories of the attachment pattern, we have categorized the attachment pattern whether it is 323 secure (n=70; 60.3%) or insecure (n=46; 39.7%), including in the 324 insecure group the insecure-avoidant, the insecure-ambivalent and 325 the disorganized attachment patterns. Chi-square tests have been 326 carried out to check whether there were differences in the attachment 327 pattern of the child depending of the country of origin, sex and age at 328 adoption of the children. (Fisher exact tests were not used because 329 the number of expected observations in any of the cells was always 330 greater than five). 331

264 O8265 266

272

267

Please cite this article as: Barcons, N., et al., Social relationships in children from intercountry adoption, Children and Youth Services Review (2012), doi:10.1016/j.childyouth.2012.01.028

333

334

335

336 337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

357

358

359 360

361

362

t2.6t2 7 t2.9 t2.10t2.11

Table 1 Attachment pattern categories distribution depending on the countries of origin.

			stern Irope	Latino America	Asia	Africa	Total
Attachment	Secure	n	24	12	26	8	70
pattern		%	34,29%	17,14%	37,14%	11,42%	100,00%
	Insecure-	n	17	4	4	4	29
	avoidant	%	58,62%	13,79%	13,79%	13,79%	100,00%
	Insecure-	n	11	0	3	1	15
	ambivalent	%	73,33%	0%	20%	6,66%	100,00%
	Disorganized	n	2	0	0	0	2
		%	100,00%	0%	0%	0%	100,00%
Total		n	54	16	33	13	116
		%	46,55%	13,79%	28,44%	11,20%	100,00%

Results indicate that there are significant differences depending on the sex of the minor ($\chi^2 = 4.518$; p=.034), and on the country of origin ($\chi^2 = 11.840$; p = .008), but no differences are found depending on the age at adoption ($\chi^2 = 2.571$; p = .276).

Distribution of the attachment pattern depending on the sex of the minor, the country of origin and the age at adoption can be found in Table 2.

3.2. Social relationships

Linear regression models were used to assess the link between the country of origin of the minors, sex, age at adoption and the attachment pattern and the three scales of the social relationships of the child: social stress, relationship with the parents, and interpersonal relationships.

3.2.1. Social stress

In this scale, the children from Latin America, Asia and Africa obtain statistically significant lower scores compared with children from Eastern Europe: the coefficient for Latin America is -7.38 (IC 95%: -13.59; -1.16), for Asia is -7.16 (IC 95%: -12.51; -1.81) and for Africa is -10.38 (IC 95%: -17.70; -3.06); indicating that the minors from Eastern Europe experiment a higher level of social stress than the minors adopted from the other continents.

The age at adoption shows a low but positive association with social stress scale and is statistically significant, what means that children adopted at older age (per months) obtain higher scores and the coefficient equals 0.11 (IC 95%: 0.00; 0.21). These results indicate that for each month of life the children passed before the adoption we have an increase of 0.11 points in the score of social stress.

The sex and the attachment pattern have no significant effect on this scale.

The linear regression model for the social stress score can be found in Table 3.

Table 3 Linear regression model: outcome variable: social stress (n = 116).

	Coef.	p-Value	95% Conf. Interval
Country of origin by g	roups		
Eastern Europe ^a	•		
Latin-America	- 7.38	0.02**	(-13.59; -1.16)
Asia	7.16	0.01**	(-12.51; -1.81)
Africa	- 10.38	0.01**	(-17.70; -3.06)
	_		
Age at adoption of the	case (in months)	ate ate	
per month	0.11	0.04**	(0.00; 0.21)
Sex of the child			
Masculine ^a	4.04	0.50	(2.00 5.00)
Femenine	1.31	0.56	(-3.06; 5.68)
Attachment nattorn co	tagarias		
Attachment pattern ca Secure ^a	legories		
Insecure	0.59	0.79	(-3.72; 4.90)
	0.59	0.73	(-3.72, 4.90)

363

376

3.3. Relationship with the parents

In this scale, the children with an insecure attachment pattern obtain lower scores compared with children with a secure attachment 365 pattern: the coefficient for the children with insecure attachment pattern is -4.09 (IC 95%; -8.03; -0.15). This result indicates that the $_{367}$ secure attachment pattern is the only factor that appears significant 368 in the relationship with the parents' scale, indicating that children 369 with an insecure attachment pattern experiment more difficulties in 370 the relationship with their parents. None of the other factors exert a 371 significant effect in the model: sex, age at adoption and country of 372

The linear regression model results of the relationship with the 374 parents' scale can be found in Table 4.

3.4. Interpersonal relationships

In this scale, the children from Asia and Africa obtain statistically 377 significant higher scores compared with children from Eastern Eu- 378 rope: the coefficient for Asia is 6.31 (IC 95%: 1.19; 11.43) and for Af- 379 rica is 9.06 (IC 95%: 2.07; 16.06). With the children of Latin America 380 the differences are non significant, being the coefficient 5.39 (IC 381 95%: -0.56; 11.33). These results indicate that children from Asia 382 and from Africa have higher interpersonal relationships skills than 383 children from Eastern Europe.

The age at adoption (in months) shows a negative association 385 with interpersonal relationship scale meaning that children adopted 386 at an older age obtain lower scores. It is statistically significant al- 387 though the coefficient is low and equals to -0.11 (IC 95%: -0.21; 388 -0.01), meaning that for every month past before the adoption the 389scores of this scale falls 0.11 points.

Table 2 Attachment pattern by sex, country of origin and age at adoption.

		Sex 4.518 $(p = .034)^a$		Country of origin by groups 11.840 (p = .008) ^a			Age at adoption 2.571 $(p = .276)^a$			
		Masc.	Fem.	Eastern Europe	Latino America	Asia	Africa	Adopted from 0 to 12 months	Adopted from 13 to 36 months	Adopted at more than 36 months
Secure	n	27	43	24	12	26	8	17	30	18
	%	38.6%	61.4%	34.3%	17.1%	37.1%	11.4%	26.2%	46.2%	27.7%
Insecure	n	27	19	30	4	7	5	6	25	13
	%	58.7%	41.3%	65.2%	8.7%	15.2%	10.9%	13.6%	56.8%	29.5%
Total	n	54	62	54	16	33	13	23	55	31
	%	46.6%	53.4%	46.6%	13.8%	28.4%	11.2%	21.1%	50.5%	28.4%

^a Chi-square test (χ^2) .

Please cite this article as: Barcons, N., et al., Social relationships in children from intercountry adoption, Children and Youth Services Review (2012), doi:10.1016/j.childyouth.2012.01.028

446

Table 4 Linear regression model: outcome variable: relations with parents (n = 116).

	Coef.	p-Value	95% Conf. Interval
Country of origin by g	roups		
Eastern Europe ^a			
Latin-America	2.9	0.31	(-2.79; 8.58)
Asia	1.08	0.66	(-3.81; 5.97)
Africa	6.18	0.07	(-0.52; 12.87)
			_
Age at adoption of the	case (in months)		
per month	_ 0.03	0.6	(-0.12; 0.07)
	_		_
Sex of the child			
Masculine ^a			
Femenine	0.87	0.67	(-3.13; 4.86)
			_
Attachment pattern ca	tegories		
Secure ^a			
Insecure	-4.09	0.04**	(-8.03; -0.15)
	Eastern Europe ^a Latin-America Asia Africa Age at adoption of the per month Sex of the child Masculine ^a Femenine Attachment pattern ca Secure ^a	Country of origin by groups Eastern Europe ^a Latin-America 2.9 Asia 1.08 Africa 6.18 Age at adoption of the case (in months) per month	Country of origin by groups Eastern Europea Latin-America 2.9 0.31 Asia 1.08 0.66 Africa 6.18 0.07 Age at adoption of the case (in months) per month 0.03 0.6 Sex of the child Masculinea Femenine 0.87 0.67 Attachment pattern categories Securea

^a Baseline category.

t4.20

391 392

393

394

395

396 397

398

399

400

401

402

403 404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

t5.1

O2t4 21

In this model, the attachment pattern appears to be a significant factor on the results of this scale (p-value is 0.05). The p-value is a statistical agreement and the tolerance around this parameter is scientifically accepted. The children with an insecure attachment pattern obtain lower scores than the children with a secure attachment pattern, being the coefficient – 4.04 (IC 95%; – 8.18; 0.08), meaning that the children with an insecure attachment pattern encounter more difficulties in the interpersonal relationships.

The linear regression model results of the interpersonal relations' scale can be found in Table 5.

4. Discussion

The attachment pattern of the research sample has been analyzed and results indicate that the attachment pattern of these children is very similar to that estimated in normative samples (Van IJzendoorn et al., 1999), but some differences need to be highlighted.

The secure attachment pattern percentage (60.3%) is very close to the 62% in normative samples, but the insecure attachment pattern is higher, in this sample 25% of the children have an insecure-avoidant pattern, vs. 15% in normative samples; and 12.9% have an insecureambivalent — vs. 9% in normative samples. In this sample, only 1.7% of the children have been found to have a disorganized attachment pattern — vs. 15% in normative samples.

Table 5 Outcome variable: interpersonal relationships (n = 116).

150				
t5.2 t5.3		Coef.	p-Value	95% Conf. Interval
t5.4	Country of origin by	groups		
t5.5	Eastern Europe ^a			
t5.6	Latin-America	5.39	0.08	(-0.56; 11.33)
t5.7	Asia	6.31	0.02**	(1.19; 11.43)
t5.8	Africa	9.06	0.01**	(2.07; 16.06)
t5.9				
t5.10	Age at adoption of th	e case (in months)		
t5.11	per unit	0.11	0.04**	(-0.21; -0.01)
t5.12		_		<u> </u>
t5.13	Sex of the child			
t5.14	Masculine ^a			
t5.15	Femenine	0.98	0.64	(-3.20; 5.16)
t5.16				
t5.17	Attachment pattern c	ategories		
t5.18	Secure ^a			
t5.19	Insecure	-4.04	0.05**	(-8.16; 0.08)
t5 20	Baseline category			

Baseline category.

t5.21

These results are not in line with some other studies where the children have been reported with more insecure and disorganized attach- 414 ments (Marcovitch et al., 1997), though are in line with the Van den 415 Dries et al. (2009) meta-analysis (2009) where when using self-report 416 measures, such as questionnaires and interviews, as has been done in 417 the present research interviewing the child about their own attachment 418 relationships, adoptees had similar attachment relationships with their 419 adoptive parents as their non-adopted counterparts.

It would be logical to expect less attachment security in adopted 421 children, because of the separation and loss of their birth parents and 422 multiple caregivers during the first years of life. But in this research 423 we find a similar percentage of secure attachment pattern and a very 424 high level of insecure attachment pattern, indicating that the adopted 425 children develop an adaptive attachment pattern, being secure or inse- 426 cure, and very few children are categorized as disorganized. Therefore, 427 we hypothesize that there may be some factors – such as a close prima- 428 ry relationship with a caregiver before the adoption (information that 429 usually adoptive families are not aware of, because of the lack of infor- 430 mation that they have), or the relationship with the adoptive parents 431 - that mitigate the effects of the adverse pre and post adoptive experiences, and provides them with the skills to develop an attachment 433 pattern, that even though, it is insecure in a high percentage, the chil-434 dren are showing some kind of organization.

There appear significant differences depending on the country of 436 origin, being the children from the Eastern European countries who 437 experiment more difficulties in the development of a secure attach- 438 ment pattern, and these children are suggested to have experienced 439 the most severe deprivation (Miller, 2005; Rutter, O'Connor, & the 440 English and Romanian Adoptees (ERA) Study Team, 2004) and this 441 result was predicted previously in other studies (Van den Dries et 442 al., 2009). This result helps us to identify the groups that are more 443 at risk in developing security in the attachment and provide specific 444 interventions to the families and the children focusing on supporting 445 parental sensitivity to contribute to the family dynamics.

The hypothesis presented in the introduction has been mostly confirmed. The children with a secure attachment pattern obtain better 448 scores in the relationship with the parents and in the interpersonal 449 relationships' scales, but it appears not significant enough in the social 450 stress one. This result indicates the strong effect that the attachment 451 security has on the confidence of the children to create stable relation- 452 ships with their parents and their peers, confirming the importance on 453 the development of social skills. 454

We secondly hypothesized that the age at adoption would consti- 455 tute a factor that influences the development of the social skills of the 456 children, and we can confirm partially this hypothesis because the 457 later age at adoption has a negative effect on the interpersonal relationships scale and in the social stress scale. We do not find this effect on the 459 relationship with the parents, highlighting, as introduced in the first 460 hypothesis, that the main effect on the relationship with the parents is 461 the secure attachment pattern of the child with their adoptive parents 462 independently of the age at the moment of the adoption, suggesting 463 the importance of a warm and nourishing relationship in the development of the bond with the adoptive family.

The third hypothesis was that the children adopted from Eastern Eu- 466 ropean countries would display more difficulties in the social relation- 467 ships scales compared to the children adopted from other continents. 468 In this research, children from Eastern Europe display more difficulties 469 in developing interpersonal relationships compared with the children 470 adopted from Asia and from Africa, there are no differences though 471 compared with the children adopted from Latin America. This result 472 was also found in a previous research by Barcons et al. (2011). In the 473 social stress scale, children adopted from Eastern Europe appear to 474 experiment higher levels of stress than the children from the other 475 continents - Asia, Africa, and Latin America. These results are in line 476 with the other results presented above, and help the researchers, clini-477 cians and families to identify where the interventions must be focused 478

n < 05

p≤.05.

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

514

515

516

517

518

520

521

522

523

524 525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

on, such as enhancing the security in the attachment relationship; developing specific programs to improve the social skills of the children adopted at an older age and providing early support to the families and children adopted from Eastern European countries to prevent and benefit their social development.

There are several limitations in this research and all the results must be interpreted with caution. The first limitation is the incidental sampling, families were recruited through an invitation, and only those who accepted are the final participants of the study. This incidental sampling contributed to the fact that the groups are not paired in age, sex and country of origin completely, and some countries of origin are more represented than others.

Another limitation of this study could be represented by the number of observations. The total number from each country of origin was relatively small (55 for Eastern Europe, 16 for Latin America, 33 for Asia, 13 for Africa) making it hard to draw strong conclusions from the data despite the sample sizes being large enough for statistical inference using a multivariate regression model.

The third limitation is that results cannot be compared with a nonadopted sample and the attachment rates are judged against results from normative samples. It will be useful to include a control sample in a future analysis.

5. Conclusion

The research explores the social relationships of a sample of adopted minors depending of the attachment pattern of the child, the age at adoption, the sex of the minor and the country of origin. Results show significant differences in the attachment pattern depending of the countries of origin and the impact of the secure attachment pattern over the interpersonal and parental relationships of the children is highlighted, supporting the body of research that a secure base contributes to the proper social skills development of the children. Results help us to identify the groups that are at risk in developing their skills for social relationships – children adopted from Eastern European countries, children adopted at an older age, and children with an insecure attachment pattern – in order to design specific and preventive interventions.

Acknowledgments

This research started in the Research project MEC R+D SEI 2006-2009 15286 International Adoption: social and familial inclusion of the internationally adopted children. Interdisciplinary and comparative perspectives, and continues in the framework of the research Project National and international adoption: family, education and pertinence: interdisciplinary and comparative perspectives (MICINNCSO2009-14763-C03-01 subprogram SOCI), both financed by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation.

References

- Barcons, N., Fornieles, A., & Costas, C. (2011). International adoption: assessment of adaptive and maladaptive behavior of adopted minors in Spain. The Spanish Journal of Psychology, 14, 123-132.
- Barth, R., Berry, M., Yoshikami, R., Goodfield, R., & Carson (1988). Predicting adoption disruption. Social Work, 33, 227-233.
- Beckett, C., Maughan, B., Rutter, M., Castle, L., Colvert, F., Groothues, C., et al. (2006), Do the effects of early severe deprivation on cognition persist into early adolescence? Findings from the English and Romanian Adoptees Study. Child Development, 77,
- Bimmel, N., Juffer, F., Van IJzendoorn, M. H., & Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J. (2003). Problem behavior of internationally adopted adolescents: a review and metaanalysis. Harvard Review of Psychiatry, 11, 64-78.
- Brodzinsky, D. M. (1993). Long-term outcomes in adoption. The Future of Children, 3, 153 - 166.
- Brodzinsky, D. M., Schechter, M. D., & Henig, R. M. (1992). Being Adopted: The Lifelong Search for Self. New York: Doubleday.
- Cassidy, J., & Shaver, P. R. (Eds.). (1999). Handbook of Attachment: Theory, Research, and Clinical Applications. New York: Guilford Press.

Chisholm, K. (1998). A three year follow-up of attachment and indiscriminate friendli- 542 ness in children adopted from Romanian orphanages, Child Development, 69, 543 1092-1106.

544

547

548

549

550

551

552

554

568

573

581

582

585

589

618

- Colvert, E., Rutter, M., Beckett, C., Castle, J., Groothues, C., Hawkins, A., et al. (2008). 545 Emotional difficulties in early adolescence following severe early deprivation: 546 findings from the English and Romanian adoptees study. Development and Psychopathology, 20(2), 547-567.
- Dalen, M., Hjern, A., Lindblad, F., Odenstad, A., Ramussen, F., & Vinnerljung, B. (2008). Educational attainment and cognitive competence in adopted men: a study of international and national adoptees, siblings, and a general Swedish population. Children and Youth Services Review, 30, 1211-1219.
- Erich, S., & Leung, P. (2002). The impact of previous type of abuse and sibling adoption 553 upon adoptive families. Child Abuse & Neglect, 26, 1045-1058.
- González-Marqués, J., Fernández-Guinea, S., Pérez-Hernández, E., Pereña, J., & 555 Santamaría, P. (2004). Sistema de evaluación de la conducta en niños y adolescentes: 556 BASC [Behavior Assessment System for Children: BASC]. Madrid: TEA. 557
- Goodman, R., & Scott, S. (2005). Fostering and Adoption. Child Psychiatry 558 (pp. 305-311). Oxford: Blackwell Publishing. 559
- Hague Conference on Private International Law (1993). Convention on protection of chil-560 dren and co-operation in respect of intercountry adoption. Retrieved from. http:// 561 www.hcch.net/index_en.php?act=conventions.text&cid=69 on October, 11th, 2011 562
- Hodges, J., & Tizard, B. (1989). Social and family relationships of exinstitutional adolescents. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 30, 77-97.
- Johnson, D. (2000). Adopting a post-institutionalized child: what are the risks? In T. 565 Tepper, L. Hannon, & D. Sandstrom (Eds.), International Adoption: Challenges and 566 Opportunities (pp. 5-8). (2nd ed). Meadowlands: PA: Parent Network for Post In-567 stitutionalized Children.
- Juffer, F., & Van IJzendoorn, M. H. (2005). Behavior problems and mental health refer-569 rals of international adoptees: a meta-analysis. Journal of the American Medical As-570 sociation, 293, 2501-2515
- Lindblad, F., Hjern, A., & Vinnerljung, B. (2003). Intercountry adopted children as young adults: a Swedish cohort study. The American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 73, 190-202.
- Marcovitch, S., Goldberg, S., Gold, A., Washington, J., Wasson, C., Krekewich, K., et al. 574 (1997). Determinants of behavioral problems in Romanian children adopted in 575 Ontario. International Journal of Behavioral Development, 20, 17-31. 576
- Miller, L. C. (2005). The handbook of international adoption medicine. A Guide for Physi-577 cians, Parents, and Providers. Oxford: Oxford University Press 578 579
- Miller, L., Chan, W., Tirella, L., & Perrin, E. (2009). Outcomes of children adopted from Eastern Europe. International Journal of Behavioral Development, 33, 289-298.
- Miller, B. C., Fan, X., Grotevant, H. D., Christensen, M., Coyle, D., & van Dulmen, M. (2000). Adopted adolescents overrepresentation in mental health counseling: adoptees' problems or parents' lower threshold for referral? Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 39, 1504-1511.
- Morison, S. J., Ames, E. W., & Chisholm, K. (1995). The development of children adopted from Romanian orphanages. Merrill-Palmer Quarterly: Journal of Developmental Psychology, 41, 411-430.
- Reynolds, C. R., & Kamphaus, R. W. (1992). Behavior Assessment System for Children manual. Circle Pines, MN: American Guidance Service [Spanish adaptation: TEA]
- Rutter, M. (1985). Family and school influences on cognitive development. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 26, 683-704.
- Rutter, M. (1987). Psychosocial resilience and protective mechanisms. The American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 57, 316-331.
- Rutter, M. (1990). Psychosocial resilience and protective mechanisms. In J. Rolf, A. S. 595 Masten, D. Cicchetti, K. H. Nuechterlein, & S. Weintraub (Eds.), Risk and Protective 596 Factors in the Development of Psychopathology (pp. 181–214). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Rutter, M. (2007). Resilience, competence and coping. Child Abuse & Neglect, 31, 205-209. 599 Rutter, M., & O'Connor, T. G.the English and Romanian Adoptees (ERA) Study Team. 600 (2004). Are there biological programming effects for psychological development? 601 Findings from a study of Romanian adoptees. Developmental Psychology, 40, 81-94. 602
- Scroggs, P. H., & Heitfield, H. (2001). International adopters and their children: birth culture ties. Gender Issues, 19, 3-30.
- Selman, P. (2009). The movement of children for international adoption: developments and trends in receiving states and states of origin, 1998-2004. In D. Marre, 606 & L. Briggs (Eds.), International Adoption: Global Inequalities and the Circulation of Children. New York: New York University Press.
- Stams, G. J. J. M., Juffer, F., Rispens, J., & Hoksbergen, R. A. C. (2000). The development 609 and adjustment of 7-year-old children adopted in infancy. Journal of Child Psychol- 610 ogy and Psychiatry, 41, 1025-1037. 611
- Tan, T. X. (2006). History of early neglect and middle childhood social competence'. 612 Adoption Ouarterly, 9(4), 59-72, 613
- Tieman, W., van de Ende, I., & Verhulst, F. (2006). (2006) Social functioning of young 614 adult intercountry adoptees compared to nonadoptees. Social Psychiatry and Psy-615 chiatric Epidemiology, 41, 68–74. 616
- United Nations (1989). Convention on the rights of the child. Retrieved from. http:// 617 www2.ohchr.org/english/law/crc.htm on October, 11th, 2011
- Van den Dries, L., Juffer, F., Van IJzendoorn, M. H., & Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. (2009). 619 Fostering security? A meta-analysis of attachment in adopted children. Children 620 and Youth Services Review, 31(3), 410-421. 621
- Van IJzendoorn, M. H., Juffer, F., & Klein Poelhuis, C. W. (2005). Adoption and cognitive 622 development: a meta-analytic comparison of adopted and non-adopted children's 623 IQ and school performance. Psychological Bulletin, 131(2), 301–316. 624
- Van IJzendoorn, M. H., Schuengel, C., & Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J. (1999). Disorga-625 nized attachment in early childhood: meta-analysis of precursors, concomitants, 626 and sequelae. Development and Psychopathology, 11, 225-249. 627

ARTICLE IN PRESS

N. Barcons et al. / Children and Youth Services Review xxx (2012) xxx-xxx

Verhulst,	F. C., Althaus, M., & Versluis-den Bieman, H. J. (1990). Problem behavior in in-	Wer
	tional adoptees: I. An epidemiological study. Journal of the American Academy	1
of Ch	ild and Adolescent Psychiatry, 29, 94–103.	(

Welsh, J. A., Viana, A. G., Petrill, S. A., & Mathias, M. D. (2007). Interventions for internationally adopted children and families: a review of the literature. *Child and Adolescent Social Work Journal*, 24, 285–311.

Werner, E. E. (1993). Risk, resilience, and recovery: perspectives from the Kauai longitudinal study. *Development and Psychopathology*, 5, 503–515.

Werner, E. E. (2000). Protective factors and individual resilience. In J. P., Shonkoff, & S. J.	63
Meisels (Eds.), Handbook of Early Childhood Intervention (pp. 115–132). New York:	63
Cambridge University Press.	63

Wierzbicki, M. (1993). Psychological adjustment of adoptees: a meta-analysis. Journal 639 of Clinical Child Psychology, 22, 447–454.

Zeanah, C. H. (2000). Disturbances of attachment in young children adopted from institutions. Developmental and Behavioural Pediatrics, 21(3), 230–236.
642

642 643

635 644

628 629 630

631

632

633

634