

# Acta Physiologiae Plantarum

## Improved reactive aldehyde, salt and cadmium tolerance of transgenic barley due to the expression of aldo-keto reductase genes

Csaba Éva<sup>1,4</sup>, Ádám Solti<sup>1</sup>, Mária Oszvald<sup>1,5</sup>, Rita Tömösközi-Farkas<sup>2</sup>, Bettina Nagy<sup>3</sup>, Gábor V. Horváth<sup>3</sup> and László Tamás<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>C. Éva, Á. Solti, M. Oszvald, L. Tamás: Department of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology, Eötvös Loránd University H-1117 1/C Pázmány Péter sétány, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>2</sup>R. Tömösközi-Farkas: National Agricultural Research and Innovation Centre, Food Science Research Institute, Budapest, H-1022, Hungary

<sup>3</sup>B. Nagy, G. V. Horváth: Institute of Plant Biology, Biological Research Centre, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-6726 Temesvári krt. 62, Szeged, Hungary

<sup>4</sup>C. Éva (present address): Department of Applied Genomics, Centre for Agricultural Research, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Martonvásár, H-2462, Hungary

\*corresponding author: E-mail-address: [laszlo.tamas@ttk.elte.hu](mailto:laszlo.tamas@ttk.elte.hu) (L. Tamás), phone number: +36 1 372 2500/8608

## Abstract

1  
2  
3 Under various stress conditions, plant cells are exposed to oxidative damage which triggers  
4  
5 lipid peroxidation. Lipid peroxide breakdown products include protein crosslinking reactive  
6  
7 aldehydes. These are highly damaging to living cells. Stress-protective aldo-keto reductase  
8  
9 (AKR) enzymes are able to recognise and modify these molecules, reducing their toxicity.  
10  
11 AKRs not only modify reactive aldehydes but may synthesize osmoprotective sugar alcohols  
12  
13 as well. The role of these mixed function enzymes was investigated under direct reactive  
14  
15 aldehyde, heavy metal and salt stress conditions. Transgenic barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.)  
16  
17 plants constitutively expressing AKR enzymes derived from either thale cress (*Arabidopsis*  
18  
19 *thaliana*) (AKR4C9) or alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) (MsALR) were studied. Not only AKR4C9  
20  
21 but MsALR expressing plants were also found to produce more sorbitol than the non-  
22  
23 transgenic (WT) barley. Salinity tolerance of genetically modified (GM) plants improved,  
24  
25 presumably as a consequence of the enhanced sorbitol content. The MsALR enzyme  
26  
27 expressing line (called 51) exhibited almost no symptoms of salt stress. Furthermore, both  
28  
29 transgenes were shown to increase reactive aldehyde (glutaraldehyde) tolerance. Transgenic  
30  
31 plants also exhibited better cadmium tolerance compared to WT, which was considered to be  
32  
33 an effect of the reduction of reactive aldehyde molecules. Transgenic barley expressing either  
34  
35 thale cress or alfalfa derived enzyme showed improved heavy metal and salt tolerance. Both  
36  
37 can be explained by higher detoxifying and sugar alcohol producing activity. Based on the  
38  
39 presented data, we consider AKRs as very effective stress-protective enzymes and their genes  
40  
41 provide promising tools in the improvement of crops through gene technology.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51  
52  
53  
54 Key words: transgenic plant, lipid peroxidation, sorbitol, heavy metal, salt stress  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Abbreviations

AKR aldo-keto reductase

ANOVA analysis of variance

4-HNE 4-hydroxynonenal

HPLC-RID high-performance liquid chromatography with refractive index detector

FWT fresh weight

GM genetically modified

MDA malondialdehyde

MG methylglyoxal

MsALR *Medicago sativa* aldose reductase

ROS reactive oxygen species

TAIR The Arabidopsis information Resource

TBARS thiobarbituric acid reactive substances

WT wild type

## Introduction

The most limiting factors for plant growth and agricultural productivity are environmental stresses. In higher plants, the appearance of abiotic stresses (such as drought, salt and oxidative-stress as well as heavy metal toxicity) are connected to a series of gene expression and metabolic events and also result in decreased photosynthetic yield (Yamauchi et al. 2010). Salinity, for example, deteriorates plant health by reducing the ability of plants to take up water. This generates a water deficit that leads to the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Parida and Das 2005). Salt can also rise to toxic levels in the older transpiring leaves,

1 causing osmotic stress and premature senescence (Munns 2002). The heavy metal cadmium  
2 (Cd) has multiple toxic effects on plant health since it is able to bind to the sulfhydryl groups  
3  
4 of the proteins which lead to misfolding (DalCorso et al. 2008). Due to its chemical similarity,  
5  
6 Cd<sup>2+</sup> is able to displace other essential metal ions such as Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>2+</sup>. The release of “free”  
7  
8 ions might trigger oxidative injuries via free Fe/Cu-catalysed Fenton reactions (Polle and  
9  
10 Schützendübel 2004). Either of these two or any other abiotic stress results in the increase of  
11  
12 ROS at the cellular level (Smirnoff 1993). ROS are toxic products of abiotic stress with the  
13  
14 potential to react with all biological macromolecules including lipids, proteins, and DNA.  
15  
16 This may result in oxidative damage to cellular functions. As protection against such  
17  
18 damages, plant cells contain a wide variety of antioxidants and antioxidant systems, capable  
19  
20 of ROS elimination (reviewed by e.g. Mittler 2002). Lipid peroxidation, caused by membrane  
21  
22 lipid interactions with ROS, however, may lead to further adverse effects. Hence certain  
23  
24 products of these reactions such as malondialdehyde (MDA) and 4-hydroxynonenal (4-HNE)  
25  
26 aldehydes contain two double bonds and are able to cross-link proteins (Zarkovic 2003). One  
27  
28 possible way to defend the function of the proteins is through chaperones. In mammals, for  
29  
30 example, 4-HNE treatment reportedly leads to increased expression of chaperones  
31  
32 (Leonarduzzi et al. 2000). Chaperones were also suggested to be involved in cadmium and  
33  
34 salt stress responses of plants (Suzuki et al. 2001; Song et al. 2011). Obviously, the other way  
35  
36 to protect the cell from these oxidative injuries is the specific detoxification of the cytotoxic  
37  
38 aldehydes derived from either glycolysis- or lipid-peroxidation. Many effective defence  
39  
40 mechanisms have evolved for detoxification. It includes the glyoxalase system (Veena et al.  
41  
42 1999), glutathione-S-transferase (He et al. 1999), alkenal reductases (Mano et al. 2005),  
43  
44 aldehyde dehydrogenases (Sunkar et al. 2003) and aldo-keto reductases (Oberschall et al.  
45  
46 2000). The expression level of glutathione-S-transferase has been increased in Arabidopsis as  
47  
48 the result of MDA treatment (Weber et al. 2004). As it was mentioned above, certain  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 members of the aldo-keto reductase (AKR) enzyme family took part in the removal of  
2 reactive aldehyde molecules. Enzymes belonging to this superfamily are NAD(P)H-dependent  
3  
4 with a relatively broad substrate specificity (Davidson et al. 1978; Jez et al. 1997). Their  
5  
6 ability to accept various substrates is linked to their function in the protection against different  
7  
8 stresses. Most AKRs fold into a typical  $(\alpha/\beta)_8$ -barrel structure and can be clustered into 15  
9  
10 families containing multiple subfamilies. The majority of the plant AKRs belongs to the  
11  
12 AKR4 family; mostly to the AKR4C subfamily. The function of plant AKRs has recently  
13  
14 been reviewed (Sengupta et al. 2015). The authors have classified the plant AKRs into four  
15  
16 functional groups: Group I: Reactive aldehyde detoxification, Group II: Osmolyte production,  
17  
18 Group III: Secondary metabolism and Group IV: Membrane transport. They underlined the  
19  
20 role of plant AKRs e.g. in the production of commercially important secondary metabolites,  
21  
22 in iron acquisition from the soil and in plant–microbe interactions, but most importantly the  
23  
24 pivotal role of AKRs in plant defence mechanism. These enzymes have the ability to confer  
25  
26 multiple stress tolerance in the plants. Stress responsive AKRs have also been predicted in 40  
27  
28 species based on homology to AKR4C9, one of the AKRs involved in this work (Sengupta et  
29  
30 al. 2015). AKRs may provide stress protection basically by two ways, by producing  
31  
32 osmolytes and/or by the detoxification of reactive aldehydes. The first isolated plant AKR, the  
33  
34 barley aldose reductase has been shown to provide osmoprotection during embryo  
35  
36 development (Bartels et al. 1991; Roncarati et al. 1995). Similar proteins were found in wild  
37  
38 oat (*Avena fatua*) (AKR4C3) (Li et al. 1995) and in *Xerophyta viscosa* (AKR4C4) (Mundree  
39  
40 et al. 1995). The desiccation-protecting properties of the AKR4C1–AKR4C4 enzymes were  
41  
42 manifested through the production of osmolytes (such as sorbitol) and thus had the ability to  
43  
44 protect the cellular components in the maintenance of integrity under reduced water contents  
45  
46 (Bartels et al. 1991; Mundree et al. 1995). The dicot AKR4C enzymes, especially the alfalfa  
47  
48 (*Medicago sativa*) MsALR (X97606) (Oberschall et al. 2000) and AKR4C9 (*At2g37770.2*)  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 from thale cress (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) (Simpson et al. 1999) can metabolize reactive  
2 aldehydes beside sugars. These dicot AKR4Cs protect the plants by detoxifying the oxidative  
3 stress-linked toxic aldehydes, such as malondialdehyde (MDA). A set of experimental data  
4 proved that transgenic tobacco plants, over-expressing the alfalfa *MsALR* gene were more  
5 tolerant to drought- and oxidative-stress conditions induced by methylviologen (MV), heavy  
6 metals and ultraviolet-B irradiation (Oberschall et al. 2000; Hideg et al. 2003; Hegedűs et al.  
7 2004). Transgenic wheat plants ectopically over-expressing *MsALR* enzyme also showed  
8 enhanced drought tolerance as indicated by more biomass production, including more seed  
9 weight per plant (Fehér-Juhász et al. 2014). Clearing the toxic lipid peroxide degradation  
10 products, 4-hydroxynon-2-enal (HNE) and both MDA and methylglyoxal (MG) from stressed  
11 cells has been suggested as the role of alfalfa ALR (*MsALR*) and rice *OsAKR1* proteins  
12 (Oberschall et al. 2000; Turóczy et al. 2011). The *Arabidopsis* enzyme, AKR4C9, reportedly  
13 improves the reactive aldehyde- and freezing-stress tolerance of transgenic barley (Éva et al.  
14 2014a, b). Although improved cadmium tolerance of transgenic plants has already been  
15 observed, indicated by the low level of lipid peroxidation products, but has not yet been  
16 studied in detail (Éva et al. 2014a).

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41 Barley is an important and major crop grown worldwide. It was one of the first food crops  
42 domesticated by humans. The breeding strategy for barley is targeted at enhancing stress  
43 tolerance and creating plants resistant to various effects of highly diverse environments. Our  
44 approach is based on gene technology. The *MsALR* and AKR4C9 over-expressing transgenic  
45 barley plants involved in this study have already been characterised at the DNA and protein  
46 levels (Éva et al. 2014a; Nagy et al. 2011). In this project reactive aldehyde-, salt-, and  
47 cadmium-tolerance of the proposed transgenic lines were analysed in detail. Functional  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 characterisation and comparison of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* AKR4C9 and the alfalfa MsALR  
2 enzymes were performed to determine their role in plant's defence against abiotic stresses.  
3  
4  
5

## 6 **Materials and Method**

### 7 *Chemicals*

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 All chemicals were ordered from Sigma-Aldrich Ltd, Germany, unless otherwise indicated.

18  
19 The glutaraldehyde solution [25% (v/v)] was purchased from REANAL Ltd, Hungary.  
20  
21  
22  
23

### 24 *Plant material and growth conditions*

25  
26  
27  
28  
29 Transgenic barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L. var. Golden promise) plants over-expressing the  
30 6xHis-tag fusion *Arabidopsis* AKR4C9 and 6xHis-tag fusion *Medicago sativa* MsALR  
31 enzymes have previously been produced and characterized (Éva et al. 2014a; Nagy et al.  
32 2011). Both genes were regulated by constitutive promoters. The gene for AKR4C9 was  
33 driven by the rice actin promoter while the gene for MsALR were under the control of the  
34 maize ubiquitin promoter. Two transgenic lines were chosen from each transformation  
35 experiment in this study. Lines expressing AKR4C9 protein were called C1, C2 and C3, while  
36 30 and 51 carrying the gene coding for the MsALR protein. None of the studied transgenic  
37 lines showed any phenotypic differences from the wild type, under non-stressed conditions.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 For plant physiology experiments, both WT and transgenic barley seedlings were grown in ¼  
52 Hoagland solution under a slightly modified protocol described by (Fodor et al. 1998) with a  
53 14 h light period of 400  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  light intensity and 10 h dark period.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

### *Western blot analysis*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 Total leaf protein was extracted from leaves of transgenic AKR4C9- and MsALR-  
6  
7 accumulating lines (C1, C2, C3 and lines 30, 51) and purified by affinity chromatography  
8  
9 using His-Select™ Nickel Affinity Gel according to Éva et al. (2014a). The proteins were  
10  
11 separated using SDS-PAGE and His-tag fusion AKR-enzymes were detected by immunoblot  
12  
13 analysis using rabbit polyclonal antibody against the 6×His-tag (Affinity Bioreagents), as it  
14  
15 was previously described by Éva et al. (2014a).  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

### *Glutaraldehyde treatment*

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 Glutaraldehyde treatment of transgenic plants was carried out according to the optimized  
28  
29 protocol of Éva et al. (2014a). Eleven-day-old seedlings (3-leaf stage), were grown in  
30  
31 hydroponics. Twenty-five ml glutaraldehyde solution [0.1% (v/v)] was sprayed to 5 plantlets  
32  
33 and it was repeated 2 days later. Physiological parameters of the oldest leaves were measured  
34  
35 24 h after the last spray. Nutrient solution was changed before the first spray only.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

### *Sugar alcohol extraction and determination*

41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46 Carbohydrate extraction and determination of sorbitol contents by HPLC-RID was performed  
47  
48 as described earlier (Éva et al. 2014b). Sorbitol content of the oldest leaves of ten days old,  
49  
50 unstressed plants has been analysed.  
51  
52  
53  
54

### *Cadmium and salt treatment*

1 After 10 days of seed germination, Cd in the form of Cd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> was included in the  
2 hydroponics at a concentration of 10 µM for 5 days. Salt treatment was also carried out on 10-  
3  
4 day old seedlings for 6 days. Hoagland solution was supplemented with NaCl to reach the  
5  
6 final concentration of 175 mM. Fluorescence induction parameters were analysed and the  
7  
8 level of photosynthetic pigments and the level of TBARS (thiobarbituric acid reactive  
9  
10 substances) was also measured before and after the treatments.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

### 17 *Determination of pigment contents, PSII maximal quantum efficiency and level of TBARS*

18  
19  
20  
21

22 All physiological parameters have been measured on the oldest leaves of the plants.  
23  
24 Chlorophyll was extracted from transgenic plants with 80% (v/v) acetone-water buffer  
25  
26 (containing 5 mM Tricine, pH 7.8) and the concentration was measured by a UV-VIS  
27  
28 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan) according to Porra et al. (1989). Fluorescence induction  
29  
30 measurements of leaf samples were performed using a PAM 101–102–103 chlorophyll  
31  
32 fluorometer (Walz, Effeltrich, Germany). PSII maximal quantum efficiency has been  
33  
34 determined following the method published earlier (Genty et al. 1989). Total carotenoid  
35  
36 contents were measured in 100% (v/v) acetone extracts by a UV-VIS spectrophotometer  
37  
38 (Shimadzu, Japan) as it was described by Lichtenhaler (1987). The level of lipid peroxidation  
39  
40 products [thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS)] was determined using the  
41  
42 modified method of Dhinsa et al. (1981). According to the protocol, 50 mg of plant sample  
43  
44 was homogenized with 450 µl 0.1% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid and centrifuged (10000 g;  
45  
46 10min; 4°C). A total of 250 ml of the supernatant was mixed with 1 ml TBARS-reagent [20%  
47  
48 (w/v) trichloroacetic acid solution containing 1% (w/v) thiobarbituric acid]. The mixture was  
49  
50 boiled for 30 min and then cooled to room temperature. Samples were measured at 532 and  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

600 nm (product and background, respectively) with a Shimadzu UV2101 spectrophotometer.

The concentration was calculated using a molar extinction coefficient of  $1.55 \times 10^7$  mM cm<sup>-1</sup>.

### *Statistical analysis*

The reported values are the means of five independent experiments. Unpaired Student's t-tests and ANOVA tests were performed on the data as statistical analysis.

## **Results**

### *Western blot analysis*

In order to visualise the recombinant aldo-keto reductase enzyme expression (either AKR4C9 or MsALR) and compare their levels in the transgenic lines, Western blot analysis has been carried out. Due to the His-tag fused to both proteins they were purified and detected the same way. Protein production of the studied lines is illustrated by Fig. 1. This blot reveals that line C1 contains more AKR4C9 protein than either C2 or C3 lines. For further experiments the highest (C1) and the lowest (C2) expressing lines were chosen. Regarding MsALR expressing transgenic barley lines, 30 has lower, while 51 has higher recombinant enzyme level.

### *Reactive aldehyde treatment of intact plants*

Reactive aldehyde detoxifying capacity of both GM and WT plants was investigated by applying 0.1% (v/v) glutaraldehyde treatment. Chlorophyll contents and photosynthetic

1 activity of MsALR expressing lines, as well as the carotenoid contents of both AKR4C9 and  
2 MsALR accumulating lines were studied. The total carotenoid content was significantly lower  
3 (P<0.05) in the WT and in the low MsALR-expressing line (line 30) after the treatment. It  
4 also decreased in other transgenic lines, albeit not significantly (Fig. 2a). It should be noted  
5 that the high MsALR-expressing line 51 contained significantly (P<0.05) more carotenoid  
6 than the treated WT. Total chlorophyll contents also decreased significantly (P<0.05) as the  
7 result of glutaraldehyde treatment in both the WT and in the low MsALR-expressing line 30,  
8 but not in the high MsALR-expressing line 51 (Fig. 2b). Similarly, the PSII maximal quantum  
9 efficiencies of the wild type and line 30 significantly (P<0.05) decreased, while it did not  
10 change significantly in the GM barley line 51. This line significantly (P<0.05) differed from  
11 WT plants (Fig. 2c).

#### 29 *Heavy metal (cadmium) treatment*

31 WT barley and transgenic lines expressing either Arabidopsis or alfalfa AKR-enzymes were  
32 exposed to 10 µM of cadmium. There was no significant change in total chlorophyll contents  
33 after the treatment in any of the transgenic lines, but it significantly (P<0.05) decreased in the  
34 WT plant (Fig. 3a). PSII maximal quantum efficiency also significantly (P<0.05) decreased as  
35 a result of the Cd treatment in all plants, but remained significantly (P<0.05) higher in  
36 transgenic lines 30, 51 and C1 compared to the WT (Fig. 3b). There was a 2-3-fold increase  
37 in the level of TBARS in MsALR-expressing transgenic lines and a 4-fold increase in WT  
38 plant (Fig. 3c), confirming that Cd causes high levels of oxidative stress and lipid  
39 peroxidation. The change was significant at the 95 % level in all lines. TBARS levels were  
40 significantly (P<0.05) lower in line 51, than in the WT by the end of the treatment.

### *Sugar alcohol contents*

1  
2  
3  
4 Sorbitol concentration of the high MsALR-expressing line 51 proved to be  $0.75 \pm 0.56$   $\mu\text{mol g}$   
5 fresh weight (fwt)<sup>-1</sup> while in the low MsALR-expressing line 30 it was  $0.44 \pm 0.34$   $\mu\text{mol g fwt}^{-1}$   
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

<sup>1</sup>. The sugar alcohol content of WT barley plant has previously been found to be  $0.17 \pm 0.23$   $\mu\text{mol g fwt}^{-1}$  (Éva et al. 2014b). Sorbitol production was significantly higher in the high MsALR-expressing line 51 than in WT ( $P < 0.05$ ).

### *Salt treatment*

24 In order to study the effect of salt stress on AKR4C9- and MsALR-expressing transgenic  
25 barley, WT and GM plants were subjected to 175 mM NaCl treatment in hydroponics for 6  
26 days. Though the plants were stressed, their total fresh weight increased significantly  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

In order to study the effect of salt stress on AKR4C9- and MsALR-expressing transgenic barley, WT and GM plants were subjected to 175 mM NaCl treatment in hydroponics for 6 days. Though the plants were stressed, their total fresh weight increased significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) in all lines during the treatment. It should be noted, however, that the total fresh weight of lines C1, 51 and 30 were significantly ( $P < 0.001$ ) higher, than in the case of WT (Fig. 4a). The total chlorophyll content of both WT and the transgenic barley lines C1, C2 and 30 decreased as the result of the salt stress treatment (Fig. 4b), but this was significant only in the case of WT ( $P < 0.05$ ). The chlorophyll contents of line 51, on the other hand, increased, regardless of the salt treatment. It significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) differed from the WT at the end of the treatment. The chlorophyll *a/b* ratio was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher in the WT barley compared to the MsALR-expressing lines (Fig. 4c). Maximal quantum efficiency of photosystem II (PSII) reaction centres showed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) lower level under salt stress not only in the WT but in the AKR4C9-expressing lines as well, while not in lines expressing the MsALR enzyme (Fig. 4d). It was also shown that after salt treatment,  $F_v/F_m$  value of the high AKR4C9-expressing line C1 and both MsALR-expressing lines

1 significantly differed from the WT. Total carotenoid contents did not change significantly in  
2 any lines (data not shown) during the treatment, however, a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) increase in  
3  
4 the chlorophyll/carotenoid ratio of line 51 was observed (Fig. 4e). The level of TBARS did  
5  
6 not increase significantly in any of the lines during the salt stress (Fig. 4f). Line 51 exhibited  
7  
8 the highest salt tolerance, showing no visible injury at all during the treatment, while the  
9  
10 oldest leaves of WT barley were severely damaged (Fig. 5a, b).  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

## 16 Discussion

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22 An artificial reactive aldehyde (glutaraldehyde) was used in *in vivo* experiments to  
23  
24 characterise the reactive aldehyde tolerance of transgenic barley plants accumulating two  
25  
26 types of AKR enzyme, AKR4C9 from *Arabidopsis thaliana* and MsALR from *Medicago*  
27  
28 *sativa*. It has already been demonstrated that glutaraldehyde can be successfully applied to  
29  
30 characterise the activity and thus the protective effect of the AKR4C9 enzyme *in vivo* (Éva et  
31  
32 al. 2014a). However, neither *in vitro* nor *in vivo* activity of MsALR has been studied against  
33  
34 glutaraldehyde, so far. In this article, by measuring total chlorophyll contents and PSII  
35  
36 maximal quantum efficiency, MsALR-expressing transgenic lines were also found to exhibit  
37  
38 higher glutaraldehyde tolerance compared to the control barley. In addition to the effects  
39  
40 previously characterised, the effect on carotenoid contents has also been demonstrated.  
41  
42 Treated WT plants contained the least carotenoid, which may indicate the lowest  
43  
44 glutaraldehyde tolerance. Glutaraldehyde tolerance of the transgenic plants expressing  
45  
46 different AKRs was comparable. Based on our studies, glutaraldehyde can be suggested as an  
47  
48 effective molecule for studying the function of reactive aldehyde detoxifying enzymes *in vivo*.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

1  
2 parameters including growth parameters; chlorophyll and carotenoid contents; photosynthetic  
3 activity, and respiratory rate (Éva et al. 2014a).  
4  
5

6  
7 Because cadmium is known to cause strong oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation, our  
8 transgenic lines expressing reactive aldehyde detoxifying enzymes were also studied for Cd  
9 tolerance. As a result of the increased concentration of AKR enzymes, transgenic barley  
10 plants displayed a slight improvement in their tolerance to Cd. The role of AKR4C9 under Cd  
11 stress had only previously been indicated by the low level of lipid peroxidation products in the  
12 high AKR4C9 expressing line C1 under 10  $\mu$ M Cd stress (Éva et al. 2014a). Higher Cd  
13 tolerance of this line, compared to WT, has been confirmed during this study by measuring  
14 PSII maximal quantum efficiency and chlorophyll contents. Hegedűs and co-workers (2004)  
15 published data on the effects of MsALR in transgenic tobacco and demonstrated improved  
16 Cd-tolerance attributed to the increased amount of the aldo-keto reductase enzyme. The  
17 present study confirms that this enzyme can also enhance the Cd tolerance of the monocot  
18 plant, barley. Cd tolerance of AKR4C9 and MsALR-expressing transgenic lines were  
19 comparable. It can be hypothesized that enhancing heavy metal tolerance is a common feature  
20 of reactive aldehyde detoxifying AKR enzymes. Sugar alcohols are known as antioxidants,  
21 therefore, sugar alcohol production (see below) may have also contributed to Cd tolerance.  
22 The protective effects of AKR-overproduction on transgenic barley were not as visible in  
23 cadmium treatment as it was in the case of salt stress. High concentration of salt was clearly  
24 tolerated by the high AKR-expressing lines while Cd caused serious damage. It was of no  
25 surprise, however, because AKRs clearly cannot protect the plants from all effects of  
26 cadmium, while they address both major negative effects of salinity (i.e. oxidative and  
27 osmotic stress) by producing sugar alcohols (see below). Most other efforts to improve Cd  
28 tolerance has also focused on a single aspect of it, either detoxification by overproduction of  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 phytochelatins (Zhu et al. 1999) or over-expression of other antioxidant enzymes (Lee et al.  
2 2007).  
3  
4  
5  
6

7 The major function of stress-induced dicot AKRs was considered to be the detoxification of  
8 several reactive aldehyde compounds. It has also been suggested that the production of sugar  
9 alcohols from carbohydrates may also be part of their stress-protecting function (Gavidia et al.  
10 2003). Sugar alcohols confer salt stress tolerance through ROS-scavenging and by acting as  
11 compatible solutes and osmoprotectants (Parida and Das 2005). Sugar alcohol production was  
12 assumed from the low enzyme activity of stress-induced AKRs found against fructose or other  
13 carbohydrates. Evidence of enzyme activity of purified AKR4C9 against fructose and  
14 enhanced sorbitol production of AKR4C9 expressing transgenic plants has already been  
15 available (Éva et al. 2014a, b). The activity of the MsALR enzyme against fructose has not  
16 been studied so far. The present work indicates that not only AKR4C9 is capable of producing  
17 sorbitol, but MsALR as well. The concentration of the produced sorbitol is in the same range  
18 as it was published earlier for mannitol in transgenic plants expressing bacterial mannitol-1-  
19 phosphate dehydrogenase (Tarczynski et al. 1992; Abebe et al. 2003). The established plant  
20 lines enhanced the salt tolerance despite the low level of mannitol produced. This positive  
21 effect was therefore attributed not to osmoprotective activity, but rather to the ROS-  
22 scavenging activity of the sugar alcohol. One should also take into account, that the mannitol-  
23 1-phosphate dehydrogenase transgene did not offer any further advantages for the plant. In  
24 contrast, the aldo-keto reductase enzymes studied in this project were even able to reduce  
25 harmful reactive aldehydes beside sugar alcohol production and, therefore, provide further  
26 benefits.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 In theory, both AKR4C9 and MsALR enzymes are able to enhance salt tolerance not only  
2 because of sorbitol production, but also because of the reactive aldehydes detoxification  
3 capability. To investigate this concept, transgenic lines were exposed to high level (175 mM)  
4 of NaCl. This severe treatment was necessary to apply because Golden Promise originally  
5 exhibits a high tolerance to salt (Forster 2001). Both types of transgenic lines showed  
6 considerably good salt tolerance, but the MsALR-expressing plants performed better during  
7 salt stress treatments. Remarkably, however, both the high and low MsALR-expressing lines  
8 contained more recombinant aldo-keto reductase protein, than their respective counterparts,  
9 the high and low AKR4C9-expressing lines (see Fig. 1). It also should be noted that the high  
10 MsALR-expressing transgenic plant line 51 was the most tolerant of the lines tested. Salinity  
11 caused only small variations in chlorophyll contents, *chl a/b* ratio, and photosynthetic activity  
12 in this particular line. Moreover, stress symptoms were barely visible even on the oldest  
13 leaves. This can be explained only by sugar alcohol production, as the level of TBARS did  
14 not increase significantly in any lines during the treatment. It is interesting to note that  
15 although sorbitol contents of the high MsALR-expressing line 51 ( $0.75 \mu\text{mol g fwt}^{-1}$ ) were  
16 similar to the high AKR4C9-expressing line ( $0.8 \mu\text{mol g fwt}^{-1}$ ) (Éva et al. 2014b), transgenic  
17 line 51 had much higher salt tolerance. Apart from the protein level, the possible differences  
18 in the activity of these two enzymes under salt stress could be an explanation of this feature.  
19 Because aldo-keto reductase enzymes possess broad substrate specificity (Davidson et al.  
20 1978; Jez et al. 2007) additional products may also contribute to salt stress tolerance. Another  
21 effect of salt stress was the increase of the chlorophyll/carotenoid ratio in the highly tolerant  
22 transgenic line 51. As it was published earlier carotenoid content of rosemary had increased  
23 during water stress, it was suggested that large amounts of carotenoid might contribute to the  
24 prevention of oxidative damage (Munné-Bosch et al. 1999). Regarding our findings, we  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2 propose that in the case of salinity stress, plants with significant AKR-protection activity may  
3 not require the elevated carotenoid content.  
4

5  
6  
7 It was demonstrated earlier that that high salt concentration induced the expression of aldo-  
8 keto reductase in *Digitalis purpurea* (Gavidia et al. 2003) as well as AKR4C9 in *Arabidopsis*  
9 *thaliana* (TAIR database). Furthermore, it was also revealed that dicot AKR enzymes are able  
10 to remove reactive aldehyde as primary function and also to synthesise sugar alcohol as a  
11 secondary function. This is the first report, however, to demonstrate that such enzymes can  
12 provide enhanced salt tolerance in transgenic plants. Previous studies have assessed the  
13 performance of AKRs producing sugar alcohol as a primary function. For example, either  
14 celery or peach aldo-keto reductase enzyme was ectopically over-expressed in transgenic  
15 Arabidopsis, which lead to higher sugar alcohol content and salt tolerance was also improved  
16 (Zhifang et al. 2003; Kanayama et al. 2014). It has to be noted that apart from AKR over-  
17 expression, improved salt tolerance can be based on either osmoprotection (Shekhawat et al.  
18 2011) or on overproducing antioxidant enzymes (Hoshida et al. 2000).  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

39 In this project two AKR enzymes (AKR4C9 and MsALR), having similar functions and  
40 amino acid sequence (68.9%), were studied in transgenic plant to compare their effects on  
41 some physiological characteristics of barley. In summary, both enzymes proved to provide  
42 enhanced reactive aldehyde, heavy metal and salt tolerance during our previous and present  
43 works. It has been demonstrated that MsALR ameliorated UV-B, drought and cold tolerance  
44 (Oberschall et al. 2000; Hideg et al. 2003; Hegedűs et al. 2004) while AKR4C9 enhanced  
45 reactive aldehyde and frost-tolerance (Éva et al. 2014a, b). Based on our data, we could not  
46 find any differences between the function of the two enzymes, despite originating from  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2 different species. Both of them are key players in plant stress response, providing partial  
3 protection against many abiotic stresses.  
4  
5

## 6 7 **Author Contributions Statement** 8 9

10  
11 CsÉ carried out most of the works and analysis, produced plants over-expressing *Arabidopsis*  
12 AKR4C9, helped draft the manuscript; ÁS designed glutaraldehyde, salt and Cd treatments,  
13 helped draft the manuscript; MO carried out pigment content determination, PSII maximal  
14 quantum efficiency and level of TBARS; RTF carried out sugar alcohol extraction and  
15 determination, analysed data; BN produced plants over-expressing *Medicago sativa* MsALR,  
16 took part in data analysis; GVH participated in the design and coordination, took part in data  
17 analysis; LT conceived the study, participated in the design and coordination and helped draft  
18 the manuscript.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

## 31 32 33 34 **Acknowledgements** 35 36

37 Mária Oszvald is in receipt of a grant from the Hungarian Scientific research Fund (OTKA  
38 PD 101330). The authors are grateful to Éva Sárvári (Department of Plant Physiology and  
39 Plant Molecular Biology, ELTE, Budapest Hungary) for many scientific recommendations.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

## 46 47 **Conflict of Interest** 48

49 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.  
50  
51

## 52 53 54 **References** 55 56

57 Abebe T, Guenzi AC, Martin B, Cushman JC (2003) Tolerance of mannitol-accumulating  
58 transgenic wheat to water stress and salinity. *Plant Physiol* 131:1748-1755  
59  
60  
61

- 1 Bartels D, Engelhardt K, Roncarati R, Schneider K, Rotter M, Salamini F (1991) An ABA  
2 and GA modulated gene expressed in the barley embryo encodes an aldose reductase  
3 related protein. EMBO J 10:1037-1043
- 4 DalCorso G, Farinati S, Maistri S, Furini A (2008) How plants cope with cadmium: staking  
5 all on metabolism and gene expression. J Integr Plant Biol 50:1268-1280
- 6  
7  
8 Davidson WS, Walton DJ, Flynn TG (1978) A comparative study of the tissue and species  
9 distribution of NADPH-dependent aldehyde reductase. Comp Biochem Phys B  
10 60:309-315
- 11  
12 Dhindsa RS, Plumb-Dhindsa P, Thorpe TA (1981) Leaf senescence: correlated with increased  
13 levels of membrane permeability and lipid peroxidation, and decreased levels of  
14 superoxide dismutase and catalase. J Exp Bot 32:93-101
- 15  
16  
17 Éva C, Tóth G, Oszvald M, Tamás L (2014a) Overproduction of an Arabidopsis aldo-keto  
18 reductase increases barley tolerance to oxidative and cadmium stress by an *in vivo*  
19 reactive aldehyde detoxification. Plant Growth Regul 74:55-63
- 20  
21  
22 Éva C, Zelenyánszki H, Tömösközi-Farkas R, Tamás L (2014b) Transgenic barley expressing  
23 the Arabidopsis AKR4C9 aldo-keto reductase enzyme exhibits enhanced freezing  
24 tolerance and regenerative capacity. S Afr J Bot 93:179-184
- 25  
26  
27 Fehér-Juhász E, Majer P, Sass L, Lantos C, Csiszár J, Turóczy Z, Mihály R, Mai A, Horváth  
28 GV, Vass I, Dudits D, Pauk J (2014) Phenotyping shows improved physiological traits  
29 and seed yield of transgenic wheat plants expressing the alfalfa aldose reductase under  
30 permanent drought stress. Acta Physiol Plant 36:663-673
- 31  
32  
33 Fodor F, Cseh E, Varga A, Záray G (1998) Lead uptake, distribution, and remobilization in  
34 cucumber. J Plant Nutr 21:1363-1373
- 35  
36  
37 Forster BP (2001) Mutation genetics of salt tolerance in barley: an assessment of Golden  
38 Promise and other semi-dwarf mutants. Euphytica 120:317-328
- 39  
40  
41 Gavidia I, Pérez-Bermúdez P, Seitz HU (2003) Cloning and expression of two novel aldo-  
42 keto reductases from *Digitalis purpurea* leaves. Eur J Biochem 269:2842-2850
- 43  
44  
45 Genty B, Briantais J-M, Baker NR (1989) The relationship between the quantum yield of  
46 photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. BBA-  
47 Gen Subjects 1989, 990:87-92
- 48  
49  
50 He N, Singhal SS, Awasthi S, Zhao T, Boor PJ (1999) Role of glutathione S-transferase 8-8 in  
51 allylamine resistance of vascular smooth muscle cells *in vitro*. Toxicol Appl  
52 Pharmacol 158:177-185
- 53  
54  
55 Hegedűs A, Erdei S, Janda T, Tóth E, Horváth G, Dudits D (2004) Transgenic tobacco plants  
56 overproducing alfalfa aldose/aldehyde reductase show higher tolerance to low  
57 temperature and cadmium stress. Plant Sci 166:1329-1333
- 58  
59  
60 Hideg É, Nagy T, Oberschall A, Dudits D, Vass I (2003) Detoxification function of  
61 aldose/aldehyde reductase during drought and ultraviolet-B (280-320 nm) stresses.  
62 Plant Cell Environ 26:513-522

- 1 Hoshida H, Tanaka Y, Hibino T, Hayashi Y, Tanaka A, Takabe T, Takabe T (2000) Enhanced  
2 tolerance to salt stress in transgenic rice that overexpresses chloroplast glutamine  
3 synthetase. *Plant Mol Biol* 43:103-111
- 4 Jez J, Bennett M, Schlegel B, Lewis M, Penning T (1997) Comparative anatomy of the aldo-  
5 keto reductase superfamily. *Biochem J* 326:625-636
- 6  
7  
8 Kanayama Y, Mizutani R, Yaguchi S, Hojo A, Ikeda H, Nishiyama M, Kanahama K (2014)  
9 Characterization of an uncharacterized aldo-keto reductase gene from peach and its  
10 role in abiotic stress tolerance. *Phytochemistry* 104:30-36
- 11  
12  
13 Lee SH, Ahsan N, Lee KW, Kim DH, Lee DG, Kwak SS, Kwon SY, Kim TH, Lee BH (2007)  
14 Simultaneous overexpression of both CuZn superoxide dismutase and ascorbate  
15 peroxidase in transgenic tall fescue plants confers increased tolerance to a wide range  
16 of abiotic stresses. *J Plant Physiol* 164:1626-1638
- 17  
18  
19 Leonarduzzi G, Arkan MC, Başığ H, Chiarpotto E, Sevanian A, Poli G (2000) Lipid  
20 oxidation products in cell signaling. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine* 28:1370-1378
- 21  
22  
23 Li B, Foley ME (1995) Cloning and characterization of differentially expressed genes in  
24 imbibed dormant and after ripened *Avena fatua* embryos. *Plant Mol Biol* 29:823-831
- 25  
26  
27 Lichtenthaler HK (1987) Chlorophylls and carotenoids: pigments of photosynthetic  
28 biomembranes. *Methods Enzymol* 148:350-382
- 29  
30  
31 Mano Ji, Belles-Boix E, Babiychuk E, Inzé D, Torii Y, Hiraoka E, Takimoto K, Slooten L,  
32 Asada K, Kushnir S (2005) Protection against photooxidative injury of tobacco leaves  
33 by 2-alkenal reductase. Detoxication of lipid peroxide-derived reactive carbonyls.  
34 *Plant physiology* 139:1773-1783
- 35  
36  
37 Mittler R (2002) Oxidative stress, antioxidants and stress tolerance. *Trends Plant Sci* 7:405-  
38 410
- 39  
40  
41 Mundree SG, Whittaker A, Thomson JA, Farrant JM (2000) An aldose reductase homolog  
42 from the resurrection plant *Xerophyta viscosa* Baker. *Planta* 211:693-700
- 43  
44  
45 Munné-Bosch S, Schwarz K, Alegre L (1999) Enhanced formation of  $\alpha$ -tocopherol and  
46 highly oxidized abietane diterpenes in water-stressed rosemary plants. *Plant Physiol*  
47 121:1047-1052
- 48  
49  
50 Munns R (2002) Comparative physiology of salt and water stress. *Plant Cell Environ* 25:239-  
51 250
- 52  
53  
54 Nagy B, Majer P, Mihály R, Dudits D, Horváth VG (2011) Transient and transgenic  
55 approaches: functional testing of candidate genes in barley. *Acta Biol Szeged* 55:129-  
56 133
- 57  
58  
59 Oberschall A, Deák M, Török K, Sass L, Vass I, Kovács I, Fehér A, Dudits D, Horváth GV  
60 (2000) A novel aldose/aldehyde reductase protects transgenic plants against lipid  
61 peroxidation under chemical and drought stresses. *Plant J* 24:437-446
- 62  
63  
64  
65

- 1 Parida AK, Das AB (2005) Salt tolerance and salinity effects on plants: a review. *Ecotoxicol*  
2 *Environ Saf* 60:324-349
- 3 Polle A, Schützendübel A (2004) Heavy metal signalling in plants: linking cellular and  
4 organismic responses. *Plant responses to abiotic stress*:187-215
- 5  
6 Porra RJ, Thompson WA, Kriedemann PE (1989) Determination of accurate extinction  
7 coefficients and simultaneous equations for assaying chlorophylls a and b extracted  
8 with four different solvents: verification of the concentration of chlorophyll standards  
9 by atomic absorption spectroscopy. *BBA-Bioenergetics* 975:384-394
- 10  
11  
12 Roncarati R, Salamini F, Bartels D (1995) An aldose reductase homologous gene from barley:  
13 regulation and function. *Plant J* 7:809-822
- 14  
15  
16 Sengupta D, Naik D, Reddy AR (2015) Plant aldo-keto reductases (AKRs) as multi-tasking  
17 soldiers involved in diverse plant metabolic processes and stress defense: A structure-  
18 function update. *J Plant Physiol* 179:40-55
- 19  
20  
21 Shekhawat UKS, Srinivas L, Ganapathi TR (2011) MusaDHN-1, a novel multiple stress-  
22 inducible SK 3-type dehydrin gene, contributes affirmatively to drought-and salt-stress  
23 tolerance in banana. *Planta* 234:915-932
- 24  
25  
26 Simpson PJ, Tantitadapitak C, Reed AM, Mather OC, Bunce CM, White SA, Ride JP (2009)  
27 Characterization of Two Novel Aldo-Keto Reductases from *Arabidopsis*: Expression  
28 Patterns, Broad Substrate Specificity, and an Open Active-Site Structure Suggest a  
29 Role in Toxicant Metabolism Following Stress. *J Mol Biol* 392:465-480
- 30  
31  
32 Smirnov N (1993) Tansley Review No. 52. The role of active oxygen in the response of  
33 plants to water deficit and desiccation. *New Phytol*:27-58
- 34  
35  
36 Song N-H, Ahn Y-J (2011) DcHsp17. 7, a small heat shock protein in carrot, is tissue-  
37 specifically expressed under salt stress and confers tolerance to salinity. *New*  
38 *Biotechnol* 28:698-704
- 39  
40  
41 Sunkar R, Bartels D, Kirch H-H (2003) Overexpression of a stress-inducible aldehyde  
42 dehydrogenase gene from *Arabidopsis thaliana* in transgenic plants improves stress  
43 tolerance. *Plant J* 35:452-464
- 44  
45  
46 Suzuki N, Koizumi N, Sano H (2001) Screening of cadmium-responsive genes in *Arabidopsis*  
47 *thaliana*. *Plant Cell Environ* 24:1177-1188
- 48  
49  
50 Tarczynski MC, Jensen RG, Bohnert HJ (1992) Expression of a bacterial *mtlD* gene in  
51 transgenic tobacco leads to production and accumulation of mannitol. *P Natl Acad Sci*  
52 *USA* 89:2600-2604
- 53  
54  
55 Turóczy Z, Kis P, Török K, Cserháti M, Lendvai Á, Dudits D, Horváth GV (2011)  
56 Overproduction of a rice aldo-keto reductase increases oxidative and heat stress  
57 tolerance by malondialdehyde and methylglyoxal detoxification. *Plant Mol Biol*  
58 75:399-412
- 59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Veena, Reddy VS, Sopory SK (1999) Glyoxalase I from *Brassica juncea*: molecular cloning,  
2 regulation and its over-expression confer tolerance in transgenic tobacco under stress.  
3 Plant J 17:385-395

4 Weber H, Chételat A, Reymond P, Farmer EE (2004) Selective and powerful stress gene  
5 expression in *Arabidopsis* in response to malondialdehyde. Plant J 37:877-888  
6

7  
8 Yamauchi Y, Sugimoto Y (2010) Effect of protein modification by malondialdehyde on the  
9 interaction between the oxygen-evolving complex 33 kDa protein and photosystem II  
10 core proteins. Planta 231:1077-1088  
11

12 Zarkovic K (2003) 4-Hydroxynonenal and neurodegenerative diseases. Mol Asp Med 24:293-  
13 303  
14

15  
16 Zhifang G, Loescher WH (2003) Expression of a celery mannose 6-phosphate reductase in  
17 *Arabidopsis thaliana* enhances salt tolerance and induces biosynthesis of both  
18 mannitol and a glucosyl-mannitol dimer. Plant Cell Environ 26:275-283  
19

20  
21 Zhu YL, Pilon-Smits EAH, Tarun AS, Weber SU, Jouanin L, Terry N (1999) Cadmium  
22 tolerance and accumulation in Indian mustard is enhanced by overexpressing Î-glutamylcysteine synthetase. Plant Physiol 121:1169-1177  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Figure legends

### Fig. 1

Immunoblot analysis of the His-tag fusion AKR4C9 and MsALR proteins expressed in transgenic barley leaves using anti-6×His antibody. Protein production of wild type, AKR4C9-expressing lines (C1, C2, and C3) and MsALR-expressing lines (30 and 51) are shown. The size of protein ladder is given in kDa. The figure is published with kind permission of Springer Science+Business Media because part of it has already been published showing protein production of WT and lines C1, C2 and C3 (Éva et al. 2014a).

### Fig. 2

Effects of 0.1% glutaraldehyde treatment after 3 days. Total carotenoid (carotenes and xanthophylls C+X) contents (a) of WT, transgenic barley expressing high (C1) and low (C2) amounts of AKR4C9 and expressing high (51) and low (30) amounts of MsALR. Chlorophyll contents (b) and PSII maximal quantum efficiency (c) of non-transgenic and MsALR-expressing transgenic plants. Data are the means + SDs of 5 treatments. Asterisks mark data of treated plants, significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) different from untreated (Day 0) samples of the same genotype. Letter 'S' marks data of treated transgenic plants significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) different from treated wt.

### Fig. 3

Effects of 10  $\mu\text{M}$   $\text{Cd}(\text{NO}_3)_2$  cadmium treatment on transgenic and WT plants after 5 days. The following physiological parameters of treated plants were determined on Day5: total chlorophyll contents (a), PSII maximal quantum efficiency (b) and level of TBARS (c). Data are the means + SDs of 5 treatments. WT stands for wild type, C1 for transgenic line expressing high and C2 for expressing low amounts of AKR4C9. Line 51 is a transgenic line expressing high and 30 expressing low amounts of MsALR. Data are the means + SDs of 5 treatments. Asterisks mark data of treated plants, significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) different from untreated (Day 0) samples of the same genotype. Letter 'S' marks data of treated transgenic plants significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) different from treated wt.

### Fig. 4

Effects of 175 mM NaCl treatment after 6 days. Total fresh weight (a), total chlorophyll contents (b), chlorophyll *a/b* ratio (c), PSII maximal quantum efficiency (d), chlorophyll/carotenoid ratio (e) and the level of TBARS (f) in WT, transgenic barley expressing high (C1) and low (C2) amounts of AKR4C9 or expressing high (51) and low (30) amounts of MsALR. Data are the means + SDs of 5 treatments. Asterisks mark data of treated plants, significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) different from untreated (Day 0) samples of the same genotype. Letter 's' marks data of treated transgenic plants significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ), while  $\underline{s}$  significantly ( $P < 0.001$ ) different from treated wt.

### Fig. 5

Representative photo of salt-stressed non-transgenic (WT) (a) and transgenic (line 51) (b) barley plants grown in 175 mM NaCl containing 1/4 Hoagland solution after 6 days.









