

# Red-blue clique partitions and $(1-1)$ -transversals

András Gyárfás \*

Jenő Lehel

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics

September 14, 2015

## Abstract

Motivated by the problem of Gallai on  $(1-1)$ -transversals of 2-intervals, it was proved by the authors in 1969 that if the edges of a complete graph  $K$  are colored with red and blue (both colors can appear on an edge) so that there is no monochromatic induced  $C_4$  and  $C_5$  then the vertices of  $K$  can be partitioned into a red and a blue clique. Aharoni, Berger, Chudnovsky and Ziani recently strengthened this by showing that it is enough to assume that there is no induced monochromatic  $C_4$  and there is no induced  $C_5$  in *one of the colors*. Here this is strengthened further, it is enough to assume that there is no monochromatic induced  $C_4$  and there is no  $K_5$  on which both color classes induce a  $C_5$ .

We also answer a question of Kaiser and Rabinovich, giving an example of six 2-convex sets in the plane such that any three intersect but there is no  $(1-1)$ -transversal for them.

## 1 Red-blue clique partitions of complete graphs

In 1968, thinking on a problem about piercing cycles of digraphs, Gallai arrived to the problem of piercing 2-intervals. He defined 2-intervals as sets of the real line  $R$  having two interval components, one in  $(-\infty, 0)$  and one in  $(0, \infty)$  and asked: how many points are needed to pierce a family of pairwise intersecting 2-intervals? His question generated [6] in which (as a special case of a general upper bound) we proved that two points always pierce pairwise intersecting 2-intervals and one of them can be selected from  $(-\infty, 0)$  and the other from  $(0, \infty)$ . Let's call such a pair of points a  $(1-1)$ -transversal. This result can be extended to 2-trees, where a 2-tree is the

---

\*Research supported in part by the OTKA Grant No. K104343.

union of two subtrees, one is a subtree of  $T_1$  the other is a subtree of  $T_2$ , where  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are vertex-disjoint trees. In [6] we proved a stronger result, Theorem 1, using only properties of the intersection graph of subtrees of a tree. Consider *2-colored complete graphs*, where edges are colored with red, blue, or both colors. Edges of one color only are called *pure* edges, they can be pure red or pure blue. Another view is to consider a complete graph (clique) as the union of a red and a blue graph on the same vertex set.

**Theorem 1.** (*Gyárfás, Lehel [6], 1970*) *Assume that  $G$  is a 2-colored complete graph containing no monochromatic induced  $C_4$  and  $C_5$ . Then  $V(G)$  can be partitioned into a red and a blue clique.*

Given a set of  $n$  pairwise intersecting 2-subtrees, one can represent their intersections by a 2-colored complete graph  $K_n$ . Then both colors determine *chordal graphs* i.e. graphs in which every cycle of length at least four has a chord. In particular, there is no monochromatic induced  $C_4$  or  $C_5$ . Applying Theorem 1, the vertices of  $K_n$  can be partitioned into a red and a blue clique (empty sets or one vertex is accepted as a clique) and by the Helly-property of subtrees we have a  $(1 - 1)$ -transversal for the 2-subtrees. Thus Theorem 1 implies the following.

**Corollary 1.** (*Gyárfás, Lehel [6], 1970*) *Pairwise intersecting 2-subtrees have a  $(1-1)$  transversal.*

Since 2-colorings of complete graphs with pure edges only can be considered as a graph and its complement, we get another consequence of Theorem 1.

**Corollary 2.** (*Földes, Hammer [5], 1977*) *Assume that a graph  $G$  does not contain  $C_4, 2K_2, C_5$  as an induced subgraph. Then  $G$  is a split graph, i.e. its vertices can be partitioned into a clique and an independent set.*

The seminal paper of Tardos [10] (1995) introduced topological methods, he proved that 2-intervals without  $k + 1$  pairwise disjoint members have  $(k - k)$ -transversals. Methods of Kaiser [7] (1997), Alon [2, 3] (1998, 2002), Matousek [9] (2001), Berger [4] (2005) brought many nice results and this list of references is very far from being complete. In this note we only consider the graph coloring approach. Very recently Theorem 1 was generalized as follows.

**Theorem 2.** (*Aharoni, Berger, Chudnovsky, Ziani [1], 2015*) *Assume that  $G$  is a 2-colored complete graph such that there is no monochromatic induced  $C_4$  and there is no red induced  $C_5$ . Then  $V(G)$  can be partitioned into a red and a blue clique.*

We show that the proof of Theorem 1 in [6] yields an even stronger result. Let  $K_5^*$  denote the 2-colored  $K_5$  where every edge is pure and both colors span a  $C_5$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Assume that  $G$  is a 2-colored complete graph such that there is no monochromatic induced  $C_4$  and there is no  $K_5^*$ . Then  $V(G)$  can be partitioned into a red and a blue clique.*

**Proof.** We prove by induction on  $|V(G)|$ , for  $1 \leq |V(G)| \leq 3$  the theorem is obvious. Fixing any  $p \in V(G)$ , by the inductive hypothesis we have  $V(G - p) = R \cup B$  where  $R$  and  $B$  are disjoint vertex sets spanning a red and a blue clique.

Set

$$R^* = \{r \in R : (p, r) \text{ is pure blue}\}, B^* = \{b \in B : (p, b) \text{ is pure red}\}.$$

Assume that among all choices of  $R, B$  satisfying  $V(G - p) = R \cup B$ ,  $|R^*| + |B^*|$  is as small as possible. We show that either  $R^*$  or  $B^*$  is empty, thus  $R$  or  $B$  can be extended with  $p$ , concluding the proof.

Suppose on the contrary that  $R^*, B^*$  are both nonempty. For any  $q \in B^*$  there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $(q, r)$  is pure blue, otherwise  $R_1 = R \cup \{q\}$  and  $B_1 = B \setminus \{q\}$  would be a red-blue clique partition of  $V(G - p)$  with  $|R_1| + |B_1| < |R^*| + |B^*|$ , contradicting the assumption. In fact, we may assume that  $r \in R^*$ , otherwise, with any  $s \in R^*$ , consider the four-cycle  $C = (p, q, s, r, p)$ . If  $(q, s)$  would be red then  $C$  is a red cycle with pure blue diagonals  $(q, r), (p, s)$ , contradiction. Thus  $(q, s)$  is pure blue and we can choose  $s \in R^*$  instead of  $r$ .

Applying the argument of the previous paragraph for any  $s \in R^*$ , there exists  $q \in B^*$  such that  $(s, q)$  is pure red. Thus there exists a shortest even cycle  $C = (s_1, q_1, s_2, \dots, q_m, s_1)$  in the bipartite graph  $[R^*, B^*]$  with edges alternating as pure red, pure blue, pure red... We claim that  $C$  is a four-cycle. Indeed, if  $m > 2$ , then from the minimality of  $m$ , all diagonals  $(s_i, q_j)$  must have both colors. In particular,  $(s_1, q_2), (s_3, q_1)$  both have red colors. Now if  $(q_1, q_2)$  is pure blue then the red four-cycle  $(s_1, q_1, p, q_2, s_1)$  has pure blue diagonals, otherwise the red four-cycle  $(q_1, q_2, s_2, s_3, q_1)$  has pure blue diagonals, contradicting the assumption that there is no induced monochromatic  $C_4$ . This proves the claim,  $C = (s_1, q_1, s_2, q_2, s_1)$ . Observe that  $(s_1, s_2)$  is pure red, otherwise  $(s_1, s_2, q_1, q_2, s_1)$  is a blue four-cycle with pure red diagonals and  $(q_1, q_2)$  is pure blue, otherwise  $(q_1, q_2, s_2, s_1, q_1)$  is a red four-cycle with pure blue diagonals, contradiction.

Therefore  $\{p, s_1, s_2, q_1, q_2\}$  spans a  $K_5^*$ , giving the final contradiction.  $\square$




Figure 1: Any three of the 2-bodies,  $A_i \cup B_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq 5$ , intersect, and they do not have a  $(1 - 1)$ -transversal

## 2 Red-blue clique partition of complete 3-uniform hypergraphs

Extending 2-intervals Kaiser and Rabinovich [10] defined a planar 2-body as a union of two closed convex sets of the plane separated by a fixed line, say the  $y$ -axis. They asked whether the assumption ‘any three 2-bodies intersect’ implies that they have a  $(1 - 1)$ -transversal.

Following Theorem 1, where the  $(1 - 1)$ -transversal is translated into properties that imply a red-blue clique cover of a two-colored clique, this problem can be stated in terms of red-blue colored 3-uniform complete hypergraphs. However, the obstructions for a red-blue clique cover of a hypergraph can be more complicated than those for graphs in Theorems 1, 2 and 3. In particular, as our next example shows, the answer is negative to the question above.

**Example 1.** We define six planar 2-bodies,  $A_i \cup B_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq 5$ , as follows. On each side of the  $y$ -axis we are given 5 triangles formed by consecutive triples of vertices of a fixed regular pentagon, and the inner pentagon bordered by its diagonals is the sixth convex set. On the  $A$ -side the (clockwise) consecutive triangles are labeled  $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5$ ; on the  $B$ -side the labeling of the consecutive triangles is  $B_1, B_3, B_5, B_2, B_4$ ; the inner pentagons are labeled  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  (see Fig.1).

The 2-bodies of the example define a natural 2-coloring of the edges of  $K_6^{(3)}$ , the complete 3-uniform hypergraph on vertex set  $V = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 5\}$ : if a triple of convex

sets has non-empty intersection on the  $A$ -side (on the  $B$ -side), then the corresponding edge of the hypergraph is colored red (blue). It is easy to check that no four convex sets intersect on either side, furthermore, the 10 vertices and the 10 intersection points of diagonals are the intersections of the triples of the six 2-bodies. The red edges are the triples  $\{(i, i + 1, i + 2) : 1 \leq i \leq 5\}$  (counting modulo 5) and their complements (with respect to  $V$ ); the blue edges are the triples  $\{(i, i + 1, i + 3) : 1 \leq i \leq 5\}$  and their complements. Thus  $V$  is covered by two triples of the same color, but it cannot be covered by a red and a blue triple.

Example 1 shows that the assumption ‘any three 2-bodies intersect’ does not imply that the 2-bodies have a  $(1 - 1)$ -transversal. However, Kaiser and Rabinovich [8] proved it from the condition that ‘any four 2-bodies intersect’.

**Theorem 4.** (*Kaiser, Rabinovich [8], 1999*) Assume that  $S$  is a set of planar 2-bodies such that any four members of  $S$  have nonempty intersection. Then  $S$  has a  $(1 - 1)$ -transversal.

## References

- [1] R. Aharoni, E. Berger, M. Chudnovsky, J. Ziani, Cliques in the union of graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory B* **114** (2015) 170-186.
- [2] N. Alon, Piercing  $d$ -intervals, *Discrete and Comput. Geometry* **19** (1998), 333-334.
- [3] N. Alon, Covering a hypergraph of subgraphs, *Discrete Mathematics* **257** (2002), 249-254.
- [4] E. Berger, KKM - A topological approach for trees, *Combinatorica* **25** (2005), 1-18.
- [5] S. Földes, P. Hammer, Split graphs, *Proceedings of 8th Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing* (F. Hoffman *et al.*, eds. 311-315
- [6] A. Gyárfás, J. Lehel, A Helly-type problem in trees, in: P. Erdős, A. Rényi, V. T. Sós (Eds.), *Combinatorial Theory and its Applications*, Balatonfűrdő (Hungary), 1969. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970, 571-584
- [7] T. Kaiser, Transversals of  $d$ -intervals, *Discrete and Computational Geometry* **18** (1997), 195-203.

- [8] T. Kaiser, Y. Rabinovich, Intersection Properties of Families of convex  $(n,d)$ -Bodies, *Discrete Comp. Geometry* **21**, (1999), 275-287.
- [9] J. Matousek, Lower Bounds on the Transversal Numbers of  $d$ -intervals, *Discrete Comp. Geometry* **26** (2001), 283-287.
- [10] G. Tardos, Transversals of 2-intervals, a Topological Approach, *Combinatorica* **15** (1995), 123-134.