

# Rainbow matchings in bipartite multigraphs

János Barát

MTA-ELTE Geometric and Algebraic Combinatorics Research Group  
barat@cs.elte.hu

András Gyárfás\*

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics  
Hungarian Academy of Sciences  
Budapest, P.O. Box 127  
Budapest, Hungary, H-1364  
gyarfas.andras@renyi.mta.hu

Gábor N. Sárközy†

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics  
Hungarian Academy of Sciences  
Budapest, P.O. Box 127  
Budapest, Hungary, H-1364  
sarkozy.gabor@renyi.mta.hu

and

Computer Science Department  
Worcester Polytechnic Institute  
Worcester, MA, USA 01609  
gsarkozy@cs.wpi.edu

January 11, 2016

## Abstract

Suppose that  $k$  is a non-negative integer and a bipartite multigraph  $G$  is the union of

$$N = \left\lfloor \frac{k+2}{k+1} n \right\rfloor - (k+1)$$

matchings  $M_1, \dots, M_N$ , each of size  $n$ . We show that  $G$  has a rainbow matching of size  $n - k$ , i.e. a matching of size  $n - k$  with all edges coming from different  $M_i$ 's. Several choices of the parameter  $k$  relate to known results and conjectures.

Suppose that a multigraph  $G$  is given with a proper  $N$ -edge coloring, i.e. the edge set of  $G$  is the union of  $N$  matchings  $M_1, \dots, M_N$ . A *rainbow matching* is a matching whose edges are from different  $M_i$ 's.

A well-known conjecture of Ryser [10] states that for odd  $n$  every 1-factorization of  $K_{n,n}$  has a rainbow matching of size  $n$ . The companion conjecture, attributed to Brualdi [4] and Stein [12] states that for every  $n$ , every 1-factorization of  $K_{n,n}$  has a rainbow matching of size at least  $n - 1$ . These conjectures are known to be true in an asymptotic sense, i.e. every 1-factorization of  $K_{n,n}$  has a rainbow matching containing  $n - o(n)$  edges. For the  $o(n)$  term, Woolbright [13] and independently Brouwer et al. [5] proved  $\sqrt{n}$ . Shor [11] improved this to  $5.518(\log n)^2$ , an error was corrected in [8].

---

\*Research was supported in part by OTKA K104343.

†Research was supported in part by OTKA K104343.

There are several results for the case when  $K_{n,n}$  is replaced by an arbitrary bipartite multigraph. The following conjecture of Aharoni et al. [3] strengthens the Brualdi-Stein conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.** *If a bipartite multigraph  $G$  is the union of  $n$  matchings of size  $n$ , then  $G$  contains a rainbow matching of size  $n - 1$ .*

As a relaxation, Kotlar and Ziv [9] noticed that the union of  $n$  matchings of size  $\frac{3}{2}n$  contains a rainbow matching of size  $n - 1$ . Conjecture 1 would follow from another one posed by Aharoni and Berger:

**Conjecture 2.** *If a bipartite multigraph  $G$  is the union of  $n$  matchings of size  $n + 1$ , then  $G$  contains a rainbow matching of size  $n$ .*

Recently, there has been gradual progress on this question. Aharoni et al. proved that matchings of size  $\frac{7}{4}n$  suffice [3]. Kotlar and Ziv [9] improved it to  $\frac{5}{3}n$  and Clemens and Ehrenmüller to  $(\frac{3}{2} + \varepsilon)n$ .

One needs a lot more matchings of size  $n$  to guarantee a rainbow matching of size  $n$ . Aharoni and Berger [2] and (in a slightly weaker form) Drisko [7] proved the following.

**Theorem 1.** *If a bipartite multigraph  $G$  is the union of  $2n - 1$  matchings of size  $n$ , then  $G$  contains a rainbow matching of size  $n$ .*

The (unique) factorization of a cycle on  $2n$  vertices with edges of multiplicity  $n - 1$  shows that in the statement  $2n - 1$  cannot be replaced by  $2n - 2$  (see [7]). We merge Conjecture 1 and Theorem 1 into a unified context and ask the following. (We note that this question was also raised independently in [6].)

**Question 1.** *For integers  $0 \leq k < n$ , what is the smallest  $N = N(n, k)$  such that any bipartite multigraph  $G$  that is the union of  $N$  matchings of size  $n$ , contains a rainbow matching of size  $n - k$ ?*

Conjecture 1 claims that  $N(n, 1) = n$  and Theorem 1 states that  $N(n, 0) = 2n - 1$ . In this note we give the following upper bound on  $N(n, k)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *For  $0 \leq k < n$ ,  $N(n, k) \leq \lfloor \frac{k+2}{k+1}n \rfloor - (k + 1)$ .*

In the range  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \leq k < n$  Theorem 2 gives  $N(n, k) \leq n - k$  which is obviously best possible, therefore  $N(n, k) = n - k$ . When  $k = 0$  it gives  $N(n, 0) \leq 2n - 1$ , the bound of Theorem 1, so this is best possible as well. The case  $k = 1$  gives a result towards Conjecture 1: if a bipartite multigraph is the union of  $\lfloor \frac{3}{2}n \rfloor - 2$  matchings of size  $n$ , then there is a rainbow matching of size  $n - 1$ . As far as we know this is the best result in this direction. If  $N = \lfloor (1 + \epsilon)n \rfloor$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , we get a partial rainbow matching of size  $n - c$  where  $c$  is a constant depending on  $\epsilon$  ( $c = \lfloor 1/\epsilon \rfloor$ ), this goes beyond the best error term known for Ryser's conjecture ([8]), but the price is the increment in the number of colors. Also, when  $k = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$ , Theorem 2 extends (from factorizations of  $K_{n,n}$  to colorings of bipartite multigraphs) Woolbright's result [13], namely that a factorization of  $K_{n,n}$  contains a rainbow matching of size at least  $n - \sqrt{n}$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2.** We use Woolbright's argument [13]. Set  $N = \lfloor \frac{k+2}{k+1}n \rfloor - (k+1)$ . Let the edge set of a bipartite multigraph  $G = [A, B]$  be the union of matchings  $M_1, \dots, M_N$  each of size  $n$  and let  $R_1$  be a maximum rainbow matching of  $G$  with  $t$  edges. Suppose to the contrary that  $t \leq n - k - 1$ .

We assume the edges of  $M_1, \dots, M_{N-t}$  are not used in  $R_1$ . For any subset  $S \subset B$ , define

$$f(S) = \{v \in A : (v, w) \in R_1 \text{ for some } w \in S\}.$$

Set  $B_0 = B \setminus V(R_1)$ ,  $A_0 = A \setminus V(R_1)$ . For every  $j \in \{1, \dots, N - t\}$  a matching  $F_j \subset M_j$  of size  $j(n - t)$  will be defined with the following property.

- Property 1:  $V(F_j) \cap B_0 = \emptyset$ .

Let  $F_1 \subset M_1$  be a matching of size  $n - t$  such that  $V(F_1) \cap A \subseteq A_0$ , since  $|M_1| - |R_1| = n - t$ , such  $F_1$  exists. Set  $B_1 = V(F_1) \cap B$ . Since  $R_1$  is a maximum rainbow matching,  $V(F_1) \cap B_0 = \emptyset$ , so Property 1 holds and  $|F_1| = 1 \times (n - t)$ . Set  $A_1 = f(B_1)$ .

Suppose that for some  $i \geq 1$  the matchings  $F_i, R_i$  and the pairwise disjoint  $(n - t)$ -element sets  $A_1, \dots, A_i, B_1, \dots, B_i$  have already been defined, where  $|F_i| = i(n - t)$ . Define the rainbow matching  $R_{i+1}$  by removing from  $R_i$  the edges that go from  $B_i$  to  $A_i$ .

To define  $F_{i+1} \subset M_{i+1}$ , take  $(i + 1)(n - t)$  edges of  $M_{i+1}$  incident to  $A \setminus V(R_{i+1})$ . There exist sufficiently many edges in  $M_{i+1}$  since

$$|M_{i+1}| - |R_{i+1}| = n - (t - \sum_{j=1}^i |B_j|) = (i + 1)(n - t).$$

We show that Property 1 is maintained. Suppose to the contrary that we find  $(a_0, b_0) \in F_{i+1}$ ,  $a_0 \in A_j$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq i$ ,  $b_0 \in B_0$  (clearly  $j \neq 0$ ). Then  $b_1 = f^{-1}(a_0) \in B_j$ , and there exists an  $a_1$  such that  $(a_1, b_1) \in F_j$  and this generates an alternating path

$$Q = (b_0, a_0), (a_0, f^{-1}(a_0)), (f^{-1}(a_0), a_1), (a_1, f^{-1}(a_1)), (f^{-1}(a_1), a_2), \dots$$

ending in  $A_0$  allowing us to replace all edges of  $R_1 \cap E(Q)$  by edges in different  $F_j$ s ( $j \leq i + 1$ ) contradicting the choice of  $t$ . Note that  $Q$  is a simple path, since with some  $j > j_1 > \dots > j_k > 0$ , its edges go between the disjoint sets

$$(B_0, A_j), (A_j, B_j), (B_j, A_{j_1}), (A_{j_1}, B_{j_1}), (B_{j_1}, A_{j_2}), \dots, (A_{j_k}, B_{j_k}), (B_{j_k}, A_0).$$

Now  $F_{i+1}$  is defined and by Property 1

$$|V(F_{i+1}) \cap (B \setminus (\cup_{k=0}^i B_k))| \geq n - t,$$

therefore we can define  $B_{i+1}$  as an  $(n - t)$ -element subset of  $V(F_{i+1}) \cap (B \setminus (\cup_{k=0}^i B_k))$ . Finally, set  $A_{i+1} = f(B_{i+1})$ .

Since  $V(F_{N-t}) \cap B \subseteq B \setminus B_0$ , we get

$$(N - t)(n - t) \leq t.$$

Dividing by  $n - t$  (using  $t \leq n - k - 1 < n$ ) this can be rewritten as

$$N - t \leq \frac{t}{n - t} = \frac{n - n + t}{n - t} = \frac{n}{n - t} - 1$$

or

$$N \leq \frac{n}{n-t} + t - 1.$$

Using this, the definition of  $N$  and  $t \leq n - k - 1$ , we get

$$\left\lfloor \frac{k+2}{k+1}n \right\rfloor - (k+1) = N \leq \frac{n}{n-t} + t - 1 \leq \frac{n}{k+1} + n - k - 1 - 1$$

and this leads to

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{k+1} \right\rfloor \leq \frac{n}{k+1} - 1,$$

a contradiction, finishing the proof.  $\square$

**Remark.** A natural variant of Question 1 is to allow arbitrary multigraphs (instead of bipartite ones). Denote the corresponding function by  $N'(n, k)$ . For  $k = 0$  we have an example showing  $N'(n, 0) > 2n - 1$  and recently Aharoni informed us [1] that they proved  $N'(n, 0) \leq 3n - 2$ . Indeed, our example is the following. Let the vertices be denoted as  $1, 2, \dots, 4k$ , where  $2n = 4k$ . Let  $M_1 = \dots = M_{n-1} = \{12, 34, \dots, (2n-1)2n\}$ ,  $M_n = \dots = M_{2n-2} = \{23, 45, \dots, (2n)1\}$  and  $M_{2n-1} = \{13, 24, 57, 68, \dots, (2n-3)(2n-1), (2n-2)2n\}$ . As it was remarked before, there is no full rainbow matching without using an edge of  $M_{2n-1}$ . We may assume that we use the edge 24. Now any edge of  $M_i$  that covers the vertex 3, where  $1 \leq i \leq 2n - 2$ , uses either vertex 2 or 4. Therefore, there is no full rainbow matching.

## References

- [1] R. Aharoni, personal communication.
- [2] R. Aharoni, E. Berger, Rainbow matchings in  $r$ -partite  $r$ -graphs. *Electron. J. Combin.* **16** (2009), no. 1, Research Paper 119, 9 pp.
- [3] R. Aharoni, P. Charbit and D. Howard. On a generalization of the Ryser-Brualdi-Stein conjecture, *J Graph Theory* **78** Issue 2 (2015), 143–156.
- [4] R.A. Brualdi and H.J. Ryser, *Combinatorial Matrix theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1991.
- [5] A.E. Brouwer, A.J. de Vries, R.M.A. Wieringa, A lower bound for the length of partial transversals in a Latin square, *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* (3) **24** (1978), 330–332.
- [6] D. Clemens, J. Ehrenmüller, An improved bound on the sizes of matchings guaranteeing a rainbow matching, arXiv:1503.00438.
- [7] A.A. Drisko, Transversals in row-latin rectangles, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **84** (1998), 181–195.
- [8] P.Hatami and P.W. Shor, A lower bound for the length of a partial transversal in a Latin square, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **115** (2008), 1103–1113.
- [9] D. Kotlar, R. Ziv, Large matchings in bipartite graphs have a rainbow matching. *European J. Combin.* **38** (2014), 97–101.

- [10] H.J. Ryser, Neuere probleme der kombinatorik, *Vorträge über Kombinatorik Oberwolfach*, Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, July 1967.
- [11] P.W. Shor, A lower bound for the length of a partial transversal in a Latin square, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **33** (1982), 1–8.
- [12] S.K. Stein. Transversals of latin squares and their generalizations. *Pacific J. Math.* **59** (1975), 567–575.
- [13] D.E. Woolbright, An  $n \times n$  Latin square has a transversal with at least  $n - \sqrt{n}$  distinct symbols, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **24** (1978), 235–237.