

Papp M Presepsin in cirrhosis 1

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 28276

Manuscript Type: Original Article

Retrospective Study

Presepsin teardown – pitfalls of biomarkers in the diagnosis and prognosis of bacterial infection in cirrhosis

Papp M *et al.* Presepsin in cirrhosis

Maria Papp[#], Tamas Tornai[#], Zsuzsanna Vitalis, Istvan Tornai, David Tornai, Tamas Dinya, Andrea Sumegi, Peter Antal-Szalmás

Maria Papp, Tamas Tornai, Zsuzsanna Vitalis, Istvan Tornai, Maria Papp
Institute of Internal Medicine, Department of Gastroenterology, University of Debrecen, Faculty of Medicine, Debrecen, Hungary, H-4032 Debrecen, Hungary

David Tornai, Peter Antal Szalmás Department of Laboratory Medicine, University of Debrecen, Faculty of Medicine Debrecen, Hungary, H-4032 Debrecen, Hungary

Tamas Dinya Institute of Surgery, University of Debrecen, Faculty of Medicine, Debrecen, Hungary, H-4032 Debrecen, Hungary

Andrea Sumegi Vascular Biology, Thrombosis and Haemostasis Research Group, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Debrecen, Hungary, H-4032 Debrecen, Hungary

Author contributions: Papp M, Tornai I, Antal-Szalmás P designed the study; Tornai T, Tornai D, Vitalis Zs, Dinya T, Sumegi A performed research; Papp M, Tornai T and Antal-Szalmás P analyzed data; Papp M, Tornai T and Antal-Szalmás P wrote the manuscript.

#These authors contributed equally to the work and both should be considered as first authors.

Supported by János Bólyai Research Scholarship of Hungarian Academy of Sciences (BO/00426/11) and by the Internal Research Grant (RH/885/2013) of the University of Debrecen and Research Grant of National Research Development and Innovation Office (K115818/2015/1).

Ethics approval: The study was reviewed and approved by the Hungarian National Review Board and the Institutional Review Board of the University of Debrecen.

Informed consent: All study participants, or their legal guardian, provided informed written consent prior to study enrollment.

Conflict-of-interest: Not declared.

Data sharing: No additional data are available.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Correspondence to: Maria Papp, M.D., Ph.D., Institute of Internal Medicine, Department of Gastroenterology, University of Debrecen, Faculty of Medicine, Nagyerdei krt. 98, H-4032 Debrecen, Hungary, Phone/Fax: 36-52-255-152, e-mail: papp.maria@med.unideb.hu

Received:

Peer-review started:

First decision:

Revised:

Accepted:

Article in press:

Published online:

Abstract

AIM: Bacterial infections are frequent complications in cirrhosis with significant mortality. Early laboratory diagnosis is essential but challenging. We aimed to evaluate the diagnostic and prognostic value of presepsin in cirrhosis associated bacterial infections.

METHODS: 216 patients with cirrhosis were enrolled. At admission, presence of bacterial infections and level of plasma presepsin, serum CRP and PCT were evaluated. Patients were followed for three months to assess the possible association between presepsin level and short-term mortality.

RESULTS: 34.7% of patients had bacterial infection. Presepsin levels were significantly higher in patients with infection than without (median, 1002 vs. 477 pg/mL, $p < 0.001$), increasing with the severity of infection (organ failure[OF]_{Yes} vs. No: 2358 vs. 710 pg/mL, $p < 0.001$). Diagnostic accuracy of presepsin for severe infections was similar to PCT and superior to CRP (AUC-ROC: 0.85, 0.85 and 0.66, respectively, $p = \text{NS}$ for presepsin vs. PCT and $p < 0.01$ for presepsin vs. CRP). At the optimal cut-off value of presepsin > 1206 pg/mL sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV were as follows: 87.5%, 74.5%, 61.8% and 92.7%. The accuracy of presepsin, however, decreased in advanced stage of the disease or in the presence of renal failure, most probably because of the significantly elevated presepsin levels in non-infected patients. 28-day mortality rate was higher among patients with > 1277 pg/mL compared to those with ≤ 1277 pg/mL (46.9% vs. 11.6%, $p < 0.001$). In a binary logistic regression analysis, however, only PCT [OR: 1.81, (95%CI: 1.09–3.01), $p = 0.022$] but neither presepsin and nor CRP were independent risk factor for 28-day mortality after adjusting with MELD score and leukocyte count.

CONCLUSION: Presepsin is a valuable new biomarker for defining severe infections in cirrhosis proving same efficacy as PCT. However, it is not a useful marker of short-term mortality.

Keywords: presepsin; cirrhosis; bacterial infection; organ failure; mortality

© **The Author(s) 2016.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: C-reactive protein (CRP) and procalcitonin (PCT) are broadly used in clinical practice to aid early diagnosis of bacterial infections, but they have limitations in cirrhosis. Additional biomarkers with enhanced accuracy are highly needed. Presepsin is a novel biomarker of infection and sepsis, but has not been assessed in cirrhosis so far. In the present study we evaluated the diagnostic and prognostic performance of presepsin in cirrhosis-associated infections in comparison with classic acute phase proteins. Presepsin measurement enhanced diagnostic capacity of CRP and reflected the severity of infections more accurately, with a similar efficacy as PCT. Advanced diseases stage and renal failure limited the diagnostic accuracy. The increase in PCT level but not in presepsin concentration was an independent predictor of short-term mortality during infectious episodes.

List of abbreviations:

ACLF: acute-on-chronic liver failure, AD: acute decompensation, AKI: acute kidney injury, APP: acute phase protein, AUC: area under the curve, BT: bacterial translocation, CLEIA: chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay, CPs: Child-Pugh score, CRP: C-reactive protein, HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma, ICU: intensive care unit, IQR: interquartile range, MELD: model for end-stage liver disease, MW: molecular weight, NPV: negative predictive values, N.S.: non-significant, PCT: procalcitonin, PPV: positive predictive values, MR-proADM: mid-regional pro-adrenomedullin, ROC: receiver operating characteristic, SBP: spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, sCD14-ST: presepsin (soluble CD14 subtype), SD: standard deviation, SIRS: systemic inflammatory response, SuPAR: soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor, UTI: urinary tract infection

INTRODUCTION

Infectious episodes represent particularly important causes of progression of liver failure and the development of liver-related complications^[1]. Due to altered sensitivity, the end-organ damaging effect of bacterial infection is greater in cirrhosis and often culminates in newly developed liver and/or extrahepatic organ failures, which is associated with a very high short-term mortality rate^[2,3].

Early recognition of bacterial infections is essential, however, in the clinical practice their accurate identification is challenging from both the clinical^[4] and the laboratory part^[5]. In cirrhosis, usual clinical presentations lack up to 50% of the bacterial infections and are replaced by non-specific complaints or just revealed by organ dysfunctions. Due to some disease specific characteristics, there is an evident lack of sensitivity and specificity of the conventional laboratory and clinical parameters for the definition of systemic inflammatory response (SIRS)^[6,7], which makes it difficult to diagnose sepsis.

Currently C-reactive protein (CRP) and procalcitonin (PCT) are broadly used in the clinical practice to aid the early diagnosis of bacterial infection ^[8]. In cirrhosis, these conventional markers, however, perform somewhat differently in comparison with the non-cirrhotic patient populations for various reasons. For the first, if the main source of the molecule is the liver, like in the case of CRP, synthesis of the molecule is affected by liver failure and its severity. As a result the diagnostic accuracy of liver synthesised acute phase proteins (APPs) decreases in advanced stage of cirrhosis^[9]. Moreover, peak levels can be misleading and do not indicate the severity of the infection adequately, since the more severe the underlying liver dysfunction, the lower the CRP response to bacteraemia is^[10]. Secondly, elimination of certain molecules can be affected by renal failure and also renal replacement therapy. Acute kidney injury (AKI) is frequent in patients with cirrhosis, especially in bacterial infections^[11]. While CRP has a high molecular weight (MW) (115-kDa) and its renal clearance is negligible^[12,13], PCT is small with a MW of 13 kDa and renal elimination is thought to be one of the major

pathways for its elimination^[14]. Accordingly, false or inappropriate increase of the PCT level was reported in end-stage renal disease patients due to the prolonged elimination rate^[15,16]. Similarly, artificial reduction of the PCT level was also found after renal replacement therapy (HCO-CVVHDF). Proteins with MW<60 kDa is filtered by the dialysis membrane^[17]. Thirdly, inflammatory state sustained by bacterial translocation (BT) and without overt infection is sufficient alone to elevate inflammatory markers to a significant level^[5,9]. Bacterial translocation is an increasing problem with diseases severity^[18].

Accordingly, data are not homogeneous about the optimal cut-off for either of CRP and PCT to differentiate patients with infection from those without^[19-23]. Probably using a single threshold is not appropriate. Additional biomarkers are highly needed to optimize the rule in and rule out processes necessary for the diagnosis and also for the severity assessment of the infectious episodes in cirrhosis.

Presepsin (soluble CD14 subtype, sCD14-ST) is a 13-kDa-cleavage product of CD14 receptor that recognizes different cell surface structure of both Gram-negative and positive bacteria. Presepsin in the circulation can be perceived as a witness of activated monocyte-macrophage in response to pathogens^[24]. Several recent clinical studies have shown that presepsin is a specific and sensitive novel marker for the diagnosis of sepsis^[25], for evaluating the severity of sepsis and for predicting the outcome^[26,27]. Beyond sepsis, presepsin is worthy of studying in those clinical settings, where systemic infections are frequently associated with severe diseases course such in cirrhosis (acute decompensation [AD], organ failure). Contributive role of presepsin for the diagnosis and prognosis of cirrhosis associated bacterial infection has not been assessed extensively so far.

In the present study, we aimed to assess (1) performance of presepsin in the diagnosis of cirrhosis associated bacterial infections in comparison with routinely used APPs such as CRP and PCT, (2) whether presepsin is devoid of the limitations of classic APPs related to cirrhosis, and (3) whether presepsin is able to provide prognostic information during infectious episodes in

cirrhosis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Patient population

Two hundred and sixteen, well-characterized patients with cirrhosis (male/female: 118/99, age: 57.5 ± 10.3 years, disease duration: 3.9 ± 4.2 years) were included consecutively from our in- and outpatient Gastroenterology Department between May 2010 and April 2011. The diagnosis of cirrhosis was considered either histologically proven or considered obvious by clinical, biochemical and morphological criteria^[28].

Data collection

The clinical and laboratory characteristics of the patients are presented in **Table 1**. Clinical data were recorded at enrolment. These concerned demographics, co-morbidities (including cardio- and cerebrovascular, respiratory, renal disorders, diabetes and extrahepatic cancers), etiology of cirrhosis, history and severity of liver disease, presence of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), reason for AD, clinical status of patients, and also presence, type and location of bacterial infection. Severity of the cirrhosis was graded according to liver-oriented scores (Child-Pugh score [CPs] and the model for end-stage liver disease [MELD])^[29]. Episodes of AD were defined by acute development of large ascites, hepatic encephalopathy, gastrointestinal hemorrhage, bacterial infection or any combination of these warranting hospital admission^[3]. The enrolled patients were followed for 90 days or until death. Presence and grade of organ system failure(s) [OF] were determined retrospectively based on the available clinical and laboratory data after accessibility of CLIF-C Organ Failure Score^[30].

The diagnosis of bacterial infection was established by assessment of clinical symptoms and laboratory reports, including microbiological culture results (if available), compatible findings of imaging techniques, and the effect of antibiotic treatment by two independent gastroenterologists (M.P. and Zs.V.). Following bacterial infections were considered and diagnosed on the

basis of conventional criteria: 1. skin and soft tissue infections^[31]; 2. lower respiratory tract infections (acute bronchitis, pneumonia)^[32]; 3. urinary tract infections (UTI) (cystitis, pyelonephritis)^[33]; 4. some rare causes of infections, such as biliary tract infections (cholecystitis, cholangitis, liver abscess), osteomyelitis, and endocarditis; 5. spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP), diagnosis of which was based on ascitic fluid polymorphonuclear cell (PMN) count exceeding 250/mm³ and/or positive culture if secondary causes of peritonitis were excluded (EASL guidelines^[34]); 6. bacterial infection of unknown origin defined when clinical symptoms and signs of infection were present and confirmed by microbiological demonstration of the causative organism from blood culture in the absence of site-specific infection. Bacterial infection was considered severe when the infectious episode was complicated by OF.

Measurements of presepsin and other laboratory parameters

Venous blood samples were captured at enrolment. Routine laboratory data, such as liver biochemistry, renal function, blood count and serum CRP and PCT levels were determined directly at the Department of Laboratory Medicine. Methods for qualitative assessment of serum CRP and PCT levels were reported previously^[9].

For presepsin measurements, blood samples were immediately centrifuged at 3000g for 10 minutes, and plasma was stored at -70 °C until use. Presepsin levels were measured by means of a PATHFAST® presepsin analyzer (Mitsubishi Chemical Medience Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) which is based on chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay, with a detection limit of 20 pg/mL.

Outcome

For outcome assessment, a follow-up examination was set up at the 28th day after enrolment in the study. Patients who survived until follow-up were counted as survivors, whereas patients who died within the follow-up period were counted as non-survivors.

Statistical analysis

Variables were tested for normality using Shapiro Wilk's W test. Continuous variables were summarized as means [standard deviation (SD)] or as medians [interquartile range (IQR)] according to their homogeneity. Categorical variables were compared with the χ^2 -test or χ^2 -test with Yates correction as appropriate. Continuous variables were compared with the Mann-Whitney U test or Student's t test. Relationship between continuous variables was assessed with the non-parametric Spearman correlation. Diagnostic accuracy of presepsin and other APPs for defining various study-endpoints: (1) presence of bacterial infection (2) presence of severe infection, and (3) short-term mortality was estimated using receiver operating curve (ROC) analysis by plotting sensitivity vs. 1-specificity. Area under the curve (AUC-ROC) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated. ROC curves were compared with the method of *DeLong et al.* in Medcalc^[35]. Youden index was chosen, calculated as the maximum (sensitivity+specificity-1) value, to estimate the best discriminate thresholds. Sensitivities, specificities, positive predictive values (PPV) and negative predictive values (NPV) were calculated to determine the predictive power of individual APPs or their combinations in all the three clinical settings. Binary logistic regression was used to assess the relationship between APPs and short-term mortality adjusted for the MELD score and WBC count. For the analysis APPs were \log_e -transformed to ensure normal distribution. Associations are given as odds ratios (OR) or likelihood ratios (LR) with a 95% CI. A 2-sided probability value <0.05 was considered to be significant. For statistical analysis and graphical presentation SPSS 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, US) and GraphPad Prism 7 (San Diego, CA, US) were used.

The statistical methods of this study were reviewed by Professor Elek Dinya, PhD, DSc, Semmelweis University, Institute of Health Informatics, Budapest, Hungary.

Review of the literature

We performed a systematic review of studies reporting on CRP and PCT in

prognosis of cirrhosis. Papers were eligible if they presented original research in adult patients with cirrhosis and reported association of CRP and/ or PCT to the disease outcome either in patients with or without bacterial infection. Studies had to have been published in peer-reviewed journals. We started searching PubMed using the following search terms: ["C-reactive protein" OR "procalcitonin"] AND "liver cirrhosis". Limits were human and time ranging from 1991 until 2016 (1st June). Only article reported short or long-term outcome in cirrhosis were included. This search revealed 20 articles. In **Table 6** we summarize the clinical significance of CRP and PCT in the prediction of disease course in cirrhosis based on findings in relevant literature.

Ethical permission

All patients were informed of the nature of the study and signed an informed consent form. The regional and national committee (DEOEC RKEB/IKEB 5306-9/ 2011, 3885/2012/EKU [60/PI/2012]) for research ethics approved the study protocol.

RESULTS

Study population

Two hundred and sixteen patients with cirrhosis were enrolled in this cohort. The main characteristics of patients with or without infection are summarized in **Table 1**. There were 118 men with a mean age of 57.6 ± 10.3 years. The median Child-Pugh and MELD score were 7 (95%CI: 6-9) and 13 (95%CI: 10-17), respectively. The main baseline characteristics were as follows: alcoholic liver disease in 159 patients (73.6%), HCC in 15 patients (6.9%) and renal impairment in 33 (15.3%) based on creatinine cut-off values ≥ 133 $\mu\text{mol/L}$. One-hundred and seventeen patients (54.2%) had extrahepatic co-morbidities. Acute decompensation of the disease warranting hospital admission occurred in a total of 101 patients (46.8%) of whom 27 (26.7%) had at least one OF.

Documented bacterial infection was present in 75 (34.7%) patients of whom 9 (12.0%) suffered from multifocal episode. Bacteria were Gram-

negative in 52.6% and Gram-positive in 47.4% of culture-positive cases. No cases of invasive fungal infections were detected. The distribution of infections is shown in **Table 1**. The infected and the non-infected patient groups did not differ in gender, age, and presence of comorbidities. However, patients with infections had more advanced disease stage, as indicated by median values of Child-Pugh and MELD score and presence of ascites. Renal impairment (29.3% vs. 7.8%, $p < 0.001$) and occurrence of HCC (14.7% vs. 2.8%, $p = 0.003$) were more frequent in patients with infection as compared to those without as well. The occurrence of AD episodes and the development of OF were more common in the presence of infections (AD_{INF vs. NON-INF}: 85.3% vs. 26.2%, $p < 0.001$ and OF_{INF vs. NON-INF}: 37.5% vs. 8.1%, $p = 0.001$).

Association between presepsin levels and bacterial infections

Presepsin values ranged from 142 to 5950 pg/mL (median [IQR], 576 pg/mL [376–972]) and were significantly higher in patients with infection as compared to those without (1002 pg/mL [575–2149] vs. 477 [332–680] pg/mL, $p < 0.001$) (**Figure 1**). This association was also confirmed in the different disease severity subgroups according to Child-Pugh stage (**Figure 2A**) or the presence of ascites (**Figure 2B**). In the subgroup of patients with renal failure, presepsin levels were also different numerically between infected and non-infected patient groups however it did not reach statistical significance ($p = 0.08$) (**Figure 2C**). Further evaluating non-infected patients, a significant increase was observed in presepsin levels in case of more advanced disease stage and also in the presence of renal failure ($p < 0.001$ for both).

Presepsin level was positively correlated with classic markers of bacterial infections, such as CRP, PCT, and different WBC parameters, but also with renal and liver function tests (**Table 2**) and accordingly with liver-oriented scores (CPs and MELD).

Considering the type of infectious episodes, presepsin level was not different according to the location or Gram specificity of the infection (data not shown). Patients with multifocal infections (10.6%) showed numerically higher presepsin levels than those with unifocal ones without reaching

statistically significance (2470 pg/mL [729-2671] vs. 983 pg/mL [560-1774], $p=0.065$). Nonetheless, presepsin level was associated with the severity of the infection. Twenty-four infections (32%) were complicated with at least one OF. Presepsin level was significantly higher in patients with OF as compared to those without (2358 pg/mL [1398-3666] vs. 710 pg/mL [533-1277], $p<0.001$) (**Figure 1**).

Accuracy of presepsin level in the diagnosis of bacterial infections compared to classic acute phase proteins

The diagnostic accuracy of presepsin for identifying patients with infection was established by ROC analysis and compared to CRP and PCT. Presepsin was similar predictor of bacterial infection in overall (AUC-ROC, [95%CI]: 0.79 [0.73-0.84]) compared to PCT (0.77 [0.71-0.83], $p=0.668$) but somewhat lower than CRP (0.86 [0.80-0.90], $p=0.057$) (**Figure 3A**). Combination of CRP with presepsin, however, increased the sensitivity and NPV, compared with CRP on its own, by 9 % and 4 % respectively. A similar trend was found with the combination of CRP and PCT (**Table 3**). On the contrary, the diagnostic accuracy of presepsin (AUC-ROC [95%CI]: 0.85 [0.74-0.92]) for identifying patients with infection complicated by OF was similar to PCT (0.85 [0.74-0.92]) and clearly superior to CRP (0.66 [0.54-0.77]; $p=0.994$ for presepsin vs. PCT, and $p<0.01$ for both presepsin vs. CRP and PCT vs. CRP) (**Figure 3B**). The optimum diagnostic thresholds for each individual biomarker based on ROC analysis and their performances belonging to the cut-off points are shown in **Table 3**.

Diagnostic accuracy of presepsin level according to the disease severity

Diagnostic accuracy of presepsin for identifying patients with infection decreased in advanced stage of the disease and also in the presence of renal failure. Specificity and LR values of presepsin >844 pg/mL were obviously lower in patients with Child B or C stage cirrhosis (74%; LR+: 2.28, LR-: 0.56) compared with those with Child A (96%; LR+: 15.6, LR-: 0.31), whereas the difference in sensitivity was somewhat less (70% vs. 58%). A similar trend

was found when the performance of presepsin >844 pg/mL was evaluated in patients with or without renal failure (specificity: 46% vs. 87%; sensitivity: 86% vs. 49%; LR+: 1.58 vs. 3.86, LR-: 0.30 vs. 0.58, respectively).

Association of presepsin level with short-term mortality during infectious episodes

Seventy-five patients with bacterial infection were eligible for evaluation of short-term mortality. Twenty-three patients (31.5%) died within 3 months of follow-up. Of these, 20 patients (27.4%) died within the first 28 days. Plasma presepsin levels at admission were significantly higher in non-survivors than in survivors at the 28-day follow-up (2323 [1172-3688] vs. 852 [549-1451] pg/mL, $p < 0.001$). Discriminative ability (AUC-ROC) of presepsin was 0.76 with the best cut-off value of 1277 pg/mL. 28-day mortality rate was significantly higher among patients with presepsin level above this threshold (46.9% vs. 11.6%, $p < 0.001$). The optimum cut-off values and the belonging sensitivities, specificities, PPVs and NPVs of all three APPs for identifying non-survivors are summarized in **Table 4**.

In the univariate logistic regression analysis, increased presepsin level was found to be a risk factor of short-term mortality during bacterial infection [OR: 3.59 (95%CI: 1.65–7.84), $p = 0.001$] similarly to CRP and PCT. Presepsin level however lost its significance after adjusting for MELD score and leukocyte count [OR: 1.61, (95%CI: 0.65–3.97), $p = 0.303$], with multivariate binary logistic regression analysis. PCT was the only APP that was independently associated with the risk of short-term mortality [OR: 1.81, (95%CI: 1.09–3.01), $p = 0.022$] in this model (**Table 5**).

DISCUSSION

Infected patients with cirrhosis can be asymptomatic at initial stages, but highly susceptible to dissemination of infections due to their immunocompromised state that leads to development of severe disease

specific complications with significant mortality rate^[36,37]. Accurate laboratory markers are of importance to maximize the efficacy of diagnostic procedure of bacterial infections and thus making possible early intervention. C-reactive protein is the most widely used APP in the everyday clinical practice; however, it has some limitations in patients with cirrhosis^[5]. Thus identification of novel biomarkers is required to reach this unmet need in this patient group.

Primary aim of our study was to assess the performance of presepsin – a recently reported novel sepsis marker – in the diagnosis of cirrhosis associated bacterial infections in comparison with routinely used APPs (CRP, PCT) in such a patient cohort that represents the everyday clinical practice. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study in cirrhosis, reporting the feasibility and the usefulness of presepsin in these clinical settings. We evaluated a large cohort of patients, in which not only severe but also mild forms of the infections were represented. One-third of patients had mild infections and mainly localized to the urinary tract, while another subgroup of patients (32%) suffered in severe infectious episodes. In our study severe infectious episodes were defined by the presence of hepatic and/or extrahepatic OF(s), since currently accepted clinical definition of SIRS and hence sepsis^[38] is not entirely applicable to cirrhotic patients for various reasons^[5]. New definition of OFs has directly been elaborated for cirrhotic patient population recently^[3,30] that use simple measures and is easy to apply in everyday clinical practice. Moreover presence of OF is predictive of worse outcome^[2].

For the diagnosis of bacterial infections, the best cut-off level of presepsin was 844 pg/mL in our cirrhotic patient cohort. Diagnostic cut-off levels were different in previous studies in non-cirrhotic populations, but most reports suggest an approximate level of 400–600 pg/mL^[39,40].

Presepsin alone was not suitable as a screening tool to search for infection, however adding it to CRP, we found that presepsin was clinically useful. For the first, this combination amended efficacy of identification of the infectious episode. Sensitivity and NPV were increased by 9% and 4%

compared to CRP alone. Secondly, presepsin was able to distinguish severe infectious episode from non-severe ones more properly compared to CRP; AUC-ROC values were 0.85 and 0.66, respectively. Performance of presepsin corresponds to those reported in non-cirrhotic septic patient populations. In a recent meta-analysis of *Zheng et al.*^[41], comprising a total of 8 studies and 1757 patients, the AUC of the summary ROC (SROC) was 0.82. In contrast, weak predictive power of CRP with an AUC-ROC of 0.64 was reported for the infections in critically ill patients with cirrhosis in intensive care unit (ICU)^[22], which is also in agreement with our results. Regarding CRP, patients with cirrhosis may present reduced CRP in response to infection^[5,10].

It is acknowledged, that level of certain APPs are different according to the pathogens causing infections, while others are not. In a landmark study of *Angeletti S et al.* level of PCT and mid-regional pro-adrenomedullin (MR-proADM) were found to be significantly higher in patients with sepsis caused by Gram-negative than Gram-positive strains^[42]. These data are also confirmed by other studies^[43-45]. Some reports also highlighted differences in circulating cytokine levels in bloodstream infections according to Gram specificity, i.e. Gram-negative infections led to higher increase in the level of interleukin (IL)-6, TNF-alpha or IL-10^[46]. On the contrary, levels of other APPs, such as C-reactive protein, soluble (s)CD14, sCD163 or soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (SuPAR) are not in relation with the Gram specificity of the infection^[47-50]. In the present study, presepsin level was not different according to Gram specificity of the infection, which is in agreement with previous literature findings^[51-53].

Overall, presepsin was indisputably a valuable complementary tool in our cirrhotic patient cohort from a clinical point-of-view, but cost issues might compromise their joint use in the laboratory screening procedure of infections. Adding presepsin to CRP increased significantly the cost, from 0.9 to 12.5 \$. Medico-economic evaluation, however is lacking by this time and should be performed before proposing introduction of their combined use into routine clinical practice. Presepsin had very similar discriminative ability as PCT in both above-mentioned clinical settings. Furthermore, prices of

presepsin and PCT are also comparable (12.5 and 10.7 \$) suggesting their interchangeability in this patient population.

Secondary aim of our study was to evaluate whether presepsin is devoid of the limitations of classic APPs in cirrhosis. Previously in a small case-control study of *Park et al.*^[10] showed that the more severe the underlying liver dysfunction, the lower the CRP response to bacteremia was. Equally in a former study^[9] we reported that the diagnostic accuracy of both CRP and PCT for identifying patients with infection obviously decreased in advanced stage of the disease or in presence of ascites. Correspondingly, presepsin behaved alike in the present study. Presepsin is not primarily synthesized in the liver, thus not the decreasing synthetic capacity is the major limitation of their diagnostic performance in advanced cirrhosis. Ongoing chronic inflammatory state is a characteristic feature of cirrhosis that is potentially able to induce the synthesis of APPs in the absence of infection^[54,55] and inevitably limits their clinical utility in the diagnostic procedure of bacterial infections. Out of various explanations, BT has major importance. Bacterial translocation is frequently reported in patients with cirrhosis-associated severe liver dysfunction or ascites^[56,57]. It is likely that this process resulted in higher presepsin level in our non-infected cirrhotic patients compared to healthy controls in previous studies^[39,58]. Furthermore, presepsin levels were associated with diseases severity (Child A: 361, Child B: 530 and Child C: 703 pg/mL, $p < 0.001$) or presence of ascites (Yes vs. No: 382 and 575 pg/mL, $p < 0.001$) in the non-infected patient group as well.

Another important, but rarely considered issue is the effect of renal function on the levels of APPs. Acute kidney injury (AKI) is a frequent complication of cirrhosis, occurring in up to 50% of hospitalized patients with cirrhosis^[59]. Exact clearance mechanism of presepsin is unknown but considering its low MW it is presumably filtered by the glomeruli, reabsorbed, and catabolized within the proximal tubular cells^[60]. From a clinical point of view, little information is available on the accurate association between presepsin level and kidney function. *Nagata et al.*^[61] reported that presepsin levels tend to increase with decreasing glomerular filtration rate -

assessed by inulin renal clearance measurements – and are markedly high in patients with chronic renal failure or receiving hemodialysis. *Nakamura et al.*^[62] retrospectively analyzed presepsin levels in patients with or without sepsis presenting in the ICU, and found that presepsin levels were markedly high in patients with renal failure and end-stage kidney disease. Accordingly, we evaluated the impact of kidney function on presepsin levels. Significant correlation was found between presepsin and serum creatinine level (Spearman's rho: +0.36, $p < 0.001$). Furthermore, in a small subgroup of patients with renal failure, presepsin values were markedly high even in the absence of infection, at comparable levels to those of bacterial infection but without renal failure (1011 vs. 774 pg/mL). These results suggest that the evaluation of presepsin levels in cirrhosis warrants special consideration during AD episodes complicated by AKI, and probably a different cut-off is needed for diagnosing infection in such patients.

Third aim of our study was to assess whether presepsin is able to provide prognostic information in cirrhosis associated bacterial infections. Studies in this clinical setting only exist regarding CRP^[19,20,63–75] and PCT^[76–80] and their findings are not without controversies. In **Table 6** we summarized available data on clinical significance of CRP and PCT in short or long-term mortality of patients with cirrhosis. Most of the studies included both stable outpatients and patients with ongoing AD episodes with or without bacterial infections. Furthermore, evaluations often were done as a whole of these non-homogenous patient groups rendering direct comparison and single conclusion rather difficult. Recently, an important concept has been derived from the CANONIC study^[3]. Acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF) is associated with systemic inflammation and robust inflammatory response as judged by presence of elevated CRP or elevated leukocyte count results in worse outcome. Higher leukocyte count was found to be an independent predictor of 28-day transplant-free mortality. Based on these it was reasonable to assume that excessive increase in the APP levels, as a representative of the exaggerated inflammatory process could be associated with higher risk of short-term mortality in cirrhosis during bacterial infections. In patients with

increased level of PCT, CRP and presepsin, short-term mortality was significantly higher. Indeed, higher level of PCT, CRP and presepsin were associated with short-term mortality in our study. However, after adjusting for diseases severity and leukocyte count, this association was only preserved for PCT and not for CRP or presepsin. From biological point of view this finding might be explained by the fact that presepsin has a different profile. It belongs to a distinctive class of molecules, so-called "hormonkines"^[81]. Procalcitonin has a cytokine-like behaviour during inflammation and infection. It is produced primarily in neuroendocrine cells of various organs and represents involvement of several instead of one organ into the pro-inflammatory response^[82]. Lastly, it has been demonstrated that PCT has various toxic effects and pose harm to the host. Administration of PCT to septic animals greatly increases mortality. Antibodies directed against PCT are able to ameliorate harmful effects of PCT with a marked decrease symptomatology and mortality of sepsis^[83]. Presepsin represents activation of the monocyte-macrophage system during inflammatory process. Macrophages have a dual effect: production of excessive amount of inflammatory cytokines can cause tissue damage but involvement in the resolution of the inflammation promote tissue repair. This latter process is driven by M2-type macrophages in the presence of local microenvironmental anti-inflammatory signals such as IL-10^[84].

Plasma presepsin was only assessed at enrolment, and thus dynamic changes of the concentration were unknown, which is inevitably one of the limitations of the present study. For this reason, clinical study will be needed to further investigate serial changes in presepsin levels and their possible association with worse outcome during infection.

To conclude, the present study suggests that presepsin is a promising biomarker during diagnostic procedure of bacterial infections in cirrhosis for enhancing diagnostic capacity of CRP and reflecting more accurately the severity of infections. Performance of presepsin is equal to PCT in these clinical settings. Diagnostic accuracy of presepsin, however, decreases in advanced stage of the disease or in the presence of renal failure. Level of

presepsin is not associated with the pathogens causing infections. Procalcitonin but not presepsin is a biomarker for predicting infection-related short-term mortality in patients with cirrhosis.

COMMENTS

(1) *Background*

Bacterial infections are frequent complications in cirrhosis and often culminate in newly developed liver and/or extrahepatic organ failures, which is associated with significant mortality. Early laboratory diagnosis of these episodes is essential but challenging. There is an evident lack of sensitivity and specificity of the conventional laboratory markers due to disease specific characteristics. Advanced stage of cirrhosis affects diagnostic accuracy of liver synthesised acute phase proteins (e.g. C-reactive protein) whereas acute kidney injury affects renal clearance of small molecules (e.g. procalcitonin). Enhanced bacterial translocation induces significant elevation of inflammatory markers as well. Additional biomarkers are highly needed to optimize the diagnostic procedure and severity assessment of the infectious episodes in cirrhosis. Presepsin is a novel biomarker of activated monocyte-macrophage in response to pathogens and specific and sensitive marker of the sepsis.

(2) *Research frontiers*

Presepsin is worthy of studying in cirrhosis, where systemic infections are frequently associated with severe diseases course, such as acute development of liver and/or extrahepatic organ failures. Contributive role of presepsin for the diagnosis and prognosis of cirrhosis associated bacterial infection, however, has not been assessed extensively so far.

(3) *Innovations and breakthroughs*

To our knowledge, this is the first study in cirrhosis to investigate the performance of presepsin in the diagnosis and prognosis of cirrhosis associated bacterial infections. Presepsin is a promising biomarker of infection

in terms of diagnostic but not the prognostic procedure. Presepsin enhances diagnostic capacity of C-reactive protein and reflects more accurately severity of infections. In these clinical settings its performance is equal to procalcitonin. Diagnostic accuracy of presepsin, however, decreases in advanced stage of the disease or in the presence of renal failure. Level of presepsin is not associated to the pathogens causing infections.

A clear strength of our study is the large study population that represents the everyday clinical practice and assessment of presepsin in comparison with routinely used acute phase proteins. We also provide a profound overview about the significance of routinely used acute phase proteins in the prognosis of cirrhosis.

(4) Applications

In every day clinical practice, presepsin is a useful complementary adjunct to C-reactive protein and promising alternate of procalcitonin during the diagnostic procedure of cirrhosis associated bacterial infection. However, it is not devoid of the limitations of these classic acute phase proteins in the presence of advanced stage or certain acute complications of the disease. Larger prospective studies including serial changes in presepsin levels are needed to further investigate any possible association of presepsin level with worse outcome during infection or any suggestion for more aggressive or pre-emptive antibiotic therapy according to presepsin level.

(5) Terminology

Presepsin or soluble CD14 subtype (sCD14-ST) is a 13-kDa-cleavage product of CD14 receptor of monocyte-macrophage that recognizes different cell surface structure of both Gram-negative and positive bacteria. Bacterial translocation is defined as an enhanced passage of bacteria and/or bacterial products from the intestinal tract to systemic circulation.

Peer-review

This study suggests for the first time that presepsin is a promising biomarker during diagnostic procedure of bacterial infections in cirrhosis for enhancing diagnostic capacity of C-reactive protein and reflecting more accurately the severity of infections. Performance of presepsin is equal to procalcitonin in these clinical settings. Distinctly to procalcitonin and certain cytokines, presepsin level is not associated to the pathogens causing infections. Moreover, procalcitonin but not presepsin is a biomarker for predicting infection-related short-term mortality in patients with cirrhosis. Acute phase proteins are not simply surrogate markers of on-going inflammatory processes of the host organism but might also be active participants, hence exerting harmful or beneficial effects.

TABLES

Table 1. Demographic, clinical and laboratory characteristics of patients with or without bacterial infections

	Non-Infected		Infected		P-value		
N	141		75				
Gender (male/female)	77/64		41/34		N.S		
Age (years)	57.3 ± 10.7		58.1 ± 9.7		N.S		
Child-Pugh score	6.9 ± 1.7		9.3 ± 2.2		< 0.001		
Child-Pugh stage							
A	58 (41.1%)		6 (8.0%)		< 0.001		
B	65 (46.1%)		28 (37.3%)				
C	18 (12.8%)		41 (54.7%)				
MELD score	12.3 ± 4.1		19.1 ± 9.1		< 0.001		
Serum bilirubin (µmol/L)	41.4 ± 38.3		124.1 ± 147.4		< 0.001		
Serum albumin (g/L)	35.4 ± 7.1		28.1 ± 6.5		< 0.001		
INR	1.3 ± 0.2		1.6 ± 0.5		< 0.001		
Serum creatinine (µmol/L)	83.8 ± 74.3		131.2 ± 129.9		< 0.001		
Ascites present	58 (41.1%)		59 (78.7%)		< 0.001		
HCC	4 (2.8%)		11 (14.7%)		= 0.003		
Comorbidities present	72 (51.1%)		45 (60.0%)		N.S		
Type of bacterial infections							
UTI			25 (29.4%)				
SBP			20 (23.5%)				
Pneumonia			18 (21.2%)				
SSTI			4 (4.7%)				
Miscellaneous			18 (21.2%)				
Multiple			9 (10.6%)				
Acute phase proteins, median [IQR]							
Presepsin (pg/mL)	overall		477 [332-680]		1002 [575-2149]		< 0.001
	OF absent	OF present		710 [533-1277]	2357 [1398-3666]	< 0.001	
CRP (mg/L)	overall		4.6 [1.8-8.8]		30.1 [11.3-57.4]		< 0.001
	OF absent	OF present		25 [9.6-40.5]	52.2 [23.4-84]	0.027	
PCT (µmol/L)	overall		0.1 [0.1-0.2]		0.4 [0.1-1.2]		< 0.001
	OF absent	OF present		0.2 [0.1-0.5]	1.7 [0.6-5.3]	< 0.001	

Data are presented as mean ± SD or n (%) if not otherwise indicated

CRP: C-reactive protein, HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma, IQR: interquartile range, INR: international normalized ratio, MELD: model for end-stage liver disease score, N.S.: non-significant, PCT: procalcitonin, SD: standard

deviation, UTI: urinary tract infection, SBP: spontaneous bacterial peritonitis,
SSTI: skin and soft tissue infection

Table 2. Correlations between presepsin and different laboratory parameters or liver-orientated scores

Variable	Spearman's rho	P-value
CRP	+0.63	< 0.001
PCT	+0.53	< 0.001
Leucocyte count	+0.27	< 0.001
Serum creatinine	+0.36	< 0.001
Serum bilirubin	+0.28	< 0.001
Serum albumin	-0.40	< 0.001
INR	+0.15	0.032
CPs	+0.42	< 0.001
MELD score	+0.45	< 0.001

CRP, C-reactive protein; CPs, Child-Pugh score; INR, international normalized ratio; MELD score, model for end-stage liver disease; PCT, procalcitonin