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#### Abstract

Bose-Einstein condensates of ultracold atoms can be used to sense fluctuations of the magnetic field by means of transitions into untrapped hyperfine states. It has been shown recently that counting the outcoupled atoms can yield the power spectrum of the magnetic noise. We calculate the spectral resolution function, which characterizes the condensate as a noise measurement device in this scheme. We use the description of the radio-frequency outcoupling scheme of an atom laser, which takes into account the gravitational acceleration. Employing both an intuitive and the exact three-dimensional and fully quantum mechanical approach, we derive the position-dependent spectral resolution function for condensates of different size and shape.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Laser cooling and trapping techniques allow nowadays for the preparation of isolated atomic samples [1] at ultracold temperatures (well below $1 \mu \mathrm{~K}$ ), where in the case of bosonic atoms a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) is formed $[2,3]$. Employing their interaction with electromagnetic fields, all relevant degrees of freedom of ultracold atoms can be controlled with unprecedented precision [4-6]. For example, the internal atomic state can be manipulated and detected very efficiently by external laser or radio-frequency fields. Therefore, a BEC of trapped atoms can be considered as an ideal probe of weak external fields [7].

We have recently shown that counting atoms outcoupled from a magnetically trapped BEC amounts to accessing quantum features in the low-frequency current fluctuations of a nanowire, e.g., a carbon nanotube [8]. To this end, the internal hyperfine degree of freedom of ultracold atoms is interfaced to the current of electrically contacted nanowires with the interaction being mediated by the time-dependent magnetic field. By externally tuning the Zeeman splitting between the hyperfine states, the magnetic field can resonantly induce transitions from the trapped state to an untrapped state. These atoms can then be detected, eventually, by single-atom resolution [9]. This measurement scheme is analogous to the radio-frequency (rf) outcoupling scheme of an atom laser [10-19]. Later we also showed that, in conjunction with the magnetic effect of the current on the hyperfine states of the atoms, there is also a significant backaction of the collective atomic hyperfine transitions on the mechanical oscillation of the current-carrying nanowire [20].

In this paper we revisit the scheme of sensing magnetic-field noise by a trapped BEC [8], in the system described in Sec. II. As a significant advance to our previous model, in Sec. III the motion in the gravitational field is taken into account in the derivation of a time- and position-dependent wave function of the outcoupled atoms. Gravity is of key importance since the time an outcoupled atom spends in the volume of the condensate is limited by the free fall and has thus a finitetime broadening effect on the spectral resolution. We present

[^0]first an intuitive approach in Sec. III A and then the complete scattering results in Sec. III B. In Sec. IV we show how the magnetic-field noise is related to the number of outcoupled atoms and then we evaluate our results for a nonfactorizable BEC wave function in Sec. V. We summarize in Sec. VI.

## II. SYSTEM

We consider ultracold ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ atoms prepared in the groundstate hyperfine manifold $F=1$. The total atomic angular momentum $\hat{\mathbf{F}}$ interacts with the magnetic field according to the Zeeman term $H_{Z}=g_{F} \mu_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{r})$, where $\mu_{\mathrm{B}}=e \hbar / 2 m_{e}$ is the Bohr magneton, the Lande factor is $g_{F}=-1 / 2$, and $\hat{\mathbf{F}}$ is measured in units of $\hbar$. The dominant component of the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{r})$ is considered to be given by a homogeneous offset field $B_{\text {offs }}$ pointing along the $z$ direction. The eigenstates of the spin component $\hat{F}_{z}$, labeled by $m_{F}=-1,0,1$, are well separated by the Zeeman shift. The inhomogeneous component of the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{r})$ creates a harmonic trapping potential around the minimum of the total magnetic field. In addition, we consider the spin-independent static gravitational potential Mgy, with atomic mass $M$ and gravitational acceleration $g$ (see Fig. 1).

The trap is confining atoms in the low-field seeking state $m_{\mathrm{F}}=-1$ only and, to a good approximation, gives rise to the static potential $V_{-1}(\boldsymbol{r})=\hbar \omega_{\mathrm{L}}+V_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{r})$, where $\omega_{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{1}{\hbar}\left[\left|g_{\mathrm{F}}\right| \mu_{\mathrm{B}} B_{\text {offs }}+\frac{M g^{2}}{2 \omega_{y}^{2}}\right]$ is the Larmor frequency at the minimum of the potential (chosen as origin) and $V_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{r})=$ $\frac{M}{2}\left[\omega_{x}^{2} x^{2}+\omega_{y}^{2} y^{2}+\omega_{z}^{2} z^{2}\right]$ is the harmonic trapping potential with $\omega_{x}, \omega_{y}$, and $\omega_{z}$ being the trap frequencies in the $x, y$, and $z$ directions, respectively. Note that the trap center does not coincide with the minimum of the magnetic field but is displaced by a significant gravitational sag $y_{0}=-g / \omega_{y}^{2}$.

To outcouple atoms from the trapped BEC wave function, we consider a spatially homogeneous, time-varying magnetic field $B_{x}(t)=B U(t) \cos \left(\omega_{\mathrm{rf}} t\right)$ polarized in the $x$ direction, with a monochromatic carrier frequency of $\omega_{\mathrm{rf}}$ in the radiofrequency domain, which is tunable around the Larmor frequency $\omega_{\mathrm{L}}$. We consider this driving field to carry magneticfield noise, that is, on top of the carrier frequency $\omega_{\mathrm{rf}}$ there is a time-dependent amplitude, $U(t)$ being dimensionless. Due to the Zeeman interaction, this magnetic field can quasiresonantly


FIG. 1. Sketch of the system and the outcoupled mode for a monochromatic outcoupling field.
generate transitions between the magnetic sublevels $m_{\mathrm{F}}=-1$ and 0 .

In the magnetically trapped $m_{F}=-1$ state, we assume a pure BEC described by the second quantized field operator $\hat{\Psi}_{-1}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\sqrt{N} \Phi_{\text {BEC }}(\boldsymbol{r}) e^{-i\left(\omega_{\mathrm{L}}+\mu / \hbar\right) t}$, where the wave function $\Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}$ is the stationary solution of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation with chemical potential $\mu$ and atom number $N$. Atoms in the Zeeman sublevel $m_{F}=0$, described by the field operator $\hat{\Psi}_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)$, are not trapped magnetically and move under the influence of gravity and the mean-field potential $N g_{s} \Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}^{2}(\boldsymbol{r})$, with $g_{s}=4 \pi \hbar^{2} a_{s} / M$ and scattering length $a_{s}$ ( $a_{s}=5.4 \mathrm{~nm}$ for ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ ). Once spatially separated from the trap, the outcoupled atoms can be detected and counted [16,21-25]. We assume that initially no atoms populate the $m_{\mathrm{F}}=0$ state. To leading order in the small quantum-field amplitude $\hat{\Psi}_{0}$, the equation of motion for the $m_{\mathrm{F}}=0$ component in the rotating-wave approximation reads

$$
\begin{align*}
i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\Psi}_{0}= & {\left[-\frac{\hbar^{2} \nabla^{2}}{2 M}+M g y+N g_{s}\left|\Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)\right|^{2}\right] \hat{\Psi}_{0} } \\
& -\hbar \eta \Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}(\boldsymbol{r}) U(t) e^{i \Delta t} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\eta=\mu_{\mathrm{B}} B \sqrt{N} / 4 \sqrt{2} \hbar$ ( $\mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ being the Bohr magneton) and $\Delta=\omega_{\mathrm{rf}}-\omega_{\mathrm{L}}-\mu / \hbar$ is the detuning of the radio-frequency from the transition frequency at the trap center. Here we considered the BEC of $m_{\mathrm{F}}=-1$ atoms as an undepleted reservoir from which the $m_{\mathrm{F}}=0$ atoms can be excited by Zeeman transitions. The quantum fluctuation $\delta \hat{\Psi}_{-1}$ is neglected in comparison with $\Phi_{\text {BEC }}$ and the quantum field component in the sublevel $m_{\mathrm{F}}=1$, which is populated via the intermediate $m_{F}=0$ state, is also negligible.

Within these approximations, the dynamics of the outcoupled field $\hat{\Psi}_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)$ decouples from the other Zeeman states. The corresponding partial differential equation (1), however,
cannot be solved analytically. One possible approximation consists in neglecting the kinetic energy term, i.e., neglecting the motion during the outcoupling process. The resulting ordinary differential equation can then be integrated independently for all spatial positions. If the integration time is long enough, the outcoupling process for a monochromatic excitation takes place from resonant surfaces of constant magnetic field $[19,26]$, which are close to horizontal planes for a BEC size much smaller than the gravitational sag.

In what follows we will resort to a different approach that accounts for the motion of the outcoupled atoms. It is based on the solution of the quantum-mechanical free-fall problem, which can be expressed analytically in terms of the Airy functions as eigenfunctions [18,27-29]. The additional term in the equation of motion is the mean-field potential $N g_{s}\left|\Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)\right|^{2}$, which varies much less over the size of the condensate than the gravitational potential Mgy. While the former varies between 0 and $\mu$, the latter changes from $-M g b$ to $M g b$ along the $y$ direction. With typical experimental parameters for ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ [26], the vertical BEC radius $b$ is on the order of a few $\mu \mathrm{m}$, resulting in a typical value for $M g b / \hbar$ of approximately $2 \pi \times 6 \mathrm{kHz}$, while $\mu / \hbar$ is $2 \pi \times 0.5 \mathrm{kHz}$. Therefore, it is much more justified to neglect the mean-field potential than the kinetic energy gained during the outcoupling process.

## III. OUTCOUPLING

The outcoupling process for a monochromatic field resonant with the transition frequency at the trap center is sketched in Fig. 1. In what follows we will present two approaches for the quantum-mechanical description of the problem and determine the outcoupled wave function for a noisy outcoupling field.

## A. Intuitive approach

Within the approximation of neglecting the collisions between the outcoupled atoms and the BEC, the most intuitive approach is to expand the solution of Eq. (1) in the basis $\phi_{\left\{k_{x}, E_{y}, k_{z}\right\}}$ formed by the product of plane waves $\psi_{k_{x}}(x)=$ $e^{i k_{x} x}$ and $\psi_{k_{z}}(z)=e^{i k_{z} z}$ in the horizontal directions and Airy functions Ai in the vertical ( $y$ ) direction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{E_{y}}(y)=\frac{1}{l_{0} \sqrt{M g}} \mathrm{Ai}\left[\frac{1}{l_{0}}\left(y-\frac{E_{y}}{M g}\right)\right], \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{0}=\left(\hbar^{2} / 2 M^{2} g\right)^{1 / 3}$ is the natural length of the Airy problem ( $l_{0} \approx 0.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ ). The asymptotics of the functions Ai satisfy the conditions required for the wave function of a free-falling particle and are orthonormal in the sense that $\left\langle\psi_{E_{y}} \mid \psi_{E_{y}^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\int d y \psi_{E_{y}}^{*}(y) \psi_{E_{y}^{\prime}}(y)=\delta\left(E_{y}-E_{y}^{\prime}\right)$ and form a complete spatial basis $\int d E_{y} \psi_{E_{y}}^{*}(y) \psi_{E_{y}}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=$ $\delta\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)$ [27].

The outcoupled-field operator $\hat{\Psi}_{0}$ can be expanded in terms of the basis functions $\phi_{\left\{k_{x}, E_{y}, k_{z}\right\}}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\Psi}_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)= & \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int d k_{x} \int d E_{y} \\
& \times \int d k_{z} \hat{c}_{\left\{k_{x}, E_{y}, k_{z}\right\}}(t) \phi_{\left\{k_{x}, E_{y}, k_{z}\right\}}(\boldsymbol{r}), \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left.\hat{c}_{\left\{k_{x}, E_{y}, k_{z}\right\}}\right\}(t)$ are the annihilation operators in the respective modes. The time evolution of the amplitudes $\hat{c}_{\left\{k_{x}, E_{y}, k_{z}\right\}}$ is obtained by integrating the equations of motion [30]

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{c}_{\left\{k_{x}, E_{y}, k_{z}\right\}}(t)= & i \eta \int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} \phi_{\left\{k_{x}, E_{y}, k_{z}\right\}}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) e^{-i(E / \hbar) t} \\
& \times \int_{0}^{t} d t^{\prime} U\left(t^{\prime}\right) e^{i(\Delta+E / \hbar) t^{\prime}} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M}\left(k_{x}^{2}+k_{z}^{2}\right)+E_{y}$ is the energy pertaining to the basis function $\phi_{\left\{k_{x}, E_{y}, k_{z}\right\}}$ and we used $\hat{c}_{\left\{k_{x}, E_{y}, k_{z}\right\}}(0)=0$ (by neglecting zero-point fluctuations). Upon substituting the Fourier decomposition $U(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \omega \tilde{U}(\omega) e^{-i \omega t}$ into Eq. (4) and using the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} d t^{\prime} e^{i(\Delta+E / \hbar-\omega) t^{\prime}}=2 \pi \hbar \delta(E-\hbar(\omega-\Delta)) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the time integral can be carried out and one obtains the frequency composition of the outcoupled wave

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Psi}_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=i \hbar \eta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \omega \tilde{U}(\omega) e^{-i(\omega-\Delta) t} f(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r}) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r}) \\
&= \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d k_{x} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d E_{y} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d k_{z} 2 \pi \delta(E-\hbar(\omega-\Delta)) \\
& \times \int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} \phi_{\left\{k_{x}, E_{y}, k_{z}\right\}}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \phi_{\left\{k_{x}, E_{y}, k_{z}\right\}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us note that the frequency bandwidth associated with the natural length of any resonant Airy function is $M g l_{0} / \hbar=$ $\left(m g^{2} / 2 \hbar\right)^{1 / 3} \approx 2 \pi /(1.2 \mathrm{~ms})$ for ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$. Therefore, the integration time of about 10 ms ensures a good enough frequency resolution, which can be represented by the asymptotic limit taken in Eq. (5). We also remark that $\tilde{U}(\omega)$ can represent in principle a fluctuating quantum field, hence the operator character of $\hat{\Psi}_{0}$ is retained. By contrast, the condensate part $\hat{\Psi}_{-1}$ was replaced by the coherent wave function $\Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}$, thus $f(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r})$ is simply a $c$-number.

The numerical evaluation of Eq. (7) for a general geometry of the condensate is still challenging. To minimize the number of integrals we consider in the following the case of a cylindrically symmetric condensate where the BEC radii $a$ and $c$ perpendicular to the direction of gravity are equal. As shown in Appendix A, $f(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r})$ can then be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r}) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} a^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \bar{k}_{\perp} \bar{k}_{\perp} J_{0}\left(\bar{k}_{\perp} \bar{r}_{\perp}\right)\left\langle\phi_{\left\{\bar{k}_{\perp}, E_{y}\right\}} \mid \Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}\right\rangle \psi_{E_{y}\left(\bar{k}_{\perp}\right)}(y), \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{k}_{\perp}$ is the length of the dimensionless wave vector, $\bar{r}_{\perp}$ is the length of the dimensionless position vector perpendicular to the direction of gravity, $E_{y}\left(\bar{k}_{\perp}\right)=\hbar(\omega-\Delta)-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M a^{2}} \bar{k}_{\perp}^{2}$ is the energy in the $y$ direction, $J_{0}$ is the zeroth-order Bessel function, and $\left\langle\phi_{\left\{\bar{k}_{\perp}, E_{y}\right\}} \mid \Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}\right\rangle$ is the scalar product in cylindrical coordinates. This integral represents the linear combination of all the three-dimensional (3D) basis functions, the energy of which is resonant with a single frequency
component $\omega$ of the magnetic field. It is an interesting limit that in one dimension only one basis function would be resonant, which leads to the simplified form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{1 \mathrm{D}}(\omega-\Delta, y)=\left\langle\psi_{E_{y}} \mid \Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}^{1 \mathrm{D}}\right\rangle \psi_{E_{y}}(y) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B. Scattering approach

In the previous section we used a simplified quantummechanical description of the outcoupling process, which was based on the assumption that the Airy functions Ai form a complete basis of the problem in the $y$ direction. In fact, this is not accurate. In order to solve the inhomogeneous differential equation (1), one has to consider it as a scattering problem and use the Green's function of the corresponding free problem to determine the outcoupled wave function.

The solution of Eq. (1) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Psi}_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=i \eta \int_{0}^{t} d t^{\prime} \int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} K\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, t-t^{\prime}\right) U\left(t^{\prime}\right) e^{i \Delta t^{\prime}} \Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, t-t^{\prime}\right)$ is the propagator of the free problem [i.e., Eq. (1) without the inhomogeneous source term]. After substituting the Fourier decomposition of $U(t)$ and taking the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ one finds that the outcoupled wave function can be expressed in terms of the energy-dependent Green's function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{3 \mathrm{D}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} ; \hbar(\omega-\Delta)\right)=\frac{1}{i \hbar} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \tau K\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, \tau\right) e^{i(\omega-\Delta) \tau} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and can be written in the form analogous to Eq. (6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Psi}_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=i \hbar \eta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \omega \tilde{U}(\omega) e^{-i(\omega-\Delta) t} F(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r}) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r})=i \int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} G^{3 \mathrm{D}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} ; \hbar(\omega-\Delta)\right) \Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the three-dimensional Green's function $G^{3 \mathrm{D}}$ can be expressed with the Green's function $G^{1 \mathrm{D}}$ of the 1D free-fall problem [28], one can write $F(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r})$ in a form analogous to Eq. (7):

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r}) \\
& =\frac{i}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d k_{x} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d k_{z} e^{i\left(k_{x} x+k_{z} z\right)} \int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} \Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \\
&  \tag{14}\\
& \quad \times e^{-i\left(k_{x} x^{\prime}+k_{z} z^{\prime}\right)} G^{1 \mathrm{D}}\left(y, y^{\prime} ; \hbar(\omega-\Delta)-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M}\left(k_{x}^{2}+k_{z}^{2}\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{1 \mathrm{D}}\left(y, y^{\prime} ; E\right)= & -\frac{\pi}{M g l_{0}^{2}} \operatorname{Ai}\left(\frac{y+y^{\prime}+\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|}{2 l_{0}}-\frac{E}{M g l_{0}}\right) \\
& \times \operatorname{Ci}\left(\frac{y+y^{\prime}-\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|}{2 l_{0}}-\frac{E}{M g l_{0}}\right), \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Ci being the complex Airy function $\mathrm{Ci}(x)=\mathrm{Bi}(x)+$ $i \operatorname{Ai}(x)$ [28,31,32] (for a derivation see Appendix B). Here
$G^{1 \mathrm{D}}$ fulfills the boundary conditions: For coordinates above the source $\left(y>y^{\prime}\right)$ it behaves like the Airy function $\operatorname{Ai}(y)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{1 \mathrm{D}}\left(y>y^{\prime} ; E\right) \\
& =-\frac{\pi}{M g l_{0}^{2}} \operatorname{Ai}\left(\frac{y}{l_{0}}-\frac{E}{M g l_{0}}\right) \operatorname{Ci}\left(\frac{y^{\prime}}{l_{0}}-\frac{E}{M g l_{0}}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

therefore, it falls off exponentially above the condensate. On the other hand, for coordinates below the source $\left(y<y^{\prime}\right)$ it behaves like the complex Airy function $\operatorname{Ci}(y)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{1 \mathrm{D}}\left(y<y^{\prime} ; E\right) \\
& \quad=-\frac{\pi}{M g l_{0}^{2}} \operatorname{Ai}\left(\frac{y^{\prime}}{l_{0}}-\frac{E}{M g l_{0}}\right) \operatorname{Ci}\left(\frac{y}{l_{0}}-\frac{E}{M g l_{0}}\right) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

which is essentially an outgoing wave for $y \rightarrow-\infty$, since

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Ai}(y \rightarrow-\infty) \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}(-y)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sin \left(\frac{2}{3}(-y)^{3 / 2}+\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \\
& \operatorname{Bi}(y \rightarrow-\infty) \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}(-y)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \cos \left(\frac{2}{3}(-y)^{3 / 2}+\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

The numerical evaluation of Eq. (14) requires the same effort as that of Eq. (7), however, it can also be simplified for the cylindrically symmetric case. Using the dimensionless variables introduced in Appendix A, we find the expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r}) \\
& =i l l_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \bar{k}_{\perp} d \bar{k}_{\perp} J_{0}\left(\bar{k}_{\perp} \bar{r}_{\perp}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime} d \bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime} J_{0}\left(\bar{k}_{\perp} \bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad \times \int_{-\bar{b} \sqrt{1-\bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime 2}}}^{\bar{b} \sqrt{1-\bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime 2}}} d \bar{y}^{\prime} \Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}\left(\bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime}, \bar{y}^{\prime}\right) G^{1 \mathrm{D}}\left(\bar{y}, \bar{y}^{\prime} ; E_{y}\left(\bar{k}_{\perp}\right)\right) \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

which is analogous to Eq. (8).

## IV. DETECTION

The density of outcoupled atoms at a position $\boldsymbol{r}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
N(\Delta, \boldsymbol{r})= & \left\langle\hat{\Psi}_{0}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}, t) \hat{\Psi}_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)\right\rangle \\
= & (\hbar \eta)^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \omega f^{*}(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \omega^{\prime} e^{i\left(\omega-\omega^{\prime}\right) t} \\
& \times f\left(\omega^{\prime}-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r}\right)\left\langle\tilde{U}^{*}(\omega) \tilde{U}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

This equation holds also for the approach presented in Sec. IIIB if one replaces $f(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r})$ by $F(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r})$. We note that $N(\Delta, \boldsymbol{r})$ is normalized in the asymptotic limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ as a rate of atoms per unit time.

Let us assume that the magnetic-field noise is incoherent, i.e., $\left\langle\tilde{U}^{*}(\omega) \tilde{U}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=S\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(\omega-\omega^{\prime}\right)$, where $S(\omega)=$ $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \tau e^{i \omega \tau}\langle U(0) U(\tau)\rangle$ is the magnetic noise spectrum (or power spectrum of the magnetic field). Then the density of outcoupled atoms per unit time can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\Delta, \boldsymbol{r})=\left(\frac{\hbar \eta}{M g l_{0}}\right)^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \omega D(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r}) S(\omega) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r})=\left(M g l_{0}\right)^{2}|f(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r})|^{2}$ is the spectral resolution function of the BEC employed as a measuring device. We note that other sources of magnetic-field noise can also be present in experiments, for instance, that of
the offset magnetic field [33], which can also be described with a convolution formula very similar to Eq. (21). Here $D(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r})$ is a density function in coordinate space with the dimension of $1 /$ volume and it depends parametrically on the frequency $\omega-\Delta$. This parametric dependence can be determined experimentally [26] using a tunable monochromatic outcoupling field for which $S(\omega) \sim \delta(\omega)$ : The number of outcoupled atoms as a function of the frequency of the outcoupling field readily gives $D$. In the following, we will evaluate the spectral resolution function for different trapping geometries.

## V. NUMERICAL EVALUATION

In order to simplify the further calculations, we will use the Thomas-Fermi solution for the BEC wave function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}(\boldsymbol{r})=\sqrt{\left[\mu-V_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{r})\right] / N g_{s}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu=\left(15 N g_{s} \omega_{x}^{2} \omega_{y} / 8 \pi\right)^{2 / 5}(M / 2)^{3 / 5}$ is the chemical potential. The condensate thus has an ellipsoidal shape with a parabolic density distribution and the form of Eqs. (8) and (19) is derived in Appendix C.

Let us note that the above assumption for the BEC wave function does not allow for a factorization of the outcoupled wave function as a product of terms varying in the orthogonal spatial directions. The vertical and horizontal dynamics are intricately coupled, which is exhibited by the two-dimensional plots in Fig. 2(a) and 2(b) showing the atomic density $\left\langle\hat{\Psi}_{0}^{\dagger} \hat{\Psi}_{0}\right\rangle$ at a given position below the source. Although the scattering approach of Sec. III B provides a complete description, it is instructive to show the results obtained from the intuitive approach of Sec. III A. This illustrates how a limited set is selected from the basis of Airy functions by a monochromatic rf driving field. The fast oscillations, which are characteristic of the Airy function $\operatorname{Ai}(y)$, occur along the vertical direction $y$ (two periods are plotted) because only a narrow band of Airy functions is excited.

The radial structure along the coordinate $r_{\perp}$ depends strongly on the condensate shape, which can be seen in both approaches. First, the narrower the source along the transverse directions, the more significant the radial diffraction (cf. the beam originating from the cigar-shaped condensate). Second, a condensate with larger horizontal extension gives rise to radial excitations including more transverse modes and thus more structures in the radial distribution.

According to Eq. (21), measuring the number of atoms as a function of $\Delta$ by varying the Larmor frequency with the offset magnetic field allows one to determine the magnetic noise spectrum with a resolution given by the width of the function $D(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r})$. The width of $D$ is primarily determined by the size of the condensate in the direction of gravity, as can be seen in Fig. 3, which compares $D$ for three different values of the vertical semiaxis $b$ while keeping the horizontal extension constant. The gray and black curves correspond to the approaches of Secs. III A and III B, i.e., Eqs. (8) and (19), respectively. Going from the top to the bottom, the condensate transforms from a spherical shape to a compressed pancake shape with aspect ratio $1: 10$. The rapid oscillations of the gray curves reflect the form of the Airy function $\operatorname{Ai}(y)$, which represents the gravitational acceleration until the detection


FIG. 2. Outcoupled atom beam profile from the results of Sec. III A, representing both the vertical and the radial directions for a BEC with semiaxes (a) $a=20 l_{0}$ and $b=10 l_{0}$ and (b) $a=5 l_{0}$ and $b=10 l_{0}$ around the spatial coordinate $y=-45 l_{0}$, for $\omega-\Delta=0$. (c) Radial distribution of atomic density at $y=-45.25 l_{0}$. The gray and black curves correspond to the intuitive and complete approaches presented in Secs. III A and III B, respectively, and the solid and dashed curves to the cases in (a) and (b), respectively.
point $\boldsymbol{r}$. These oscillations are not present in the case of the black curves, where the function $\mathrm{Ci}(y)$ is of relevance. Here the oscillations of the two types of Airy functions Ai and Bi cancel each other, like sine and cosine waves in accord with the asymptotic forms Eq. (18). Note, however, that the results of the intuitive approach agree with the complete scattering approach, when averaging spatially over a finite detection volume. (Because of the perfect overlap with the thick black curves we do not show the result of such averaging.) The significant deviation of the spectral resolution function in


FIG. 3. Spectral resolution function $D(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r})$ of the BEC as a function of the frequency $\omega-\Delta$ at the spatial coordinate $y=-45 l_{0}$ on the symmetry axis ( $x=0$ and $z=0$ ) for a cloud with a decreasing vertical semiaxis $b$. The gray and black curves correspond to the intuitive and complete approaches, respectively.

Fig. 3 from a symmetrical form is connected to the fact that for thin condensates, i.e., when $b$ becomes comparable to the characteristic length scale $l_{0}$ of the Airy function, it is the Airy


FIG. 4. Spectral resolution function $D(\omega-\Delta, r)$ of the BEC as a function of the frequency $\omega-\Delta$ at the spatial coordinate $y=-45 l_{0}$ on the symmetry axis ( $x=0$ and $z=0$ ) for a cloud with an increasing horizontal radius $a$. The gray and black curves correspond to the intuitive and complete approaches, respectively.
function $\operatorname{Ai}\left(\bar{y}^{\prime}\right)$ inside the spatial integral that dominates in Eq. (8) and also in Eq. (19); therefore, the spectral resolution function inherits the oscillations of the Airy function Ai.

In the case of condensates that are much larger than $l_{0}$ in the vertical direction, different horizontal sizes lead to different structures in the spectral resolution functions, as can be seen in Fig. 4. This slowly varying structure arises as a consequence of the decreased energy of the radially excited modes in the enlarged condensate. The broader the source of the atom laser beam, the more radial excitations can be involved to complement the vertical potential energy to fulfill the resonance condition. The multimode dynamics in the horizontal direction can also be seen in Figs. 2(a) and 2(c).

## VI. CONCLUSION

We have determined the spectral resolution function of magnetically trapped Bose-Einstein condensates, which characterizes its detection properties as a measuring device for
magnetic-field noise. To provide insight into the outcoupling mechanism, we used an intuitive approach that takes into account the motion of the atoms in the gravitational field. We also presented a complete three-dimensional solution using the Green's function that takes into account the proper boundary conditions as well. The quantum-mechanical solution for the outcoupled matter wave allows for constructing the local, position-dependent spectral resolution function in both approaches, which can then be used to describe the detection process for arbitrary spatial resolution. Beyond the mainly linear dependence on the vertical size (the size in the direction of the gravitational field), the spectral resolution function exhibits a remarkable dependence also on the lateral extension of the condensate, which results from an interplay between the excitation of the different radial modes and the nonfactorizability of the BEC wave function.
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## APPENDIX A: CYLINDRICALLY SYMMETRIC CONDENSATE

In the case of a cylindrically symmetric condensate, with equal semiaxes perpendicular to the direction of gravity ( $a=c$ ), one can introduce a cylindrical coordinate system and define the dimensionless perpendicular wave vector $\overline{\boldsymbol{k}}_{\perp}=$ $\left(k_{x} a, 0, k_{z} a\right)$, with $\bar{k}_{\perp}^{2}=a^{2}\left(k_{x}^{2}+k_{z}^{2}\right)=2 M a^{2}\left(E_{x}+E_{z}\right) / \hbar^{\frac{2}{2}}$. In this way the integrals for $k_{x}$ and $k_{z}$ can be substituted by $\left(1 / a^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} d \bar{k}_{\perp} \bar{k}_{\perp} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \beta$, where $\beta$ is the angle between the perpendicular wave vector and the horizontal coordinate axis. At the same time, one can also introduce a perpendicular dimensionless position vector $\overline{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\perp}=(x / a, 0, z / a)$; then Eq. (7) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
f(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r})= & \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2} a^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \bar{k}_{\perp} \bar{k}_{\perp} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \beta e^{i \bar{k}_{\perp} \bar{r}_{\perp} \cos (\beta-\gamma)} \\
& \times 2 \pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d E_{y} \delta\left(E_{\perp}+E_{y}-\hbar(\omega-\Delta)\right) \\
& \times\left\langle\phi_{\left\{\bar{k}_{\perp}, E_{y}\right\}} \mid \Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}\right\rangle \psi_{E_{y}}(y), \tag{A1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is the angle between the perpendicular position vector $\overline{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\perp}$ and the horizontal coordinate axis and $E_{\perp}=E_{x}+E_{z}$ is the energy in the perpendicular direction. As the condensate is cylindrically symmetric, the scalar product $\left\langle\phi_{\left\{\bar{k}_{\perp}, E_{y}\right\}} \mid \Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}\right\rangle$ is independent of the angle $\beta$ and the integral for $\beta$ can be evaluated to be $2 \pi J_{0}\left(\bar{k}_{\perp} \bar{r}_{\perp}\right)$ for any $\gamma$. Because of the Dirac delta, the energy in the $y$ direction has to be equal to $E_{y}=\hbar(\omega-\Delta)-E_{\perp}=\hbar(\omega-\Delta)-\hbar^{2} \bar{k}_{\perp}^{2} / 2 M a^{2}$, leading to Eq. (8).

## APPENDIX B: DERIVATION OF THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL GREEN'S FUNCTION

In order to derive the form of the one-dimensional Green's function given in Eq. (15) one starts from the 1D free-fall problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}-M g y\right) G^{1 \mathrm{D}}\left(y, y^{\prime} ; E\right)=\delta\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the dimensionless coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{1}{l_{0}}\left(y-\frac{E}{M g}\right), \quad \xi^{\prime}=\frac{1}{l_{0}}\left(y^{\prime}-\frac{E}{M g}\right) \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \xi^{2}}-\xi\right) G^{1 \mathrm{D}}\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime} ; E\right)=\frac{2 M l_{0}}{\hbar^{2}} \delta\left(\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right) \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The linearly independent solutions of this equation are the Airy functions Ai and Bi . In order to satisfy the boundary conditions, the solution has to (i) decay exponentially for field coordinates $y$ larger than the source coordinate $y^{\prime}$ and (ii) behave like an outgoing wave for field coordinates $y$ smaller than the source coordinate $y^{\prime}$. Therefore, we may look for the solution in the form $[31,32]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{1 \mathrm{D}}\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime} ; E\right)=a_{>} \Theta\left(\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{Ai}(\xi)+a_{<} \Theta\left(\xi^{\prime}-\xi\right) \operatorname{Ci}(\xi) \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Theta(x)$ is the Heaviside function and Ci is the complex Airy function $\mathrm{Ci}(x)=\operatorname{Bi}(x)+i \operatorname{Ai}(x)$. The coefficients $a_{>}$and
$a_{<}$can be determined by requiring $G^{1 \mathrm{D}}$ and its derivative to be continuous at the point $\xi=\xi^{\prime}$ [34]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} G^{1 \mathrm{D}}\left(\xi^{\prime}+\varepsilon, \xi^{\prime} ; E\right)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} G^{1 \mathrm{D}}\left(\xi^{\prime}-\varepsilon, \xi^{\prime} ; E\right), \\
& \left.\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial G^{1 \mathrm{D}}}{\partial \xi}\right|_{\xi=\xi^{\prime}+\varepsilon}-\left.\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial G^{\mathrm{DD}}}{\partial \xi}\right|_{\xi=\xi^{\prime}-\varepsilon}=\frac{2 M l_{0}}{\hbar^{2}} \tag{B5}
\end{align*}
$$

leading to the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{>} \operatorname{Ai}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)-a_{<} \mathrm{Ci}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) & =0 \\
a_{>} \operatorname{Ai}^{\prime}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)-a_{<} \mathrm{Ci}^{\prime}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{2 M l_{0}}{\hbar^{2}} \tag{B6}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the identity $\operatorname{Ai}(x) \operatorname{Bi}^{\prime}(x)-\operatorname{Ai}^{\prime}(x) \operatorname{Bi}(x)=1 / \pi$, one can easily determine $a_{>}$and $a_{<}$. By introducing $u=\xi+\xi^{\prime}$ and $v=\xi-\xi^{\prime}$, the Green's function of Eq. (B4) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{1 \mathrm{D}}(u, v ; E)=-\frac{2 M l_{0}}{\hbar^{2}} \pi \mathrm{Ai}\left(\frac{u+|v|}{2}\right) \operatorname{Ci}\left(\frac{u-|v|}{2}\right), \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which one can readily retrieve the form presented in Eq. (15).

## APPENDIX C: FORMULAS FOR A CYLINDRICALLY SYMMETRIC CONDENSATE

Since $\Phi_{\text {BEC }}$ of Eq. (22) has a finite support (it is nonzero only in the ellipsoid defined by the semiaxes $a$ and $b$ ) and the condensate is cylindrically symmetric, the scalar product of Eq. (8) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\phi_{\left\{\bar{k}_{\perp}, E_{y}\right\}} \mid \Phi_{\mathrm{BEC}}\right\rangle=2 \pi a^{2} l_{0} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{N g_{s}}} \int_{0}^{1} d \bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime} \bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime} J_{0}\left(\bar{k}_{\perp} \bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime}\right) \int_{-\bar{b} \sqrt{1-\bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime 2}}}^{\bar{b} \sqrt{1-\bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime 2}}} d \bar{y}^{\prime} \psi_{E_{y}\left(\bar{k}_{\perp}\right)}\left(\bar{y}^{\prime}\right) \sqrt{1-\bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime 2}-\frac{\bar{y}^{\prime 2}}{\bar{b}^{2}}} \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{0}$ is the zeroth-order Bessel function. Therefore, Eq. (7) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
f(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r})= & \frac{2 \pi}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{M g l_{0}} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{N g_{s}}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \bar{k}_{\perp} \bar{k}_{\perp} J_{0}\left(\bar{k}_{\perp} \bar{r}_{\perp}\right) \operatorname{Ai}\left(\bar{y}-\frac{E_{y}\left(\bar{k}_{\perp}\right)}{M g l_{0}}\right) \int_{0}^{1} d \bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime} \bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime} J_{0}\left(\bar{k}_{\perp} \bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \times \int_{-\bar{b} \sqrt{1-\bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime 2}}}^{\bar{b} \sqrt{1-\bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime 2}}} d \bar{y}^{\prime} \sqrt{1-\bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime 2}-\frac{\bar{y}^{\prime 2}}{\bar{b}^{2}}} \operatorname{Ai}\left(\bar{y}^{\prime}-\frac{E_{y}\left(\bar{k}_{\perp}\right)}{M g l_{0}}\right) . \tag{C2}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the same variables and the form (17) of the 1D Green's function valid for coordinates below the condensate, Eq. (19) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
F(\omega-\Delta, \boldsymbol{r})= & -\frac{2 \pi}{2} \frac{1}{M g l_{0}} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{N g_{s}}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \bar{k}_{\perp} \bar{k}_{\perp} J_{0}\left(\bar{k}_{\perp} \bar{r}_{\perp}\right) \operatorname{Ci}\left(\bar{y}-\frac{E_{y}\left(\bar{k}_{\perp}\right)}{M g l_{0}}\right) \int_{0}^{1} d \bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime} \bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime} J_{0}\left(\bar{k}_{\perp} \bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \times \int_{-\bar{b} \sqrt{1-\bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime 2}}}^{\bar{b} \sqrt{1-\bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime 2}}} d \bar{y}^{\prime} \sqrt{1-\bar{r}_{\perp}^{\prime 2}-\frac{\bar{y}^{\prime 2}}{\bar{b}^{2}}} \operatorname{Ai}\left(\bar{y}^{\prime}-\frac{E_{y}\left(\bar{k}_{\perp}\right)}{M g l_{0}}\right) \tag{C3}
\end{align*}
$$

[1] S. Chu, Nobel lecture: The manipulation of neutral particles, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70, 685 (1998).
[2] W. Ketterle, Nobel lecture: When atoms behave as waves: BoseEinstein condensation and the atom laser, Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 1131 (2002).
[3] Y. Castin, in Coherent Atomic Matter Waves, edited by R. Kaiser, C. Westbrook, and F. David, Proceedings of the Les Houches

Summer School of Theoretical Physics, LXXII. 1999 (SpringerVerlag, Berlin, 2001), pp. 1-136.
[4] J. Fortágh and C. Zimmermann, Magnetic microtraps for ultracold atoms, Rev. Mod. Phys. 79, 235 (2007).
[5] R. Folman, P. Krüger, J. Denschlag, C. Henkel, and J. Schmiedmayer, Microscopic atom optics: From wires to an atom chip, Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 48, 263 (2002).
[6] R. Grimm, M. Weidemüller, and Y. B. Ovchinnikov, Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 42, 95 (2000).
[7] S. Wildermuth, S. Hofferberth, I. Lesanovsky, E. Haller, M. Andersson, S. Groth, I. Bar-Joseph, P. Krüger, and J. Schmiedmayer, Bose-Einstein condensates: Microscopic magnetic-field imaging, Nature (London) 435, 440 (2005).
[8] O. Kálmán, T. Kiss, J. Fortágh, and P. Domokos, Quantum galvanometer by interfacing a vibrating nanowire and cold atoms, Nano Lett. 12, 435 (2012).
[9] A. Stibor, H. Bender, S. Kühnhold, J. Fortágh, C. Zimmermann, and A. Günther, Single-atom detection on a chip: From realization to application, New J. Phys. 12, 065034 (2010).
[10] I. Bloch, T. W. Hänsch, and T. Esslinger, Atom Laser with a cw Output Coupler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3008 (1999).
[11] Y. Le Coq, J. H. Thywissen, S. A. Rangwala, F. Gerbier, S. Richard, G. Delannoy, P. Bouyer, and A. Aspect, Atom Laser Divergence, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 170403 (2001).
[12] M.-O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, D. M. Kurn, D. S. Durfee, C. G. Townsend, and W. Ketterle, Output Coupler for Bose-Einstein Condensed Atoms, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 582 (1997).
[13] R. J. Ballagh, K. Burnett, and T. F. Scott, Theory of an Output Coupler for Bose-Einstein Condensed Atoms, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1607 (1997).
[14] T. Esslinger, I. Bloch, and T. W. Hänsch, Probing first-order spatial coherence of a Bose-Einstein condensate, J. Mod. Opt. 47, 2725 (2000).
[15] F. Gerbier, P. Bouyer, and A. Aspect, Quasicontinuous Atom Laser in the Presence of Gravity, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4729 (2001).
[16] A. Öttl, S. Ritter, M. Köhl, and T. Esslinger, Correlations and Counting Statistics of an Atom Laser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 090404 (2005).
[17] N. P. Robins, P. A. Altin, J. E. Debs, and J. D. Close, Atom lasers: Production, properties and prospects for precision inertial measurement, Phys. Rep. 529, 265 (2013).
[18] J. Schneider and A. Schenzle, Investigations of a two-mode atom-laser model, Phys. Rev. A 61, 053611 (2000).
[19] H. Steck, M. Naraschewski, and H. Wallis, Output of a Pulsed Atom Laser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1 (1998).
[20] Z. Darázs, Z. Kurucz, O. Kálmán, T. Kiss, J. Fortágh, and P. Domokos, Parametric Amplification of the Mechanical Vibrations of a Suspended Nanowire by Magnetic Coupling to a Bose-Einstein Condensate, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 133603 (2014).
[21] T. Jeltes, J. M. McNamara, W. Hogervorst, W. Vassen, V. Krachmalnicoff, M. Schellekens, A. Perrin, H. Chang, D. Boiron, A. Aspect, and C. I. Westbrook, Comparison of the Hanbury Brown-Twiss effect for bosons and fermions, Nature (London) 445, 402 (2007).
[22] T. Bourdel, T. Donner, S. Ritter, A. Öttl, M. Köhl, and T. Esslinger, Cavity QED detection of interfering matter waves, Phys. Rev. A 73, 043602 (2006).
[23] S. Ritter, A. Öttl, T. Donner, T. Bourdel, M. Köhl, and T. Esslinger, Observing the Formation of Long-Range Order during Bose-Einstein Condensation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 090402 (2007).
[24] T. Donner, S. Ritter, T. Bourdel, A. Öttl, M. Köhl, and T. Esslinger, Critical behavior of a trapped interacting Bose gas, Science 315, 1556 (2007).
[25] J. E. Lye, J. J. Hope, and J. D. Close, Rapid real-time detection of cold atoms with minimal destruction, Phys. Rev. A 69, 023601 (2004).
[26] P. Federsel, C. Rogulj, T. Menold, J. Fortágh, and A. Günther, Spectral response of magnetically trapped Bose gases to weak microwave fields, Phys. Rev. A 92, 033601 (2015).
[27] K. Härkönen, O. Vainio, and K.-A. Suominen, Wave-packet analysis of interference patterns in output coupled atoms, Phys. Rev. A 81, 043638 (2010).
[28] T. Kramer and M. Rodríguez, Quantum theory of an atom laser originating from a Bose-Einstein condensate or a Fermi gas in the presence of gravity, Phys. Rev. A 74, 013611 (2006).
[29] J. Schneider and A. Schenzle, Output from an atom laser: Theory vs. experiment, Appl. Phys. B 69, 353 (1999).
[30] S. Choi, Y. Japha, and K. Burnett, Adiabatic output coupling of a Bose gas at finite temperatures, Phys. Rev. A 61, 063606 (2000).
[31] C. Bracher, W. Becker, S. A. Gurvitz, M. Kleber, and M. S. Marinov, Three-dimensional tunneling in quantum ballistic motion, Am. J. Phys. 66, 38 (1998).
[32] W. Elberfeld and M. Kleber, Tunneling from an ultrathin quantum well in a strong electrostatic field: A comparison of different methods, Z. Phys. B 73, 23 (1988).
[33] M. Fauquembergue, J.-F. Riou, W. Guerin, S. Rangwala, F. Moron, A. Villing, Y. Le Coq, P. Bouyer, A. Aspect, and M. Lécrivain, Partially ferromagnetic electromagnet for trapping and cooling neutral atoms to quantum degeneracy, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 76, 103104 (2005).
[34] R. M. Cavalcanti, P. Giacconi, and R. Soldati, Decay in a uniform field: An exactly solvable model, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36, 12065 (2003).


[^0]:    *kalman.orsolya@ wigner.mta.hu

