



Zurich Open Repository and Archive University of Zurich Main Library Strickhofstrasse 39 CH-8057 Zurich www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2016

Seroprevalence of vector-borne pathogens and molecular detection of Borrelia afzelii in military dogs from Portugal

Alho, Ana Margarida; Pita, Joana; Amaro, Ana; Amaro, Fátima; Schnyder, Manuela; Grimm, Felix; Custódio, Ana Cristina; Cardoso, Luís; Deplazes, Peter; de Carvalho, Luís Madeira

Abstract: BACKGROUND: Canine vector-borne diseases (CVBDs) are increasingly being reported worldwide and represent a serious threat to both animal and public health. Military dogs may constitute a risk group for the agents causing these diseases, as they frequently work outdoors in different areas and are thus exposed to vector arthropods. In order to assess the risk of exposure of this type of dogs, a serological and molecular survey was conducted in military working dogs in Portugal. One hundred apparently healthy dogs were surveyed. Serum samples were tested for antigens of Angiostrongylus vasorum and Dirofilaria immitis; and for antibodies to A. vasorum, Anaplasma spp., Babesia spp., Ehrlichia canis, Leishmania infantum, Rickettsia spp. and Toscana virus. Serum was tested by polymerase chain reaction for Borrelia burgdorferi (sensu lato), with sequencing of the DNA products. RESULTS: Forty-nine per cent of the dogs were seropositive for antibodies against Rickettsia spp., 16 % for Anaplasma spp., 13 %for L. infantum, 7 % for E. canis, 5 % for A. vasorum (including 1 % positive for both antibodies and circulating antigens), 3 % for Babesia spp. and 1 % positive for Toscana virus. B. burgdorferi (s.l.) was detected in eight out of 94 dogs tested (8.5%) and in three cases (3.2%) nucleotide sequence analysis showed identity with the genospecies Borrelia afzelii. No positive cases were recorded for D. immitis. Overall, 66 % of the dogs were positive for at least one out of the eight tested CVBD agents, six of which are zoonotic (i.e. Anaplasma spp., Borrelia spp., E. canis, L. infantum, Rickettsia spp. and Toscana virus). Serological specific antibody detection against more than one CVBD agent (including molecular detection of Borrelia spp.) was recorded in 25 % of the dogs, comprising 19 % with positive reaction to two agents, 5 % to three agents and 1 % to four agents. CONCLUSIONS: These results reveal a high occurrence of CVBD agents in military working dogs in Portugal and highlight the need to maintain a comprehensive and regular prophylaxis to reduce the contact between working dogs and those pathogens. For the first time in Portugal, B. afzelii DNA was identified in dogs and a dog was found seropositive for antibodies against Toscana virus.

DOI: 10.1186/s13071-016-1509-2

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich ZORA URL: http://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-125302 Published Version



Originally published at:

Alho, Ana Margarida; Pita, Joana; Amaro, Ana; Amaro, Fátima; Schnyder, Manuela; Grimm, Felix; Custódio, Ana Cristina; Cardoso, Luís; Deplazes, Peter; de Carvalho, Luís Madeira (2016). Seroprevalence of vector-borne pathogens and molecular detection of Borrelia afzelii in military dogs from Portugal. Parasites Vectors, 9:225. DOI: 10.1186/s13071-016-1509-2

SHORT REPORT

Open Access



Seroprevalence of vector-borne pathogens and molecular detection of *Borrelia afzelii* in military dogs from Portugal

Ana Margarida Alho¹, Joana Pita², Ana Amaro³, Fátima Amaro⁴, Manuela Schnyder⁵, Felix Grimm⁵, Ana Cristina Custódio², Luís Cardoso^{6*}, Peter Deplazes⁵ and Luís Madeira de Carvalho¹

Abstract

Background: Canine vector-borne diseases (CVBDs) are increasingly being reported worldwide and represent a serious threat to both animal and public health. Military dogs may constitute a risk group for the agents causing these diseases, as they frequently work outdoors in different areas and are thus exposed to vector arthropods. In order to assess the risk of exposure of this type of dogs, a serological and molecular survey was conducted in military working dogs in Portugal. One hundred apparently healthy dogs were surveyed. Serum samples were tested for antigens of *Angiostrongylus vasorum* and *Dirofilaria immitis*; and for antibodies to *A. vasorum*, *Anaplasma* spp., *Babesia* spp., *Ehrlichia canis, Leishmania infantum, Rickettsia* spp. and Toscana virus. Serum was tested by polymerase chain reaction for *Borrelia burgdorferi* (sensu lato), with sequencing of the DNA products.

Results: Forty-nine per cent of the dogs were seropositive for antibodies against *Rickettsia* spp., 16 % for *Anaplasma* spp., 13 % for *L. infantum*, 7 % for *E. canis*, 5 % for *A. vasorum* (including 1 % positive for both antibodies and circulating antigens), 3 % for *Babesia* spp. and 1 % positive for Toscana virus. *B. burgdorferi* (*s.l.*) was detected in eight out of 94 dogs tested (8.5 %) and in three cases (3.2 %) nucleotide sequence analysis showed identity with the genospecies *Borrelia afzelii*. No positive cases were recorded for *D. immitis*. Overall, 66 % of the dogs were positive for at least one out of the eight tested CVBD agents, six of which are zoonotic (i.e. *Anaplasma* spp., *Borrelia* spp., *E. canis*, *L. infantum*, *Rickettsia* spp. and Toscana virus). Serological specific antibody detection against more than one CVBD agent (including molecular detection of *Borrelia* spp.) was recorded in 25 % of the dogs, comprising 19 % with positive reaction to two agents, 5 % to three agents and 1 % to four agents.

Conclusions: These results reveal a high occurrence of CVBD agents in military working dogs in Portugal and highlight the need to maintain a comprehensive and regular prophylaxis to reduce the contact between working dogs and those pathogens. For the first time in Portugal, *B. afzelii* DNA was identified in dogs and a dog was found seropositive for antibodies against Toscana virus.

Keywords: Canine vector-borne diseases, Dog, Epidemiology, Military, Seroprevalence, Portugal, *Borrelia afzelii, Borrelia burgdorferi (sensu lato)*, Toscana virus, Zoonosis

* Correspondence: lcardoso@utad.pt

⁶Department of Veterinary Sciences, School of Agrarian and Veterinary Sciences, University of Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro (UTAD), Vila Real, Portugal

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2016 Alho et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Background

Canine vector-borne diseases (CVBDs) are an emerging problem worldwide due to their frequency, morbidity and zoonotic potential, representing a serious threat to animal and public health [1]. These diseases are caused by a wide range of pathogens, comprising viruses, bacteria, protozoa and helminths transmitted to dogs by different arthropods, namely ticks, fleas, mosquitoes and phlebotomine sand flies [2]. Several factors have been linked to the expansion of CVBDs, including an increased exposure to old and new infectious agents. Enhanced international commerce, faster and incremented global transport, deforestation and urbanization, abundance of wildlife hosts, demographic and political changes, climate alterations and drug resistance among vectors and pathogens, are making the spread of ectoparasites and their pathogens a no-boundary global event [3].

Bacterial agents of CVBDs such as Anaplasma platys (the cause of infectious canine cyclic thrombocytopenia), Anaplasma phagocytophilum (granulocytic anaplasmosis), Borrelia burgdorferi (sensu lato) complex (Lyme disease), Ehrlichia canis (canine monocytic ehrlichiosis) and Rickettsia conorii (Mediterranean spotted fever) are tick-borne diseases of increasing concern [4–6]. Also important are some protozoal agents of CVBDs including Babesia canis and Babesia vogeli (canine babesiosis or piroplasmosis), also vectored by ticks [7], and Leishmania infantum (leishmaniosis), vectored by phlebotomine sand flies [8]. Other relevant CVBD agents are the nematode Dirofilaria immitis, a mosquito-borne pathogen, which induces cardiopulmonary dirofilariosis or heartworm disease; and Toscana virus, which is an arbovirus (i.e. an arthropod-borne virus) vectored by phlebotomine sand flies [9]. Although not transmitted by arthropods but by slugs or snails, the nematode Angiostrongylus vasorum, also known as the "French heartworm" (causing canine angiostrongylosis), is an increasingly reported pathogen in Europe [10]. In general, canine infections with CVBD agents range from mild to severe and life-threatening forms. Clinical signs may include lethargy, weight loss, fever, lymphadenomegaly, poor appetite or anorexia, but are often variable and nonspecific, thus requiring diagnosis to be complemented at the laboratory level. In addition, the vast majority of these miscellaneous pathogens (i.e. Anaplasma spp., Borrelia spp., E. canis, L. infantum, R. conorii and Toscana virus) have also zoonotic character, causing disease in humans, thus representing a great veterinary and public health threat.

Military working dogs (MWD), also known as police dogs, are specifically trained to assist security and lawenforcement personnel in their work. These animals make periodic fieldwork in the most diverse climatic conditions of national and international territories, spending long periods outdoors, which increase the contact with wild animals and diverse types of vectors. The nature of their activities exposes them to risk factors distinct from those of common pet dogs, and may make them more susceptible to CVBDs [11]. Likewise, MWD have intense contact with people, as they are paired with a dog handler, i.e. someone who trains and is accompanied by the animal for long periods, a fact that increases the risk of transmission of zoonotic pathogens.

Little is known about the risk of MWD regarding CVBDs. Few studies have been conducted so far and no surveillance mechanisms are in place to assess prevalence or geographical range in Portugal and Europe [12]. Considering the emergence of CVBDs in Europe, as well as the lack of studies regarding CVBDs in MWD, an epidemiological study was conducted, involving serological and molecular testing of dogs, kept in military bases across continental and insular Portugal.

Methods

A survey was conducted with 100 MWD belonging to the Portuguese Air Force. Blood was collected in distinct air bases in mainland Portugal (districts of Aveiro, Beja, Leiria, Lisboa and Setúbal) and also on the Atlantic archipelagos of the Azores and Madeira (Fig. 1). Serum was obtained from each dog and stored at -20 °C until use.

A complete record was kept for each sampled dog, including gender, age, breed and body condition. All dogs were apparently healthy with no clinical signs or historical abnormalities compatible with CVBDs. The dogs were housed outdoors. All animals received a combination tablet of praziquantel, pyrantel pamoate and febantel every four months (Drontal[®] Plus XL, Bayer Animal Health); a deltamethrin-impregnated collar every four months (Scalibor[®], MSD Animal Health); an ivermectin tablet monthly (Heartgard[®], Merial); and an imidacloprid and permethrin spot-on monthly (Advantix[®], Bayer Animal Health).

Out of the 100 dogs tested, there were 92 males and 8 females. Age ranged from 7 to 132 months (median: 60 months) and average body condition was 4.9 (range: 1 to 9). Six breeds were represented: German Shepherd (n = 64), Labrador Retriever (n = 15), Belgian Shepherd (n = 16), Dutch Shepherd (n = 3), Rottweiler (n = 1) and Dobermann (n = 1).

To test for the presence of *D. immitis* circulating antigens, a rapid commercial qualitative antigen kit WIT-NESS[®] Dirofilaria (Synbiotics, Europe) was used. All procedures were performed as recommended by the manufacturer. Sera were tested by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for detection of specific antibodies to *L. infantum*, as described by Mettler et al. [13]. Sandwich ELISAs were used for detecting antibodies to *A. vasorum* [14] and for the presence of *A.*



vasorum circulating antigens [15]. Commercial immunofluorescent antibody tests (IFAT) were used to detect the IgG-antibodies to *Anaplasma* spp. (MegaScreen[®] FLUOANAPLASMA ph. kit), to *Babesia* spp. (Mega-Screen[®] FLUOBABESIA canis kit), to *E. canis* (Mega-Screen[®] FLUOEHRLICHIA c. kit) and to *Rickettsia* spp. (MegaScreen[®] FLUOEHRLICHIA c. kit) and to *Rickettsia* spp. (MegaScreen[®] FLUORICKETTSIA con. kit), according to the manufacturer's instructions (Megacor, Horbranz, Austria). Sera were tested for immunoglobulin G (IgG)class antibodies against Toscana virus using an inhouse IFAT; and samples with IgG titres of 32 were considered as positive.

For molecular detection of B. burgdorferi (s.l.), DNA extraction from serum samples was carried out using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) tissue protocol, with some modifications including a mechanical lysis and the addition of carrier DNA (Salmon Sperm DNA [Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA] at a final concentration of 10 μ g/ μ l). Sera were screened for the presence of B. burgdorferi (s.l.) DNA using a nested PCR targeting the 5S-23S (rrf-rrl) rRNA intergenic spacer region using the primers pairs (23SN1 and 23SC1 - outer primers; 23 N2 and 5SCB - inner primers), as described by Rijpkema et al. [16]. The amplification reactions were performed in a C1000 thermocycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) with an initial step at 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 25 rounds of temperature cycling (94 °C for 30 s, 52 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min) for outer primers and 40 rounds of temperature cycling (annealing step of 54 °C for 30 s) for inner primers and an ending step at 72 °C for 7 min for both amplification reactions. A DNA solution extracted from *B. burgdorferi* (*s.l.*) culture and ultrapure water were used as positive and negative controls of amplification, respectively. The PCR amplified products were analysed by 1.5 % agarose gel electrophoresis and DNA-positive samples were sequenced at StabVida (Caparica, Portugal) using internal PCR primers. Nucleotide sequence analysis and comparison with other relevant reference sequences were performed using the BLAST suite at GenBank^{*}.

Whenever appropriate, the chi-square or Fisher's exact tests were used to compare proportions, and a probability *P*-value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Exact binomial 95 % confidence intervals (CI) were established for proportions. Analyses were done using the StatLib and SPSS[®] 20 software for Windows.

Results

Forty-nine per cent of the dogs were seropositive for antibodies against *Rickettsia* spp., 16 % for *Anaplasma* spp., 13 % for *L. infantum*, 7 % for *E. canis*, 5 % for *A. vasorum* (including 1 % positive for both antibodies and antigens), 3 % for *Babesia* spp. and 1 % positive for Toscana virus. *B. burgdorferi* (*s.l.*) DNA was detected in eight out of 94 dogs tested (8.5 %) and in three cases (3.2 %) sequence analysis showed identity with the genospecies *Borrelia afzelii*. No positive results were recorded for *D. immitis* antigen. Overall, 66 % of the dogs were positive for at least one out of the eight tested agents of CVBD, six of which are of zoonotic concern (i.e. *Anaplasma* spp., *Borrelia* spp., *E. canis, L. infantum*, *Rickettsia* spp. and Toscana virus). In addition, single antibody positivity to *A. vasorum* was found in three dogs, accounting for an additional 3 % prevalence. Serological specific antibody detection against single CVBD agents (including molecular detection of *Borrelia* spp.) was recorded in 41 % of the dogs. Positive reactions to more than one CVBD agent (including *Borrelia* spp.) was recorded in 25 % of the dogs, comprising 19 % positive to two agents, 5 % to three agents and 1 % to four agents (Table 1). Positive animals were distributed over all sampled areas in the country and a southward trend of increased pathogen diversity was observed (Fig. 1). Sequences identical to *B. afzelii* were found in two dogs from Setúbal and Beja (GenBank[®] accession no.

Table 1 Serological specific antibody detection againstvector-borne pathogens (VBP) in military working dogs fromPortugal (including molecular detection of *Borrelia* spp.)

Agent(s)	Positive dogs		
	n	%	CI %
Positive reaction against single VBP	41 ^a	41.0 ^{f,g,h}	31.3–51.3
Anaplasma spp.	5	5.0	1.6-11.3
Borrelia burgdorferi (sensu lato)	4 ^b	4.0	1.1-9.9
Ehrlichia canis	1	1.0	0-5.4
Leishmania infantum	3	3.0	0.6-8.5
Rickettsia spp.	28 ^{c,d}	28.0	19.5–37.9
Positive reaction against two VBP	19	19.0 ^{f,i,j}	11.8-28.1
Anaplasma spp. + B. burgdorferi (s.l.)	1 ^b	1.0	0-5.4
Anaplasma spp. + E. canis	2 ^c	2.0	0.2-7.0
Anaplasma spp. + Rickettsia spp.	5	5.0	1.6-11.3
Babesia spp. + Rickettsia spp.	1	1.0	0-5.4
B. burgdorferi (s.l.) + Rickettsia spp.	2 ^b	2.0	0.2-7.0
E. canis + Rickettsia spp.	2	2.0	0.2-7.0
L. infantum + Rickettsia spp.	6 ^e	6.0	2.2-12.6
Positive reaction against three VBP	5	5.0 ^{g.i}	1.6-11.3
Anaplasma spp. + B. burgdorferi (s.l.) + E. canis	1	1.0	0–5.4
Anaplasma spp. + Rickettsia spp. + L. infantum	1	1.0	0-5.4
<i>Anaplasma</i> spp. + <i>Rickettsia</i> spp. + Toscana virus	1	1.0	0-5.4
Babesia spp. + L. infantum + Rickettsia spp.	2 ^e	2.0	0.2–7.0
Positive reaction against four VBP	1	1.0 ^{h,j}	0-5.4
Anaplasma spp. + E. canis + L. infantum + Rickettsia spp.	1	1.0	0-5.4
Single + co-infections	66	66.0	55.8–75.2

^aIncluding four dogs singly positive for Angiostrongylus vasorum

^bincluding one DNA sequencing result of Borrelia afzelii

^cincluding one dog positive for *A. vasorum*

 $^{\rm d,e}{\rm three}$ and one dogs not tested for B. burgdorferi (s.l.), respectively $^{\rm f,g,h}P<0.001$

 $^{i,j}P \le 0.002$; CI: 95 % confidence interval

KU891495) and in a dog from Madeira (GenBank[®] accession no. KU891496). Sequencing results from the other samples were inconclusive due to low quality of the obtained sequences.

No statistically significant associations were found for positivity to CVBD agents among the gender and age categories.

Discussion

Out of the tick-borne pathogens, Rickettsia spp. was the most prevalent, followed by Anaplasma spp., E. canis, Babesia spp. and B. burgdorferi (s.l.) In addition, Rickettsia spp. and Anaplasma spp. were detected in all the areas assessed, either in mainland or on insular regions. Besides, L. infantum-positive dogs were distributed throughout all the regions of mainland, and also on the Atlantic archipelago of Azores. For the first time, B. afzelii DNA was detected in dogs in Portugal, with this being a genospecies usually associated with small mammals and one of the causative agents of the most common tick-borne diseases in Europe and North America. Additionally, one dog was found positive for antibodies to Toscana virus, indicating a previous exposure to this agent. Although to date there is no evidence that dogs can develop disease when infected with this virus, this cannot be excluded, as well as their potential as amplifying hosts in the Toscana virus cycle [9]. In addition, specific antibodies against A. vasorum were detected in 5 % of the MWD, including one case simultaneously positive for A. vasorum antigen, which denotes an on-going infection. These data bring new information concerning the A. vasorum presence and geographical distribution in Portugal, as only a few cases of infection are documented in dogs from Portugal [17, 18].

In the present study, a very high number of dogs were found to be positive for at least one pathogen, with two thirds of them being positive to at least one of the CVBD agents tested and/or *A. vasorum* (Table 1). In fact, co-infection is a frequent condition in dogs, since several arthropods are competent vectors of more than one pathogen and may share the same environment. This is the case of the brown dog tick, *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* (*sensu lato*), known for its worldwide distribution, which serves as confirmed vector for *E. canis* and *R. conorii*, and as presumed vector for *A. platys* [19].

Co-infection is frequent all over Portugal, as previously evidenced by Cardoso et al. [20], where 14 % of apparently healthy dogs and 46.3 % of clinically suspect dogs were seropositive to at least one tested agent out of *Anaplasma* spp., *B. burgdorferi* (*s.l.*), *D. immitis, E. canis* and *L. infantum.* Similar findings were evidenced by Menn et al. [21], in southern Portugal, where 87 % of autochthonous shelter dogs were positive to at least one of the following: *A. phagocytophilum, B. canis, E. canis, H. canis,* *L. infantum, R. conorii* and microfilariae. In fact, Portugal is a country where several CVBDs are endemic, a situation which is partially explained by the mild Mediterranean climate that favours vector development and survival, which in turn contributes to justify the high prevalence levels detected in the present study. Additionally, many of these CVBD agents are of zoonotic concern with dogs serving as potential reservoirs or sentinels for wide variety of human infections. In fact, the close physical contact and daily interaction between military dogs and their handlers may increase the potential risk for the transmission of zoonotic pathogens. This is the case of *Anaplasma* spp., *B. burgdorferi* (*s.l.*), *E. canis, L. infantum, Rickettsia* spp. and Toscana virus, among others.

The prevalence detected in the present study may also represent the reality of MWD from military forces in other countries as they occasionally perform missions abroad. Previous studies conducted in MWD are few and punctual, and have shown a wide variation on the prevalence of canine vector-borne infections, mainly depending on the area under study, the diagnostic methods used and the ongoing prophylactic regimen [12, 22]. In a serological study to assess the exposure of MWD to tick-borne pathogens in South Korea, seroprevalence for Anaplasma and Ehrlichia were 4.4 % and 0.6 % based on ELISA, and 24.7 % and 22.5 % based on IFAT, respectively, and 1.1 % for *B. burgdorferi* (s.l.) based only on ELISA [23]. In Spain (Madrid), out of 131 dogs from the National Police Department, 2.3 % had antibodies to E. canis [24]. In Slovakia, out of the 710 police and military dogs investigated for the presence of microfilariae in blood, 18 % were diagnosed positive for Dirofilaria infection [25]; in New Caledonia, where canine dirofilariosis is endemic, a serological study revealed no positive results for D. immitis antigen in a population of MWD undergoing moxidectin prophylaxis [26]. Regarding L. infantum, a serological study performed in three MWD kennels in southeastern France showed a seroprevalence of 11.6 % [11].

It is important to keep in mind that the positive serological results presented is this study might be due to either an on-going infection or simply to a previous contact or exposure to the agent. For that reason, and whenever available and economically feasible, serological screenings should be complemented with molecular-based detection methods to ascertain on whether infections are active or not [19]. Likewise, serological cross-reactivity could occur between pathogens and thus PCR would be an advantage to achieve an accurate etiological diagnosis and to establish which species are implicated and circulating in the population. Yet, it must be emphasized that despite the tight prophylactic regimen implemented in this MWD, exposure to multiple CVBD agents was observed among this canine population, suggesting they should be regarded as a risk group. In spite of that, no dog showed any clinical signs. This is quite relevant as they can act as "silent" reservoirs and sentinels, fostering the perpetuation and transmission of endemic or exotic pathogens among other animals. Furthermore, subclinically infected dogs can transport arthropods harbouring pathogens into close proximity to people or even serve as a "direct" reservoir for human vector-borne infections, as several of these CVBDs have a zoonotic impact [27]. Also considering the impact of these diseases on the health of dogs, it is thus crucial to increase knowledge concerning their epidemiological situation and ensure routine screening. The results herein presented are essential to a better understanding of the potential CVBDs in this peculiar population. These new data will be useful for both medical and veterinary services engaged in the control of vector-borne diseases under the scope of One Health, and will serve as a reference for future research, prevention and control actions.

Conclusions

In terms of the tested pathogens, this is the most comprehensive study carried out to assess the exposure of MWD to agents of CVBDs worldwide, and presents the first report of a seropositive dog for Toscana virus in Portugal, as well as the first time B. afzelii DNA has been identified in dogs in the country. Although these animals have daily monitoring, balanced nutritional support, regular medical care, tight prophylaxis and antiparasitic control, their activities seem to steadily increase their contact with CVBD agents. Taking into account their long periods of work outdoors (both day and night) and their high mobility, these dogs are at a high risk of exposure to vectors and of contact with other domestic and wild animals, thus acting as a sentinel population. Considering that many of these CVBD agents are of significant zoonotic concern, an integrated approach under the scope of "One World, One Health" should be put in practice to control pathogens and promote higher animal and public health standards. Further epidemiological studies are needed to improve scientific knowledge and risk assessment concerning MWD and CVBDs.

Ethics approval

All the clinical procedures in this study were in accordance with Portuguese (Decree-Laws no. 314/2003 and no. 113/2013) and European legislation for the protection of animals.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

AMA conceived the study, performed serological analysis and drafted the manuscript; JP conducted clinical examination and sample collection; AA performed molecular analysis for *Borrelia* spp.; FA performed serological analysis for Toscana virus; MS helped with the serological analysis for *A. vasorum* and contributed with data analysis; FG helped with the serological analysis for *L. infantum* and contributed with data analysis; ACC organized logistics and conducted sample collection; LC analysed data and revised the manuscript; PD reviewed the manuscript; LMC conceived the study and reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Dr. Maria Luísa Vieira from Instituto de Higiene e Medicina Tropical, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, for providing *B. burgdorferi* s.l. cultures for DNA positive controls and to Cátia Patrício, for her invaluable technical assistance. AMA received a PhD research grant SFRH/BD/85427/ 2012 from Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT), Portugal. CIISA-FMV-ULisboa, under project UID/CVT/00276/2013 (FCT), provided additional funding. This work was performed under the frame of EurNegVec COSTAction TD1303.

Publication of this paper has been sponsored by Bayer Animal Health in the framework of the 11th CVBD World Forum Symposium.

Author details

¹CIISA, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universidade de Lisboa (ULisboa), Lisbon, Portugal. ²Portuguese Air Force, Lisbon, Portugal. ³National Institute for Agrarian and Veterinary Research (INIAV, I.P.), Lisbon, Portugal. ⁴Centre for Vectors and Infectious Diseases Research, National Institute of Health Ricardo Jorge, Águas de Moura, Portugal. ⁵Institute of Parasitology, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland. ⁶Department of Veterinary Sciences, School of Agrarian and Veterinary Sciences, University of Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro (UTAD), Vila Real, Portugal.

Received: 10 March 2016 Accepted: 12 April 2016 Published online: 10 May 2016

References

- Day MJ. One health: the importance of companion animal vector-borne diseases. Parasit Vectors. 2011;4:49.
- Otranto D, Dantas-Torres F, Breitschwerdt EB. Managing canine vector-borne diseases of zoonotic concern: part one. Trends Parasitol. 2009;25:157–63.
- Colwell DD, Dantas-Torres F, Otranto D. Vector-borne parasitic zoonoses: emerging scenarios and new perspectives. Vet Parasitol. 2011;182:14–21.
- Otranto D, Dantas-Torres F, Giannelli A, Latrofa MS, Cascio A, Cazzin S, et al. Ticks infesting humans in Italy and associated pathogens. Parasit Vectors. 2014;7:328.
- Sainz Á, Roura X, Miró G, Estrada-Peña A, Kohn B, Harrus S, et al. Guideline for veterinary practitioners on canine ehrlichiosis and anaplasmosis in Europe. Parasit Vectors. 2015;8:75.
- Solano-Gallego L, Caprì A, Pennisi MG, Caldin M, Furlanello T, Trotta M. Acute febrile illness is associated with *Rickettsia* spp. infection in dogs. Parasit Vectors. 2015;8:216.
- Solano-Gallego L, Baneth G. Babesiosis in dogs and cats expanding parasitological and clinical spectra. Vet Parasitol. 2011;181:48–60.
- Palatnik-de-Sousa CB, Day MJ. One Health: the global challenge of epidemic and endemic leishmaniasis. Parasit Vectors. 2011;4:197.
- Charrel RN, Gallian P, Navarro-Mari JM, Nicoletti L, Papa A, Sánchez-Seco MP, et al. Emergence of Toscana virus in Europe. Emerg Infect Dis. 2005;11: 1657–63.
- Elsheikha HM, Holmes SA, Wright I, Morgan ER, Lacher DW. Recent advances in the epidemiology, clinical and diagnostic features, and control of canine cardio-pulmonary angiostrongylosis. Vet Res. 2014;45:92.
- 11. Davoust B, Toga I, Dunan S, Quilici M. Leishmaniose dans les effectifs canins militaires. Méd Armées. 1994;22:33–8.
- Vidal R, Alho AM, Rocha H, Gomes L, Carneiro J, Madeira de Carvalho L. Rastreio nacional de doenças caninas de transmissão vectorial em canídeos militares da Guarda Nacional Republicana. Vet Med [Port ed]. 2014;16:34–8.
- Mettler M, Grimm F, Capelli G, Camp H, Deplazes P. Evaluation of enzymelinked immunosorbent assays, an immunofluorescent-antibody test, and two rapid tests (immunochromatographic-dipstick and gel tests) for

serological diagnosis of symptomatic and asymptomatic *Leishmania* infections in dogs. J Clin Microbiol. 2005;43:5515–9.

- Schucan A, Schnyder M, Tanner I, Barutzki D, Traversa D, Deplazes P. Detection of specific antibodies in dogs infected with *Angiostrongylus* vasorum. Vet Parasitol. 2012;185:216–24.
- Schnyder M, Tanner I, Webster P, Barutzki D, Deplazes P. An ELISA for sensitive and specific detection of circulating antigen of *Angiostrongylus* vasorum in serum samples of naturally and experimentally infected dogs. Vet Parasitol. 2011;179:152–8.
- Rijpkema SG, Molkenboer MJ, Schouls LM, Jongejan F, Schellekens JF. Simultaneous detection and genotyping of three genomic groups of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato in Dutch *lxodes ricinus* ticks by characterization of the amplified intergenic spacer region between 5S and 23S rRNA genes. J Clin Microbiol. 1995;33:3091–5.
- Alho AM, Schnyder M, Meireles J, Belo S, Deplazes P, Madeira de Carvalho L. Preliminary results on the seroprevalence of *Angiostrongylus vasorum* and co-infection with *Dirofilaria immitis* in shelter dogs from Portugal. Parasit Vectors. 2014;7 Suppl 1:026.
- Alho AM, Schnyder M, Schaper R, Meireles J, Belo S, Deplazes P, et al. Seroprevalence of circulating Angiostrongylus vasorum antigen and parasite-specific antibodies in dogs from Portugal. Parasitol Res. 2016; doi: 10.1007/s00436-016-5001-x.
- Cardoso L, Gilad M, Cortes HC, Nachum-Biala Y, Lopes AP, Vila-Viçosa MJ, et al. First report of *Anaplasma platys* infection in red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) and molecular detection of *Ehrlichia canis* and *Leishmania infantum* in foxes from Portugal. Parasit Vectors. 2015;8:144.
- Cardoso L, Mendão C, Madeira de Carvalho L. Prevalence of Dirofilaria immitis, Ehrlichia canis, Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato, Anaplasma spp. and Leishmania infantum in apparently healthy and CVBD-suspect dogs in Portugal-a national serological study. Parasit Vectors. 2012;5:62.
- Menn B, Lorentz S, Naucke TJ. Imported and travelling dogs as carriers of canine vector-borne pathogens in Germany. Parasit Vectors. 2010;3:34.
- Davoust B, Roqueplo C, Parzy D, Watier-Grillot S, Marié JL. A twenty-year follow-up of canine leishmaniosis in three military kennels in southeastern France. Parasit Vectors. 2013;6:323.
- Bell DR, Berghaus RD, Patel S, Beavers S, Fernandez I, Sanchez S. Seroprevalence of tick-borne infections in military working dogs in the Republic of Korea. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2012;12:1023–30.
- 24. Sainz A, Tesouro MA, Rodriguez F, Mayoral I, Mazzucchelli F. Seroprevalence of *Ehrlichia canis* infections in police dogs in Spain. Prev Vet Med. 1995;23:179–82.
- Miterpáková M, Antolová D, Hurníková Z, Dubinský P, Pavlacka A, Németh J. Dirofilaria infections in working dogs in Slovakia. J Helminthol. 2010;84:173–6.
- 26. Watier-Grillot S, Marié JL, Cabre O, Davoust B. Survey of canine *Dirofilaria immitis* infection in New Caledonia. Vet Med Int. 2011;2011:380680.
- 27. Shaw SE, Day MJ, Birtles RJ, Breitschwerdt EB. Tick-borne infectious diseases of dogs. Trends Parasitol. 2001;17:74–80.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

