

Farm level risk factors for fluoroquinolone resistance in E. coli and thermophilic Campylobacter spp. on poultry farms

Article

Accepted Version

Taylor, N. M., Wales, A. D., Ridley, A. M. and Davies, R. H. (2016) Farm level risk factors for fluoroquinolone resistance in E. coli and thermophilic Campylobacter spp. on poultry farms. Avian Pathology, 45 (5). pp. 559-568. ISSN 1465-3338 doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/03079457.2016.1185510 Available at http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/69230/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.

Published version at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03079457.2016.1185510 To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03079457.2016.1185510

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Farm level risk factors for fluoroquinolone resistance in *E. coli* and thermophilic *Campylobacter* spp. on poultry farms

N. M. Taylor¹, A. D. Wales², A. M. Ridley² and R. H. Davies²

¹Veterinary Epidemiology and Economics Research Unit, School of Agriculture, Policy and Development, University of Reading, Earley Gate, P.O. Box 237, Reading, Berkshire RG6 6AR, UK

²Department of Bacteriology and Food Safety, Animal and Plant Health Agency – Weybridge, New Haw, Addlestone, Surrey KT15 3NB

Correspondence & reprints:

Nick M. Taylor, Veterinary Epidemiology and Economics Research Unit, School of Agriculture, Policy and Development, University of Reading, Earley Gate, P.O. Box 237, Reading, Berkshire RG6 6AR, UK

Tel: +44 (0) 118 931 6633

Fax: +44 (0) 118 926 2431

Email: <u>n.m.taylor@reading.ac.uk</u>

Running head: Fluoroquinolone resistance in poultry

1 Summary

2 Data on husbandry practices, performance, disease and drug use were collected using 3 detailed questionnaires during a cross-sectional survey of 89 poultry meat farms in 4 England and Wales to provide information on possible risk factors for the occurrence 5 of fluoroquinolone (FQ) resistant bacteria on poultry meat farms. Faeces samples 6 taken as part of the surveys were used to classify farms as 'affected' or 'not affected' 7 by FQ-resistant E. coli or Campylobacter spp., and statistical analyses were 8 performed to identify factors associated with the farms' FQ resistance status. 9 The use of FQ on the farms was by far the most important factor influencing the 10 occurrence of FQ-resistant bacteria. Resistant E. coli and/or Campylobacter spp. were 11 found on 86% of the farms with a reported history of FQ use. However, resistant 12 bacteria were also found on a substantial proportion of farms with no history of FQ 13 use, suggesting that resistant organisms may spread between farms. Further analysis 14 suggested that there are differences in the importance of various factors between the 15 two organisms. For Campylobacter spp., on-farm hygiene, cleaning and disinfection 16 between batches of birds and wildlife control were of most significance. For E. coli, 17 biosecurity factors protecting the premises from outside contamination were of 18 particular importance, although the statistical modelling indicated that other factors 19 are likely to be involved. Detailed studies on a small number of poultry sites showed 20 that FQ-resistant E. coli can survive routine cleaning and disinfection, so this must be 21 of a high standard to reduce the persistence of resistant organisms on the farm. 22 It appears difficult to avoid the occurrence of resistant bacteria when FQ are used on a 23 farm, but the present findings provide evidence to support recommendations to reduce 24 the substantial risk of the incidental acquisition of such resistance by farms where FQ 25 are not used.

27 Introduction

28 Antimicrobial resistance amongst farm strains of enteric zoonotic bacteria, such as 29 E. coli and thermotolerant Campylobacter spp., is of concern, particularly in view of 30 the risk it presents for human disease, persistent enteric colonisation and 31 (theoretically) transmission of resistance to other enteric bacteria 32 (ECDC/EFSA/EMA, 2015). E. coli is a ubiquitous enteric commensal in both human 33 and veterinary species, with a small subset of strains that present veterinary, human 34 and cross-species disease hazards due to particular colonisation factors and/or toxins 35 (Hartl and Dykhuizen, 1984). *Campylobacter* spp. are the most commonly identified 36 human gastrointestinal pathogens reported in the European Union, confirmed in over 37 220000 cases in 2011 (EFSA/ECDC, 2013). 38 39 In recent community-wide data from the European Union resistance to the 40 fluoroquinolone (FQ) antibiotic ciprofloxacin was found to be high (44% to 78% of 41 isolates overall, depending on source and subspecies) among Campylobacter jejuni 42 and Campylobacter coli isolates from human (mostly clinical) and broiler (monitoring) sources (EFSA/ECDC, 2014). A survey of 145 Campylobacter spp. 43 44 isolates from human, milk, poultry and cattle sources in Italy similarly found 63% 45 exhibiting ciprofloxacin resistance but comparatively little resistance to other tested 46 antimicrobials, with the exception of tetracycline (Di Giannatale et al., 2014). A 47 survey in Chile revealed a similarly high frequency of ciprofloxacin resistance among 48 poultry and human *Campylobacter* spp. isolates (around 60%), whilst only 18% of 49 isolates from cattle were resistant (Gonzalez-Hein et al., 2013). For Campylobacter, 50 all these data are in the context of subtyping studies indicating that 50% to 80% of

51	human cases may be linked, directly or indirectly, to the chicken reservoir, and of FQ
52	being one of the principal drugs of choice for treating human campylobacteriosis
53	(Agunos et al., 2013; EFSA, 2010).
54	
55	Aggregated European Community data for E. coli isolates from broilers showed,
56	similarly to Campylobacter spp., that over 50% of isolates were resistant to
57	ciprofloxacin (EFSA/ECDC, 2014). A sampling study provided evidence for the
58	dissemination of individual and multiple antimicrobial resistances in E. coli from
59	turkeys and broilers to their human handlers (van den Bogaard et al., 2001).
60	Furthermore, FQ-resistant isolates from human bacteraemias and faeces were found to
61	be more closely related to chicken isolates than to FQ-susceptible human isolates in
62	another study (Johnson et al., 2006).
63	
64	Data from Australia, where FQ are restricted in the medical field and not used in food
65	animals, has shown that FQ resistance among human Campylobacter spp. isolates has
66	been slow to emerge, compared with other territories. Similarly, there is a low
67	frequency of FQ resistance among Australian human disease-causing E. coli isolates
68	(Cheng et al., 2012).
69	
70	Attempts at restricting antimicrobial resistance on farms have included various
71	guidelines for the prudent use of veterinary antimicrobials (AAAP-AVMA, 2015;
72	OIE, 2014; RUMA, 2005). However, these have been based in large part upon expert
73	opinion, as published analyses of risk factors for the development of such resistances
74	are lacking.

The present report details a risk factor analysis performed following a survey for the prevalence of FQ resistance among *E. coli* and *Campylobacter* spp. on poultry units in the UK. Questionnaire data was used in conjunction with the prevalence results to analyse FQ resistance with respect to a range of environmental and management factors. The overall prevalence results for poultry and pigs and the analysis for risk factors on pig farms have been reported elsewhere (Taylor et al., 2009, 2008)

83 Materials and Methods

84 Data collection

99

85 Two programmes of sampling were undertaken. For the first, 89 poultry meat farms 86 were included in a cross-sectional survey of FQ-resistant (FQr) E. coli and 87 Campylobacter spp., the details of which are described elsewhere (Taylor et al., 88 2008). Briefly, 68 broiler and 21 turkey farms were each sampled once between June 89 2001 and June 2003, with 64 separate fresh floor droppings being collected from 90 random locations in up to four houses and combined into eight pools of eight samples 91 each. The sample size and sampling strategy were designed to give a 95% probability 92 of detecting resistant isolates if at least 5% of animals in the sampled houses were 93 shedding resistant bacteria and laboratory detection was 90% sensitive. 94 95 Sampling on poultry company premises was performed either by company-appointed 96 poultry veterinarians or by poultry company staff under the supervision of the 97 company veterinarian. Independent poultry producers (20 farms) carried out the 98 sampling themselves. To provide information on possible factors associated with

farms' FQ resistance status, data about husbandry practices, performance, disease and

drug use, including use of non-FQ antibiotics, were collected using detailed
questionnaires filled in by the farm manager with the veterinarian doing the sampling,
or by independent producers themselves. Data on antibiotic use was acquired, in the
large majority of cases and by all large units, by reference to detailed treatment
records in the farm diaries. These records are audited regularly for the purposes of
quality assurance and food chain protection.

106

107 The second (follow-up) programme investigated the potential for dissemination and 108 persistence of FQr organisms by carrying out farm-level sampling at representative 109 stages of breeding and production networks in two integrated companies. Faeces 110 sampling and data collection were carried out by the farm manager, according to the 111 protocols used for the first study, in five breeding flocks on repopulation, nine 112 breeding flocks in mid to late lay and 28 broiler flocks in mid to late rear. On a 113 selected proportion of sites where FQr organisms were found, intensive sampling was 114 performed by staff from the research team to investigate the distribution of resistant 115 E. coli on premises and to study their survival after cleaning and disinfection (C&D). 116 Samples taken on VLA sampling visits included faeces, water, dust and surface swabs 117 from building structures and equipment, as well as swabs from deep cracks in walls 118 and floors.

119

120 Bacteriology

Bacteriological analysis of faeces pools was performed using liquid media (buffered
peptone water [BPW] and Exeter's Enrichment Broth for *E. coli* and *Campylobacter*spp., respectively) and selective solid media with added 1.0 mg/l ciprofloxacin
(Chromagar ECC for *E. coli*; sheep blood agar plus Skirrow's antibiotic supplement

125 and cefoperazone [BASAC] for *Campylobacter* spp.) as previously described (Taylor 126 et al., 2008). Farms were thus classified as 'affected' or 'not affected' with respect to 127 FQr E. coli or Campylobacter spp., using a selective concentration of ciprofloxacin 128 that is similar both to contemporaneous tentative breakpoints (Luber et al., 2003; 129 USDA, 2005), and the current European clinical breakpoint (EUCAST, 2014). 130 Putative E. coli colonies were confirmed using standard biochemical tests, 131 campylobacters were identified to species level by standard microbiological 132 procedures, and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values of ciprofloxacin 133 were determined as described elsewhere (Taylor et al., 2008). Non-faeces samples 134 from intensive sampling visits in the second sampling programme were incubated in 135 approximately 10-fold volumes of BPW (225 ml for surface swabs) and incubated as 136 for faeces samples, before plating onto Chromagar ECC. Serotyping, toxin testing and 137 antibiograms (not including FQ) by the disc diffusion method were carried out using 138 standard protocols.

139

140 Statistical analyses

141 Statistical analyses were conducted using data from the first sampling programme 142 only. Associations between FQ use and farm types, and between FQ use and the 143 presence of FQr target organisms, were investigated using Chi-squared and Fisher's 144 exact tests. Calculations of relative risks associated with reported FQ use, with 95% 145 confidence intervals, were carried out using EpiInfo version 6 (Centers for Disease 146 Control and Prevention U.S.A. & World Health Organisation, Geneva, Switzerland). 147

148 Correlation and cluster analyses and logistic regression modelling were carried out 149 using SAS version 8 (SAS, 1999). The approach taken was exactly the same as that 150 used in analysing data from pig farms (Taylor et al., 2009). Briefly, the questionnaire 151 data were first placed in blocks according to subject matter (e.g. farm characteristics, 152 farm hygiene, biosecurity, drug usage including other antibiotics) and then the 153 variables within each block were screened using Ward's minimum variance cluster 154 analysis to identify groups of related variables (Everitt, 1980; Ward, 1963). From each 155 group thus identified, a representative variable was selected (using epidemiological 156 significance plus data variability and completeness as criteria) as a candidate 157 explanatory variable in logistic regression modelling *within* each block of variables, 158 with the presence on a farm of FQr E. coli or FQr Campylobacter spp. as outcome 159 variables. 160 By this method a number of candidate explanatory variables were identified from 161 each block. These variables were re-analysed by Ward's minimum variance cluster 162 analysis regardless of their block of origin. Some variables closely correlated with 163 other, more epidemiologically pertinent, ones were removed from the analysis at this stage. The retained candidate variables from all blocks were then tried together in 164 165 logistic regression modelling, with results given as a list of risk factors for occurrence 166 of FQ resistance in each bacterial species, quantified in terms of adjusted odds ratios. An r^2 value, that estimates the proportion of variation in the data explained by the 167

168 model, was calculated for each model, according to the method of Nagelkerke (1991)

as recommended by Collett (2003).

170 **Results**

171 Bacteriological findings

172 *First sampling programme.* Findings have been reported in detail by Taylor *et al.*

173 (2008). FQr *E. coli* were isolated from 53 of the 89 farms. FQr *Campylobacter* spp.

174 were isolated from 20 of the 89 farms. Of tested isolates obtained from the 1.0 mg/ml

175 ciprofloxacin screening plates used, 79% of *E. coli* and 70% of *Campylobacter* spp.

176 isolates had MIC values for ciprofloxacin of 16 mg/l or greater.

177

178 Second sampling programme. Of the five breeding flocks tested on repopulation in

this follow-up investigation, none reported use of FQ during the previous six months

180 or yielded FQr E. coli or Campylobacter spp.. Among the nine breeding flocks tested

181 in mid- to late lay, FQr *E. coli* was isolated from two, but FQr *Campylobacter* spp.

182 was not isolated. One of these nine flocks reported FQ use (in one of two houses) in

the previous six months. Of the 28 broiler farms tested in mid-late rear, 25 yielded

184 FQr E. coli. No FQr Campylobacter spp. was isolated. FQ had been administered

185 during the previous six months on only one of these farms, in non-sampled parts of

186 the farm, and all samples from this farm yielded FQr *E. coli*.

187

Further intensive sampling visits, for FQr *E. coli*, were carried out at one of the midlay breeding flocks, a linked company hatchery and after C&D on two of the
commercial broiler sites. From the breeding flock, FQr *E. coli* was isolated from 16 of
100 environmental samples. It was most frequently found in fresh faeces and litter
(rather than nest boxes), but also found in guttering and on the concrete apron outside
the house. At the hatchery, FQr *E. coli* was found in six of the 100 samples taken

194 from meconium and egg/chick waste, as well as on cleaned and disinfected surfaces.

196	pooled wash water, ante-rooms which had been less well disinfected and fresh				
197	droppings from wild birds collected from the house exterior.				
198					
199	Seventy two E. coli strains from the second sampling programme were examined for				
200	MIC and serotype. Isolates were from breeder units in mid-lay, broiler units and the				
201	hatchery. Ciprofloxacin MIC values were ≥ 8 mg/l, with a modal value of 16 mg/l.				
202	Eight serovars were identified, and 12 isolates proved untypable. There was no				
203	overlap between identified serovars isolated from breeder versus broiler flocks. Thus,				
204	there was no evident relationship between breeder and broiler isolates. One of the				
205	three serovars isolated from the hatchery was associated with the breeder flocks, and				
206	another with the broiler flocks.				
207					
208	From one company, E. coli O101:K+ (verocytotoxin-negative, MIC 32 mg/l) was				
209	isolated in five broiler flocks in mid-late rear on one farm The same serovar was also				

On both post-C&D broiler farms, FQr E. coli was found in cracks and crevices,

210 found on two other farms from the same company, in two sequential flocks on each

211 farm. FQr *E. coli* O9:K+ was isolated from two of the breeding flocks (MIC 8 mg/l)

and from a waste skip at the hatchery (MIC 16 mg/l). However, this serovar was not

amongst the isolates tested from broiler units within the company.

214

195

215 The 72 serotyped *E. coli* isolates were also tested for resistance to antibiotics. Several

216 patterns were found, with resistance to ampicillin (86% isolates),

217 sulphamethoxazole/trimethoprim (65% isolates), tetracycline (67% isolates) and

streptomycin (43% isolates) being the most frequently encountered, in addition to

219 quinolone/FQ resistance.

220

Use of antibiotics and risk of fluoroquinolone resistance on thesurveyed farms

223 The questionnaire response options in relation to use of FQ on farms were: 'within 12 224 months', 'between one and two years ago', 'over two years ago' and 'never'. The 225 responses are summarised in Table 1. Use of FQ was reported on 22 of 88 (25%) 226 poultry farms in the survey, with one no-response. FQ use was significantly (Chi^2) 227 p < 0.0001) more common on turkey farms (14/21) than on broiler farms (8/67). Among the broiler farms, FQ use was significantly ($Chi^2 p < 0.0001$) more common 228 229 by independent producers (7/18) than by large poultry company farms (1/49). 230 Amongst turkey farms the most recent use had been within a year on nine of the 14 231 farms that reported use. On broiler farms, only two of the eight reporting use of FQ 232 had done so within the last year (Table 1). On all except one farm, FQ were 233 administered through water medication. In turkeys, the most common problem treated 234 with FQ was reported as being 'E. coli septicaemia'. Amongst broilers, the most 235 common problems reportedly associated with FQ use were 'yolk sac infections' or 236 'stunted chicks'. Use, in the previous 12 months, of non-FQ antibiotics other than 237 amoxicillin (41% of farms), lincospectin (22% of farms) and tetracycline (10% of 238 farms) was uncommon. Just under one fifth of farms reported routine use of in-feed 239 antibiotic.

240

FQr *E. coli* or FQr *Campylobacter* spp. were detected on 19 (86%) of the 22 farms

that had used FQ and 40 (61%) of the 66 farms that reported never using FQ. The

- 243 prevalence of farms positive for FQr *E. coli* or FQr *Campylobacter* spp. was not
- significantly different between farms with most recent use of FQ over one year ago,

245	compared with those using FQ within the last year. Therefore, farms where any FQ			
246	use was reported were grouped together for comparison with those farms reporting			
247	that they had never used FQ in further analyses. Table 2 shows the relative risks (with			
248	95% confidence intervals) for the occurrence of FQ resistance on poultry farms,			
249	associated with the use of FQ.			
250				
251	Overall within-farm prevalence values for FQr Campylobacter spp. and E. coli were			
252	around 5% and 20% of faecal pools, respectively. On some premises, resistant			

253 *Campylobacter* were shed by birds in only one or two houses, but there were others

where shedding birds were present across the farm. Birds shedding FQr E. coli tended

to be distributed throughout the houses on affected farms.

256

257 Modelling of risk factors for the occurrence of FQ-resistance

258 Correlation and clustering analysis revealed that farm type (turkey or broiler;

independent grower or large company) was strongly correlated with several of thevariables. Specifically:

Turkey farms were strongly *positively* correlated with the use of FQ, cleaning
 and disinfecting header tanks, seeing more than five rats at depopulation, the use
 of plastic drinkers for chicks, and the use of growth promoters and tetracyclines.
 Turkey farms were strongly *negatively* correlated with single-handed operation,
 enclosure by a perimeter fence, the provision of wheel dips, wild bird access to

266 poultry houses, the presence of dogs or cats, cleaning and disinfecting ante

267 rooms, feed hoppers and areas outside houses, and the use of nipple drinkers and

268 digestive enzymes.

269	• Independent farms were strongly <i>positively</i> correlated with the use of FQ, the
270	presence of dogs or cats, slaughtering birds at an older age and cleaning and
271	disinfecting ante rooms.
272	• Independent farms were strongly <i>negatively</i> correlated with the provision of
273	masks and wheel dips, seeing more than five rats at depopulation, cleaning and
274	disinfecting header tanks, and the use of digestive enzymes and growth
275	promoters.
276	
277	In addition, the correlation analysis indicated the following:
278	• Single-handed farms tended not to have wheel dips.
279	• Farms enclosed by a perimeter fence tended to provide wheel dips and have
280	dogs or cats.
281	• Farms enclosed by a perimeter fence tended not to have big houses, tended not
282	to be turkey farms and, therefore, tended not to use growth promoters and
283	tetracycline.
284	• Larger farms tended to provide masks to staff.
285	• Dusting of all detailed areas was positively correlated with wet cleaning of all
286	detailed areas and removal of all wash water from the site.
287	• C&D of ante rooms was strongly positively correlated with C&D of feed
288	hoppers.
289	• In this particular sample of poultry farms, the variable 'provision of a mask' was
290	also positively correlated with provision of hat and gloves and provision of hand
291	sanitiser and provision of a toilet.
292	

The turkey farm type was very strongly associated with the use of FQ. The turkey farm variable itself was not significant in the final models. This implies that the reason for the increased proportion of turkey farms with FQr *E. coli* or *Campylobacter* spp., compared with broiler farms, as reported previously (Taylor et al., 2008), is fully explained by other variables in the model, chiefly the use of FQ on the farms.

299

300 The results of the final regression modelling are presented in tables 3 and 4 showing

301 the variables included as risk factors, estimates of coefficients with p-values, the

302 estimated adjusted odds ratios with 90% and 95% confidence intervals and the r^2

303 value.

304

305 Having fitted main effects, several interactions were identified as statistically

306 significant but inclusion of these in the regression models always resulted in estimates

307 for some odds ratios approaching infinity or zero. This was considered to be the result

308 of small sample sizes, such that inclusion of too many effects, notably the

interactions, produced models that were 'over-fitted', as described by Collett (2003).

310 To avoid the possibility of over-fitting and implausible interpretations, models were

311 finalised without interactions.

312

313 Table 3 provides a summary of the factors included in the final fitted logistic

314 regression model for the risk of occurrence of FQr E. coli. Significant factors

315 increasing risk are: use of FQ in past, single-handed operation of the site, and the

316 existence of a public footpath on the periphery of the site. The sole significant factor

317 decreasing risk is enclosure of the site by a perimeter fence. The r^2 value of the fitted

318 model is fairly low, which indicates that other, unidentified, explanatory risk factors319 are likely to be involved.

320

321	Table 4 provides a summary of the factors included in the final fitted logistic
322	regression model for the risk of occurrence of FQr Campylobacter spp. Significant
323	risk factors increasing risk are: the use of FQ in the past and wild birds having access
324	to poultry houses. Significant factors decreasing risk are: more than the median (for
325	all broiler or turkey farms in the sample, as appropriate) number of birds on site, the
326	site operated by an independent grower, masks provided for staff, detailed areas
327	dusted before wet cleaning, and feed hoppers cleaned and disinfected.
328	
329	The r^2 value is over 50%, indicating that the model provides a good explanation of
330	factors affecting the occurrence of FQ-resistant Campylobacter spp However, the
331	model is fitted with quite a large number of variables (seven) in relation to the dataset
332	size $(n = 84)$ and is in danger of being 'over-fitted'. The result of this is the relatively
333	wide confidence intervals for the adjusted odds ratios. Nevertheless, the fitted
334	variables are statistically significant. The conclusion is that the factors in the model
335	affect risk significantly, and perhaps greatly, but the data are not sufficient to allow
336	the risk effect to be quantified very precisely.
337	

338 **Discussion**

339 The bacteriological findings of the initial survey (Taylor et al., 2008) and the follow-

340 up studies reported here have identified the frequent occurrence of *E. coli* and

341 Campylobacter spp. with FQ resistance on a substantial proportion of turkey and

342	broiler commercial production facilities. FQr E. coli were also isolated on breeding
343	flock premises. Moreover, the FQr E. coli and Campylobacter spp. typically exhibited
344	clinically-significant elevations in MIC values (Becnel Boyd et al., 2009; EUCAST,
345	2014) and the FQr E. coli often showed resistance to other classes of antimicrobial
346	agents. The present findings for E. coli are similar, in terms of frequency of isolation
347	on FQ resistance-selective media, MIC values observed, and common co-resistances
348	with other classes of antimicrobial drugs, with the findings of Gosling et al. (2012).
349	That study used UK-wide samples from turkey units taken for a European Union
350	baseline survey.
351	
352	It was initially hypothesised that FQr organisms would be found on a small
353	percentage of farms, principally those where FQ were used. However, in the first
354	(structured) survey FQr organisms (mostly E. coli) were detected on a heavy majority
355	(86%) of farms that had used FQ in the past, and also on over half (61%) of the farms
356	that reported never using FQ. This finding is similar to that of a concurrent survey in
357	pig production (Taylor et al., 2009). A history of FQ use was associated with an
358	approximately doubled risk that FQr E. coli or Campylobacter spp. would be found on
359	a farm, and with the highest odds ratios among all the factors considered in the
360	logistic regression models for FQ resistance on farm.

361

362 The substantial prevalence of FQ resistance-affected farms that had never used FQ363 suggests that FQr organisms may commonly be imported onto farms, either with

replacement birds in the case of *E.coli*, or from environmental sources in the case of

365 *Campylobacter* spp.. The persistence of such strains correlates with experimental data

366 suggesting little or no fitness cost associated with a moderate degree of FQ resistance

367	in E. coli (Schrag et al., 1997) and Campylobacter spp. (Q. Zhang et al., 2003). This is
368	consistent with the experience in countries where FQ are either prohibited or not
369	specifically licensed in poultry farming (USA, Canada and Denmark), where FQ
370	resistance among Campylobacter spp. isolates from poultry sources has not
371	consistently declined following cessation of FQ use in the sector (Agunos et al., 2013;
372	DANMAP, 2014).
373	
374	There are, inevitably, some reasons to be careful in interpreting the present analysis.
375	The influence of co-resistance involving FQ resistance plus other antibiotics needs
376	some consideration, despite no significant associations being found between FQ

377 resistance on premises and recent use of a specific antibiotic class.

378

379 In Campylobacter spp., resistance to FQ typically is mediated by mutation of a 380 chromosomally-encoded topoisomerase, which is a mechanism specific to quinolone 381 antibiotics (Gyles, 2008; Qijing Zhang et al., 2003). This is augmented in some cases 382 by overexpression of the chromosomally-encoded multi-drug efflux pump CmeB 383 (Fàbrega et al., 2008). Therefore, clinical resistance to FQ is unlikely to occur 384 consequent upon use of a different antibiotic class or by introduction on mobile 385 genetic elements. However, as shown in the present study and elsewhere (Pérez-Boto 386 et al., 2013), FQ resistance in *Campylobacter* spp. from poultry farms is often 387 accompanied by other antibiotic resistances in the same isolates. If FQ resistance is, 388 for whatever reason, more common amongst antibiotic-resistant strains than among 389 susceptible strains, then co-selection by other antibiotics may maintain pre-existing 390 FQr strains for a prolonged period, especially if, as appears to be the case, the fitness 391 cost of FQ resistance among *Campylobacter* spp. is low (Luo et al., 2005). It is

17

therefore important to note that, whereas FQ resistance clearly has the potential to persist in the absence of FQ use by co-selection, it seems unlikely to be present in the first instance without either being introduced from elsewhere, or following selection by FQ use.

396

397 For *E. coli*, the picture is perhaps more complicated. High-level FQ resistance is 398 firmly associated with topoisomerase mutation(s) (Fabrega et al., 2008; Gyles, 2008; 399 Vanni et al., 2014), although intermediate resistance or enhancement of clinical 400 resistance is possible by chromosomal efflux pump upregulation and/or plasmid-borne 401 genes encoding target site protection (*qnr*), efflux (*qepA*) or FQ modification by an 402 aminoglycoside acetyltransferase (aac(6')-Ib-cr) (Fàbrega et al., 2008; Veldman et 403 al., 2011; Yue et al., 2008). Therefore, intermediate FQ susceptibility may be 404 introduced or maintained by horizontal transfer and/or co-selection by the use of other 405 antibiotic classes. However, no non-FQ antibiotics are likely to select the spontaneous 406 topoisomerase mutations fundamental to clinical resistance levels. 407 408 Although the prevalence of FQ resistance among contemporaneous diagnostic avian 409 samples of E. coli in the UK was low (around 2% to 6% depending on region and 410 source), resistances to commonly-used antimicrobials were more prevalent, in the 411 range 23% to 65% of isolates for ampicillin, amoxicillin, spectinomycin and 412 trimethoprim/ sulphonamide (Anon., 2007), consistent with the resistance findings in 413 the present study. This suggests that many FQ-resistant E. coli would also have had 414 resistance to other therapeutic antibiotics. Like *Campylobacter* spp., this might 415 facilitate co-selection of FQ resistance by other antibiotics but would not be expected 416 to generate *de novo* the clinical degree of resistance seen in the present study.

417 The second sampling programme and typing studies reinforce the finding of the initial 418 survey that the presence of FOr E. coli on a farm may not necessarily be related to 419 recent recorded use of FQ on the premises. The FQr E. coli isolated belonged to 420 numerous serogroups and had a range of different antibiograms, indicating that they 421 did not belong to a single clone. Furthermore, the FQr E. coli on the two farms tested 422 after C&D were able to persist in the environment and were a potential source of 423 infection for a new flock. A pertinent allied observation from the initial survey is that, 424 on farms where FQ had been used, there was no significant effect seen of the time 425 elapsed since last use upon the risk of FQ resistance. It is interesting to note in this 426 context that Ingram et al. (2013) isolated FQr E. coli harbouring multidrug-resistance 427 plasmids from chicken carcasses in Australia (a territory where FQ are not licensed 428 for poultry), thereby showing that topoisomerase-mutants may be present commonly 429 in products from apparently FQ-free systems.

430

The second sampling study also provided observational evidence that, for *E. coli* at least, FQr strains potentially can transfer between broiler premises within integrated operations, presumably via personnel and fomites. There was no evidence of vertical transmission of FQr *E. coli* from breeder to broiler flocks, which may reflect the biosecurity barrier that can be achieved between these levels of production by hygienic hatchery management.

437

438 The differences in risk factors identified for the two bacterial genera examined may

439 reflect differences in the usual modes of transfer of these organisms between

440 locations. Interested readers are directed to Taylor et al. (2009) for discussion of the

441 merits and limitations of the statistical modelling approach of the present study. In

addition to FQ use and single-handed operation, the two variables identified as
significant risk factors for the occurrence of FQr *E. coli* were the existence of a
perimeter fence (protective) and of a public footpath (increasing risk). Thus, in
common with pig units, biosecurity appears to be of high importance for FQr *E. coli*.
For poultry the physical integrity of the farm limits seems to be of primary
significance, whereas for pigs the proximity of other pig units and visitor biosecurity
was found to be important (Taylor et al., 2009).

449

450 These differences in the most significant biosecurity barriers for pigs versus poultry 451 farms may to some extent reflect differences in the frequency of visitors and of feed 452 and stock transporters, differences in the housing systems, in the typical farm sizes, 453 and in the typical local environments. Whilst risk factor analysis may identify areas of 454 particular vulnerability or strength for particular enterprise types, examination of any 455 particular unit would sensibly include a comprehensive overview of biosecurity issues, especially as the relatively low r^2 value for the *E*. *coli* model indicates other 456 457 significant unidentified risk factors that may not be common to all or most units. 458 459 For Campylobacter spp., the risk factor model for the occurrence of FQ resistance 460 indicates the importance of farm hygiene, perhaps reflecting the greater importance of 461 shorter-range transmission between animals for this more environmentally labile 462 pathogen when compared with E. coli. One protective factor of particular interest was 463 provision of a mask. This factor was positively correlated with, and effectively a 464 proxy variable for, other factors including the provision of hand sanitisers, a toilet,

465 hats and gloves. The inclusion of this factor in the model can be taken as indication of

466 the protective effect of better hygiene facilities in general.

468	The significantly protective variables regarding dusting (of several difficult or
469	inaccessible parts of poultry houses before wet cleaning) and C&D of feed hoppers
470	are interpreted as indicators of generally superior farm cleaning. Campylobacters are
471	frequently recovered from puddles and other wet locations on farms, but typically not
472	from dry materials. The findings indicate the importance of attention to detail when
473	cleaning between crops, presumably by preventing carry-over of infection,
474	particularly of Campylobacter spp., between batches of stock.
475	
476	The introduction of Campylobacter spp. (including, potentially, FQr strains) to a
477	poultry flock or premises is considered to be a more important issue than carry-over,
478	and may occur following the repeated entrance of staff with contaminated clothing,
479	hands or equipment (Newell et al., 2011). The risks of acquisition of Campylobacter
480	spp. by flocks before slaughter are related to several factors including: season, on-
481	farm hygiene, other animal species on the farm, more than one poultry house per
482	stockperson, thinning of slaughter-age flocks by catching crews and features of the
483	farm environmental surroundings, as reviewed by Vidal et al., (2014). However,
484	Refregier-Petton et al. (2001) reported a risk factor analysis for the presence of
485	Campylobacter spp. in broilers at slaughter using a similar methodology to the present
486	one and found, amongst other things, that no specific stockperson hygiene practices
487	were significant. Discrepancies noted in that report between claimed and observed

488 hygiene practices may explain this finding, and its apparent lack of concordance with489 the present evidence.

491 The transmission of FQr *Campylobacter* spp., and probably of *Campylobacter* spp. 492 more generally, may also be associated with wildlife vectors. Remarkable suppression 493 of seasonal peaks in flock Campylobacter spp. colonisation has been demonstrated, in 494 the context of good general hygiene, following the use of mesh screens to exclude 495 wildlife down to the level of flying insects from broiler houses (Bahrndorff et al., 496 2013). The factor, 'saw more than five rats at last depopulation' was associated with 497 an increased risk, but was not significant in the final model. Access to the poultry 498 houses by wild birds was a significant factor for increasing risk in the final model, 499 with a large odds ratio. It has been documented that wild birds carry Campylobacter 500 spp., including FQr strains (Broman et al., 2002; Waldenstrom et al., 2005), although 501 wild bird strains generally differ from poultry and human strains (Broman et al., 502 2004). Access by wild birds may be indicative of poorer biosecurity with respect to 503 wildlife more generally.

504

505 In conclusion, the present investigations have illustrated the strong association 506 between any use of FQ on poultry farms and the presence of E. coli and/or 507 Campylobacter spp. with clinically-relevant levels of resistance to FQ on the same 508 premises. Furthermore, the introduction or maintenance of FQr organisms on farms 509 appears significantly influenced by farm hygiene (*Campylobacter* spp.) and boundary 510 biosecurity (E. coli), with evidence also being found of cross-transfer of FQr E. coli 511 between premises linked in the production system. As has been discussed elsewhere 512 (Taylor et al., 2008), both E. coli and Campylobacter spp. are zoonotic organisms for 513 which FQ are therapeutic agents in humans. It appears, on the present evidence, to be 514 difficult for farms that use FQ to avoid the development of FQ-resistant E. coli and 515 *Campylobacter* spp. on farm. However, for those farms that do not use FQ, an

- 516 emphasis on excellence in biosecurity and on-farm hygiene is likely to prove
- 517 protective. The benefits of such a strategy are likely to extend to control or exclusion
- 518 of some other infectious agents also. This is in line with guidelines produced by the
- 519 UK 'Responsible Use of Medicines in Agriculture Alliance' (RUMA;
- 520 http://www.ruma.org.uk), which stress that the use of antimicrobials should be seen as
- 521 complementing good management, vaccination and site hygiene.

522

523

524 Acknowledgements

- 525 This work was funded by the UK Veterinary Medicines Directorate and Department
- 526 for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, under project VM02101. The authors thank
- 527 Mr J. Gallagher for statistical support and the veterinary surgeons, farmers and
- 528 companies without whose support this project could not have been conducted.

529

530 **Conflicts of interest**

- 531 None
- 532

533 **References**

AAAP-AVMA, 2015. American Association of Avian Pathologists - American 534 535 Veterinary Medical Association guidelines for judicious therapeutic use of 536 antimicrobials in poultry. 537 Agunos, A., Léger, D., Avery, B.P., Parmley, E.J., Deckert, A., Carson, C.A., Dutil, 538 L., 2013. Ciprofloxacin-resistant Campylobacter spp. in retail chicken, 539 western Canada. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 19, 1121–1124. 540 doi:10.3201/eid1907.111417 541 Anon., 2007. Overview of antimicrobial usage and bacterial resistance in selected 542 human and animal pathogens in the UK: 2004. Communicable Disease 543 Surveillance Centre Northern Ireland, Department for Environment Food and 544 Rural Affairs, Department of Agriculture and Rural Development Northern 545 Ireland, Department of Health, Food Standards Agency, Health Protection Agency, Health Protection Scotland, Scottish Agricultural College, Scottish 546 547 Executive Environment and Rural Affairs Department, Veterinary 548 Laboratories Agency, Veterinary Medicines Directorate. 549 Bahrndorff, S., Rangstrup-Christensen, L., Nordentoft, S., Hald, B., 2013. Foodborne 550 disease prevention and broiler chickens with reduced Campylobacter 551 infection. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 19, 425-430. doi:10.3201/eid1903.111593 552 Becnel Boyd, L., Maynard, M.J., Morgan-Linnell, S.K., Horton, L.B., Sucgang, R., Hamill, R.J., Jimenez, J.R., Versalovic, J., Steffen, D., Zechiedrich, L., 2009. 553 Relationships among ciprofloxacin, gatifloxacin, levofloxacin, and norfloxacin 554 555 MICs for fluoroquinolone-resistant Escherichia coli clinical isolates. 556 Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 53, 229-234. doi:10.1128/AAC.00722-08 557 Broman, T., Palmgren, H., Bergstrom, S., Sellin, M., Waldenstrom, J., Danielsson-558 Tham, M.L., Olsen, B., 2002. Campylobacter jejuni in black-headed gulls 559 (Larus ridibundus): Prevalence, genotypes, and influence on C. jejuni 560 epidemiology. J. Clin. Microbiol. 40, 4594-4602. 561 Broman, T., Waldenstrom, J., Dahlgren, D., Carlsson, I., Eliasson, I., Olsen, B., 2004. Diversities and similarities in PFGE profiles of Campylobacter jejuni isolated 562 563 from migrating birds and humans. J. Appl. Microbiol. 96, 834-843. 564 Cheng, A.C., Turnidge, J., Collignon, P., Looke, D., Barton, M., Gottlieb, T., 2012. 565 Control of fluoroquinolone resistance through successful regulation, Australia. 566 Emerg. Infect. Dis. 18, 1453-1460. doi:10.3201/eid1809.111515 567 Collett, D., 2003. Models for binary and binomial data, in: Modelling Binary Data. 568 Chapman & Hall/CRC, London, pp. 45–102. DANMAP, 2014. DANMAP 2013 - Use of antimicrobial agents and occurrence of 569 570 antimicrobial resistance in bacteria from food animals, food and humans in 571 Denmark. Danish Integrated Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring and 572 Research Programme. Di Giannatale, E., Di Serafino, G., Zilli, K., Alessiani, A., Sacchini, L., Garofolo, G., 573 574 Aprea, G., Marotta, F., 2014. Characterization of antimicrobial resistance 575 patterns and detection of virulence genes in *Campylobacter* isolates in Italy. Sensors 14, 3308–3322. doi:10.3390/s140203308 576 ECDC/EFSA/EMA, 2015. ECDC/EFSA/EMA first joint report on the integrated 577 578 analysis of the consumption of antimicrobial agents and occurrence of 579 antimicrobial resistance in bacteria from humans and food-producing animals. 580 EFSA J. 13, 4006; 114 pp.

581	EFSA, 2010. Scientific Opinion on quantification of the risk posed by broiler meat to				
582	human campylobacteriosis in the EU. EFSA J. 8, 1437 [89 pp.].				
583	doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1437				
584	EFSA/ECDC, 2014. The European Union Summary Report on antimicrobial				
585	resistance in zoonotic and indicator bacteria from humans, animals and food in				
586	2012. EFSA J. 12, 3590 (336 pp.). doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3590				
587	EFSA/ECDC, 2013. The European Union summary report on trends and sources of				
588	zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2011.				
589	EUCAST, 2014. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters.				
590	Version 4.0.				
591	Everitt, B.S., 1980. Cluster analysis, 2nd ed. Heinemann Educational Books Ltd.,				
592	London.				
593	Fàbrega, A., Sánchez-Céspedes, J., Soto, S., Vila, J., 2008. Quinolone resistance in				
594	the food chain. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 31, 307–315.				
595	doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2007.12.010				
596	Gonzalez-Hein, G., Cordero, N., Garcia, P., Figueroa, G., 2013. Molecular analysis of				
597	fluoroquinolones and macrolides resistance in <i>Campylobacter jejuni</i> isolates				
598	from humans, bovine and chicken meat. Rev. Chil. Infectologia 30, 135–139.				
599	Gosling, R.J., Clouting, C.S., Randall, L.P., Horton, R.A., Davies, R.H., 2012.				
600	Ciprofloxacin resistance in <i>E. coli</i> isolated from turkeys in Great Britain.				
601	Avian Pathol. 41, 83–89. doi:10.1080/03079457.2011.640659				
602	Gyles, C.L., 2008. Antimicrobial resistance in selected bacteria from poultry. Anim.				
603	Health Res. Rev. 9, 149–158. doi:10.1017/S1466252308001552				
604	Hartl, D.L., Dykhuizen, D.E., 1984. The population genetics of <i>Escherichia coli</i> .				
605	Annu Rev Genet 18, 31–68.				
606	Ingram, P.R., Rogers, B.A., Sidjabat, H.E., Gibson, J.S., Inglis, T.J.J., 2013. Co-				
607	selection may explain high rates of ciprofloxacin non-susceptible Escherichia				
608	<i>coli</i> from retail poultry reared without prior fluoroquinolone exposure. J. Med.				
609	Microbiol. 62, 1743–1746. doi:10.1099/jmm.0.062729-0				
610	Johnson, J.R., Kuskowski, M.A., Menard, M., Gajewski, A., Xercavins, M., Garau, J.,				
611	2006. Similarity between human and chicken Escherichia coli isolates in				
612	relation to ciprofloxacin resistance status. J Infect Dis 194, 71–8.				
613	Luber, P., Bartelt, E., Genschow, E., Wagner, J., Hahn, H., 2003. Comparison of broth				
614	microdilution, E test, and agar dilution methods for antibiotic susceptibility				
615	testing of Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter coli. J. Clin. Microbiol.				
616	41, 1062–1068. doi:10.1128/JCM.41.3.1062-1068.2003				
617	Luo, N., Pereira, S., Sahin, O., Lin, J., Huang, S., Michel, L., Zhang, Q., 2005.				
618	Enhanced in vivo fitness of fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter jejuni in				
619	the absence of antibiotic selection pressure. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.				
620	102, 541–546. doi:10.1073/pnas.0408966102				
621	Nagelkerke, N.J.D., 1991. A note on a general definition of the coefficient of				
622	determination. Biometrika 78, 691–692.				
623	Newell, D.G., Elvers, K.T., Dopfer, D., Hansson, I., Jones, P., James, S., Gittins, J.,				
624	Stern, N.J., Davies, R., Connerton, I., Pearson, D., Salvat, G., Allen, V.M.,				
625	2011. Biosecurity-based interventions and strategies to reduce Campylobacter				
626	spp. on poultry farms. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77, 8605–8614.				
627	doi:10.1128/AEM.01090-10				
628	OIE, 2014. Chapter 6.9. Responsible and prudent use of antimicrobial agents in				
629	veterinary medicine, in: Terrestrial Animal Health Code 2014. World				
630	Organisation for Animal Health (OIE).				

631	Pérez-Boto, D., García-Peña, F.J., Abad-Moreno, J.C., Echeita, M.A., 2013.				
632	Antimicrobial susceptibilities of Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter				
633	coli strains isolated from two early stages of poultry production. Microb. Drug				
634	Resist. 19, 323–330. doi:10.1089/mdr.2012.0160				
635	Refregier-Petton, J., Rose, N., Denis, M., Salvat, G., 2001. Risk factors for				
636	<i>Campylobacter</i> spp. contamination in French broiler-chicken flocks at the end				
637	of the rearing period. Prev. Vet. Med. 50, 89–100.				
638	RUMA, 2005. Responsible use of antimicrobials in poultry production.				
639	Schrag, S.J., Perrot, V., Levin, B.R., 1997. Adaptation to the fitness cost of antibiotic				
640	resistance in <i>Escherichia coli</i> . Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 264, 1287–1291.				
641	Taylor, N., Clifton-Hadley, F., Wales, A., Ridley, A., Davies, R., 2009. Farm level				
642	risk factors for fluoroquinolone resistance in <i>E. coli</i> and thermophilic				
643	<i>Campylobacter</i> spp. on finisher pig farms. Epidemiol. Infect. 137, 1121–1134.				
644	doi:dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0950268808001854				
645	Taylor, N.M., Davies, R.H., Ridley, A., Clouting, C., Wales, A.D., Clifton-Hadley,				
646	F.A., 2008. A survey of fluoroquinolone resistance in <i>E. coli</i> and thermophilic				
647	<i>Campylobacter</i> spp. on poultry and pig farms in Great Britain. J. Appl.				
648	Microbiol. 105, 1421–31. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.2008.03877.x				
649	USDA, 2005. National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System (NARMS):				
650	Enteric Bacteria. Veterinary Isolates, final report 2005. U.S. Department of				
651	Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Athens, Georgia, USA.				
652	van den Bogaard, A.E., London, N., Driessen, C., Stobberingh, E.E., 2001. Antibiotic				
653	resistance of faecal <i>Escherichia coli</i> in poultry, poultry farmers and poultry				
654	slaughterers. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 47, 763–771.				
655	Vanni, M., Meucci, V., Tognetti, R., Cagnardi, P., Montesissa, C., Piccirillo, A.,				
656	Rossi, A.M., Bello, D.D., Intorre, L., 2014. Fluoroquinolone resistance and				
657	molecular characterization of gyrA and parC quinolone resistance-determining				
658	regions in Escherichia coli isolated from poultry. Poult. Sci. 93, 856-863.				
659	doi:10.3382/ps.2013-03627				
660	Veldman, K., Cavaco, L.M., Mevius, D., Battisti, A., Franco, A., Botteldoorn, N.,				
661	Bruneau, M., Perrin-Guyomard, A., Cerny, T., De Frutos Escobar, C., Guerra,				
662	B., Schroeter, A., Gutierrez, M., Hopkins, K., Myllyniemi, AL., Sunde, M.,				
663	Wasyl, D., Aarestrup, F.M., 2011. International collaborative study on the				
664	occurrence of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance in Salmonella enterica				
665	and Escherichia coli isolated from animals, humans, food and the environment				
666	in 13 European countries. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 66, 1278–1286.				
667	doi:10.1093/jac/dkr084				
668	Vidal, A.B., Davies, R.H., Rodgers, J.D., Ridley, A., Clifton-Hadley, F., 2014.				
669	Epidemiology and control of <i>Campylobacter</i> in modern broiler production, in:				
670	Sheppard, S.K., Méric, G. (Eds.), Campylobacter Ecology and Evolution.				
671	Caister Academic Press, p. 360.				
672	Waldenstrom, J., Mevius, D., Veldman, K., Broman, T., Hasselquist, D., Olsen, B.,				
673	2005. Antimicrobial resistance profiles of Campylobacter jejuni isolates from				
674	wild birds in Sweden. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71, 2438–2441.				
675	Ward, J.H., 1963. Hierarchical grouping to optimise an objective function. J. Am.				
676	Stat. Assoc. 58, 236–244.				
677	Yue, L., Jiang, HX., Liao, XP., Liu, JH., Li, SJ., Chen, XY., Chen, CX., Lü,				
678	DH., Liu, YH., 2008. Prevalence of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance				
679	qnr genes in poultry and swine clinical isolates of Escherichia coli. Vet.				
680	Microbiol. 132, 414–420. doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.05.009				

- Zhang, Q., Lin, J., Pereira, S., 2003. Fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter* in
 animal reservoirs: dynamics of development, resistance mechanisms and
 ecological fitness. Anim Health Res Rev 4, 63–71.
- Zhang, Q., Lin, J., Pereira, S., 2003. Fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter* in animal reservoirs: dynamics of development, resistance mechanisms and ecological fitness. Anim. Health Res. Rev. 4, 63–72.
 doi:10.1079/AHRR200356
- 688

Last use of FQ	Broiler	Turkev		Number with FQ resistance	
antibiotics	farms	farms	All farms	E. coli	Campylobacter
In last year	2	9	11	10 (91%)	4 (36%)
Over 1 year ago	6 ^a	5 ^b	11	9 (82%)	4 (36%)
Never used	59	7	66	33 (50%)	11 (17%)

Table 1: Detection of fluoroquinolone (FQ)-resistant bacteria on poultry farms, compared with reported use of FQ

a: 2 of 6 reported most recent use over 2 years ago b: 1 of 5 reported most recent use over 2 years ago

Table 2: Relative risks (with 95% confidence intervals) for the occurrence of fluoroquinolone (FQ) resistance on poultry farms, associated with the reported use of FQ

	Proportion of farms with FQ resistance		
	E. coli	Campylobacter	
FQ used (n = 22)	0.86	0.36	
FQ never used (n = 66)	0.50	0.17	
Relative Risk (95% C.I.)	1.73 (1.29 – 2.32)	2.18 (1.01 – 4.72)	

	CO-	p-value*	Lower Limit C.I.s		Odds ratio	Upper Limit C.I.s	
Risk Factor	efficient		95%	90%	point estimate	90%	95%
Constant	- 0.204	0.6294					
Use of FQ in the past	2.049	0.0016	1.85	2.31	7.76	26.04	32.48
Site operated single- handedly	0.948	0.073	0.89	1.06	2.58	6.30	7.46
Site enclosed by a perimeter fence	- 1.302	0.014	0.09	0.11	0.27	0.67	0.79
Site has public footpath on the perimeter	1.407	0.019	1.17	1.43	4.09	11.67	14.20

Table 3: Estimated adjusted odds ratios, with confidence intervals (C.I.s), of variables included as risk factors in the final logistic regression model for the occurrence of fluoroquinolone (FQ)-resistant *E. coli* on poultry farms

n = 83; maximum re-scaled $r^2 = 29.9\%$

*p-value is based on likelihood ratio test.

	CO-		Lower limit C.I.s		Odds ratio	Upper limit C.I.s	
Risk Factor	efficient	p-value*	95%	90%	point estimate	90%	95%
constant	1.476	0.2387					
Use of FQ at any time in past	2.685	0.0052	1.64	2.32	14.65	92.59	130.59
No. of birds on site higher than median	- 2.182	0.0097	0.02	0.024	0.11	0.54	0.73
Site owned by an independent grower	- 3.156	0.0031	0.00	0.005	0.04	0.36	0.54
Masks provided for staff	- 1.412	0.081	0.05	0.062	0.24	0.96	1.24
All detailed areas are dusted	- 2.147	0.0089	0.02	0.026	0.12	0.52	0.69
Feed hoppers cleaned and disinfected	- 1.684	0.061	0.03	0.041	0.19	0.85	1.13
Wild birds have access to poultry houses	2.332	0.017	1.40	1.91	10.30	55.46	76.05

Table 4: Estimated adjusted odds ratios of variables, with confidence intervals (C.I.s), of variables included as risk factors in the final logistic regression model for the occurrence of fluoroquinolone (FQ)-resistant *Campylobacter* spp. on poultry farms

n = 84; maximum re-scaled $r^2 = 56.3\%$

*p-value is based on likelihood ratio test.