

Single-walled Carbon Nanotube-Metalloporphyrin Composites for the Chemiresistive Detection of Amines and Meat Spoilage**

Sophie F. Liu, Alexander R. Petty, Graham T. Sazama, and Timothy M. Swager*

Abstract: Chemiresistive detectors for amine vapors were made from single-walled carbon nanotubes by non-covalent modification with cobalt *meso*-aryloporphyrin complexes. We show that through changes in the metal's oxidation state, the electron-withdrawing character of the porphyrinato ligand, and the counteranion, the magnitude of chemiresistive response to ammonia could be improved. The devices exhibited sub-ppm sensitivity and high selectivity toward amines as well as good stability to air, moisture, and time. The application of these chemiresistors in the detection of various biogenic amines (i.e., putrescine, cadaverine) and in the monitoring of spoilage in raw meat samples (chicken, pork, salmon, cod) over several days was also demonstrated.

For health and economic reasons, there is interest from meat providers and consumers in sensors to monitor its spoilage.^[1] A detector for meat spoilage could prevent the consumption of unsafe meat or unnecessary discard. One of the most salient markers of meat decomposition is formation of biogenic amines (BAs). Among the most common BAs in food are putrescine (butane-1,4-diamine) and cadaverine (pentane-1,5-diamine). BAs are formed through microbial enzymatic decarboxylation of amino acids^[2] and by amination of carbonyls.^[3]

Many literature reports describe analytical methods for monitoring meat spoilage that rely on detection of amines, or total volatile basic nitrogen (TVBN). Strategies for the detection of BAs include chromatography,^[3] spectrometry,^[4] electrophoresis,^[5] colorimetry,^[6] mass balance,^[7] chemiluminescence,^[8] and electrochemistry.^[9] However, these all suffer from one or more drawbacks: extensive sample preparation prior to analysis; expensive, cumbersome instruments with high power consumption; highly trained personnel to operate; and line of sight required to read output.

Electronic sensors such as chemiresistors offer solutions to these drawbacks. They can take measurements in real time with the as-is sample; they can be fabricated cheaply; they can be portable with low power requirements and readily integrated into electronic circuitry without direct visual (line of sight) observation needed to obtain the readout. Carbon nanotubes are particularly well suited for use in chemiresistors^[10] as they are highly sensitive to changes in their electronic environments^[11] and do

not require high operating temperatures.^[12]

Although non-functionalized single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) are known to detect amines chemiresistively,^[13] we aimed to improve their sensitivity and specificity to amines through functionalization. SWCNTs can be functionalized covalently or non-covalently with other molecules in order to impart sensitivity or selectivity for a desired analyte.^[14] In particular, non-covalent functionalization allows for facile functionalization without disruption of the electronic properties of the CNTs that can accompany covalent functionalization.^[15]

Porphyrins are an attractive platform for functionalizing SWCNTs because their aromatic core is capable of non-covalently binding to the walls of the SWCNTs with the π system.^[16] To detect amines, we functionalized SWCNTs with cobalt porphyrins, which are known to bind to amines^[17], can be tuned rationally, and offer an opportunity to examine the effects of oxidation state in amine sensing as both Co^{2+} and Co^{3+} species are accessible.




Figure 1. Structures of cobalt porphyrins employed in detectors in this study. Axial aquo ligands are omitted for clarity.

We hypothesized that sensitivity to amines would benefit from increasing electrophilicity of the Co center by using a relatively electron-withdrawing porphyrin, a weakly coordinating counteranion, and a high oxidation state. Therefore, we synthesized a series of Co porphyrins (Figure 1) allowing for comparison between *meso*-tetraphenylporphyrinato (tpp) and the more electron-withdrawing *meso*-tetraakis(pentafluorophenyl)porphyrinato (tpfpp) ligand, between Cl⁻ and the more weakly coordinating ClO₄⁻ and BF₄⁻ counteranions, and between Co³⁺ and more electron-rich Co²⁺.

Devices were fabricated by drop-casting a suspension of SWCNTs and the desired porphyrin complex between gold electrodes (1 mm gap) in a 14-channel array with a shared counter-electrode, a design we used previously^[18] for simultaneous measurement with different composites. We calibrated the responses to various concentrations of NH₃. Low concentrations of NH₃ diluted in N₂ were delivered to the device while a potentiostat applied 0.100 V across the electrodes and recorded current. Negative change in current resulting from exposure to NH₃ was divided by initial current to give change in conductance ($-\Delta G/G_0$), which was taken as the response.

[*] S. F. Liu, A. R. Petty, Dr. G. T. Sazama, Prof. Dr. T. M. Swager
 Department of Chemistry and Institute for Soldier Nanotechnologies
 Massachusetts Institute of Technology
 Cambridge, MA 02139 (USA)
 E-mail: tswager@mit.edu

[**] This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (DMR-1410718) and Graduate Research Fellowship under Grant No. 1122374 as well as the Army Research Office through the Institute for Soldier Nanotechnologies. We thank Dr. J. J. Walsh for fabricating the PTFE device holder, Dr. J. M. Falkowski for assistance with electrochemistry, J. F. Fennell for Raman spectroscopic measurements, Dr. P. Müller for X-ray crystal structure refinement assistance, and J. M. Azzarelli for providing fish samples.

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.




Figure 2. a) Conductance changes of detectors fabricated from porphyrin-SWCNT composites in response to 30 s exposures of various concentrations of NH_3 in N_2 (quadratic fit). b) Conductance traces of a $[\text{Co}(\text{tpfpp})]\text{ClO}_4$ -SWCNT chemiresistor to three 30 s exposures of various concentrations of NH_3 in N_2 .

Figure 2a shows the average responses for two devices of each of the materials to three 30 s exposures to NH_3 . The responses are approximately linear below 10 ppm, at which they appear to saturate. The responses change from irreversible to semi-reversible around this concentration as seen in Figure 2b, which shows the baseline-corrected conductance traces of a $[\text{Co}(\text{tpfpp})]\text{ClO}_4$ -based device responding to NH_3 . The limit of detection is less than 0.5 ppm NH_3 , the lowest concentration that we can reliably deliver with our system. Their sensitivity toward NH_3 is more than an order of magnitude greater than that of pristine SWCNTs.

We hypothesized that sensitivity to amines would improve with increased electron deficiency at the Co center. Using the first reduction potential of the complexes as a proxy for electron deficiency, we investigated the correlation between sensitivity to NH_3 and electron deficiency at the Co center. Figure 3 shows the response of the Co composites to 20 ppm NH_3 against the first reduction potential of the Co complex. These results suggest that efficacy for NH_3 detection in this system improves with increasing electron deficiency at the metal center.




Figure 3. Responses of detectors fabricated from SWCNT/Co-porphyrin composites to 30 s exposures of 20 ppm NH_3 against reduction potentials (potential of first peak cathodic current as measured by cyclic voltammetry of the compounds in PhCN solution vs. Fc/Fc^+).

For monitoring meat spoilage, the detection of BAs such as putrescine and cadaverine is pertinent. Figure 4 shows the responses of $[\text{Co}(\text{tpfpp})]\text{ClO}_4$ -SWCNT chemiresistors to exposures of both putrescine (Figure 4a) and cadaverine (Figure 4b). The strong responses are dosimetric and could find utility in single-use wireless tags.^[19]




Figure 4. Conductance traces of a $[\text{Co}(\text{tpfpp})]\text{ClO}_4$ -SWCNT chemiresistor to three 30 s exposures of 2.5 ppm of a) putrescine and b) cadaverine.

Detection of BAs in meat samples requires a strategy for distinguishing them from the complex matrix. To assess their selectivity toward amines, we measured responses of $[\text{Co}(\text{tpp})]\text{ClO}_4$ -SWCNT devices to volatile compounds representing a wide range of functional groups (Figure 5). The devices exhibit high selectivity for NH_3 among the analytes tested. Species capable of simply coordinating to the Co^{3+} center (e.g., H_2O , EtOH , THF , CO) do not elicit a strong response, suggesting that charge transfer is a large component of signal transduction for amines in this system.^[11,13] While the devices alone cannot distinguish amines from each other, their response will reflect the TVBN level with minor contribution from interferents.

We used our detector to compare TVBN emission from day to day for 1.0 g aliquots of various types of raw meat: pork, chicken, salmon, and cod. A sample was placed in a gas flow chamber that we described previously for fruit.^[20] N_2 (0.25 L/min) was passed alternately over the detector or first through the chamber holding the meat sample at 25 °C before passing over the detector. The initial peak response at the end of a 30 s exposure was not as reproducible as the ΔG values taken 60 s

after the end of the exposure (Figure 6a). This effect may be the result of unknown interfering analytes that give a reversible sensor response. As shown in Figure 4, target BAs are likely to give irreversible responses over time periods reflected in this scheme. Hence, the delay gives a more faithful measurement of these key BAs.




Figure 5. Responses of [Co(tp)]ClO₄-SWCNT and non-functionalized SWCNT chemiresistors to 30 s exposures of various compounds' vapors (concentration) in N₂.

The results of the meat monitoring measurements made with the same [Co(tp)]ClO₄-based device across 4 days are shown in Figure 6b. Two samples for each meat were monitored, one stored at room temperature (22 °C) and one at 4 °C. For samples stored at 4 °C, the detector showed no increase in response over 4 days. The absence of observable spoilage for meat samples stored for 4 days at 4 °C is consistent with the literature.^[4a] For samples stored at 22 °C, an increase in response was observed after day 1, and even greater responses were recorded by day 4; this increase in TVBN content between days 1 and 2 and further increase after day 2 is consistent with literature reports for BA levels in meat determined using other techniques (electrochemistry, chromatography,^[21] and spectrometry^[4a]).

In summary, we developed a chemiresistive detector for amines fabricated from Co porphyrin/SWCNT composites. We demonstrated that rationally tuning the Co oxidation state, ligand, and primary coordination sphere of the complex can lead to improvements in sensitivity toward amines, which are detected rapidly at sub-ppm concentrations and with high selectivity. We further showed that the devices can be used to monitor meat for spoilage by detecting volatile BAs. The system represents an inexpensive, portable method for following the decomposition of various types of meat.




Figure 6. a) Conductance trace of [Co(tp)]ClO₄-SWCNT chemiresistor during 30 s exposure to vapors from 1.0 g pork after storage at 22 °C for 4 days. b) Responses of device to 30 s exposures of vapors from various 1.0 g meat samples stored at 22 °C (rt) or 4 °C for 0-4 days.

Keywords: amines • cobalt • nanotubes • porphyrinoids • sensors

- [1] K.-H. Eom, K.-H. Hyun, S. Lin, J.-W. Kim, *Int. J. Distrib. Sens. Networks* **2014**, *2014*, 1–9.
- [2] A. Naila, S. Flint, G. Fletcher, P. Bremer, G. Meerdink, *J. Food Sci.* **2010**, *75*, R139–R150.
- [3] J. Karovičová, Z. Kohajdová, *Chem. Pap.* **2005**, *59*, 70–79.
- [4] a) Z. Karpas, B. Tilman, R. Gdalevsky, A. Lorber, *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2002**, *463*, 155–163; b) L. V. Jørgensen, H. H. Huss, P. Dalgaard, *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2001**, *49*, 2376–2381.
- [5] Á. Kovács, L. Simon-Sarkadi, K. Ganzler, *J. Chromatogr. A* **1999**, *836*, 305–313.
- [6] a) H. Li, Q. Chen, J. Zhao, Q. Ouyang, *Anal. Methods* **2014**, *6*, 6271–6277; b) T. L. Nelson, I. Tran, T. G. Ingallinera, M. S. Maynor, J. J. Lavigne, *Analyst* **2007**, *132*, 1024–1030; c) M. S. Maynor, T. L. Nelson, C. O'Sullivan, J. J. Lavigne, *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 3217–3220.
- [7] C. Di Natale, A. Macagnano, F. Davide, A. D'Amico, R. Paolesse, T. Boschi, M. Faccio, G. Ferri, *Sens. Actuators, B* **1997**, *44*, 521–526.
- [8] Y. Yano, K. Yokoyama, I. Karube, *Lebensm.-Wiss. u.-Technol.* **1996**, *29*, 498–502.
- [9] a) M. Wimmerová, L. Macholán, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **1999**, *14*, 695–702; b) D. Carelli, D. Centonze, C. Palermo, M. Quinto, T. Rotunno, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2007**, *23*, 640–647.
- [10] U. Latif, F. L. Dickert, *Sensors* **2011**, *11*, 8611–8625.
- [11] a) D. R. Kauffman, A. Star, *Angew. Chem.* **2008**, *120*, 6652–6673; b) D. R. Kauffman, A. Star, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 6550–6570.

- [12] P. M. Schweizer-Berberich, S. Vaihinger, W. Göpel, *Sens. Actuators, B* **1994**, *19*, 282–290.
- [13] a) K. A. Mirica, J. G. Weis, J. M. Schnorr, B. Esser, T. M. Swager, *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *124*, 10898–10903; K. A. Mirica, J. G. Weis, J. M. Schnorr, B. Esser, T. M. Swager, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 10740–10745.
- [14] J. M. Schnorr, T. M. Swager, *Chem. Mater.* **2011**, *23*, 646–657.
- [15] W. Maser, A. M. Benito, E. Muñoz, M. T. Martinez, in *Functionalized Nanoscale Materials, Devices and Systems* (Eds.: A. Vaseashta, I.N. Mihailescu), Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, **2008**, pp. 101–120.
- [16] a) A. Satake, Y. Miyajima, Y. Kobuke, *Chem. Mater.* **2005**, 716–724; b) H. Murakami, T. Nomura, N. Nakashima, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2003**, 378, 481–485; c) Q. Zhong, V. V. Diev, S. T. Roberts, P. D. Antunez, R. L. Brutchey, S. E. Bradforth, M. E. Thompson, *ACS Nano* **2013**, *7*, 3466–3475; d) H. Li, B. Zhou, Y. Lin, L. Gu, W. Wang, K. A. S. Fernando, S. Kumar, L. F. Allard, Y.-P. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 1014–1015.
- [17] H. Sugimoto, N. Ueda, M. Mori, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1981**, *54*, 3425–3432.
- [18] J. M. Schnorr, D. van der Zwaag, J. J. Walsh, Y. Weizmann, T. M. Swager, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2013**, *23*, 5285–5291.
- [19] J. M. Azzarelli, K. A. Mirica, J. B. Ravnsbæk, T. M. Swager, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2014**, *111*, 18162–18166.
- [20] a) B. Esser, J. M. Schnorr, T. M. Swager, *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *124*, 5851–5855; b) B. Esser, J. M. Schnorr, T. M. Swager, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 5752–5756.
- [21] G. C. Chemnitz, U. Bilitewski, *Sens. Actuators, B* **1996**, *32*, 107–113.

COMMUNICATION



Chemiresistive detectors for amines were created from single-walled carbon nanotubes by non-covalent modification with cobalt *meso*-arylporphyrins. With changes in oxidation state, electron-withdrawing character of the porphyrin ligand, and counteranion, the response to ammonia could be improved. The devices demonstrated sub-ppm sensitivity and high selectivity toward amines. The utility of the detectors in monitoring meat spoilage was also shown.

S. F. Liu, A. R. Petty, G. T. Sazama, T. M. Swager*

Page No. – Page No.

Single-walled Carbon Nanotube-Metalloporphyrin Composites for the Chemiresistive Detection of Amines and Meat Spoilage