

1 Title: [max 135 char w/ spaces]

2 Nonequilibrium clumped isotope signals in microbial methane

3

4 A manuscript revised for submission to *Science* on 9 February 2015

5 Authors and affiliations:

6 David T. Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Danielle S. Gruen<sup>1,2</sup>, Barbara Sherwood Lollar<sup>3</sup>, Kai-Uwe Hinrichs<sup>4</sup>, Lucy C. Stewart<sup>5</sup>,  
7 James F. Holden<sup>5</sup>, Alexander N. Hristov<sup>6</sup>, John W. Pohlman<sup>7</sup>, Penny L. Morrill<sup>8</sup>, Martin Könneke<sup>4</sup>, Kyle  
8 B. Delwiche<sup>9</sup>, Eoghan P. Reeves<sup>1</sup>, Chelsea N. Sutcliffe<sup>3</sup>, Daniel J. Ritter<sup>10</sup>, Jeffrey S. Seewald<sup>2</sup>, Jennifer  
9 C. McIntosh<sup>10</sup>, Harold F. Hemond<sup>9</sup>, Michael D. Kubo<sup>11</sup>, Dawn Cardace<sup>12</sup>, Tori M. Hoehler<sup>11</sup>, and Shuhei  
10 Ono<sup>1,\*</sup>

11 <sup>1</sup>Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA.

12 <sup>2</sup>Marine Chemistry and Geochemistry Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts 02543, USA.

13 <sup>3</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3B1, Canada.

14 <sup>4</sup>MARUM Center for Marine Environmental Sciences and Department of Geosciences, University of Bremen, Bremen D-28359, Germany.

15 <sup>5</sup>Department of Microbiology, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003, USA.

16 <sup>6</sup>Department of Animal Science, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, USA.

17 <sup>7</sup>U.S. Geological Survey, Woods Hole Coastal and Marine Science Center, Woods Hole, Massachusetts 02543, USA.

18 <sup>8</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St John's, Newfoundland and Labrador A1B 3X5, Canada.

19 <sup>9</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA.

20 <sup>10</sup>Department of Hydrology and Water Resources, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA.

21 <sup>11</sup>NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, California 94035, USA.

22 <sup>12</sup>Department of Geosciences, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island 02881, USA.

23

24 \* To whom correspondence should be addressed:

25 S. Ono

26 Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences

27 Massachusetts Institute of Technology

28 77 Massachusetts Ave, Cambridge, MA 02139

29 (617) 253-0474

30 sono@mit.edu

31

32

33 **Abstract [max 125 words]**

34 Methane is a key component in the global carbon cycle with a wide range of anthropogenic and natural  
35 sources. Although isotopic compositions of methane have traditionally aided source identification, the  
36 abundance of its multiply-substituted “clumped” isotopologues, e.g.,  $^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$ , has recently emerged as a  
37 proxy for determining methane-formation temperatures; however, the impact of biological processes on  
38 methane’s clumped isotopologue signature is poorly constrained. We show that methanogenesis  
39 proceeding at relatively high rates in cattle, surface environments, and laboratory cultures exerts kinetic  
40 control on  $^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  abundances and results in anomalously elevated formation temperature estimates. We  
41 demonstrate quantitatively that  $\text{H}_2$  availability accounts for this effect. Clumped methane thermometry  
42 can therefore provide constraints on the generation of methane in diverse settings, including continental  
43 serpentinization sites and ancient, deep groundwaters.

44

45 122 words

46

47 Carbon ( $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ ) and hydrogen (D/H) isotope ratios of methane are widely applied for distinguishing  
48 microbial from thermogenic methane in the environment (1–7) as well as for apportioning pathways of  
49 microbial methane production (8–10). This bulk isotope approach, however, is largely based on empirical  
50 observations, and different origins of methane often yield overlapping characteristic isotope signals (3, 7,  
51 11–13). Beyond conventional bulk isotope ratios, it has become possible to precisely measure the  
52 abundance of multiply-substituted “clumped” isotopologues (e.g.,  $^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$ ) (14, 15). In particular,  
53 abundance of clumped isotopes promises to yield information about the temperature at which C-H bonds  
54 were formed or last equilibrated [(14); fig. S1]. Indeed, formation temperatures of both thermogenic and  
55 microbial methane in natural gas reservoirs can be estimated on the basis of clumped isotopologues (16).  
56 The mechanisms by which isotopologues attain distributions consistent with thermodynamic equilibrium,  
57 however, remain unclear because bulk methane isotopes ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta\text{D}$ ) often reflect kinetic isotope  
58 fractionations (13, 17), and H-isotope exchange between methane and water is sluggish (18).

59 To test if clumped methane thermometry can be widely applied for methane sources beyond natural gas  
60 reservoirs, we examined methane samples from diverse systems, including lakes, wetlands, cow rumen,  
61 laboratory cultures of methanogenic microbes, and geological settings that may support abiogenic  
62 methane production as well as thermogenic and microbial sources, including continental serpentization  
63 sites and deep fracture fluids. We measured the relative abundances of four methane isotopologues  
64 ( $^{12}\text{CH}_4$ ,  $^{13}\text{CH}_4$ ,  $^{12}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  and  $^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$ ) using a recently-developed tunable laser spectroscopy technique (14,  
65 19).

66 Our measurements for dominantly-thermogenic gases from the Marcellus and Utica Shales (1, 20) yielded  
67  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$ -based temperatures of  $147_{-22}^{+25}$  °C and  $160_{-25}^{+29}$  °C, respectively. The clumped-isotope temperature  
68 for the Marcellus Shale sample is comparable to, although slightly lower than, estimates by Stolper et al.  
69 (16) of 179–207 °C (Fig. 1). In addition, microbial methane in pore waters and gas hydrates from  
70 northern Cascadia margin sediments (3), and from wells producing from coal seams in the Powder River  
71 Basin (2, 21) yielded  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  temperatures of 12–42 °C and 35–52 °C, respectively. These are  
72 consistent with their expected low formation temperatures. Furthermore, thermogenic methane sampled  
73 from a hydrothermal vent in the Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California (6), yielded  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  temperature of  
74  $326_{-95}^{+170}$  °C, within error of the measured vent temperature [299 °C (22)]. Therefore, our data provide  
75 independent support of the hypothesis that  $^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  abundance reflects the temperature at which methane  
76 is generated in these sedimentary basins (16).

77 In contrast, we found that methane sampled from lakes, a swamp, and the rumen of a cow carry  $^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$   
78 signals that correspond to anomalously high  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  temperatures (139–775 °C, Fig. 1A), i.e., well  
79 above the environmental temperatures (<40 °C). Such signals are clearly not controlled by equilibrium.  
80 Notably, a positive correlation between  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  and the extent of D/H fractionation between methane  
81 and environmental water [ $\epsilon_{\text{methane/water}}$  (23); Fig. 2] suggests a strong link between isotopologue (i.e.,  
82  $^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$ ) and isotope (D/H) disequilibria. In contrast, the above mentioned methane samples from  
83 sedimentary basins appear to have attained hydrogen-isotope equilibrium with associated waters at or  
84 near the temperatures indicated by the  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  data (Fig. 2).

85 To confirm these observations from the natural environment, we demonstrated that strong disequilibrium  
86  $^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  signals are also produced by cultures of methanogenic archaea in the laboratory (Fig. 3).  
87 Thermophilic methanogens cultured at 40 to 85 °C produced methane with  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  values from +0.5 to

88 +2.3‰ (corresponding to  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  temperatures of 216–620 °C), and mesophilic methanogens cultured  
89 at ambient temperature produced methane with conspicuously “anti-clumped” signatures (i.e., values of  
90  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D} < 0\text{‰}$ , for which no apparent temperature can be expressed) as low as  $-1.3\text{‰}$  (Fig. 3). Methane  
91 from cultures is also characterized by large kinetic D/H fractionation with respect to water (17, 24).  
92 Because laboratory cultures are grown under optimal conditions (high- $\text{H}_2$  and high- $\text{CO}_2$ ), these anti-  
93 clumped  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  and low  $\epsilon_{\text{methane/water}}$  values are primarily expressions of kinetic isotope effects.  
94 Consequently, the distribution of samples with  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  and  $\epsilon_{\text{methane/water}}$  values in Fig. 2 can be explained  
95 by microbial methanogenesis operating on a spectrum between fully kinetic (low  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  and low  
96  $\epsilon_{\text{methane/water}}$ ) and equilibrium (high  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  and high  $\epsilon_{\text{methane/water}}$ ) end-members.

97 We constructed a mathematical framework to describe the controls on the correlation of  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  and  
98  $\epsilon_{\text{methane/water}}$  signals from hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis. The model largely follows those developed  
99 for microbial sulfate reduction (25, 26) and predicts the isotopologue compositions of product methane as  
100 a result of a series of enzymatic reactions [fig. S4; (19)]. Using isotope fractionation factors estimated  
101 from theory, experiments and observations as input parameters [table S3; (19)], our model reproduces the  
102 observed correlation between  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  and  $\epsilon_{\text{methane/water}}$  of natural samples (Fig. 2). The isotopologue  
103 compositions of product methane reflect the degree of metabolic reversibility. Fully reversible reactions  
104 yield equilibrium end-members (27), while irreversible reactions result in kinetic (disequilibrium) end-  
105 member signals. In this model, the reversibility is linked to available free energy (26, 27), in this case  
106 expressed as  $\text{H}_2$  concentration ( $[\text{H}_2]$ ). The model can explain the relationship among  $[\text{H}_2]$ ,  $\epsilon_{\text{methane/water}}$  (28)  
107 and  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  via Michaelis-Menten kinetics, and predicts the observed patterns in diverse settings  
108 ranging from marine sediments (low  $[\text{H}_2]$ , high  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  and  $\epsilon_{\text{methane/water}}$ ) to bovine rumen (high  $[\text{H}_2]$ , low  
109  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  and  $\epsilon_{\text{methane/water}}$ ) (Fig. 4). We note that mixing of methane sources with different  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta\text{D}$   
110 values or oxidation of methane could also alter the relationships over the primary signal of microbial  
111 methanogenesis (19). Likewise, inheritance of clumping signals from precursor organic substrates (e.g.,  
112 via acetoclastic or methylotrophic methanogenesis), cannot be entirely ruled out and await experimental  
113 validation.

114 We showed above that the combination of  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  and  $\epsilon_{\text{methane/water}}$  values provides mechanistic  
115 constraints on whether methane was formed under kinetic vs. near-equilibrium conditions. Next, we used  
116 this framework to place constraints on the origins of methane at two sites of present-day serpentinization  
117 in Phanerozoic ophiolites [The Cedars (29) and Coast Range Ophiolite Microbial Observatory, CROMO  
118 (30)] in northern California, and in deep (> 2 km below surface) fracture fluids with billion year-residence  
119 times in the Kidd Creek mine, Canada (5, 31).

120 Methane-rich gases in groundwater springs associated with serpentinization at The Cedars yielded anti-  
121 clumped  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  signals ( $-3\text{‰}$ ) with low  $\epsilon_{\text{methane/water}}$  values (Figs. 1A and 2). The data plot along the  
122 microbial (kinetic) trend defined in Fig. 2, supporting a previous hypothesis that methane at The Cedars is  
123 being produced by active microbial methanogenesis (29). The exceptionally high  $\text{H}_2$  concentration (up to  
124 50% by volume in bubbles) and low  $E_h$  (ca.  $-600$  mV) at The Cedars indicate the massive excess of  
125 electron donor. This, along with severe inorganic carbon limitation [due to high pH (>11) and  
126 precipitation of carbonate minerals (29)], drives the formation of methane carrying strong kinetic  
127 imprints, consistent with the observed anti-clumped  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  signals (Fig. 4).

128 Despite the similarity in geologic setting, methane associated with serpentinization at CROMO (30)  
129 revealed very different  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  values, which correspond to low apparent temperatures (42–76 °C) and  
130 plot close to the equilibrium line (Fig. 2). While the conventional  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta\text{D}$  values of methane from  
131 CROMO are nearly identical to those of the Utica Shale sample (Fig. 1B), methane at CROMO carries  
132 much higher  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  values (Fig. 1A). The origin of methane at the CROMO site remains unresolved  
133 (30), but the comparably high  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  values at CROMO suggest methane here could be sourced from a  
134 mixture of thermogenic and microbial methane. Alternatively, lower  $\text{H}_2$  availability at CROMO,  
135 compared to The Cedars (table S4), may support microbial methanogenesis under near-equilibrium  
136 conditions (Fig. 4). Regardless, the different isotopologue signatures in methane from CROMO vs. The  
137 Cedars demonstrate that distinct processes contribute to methane formation in these two serpentinization  
138 systems.

139 Deep, ancient fracture fluids in the Kidd Creek mine in the Canadian Shield (31) contain copious  
140 quantities of both dissolved methane and hydrogen (5). The Kidd Creek methane occupies a distinct  
141 region in the  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  vs.  $\epsilon_{\text{methane/water}}$  diagram (Fig. 2), due to strong D/H disequilibria between methane  
142 and water (4) and low  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  temperature signals of 56–90 °C that are consistent with other  
143 temperature estimates for these groundwaters (4). Although the specific mechanisms by which the  
144 proposed abiotic hydrocarbons at Kidd Creek are generated remain under investigation (5, 32), the  
145 distinct isotopologue signals provide further support for the hypothesis that methane here is neither  
146 microbial nor thermogenic.


147 Our results demonstrate that measurements of  $^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  provide information beyond the simple formation  
148 temperature of methane. Combination of methane/water hydrogen-isotope fractionation and  $^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$   
149 abundance enables the differentiation of methane that has been formed at extremely low rates in the  
150 subsurface (3, 21, 27) from methane formed in cattle and surface environments in which methanogenesis  
151 proceeds at comparatively high rates (33, 34).

152 **word count: 1441 main text [target 1500]**


153

154 **Fig. 1. Isotopologue compositions of**  
 155 **methane samples.** (A)  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  plotted  
 156 against  $\delta\text{D}$ . The  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  temperature scale  
 157 corresponds to calibration in fig. S1. Error bars  
 158 are 95% confidence intervals (table S1). Data  
 159 from (16) were scaled to their corresponding  
 160  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  values (15). The shaded area  
 161 represents the temperature range within which  
 162 microbial life has been demonstrated to date  
 163 (35). The hatched line represents  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D} =$   
 164  $0\text{‰}$  ( $T \rightarrow \infty$ ); data plotting below this line  
 165 cannot yield corresponding apparent  
 166 temperatures. (B)  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  plotted against  $\delta\text{D}$ ,  
 167 showing characteristic fields for different  
 168 methane sources from (13).

169




170 **Fig. 2. Extent of clumped- and**  
 171 **hydrogen-isotopic disequilibria in**  
 172 **methane.** Symbols and vertical error bars  
 173 are the same as those in Fig. 1. Horizontal  
 174 error bars represent uncertainties on  
 175 estimates of  $\epsilon_{\text{methane}/\text{water}}$  [(23); table S4].  
 176 The solid green curve represents isotopic  
 177 equilibrium, with the  $\epsilon_{\text{methane}/\text{water}}$  calibration  
 178 given by ref. 36. Green shading represents  
 179 ranges of  $\epsilon_{\text{methane}/\text{water}}$  calibrations from  
 180 published reports (fig. S3). Gray shading  
 181 represents model predictions from this  
 182 study, for microbial methane formed  
 183 between 0 and 40 °C. Metabolic  
 184 reversibility ( $\varphi$ ) increases from bottom ( $\varphi =$   
 185 0, fully-kinetic) to top ( $\varphi \rightarrow 1$ , equilibrium) within this field (19).



186

187


188 **Fig. 3.  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  values of methane produced by**  
189 **hydrogenotrophic methanogens in batch cultures reflect**  
190 **kinetic effects.** Data and error bars are from table S2. The  
191 green line represents clumped isotopologue equilibrium (i.e.,  
192 samples for which  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  temperature is equal to growth  
193 temperature; fig. S1).





194 **Fig. 4. Relationships between  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  and**  
195  **$\text{H}_2$  concentration for microbial methane.**  
196 Symbols and vertical error bars are the same as  
197 in Fig. 1. The  $\text{H}_2$  data are from table S4; when  
198 a range of  $[\text{H}_2]$  values is given, points are  
199 plotted at the geometric mean of the maximum  
200 and minimum values. Dashed lines represent  
201 model predictions for microbial methane  
202 produced at 20 °C, calculated using  $K_M$ 's of 0.3,  
203 3.0, and 30  $\mu\text{M}$   $\text{H}_2$ . Data for samples of non-  
204 dominantly-microbial methane from Guaymas  
205 Basin and Kidd Creek are plotted for  
206 comparison.

207



- 209 1. F. J. Baldassare, M. A. McCaffrey, J. A. Harper, A geochemical context for stray gas investigations in the northern  
210 Appalachian Basin: Implications of analyses of natural gases from Neogene-through Devonian-age strata, *Am. Assoc. Pet.*  
211 *Geol. Bull.* **98**, 341–372 (2014).
- 212 2. R. M. Flores, C. A. Rice, G. D. Stricker, A. Warden, M. S. Ellis, Methanogenic pathways of coal-bed gas in the Powder  
213 River Basin, United States: the geologic factor, *Int. J. Coal Geol.* **76**, 52–75 (2008).
- 214 3. J. Pohlman, M. Kaneko, V. Heuer, R. Coffin, M. Whiticar, Methane sources and production in the northern Cascadia  
215 margin gas hydrate system, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **287**, 504–512 (2009).
- 216 4. B. Sherwood Lollar *et al.*, Isotopic signatures of CH<sub>4</sub> and higher hydrocarbon gases from Precambrian Shield sites: A  
217 model for abiogenic polymerization of hydrocarbons, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **72**, 4778–4795 (2008).
- 218 5. B. Sherwood Lollar, T. Westgate, J. Ward, G. Slater, G. Lacrampe-Couloume, Abiogenic formation of alkanes in the  
219 Earth's crust as a minor source for global hydrocarbon reservoirs, *Nature* **416**, 522–524 (2002).
- 220 6. J. Welhan, J. Lupton, Light hydrocarbon gases in Guaymas Basin hydrothermal fluids: thermogenic versus abiogenic  
221 origin, *Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull.* **71**, 215–223 (1987).
- 222 7. M. J. Whiticar, A geochemical perspective of natural gas and atmospheric methane, *Org. Geochem.* **16**, 531–547 (1990).
- 223 8. R. A. Burke Jr., C. S. Martens, W. M. Sackett, Seasonal variations of D/H and <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratios of microbial methane in  
224 surface sediments, *Nature* **332**, 829–831 (1988).
- 225 9. C. K. McCalley *et al.*, Methane dynamics regulated by microbial community response to permafrost thaw, *Nature* **514**,  
226 478–481 (2014).
- 227 10. M. J. Whiticar, E. Faber, M. Schoell, Biogenic methane formation in marine and freshwater environments: CO<sub>2</sub> reduction  
228 vs. acetate fermentation—Isotope evidence, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **50**, 693–709 (1986).
- 229 11. G. Etiope, B. Sherwood Lollar, Abiotic methane on Earth, *Rev. Geophys.* **51**, 276–299 (2013).
- 230 12. M. Schoell, Multiple origins of methane in the earth, *Chem. Geol.* **71**, 1–10 (1988).
- 231 13. M. J. Whiticar, Carbon and hydrogen isotope systematics of bacterial formation and oxidation of methane, *Chem. Geol.*  
232 **161**, 291–314 (1999).
- 233 14. S. Ono *et al.*, Measurement of a doubly-substituted methane isotopologue, <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>D, by tunable infrared laser direct  
234 absorption spectroscopy, *Anal. Chem.* **86**, 6487–6494 (2014).
- 235 15. D. A. Stolper *et al.*, Combined <sup>13</sup>C-D and D-D clumping in methane: methods and preliminary results, *Geochim.*  
236 *Cosmochim. Acta* **126**, 169–191 (2014).
- 237 16. D. A. Stolper *et al.*, Formation temperatures of thermogenic and biogenic methane, *Science* **344**, 1500–1503 (2014).
- 238 17. D. L. Valentine, A. Chidthaisong, A. Rice, W. S. Reeburgh, S. C. Tyler, Carbon and hydrogen isotope fractionation by  
239 moderately thermophilic methanogens, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **68**, 1571–1590 (2004).
- 240 18. E. P. Reeves, J. S. Seewald, S. P. Sylva, Hydrogen isotope exchange between *n*-alkanes and water under hydrothermal  
241 conditions, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **77**, 582–599 (2012).
- 242 19. Materials and methods are available as supplementary materials on Science Online.
- 243 20. R. Burruss, C. Laughrey, Carbon and hydrogen isotopic reversals in deep basin gas: Evidence for limits to the stability of  
244 hydrocarbons, *Org. Geochem.* **41**, 1285–1296 (2010).
- 245 21. B. L. Bates, J. C. McIntosh, K. A. Lohse, P. D. Brooks, Influence of groundwater flowpaths, residence times and  
246 nutrients on the extent of microbial methanogenesis in coal beds: Powder River Basin, USA, *Chem. Geol.* **284**, 45–61  
247 (2011).
- 248 22. E. P. Reeves, J. M. McDermott, J. S. Seewald, The origin of methanethiol in midocean ridge hydrothermal fluids, *Proc.*  
249 *Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **111**, 5474–5479 (2014).
- 250 23. The abundance of <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>D is captured by a metric, Δ<sup>13</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>D, which quantifies its deviation from a random distribution of  
251 isotopic substitutions amongst all isotopologues in a sample of methane: Δ<sup>13</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>D = ln *Q*, where *Q* is the reaction  
252 quotient of the isotope exchange reaction: <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>4</sub> + <sup>12</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>D ⇌ <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>D + <sup>12</sup>CH<sub>4</sub>, where the δ-values are conventional  
253 isotopic notation, e.g., δD = (D/H)<sub>sample</sub>/(D/H)<sub>reference</sub> – 1. Mass spectrometric measurements yield Δ<sub>18</sub>, a parameter that  
254 quantifies the combined abundance of <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>D and <sup>12</sup>CH<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>. For most natural samples of methane, Δ<sub>18</sub> is expected to be  
255 directly-relatable to Δ<sup>13</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>D as measured by laser spectroscopy. The D/H fractionation between methane and  
256 environmental water is defined as ε<sub>methane/water</sub> = (D/H)<sub>methane</sub>/(D/H)<sub>water</sub> – 1.
- 257 24. M. Balabane, E. Galimov, M. Hermann, R. Letolle, Hydrogen and carbon isotope fractionation during experimental  
258 production of bacterial methane, *Org. Geochem.* **11**, 115–119 (1987).
- 259 25. C. Rees, A steady-state model for sulphur isotope fractionation in bacterial reduction processes, *Geochim. Cosmochim.*  
260 *Acta* **37**, 1141–1162 (1973).
- 261 26. B. A. Wing, I. Halevy, Intracellular metabolite levels shape sulfur isotope fractionation during microbial sulfate  
262 respiration, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* (2014).
- 263 27. T. Holler *et al.*, Carbon and sulfur back flux during anaerobic microbial oxidation of methane and coupled sulfate  
264 reduction, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **108**, E1484–E1490 (2011).
- 265 28. R. A. Burke Jr., Possible influence of hydrogen concentration on microbial methane stable hydrogen isotopic  
266 composition, *Chemosphere* **26**, 55–67 (1993).
- 267 29. P. L. Morrill *et al.*, Geochemistry and geobiology of a present-day serpentinization site in California: The Cedars,  
268 *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **109**, 222–240 (2013).

- 269 30. D. Cardace *et al.*, Establishment of the Coast Range ophiolite microbial observatory (CROMO): drilling objectives and  
270 preliminary outcomes, *Sci. Dril.* **16**, 45–55 (2013).
- 271 31. G. Holland *et al.*, Deep fracture fluids isolated in the crust since the Precambrian era, *Nature* **497**, 357–360 (2013).
- 272 32. B. Sherwood Lollar, T. C. Onstott, C. J. Ballentine, G. Lacrampe-Couloume, The contribution of the Precambrian  
273 continental lithosphere to global hydrogen production, *Nature* **516**, 379–382 (2014).
- 274 33. K. A. Johnson, D. E. Johnson, Methane emissions from cattle., *J. Anim. Sci.* **73**, 2483–2492 (1995).
- 275 34. C. Varadharajan, H. F. Hemond, Time-series analysis of high-resolution ebullition fluxes from a stratified, freshwater  
276 lake, *J. Geophys. Res.* **117**, G02004 (2012).
- 277 35. K. Takai *et al.*, Cell proliferation at 122 °C and isotopically heavy CH<sub>4</sub> production by a hyperthermophilic methanogen  
278 under high-pressure cultivation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **105**, 10949–10954 (2008).
- 279 36. Y. Horibe, H. Craig, D/H fractionation in the system methane-hydrogen-water, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **59**, 5209–  
280 5217 (1995).
- 281

282 **Acknowledgments.** We thank J. Hayes, R. Summons, A. Whitehill, S. Zaarur, C. Ruppel, L.T. Bryndzia,  
283 N. Blair, D. Vinson, K. Nealson, and M. Schrenk for discussions; W. Olszewski, D. Nelson, G.  
284 Lacrampe-Couloume, and B. Topçuoğlu for technical assistance; A. Whitehill, G. Luo, A. Apprill, K.  
285 Twing, W. Brazelton, A. Wray, J. Oh, A. Rowe, G. Chadwick, and A. Rietze for assistance in the field; R.  
286 Michener for the  $\delta D_{\text{water}}$  analyses; and R. Dias (USGS) for sharing the NGS samples. We thank R. Raiche  
287 and D. McCrory, S. Moore (Homestake Mining Co.) and the staff of the McLaughlin Natural Reserve,  
288 and Shell and other operators for access to samples. Grants from the National Science Foundation (EAR-  
289 1250394 to S.O. and EAR-1322805 to J.C.M.), N. Braunsdorf and D. Smit of Shell PTI/EG (to S.O.), the  
290 Deep Carbon Observatory (to S.O., B.S.L., M.K., and K.-U.H.), the Natural Sciences and Engineering  
291 Research Council of Canada (to B.S.L.), and the Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz Program of the Deutsche  
292 Forschungsgemeinschaft (HI 616-14-1 to K.-U.H. and M.K.) supported this study. D.T.W. was supported  
293 by a National Defense Science and Engineering Graduate Fellowship. D.S.G. was supported by the Neil  
294 and Anna Rasmussen Foundation Fund, the Grayce B. Kerr Fellowship, and a Shell-MITEI Graduate  
295 Fellowship. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply  
296 endorsement by the U.S. Government. All data used to support the conclusions in this manuscript are  
297 provided in the supplementary materials.

298 **Author Contributions.** D.T.W. and S.O. developed the methods, analyzed data, and performed  
299 modeling. D.T.W. and D.S.G. performed isotopic analyses. D.S.G., L.C.S., J.F.H., M.K., K.-U.H., and  
300 S.O. designed and/or conducted microbiological experiments. D.T.W., D.S.G., B.S.L., P.L.M., K.B.D.,  
301 A.N.H., C.N.S., M.D.K., D.J.R., J.C.M., D.C., and S.O. designed and/or executed the field sampling  
302 campaigns. D.T.W. and S.O. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

303

## 304 **Supplementary Materials**

305 Materials and Methods

306 Supplementary Text

307 Figs. S1 to S5

308 Tables S1 to S6

309 References (37–87)



## Supplementary Materials for

### **Unique non-equilibrium clumped isotope signals in microbial methane**

David T. Wang, Danielle S. Gruen, Barbara Sherwood Lollar, Kai-Uwe Hinrichs, Lucy C. Stewart, James F. Holden, Alexander N. Hristov, John W. Pohlman, Penny L. Morrill, Martin Könneke, Kyle B. Delwiche, Eoghan P. Reeves, Chelsea N. Sutcliffe, Daniel J. Ritter, Jeffrey S. Seewald, Jennifer C. McIntosh, Harold F. Hemond, Michael D. Kubo, Dawn Cardace, Tori M. Hoehler, and Shuhei Ono\*

\*correspondence to: [sono@mit.edu](mailto:sono@mit.edu)

#### **This PDF file includes:**

Materials and Methods  
Supplementary Text  
Figs. S1 to S5  
Tables S1 to S6  
References (37–87)

## Materials and Methods

### Animal care

Sampling of methane from bovine subjects was conducted according to guidelines established by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the Pennsylvania State University.

### Cultivation of methanogens

We established batch culture incubations of *Methanocaldococcus bathoardescens*, *Methanocaldococcus jannaschii*, *Methanothermococcus thermolithotrophicus*, and *Methanosarcina barkeri* under atmospheres containing 80% H<sub>2</sub> and 20% CO<sub>2</sub>. Cultures of *M.jannaschii* (37) and *M. barkeri* (strain DSM-800) (38) were purchased from the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany). *Methanocaldococcus bathoardescens* (formerly known as strain JH146) is a recently-isolated hyperthermophilic, obligate hydrogenotrophic methanogen with exhibiting optimum growth at 82 °C (39, 40). The growth medium for *M.jannaschii*, *M. thermolithotrophicus*, and *M. bathoardescens* was prepared according to the recipe for DSMZ medium 282, amended with 1g/L Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Aliquots of the medium (50 ml) were transferred into 160 ml glass serum vials stoppered with blue butyl rubber septa, and the headspace was filled with 2 atm H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> (80:20). The growth medium for *M. barkeri* was prepared according to the recipe for DSMZ medium 120, and the headspace was filled with 1.5 atm H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> (80:20). Cultures were incubated at ambient temperature (*M. barkeri*, in duplicate), at 40 and 60 °C (*M. thermolithotrophicus*), at 80 °C (*M.jannaschii*), or at 85 °C (*M. bathoardescens*).

### Sample purification procedures

To extract methane quantitatively from gas samples, we applied a preparative-gas chromatography technique modified from Alei et al. (41). In brief, a sample is introduced into a stream of helium. Water is removed by passing the sample through a U-trap cooled to -80 °C, and then CH<sub>4</sub>, air (N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, Ar), CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and C<sub>2+</sub> are cryofocused onto a U-trap packed with activated charcoal and held at -196 °C. The condensed gases are then released by rapid heating to 120 °C, passed through a packed column (Carboxen-1000, 5' × 1/8", Supelco) held at 30 °C under helium flow (~25 ml/min), and monitored using thermal conductivity detection. The methane peak is trapped on a U-trap packed with silica gel and held at -196 °C; this is analogous to a “heart-cut” technique used previously for preparative separation of SF<sub>6</sub> for isotopic analysis (42). After elution of methane, the column is baked at 180 °C under a reversed (backflushed) flow of helium to remove CO<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>2+</sub>.

This sample preparation procedure induces small fractionations in δ<sup>13</sup>C and δD of methane of 0.09 ± 0.06‰ and 0.20 ± 0.02‰, respectively (1s, n = 4); these effects are minor compared to the magnitude of δ<sup>13</sup>C and δD variations in nature. Critically, our procedure does not discernibly alter the Δ<sup>13</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>D value; the average difference between samples treated vs. not treated with this procedure was -0.09 ± 0.16‰ (1s, n = 4), which is not significantly different from zero.

### Reporting of δ<sup>13</sup>C and δD values

The δ<sup>13</sup>C and δD values we report have been calibrated relative to PDB and SMOW, respectively, by measuring samples of NGS-1 and NGS-3. These reference values for δ<sup>13</sup>C and δD are, respectively,

−29.0‰ and −138‰ for NGS-1, and −72.8‰ and −176‰ for NGS-3, as determined (43). The results for the calibration samples are shown in table S5.

### Heated gas calibrations

To confirm and extend a previously-published temperature calibration (14), Pyrex tubes containing samples of methane with a range of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  (−82 to −34‰ vs. PDB) and  $\delta\text{D}$  (−615 to +220‰ vs. SMOW) were prepared. These samples were heated over Pt catalyst at temperatures of 150, 170, 250, and 400 °C ( $n = 1, 3, 28,$  and  $7,$  respectively). Gases were heated for 110 d, 73–76 d, 2–24 d, and 16–60 h, respectively, following a procedure described in Ono et al. (14).

When the theoretical methane equilibrium line is aligned to samples heated at 150, 170, and 250 °C, measurements of the samples heated at 400 °C yielded slightly lower  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  temperatures ( $347_{-36}^{+42}$  °C), perhaps because quenching the reaction may take longer than the time for exchange over catalyst at ~400 °C. As a result, the data from the 400 °C heated gases were not used in aligning the calibration in fig. S1.

The theoretical equilibrium line we calculated agrees well with published results from both path-integral Monte Carlo simulations (44) and harmonic oscillator assumption-based approaches (44–46). The results of results of calculations employing an anharmonic correction, however, differ slightly from results of models assuming harmonic-oscillator behavior [by ~0.3‰ near room temperature (44, 45)]. Fig. S1 shows results from recent studies (44–46) comparing multiple computational approaches for estimating the temperature-dependence of the equilibrium  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  value. We note that while the uncertainty in the theoretical curve is similar in magnitude to our analytical uncertainty, particularly at temperatures <100 °C, these calibration uncertainties do not affect the conclusions drawn in this study.

### Spectroscopic procedures

Samples of purified methane were analyzed using a tunable-infrared laser direct absorption spectrometer (Aerodyne Research, Billerica, Massachusetts) housed at MIT as described in Ono et al. (14), with improvements described here. All measurements reported in this paper were obtained at a nominal cell pressure of ca. 1.0 torr, instead of the 0.8 torr used in Ono et al. (14). We have found that this higher cell pressure gave improved measurement stability. As suggested previously (14), there is a small offset in the baseline underneath the  $^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  absorption line, likely due to the insufficient accuracy of the Voigt profile for describing the contribution from tailing of adjacent  $^{12}\text{CH}_4$  peak. We have used all 250 °C experiments shown in fig. S2 to generate a single set of correction factors, which show no observable drift during the time period all measurements were made.

Long-term internal reproducibility was evaluated by repeated analysis of methane from a commercially-sourced gas cylinder over a period of >4 months, yielding precisions for  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of  $\pm 0.02\%$ ,  $\delta\text{D}$  of  $\pm 0.02\%$ , and  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  of  $\pm 0.08\%$  ( $1s, n = 13$ ). As described in Ono et al. (14), each measurement run consists of multiple acquisition cycles (a cycle is defined as one comparison of a sample/standard pair). The number of cycles ( $N_{\text{cycles}}$ ) depends on sample size, but is typically greater than 5. In this paper,  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  measurements are reported as mean  $\pm$  95% confidence intervals (CI) on the average of all isotope ratios obtained for each acquisition cycle over a measurement run, calculated as:  $95\% \text{ CI} = \text{tinu}(\alpha, \text{df}) \cdot \frac{s}{\sqrt{N_{\text{cycles}}}}$ , where  $\text{tinu}$  is the two-tailed inverse of the Student's  $t$ -distribution for  $\alpha = 0.05$  with  $N_{\text{cycles}} - 1$

degrees of freedom (df), and  $[s \geq 0.27\text{‰}]$  (this value is the standard deviation on measurements for which 24 or more cycles were taken ( $0.27 \pm 0.08\text{‰}$ , 1s on 1s,  $n = 7$ ), and thus estimates the internal precision of the instrument]. The uncertainties on  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  values reported for samples in tables S1, S2, and S5 also contain the propagated uncertainty in the  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  value of our methane reference gas (AL1). Based on the calibration shown in fig. S1, we determined that AL1 carries a  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  value of  $+2.41 \pm 0.08\text{‰}$  (95% CI).

To enable analysis of small (ca.  $1 \text{ cm}^3$  STP) methane samples, we have developed a cold trap system to recover and recycle methane samples for re-analysis. In the current study, the only sample for which this recycling method was used was ‘‘Sally-1’’, a sample from a bovine rumen (table S1).

### Model of isotopologue systematics during microbial methanogenesis

A mathematical model was constructed to describe isotopologue compositions of methane produced from microbial methanogenesis (fig. S4). To allow for the use of data from studies on experimental and natural systems as input parameters, our model simplifies the representation of the biochemistry involved in the microbial generation of methane, and only considers the production of methane via reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$ .

The model describes methanogenesis in six steps, and using an assumption of steady-state intermediate compositions, solves for the abundances of  $^{13}\text{C}$ - and D-substituted isotopologues of product  $\text{CH}_4$  and of four intermediate species (fig. S4). The first step (1) is the uptake of  $\text{CO}_2$  into the cell, and the last step (6) is export of  $\text{CH}_4$  out of the cell; we assume that neither of these steps discriminates against isotopes or between isotopologues. Inside the cell, the reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  to  $\text{CH}_4$  is treated in four steps (steps #2–5), where each step corresponds to the addition of one hydrogen (47).

The main variable input in our model is metabolic reversibility, which is defined as the ratio of backwards to forwards fluxes ( $\phi_n = w_n/v_n$ ) through an enzymatically-mediated reaction sequence (25, 48). The reversibility is constrained by two end-members, which represent fully-irreversible ( $\phi = 0$ ; fully-kinetic) and fully-reversible ( $\phi \rightarrow 1$ ; equilibrium) conditions. We parameterize the reversibility as a simple function of  $\text{H}_2$  concentration by assuming Michaelis-Menten kinetics for each H-addition step:

$$\phi_n = 1 - \frac{[\text{H}_2]}{K_M + [\text{H}_2]} \quad [1]$$

where  $n$  represents the step number and  $K_M$  is the effective half-saturation constant for  $\text{H}_2$  (assumed identical for steps 2–5). In our model,  $\phi_1$  is set at 1 (i.e.,  $\text{CO}_2$  uptake is fully reversible).

Under an assumption of steady-state concentrations of intermediates, all fluxes for the  $^{12}\text{CH}$  isotopologues are dependent upon the methane formation rate ( $v_6$ , in e.g.,  $\text{mol cell}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) by:

$$v_n = \frac{v_6}{1 - \phi_n}, \text{ and } w_n = \frac{\phi_n v_6}{1 - \phi_n} \quad [2]$$

A series of continuity equations can be written for each  $^{13}\text{C}$ -substituted isotopologue. For example:

$$\frac{d^{13}\mathbf{D}}{dt} = {}^{13}\alpha_3^+ \cdot v_3 \cdot {}^{13}r_{\mathbf{C}} - ({}^{13}\alpha_4^+ \cdot v_4 + {}^{13}\alpha_3^- \cdot w_3) \cdot {}^{13}r_{\mathbf{D}} + {}^{13}\alpha_4^- \cdot w_4 \cdot {}^{13}r_{\mathbf{E}}$$

Here,  $^{13}\mathbf{D}$  is the abundance of  $^{13}\text{C}$ -substituted isotopologues for the pool  $\mathbf{D}$  (i.e.,  $\text{R-CH}_2$ ; fig. S4), and  ${}^{13}r_{\mathbf{X}}$  is the isotopologue ratio of the pool  $\mathbf{X}$  (where  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \dots, \mathbf{F}$ ), and  ${}^{13}\alpha_n^+$  and  ${}^{13}\alpha_n^-$  are the  $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$  kinetic



isotope effects associated with the forward and backward reactions, respectively. There are a total of five continuity equations for pools  $^{13}\mathbf{B}$ ,  $^{13}\mathbf{C}$ ,  $^{13}\mathbf{D}$ ,  $^{13}\mathbf{E}$ , and  $^{13}\mathbf{F}$ . Under an assumption of steady-state concentrations of intermediate species (i.e.,  $d^{13}\mathbf{X}/dt = 0$ ), we solve for the ratios of  $^{13}\text{C}$ -containing to  $^{12}\text{C}$ -containing isotopologues in the product methane ( $\mathbf{F}$ ; i.e.,  $^{13}\text{CH}_4/^{12}\text{CH}_4$ ) and in the intermediates ( $\mathbf{B}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $\mathbf{D}$ , and  $\mathbf{E}$ ). The  $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$  ratio of  $\text{CO}_2$  (i.e.,  $r_A$ ) is assigned.

For the deuterated isotopologues, the continuity equations account for both primary isotope effects (describing the rates at which C-D bonds are formed or broken relative to C- $^1\text{H}$  bonds; fluxes shown vertically in fig. S4) and secondary isotope effects (describing the change in reaction rate resulting from D substitution at a site *adjacent* to that which is site of an  $^1\text{H}$ -addition or abstraction reaction; fluxes shown horizontally in fig. S4). For example for reservoir  $\mathbf{D}$ , the continuity equation for the D-substituted isotopologue (i.e., R- $\text{CH}_2$  or R-CHD) is:

$$\frac{d^2\mathbf{D}}{dt} = {}^2\alpha_{3p}^+ \cdot v_3 \cdot {}^2r_{\mathbf{H}} + {}^2\alpha_{3s}^+ \cdot v_3 \cdot {}^2r_{\mathbf{C}} - \left( \frac{1}{2} \cdot {}^2\alpha_{3s}^- \cdot w_3 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot {}^2\alpha_{3p}^- \cdot w_3 + {}^2\alpha_{4s}^- \cdot v_4 \right) \cdot {}^2r_{\mathbf{D}} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot {}^2\alpha_{4s}^- \cdot w_4 \cdot {}^2r_{\mathbf{E}} \quad [3]$$

Here,  ${}^2\alpha_{pn}$  and  ${}^2\alpha_{sn}$  are primary and secondary deuterium isotope effects, and  ${}^2r_{\mathbf{X}}$  are D-isotopologue ratios for reservoir  $\mathbf{X}$ .  ${}^2r_{\mathbf{H}}$  is the D/H ratio of hydrogen source (i.e., cellular water). The stoichiometric factor corresponds to the probability of a primary versus secondary isotope-sensitive reaction occurring (in this case, there is 2/3 chance of removing H from R- $\text{CH}_2\text{D}$ ). Again, there are five linear equations to be solved simultaneously. Conversion between isotopologue ratios and isotope ratios requires consideration of reaction stoichiometry. For example,

$${}^2r_{\mathbf{D}} = \frac{[\text{R=CHD}]}{[\text{R=CH}_2]} = 2 \left( \frac{\text{D}}{\text{H}} \right)_{\text{R=CH}_2} \quad [4]$$

Clumped isotopologue ratios (e.g.,  $[\text{R=}^{13}\text{CHD}]/[\text{R=}^{12}\text{CH}_2]$ ) can be solved for in a manner similar to that used for D-substituted isotopologues above.

For simplicity, primary ( $\alpha_p$ ) and secondary ( $\alpha_s$ ) kinetic isotope fractionation factors for the four H-addition steps are assumed to be identical at a given temperature (fractionation factors calculated for a model temperature of 20 °C are shown in table S3). The intrinsic (kinetic/forward)  $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$  and D/H fractionation factors are estimated from *in vitro* and culture studies (17, 49–52). The intrinsic  $^{13}\text{CD}$  fractionation factor ( $\gamma$ , where  ${}^{13\text{D}}\alpha = \gamma \cdot {}^{13}\alpha \cdot {}^2\alpha$ ) is taken to have the value required to generate a  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  signature of either  $-1.3\text{‰}$  or  $-3.5\text{‰}$  under fully-kinetic conditions (main text and table S3). The  $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ , D/H, and  $^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  equilibrium isotope fractionation factors are based on experimental and/or theoretical calibrations (Fig. 2 and figs. S1 and S3) (14, 36, 53, 54). The intrinsic fractionation factors for the reverse reactions ( $\alpha^-$ , table S3) are constrained by the requirement for consistency among equilibrium ( $\alpha_e$ ), forward ( $\alpha^+$ ), and reverse reactions (i.e.,  $\alpha_{\text{eq}} = \alpha^-/\alpha^+$ ). We note that varying the secondary isotope effect ( $\alpha_s$ , assumed to be 0.84 in either direction, for all steps) changes the curvature of the modeled microbial trajectories, but does not change the endmember  $\varepsilon_{\text{methane/water}}$  values (which are set by the primary D/H isotope effect).

We initiated the model calculations at temperatures of 0, 20, and 40 °C. These temperatures bracket the range of known or inferred environmental temperatures at which the microbial methane samples we studied were generated (table S4). The predicted isotopic compositions for microbial methane generated between 0 and 40 °C are shown in Figs. 2 and 4.

## Supplementary Text

### Evaluation of alternative mechanisms for isotopic disequilibria in microbial methane

There are several potential alternative mechanisms for the observed isotopic disequilibria in microbial methane shown in Fig. 2. It is conceivable that these signals are due to mixing of multiple methane sources with differing  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta\text{D}$  values, as  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  changes non-linearly upon mixing. The magnitude of non-linearity in the mixing depends on the difference in both  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta\text{D}$  values of the endmembers. It can be shown, using a Taylor-series expansion (55), that two-component mixing of endmembers (A & B) produces a mixture with a  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  value of:

$$\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}_{\text{mixture}} \approx f_A[\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}]_A + (1 - f_A)[\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}]_B + f_A(1 - f_A)(\delta^{13}\text{C}_A - \delta^{13}\text{C}_B)(\delta\text{D}_A - \delta\text{D}_B) \quad [5]$$

where  $f_A$  represents the fractional contribution from endmember A. Accordingly, the observed ~6‰ negative bias in  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  values (from that expected for equilibrium at 0–40 °C, Fig. 1) requires mixing of two methane sources with  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta\text{D}$  values that differ by  $\pm 60\text{‰}$  and  $\mp 400\text{‰}$ , respectively; gases with these isotopic compositions are unlikely to co-occur in the environments we studied (7).

Alternatively, under a commonly-used classification based on  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta\text{D}$  values (13), methane from these sites could be interpreted as derived from methyl-type fermentation (Fig. 1). If so, the low  $\Delta^{13}\text{CD}$  values could be inherited from those of the C–H bonds in methyl groups of the organic substrate(s). However, theoretical calculations predict consistent  $\Delta^{13}\text{CD}$  clumping effects of  $+6.2 \pm 0.3\text{‰}$  at 25 °C for the C–H bond of simple organic compounds (table S6), which is not significantly different from the equilibrium value for  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  at 25 °C ( $+6.4\text{‰}$ ). Thus, inheritance of equilibrium  $\Delta^{13}\text{CD}$  values from organic precursors during methyl-type fermentation does not explain the observed disequilibrium  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  signatures. While inheritance of kinetically-influenced  $\Delta^{13}\text{CD}$  values from organic precursors is possible, the  $\Delta^{13}\text{CD}$  values of acetate and other methyl-bearing methanogenic substrates are not currently known.

Furthermore, oxidation of methane can also be ruled out because the substantial deuterium-enrichment associated with methane oxidation (13) is not observed in the samples we studied.

### The equilibrium hydrogen-isotopic fractionation between water and methane

We compiled previously-published equilibrium hydrogen-isotopic fractionation factors calibrated at various temperatures, either experimentally or theoretically, for the system  $\text{CH}_4(\text{g})\text{-H}_2(\text{g})\text{-H}_2\text{O}(\text{g})\text{-H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$ . The  $\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})/\text{H}_2(\text{g})$  fractionation factor is very large ( $\alpha$  is ~4 at room temperature), and calibrations diverge substantially at lower temperatures ( $<100$  °C, fig. S3); this is the main source of uncertainty in estimates of  $\text{CH}_4(\text{g})/\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$  equilibrium D/H fractionation, which is derived by combination of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})/\text{H}_2(\text{g})$ ,  $\text{H}_2(\text{g})/\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{g})$ , and  $\text{CH}_4(\text{g})/\text{H}_2(\text{g})$  calibration curves. We used the Cerrai et al. (53) calibration for  $\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})/\text{H}_2(\text{g})$  in the calculation of  $\epsilon_{\text{methane/water}}$  of the equilibrium endmember of our model for isotope effects accompanying microbial methanogenesis (see model description) because amongst the published calibrations, this is likely most accurate at lower temperatures (36, 56, 57). The uncertainty in calibration, as well as salt and pressure effects (58), could explain small apparent offsets from the equilibrium line (Fig. 2) for some samples of thermogenic methane.

## Field site descriptions and sampling methods

*Bovine rumen, State College, Pennsylvania, USA.* The bovine rumen gas samples obtained for this study were collected from cannulated, lactating Holstein dairy cows at The Pennsylvania State University using methods described previously (59). The samples were stored at room temperature in glass serum vials stoppered with blue butyl septa. Bovine rumen fluid was also sampled for water isotope analysis (table S4). The fluid was centrifuged to remove large particulate material, filtered with a 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$  filter, and distilled to remove dissolved organic matter prior to isotope-ratio analysis. We note that the rumen fluid and gas samples were not taken from the same animal at the same time. However, the temporal variation of  $\delta\text{D}$  of tap water in the U.S. is expected to be small (generally  $<10\text{‰}$  in any particular region over multiple seasons) (60).

*Northern Cascadia Margin.* Gas samples were collected from gas voids and hydrates in sediment cores drilled during IODP (Integrated Ocean Drilling Program) Expedition 311 (61). These gases were interpreted to be dominantly microbial based on isotopic and compositional analyses (e.g.,  $\text{C}_1/\text{C}_2 > 1000$ ) (3). The gas samples were subsampled for previous analyses, and have remained in archive since. Samples were contained either in serum vials sealed with blue butyl stoppers, or in Vacutainers® (Becton Dickinson) sealed with orange septa and an additional silicone plug (in table S1, these are denoted “SB” or “Vac”, respectively); these methods are standard IODP procedures. The sample ID’s for the samples from the Northern Cascadia Margin listed in table S1 are the same as those reported in Pohlman et al. (3).

*Powder River Basin, Wyoming, USA.* The Powder River Basin is a major source of coal and coalbed methane. Gas samples were collected from multiple gas wells producing from the methane-rich Wall and Cook coal seams using a wellhead gas sampler and IsoTubes (from Isotech Laboratories, Champaign, Illinois, USA). Water samples were collected concurrently from the same wells, filtered through 0.45  $\mu\text{m}$  nylon filters, transported to the lab on ice in deionized water-washed glass bottles with no headspace, and kept at 4 °C prior to analysis.

*Atlantic White Cedar swamp, Cape Cod, Massachusetts, USA.* Atlantic White Cedar swamps are wetlands found throughout the coastal northeastern United States (62). We collected gases and water from a swamp (“Swamp Y”, approximate coordinates 41°31'38.2"N, 70°39'15.5"W) on the campus of the Marine Biological Lab (MBL) in Woods Hole, MA in May 2014. Gases were collected by trapping the bubbles released when sediment on the bottom of the swamp was gently disturbed. The collected gases were transferred via syringes to serum vials (either pre-evacuated or pre-filled with NaCl brine that was displaced to make room for the gas sample) sealed with blue butyl septa, and stored at room temperature until analysis. One sample (“SwampY-5”, table S1) was subsampled and analyzed 3 days after sample collection, and again 3 weeks later. The measured  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  values were indistinguishable within the precision of the measurements ( $0.36 \pm 0.34\text{‰}$  and  $0.27 \pm 0.52\text{‰}$ , respectively).

*Upper Mystic Lake, Arlington, Massachusetts, USA.* Upper Mystic Lake is a freshwater lake in the Boston metropolitan area. Ebullition of methane from this lake has been previously documented (34, 63). We collected gas bubbles using inverted funnel-shaped bubble traps [modified from an inverted-funnel design described previously (34, 64)] deployed ~2 m above the lake floor (~18 m water depth) using a custom rope and buoy structure. The deep deployment depth was chosen to minimize dissolution and/or oxidation of bubbles during their transit from the sediment to the lake surface. The collected gases were transferred via syringes to serum vials (either pre-evacuated or pre-filled with deionized water that was

displaced to make room for the gas sample) sealed with blue butyl septa, fixed with either saturated NaCl solution or 1 M NaOH, and stored at either 4 °C or room temperature until analysis. The water sample from Upper Mystic Lake listed in table S4 was collected in September 2014.

*Lower Mystic Lake, Arlington, Massachusetts, USA.* Lower Mystic Lake (elevation 1 m above sea level, maximum depth 24 m) is a meromictic glacial kettle lake. The sample of methane reported in table S1 was extracted from water we collected from 20 m water depth (mbll, meters below lake level) in August 2014. The water sample was transferred into a 2 L media bottle, taking care to minimize bubbles, immediately stoppered with a black rubber septum (Glasgerätebau Ochs, Germany), and transported to the laboratory. A headspace was created using helium, and the sample was then stored at 4 °C until extraction and analysis. The concentration of dissolved methane at 20 mbll was determined to be 4.2 mM ( $\pm 5\%$ ). Field measurements indicated that the water at 20 mbll was oxygen-depleted and had elevated conductivity relative to surface water. The water sample listed in table S4 was collected from 18 mbll, which is below the chemocline.


*The Cedars, Cazadero, California, USA.* Samples of bubbling gases were collected in June 2013 and July 2014 from sites in The Cedars as described in Morrill et al. (29); the sites studied here were Barnes Spring Complex (BSC), and Nipple Spring (NS). Gas samples were collected in inverted-bucket traps positioned over seeps, and collected gases were transferred to serum bottles stoppered with blue butyl rubber septa. Samples were fixed with HgCl<sub>2</sub> or HCl to prevent microbial alteration of the methane.

*Coast Range Ophiolite Microbial Observatory, Lower Lake, California, USA.* The Coast Range Ophiolite Microbial Observatory, located at the McLaughlin Natural Reserve (UC Davis), was established in 2011 with the completion of eight ultramafic-hosted groundwater monitoring wells drilled using a mud-free technique (30, 65). Water was sampled from well “N-08A” in December 2013 using a bladder pump into 1–2 L bottles, stoppered immediately as described above for the Lower Mystic Lake sample, transported to the laboratory, and stored at 4 °C until extraction and analysis. We also collected water in July 2014 from an electrically-pumped non-potable groundwater well in the Core Shed area (“CSWold”, approximate coordinates 38°51'42.53"N, 122°24'53.05"W). For this sample, dissolved gases were extracted on-site via equilibration with a helium headspace and stored in a stoppered serum vial fixed with 0.5 ml 1 M HCl. The water sample for which the  $\delta D_{\text{water}}$  value is reported in table S4 was collected from CSWold in December 2013. The range of H<sub>2</sub> concentrations reported in table S4 from CROMO are minimum and maximum values of [H<sub>2</sub>] observed over multiple sampling trips during a long-term (~3 years) sampling campaign.


*Kidd Creek Mine, Timmins, Ontario, Canada.* In subsurface mines in the Canadian Shield, exploration boreholes intersecting extensive fracture networks release waters rich in reduced gases (H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2+</sub>) and noble gases, which exsolve upon depressurization. Sampling and characterization of fracture fluids from Kidd Creek have been described in previous studies (4, 5, 31, 66, 67). We analyzed methane sampled from boreholes at the 7850' - and 9500' -levels (table S1). These samples were taken between 2007 and 2014, and stored in glass serum vials stoppered with blue butyl rubber septa. The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of these gases were previously measured by GC-IRMS at the University of Toronto. No evidence of any effects of long-term storage on the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of methane in these samples has been observed; the average difference between  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  determined via TILDAS compared to GC-IRMS was  $0.09 \pm 0.60\%$  (1s, n = 9), and shows no correlation with the length of time the sample had been stored.

*Guaymas Basin hydrothermal system (Rebecca's Roost vent), Gulf of California.* Guaymas Basin in the Gulf of California hosts an active sediment-hosted mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal system.(68–70). We analyzed methane from a fluid sample taken from a 299 °C vent fluid emanating from Rebecca's Roost, a flange-like vent structure. The sample was taken in 2008 using a isobaric gas-tight sampler (table S1) and poisoned with mercuric chloride (71). Fluid properties and geochemical data associated with this sample have been previously published (22). We assumed a value of  $+4 \pm 2\text{‰}$  for the  $\delta D_{\text{water}}$  of the vent fluid based on previous observations of Guaymas Basin hydrothermal vent fluid waters (72).


*Northern Appalachian Basin, Central Pennsylvania, USA.* Gases were sampled from gas wells producing from the Marcellus Formation (Middle Devonian) and Utica Formation (Upper Ordovician) in central Pennsylvania using standard wellhead sampling techniques. Gases produced from these geologic units are dry ( $<5\% \text{ C}_{2+}/\Sigma\text{C}_{1-5}$ ) thermogenic gases of high thermal maturity (1, 16). The  $\text{C}_2/\text{C}_1$  ratios of the gas samples from the Marcellus and Utica Shales we analyzed were  $<100$  (table S4), which is within the range expected for thermogenic gases (73, 74).



**Fig. S1. Experimental calibration of the  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  thermometer.** Filled circles represent the mean  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  of gases heated at that temperature, and error bars represent 95% confidence intervals calculated from a normal distribution (for the 150 °C sample, error bars represent the 95% confidence interval on the measurement cycles in a single analysis calculated from a  $t$ -distribution). For the 250 °C point, the error bars are smaller than the symbol. The open circle represents our reference gas, AL1. The equilibrium curve (red line) was calculated following conventional equilibrium isotope fractionation theory under the harmonic oscillator assumption (75); frequencies were calculated at the B3LYP level of theory using the 6-311G basis set as implemented in Gaussian 03 (76). For comparison, results from published computational studies (44–46) are also plotted.




**Fig. S2. Measurements of methane heated over catalyst at various temperatures.** Solid red lines represent unweighted linear least squares regressions through gases equilibrated at 250 °C, and gray lines denote the 95% confidence band. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals on multiple measurement cycles of a single analysis. Isotopic ratios are shown relative to our reference gas, AL1. Results indicate no significant correlation between  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  and (A)  $\delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  over an 800‰ range (the variation in  $\delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  is driven mainly by differences in  $\delta\text{D}$ ); and (B)  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  over a 48‰ range.



**Fig. S3. Equilibrium hydrogen isotopic fractionation factors compiled from experimental and theoretical calibrations.** When appropriate, calibrations for  $\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{g})/\text{H}_2(\text{g})$  have been converted using the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})/\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{g})$  calibration from Horita and Wesolowski (77) to derive  $\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})/\text{H}_2(\text{g})$  calibrations. HW94, Horita and Wesolowski (77); S49, Suess (57); C54, Cerrai et al. (53); BW76, Bardo and Wolfsberg (78); R76, Rolston et al. (56); HC95, Horibe and Craig (36). For any temperature, the  $\text{CH}_4(\text{g})/\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$  equilibrium composition is the ratio of the  $\text{CH}_4(\text{g})/\text{H}_2(\text{g})$  line (HC95) to a  $\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})/\text{H}_2(\text{g})$  line.






$$w_1/v_1=1; w_n/v_n=1-[H_2]/(K_m+[H_2]) \quad (\text{for } n=2, 3, 4, \text{ and } 5)$$

$$v_{np} = {}^2\alpha_p^+ v_{np} (D/H)_{H_2O}; w_{np} = {}^2\alpha_p^- w_{np}$$

$$v_{ns} = {}^2\alpha_s v_{ns}; w_{ns} = {}^2\alpha_s w_{ns}$$

**Fig. S4. Schematic of the model of deuterium substitution during microbial methanogenesis from  $\text{CO}_2$ .** Boxes represent pools of cellular carbon involved in the methanogenic pathway, and the asterisk represents a compound containing a deuterium substitution. Forward flows are represented by  $v$ , and backwards flows are represented by  $w$ . The model setup is similar in concept to previously published models for microbial sulfate reduction (25, 79, 80).



**Fig. S5. Dependence of the modeled isotopic composition of microbial methane on the degree of reversibility and isotope fractionation factors.** Orange and gray fields represent model output assuming a kinetic endmembers of  $-1.3\text{‰}$  and  $-3.5\text{‰}$ , respectively (table S3). Inner solid gray lines represent model trajectories for  $20\text{ °C}$  assuming different values for the D/H primary intrinsic isotope effect (table S3). Subhorizontal tie lines connect points of equal reversibility ( $\phi$ ). Outer solid lines represent bounding model trajectories calculated for  $0$  and  $40\text{ °C}$ .

**Table S1. Results of isotopic measurements of natural samples of methane.** Uncertainties reported are 95% confidence intervals over all measurement cycles for a single analysis. Values for  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $\delta\text{D}$ , and  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  are reported relative to PDB, SMOW, and the stochastic distribution, respectively. Samples for which  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D} \leq 0\text{‰}$  have no corresponding thermodynamically-allowed apparent equilibrium temperature, and are noted as anti-clumped (a.c.).

Sample Set	Sample Name	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)	$\delta\text{D}$ (‰)	$\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$ (‰)	$T_{13\text{D}}$ (°C)
Bovine Rumen	Sally-1*	<b>-52.81</b> ± 0.04 ‰	<b>-342.56</b> ± 0.04 ‰	<b>1.46</b> ± 0.71 ‰	<b>330</b> +190/-101 °C
	Sally-2-5*	<b>-54.15</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>-347.25</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>0.76</b> ± 0.49 ‰	<b>515</b> +309/-144 °C
NCM	311-1325B-19X-4 (145-146) / Void, SB	<b>-68.50</b> ± 0.10 ‰	<b>-189.48</b> ± 0.10 ‰	<b>5.74</b> ± 0.49 ‰	<b>25</b> +16/-15 °C
	311-1325C-6X-4 (17-18) / Void, SB	<b>-67.63</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>-188.40</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>5.22</b> ± 0.29 ‰	<b>42</b> +11/-10 °C
	311-1328E-2X-CC (0-10) / Hyd, SB	<b>-63.14</b> ± 0.04 ‰	<b>-193.26</b> ± 0.04 ‰	<b>6.14</b> ± 0.21 ‰	<b>13</b> +6/-6 °C
	311-1328E-2X-CC (0-10) / Hyd, Vac	<b>-61.63</b> ± 0.08 ‰	<b>-191.14</b> ± 0.08 ‰	<b>6.17</b> ± 0.34 ‰	<b>12</b> +10/-9 °C
PRB	DR_15W-17-08-41	<b>-59.74</b> ± 0.08 ‰	<b>-292.75</b> ± 0.12 ‰	<b>5.42</b> ± 0.34 ‰	<b>35</b> +12/-11 °C
	DR_3CA34	<b>-62.03</b> ± 0.10 ‰	<b>-290.80</b> ± 0.10 ‰	<b>4.95</b> ± 0.63 ‰	<b>52</b> +26/-22 °C
	DR_Visborg_13W-17-08-41	<b>-58.58</b> ± 0.10 ‰	<b>-293.89</b> ± 0.10 ‰	<b>5.19</b> ± 0.43 ‰	<b>44</b> +16/-15 °C
Swamp Y	SwampY-1	<b>-59.72</b> ± 0.06 ‰	<b>-322.17</b> ± 0.06 ‰	<b>0.47</b> ± 0.33 ‰	<b>660</b> +318/-159 °C
	SwampY-2	<b>-59.25</b> ± 0.06 ‰	<b>-324.27</b> ± 0.06 ‰	<b>1.00</b> ± 0.55 ‰	<b>435</b> +238/-121 °C
	SwampY-5†	<b>-59.70</b> ± 0.32 ‰	<b>-330.14</b> ± 0.21 ‰	<b>0.32</b> ± 0.10 ‰	<b>775</b> +100/-78 °C
UML	UML 06/19/2014	<b>-70.96</b> ± 0.10 ‰	<b>-264.97</b> ± 0.10 ‰	<b>3.22</b> ± 0.43 ‰	<b>139</b> +32/-26 °C
	UML 07/29/2014	<b>-70.99</b> ± 0.16 ‰	<b>-268.93</b> ± 0.16 ‰	<b>3.13</b> ± 0.67 ‰	<b>145</b> +54/-41 °C
LML	LML-20m	<b>-65.47</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>-289.81</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>0.98</b> ± 0.35 ‰	<b>440</b> +133/-87 °C
The Cedars	The Cedars NS, 2013 June	<b>-67.97</b> ± 0.12 ‰	<b>-333.06</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>-2.43</b> ± 0.62 ‰	a.c.
	The Cedars BSC, 2013 June	<b>-63.81</b> ± 0.21 ‰	<b>-341.98</b> ± 0.16 ‰	<b>-3.36</b> ± 1.42 ‰	a.c.
	The Cedars BSC, 2014 July	<b>-64.39</b> ± 0.05 ‰	<b>-341.48</b> ± 0.05 ‰	<b>-2.93</b> ± 0.24 ‰	a.c.
Kidd Creek	14.06.2012.KC.L9500_BHY13762_Gas D	<b>-32.66</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>-420.74</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>4.38</b> ± 0.80 ‰	<b>76</b> +41/-32 °C
	29.11.2012.KC.L9500_BH2_Gas C	<b>-32.28</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>-419.74</b> ± 0.06 ‰	<b>4.07</b> ± 0.29 ‰	<b>90</b> +15/-14 °C
	KC_12.02.2008_7850L_BH12299(E)	<b>-39.11</b> ± 0.11 ‰	<b>-397.33</b> ± 0.05 ‰	<b>4.51</b> ± 0.25 ‰	<b>70</b> +11/-10 °C
	KC_12.01.2010_7850L_BH12299(F)	<b>-39.73</b> ± 0.06 ‰	<b>-397.39</b> ± 0.06 ‰	<b>4.34</b> ± 0.52 ‰	<b>78</b> +26/-22 °C
	KC_01.03.2012_7850L_BH12299(F)†	<b>-40.19</b> ± 0.05 ‰	<b>-394.98</b> ± 0.03 ‰	<b>4.11</b> ± 0.37 ‰	<b>89</b> +19/-17 °C
	02.04.2014_KC_7850L_BH12299(C)	<b>-39.72</b> ± 0.04 ‰	<b>-390.12</b> ± 0.03 ‰	<b>4.47</b> ± 0.22 ‰	<b>72</b> +10/-9 °C
	02.04.2014_KC_7850L_BH12299(D)	<b>-39.72</b> ± 0.06 ‰	<b>-390.12</b> ± 0.06 ‰	<b>4.07</b> ± 0.26 ‰	<b>90</b> +13/-12 °C
	KC_27.08.2007_7850L_BH12287A(C)	<b>-40.64</b> ± 0.04 ‰	<b>-386.48</b> ± 0.05 ‰	<b>4.36</b> ± 0.22 ‰	<b>77</b> +10/-10 °C
	KC_20.06.2008_7850L_BH12287A(D)	<b>-40.25</b> ± 0.08 ‰	<b>-395.07</b> ± 0.05 ‰	<b>4.23</b> ± 0.30 ‰	<b>83</b> +15/-13 °C
	KC_20.09.2013_7850L_BH12287A(B)	<b>-41.44</b> ± 0.06 ‰	<b>-388.32</b> ± 0.06 ‰	<b>4.87</b> ± 0.32 ‰	<b>56</b> +13/-12 °C
NAB	Marcellus Fm.	<b>-36.18</b> ± 0.09 ‰	<b>-157.60</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>3.10</b> ± 0.33 ‰	<b>147</b> +25/-22 °C
	Utica Fm.	<b>-25.70</b> ± 0.08 ‰	<b>-153.10</b> ± 0.08 ‰	<b>2.93</b> ± 0.36 ‰	<b>160</b> +29/-25 °C
Guaymas	Rebecca's Roost 4462-IGT4, VT1	<b>-43.96</b> ± 0.18 ‰	<b>-106.24</b> ± 0.16 ‰	<b>1.48</b> ± 0.67 ‰	<b>326</b> +170/-95 °C
CROMO	CROMO-CSWold	<b>-26.98</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>-169.56</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>4.39</b> ± 0.29 ‰	<b>76</b> +14/-12 °C
	CROMO-N08-A.1	<b>-26.39</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>-157.53</b> ± 0.06 ‰	<b>5.24</b> ± 0.31 ‰	<b>42</b> +11/-10 °C
	CROMO-N08-A.2	<b>-26.55</b> ± 0.12 ‰	<b>-157.50</b> ± 0.13 ‰	<b>4.97</b> ± 0.44 ‰	<b>52</b> +18/-16 °C

Abbreviations: NCM, Northern Cascadia Margin; PRB, Powder River Basin; Swamp Y, Atlantic White Cedar Swamp; UML, Upper Mystic Lake; LML, Lower Mystic Lake; NAB, Northern Appalachian Basin; CROMO, Coast Range Ophiolite Microbial Observatory.

\* Purified sample was measured twice. The uncertainties reported for these samples are 95% confidence intervals calculated from the data for each measurement (with  $\sigma$  taken as the larger of 1s or 0.3‰, which is typical analytical reproducibility) assuming the measurements follow a normal distribution.

† Sample was subsampled, purified and analyzed twice (3 weeks apart) as described in the *SI Text*. The uncertainties reported for this sample are 2 s.e.m. (standard error of the mean) of the replicate measurements ( $n = 2$ ).

‡ Sample was subsampled, purified and analyzed three times over a period of >3 months. The uncertainties reported for this sample are 2 s.e.m. of the replicate measurements ( $n = 3$ ).

**Table S2. Results of isotopic measurements of methane produced experimentally by cultures of methanogens.** Each line represents a separate bottle incubation of an axenic strain of methanogens. Uncertainties reported are 95% confidence intervals over all measurement cycles for a single analysis. Values for  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $\delta\text{D}$ , and  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  are reported relative to PDB, SMOW, and the stochastic distribution, respectively. Samples for which  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D} \leq 0\text{‰}$  have no corresponding thermodynamically-allowed apparent equilibrium temperature, and are noted as anti-clumped (a.c.).

Culture	growth T*	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)	$\delta\text{D}$ (‰)	$\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$ (‰)	$T_{13\text{D}}$ (°C)
<i>Methanocaldococcus bathoardescens</i>	85 °C	<b>-12.58</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>-419.23</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>1.03</b> ± 0.45 ‰	<b>426</b> +170/-100 °C
<i>Methanocaldococcus jannaschii</i>	80 °C	<b>-18.79</b> ± 0.03 ‰	<b>-416.90</b> ± 0.05 ‰	<b>2.29</b> ± 0.23 ‰	<b>216</b> +25/-22 °C
<i>Methanothermococcus thermolithotrophicus</i>	60 °C	<b>-17.05</b> ± 0.05 ‰	<b>-409.84</b> ± 0.05 ‰	<b>0.54</b> ± 0.28 ‰	<b>620</b> +214/-126 °C
<i>Methanothermococcus thermolithotrophicus</i>	40 °C	<b>-16.47</b> ± 0.04 ‰	<b>-427.76</b> ± 0.04 ‰	<b>1.38</b> ± 0.34 ‰	<b>345</b> +79/-58 °C
<i>Methanosarcina barkeri</i>	ambient	<b>-59.90</b> ± 0.05 ‰	<b>-418.40</b> ± 0.05 ‰	<b>-1.34</b> ± 0.22 ‰	a.c.
<i>Methanosarcina barkeri</i>	ambient	<b>-50.30</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>-422.67</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>-1.08</b> ± 0.63 ‰	a.c.

\* Uncertainty on measured growth temperatures is estimated at  $\pm 5$  °C. Temperatures were not monitored throughout the *M. barkeri* incubations but are estimated at  $25 \pm 10$  °C.

**Table S3. Isotope fractionation factors (input parameters) used in model calculations for microbial methane generated at 20 °C.** A detailed description of the model setup and explanation of choices of fractionation factors is given in *Materials and Methods*.

	forward	backward	equilibrium
$^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ isotope effect ( $^{13}\alpha$ )	0.9600*	0.9771 <sup>†</sup>	0.9824 <sup>‡</sup>
D/H primary isotope effect ( $^2\alpha_p$ )	0.600 to 0.750 <sup>§</sup>	0.751 to 0.939 <sup>†</sup>	0.7989 <sup>  </sup>
D/H secondary isotope effect ( $^2\alpha_s$ )	0.8400 <sup>¶</sup>	0.8400 <sup>¶</sup>	1.0000 <sup>†</sup>
$^{13}\text{C}$ -D clumped isotope effect ( $\gamma$ )	0.9987 or 0.9965**	0.9928 or 0.9907 <sup>†</sup>	1.0059 <sup>††</sup>

\* From Scheller et al. (52) for the reduction of methyl-coenzyme M.

<sup>†</sup>Internally-consistent value.

<sup>‡</sup> From Horita (54), who determined  $^{13}\alpha_{\text{CH}_4/\text{CO}_2} = 0.932$  at 20 °C; this reported value is equal to 0.9824 taken to the power of 4.

<sup>§</sup> Free parameter. The range of values used here are similar to those reported for *in vitro* studies of methyl-coenzyme M reductase (0.63 to 1.0) (52) and from experimental cultures of methanogens (0.70 to 0.86) (17).

<sup>||</sup> From the value given by Horibe and Craig (36) for the equilibrium D/H fractionation factor between  $\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$  and  $\text{CH}_4(\text{g})$  at 20 °C.

<sup>¶</sup> From Scheller et al. (52) for the reduction of methyl-CoM.

\*\* To fit the lowest  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  values we have observed in methanogen culture experiments (0.9987, corresponding to  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D} = -1.3\text{‰}$ , table S2) or in nature (0.9965, corresponding to  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D} = -3.5\text{‰}$ , table S1). Calculations for the fields shown in Figs. 2 and 4 use the latter values. See *Materials and Methods* for explanation of choice, and fig. S5 for comparison of model results using the two different values.

<sup>††</sup> Computed equilibrium  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  value at 20 °C (fig. S1).

**Table S4. Methane/ethane ratio, hydrogen isotopic composition of water, current environmental temperatures, and concentration of dissolved H<sub>2</sub> for sites studied.** References are provided for previously-published descriptions of the field site; n.d., not determined.

Location	C <sub>1</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> ratio <sup>  </sup>	δD <sub>water</sub> (‰) <sup>¶</sup>	T (°C)**	[H <sub>2</sub> ]	Notes / Data Sources
Bovine rumen, State College, Pennsylvania, USA	n.d.	<b>-32</b> ± 10	<b>39</b> ± 2	0.1–50 μM	this study <sup>*,†</sup> , (81)
Northern Cascadia Margin sediments	>1000	<b>+5</b> ± 10	<b>3–17</b>	2–60 nM	[1]
Powder River Basin, Wyoming, USA	>1000	<b>-136</b> ± 5	<b>18</b> ± 2	n.d.	this study <sup>§</sup>
Atlantic White Cedar swamp, Cape Cod, Massachusetts, USA	n.d.	<b>-21</b> ± 10	<b>16</b> ± 5	n.d.	this study <sup>‡</sup>
Upper Mystic Lake, Massachusetts, USA	n.d.	<b>-39</b> ± 10	<b>4</b> ± 2	n.d.	this study <sup>‡</sup>
Lower Mystic Lake, Massachusetts, USA	>1000	<b>-41</b> ± 10	<b>6</b> ± 2	n.d.	this study <sup>‡</sup>
The Cedars, California, USA	>350	<b>-37</b> ± 10	<b>17</b> ± 1	120, 310 μM	[2]
Coast Range Ophiolite Microbial Observatory, California, USA	>350	<b>-33</b> ± 10	<b>16</b> ± 4	60–130 nM	this study <sup>†,‡</sup>
Kidd Creek Mine, Timmins, Ontario, Canada	5.9–14	<b>-34</b> ± 6	<b>30</b> ± 2	0.8–8 mM	[3]
Guaymas Basin hydrothermal field (Rebecca's Roost vent)	140	<b>+4</b> ± 2	<b>299</b> ± 5	3.3 mM	(22, 72)
Marcellus Fm., central Pennsylvania, USA	45	<b>-44</b> ± 10	<b>51</b> ± 10	n.d.	[4]
Utica Fm., central Pennsylvania, USA	84	<b>-40</b> ± 15	<b>93</b> ± 10	n.d.	[5]

\* Concentrations of H<sub>2</sub> were determined using gas chromatography with thermal conductivity detection at MIT. Analytical reproducibility is typically ±5%.

† Concentrations of H<sub>2</sub> were determined using a reduced gas analyzer gas chromatograph at NASA Ames (65).

‡ The δD<sub>water</sub> values were measured at the Boston University Stable Isotope Laboratory using high-temperature conversion gas chromatography isotope-ratio mass spectrometry. External reproducibility on replicate analyses of samples was ± 1–3‰ (1s, n = 3–4), with the exception of cow rumen fluid (±8‰, 1s).

§ The δD<sub>water</sub> values were measured at the University of Arizona Environmental Geochemistry Laboratory via isotope-ratio mass spectrometry.

|| Unless otherwise indicated, the C<sub>1</sub>/C<sub>2</sub> ratio (i.e., the ratio of the concentration of methane to that of ethane in a gas sample) was determined using gas chromatography with flame-ionization detection at MIT.

¶ The δD<sub>water</sub> values are reported with respect to the VSMOW scale.

\*\*\* At some sites ambient temperatures were not directly measured (*in italics*) and therefore were estimated; reasonable uncertainties on those estimates are given. At all other sites temperatures were measured in-situ.

[1] For the Northern Cascadia Margin samples, an average D/H ratio of marine sediment porewater [+5‰ (82)] is assumed. The natural variability of ±10‰ is taken as the uncertainty of this estimate. Downhole temperature measurements from Expedition 311 have been reported (83). Concentrations of H<sub>2</sub> were assumed to be within the range of 2–60 nM, which is typical of marine sediments (84). The C<sub>1</sub>/C<sub>2</sub> data are from Pohlman et al. (3).

[2] The [H<sub>2</sub>], δD<sub>water</sub> and temperature data are from Morrill et al. (29). An uncertainty of ±10‰ is applied to δD<sub>water</sub> to account for potential interannual variability. Dissolved [H<sub>2</sub>] for estimated from the H<sub>2</sub> concentration in the gas phase assuming equilibrium between gas bubbles and water at atmospheric pressure.

[3] Dissolved [H<sub>2</sub>] for Kidd Creek fluids was estimated using gas/water flow rate data from Holland et al. (31) and gas-phase H<sub>2</sub> concentrations from Sherwood Lollar et al. (4), and assuming that all dissolved H<sub>2</sub> had completely partitioned into the gas phase prior to sampling. The C<sub>1</sub>/C<sub>2</sub> data are from Sherwood Lollar et al. (5).

[4] The δD<sub>water</sub> values for formation water from the Marcellus Fm. in Pennsylvania are estimated from Rowan et al. (85). Uncertainty on reservoir temperature is estimated at ±10 °C.

[5] The δD<sub>water</sub> values for formation water from the Utica Fm. are estimated using data for Appalachian Basin brines from pre-Middle Devonian units presented in Warner et al. (86). Uncertainty on reservoir temperature is estimated at ±10 °C.

**Table S5. Results of isotopic measurements of methane in samples of natural gas standards NGS-1 and NGS-3.** Uncertainties reported are 95% confidence intervals over all measurement cycles for a single analysis as described in *Methods*. Values for  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $\delta\text{D}$ , and  $\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$  are reported relative to PDB, SMOW, and the stochastic distribution, respectively.

Sample Name	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)	$\delta\text{D}$ (‰)	$\Delta^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{D}$ (‰)	$T_{13\text{D}}$ (°C)
NGS-1	<b>-28.73</b> ± 0.05 ‰	<b>-137.47</b> ± 0.05 ‰	<b>2.61</b> ± 0.29 ‰	<b>186</b> +28/-24 °C
	<b>-28.79</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>-137.69</b> ± 0.07 ‰	<b>2.53</b> ± 0.29 ‰	<b>193</b> +29/-25 °C
	<b>-28.91</b> ± 0.05 ‰	<b>-138.07</b> ± 0.05 ‰	<b>2.62</b> ± 0.24 ‰	<b>185</b> +22/-19 °C
NGS-3	<b>-72.82</b> ± 0.06 ‰	<b>-176.09</b> ± 0.06 ‰	<b>5.08</b> ± 0.26 ‰	<b>48</b> +10/-9 °C
	<b>-72.71</b> ± 0.05 ‰	<b>-175.82</b> ± 0.05 ‰	<b>5.18</b> ± 0.26 ‰	<b>44</b> +10/-9 °C
NGS-3 + 150ml air *	<b>-72.99</b> ± 0.06 ‰	<b>-176.21</b> ± 0.06 ‰	<b>5.14</b> ± 0.49 ‰	<b>45</b> +19/-17 °C

\* This sample was a subsample of NGS-3 that was intentionally-contaminated with 150 ml of air, to check for artifacts introduced from sample preparation and analysis of samples containing large quantities of air. No significant difference was found compared to subsamples of NGS-3 that were not contaminated with air.

**Table S6. Partition function ratios ( $\beta$ -factors) for simple organic compounds calculated at 25 °C.** Partition function ratios were calculated using the method of Bigeleisen and Mayer (75). Vibrational frequencies were calculated using the Hartree-Fock method with the 6-31G\* basis set. The partition function ratios listed below have been corrected with symmetry factors to account for changes in symmetry upon isotope substitution (75, 87). The average  $\Delta^{13}\text{CD}$  value calculated for methanol, formaldehyde, formate, methanethiol, and acetate is  $+6.2 \pm 0.3\text{‰}$  (1  $\sigma$ ).

Species	Formula*	$^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$	D/H	$^{13}\text{CD}/^{12}\text{CH}$	$\Delta^{13}\text{CD}$ (‰)
Methane	<b>CH<sub>4</sub></b>	0.123	2.647	2.777	6.4
Methanol	<b>CH<sub>3</sub>OH</b>	0.150	2.812	2.968	6.3
Formaldehyde	<b>CH<sub>2</sub>O</b>	0.165	2.591	2.763	6.7
Formate	<b>HCOOH</b>	0.200	2.834	3.040	5.9
Methanethiol	<b>CH<sub>3</sub>SH</b>	0.128	2.759	2.893	6.2
Acetate	<b>CH<sub>3</sub>COOH</b>	0.147	2.775	2.927	6.0

\* D/H and  $^{13}\text{CD}/^{12}\text{CH}$   $\beta$ -factors were calculated for D substitution at H sites shown in bold letters.



## Additional References and Notes

37. W. Jones, J. Leigh, F. Mayer, C. Woese, R. Wolfe, *Methanococcus jannaschii* sp. nov., an extremely thermophilic methanogen from a submarine hydrothermal vent, *Arch. Microbiol.* **136**, 254–261 (1983).
38. W. E. Balch, G. Fox, L. Magrum, C. Woese, R. Wolfe, Methanogens: reevaluation of a unique biological group., *Microbiol. Rev.* **43**, 260 (1979).
39. L. C. Stewart *et al.*, *Methanocaldococcus bathoardescens* sp. nov., a hyperthermophilic methanogen isolated from a volcanically active deep-sea hydrothermal vent, *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **in press** (2015), doi:10.1099/ijls.0.000097.
40. H. C. Ver Eecke, N. H. Akerman, J. A. Huber, D. A. Butterfield, J. F. Holden, Growth kinetics and energetics of a deep-sea hyperthermophilic methanogen under varying environmental conditions, *Environ. Microbiol. Reports* **5**, 665–671 (2013).
41. M. Alei *et al.*, Determination of deuterated methanes for use as atmospheric tracers, *Atmos. Environ.* **21**, 909–915 (1987).
42. S. Ono, B. Wing, D. Rumble, J. Farquhar, High precision analysis of all four stable isotopes of sulfur (<sup>32</sup>S, <sup>33</sup>S, <sup>34</sup>S and <sup>36</sup>S) at nanomole levels using a laser fluorination isotope-ratio-monitoring gas chromatography mass spectrometry, *Chem. Geol.* **225**, 30–39 (2006).
43. G. Hut, *Consultants' group meeting on stable isotope reference samples for geochemical and hydrological investigations* (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria, 1987).
44. M. A. Webb, T. F. Miller, Position-specific and clumped stable isotope studies: Comparison of the Urey and path-integral approaches for carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide, methane, and propane, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **118**, 467–474 (2014).
45. X. Cao, Y. Liu, Theoretical estimation of the equilibrium distribution of clumped isotopes in nature, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **77**, 292–303 (2012).
46. Q. Ma, S. Wu, Y. Tang, Formation and abundance of doubly-substituted methane isotopologues (<sup>13</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>D) in natural gas systems, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **72**, 5446–5456 (2008).
47. R. K. Thauer, Biochemistry of methanogenesis: a tribute to Marjory Stephenson: 1998 Marjory Stephenson Prize Lecture, *Microbiology* **144**, 2377–2406 (1998).
48. J. M. Hayes, Fractionation of carbon and hydrogen isotopes in biosynthetic processes, *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.* **43**, 225–277 (2001).
49. J. D. Hermes, S. W. Morrical, M. H. O'Leary, W. Cleland, Variation of transition-state structure as a function of the nucleotide in reactions catalyzed by dehydrogenases. 2. Formate dehydrogenase, *Biochemistry* **23**, 5479–5488 (1984).
50. D. Roston, A. Kohen, Elusive transition state of alcohol dehydrogenase unveiled, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **107**, 9572–9577 (2010).
51. M. Scharschmidt, M. A. Fisher, W. Cleland, Variation of transition-state structure as a function of the nucleotide in reactions catalyzed by dehydrogenases. 1. Liver alcohol dehydrogenase with benzyl alcohol and yeast aldehyde dehydrogenase with benzaldehyde, *Biochemistry* **23**, 5471–5478 (1984).
52. S. Scheller, M. Goenrich, R. K. Thauer, B. M. Jaun, Methyl-coenzyme M reductase from methanogenic archaea: Isotope effects on the formation and anaerobic oxidation of methane, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* (2013).
53. E. Cerrai *et al.*, in *Chem. Eng. Progr. Symposium Ser.*, (Laboratori CISE, Milan, Italy, 1954).
54. J. Horita, Carbon isotope exchange in the system CO<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>4</sub> at elevated temperatures, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **65**, 1907–1919 (2001).
55. S. Ono, B. Wing, D. Johnston, J. Farquhar, D. Rumble, Mass-dependent fractionation of quadruple stable sulfur isotope system as a new tracer of sulfur biogeochemical cycles, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **70**, 2238–2252 (2006).
56. J. Rolston, J. Den Hartog, J. Butler, The deuterium isotope separation factor between hydrogen and liquid water, *J. Phys. Chem.* **80**, 1064–1067 (1976).
57. H. Suess, Das Gleichgewicht H<sub>2</sub> + HDO = HD + H<sub>2</sub>O und die weiteren Austauschgleichgewichte im System H<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub> und H<sub>2</sub>O, *Zeitschrift Naturforschung Teil A* **4**, 328 (1949).
58. J. Horita, Stable isotope thermometry: There is more to it than temperature, *Geochem. J.* **39**, 481–496 (2005).
59. J. Tekippe *et al.*, Rumen fermentation and production effects of *Origanum vulgare* L. leaves in lactating dairy cows, *J. Dairy Sci.* **94**, 5065–5079 (2011).
60. G. J. Bowen, J. R. Ehleringer, L. A. Chesson, E. Stange, T. E. Cerling, Stable isotope ratios of tap water in the contiguous United States, *Water Resour. Res.* **43** (2007).
61. M. Riedel, T. Collett, M. Malone, the Expedition 311 Scientists, in *Proceedings of the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program*, (2006), vol. 311.
62. A. D. Laderman, M. Brody, E. Pendleton, The ecology of Atlantic white cedar wetlands: a community profile, (1989).
63. B. P. Scandella, C. Varadharajan, H. F. Hemond, C. Ruppel, R. Juanes, A conduit dilation model of methane venting from lake sediments, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **38** (2011).
64. C. Varadharajan, R. Hermosillo, H. F. Hemond, A low-cost automated trap to measure bubbling gas fluxes, *Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods* **8**, 363–375 (2010).
65. M. Crespo-Medina *et al.*, Environmental controls on microbial communities in continental serpentinite fluids, *Front. Microbiol.* **5**, 604 (2014).
66. B. Sherwood Lollar *et al.*, Hydrogeologic controls on episodic H<sub>2</sub> release from Precambrian fractured rocks—Energy for deep subsurface life on Earth and Mars, *Astrobiology* **7**, 971–986 (2007).
67. B. Sherwood *et al.*, Methane occurrences in the Canadian Shield, *Chem. Geol.* **71**, 223–236 (1988).

68. B. M. Didyk, B. R. Simoneit, Hydrothermal oil of Guaymas Basin and implications for petroleum formation mechanisms, *Nature* **342**, 65–69 (1989).
69. B. R. Simoneit, Hydrothermal petroleum: genesis, migration, and deposition in Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California, *Can. J. Earth Sci.* **22**, 1919–1929 (1985).
70. B. R. Simoneit, P. F. Lonsdale, Hydrothermal petroleum in mineralized mounds at the seabed of Guaymas Basin, *Nature* **295**, 198–202 (1982).
71. J. S. Seewald, K. W. Doherty, T. R. Hammar, S. P. Liberatore, A new gas-tight isobaric sampler for hydrothermal fluids, *Deep Sea Res. Pt. I* **49**, 189–196 (2002).
72. W. Shanks, J. Böhlke, R. Seal, in S. E. Humphris, R. A. Zierenberg, L. S. Mullineaux, R. E. Thomson, Eds. (Wiley Online Library, 1995), pp. 194–221.
73. B. B. Bernard, J. M. Brooks, W. M. Sackett, Light hydrocarbons in recent Texas continental shelf and slope sediments, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* **83**, 4053–4061 (1978).
74. B. B. Bernard, J. M. Brooks, W. M. Sackett, Natural gas seepage in the Gulf of Mexico, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **31**, 48–54 (1976).
75. J. Bigeleisen, M. G. Mayer, Calculation of equilibrium constants for isotopic exchange reactions, *J. Chem. Phys.* **15**, 261 (1947).
76. M. J. Frisch *et al.*, Gaussian 03, Revision D.01 (Gaussian Inc., Wallingford, Connecticut, 2004).
77. J. Horita, D. J. Wesolowski, Liquid-vapor fractionation of oxygen and hydrogen isotopes of water from the freezing to the critical temperature, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **58**, 3425–3437 (1994).
78. R. D. Bardo, M. Wolfsberg, A theoretical calculation of the equilibrium constant for the isotopic exchange reaction between water and hydrogen deuteride, *J. Phys. Chem.* **80**, 1068–1071 (1976).
79. B. Brunner, S. M. Bernasconi, A revised isotope fractionation model for dissimilatory sulfate reduction in sulfate reducing bacteria, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **69**, 4759–4771 (2005).
80. J. Farquhar, D. T. Johnston, B. A. Wing, Implications of conservation of mass effects on mass-dependent isotope fractionations: influence of network structure on sulfur isotope phase space of dissimilatory sulfate reduction, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **71**, 5862–5875 (2007).
81. P. H. Janssen, Influence of hydrogen on rumen methane formation and fermentation balances through microbial growth kinetics and fermentation thermodynamics, *Anim. Feed Sci. Tech.* **160**, 1–22 (2010).
82. I. Friedman, K. Hardcastle, Deuterium in interstitial water from deep-sea cores, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* **93**, 8249–8263 (1988).
83. M. Riedel, T. Collett, M. Malone, the Expedition 311 Scientists, *Proceedings of the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program E. 311 Scientists*, Ed. (2006).
84. Y.-S. Lin *et al.*, Towards constraining H<sub>2</sub> concentration in seafloor sediment: A proposal for combined analysis by two distinct approaches, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **77**, 186–201 (2012).
85. E. L. Rowan *et al.*, Geochemical and isotopic evolution of water produced from Middle Devonian Marcellus Shale gas wells, Appalachian Basin, Pennsylvania, *Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull.* (2014).
86. N. R. Warner *et al.*, Geochemical evidence for possible natural migration of Marcellus Formation brine to shallow aquifers in Pennsylvania, *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **109**, 11961–11966 (2012).
87. H. C. Urey, The thermodynamic properties of isotopic substances, *J. Chem. Soc.* **Apr**, 562–581 (1947).