PFC/JA-82-4

HIGHER HARMONIC EMISSION BY A RELATIVISTIC ELECTRON BEAM IN A LONGITUDINAL MAGNETIC WIGGLER

> Ronald C. Davidson Wayne McMullin

> > February 2, 1982

HIGHER HARMONIC EMISSION BY A RELATIVISTIC

ELECTRON BEAM IN A LONGITUDINAL MAGNETIC WIGGLER

Ronald C. Davidson⁺ Plasma Research Institute Science Applications, Inc. Boulder, Colorado 80302

and

Wayne A. McMullin Plasma Fusion Center Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

ABSTRACT

The classical limit of the Einstein coefficient method is used in the low-gain regime to calculate the stimulated emission from a tenuous relativistic electron beam propagating in the combined solenoidal and <u>longitudinal</u> wiggler fields $[B_0 + \delta B \sin k_0 z] \hat{e}_z$ produced near the axis of a multiple-mirror (undulator) field configuration. Emission is found to occur at all harmonics of the wiggler wavenumber k_0 with Doppler upshifted output frequency given by $\omega = [\ell k_0 V_b + \omega_{cb}](1 + V_b/c)\gamma_b^2/(1 + \gamma_b^2 V_{\perp}^2/c^2)$, where $\ell \ge 1$. The emission is compared to the low-gain cyclotron maser with $\delta B = 0$ and to the low-gain FEL (operating at higher harmonics) utilizing a transverse, linearly polarized wiggler field.

+Permanent Address: Plasma Fusion Center, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Lowbitron (acronym for longitudinal wiggler beam interaction) is a novel source of coherent radiation in the centimeter, millimeter, and submillimeter wavelength regions of the electromagnetic spectrum. The radiation is generated by a tenuous, thin, relativistic electron beam with average axial velocity V_b and transverse velocity V_{\perp} propagating along the axis of a multiple-mirror (undulator) magnetic field. It is assumed that the beam radius is sufficiently small that the electrons experience only the axial solenoidal and wiggler fields given by Eq. (2). The output frequency ω is upshifted in proportion to harmonics of $k_0 V_b$, where $\lambda_0 = 2\pi/k_0$ is the wiggler wavelength. This offers the possibility of radiation generation at very short wavelengths.

Previously, we have considered this FEL configuration in the high-gain regime using the Maxwell-Vlasov equations to study coherent emission at the fundamental harmonic^{1,2}, and at higher harmonics³. In this article, the classical limit of the Einstein coefficient method is used in the low-gain regime to study stimulated emission at the fundamental and higher harmonics. In Sec. 2, we determine the electron orbits in the magnetic field given by Eq. (2). These orbits are then used in Sec. 3 to determine the spontaneous energy radiated. In Sec. 4, the amplitude gain per unit length is calculated for a cold, tenuous, relativistic electron beam. For sufficiently large magnetic fields, we find that the emission is inherently broadband in the sense that many adjacent harmonics can exhibit substantial amplification. For a device operating as an oscillator, it would be possible to tune the output over a range of frequencies for fixed electron beam and magnetic field parameters by changing the optical mirror separation to correspond

to the different harmonics. The low-gain Lowbitron results are compared to the low-gain cyclotron maser and low-gain, higher harmonic FEL utilizing a transverse, linearly polarized wiggler field.

2. CONSTANTS OF THE MOTION AND ELECTRON TRAJECTORIES

We consider a tenuous, relativistic electron beam propagating along the axis of a combined solenoidal magnetic field and multiple-mirror (undulator) magnetic field with axial periodicity length $\lambda_0 = 2\pi/k_0$. It is assumed that the beam radius R_b is sufficiently small that $k_0^2 R_b^2 < 1$ and that $k_0^2 r^2 < 1$ is satisfied over the radial cross-section of the electron beam. Here, cylindrical polar coordinates (r, θ, z) are introduced, where r is the radial distance from the axis of symmetry and z is the axial coordinate. For $k_0^2 r^2 < 1$, the axial and radial magnetic field, $B_z^0(r, z)$ and $B_r^0(r, z)$, can be approximated near the axis by¹⁻³

$$B_{z}^{0} = B_{0} \left[1 + \frac{\delta B}{B_{0}} \sin k_{0} z \right] + \frac{1}{4} \delta B k_{0}^{2} r^{2} \sin k_{0} z,$$

$$B_{r}^{0} = -\frac{1}{2} \delta B k_{0} r \cos k_{0} z,$$
(1)

where $B_0 = \text{const}$ is the average solenoidal field, $\delta B = \text{const}$ is the oscillation amplitude of the multiple-mirror field, and $\delta B/B_0 < 1$ is related to the mirror ratio R by R = $(1 + \delta B/B_0)/(1 - \delta B/B_0)$. For present purposes, it is assumed that $k_0 R_b$ is sufficiently small that field contributions of the order $k_0 r \delta B$ (and smaller) are negligibly small. Therefore, in the subsequent analysis, the axial and radial magnetic fields in Eq. (1) are approximated by

$$B_{z}^{0} = B_{0} \left[1 + \frac{\delta B}{B_{0}} \sin k_{0} z \right],$$

$$B_{r}^{0} = 0.$$
(2)

That is, to lowest order, the electron experiences only the axial solenoidal and wiggler field components of the multiple-mirror field.

Assuming a sufficiently tenuous electron beam with negligibly small equilibrium self fields, the electron motion in the longitudinal wiggler field given by Eq. (2) is characterized by the four constants of the motion

$$P_{z},$$

$$p_{\perp}^{2} = (p_{r}^{2} + p_{\theta}^{2}),$$

$$\gamma mc^{2} = (m^{2}c^{4} + c^{2}p_{\perp}^{2} + c^{2}p_{z}^{2})^{1/2},$$

$$P_{\theta} = r \left[p_{\theta} - \frac{e}{c} A_{\theta}^{0}(r, z) \right].$$
(3)

Here, p_z is the axial momentum, $p_\perp = (p_r^2 + p_\theta^2)^{1/2}$ is the perpendicular momentum, γmc^2 is the electron energy, P_θ is the canonical angular momentum, and $A_\theta^0 = (rB_0/2)[1 + (\delta B/B_0) \sin k_0 z]$ is the vector potential for the axial field B_z^0 in Eq. (2). Also, m is the electron rest mass, -e is the electron charge, and c is the speed of light in vacuo. Note that γmc^2 = const can be constructed from the constants of the motion, p_z and p_\perp^2 , which are independently conserved.

For present purposes, it is assumed that the equilibrium electron distribution f_b^0 has no explicit dependence on P_{θ} , and the class of beam equilibria

$$f_{b}^{0} = f_{b}^{0}(p_{\perp}^{2}, p_{z})$$
(4)

is considered. In order to determine the detailed properties of the growth rate, we make the specific choice of beam equilibrium

$$f_{b}^{0} = \frac{n_{b}}{2\pi p_{\perp}} \delta(p_{\perp} - \gamma_{b} m V_{\perp}) \delta(p_{z} - \gamma_{b} m V_{b}), \qquad (5)$$

where $n_b = \int d^3 p f_b^0 = \text{const}$ is the beam density, the constants V_b and V_\perp are related to γ_b by $\gamma_b = (1 - V_b^2/c^2 - V_\perp^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$, and $V_b = [\int d^3 p (p_z/\gamma m) f_b^0]/(\int d^3 p f_b^0)$ is the average axial velocity of the electron beam. For this

choice of distribution function, the beam equilibrium is cold in the axial direction with effective axial temperature $T_{||} = [\int d^3 p(p_z - \langle p_z \rangle)(v_z - \langle v_z \rangle]/(\int d^3 p f_b^0) = 0$, where $\langle \psi \rangle \equiv (\int d^3 p \psi f_b^0)/(\int d^3 p f_b^0)$. On the other hand, the effective transverse temperature is given by $T_{\perp} = (1/2)(\int d^3 p p_{\perp} v_{\perp} f_b^0)/(\int d^3 p f_b^0) = \gamma_b m V_{\perp}^2/2$. This thermal anisotropy $T_{\perp} > T_{||}$ provides the free energy source to amplify the radiation.

In order to calculate the spontaneous energy radiated by an electron passing through the magnetic field configuration given by Eq. (2), we first determine the electron orbits from

$$\frac{dp'_x}{dt'} = -\frac{e}{c} v'_y B^0_z(z'), \qquad (6)$$

$$\frac{dp'_y}{dt'} = \frac{e}{c} v'_x B^0_z(z'), \qquad (7)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p'}{\mathrm{d}t'} = 0, \tag{8}$$

where $p'(t') = \gamma m v(t')$ and $\gamma = (1 + {p'}^2/m^2c^2)^{1/2} = \text{const.}$ Here, the boundary conditions x'(t'=t) = x and p'(t'=t) = p are imposed, i.e., the particle trajectory passes through the phase space point (x,p) at time t' = t. From Eq. (8), the axial orbit is given by

$$p'_{z} = p_{z},$$

$$z' = z + v_{z}\tau,$$
(9)

where $\tau = t' - t$ and $v_z = p_z/\gamma m$ is the constant axial velocity. In order to determine the transverse motion, Eqs. (6) and (7) are combined to give

$$\frac{d}{dt'} v'_{+} = i\omega_{c} \left[1 + \frac{\delta B}{B_{0}} \sin(k_{0}z + k_{0}v_{z}\tau) \right] v'_{+}, \qquad (10)$$

where $v'_{+} = v'_{x}(t') + iv'_{y}(t')$, $\omega_{c} = eB_{0}/\gamma mc$ is the relativistic cyclotron frequency in the solenoidal field B_{0} , and use has been made of Eq. (9). Integrating Eq. (10) with respect to t' and enforcing $v'_{+}(t'=t) = v_{x} + iv_{y} = v_{+}exp(i\phi)$, where $(v_{x}, v_{y}) = (v_{+}\cos\phi, v_{+}\sin\phi)$ is the transverse velocity at t' = t, gives

$$v'_{+}(t') = v_{\perp} \exp\left[i\phi + i\omega_{c}\tau + i\omega_{c}\frac{\delta B}{B_{0}} \frac{\cos k_{0}z - \cos(k_{0}z + k_{0}v_{z}\tau)}{k_{0}v_{z}}\right].$$
(11)

From Eq. (11), it is evident that $p'_{\perp}(t') = \gamma m |v'_{\perp}(t')| = \gamma m v_{\perp}$ is independent of t', although the individual transverse velocity components, $v'_{\mathbf{x}}(t')$ and $v'_{\mathbf{y}}(t')$, may be strongly modulated by the longitudinal wiggler field $\delta B \sin k_0 z$. Making use of $\exp(ib \cos \alpha) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} J_m(b) \exp(-im\alpha + im\pi/2)$, Eq. (11) becomes

$$v'_{+}(t') = v_{\perp} \exp(i\phi) \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_{m} \left(\frac{\omega_{c}}{k_{0}v_{z}} \frac{\delta B}{B_{0}} \right) J_{n} \left(\frac{\omega_{c}}{k_{0}v_{z}} \frac{\delta B}{B_{0}} \right) \quad (i)^{n-m} \times \exp[i(\omega_{c}\tau + mk_{0}v_{z}\tau)] \exp[i(m-n)k_{0}z], \quad (12)$$

where $J_n(x)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind of order n. Integrating Eq. (12) with respect to t' gives for the radius of the electron orbit

$$\mathbf{r}_{+}^{\prime}(\mathbf{t}^{\prime}) - \mathbf{r}_{+} = \mathbf{v}_{\perp} \exp(i\phi) \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_{m} \left(\frac{\omega_{c}}{k_{0} \mathbf{v}_{z}} \frac{\delta B}{B_{0}} \right) J_{n} \left(\frac{\omega_{c}}{k_{0} \mathbf{v}_{z}} \frac{\delta B}{B_{0}} \right) (\mathbf{i})^{n-m} \times \exp[i(m-n)k_{0}z] \left[\frac{\exp[i(\omega_{c}\tau + mk_{0}\mathbf{v}_{z}\tau)] - 1}{i(\omega_{c} + mk_{0}\mathbf{v}_{z})} \right], \qquad (13)$$

where $r'_{+}(t') \equiv x'(t') + iy'(t')$. In the absence of wiggler field ($\delta B = 0$), Eq. (13) gives the constant-radius orbit corresponding to simple helical motion in the solenoidal field B_0 . In the absence of the solenoidal field ($B_0 = 0$), the m=0 term in Eq. (13) grows linearly with τ , and the radius of the orbit increases without bound unless the argument of J_0 is near a zero of J_0 , in which case the orbit remains bounded. Also, in the presence of both the solenoidal and wiggler fields, the radius of the orbit grows linearly in τ for $\omega_c = -mk_0 v_z$ exactly. In the following analysis, it is assumed that the value of $v_z \approx V_b$ is such that $\omega_c + mk_0 v_b \neq 0$, and the radius of the electron orbit remains bounded.

3. SPONTANEOUS EMISSION COEFFICIENT

The spontaneous emission coefficient $n_{\omega}(x,p)$ is the energy radiated by an electron per unit frequency interval per unit solid angle divided by the time $T \simeq L/v_z$ that the electron is being accelerated. Here, L is the axial distance over which the acceleration takes place. It is assumed that the radiation field is right-hand circularly polarized and propagating in the z-direction with frequency ω and wavenumber k related by $\omega \simeq$ kc in the tenuous beam limit. For observation along the z-axis, the spontaneous emission coefficient in the classical limit is given by⁴

$$\eta_{\omega} = \frac{1}{T} \frac{d^{2}I}{d\omega d\Omega} = \frac{e^{2}\omega^{2}}{4\pi^{2}c^{3}T} \left| \int_{0}^{T} d\tau \,\hat{e}_{\chi z} \times (\hat{e}_{\chi z} \times \chi') \exp i(kz' - \omega\tau) \right|^{2}.$$
(14)

The orbits in Eqs. (9) and (12) are substituted into Eq. (14), and the integration over τ is carried out. This gives

$$n_{\omega} = \frac{e^{2}\omega^{2}v_{\perp}^{2}}{8\pi^{2}c^{3}T} \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (i)^{n} (-i)^{\ell} \exp[i(\ell-n)k_{0}z]J_{\ell} \left(\frac{\omega_{c}}{k_{0}v_{z}}\frac{\delta B}{B_{0}}\right) J_{n} \left(\frac{\omega_{c}}{k_{0}v_{z}}\frac{\delta B}{B_{0}}\right) \times \left[\frac{\exp[i(kv_{z}+\ell k_{0}v_{z}+\omega_{c}-\omega)T]-1}{kv_{z}+\ell k_{0}v_{z}+\omega_{c}-\omega}\right] \times \left[\frac{\exp[-i(kv_{z}+nk_{0}v_{z}+\omega_{c}-\omega)T]-1}{kv_{z}+nk_{0}v_{z}+\omega_{c}-\omega}\right].$$
(15)

Equation (15) contains terms that (spatially) oscillate on the length scale of the wiggler wavelength $\lambda_0 = 2\pi/k_0$. Since our primary interest is in the <u>average</u> emission properties, we average Eq. (15) over a wiggler wavelength, which gives the average spontaneous emission coefficient $\overline{\eta}_{\omega}$

$$\overline{\eta}_{\omega} = \frac{e^{2}\omega^{2}v_{\perp}^{2} T}{8\pi^{2}c^{3}} \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} J_{\ell}^{2} \left(\frac{\omega_{c}}{k_{0}v_{z}} \frac{\delta B}{B_{0}} \right) [\sin^{2}\psi_{\ell}]/\psi_{\ell}^{2}, \qquad (16)$$

where $\psi_{\ell} = [kv_z + \ell k_0 v_z + \omega_c - \omega]T/2$.

In the absence of wiggler field ($\delta B = 0$), only the $\ell=0$ term in Eq. (16) survives, and \overline{n}_{ω} is a maximum for $\psi_0 = 0$ corresponding to cyclotron resonance in the solenoidal field B_0 . For $\delta B \neq 0$, spontaneous emission occurs at all harmonics of $k_0 v_z$. Maximum emission at each harmonic number ℓ occurs when $\psi_{\ell} = 0$ and the argument of J_{ℓ} is such that J_{ℓ}^2 is a maximum. Even when the argument of the Bessel function gives a maximum value of J_{ℓ}^2 for a particular choice of ℓ , the emission in neighboring harmonics can be substantial. Also, for $\delta B \neq 0$, the $\psi_0 = 0$ contribution in Eq. (16) is reduced by the J_0^2 factor relative to the $\psi_0 = 0$ emission when $\delta B = 0$.

4. AMPLITUDE GAIN IN THE TENUOUS BEAM LIMIT

Making use of the expression for the spontaneous emission $\overline{\eta}_{\omega}$ in Eq. (16), the amplitude gain per unit length Γ can be determined from the classical limit of the Einstein coefficient method. The amplitude gain per unit length is given by ⁴ ($\Gamma > 0$ for amplification)

$$\Gamma = \frac{4\pi^{3} cF}{\omega^{2}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_{z} \int_{0}^{\infty} dp_{\perp} p_{\perp} \overline{n}_{\omega}$$

$$\times \frac{\gamma m}{p_{\perp}} \left[\left(\frac{\omega}{k} - v_{z} \right) \frac{\partial f_{b}^{0}}{\partial p_{\perp}} + v_{\perp} \frac{\partial f_{b}^{0}}{\partial p_{z}} \right], \qquad (17)$$

where $f_b^0(p_{\perp}^2, p_z)$ is the equilibrium distribution function, $\omega \approx \text{kc}$ has been assumed, $v_z = p_z/\gamma m$ and $v_{\perp} = p_{\perp}/\gamma m$ are the axial and transverse velocities, and $\gamma mc^2 = (m^2c^4 + c^2p_z^2 + c^2p_{\perp}^2)^{1/2}$ is the electron energy. In Eq. (17), a phenomenological filling factor F has been included which describes the coupling of the electron beam to the electromagnetic mode being amplified. The geometric factor F is equal to unity for a uniform electromagnetic plane wave and electron beam with infinite radius. Moreover, for finite beam cross section, F is equal to unity when the electron beam and radial extent of the radiation field exactly overlap. On the other hand, F < 1 when the beam radius is less than the radial extent of the radiation field.

Substituting Eqs. (5) and (16) into Eq. (17) and integrating by parts with respect to P_z and P₁ gives the gain per unit length $\Gamma = \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma_{\ell}$, where $\Gamma_{\ell} = \frac{\omega_{pb}^{2} LF}{8\gamma_{b}c^{2}} \left\{ \frac{\sin^{2}\psi_{\ell}}{\psi_{\ell}^{2}} \left[b \left(\frac{V_{1}}{V_{b}} \right)^{2} J_{\ell}(b) \left[J_{\ell-1}(b) - J_{\ell+1}(b) \right] + \left(\frac{V_{1}}{V_{b}} \right)^{2} J_{\ell}^{2}(b) + 2(1 - c/V_{b}) J_{\ell}^{2}(b) \right] + \frac{L}{2V_{b}} \left(\frac{V_{1}}{V_{b}} \right)^{2} J_{\ell}^{2}(b)$

$$\times \left[\omega \left(-1 + v_{b}^{\prime} / c \right) + \omega_{cb}^{\prime} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi_{\ell}} \left(\frac{\sin^{2} \psi_{\ell}}{\psi_{\ell}^{2}} \right) \right\}.$$
(18)

Here, $\omega_{pb}^2 = 4\pi n_b e^2/m$ is the nonrelativistic electron plasma frequencysquared, $\omega_{cb} = eB_0/\gamma_b mc$, $b = (\omega_{cb}/k_0 V_b)(\delta B/B_0)$, and $\psi_{\ell} = (kV_b + \ell k_0 V_b + \omega_{cb} - \omega)T/2$. Equation (18) is valid only for the case of low gain ($\Gamma L < 1$) and $c/\omega L << 1$. In order for the lineshape factors proportional to $[\sin^2 \psi_{\ell}]/\psi_{\ell}^2$ in Eq. (18) to be a valid representation of the emission for more general choice of f_b^0 , it is necessary that any small axial spread in electron momentum (Δp_z) and small spread in transverse electron momentum (Δp_{\perp}) satisfy the inequalities $1/L >> [\omega(1 - V_b/c)/c + \ell k_0]\Delta p_z/\gamma_b mV_b$ and $1/L >> \omega V_{\perp}^2 \Delta p_{\perp}/c^2 \gamma_b mV_{\perp}V_b$.

We first examine Eq. (18) in the absence of wiggler magnetic field, i.e., $\delta B = 0$. In this limit, only the $\ell=0$ term survives, and Eq. (18) gives the gain per unit length for the cyclotron maser instability taking into account a finite interaction length L, i.e.,

$$\Gamma_{\rm cm} = \frac{\omega_{\rm pb}^2 {\rm LF}}{8\gamma_{\rm b} {\rm c}^2} \left\{ \left[2(1 - {\rm c}/{\rm V}_{\rm b}) - {\rm V}_{\perp}^2/{\rm V}_{\rm b}^2 \right] - \frac{\sin^2 \psi_0}{\psi_0^2} + \left(\frac{{\rm V}_{\perp}}{{\rm V}_{\rm b}} \right)^2 \frac{\sin^2 \psi_0}{\psi_0} \right\}.$$
 (19)

An expression similar to Eq. (19) has been derived previously using the single-particle equations of motion.⁵ For exact resonance ($\psi_0 = 0$), Eq. (19) predicts only absorption of radiation. Also, for $V_{\perp} = 0$ and arbitrary ψ_0 , Eq. (19) predicts only absorption, as expected. The above expression for $\Gamma_{\rm cm}$ has its maximum value⁵ for $\psi_0 \approx \pm 3.75$ with the final term in Eq. (19) giving the dominant contribution. Equation (19) is symmetric in ψ_0 and gives amplification on either side of $\psi_0 = 0$. Both transverse and axial electron bunching contribute to Eq. (19) with the axial bunching dominating for the maximum value of $\Gamma_{\rm cm}$. The output frequency is approximately $\omega = \omega_{\rm cb} (1 + V_{\rm b}/c) \gamma_{\rm b}^2 / (1 + \gamma_{\rm b}^2 V_{\perp}^2/c^2)$, which is limited to wavelengths in the centimeter and millimeter range for values of B₀ and $\gamma_{\rm b}$ typically available. For

moderately large values of $B_{\mbox{\scriptsize 0}}$ and $\gamma_{\mbox{\scriptsize b}},$ it may be possible to reach submillimeter wavelengths.

We now examine Eq. (18) in the presence of the wiggler magnetic field, $\delta \mathbf{B} \neq 0$. For finite values of b, $\ell \neq 0$, and assuming $(\partial/\partial \psi_{\ell}) (\sin^2 \psi_{\ell}/\psi_{\ell}^2)$ is not negligibly small, the terms in Eq. (18) proportional to \mathbf{L}^2 are dominant. This gives

$$\Gamma_{\ell} \simeq \frac{\omega_{pb}^{2} L^{2} F}{16\gamma_{b} v_{b} c^{2}} \left(\frac{V_{\perp}}{V_{b}} \right)^{2} J_{\ell}^{2} (b) \left[\omega_{cb} - \omega (1 - V_{b}/c) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi_{\ell}} \left(\frac{\sin^{2} \psi_{\ell}}{\psi_{\ell}^{2}} \right).$$
(20)

Rewriting $[\omega_{cb} - \omega(1 - V_{b}/c)] = [2\psi_{\ell}/L - \ell k_{0}]V_{b}$ in Eq. (20) gives

$$\Gamma_{\ell} \simeq \frac{\omega_{pb}^{2} L^{2} F}{16 \gamma_{b} c^{2}} \left(\frac{V_{\perp}}{V_{b}} \right)^{2} J_{\ell}^{2}(b) \left[2\psi_{\ell} / L - \ell k_{0} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi_{\ell}} \left(\frac{\sin^{2} \psi_{\ell}}{\psi_{\ell}^{2}} \right) .$$
(21)

Typically, $|\ell k_0| >> |2\psi_{\ell}/L|$. Moreover, since we are interested in output frequencies that are Doppler upshifted, we take $\ell > 0$. As a function of ψ_{ℓ} , the quantity Γ_{ℓ} in Eq. (21) then assumes its maximum value for $\psi_{\ell} \simeq 1.3$, which gives

$$\Gamma_{\ell}^{MAX} \simeq \frac{0.54}{16} \frac{\omega_{pb}^{2} L^{2} F}{\gamma_{b} c^{2}} \left(\frac{V_{\perp}}{V_{b}} \right)^{2} \ell k_{0} J_{\ell}^{2} (b), \qquad (22)$$

with an output frequency of approximately

$$\omega = \frac{[\ell k_0 V_b + \omega_{cb}](1 + V_b/c)\gamma_b^2}{(1 + \gamma_b^2 V_{\perp}^2/c^2)}$$

In the presence of the wiggler magnetic field, it is evident from Eqs. (20) and (21) that the gain per unit length gives only amplification for $\psi_{\ell} > 0$. This is in contrast to the case $\delta B = 0$ where amplification occurs for both positive and negative ψ_0 , symmetric about $\psi_0 = 0$.

Comparing the output frequency with and without the wiggler field, we find that the output frequency for $\delta B \neq 0$ is always greater than that for $\delta B = 0$ and can be substantially larger for $\ell k_0 V_b > \omega_{cb}$. Taking the ratio

of Eq. (22) to the maximum value obtained from Eq. (19), and assuming that the final term in Eq. (19) is dominant, gives

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\ell}^{MAX}}{\Gamma_{cm}} \simeq \ell k_0 L J_{\ell}^2(b).$$
(23)

Depending on the size of $J_{\ell}^2(b)$ in Eq. (23), it is evident that for $k_0L >>1$ and $\delta B \neq 0$, it is possible to obtain a larger or comparable gain to the cyclotron maser, but at a much higher output frequency.

From Eq. (22), depending on the size of J_{ℓ}^2 , it is clear that substantial amplification can occur simultaneously in several adjacent harmonics. If b < 1, then the small-argument expansion of the Bessel function appearing in Eq. (22) can be used, which shows that $\ell = 1$ gives the largest amplification. For sufficiently large magnetic field, b can take on values greater than unity. In this case, for specified value of ℓ , several neighboring harmonics can give substantial amplification at different output frequencies. For operation as an oscillator, given values of k_0 , V_b , V_{\perp} and γ_b , it would be possible to tune the output over a narrow frequency range by adjusting the mirror locations to correspond to the frequency at a particular harmonic.

As a numerical example, for b = 1.8, J_1^2 is a maximum, and the first three harmonics can be excited simultaneously with $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 = 1.87$ and $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_3 =$ 11.68. For b = 4.2, J_3^2 is a maximum, with $\Gamma_3/\Gamma_1 = 28.3$, $\Gamma_3/\Gamma_2 = 2.89$, $\Gamma_3/\Gamma_4 = 1.44$, and $\Gamma_3/\Gamma_5 = 4.33$. In this case, the first five harmonics can be excited to a significant level. The above values chosen for b require . substantial magnetic fields. For example, if $\gamma_b = 2$, $V_b/c = 0.71$, $V_\perp/c = 0.5$, $\delta B/B_0 = 1/3$, then b = 1.8 requires $\omega_{cb}/ck_0 = 3.83$ or $B_0 = 12.8k_0$ kilogauss, where $k_0 = 2\pi/\lambda_0$ is expressed in cm⁻¹. For the above values of γ_b , V_b , V_\perp and $\delta B/B_0$, the choice of b = 4.2 then requires $B_0 = 23k_0$ kilogauss.

An FEL using a transverse, linearly polarized wiggler field with no

solenoidal field has been shown theoretically to radiate at odd harmonics, $f = 1, 3, 5, \ldots$, of the wavenumber k_0 . In the present notation, the corresponding gain per unit length and output frequency are given by ⁶

$$\Gamma_{f} = \frac{0.54}{16} \frac{\omega_{pb}^{2} L^{2}}{\gamma_{b}^{3} c^{2}} fk_{0} \kappa_{f}^{2} ,$$

$$\omega = \frac{(1 + V_{b}/c)fk_{0} \gamma_{b}^{2} V_{b}}{1 + b^{2} \gamma_{b}^{2} V_{b}^{2}/2c^{2}} ,$$
(24)

where $\kappa_{f} = (-1)^{(f-1)/2} [J_{(f-1)/2}(f\zeta) - J_{(f+1)/2}(f\zeta)] v_{b} \gamma_{b} / c$,

$$\zeta = V_b^2 b^2 \gamma_b^2 / 4c^2 [1 + V_b^2 b^2 \gamma_b^2 / 2c^2].$$

Comparing the growth rate for the case of a longitudinal wiggler to Eq. (24) gives (assuming parameters otherwise the same)

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\ell}^{MAX}}{\Gamma_{f}} = \left(\frac{\gamma_{b} V_{\perp}}{V_{b}}\right)^{2} \quad \frac{\ell}{f} \quad \frac{J_{\ell}^{2}(b)}{\kappa_{f}^{2}} , \qquad (25)$$

where the longitudinal wiggler output frequency is given by

$$\omega = \frac{[\ell k_0 V_b + \omega_{cb}](1 + V_b/c) \gamma_b^2}{1 + \gamma_b^2 V_{\perp}^2 / c^2}$$

For b < 1, the ℓ =f=1 term is dominant with $\Gamma_1^{MAX}/\Gamma_1 = (V_{\perp}c/2V_b^2)^2$. Therefore, the transverse wiggler gives a somewhat larger growth rate due to the fact that the longitudinal wiggler operates with an electron beam having larger initial transverse velocity V_{\perp} . Although the growth rate for the transverse wiggler is typically larger, for $\gamma_b^2 V_{\perp}^2 / c_{\perp}^2 \le 1$ the output frequency for the longitudinal wiggler can be substantially higher than the output frequency for the transverse wiggler FEL. Comparing the gain at higher harmonics, a similar conclusion holds when $\gamma_b^2 V_{\perp}^2 / c^2 \leq 1$.

5. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have used the classical limit of the Einstein coefficient method to study in the low-gain regime stimulated emission from a cold, tenuous, thin, relativistic electron beam propagating in the combined solenoidal and longitudinal wiggler fields produced on the axis of a multiple-mirror (undulator) field [Eq. (2)]. The gain per unit length was calculated in Sec. 4 and the maximum gain per unit length is given by Eq. (22). Emission was found to occur simultaneously in all harmonics of k_0 with the Doppler-upshifted output frequency given by $\omega = [\ell k_0 V_b + \omega_{cb}](1 + V_b/c)\gamma_b^2/(1 + \gamma_b^2 V_{\perp}^2/c^2)$. For sufficiently large magnetic fields, the emission is inherently broadband in the sense that many adjacent harmonics can exhibit substantial amplication. For $\delta B \neq 0$, it is possible to obtain a larger or comparable growth rate to the low-gain cyclotron maser ($\delta B = 0$), at a much higher output frequency. For $\gamma_b^2 V_{\perp}^2 \leq c^2$, it was also found that the output frequency can be considerably higher than that of an FEL using a transverse wiggler, although the gain per unit length is typically somewhat smaller.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research, and in part by the Air Force Aeronautical Systems Division.

REFERENCES

1.	W.A. McMullin and G. Bekefi, Appl. Phys. Lett. <u>39</u> , 845 (1981).
2.	W.A. McMullin and G. Bekefi, submitted for publication (1981).
3.	R.C. Davidson and W.A. McMullin, submitted for publication (1981).
4.	G. Bekefi, <u>Radiation Processes in Plasmas</u> , Wiley, New York, 1966.
5.	S.K. Ride and W.B. Colson, Appl. Phys. <u>20</u> , 41 (1979).
6.	W.B. Colson, IEEE J. Quant. Electron. QE17, 1417 (1981).