

PSFC/JA-06-39

Operation of Alcator C-Mod with High-Z Plasma Facing Components With and Without Boronization

E. Marmor, Y. Lin, B. Lipschultz, D. Whyte, P. Bonoli, C. Fiore,
M. Greenwald, I. Hutchinson, J. Irby, M. Reinke, J. Rice,
S. Scott¹, J. Terry, S. Wolfe, S. Wukitch, Alcator Team

June 2006

**Plasma Science and Fusion Center
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge MA 02139 USA**

¹Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ 08543 USA

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Grant DE-FC02-99ER54512 and DE-AC02-76CH03073. Reproduction, translation, publication, use and disposal, in whole or in part, by or for the United States government is permitted.

Operation of Alcator C-Mod with High-Z Plasma Facing Components With and Without Boronization*

E. Marmor¹, Y. Lin¹, B. Lipschultz¹, D. Whyte¹, P. Bonoli¹, C. Fiore¹, M. Greenwald¹, I. Hutchinson¹, J. Irby¹, M. Reinke¹, J. Rice¹, S. Scott², J. Terry¹, S. Wolfe¹, S. Wukitch¹, Alcator Team

¹MIT Plasma Science and Fusion Center, Cambridge, MA 02139 USA

²Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ 08543 USA

E-mail: marmor@psfc.mit.edu

Abstract. High-Z Plasma Facing Components (PFCs) are considered necessary for reactors due to their low tritium (T) retention, capability to handle high heat fluxes with low erosion, and robustness to nuclear damage and activation. Recent Alcator C-Mod experiments provide divertor tokamak operational experience utilizing all-metallic solid high-Z (molybdenum) PFCs, comparing boronized and unboronized surfaces. To assess the influence of boron coatings in plasma performance, previously deposited boron was removed from all PFC surfaces and all boron tiles were removed before the 2005 campaign. After this, ICRF-heated H-modes were still readily achieved, but energy confinement enhancements over L-mode were small. Molybdenum radiation is implicated as the cause. Following boronization the Mo density was reduced by a factor of more than 10, and energy confinement doubled. The positive effects of boronization wear off, correlated with ICRF input energy. On the basis of experiments which included between-shot boronization, as well as from visual inspection, it appears that the Mo affecting the core comes from a small fraction of PFC surfaces, where the boron coating erodes rapidly. The evidence points to sputtering from RF sheath enhancement as the most important erosion mechanism.

1. Plasma Performance with all Molybdenum Plasma Facing Surfaces

Alcator C-Mod is unique among diverted tokamaks in its exclusive use of solid high-Z (molybdenum) plasma facing components.[1] It is likely that ITER will require the substantial use of high-Z plasma Facing Components (PFCs), (probably tungsten), and in fusion power plants (DEMO and commercial), low Z PFCs (particularly graphites) may be totally precluded because of tritium retention and material degradation due to radiation damage.[2] Other than on C-Mod, worldwide experience with high Z PFCs in diverted tokamaks is very sparse; ASDEX UPGRADE is in the process of converting from graphite to tungsten-coated graphite.[3] On C-Mod, prior to the 2005 campaign, all low Z secondary limiters (BN protection tiles on the ICRF antennas) were replaced with Mo, and boron buildup from years of operation with boronization was removed as thoroughly as possible. H-mode performance with high power ICRF auxiliary heating[4] was studied first with bare Mo PFCs, then with the standard overnight boronization used on C-Mod[5], and finally a between-shot boronization technique was developed.

2. C-Mod Boundary/Divertor and Relationship to ITER

Alcator C-Mod is, in many respects, prototypical of ITER, particularly regarding boundary physics and plasma-wall interactions. Operating at high plasma density (up to $1 \times 10^{21} \text{ m}^{-3}$), C-Mod most closely approaches ITER conditions for neutral and radiation opacity[1], and produces parallel power flows in the scrape-off layer up to 0.5 GW/m^2 , about half the value expected in ITER. Figure 1 shows the C-Mod divertor configuration in comparison to the planned ITER divertor (scaled by 1/9). For ITER, tungsten will be used in the region of the scrape-off layer above the strike points. It is this same area, on the low field side, which

* This work is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Awards DE-FC02-99ER5412 and DE-AC02-76CH03073




Fig. 1 Comparison of the C-Mod (current) and ITER (planned) divertor configurations. In ITER, PFC materials choices are tungsten (red), carbon (black) and beryllium (green). The C-Mod PFCs (cyan) are molybdenum. The black oval denotes the outer shelf region, implicated as an important Mo source during some high-power operation.

appears to dominate as the source for molybdenum that can reach the core plasma during high power ICRF H-mode operation.

3. Effects of Radiation on Confinement

It is known that strong radiation from the confined plasma can affect global energy confinement in tokamaks.[6] Figure 2 shows the effects of radiation on global energy confinement (τ_E) (as parameterized by the enhancement over the ITER-89 L-mode scaling[7]) for L-mode and H-mode discharges in C-Mod. Prior to the first boronization of the campaign, with bare molybdenum surfaces, P_{rad}/P_{input} is close to 100% in H-Mode, the pedestal pressure is suppressed and the global energy confinement is barely enhanced over that in L-Mode. Following overnight boronization, which is applied using a low-temperature Electron Cyclotron Discharge (ECD) using 10% diborane (D_2B_6)/90% helium gas[8], Mo levels in the plasma are reduced by more than a factor of 5, P_{rad}/P_{input} drops a factor of 2, and confinement in H-Mode nearly doubles.

4. Robustness of Boron Coatings

Overnight boronization on C-Mod is typically applied for about 10 hours. The average boron surface coverage (assuming uniform deposition) is about 200 nanometers. The coating is effective for approximately 20 to 40 high power discharges, or equivalently until about 50 MJ of total input energy has been delivered to the discharges from the ICRF heating system. Over this time, keeping nominal plasma parameters (field, density, topology, heating)




Fig. 2 Energy confinement enhancement vs. radiated power fraction for L- and H-Mode discharges, pre- and post boronization.

impurity ion will reach the core plasma depends strongly on the source location. For typical diverted discharges in C-Mod, an ion generated from the outboard shelf is about 100 times more likely to reach the confined plasma than is one generated near the strike point.[1] Spectroscopic measurements of the relative source strengths show that, while the strike point Mo source is stronger than from other locations, divertor shielding prevents this region from being an important source for core impurities.

5. Between-Shot Boronization

To investigate the erosion question further, we developed an operational scheme for between-shot boronization, which after 10 to 30 minutes of ECD deposition, gives an estimated thickness between 30 and 100 nanometers. These coatings persist at most for a single high power RF pulse (~2 MJ input energy). The ECD can be at least partially localized to the major radius of the electron cyclotron resonance which is controlled by varying the applied toroidal field. Because between-shot boronization wears off in one plasma discharge or less, we were able to search for an optimal location for the coating. Figure 4 shows the results of one such scan, where the impurity

constant, the core molybdenum level increases monotonically (figure 3a), and confinement also decreases gradually (figure 3b). Comparisons using Ohmic heating alone to access H-modes show that the boron layer survives about 4 times as long (in terms of equivalent total input power) as is found with ICRF heating. This points to an RF specific effect as being primarily responsible for the observed boron erosion. Post-campaign surface analysis of sample tiles shows that the boron build-up is only removed from a very small fraction of the PFC surfaces. Areas which are clear of boron are the highest heat flux region near the strike point in the divertor and leading edges of tiles outboard of the active divertor (“outboard shelf”, see figure 1). The probability that an




Fig. 3 a) Increase in Mo 32^+ radiation from the core plasma for a sequence of tokamak discharges following an overnight boronization; b) Corresponding trends in stored energy for 2 levels of ICRF auxiliary power.




Fig. 4 Radiated power just before the H-mode transition as a function of boronization deposition location prior to each discharge. Superimposed is a typical equilibrium reconstruction for the tokamak plasma. The vertical line shows the EC resonance for the cases at $R=70$ cm.

between-shot boronization, the field lines from the ICRF antennas map to the top of the outer shelf, precisely the same location implicated by the previous evidence. As another test of this picture, consecutive discharges were compared after a single thin boronization, utilizing first antenna 1 and then antenna 2. Since the two antennas should enhance the sheath potentials at different toroidal locations, we expected that the second discharge should be unaffected by erosion during the first, and this is precisely the result which was obtained.

In another set of experiments, the shot to shot radiation increases following the L to H transition were compared for Ohmic heating alone with those having ICRF. The results, plotted in figure 6, clearly show that, in spite of similar total energy input, the ICRF cases have dramatically increased radiation on the second discharge, confirming that the boron erosion is dominated by an ICRF effect.

7. Summary

level during the ICRF pulse, just prior to the transition to H-mode, is monitored as a function of the ECD resonance location from the previous between-shot boronization. There is a clear minimum, indicating that the region near $R=70$ cm, coinciding with the top of the outboard shelf, is likely to be the most important location; this also corresponds to one of the few regions where post-campaign surface analysis showed reduced boron.

6. ICRF induced sheaths

The accepted model for ICRF specific impurity production involves sputtering caused by enhancement of the sheath potential at the surfaces where field lines connect from the antenna(s) to the walls.[9] Figure 5 shows that, for the discharges studied with the

Operation with bare metal (Mo) PFCs and high power ICRF heating on C-Mod leads to H-modes with high core radiation and modest confinement enhancement. Boronization ameliorates these effects. Thin boron layers are eroded locally,




Fig. 5 Field line tracing indicates the locations of expected RF sheath enhancement from the 2 ICRF antenna systems which are toroidally displaced by 180 degrees. For the discharges in these experiments, both antennas connect to the shelf outboard of the divertor (shown on the right), but at toroidally distinct locations. For Antenna 1, the field lines (red) connect to the shelf along the pink shaded region(right), while for Antenna 2, the field lines (blue) connect to the shelf along the light blue shaded region (left).

outside of the high heat-flux divertor region. Sputtering due to RF-enhanced sheaths on open field lines connected to the antennas is implicated. These results indicate that high-Z PFC operation, without boronization, carries the risk of degraded confinement; boronization, or other low-Z wall coating, may be required with high-Z PFCs.




Fig. 6 Comparison of radiated power evolution at the beginning of H-Mode phases for ICRF and Ohmic discharges following between-shot boronizations.

References

- [1] B. Lipschultz, et al., Phys. Plasmas 13(2006)056117
- [2] H. Bolt, et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 307-311(2002)43
- [3] A. Kallenbach, et al., Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 47(2005)B207
- [4] Y. Takase, et al., Plasma Phys. and Control. Fusion 8(1996)2215
- [5] B. Lipschultz, et al., Nuclear Fusion 41(2001)585
- [6] M. Greenwald, et al., Nuclear Fusion 37(1997)793
- [7] P.N. Yushmanov, et al., Nuclear Fusion 30(1990)1999
- [8] R. Nachtrieb, et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 266(1999)896
- [9] L.I. Grigor'eva, et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 128-129(1984)317