

1 Evolution of game-play in the Australian Football League from 2001-2015

2

3 **Carl T. Woods^{1*}, Sam Robertson², Neil Collier³**

4 ¹Discipline of Sport and Exercise Science, James Cook University, Queensland, Australia

5 ²Institute of Sport, Exercise & Active Living (ISEAL), Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia

6 ³Faculty of Sustainability, Leuphana University Luneburg, Germany

7

8 *Corresponding Author

9 Carl Woods, Discipline of Sport and Exercise Science, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland,

10 Australia

11 Ph: +61 08 4781 6550 Mob: +61 421254329 Email: carl.woods@jcu.edu.au

12 **Abstract**

13 This study investigated the evolution of game-play manifested via team performance indicator
14 characteristics in the Australian Football League (AFL) from the 2001 to 2015 seasons. Mean values
15 for 18 performance indicators were collated for every AFL team over 15-seasons. A multivariate
16 analysis was used to uncover temporal trends in the dataset. Compared to the 2004 season, the
17 2005 to 2010 seasons were characterised by large growth in the counts of handballs ($d = 0.83$; 90%
18 CI = 0.22 – 1.43), disposals ($d = 1.24$; 90% CI = 0.59 – 1.87), uncontested possessions ($d = 1.37$; 90%
19 CI = 0.71 – 2.01), clangers ($d = 2.14$; 90% CI = 1.39 – 2.86), and marks ($d = 1.43$; 90% CI = 0.76 – 2.07).
20 Contrastingly, effective disposal percentage declined rapidly during the same period. The number of
21 inside 50 m counts remained stable throughout the 15-season period. The ordination plot of league-
22 wide performance indicator characteristics illustrated a distinct cluster from the 2001 to 2004
23 seasons, an abrupt shift from the 2005 to 2009 seasons, and an emergent (re)stabilisation from the
24 2010 to 2015 seasons. Results demonstrate the synchronous league-wide evolution of game-play in
25 the AFL from the 2001 to 2015 seasons. Amongst other constituents, this evolution likely reflects the
26 introduction of modernised coaching strategies, rule changes and changing perceptions of rule
27 interpretations.

28

29 **Key words:** Data visualisation; sport analytics; team sports; dynamical systems

30 **Introduction**

31 Australian football (AF) is a team invasion sport that requires player's at all developmental levels to
32 possess a unique set of physical, technical and perceptual qualities (Coutts, Quinn, Hocking,
33 Castagna, & Rampinini, 2009; Dawson, Hopkinson, Appleby, Stewart, & Roberts, 2004; Woods,
34 Raynor, Bruce, McDonald, & Robertson, 2016). Despite being played across a range of
35 developmental levels, its premier competition is the Australian Football League (AFL). Since its
36 origination in the mid 1800's, the game has evolved drastically. Early AF game-play resembled a
37 chimera of rugby and soccer (football). Dribbling the ball along the ground was common, as players
38 rarely picked-up the ball during contested situations (Coventry, 2015). When players did pick up the
39 ball, the common attacking style was to carry the ball at speed into an opponent's defensive
40 territory, while the handball, which is prolifically used as a mode of ball disposal in the 'modern
41 game', was largely absent (Coventry, 2015). Despite being created without an offside ruling, coaches
42 in early AF rarely developed game-plans that afforded their players the freedom to push forward of
43 the ball, similar to tactics utilised in rugby (Coventry, 2015). In 2016, the modern game retains some
44 of the fundamental aspects of early AF, but has globally evolved into a faster game, with players
45 being heavier, taller, and arguably more skilful (Burgess, Naughton, & Norton, 2012; Norton, Craig, &
46 Olds, 1999).

47 Undoubtedly, improved player athleticism and professionalism has contributed to the evolution of
48 game-play within elite AF (Norton et al., 1999). However, modernised coaching styles, improved skill
49 execution generated through enriched training and development environments, and modified
50 interpretations of the games rules are all factors which are likely to have resulted in the emergence
51 of the modern game. For example, 'charging', as it was referred to in the late nineteenth century,
52 described a player carrying the ball by force into an opponent's defensive area. This tactic was nearly
53 identical to those used in rugby, and was seen as a blight on the game of AF (Coventry, 2015). Thus,
54 the 'holding the ball' ruling was introduced in an attempt to remove this tactic from the game
55 (Coventry, 2015). However, teams had already begun to evolve to deny opposition the ability to

56 exploit the charging tactic as an attacking style. Specifically, the use of short kicks began to emerge,
57 which limited an opposition's time in possession of the ball; referred to as 'possession football' in
58 the modern game (Coventry, 2015).

59 In addition to these intrinsic evolutionary responses, it appears that AFL coaches have more recently
60 adopted tactics from other team invasion sports; notably field and ice hockey, soccer and basketball.
61 In these sports, players use possession tactics to maintain control of the game, probing the
62 opponent's defensive line to look for attacking opportunities. As such, kicking backwards and across
63 defensive areas, historically viewed as a poor tactic in early AF, emerged within the modern game of
64 AF (Coventry, 2015). This tactic is typically referred to as 'switching' in modern parlance, and
65 functions in theory by exploiting a team's weakness on the 'fat side' of the ground where defensive
66 lines are stretched in response to attacking players running into space. Attempting to limit this
67 tactic, teams began to implement a zone, or full-ground, team defence that functions by limiting the
68 space opposition players have to run into by avoiding a 'man-on-man' style of play (Coventry, 2015).
69 This emergent zoning tactic appears to be oriented around a 'repossession' style of game-play. What
70 is evident from the history of AF is that several forces act to drive its evolution.

71 Given the considerable interest in the games evolution from both the scientific and non-scientific AF
72 community, it is surprising to note that very little data has been published describing the evolution
73 of the modern game at the elite level. This is in contrast to the growing body of work describing the
74 evolution of game-play characteristics in similar team invasions sports, such as soccer (i.e., football)
75 (Barnes, Archer, Hogg, Bush, & Bradley, 2014; Bush, Barnes, Archer, Hogg, & Bradley, 2015). For
76 example, Wallace and Norton (2014) described the evolution of World Cup final games between the
77 1996 and 2010 tournaments. In this study, it was noted that the speed at which the ball travelled
78 across the pitch had increased, coinciding with an increase in player density, and emergence in
79 collective team defensive strategies (Wallace & Norton, 2014). Preliminary evolutionary work in AF
80 by Norton et al. (1999) examined the evolution of game-speed in the Victorian Football League (VFL)

81 and AFL, finding that game-speed had almost doubled between the 1961 to 1997 seasons. This was
82 correlated with a reduction in total game-time involving game-play (i.e. more non-goal stoppages),
83 and an increase in the velocity with which the ball travelled across the field (Norton et al., 1999).
84 Despite this work describing some aspects of game-play over three decades, its use to illustrate the
85 evolution of the modern game (e.g. from 2001 onwards) is limited. In partial acknowledgement of
86 this, work has attempted to describe changes in modern game-speed at both the elite junior and
87 senior level. Burgess et al. (2012) compared the physical activity profiles of elite under 18 (U18) and
88 AFL players between the 2003 to 2009 seasons. This work demonstrated that when compared to the
89 2003 season, AFL players in the 2009 season travelled a greater distance per minute of game-time,
90 performed more sprints per minute of game-time, spent a longer duration of game-time at
91 'sprinting' speeds, and accumulated a larger duration of game-time on-field (Burgess et al., 2012).
92 This study did not analyse the seasonal variation within the 2003 to 2009 seasons, rather compared
93 the physical profiles of players in these two seasons. Consequently, it is difficult to discuss the
94 emergent physical properties of game-play within this seven year period, or illustrate the dynamicity
95 with which game-speed appears to have evolved.

96 In addition to these studies, recent research has indicated an inverse relationship between physical
97 and technical skill match activity profiles in the AFL (Sullivan, Bilsborough, Cianciosi, Hocking, Cordy,
98 & Coutts, 2014). Specifically, winning reflected a positive correlation with a reduced physical output
99 and an increased number of efficient technical skill involvements (Sullivan et al., 2014). This suggests
100 that modern team tactics are focusing more on the development of game-plans oriented around the
101 generation of efficient technical profiles at the collective (team) level to win games. However, the
102 evolution of team technical skill profiles within the AFL has largely been neglected by the sport
103 science community. Elucidating this evolution could objectively describe the emergence of modern
104 coaching tactics, while providing insight into the evolving technical skill demands of the modern
105 game.

106 The primary aim of this study was to investigate the evolution of modern (2001 – 2015) game-play
107 within the AFL manifested via team performance indicator characteristics. A secondary aim of this
108 work was to present a unique data visualisation approach for the explanation of game-play evolution
109 within team sports. Thus, beyond its practical implications specific to elite AF (namely, the proposed
110 evolutionary trajectory of future coaching tactics within the AFL, perceived rule interpretations, and
111 training practices implemented in the elite junior developmental pathways), this work presents a
112 unique statistical approach to visualising multivariate datasets, which can be used to describe the
113 evolutionally dynamics of game-play in other football codes.

114 **Methods**

115 **Data**

116 Team performance indicators were acquired from a commercially accessible provider
117 (<http://www.afl.com.au/stats>); Champion Data Pty Ltd (Southbank, Australia). The performance
118 indicators reported by this provider have been validated for use in the explanation of match
119 outcome in the AFL (Robertson, Back, & Bartlett, 2016). Ethical declaration was granted by the
120 relevant Human Research Ethics Committee. The 18 performance indicators used in this study were
121 similar to previous research in AF (Robertson et al., 2016; Woods, Joyce, & Robertson, 2016), and are
122 each presented, along with their description, in Table 1.

123 ******INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE******

124 Data from every game within the 2001 to the 2015 seasons (15-seasons) were collated. Mean values
125 for each performance indicator were used to more accurately reflect a team's technical skill match
126 profile over the course of a season. There were a total of 16 teams in the AFL from 2001 to 2010, 17
127 teams in 2011, and 18 teams from 2012 to 2015, resulting in a total of 249 observations. The
128 difference in team numbers was due to the inclusion of the Gold Coast Suns in the 2011 season and
129 the Greater Western Sydney (GWS) Giants in the 2012 season.

130 **Statistical Analysis**

131 A multivariate analytical method was used to uncover trends in the dynamics of the team
132 performance indicators. Multivariate methods were chosen as they enabled us to map the whole-of-
133 team game styles rather than analysing individual indicators and making inferences based in sets of
134 models. Further, a multivariate method allowed us to capture the temporal trend, simultaneously
135 accounting for all the variables in the dataset. While univariate models (e.g. linear regression) can
136 offer powerful insight into individual team performance indicator variability over time, the
137 multivariate technique used here allows for simultaneous analysis and visualisation of the data. For
138 the current dataset, a particular form of multivariate analysis called nonmetric multidimensional
139 scaling (NMDS) was used. This method has been used extensively across many fields of strongly
140 quantitative sciences, such as ecology (Faith, Minchin, & Belbin, 1987; Minchin, 1987),
141 bioinformatics (Taguchi & Oono, 2005; Zu & Yu, 2009), and linguistics (Fox, Flege, & Munro, 1995).
142 Fundamentally, NMDS is an analysis of similarity of an $n \times p$ data matrix where the n rows represent
143 the samples (e.g. teams) and the p columns (e.g. performance indicators) represent the variables
144 measured within each sample. From the $n \times p$ data matrix, a distance matrix is calculated based on
145 the ranked similarities. Ranked similarities are preferred when no assumptions are made about the
146 underlying distribution of the data.

147 Using the full suite of performance indicators, a matrix of dissimilarity scores was created using the
148 *metaMDS* function from the 'vegan' package (Wood, 2003). The Bray-Curtis dissimilarity measure
149 was the method used to calculate the dissimilarity matrix. The dissimilarity matrix was then plotted
150 in two dimensions and convex hulls were used to highlight the team match profiles grouped by
151 season. All data was plotted together, with separate team ordinations also plotted to show the
152 temporal change of each teams match profile within the 15-season period. The relationships
153 between the ordination and the individual team performance indicators were visualised by
154 overlaying ordination surfaces. The ordination surfaces were fitted using generalised additive
155 models employing an isotopic smoother via thin-plate regression splines (Oksanen, Blanchet, Kindt,

156 et al., 2015). The season average match activity profile dissimilarity scores were plotted for the
157 winning and losing grand final teams over the 15-seasons. This enabled a comparison between the
158 'dominant' (i.e., the grand final representatives) teams' profile within each season analysed relative
159 to the remaining teams within the league. It is possible that the strategies implemented by these
160 dominant teams would contribute to a league-wide evolution. Lastly, where appropriate, the effect
161 size of season on each performance indicator was calculated using Cohen's d statistic (Cohen, 1988),
162 where an effect size of $d < 0.2$ was considered small, $d = 0.21 - 0.50$ moderate, $d = 0.51 - 0.80$ large,
163 and $d \geq 0.80$ very large (Cohen, 1988). Effect sizes, and subsequent 90% confidence intervals (90% CI)
164 were calculated in the 'MBESS' package (Kelly, 2016), with all analyses being undertaken using *R*
165 version 3.2.2 (R Core Team, 2015).

166 **Results**

167 *Individual team performance dynamics*

168 As illustrated in Figure 1, when compared to the 2004 season, the 2005 season led to the beginning
169 of major growth in the count of handballs ($d = 0.83$; 90% CI = 0.22 – 1.43), disposals ($d = 1.24$; 90% CI
170 = 0.59 – 1.87), uncontested possessions ($d = 1.37$; 90% CI = 0.71 – 2.01), clangers ($d = 2.14$; 90% CI =
171 1.39 – 2.86), and marks ($d = 1.43$; 90% CI = 0.76 – 2.07) generated during game-play. Effective
172 disposal percentage was the only performance indicator included in the sample to show a rapid
173 sustained decline from the 2005 to 2010 seasons ($d = -3.15$; 90% CI = -2.25 – -4.02) (Figure 1).
174 However, after nearly a decade of decline, this performance indicator stabilised in the 2010 season
175 and shows indication of increasing (Figure 1). Over the entire sample period, the trend in the
176 number of inside 50 m counts has remained relatively steady ($d = 0.27$; 90% CI = -0.29 – 0.84) (Figure
177 1). Stoppages and clearances were at a 15-season low during the 2006 and 2007 seasons. These
178 trends were reflected in the technical skill profiles of winning and losing teams competing in the
179 grand final within the analysed period (Figure 2).

180 ******INSERT FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE******

181

****INSERT FIGURE 2 ABOUT HERE****

182 *Multivariate team performance dynamics*

183 The dissimilarity matrix solution was reached after eleven runs (stress = 0.13, rmse = 2.7×10^{-4} ,
184 maximum residual = 3.3×10^{-3}). The ordination plot shows a cluster of teams from the 2001 to 2004
185 seasons (Figure 3). There is a clear and abrupt shift in team performance indicator characteristics
186 during the 2005 season, arcing across the ordination space and then stabilising in the 2010 season
187 (Figure 3). For the next five seasons, the teams clustered around a similar position on the ordination
188 surface (Figure 3). Coinciding with the abrupt shift in team performance indicator characteristics, the
189 grand final winning teams in the 2004, 2005, 2006, and 2010 seasons were positioned on the
190 boundary of the ordination surface relative to the runners up and remaining AFL teams within each
191 of these respective seasons (Figure 3).

192

****INSERT FIGURE 3 ABOUT HERE****

193 The ordination plots for each team are illustrated in Figure 4. Despite slight idiosyncrasies for each
194 team being observed, these plots globally demonstrate that all the teams within the 15-season
195 period (with the exception of the GWS Giants and the Gold Coast Suns) possessed a similar 'arc'
196 pattern, beginning in the 2004/2005 seasons, and ending in the 2010/2011 seasons.

197

****INSERT FIGURE 4 ABOUT HERE****

198 **Discussion**

199 This study illustrates the synchronous, league-wide, evolution of team performance indicator
200 characteristics within the AFL between the 2001 to 2015 seasons. In doing so, it presents a set of
201 novel data visualisations to the sport sciences, highlighting their use for describing evolutionary
202 trends in multivariate datasets. An analysis into the individual team performance dynamics
203 demonstrated that from the 2005 season a rapid shift in the increased count of handballs, disposals,
204 uncontested possessions, clangers, marks, and tackles emerged. Concurrently, effective disposal

205 percentage sustained a decline from the 2005 to 2010 seasons, while, despite high between team
206 variances, the number of inside 50 m counts remained relatively steady across the 15-season period.
207 These collective trends were reflected in the activity profiles of both winning and losing grand final
208 teams across this period. The multivariate analysis of team performance dynamics illustrated a
209 stable cluster of team profiles from the 2001 to 2004 seasons, and 2011 to 2015 seasons. However,
210 there was a clear, and somewhat abrupt, shift in team performance indicator characteristics
211 between the 2005 to 2010 seasons at the collective (league-wide) level. Amongst other constituents,
212 it is proposed that the continued modernisation of coaching styles and the changing perception of
213 rule infringements are primary drivers of the collective evolution of team performance indicator
214 characteristics seen within the modern era.

215 The dynamic and league-wide transition in team performance indicator characteristics from the
216 2005 to 2010 season is of considerable note, and is suggestive of the evolution of coaching strategies
217 and team tactics imposed across the AFL. Comparative to the 2001 to 2004 seasons, the 2005 season
218 saw a drastic increase in the count of handballs, total disposals, uncontested possessions, clangers,
219 and tackles. Combined, these metrics indicate that the game evolved rapidly into 'possession
220 football', where teams attempted to control the speed of game-play. Interestingly, the grand final
221 winning side in the 2005 season (the Sydney Swans), were heavily scrutinised by the broader AF
222 community for introducing a defensive style of play, oriented around ball possession; effectively
223 starving the opposition of possession. This type of tactic appears to have emerged from basketball
224 and field/ice hockey, where it is common for winning teams to be characterised by shorter and more
225 frequent passes, which is believed to afford them with greater control over the game 'tempo'
226 (Ortega, Palao, Gómez, Lorenzo, & Cardenas, 2007). Ultimately, this provides a team with the
227 opportunity to continually probe an opposition's defensive structure waiting for an opportunity to
228 score. This dynamic shift toward possession football in the 2005 season seems to have arguably
229 resulted in a drastic league-wide reaction (Figure 3), perhaps as teams attempted to adapt to the
230 more congested, tempo controlled, style of football that had emerged.

231 Of interest was the league-wide (re)stabilisation of team performance characteristics from the 2010
232 season onwards. Differing from the 2005 to 2009 seasons, the 2010 season showed a decline across
233 multiple indicators; namely the count of handballs, disposals, and uncontested possessions, while
234 the number of clangers and tackles appeared to continually increase. This suggests that game-play
235 shifted from a possession style of football, to a re-possession style of football. Teams appeared to
236 become more equipped at regaining ball possession from their opposition; with game-play seeming
237 more congested, indicative of the rise in stoppages. The emergence of this re-possession style of
238 football is supported by trends in literature at that point, with Johnston et al. (2012) highlighting an
239 AFL team's ability to regain and maintain possession of the ball as being critical in determining their
240 on-field success. Thus, it seems that from the 2010 season onwards, coaches actively (and somewhat
241 collectively) developed game-plans oriented on the implementation of full ground zones; reflected
242 by the decline in uncontested possession counts, and the simultaneous rise in contested possession
243 counts (Figure 1).

244 The relative positioning of the grand final winning teams on the ordination surface reflects their
245 influence on the dynamic shift in game-style in the 2004, 2005, 2006, and 2010 seasons. When
246 compared to the other AFL team's performance indicator characteristics within these seasons, grand
247 final winning sides were generating considerably unique styles of play. It is speculated that the
248 abrupt league-wide shift in team performance indicator characteristics from the 2005 season was a
249 'knee-jerk' reaction in response to the evolving game-styles implemented by the dominant sides
250 within these seasonal periods (namely the Adelaide Crows, Sydney Swans, West Coast Eagles,
251 Geelong Cats, and Hawthorn). Further, it is of note that within the cluster of seasons in which the
252 team performance indicator characteristics appear to have stabilised (2001-2004 and 2011-2015);
253 the grand final winning sides orient the middle of each ordination surface. This indicates that
254 although the dominant sides within each of these clusters were playing a style of football similar to
255 the other teams, they were seemingly more equipped at playing that 'current' evolutionary style.

256 The trends reflective in the data indicate that the 2005 season saw the prolific league-wide
257 emergence of possession football, while the 2010 season led to the emergence of a team defensive
258 zoning style, oriented around repossession football. The current trend (from 2014 onwards) is
259 suggestive of a blended game-style; one that adopts both a possession and re-possession style of
260 play. For example, despite the initial emergence of repossession football from the 2010 season, it
261 seems as though the game has begun to evolve back to a possession style of football from the 2014
262 season onwards. Accordingly, it appears that coaches are blending elements of previously dominant
263 tactics as they strive toward a unique tactical combination.

264 These results hold implications for the development of prospective junior AFL players, which
265 warrants discussion. Coaches within the developmental pathway should look to implement training
266 interventions that equip juniors with the capability to 'switch on' and 'switch off' possession
267 football, while collectively being able to implement a zone defensive structure when attempting to
268 obtain possession from their opposition (re-possession football). In doing so, prospective juniors
269 may be more advantageously positioned to transition into the 'current' game-style in the AFL given
270 their intrinsic understanding of current game-play.

271 Beyond the implications this work holds for AF at all developmental levels, it presents a unique
272 statistical approach for illustrating dynamic trends in multivariate datasets in the sport sciences.
273 Data visualisation is becoming an increasingly prominent form of statistical methodology in a range
274 of domains, such as pharmacology and chemistry (Clark, Williams, & Ekins, 2015), computer science
275 (Ellis & Dix, 2007), and ecology (Specht, Guru, Houghton, Keniger, Driver, Ritchie, & Treloar, 2015). It
276 provides graphical means for which scientists and practitioners can interpret the connections
277 between multiple variables within larger datasets, while concurrently elucidating emergent trends
278 over time beyond what is granulated through more traditionally utilised linear approaches (Ellis &
279 Dix, 2007). This study demonstrates the power of data visualisation in sport science, where large,
280 multivariate datasets are commonly reported upon. By doing so, it presents a methodological

281 foundation that scientists working in other football codes can follow when illustrating evolutionary
282 patterns in player, team, or league characteristics over time.

283 **Conclusion**

284 This study illustrates the synchronous, league-wide, evolution of game-play in the AFL using a novel
285 data visualisation approach to the sport sciences. Between the 2001 to 2015 AFL seasons team
286 performance indicator characteristics underwent dynamic and league-wide evolution. The data
287 clearly demonstrates a drastic change in team performance indicator characteristics from the 2005
288 to 2009 seasons, perhaps indicative of the introduction of modernised coaching styles oriented
289 around possession football. However, from the 2010 season onwards, coaches adopted a more
290 collective zone defensive tactic oriented around re-possession football, where teams looked to limit
291 an opposition's space, and thus utilisation of the possession football tactic. The 'current' style of play
292 reflects a blend of both possession and re-possession football, where teams are looking to control
293 the tempo of the game and implement a zone defence when required. Future work should
294 continually monitor the evolution of game-play within the AFL to illustrate the emergence of a 'new'
295 style of play. Additionally, those working and researching in team sports are encouraged to apply the
296 unique data visualisation approaches presented here when describing emergent trends in game-
297 play.

298 **Acknowledgements**

299 The authors would like to acknowledge the many scientific papers, coaches, administrators, and
300 players (past and present) who have shaped the course of game-play in AF for more than 150 years.

301 **Disclosure statement**

302 The authors of this manuscript have no commercial interests in the notational provider described in
303 this study and furthermore declare no other conflicts of interest.

304 **References**

305 Barnes, C., Archer, D. T., Hogg, B., Bush, M., & Bradley, P. S. (2014). The evolution of physical and
306 technical performance parameters in the English Premier League. *International Journal of Sports*
307 *Medicine, 35*, 1095-1100

308 Burgess, D., Naughton, G., & Norton, K. (2012). Quantifying the gap between under 18 and senior
309 AFL football: 2003 – 2009. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance, 7*, 53-58.

310 Bush, M., Barnes, C., Archer, D. T., Hogg, B., Bradley, P. S. (2015). Evolution of match performance
311 parameters for various playing positions in the English Premier League. *Human Movement*
312 *Science, 39*, 1-11.

313 Clark, A. M., Williams, A. J., & Ekins, S. (2015). Machines first, humans second: on the importance
314 of algorithmic interpretation of open chemistry data. *Journal of Cheminformatics, 22*, 9.

315 Cohen J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences* (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ:
316 Lawrence Erlbaum.

317 Coutts, A. J., Quinn, J., Hocking, J., Castagna, C., & Rampinini, E. (2009). Match running
318 performance in elite Australian Rules football. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 13*, 543-
319 548.

320 Coventry J. (2015). *Time and space: the tactics that shaped Australian rules – and the players and*
321 *coaches who mastered them*, HarperCollins Publishers, Australia.

322 Dawson, B., Hopkinson, R., Appleby, B., Stewart, G., & Roberts, C. (2004). Player movement
323 patterns and game activities in the Australian Football League. *Journal of Science and Medicine in*
324 *Sport, 7*, 1440-2440.

325 Ellis, G., & Dix, A. (2007). A taxonomy of clutter reduction for information visualisation. *IEEE*
326 *Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 13*, 1216-1223.

327 Faith, D. P., Minchin, P. R., & Belbin, L. (1987). Compositional dissimilarity as a robust measure of
328 ecological distance. *Vegetatio*, 69, 57-68.

329 Fox, R. A., Flege, J. E., & Munro, M. J. (1995). The perception of English and Spanish vowels by
330 native English and Spanish listeners: A multidimensional scaling analysis. *The Journal of the*
331 *Acoustical Society of America*, 97, 2540-2551.

332 Johnson, R., Watsford, M., Pine, M., Spurrs, W., Murphy, A., & Pruyn, E. (2012). Movement
333 demands and match performance in professional Australian football. *International Journal of*
334 *Sports Physiology and Performance*, 33, 89-93.

335 Kelly, K. (2016). The MBESS R Package. Available at [https://cran.r-](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/MBESS/MBESS.pdf)
336 [project.org/web/packages/MBESS/MBESS.pdf](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/MBESS/MBESS.pdf)

337 Minchin, P. R. (1987). An evaluation of the relative robustness of techniques for ecological
338 ordination. In *Theory and models in vegetation science* (pp. 89-107). Springer Netherlands.

339 Norton, K., Craig, N., & Olds T. (1999). The evolution of Australian football. *Journal of Science and*
340 *Medicine in Sport*, 2, 389-404.

341 Oksanen J, Blanchet GF, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin P, O’Hara RB, et al. (2015). Vegan:
342 community ecology package. Available at [https://cran.r-](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vegan/vegan.pdf)
343 [project.org/web/packages/vegan/vegan.pdf](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vegan/vegan.pdf)

344 Ortega, E., Palao, J. M., Gómez, M. A., Lorenzo, A., & Cardenas D. (2007). Analysis of the efficacy
345 of possessions in boys’ 16-and-under basketball teams: differences between winning and losing
346 teams. *Perceptual Motor Skills*, 104, 961-964.

347 R Core Team. (2015). *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for
348 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

349 Robertson, S., Back, N., & Bartlett, J. (2016). Explaining match outcome in elite Australian rules
350 football using team performance indicators. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *34*, 637-644.

351 Specht, A., Guru, S., Houghton, L., Keniger, L., Driver, P., Ritchie, E. G., & Treloar, A. (2015). Data
352 management challenges in analysis and synthesis in the ecosystem sciences. *Science of the Total
353 Environment*, *15*, 144-158.

354 Sullivan, C., Bilsborough, J. C., Cianciosi, M., Hocking, J., Cordy, J., & Coutts A. J. (2014). Match
355 score affects activity profile and skill performance in professional Australian football players.
356 *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, *17*, 326-331.

357 Taguchi, Y. H., & Oono, Y. (2005). Relational patterns of gene expression via non-metric
358 multidimensional scaling analysis. *Bioinformatics*, *21*, 730-740.

359 Wallace, J. L., & Norton, K. (2014). Evolution of World Cup soccer final games 1966-2010: game
360 structure, speed and play patterns. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, *17*, 223-228.

361 Wood, S. N. (2003). Thin plate regression splines. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B*,
362 *65*, 95-114.

363 Woods, C. T., Joyce, C., & Robertson S. (2016). What are talent scouts actually identifying?
364 Investigating the physical and technical skill match activity profiles of drafted and non-drafted
365 U18 Australian footballers. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, *19*, 419-423.

366 Woods, C. T., Raynor, A. J., Bruce, L., McDonald, Z., & Robertson S. (2016). The application of a
367 multi-dimensional assessment approach to talent identification in Australian football. *Journal
368 Sports Sciences*, *34*, 1340-1345.

369 Zhu, C., & Yu, J. (2009). Nonmetric multidimensional scaling corrects for population structure in
370 association mapping with different sample types. *Genetics*, *182*, 875-888.

371 **Table 1.** The performance indicators and corresponding description as used within this study

Performance indicator	Description
Kicks	Disposing of the ball with any part of the leg below the knee including kicks off the ground
Handballs	Disposing of the ball by striking it with a fist while it rests on the opposing hand
Disposals	Summation of kicks and handballs
Contested possessions	Possessions obtained while in congested, and physically pressured situations
Uncontested possessions	Possessions obtained while a player is under no immediate physical pressure from the opposition
Effective disposals	A disposal that results in a teammate possessing the ball who was the intended target
Clangers	An unforced turnover of ball possession stemming from a disposal
Marks	When a player catches a kicked ball that has travelled more than 15 metres without another player impeding the ball or it having hit the ground
Contested marks	A mark recorded while engaging in a congested, physically pressured situation
Marks inside 50	A mark recorded while a player is in their forward 50 m zone
Hit-outs	An action of clearing the ball from a ruck contest to a teammate by tapping the ball into space
Clearances	Disposing of the ball from a congested stoppage in play
Centre clearances	An action of clearing the ball from a centre ball-up ruck contest
Stoppages	A stoppage in play called by the umpire as the ball is unable to be

	cleared by players
Rebound 50	An action of moving the ball from the defensive 50 m zone into the midfield zone
Tackles	Using physical contact to prevent an opposition in possession of the ball from getting an effective disposal
Bounces	The number of bounces accrued while running with the ball
Inside 50	An action of moving the ball from the midfield into the forward 50 m zone

373 **Figure 1.** Temporal dynamics of each team performance indicator from 2001-2015.

374 *Note:* Each point represents the average of a team’s performance indicator per season. The orange
375 line represents a LOESS smooth to the data and the vertical dashed lines represent a speculated
376 transition point in the data – refer to Appendix A for inferential statistics supporting these
377 speculations.

378

379 **Figure 2.** Mean season performance indicators for winning and losing AFL grand final teams from
380 2001-2015.

381 *Note:* The green line represents grand final winners and the red line that of the losers. The vertical
382 dashed lines represent the speculated transition point in the data – refer to Appendix A for
383 inferential statistics supporting these speculations.

384

385 **Figure 3.** An ordination plot using non-metric multidimensional scaling of a distance matrix
386 calculated from the team performance indicators for seasons 2001-2015.

387 *Note:* The polygons represent the extent of team distances for one season, while the coloured
388 overlaid lines represented the winning (green) and losing (red) grand final teams, “DNP” denotes
389 did not place

390

391 **Figure 4.** Non-metric multidimensional scaling plot for each team from the 2001-2015 seasons

392

393 **Appendix A.** Segmented models showing the ‘break points’ in the dataset as illustrated in Figure 1
394 and 2.

395 It is obvious that there are two periods, within the time series of the performance metrics, where a
396 change in the trend occurs. These two periods are approximately around 2004-05 and 2010-11. We
397 took three performance metrics from the total dataset - clearances, disposals, handballs - and fit
398 segmented models (sometimes referred to as ‘piecewise’ models) to the data to estimate the
399 periods where the transitions in the data occurred. That is – where are the ‘break points’ in the data.
400 In our case we are estimating the year when the transitions occurred. We did so using the
401 *segmented* package (Vito and Muggeo 2008) in *R* (R Core Team, 2016). Segmented modelling fits
402 regression models to data in a piecewise way by iteratively searching for the join points of two or
403 more linear regression fits to the data. One specifies *a priori* points of where these joins occur – our
404 speculated transition points. For these model fits we specified the years 2004 and 2011 as the
405 hypothesized break points. The reader must bear in mind that these are not strictly hypotheses, but
406 starting points for the model to search through the parameter space in order to estimate the break
407 points. The models converged easily on solutions for all three models. These fits support two
408 transitions in the data around 2004-06 and 2008-10, supporting our speculation made in Figure 2.

409

Performance metric	Break point 1	Break point 2
Clearances	2006.6 (0.276)	2010.9 (0.675)
Handballs	2003.9 (0.410)	2009 (0.256)
Disposals	2003.6 (0.335)	2008.5 (0.283)

410

411 Vito M. R. Muggeo (2003). Estimating regression models with unknown break-points. *Statistics in*
412 *Medicine*, 22, 3055-3071.

- 413 Vito M. R. Muggeo (2008). segmented: an R Package to Fit Regression Models with Broken-Line
414 Relationships. R News, 8/1, 20-25: <http://cran.r-project.org/doc/Rnews/>.